

# Notification of Summary of Submissions

## This report contains:

- **The Summary of Decisions Requested in order of Submitter.**
  - The order is by Last Name or Organisation, whichever is applicable.
- **Tables containing the names and contact details of Submitters who supported other specific Submitters, and sought the same decision requested as those Submitters.**
  - Submitters who lodged a submission solely in support of the Submission of the Marine Farming Association.
  - Submitters who lodged a submission solely in support of the Submission of Aquaculture New Zealand.
- **A table containing the Submitters who supported other specific Submitters, and sought the same decision requested as those Submitters.**
  - Submitters who, within their personal submission, also supported the Submission of another Submitter in the manner described above.

## Summary of decisions requested - by surname/organisation

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| 1303               | A E Sadd Limited   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 84      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>The decision we seek from Council is:</p> <p>Remove W48 from the Proposed Marlborough Environment Plan as it has never been a significant wetland and is in fact a 'man made pond' built in the 1990's, by Mr Mahon for his birds and aviaries.</p> <p>Alter the boundary outline of W47, so that the area parallel to Stream Wharf Road (and located on our four property boundaries) is excluded from W47. We believe that this area has never been a significant wetland and is actually used as part of Council's drainage network for Grovetown.</p> <p>We have no problem with wetlands and their benefit to the environment, but creating wetlands from a Council 'desktop activity', not supported by the property owner or neighbours, is imposing unacceptable conditions and affecting what individuals can do on their own private properties. It is also trying to rewrite the history of a specific area of land and its usage.</p> |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| 514                | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new Guiding Principle as follows -</p> <p><b>"Economic development."</b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| 514                | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 2     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new Guiding Principle as follows -</p> <p><b>"Recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief."</b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| 514                | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.                 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new provision <i>(type of provision not identified in Submission)</i> as follows -<br><b>"Recognise the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay".</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>                       |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>514</b>         | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new provision <i>(type of provision not identified in Submission)</i> as follows -<br><b>"Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Sounds have been altered by social and cultural use."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>514</b>         | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new provision <i>(type of provision not identified in Submission)</i> as follows -<br><b>"Recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources".</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>514</b>         | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 6     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | 6.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Revise methodologies and maps, recognise existing use of and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character. <i>(Submitter did not identify the specific provisions for which change is sought.)</i>                        |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>514</b>         | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 7     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | 7.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Revise methodologies and maps, recognise existing use of and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural landscape and features. <i>(Submitter did not identify the specific provisions for which change is sought.)</i>           |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>514</b>         | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 8     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | 7.        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Revise the identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL and amend the maps accordingly.  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>514</b>         | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 9     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief.  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>514</b>         | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character       | 6.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br/>           Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br/>           Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br/>           Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance.</p> <p><i>(Submitter did not identify the specific provisions for which change is sought.)</i></p> |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>514</b>         | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 11    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | 7.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br/>           Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br/>           Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br/>           Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance.</p> <p><i>(Submitter did not identify the specific provisions for which change is sought.)</i></p> |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>514</b>         | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 12    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.        | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                               | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br/>           Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br/>           Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br/>           Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance.</p> <p><i>(Submitter did not identify the specific provisions for which change is sought.)</i></p> |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>514</b>         | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 13    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Review the Natural Character Overlay over marine farm 8204 on this Map and amend the schedules in Appendix 2 to acknowledge that marine farm 8204 is not causing adverse effects on Natural Character.</p>  |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>514</b>         | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 14    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Review the Ecologically Significant Marine Site Overlay over marine farm 8573 on this Map. <i>(Inferred)</i></p>  |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>514</b>         | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 15    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Review the Natural Character Overlay over marine farms 8573 and 8338 on this Map and amend the schedules in Appendix 2 to acknowledge that marine farms 8573 and 8337 are not causing adverse effects on Natural Character.</p>   |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>514</b>         | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 16    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5                            | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Review the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape Overlay over marine farm 8260 on this Map and amend the schedules in Appendix 1 to acknowledge that marine farm 8260 is not causing adverse effects on the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape.</p>  |       |          |              |   |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                                | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|--|--------|
| <b>514</b>         | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 17    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Review the Natural Character Overlay over marine farm 8260 on this Map and amend the schedules in Appendix 2 to acknowledge that marine farm 8260 is not causing adverse effects on Natural Character.   |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>514</b>         | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 18    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Review the Ecologically Significant Marine Site Overlay over marine farm 8544 on this Map. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>514</b>         | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 19    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Review the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape Overlay over marine farm 8544 on this Map and amend the schedules in Appendix 1 to acknowledge that marine farm 8544 is not causing adverse effects on the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape. |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>514</b>         | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 20    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Review the Ecologically Significant Marine Mammal Overlay over marine farm 8043 on this Map. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>514</b>         | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 21    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Review the Natural Character Overlay over marine farm 8130 on this Map and amend the schedules in Appendix 2 to acknowledge that marine farm 8130 is not causing adverse effects on Natural Character.   |       |          |              |  |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision                               | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---|-----------------|
| 514                | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 22    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Review the Ecologically Significant Marine Site Overlay over marine farm 8188 on this Map. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |  |   |                 |
| 514                | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 23    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.                                     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal waters and particularly marine farms.<br><i>(Submitter did not identify the specific provisions for which change is sought.)</i> |       |          |  |   |                 |
| 514                | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 24    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.                                     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.<br><i>(Submitter did not identify the specific provisions for which change is sought.)</i>                      |       |          |  |   |                 |
| 514                | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 25    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                         | 25.                                     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a definition for " <b>Natural Character</b> " and " <b>Outstanding Features and Landscape</b> ".   |       |          |  |   |                 |
| 514                | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 26    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | 5.M.10                                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method of Implementation as it pertains to coastal occupation charges. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |  |   |                 |
| 514                | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 27    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                    | Policy 6.2.5                            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |  |   |                 |
| 514                | A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust  | 28    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                    | Policy 6.2.3                            | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter                          | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. ( <i>Inferred</i> ) |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 4     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone        | 5.2.4.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.6  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 7     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 8     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.6  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 9     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |       |          |   |               |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 10           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 11           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.9     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 12           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 13           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 14           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 15           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 16           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 17           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 18           | Volume 2      | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.4.3.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 19    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 20    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 21    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 22    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 23    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.<br/> <i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:<br/> <i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i></p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 24    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy:<br/> <i>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</i></p>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 25    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 26    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 27    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 28    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows:<br/> <i>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised <u>maximum</u> daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</i></p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following:<br/> <i>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</i></p> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 29    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 51     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete provision in its entirety.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 30    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete provision in its entirety.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 31    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete provision in its entirety.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 32    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete provision in its entirety.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 33    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.3          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete method in its entirety.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 34    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 35    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.1.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 36    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.1.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 37    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Objective 14.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 38    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.2.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 39    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.2.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 40    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.2.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 41    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Objective 14.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Redraft the objective to read:<br><i>Activities that are not related to primary production are <u>only located within rural environments if they are appropriate for that environment.</u></i> |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 42    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.3.2  | Support         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain, with an additional clause (e):<br><i>The extent to which the proposed activity is likely to have reverse sensitivity effects on primary production activities.</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 43    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.4.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 44    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Objective 14.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Redraft the objective to read:<br><i>Residential activity takes place <u>only</u> within appropriate locations and limits within rural environments.</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 45    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows:<br><i>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i><br><i>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i><br><i>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i><br><i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</i><br><i>(ii) the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</i><br><i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i><br><i>(iv) in the case of water supply intakes and associated structures in a river bed, the practical viability of alternative methods of abstracting water;</i><br><i>(v) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated <u>by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</u></i><br><i>(vi) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 46    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone                         | 15.3.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Redraft the objective to read:<br><i><u>Where necessary,</u> reduce the potential for nuisance and health effects from the discharge of contaminants into air.</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 47    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Redraft the policy to reflect the following amendment:<br><i>Manage the use of agrichemicals to avoid spray drift <u>as far as practicable.</u></i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 48           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.1.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 49           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.2.5.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 50           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.3.5.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 51           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.4.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 52           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.5.2.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 53           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 54           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.5.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 55           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.7.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited | 56           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.13.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)       |              |               |                          |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 57    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.14.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 58    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.17.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 59    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 60    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 61    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.25.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 62    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.26.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and harvesting</u> and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticultural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 63    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.33.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 64    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.34.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 65    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.39.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 66    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.4.1.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 67    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.4.2.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the rule be deleted, or alternately amend so that vineyards, wineries and associated retail are clearly excluded.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 68    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.6.2.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 69    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |               | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain appendix 6. (inferred)   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 70    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Objective 5.9 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Issue 51, Objective 5.9, Policies 5.9.1, 5.9.2 and 5.9.3 along with Method of Implementation 5.M.3 be deleted in entirety.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 71    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Objective 5.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Accolade submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies.</p> <p><u><i>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available.</i></u></p> <p>The MEP makes reference to Soil Sensitive Areas in a number of locations. Accolade appreciate the difference in soils and soils types and the differing nature of those soils with respect to discharges, disturbance and productivity however the scale of the current mapping is extensive.</p> <p>Accolade submit that the MEP should include as a method the ongoing commitment of Council toward the further refining of the Soils Sensitive Areas and boundaries.</p> |       |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|------------|-----------------|
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 72    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <i>That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture.</i>  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 73    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <i>That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture.</i>  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 74    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture.</i>  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 75    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                            | 25.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows:<br><br><i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and harvesting</u> and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticultural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i> |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 76    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.26.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows:<br><br><i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and harvesting</u> and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticultural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i> |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 77    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)    | 15.M.18    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Method of Implementation 15.M.18 be amended to add the following bullet point<br><u>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines.</u>  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 457                | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited  | 78    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)    | 15.M.24    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Method of Implementation 15.M.24 be amended to add the following bullet point:<br><u>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of activities in, on, under or over river beds; to assist in the preparation of site specific management plans and for the processing of resource consent applications.</u>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 79    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly.<br><i><u>3.3.13.1 On land which slopes away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 metres of the waterbody.</u></i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 80    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.4. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.4 be amended to read:<br><br><i><u>3.3.13.4 Cultivation must not be in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland, except where the wetland is fenced in accordance with the wetland boundaries mapped in the Plan, in which case cultivation may occur up to the fenced boundary or where the land slopes away from Significant Wetland in which case cultivation must not be within 1m of the significant wetland.</u></i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 81    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That standard 3.3.5.3 be amended to read:<br>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour <u>for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over."</u>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 82    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.2, be amended to read:<br><br><u>3.3.13.2 On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>457</b>         | Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited   | 83    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.3. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.3 be amended to read:<br>3.3.13.3 <u>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u> |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>980</b>         | Nigel Edward Ackroyd  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Review the Plan.<br>Consultation with boat owners and <u>all</u> affected parties.<br>Proof of necessity of this plan change.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>980</b>         | Nigel Edward Ackroyd  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.3.                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Review the Plan.<br>Consultation with boat owners and <u>all</u> affected parties.<br>Proof of necessity of this plan change.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>781</b>         | Johann Adam   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>781</b>         | Johann Adam   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>781</b>         | Johann Adam   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>781</b>         | Johann Adam   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>781</b>         | Johann Adam  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>781</b>         | Johann Adam  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>781</b>         | Johann Adam  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>781</b>         | Johann Adam  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>781</b>         | Johann Adam  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>781</b>         | Johann Adam  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>17</b>          | Keith M.J. Adams   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        |               | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | I request that Council either re-write entire portions of the MEP, or add Amendments to Volumes 1, 2, and Section 32 to repair not only this mammoth document, but our confidence in our District Council as well. While Council cannot undo much of the historical harm done, it can begin working with farmers and vineyard owner who have a special connection to the land. Stop issuing water rights to areas that are unsustainable and require special transporting of water to alter landscapes. Council should acknowledge Rapaura has preeminent rights to unrestricted water from the aquifer immediately beneath our land. Regions using various Water Schemes to fleece water from the Wairau River and Aquifer should be made to fulfill their part of the original negotiations by paying immediately for the dams and catchments promised. End land-grabs done with the sole intention of acquiring Water to transfer to other arid grounds, circumventing the system for financial gain. Recognise the unique position farming families hold in the heritage of our district, that these are the families that founded Marlborough. Return Water Allocations to their original descriptions that recognise "Prior Use" if that purpose is still practicable. District Council will hold the big Corporate Industrial grape-producers responsible for actions and behaviors that risk our natural resources and demand greater investment into the community from which they continue to harvest their profits. Lastly, I want my full water rights returned to the hereditary land my family has farmed generation after generation. |       |          |   |           |        |
| <b>21</b>          | Keith M J Adams  | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I therefore stand strongly <u>against</u> the current wording of Section 5, and <b>the Council should amend the MEP Section 4 (and related sections) to recognise the necessity of a moratorium or the complete cessation of issuing new Water Resource Consents to areas not traditionally water and unable to provide at least a reasonable portion of their own water supplies or are in the business of redeveloping land otherwise unable to support Industrial Crop-Production if not naturally watered; and "Claw-back" should first be aimed at those institutional properties. Prior Use (to include Natural Watering and Traditional Use) should be explicit in the wording, not just implied to protect businesses and family farms. Lastly, are cognition that much of the responsibility regarding Water Resource fragility lays at the feet of District Council (and their predecessors) for past interventions and more recent issuances of water rights to areas that should have been zoned ineligible.</b>   |       |          |   |           |        |
| <b>36</b>          | Keith M.J. Adams   | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.        | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>I request that Council either re-write entire portions of the MEP, or add Amendments to Section 4. Council should acknowledge Rapaura has preeminent rights to unrestricted water from the aquifer immediately beneath our land.</p> <p>Recognise the unique position farming families hold in the heritage of our district, that these are the families that founded Marlborough. Return Water Allocations to their original descriptions that recognise "Prior Use" if that purpose is still practicable.</p> <p>The INCLUSION of text from <b>MEP Chapter 32 - Policy 4.1.1</b> into the <u>main body</u> of <b>Section 4 of Volume 1</b> (as there is no other similar acknowledgement elsewhere)</p> <p><b>Benefits:</b> <i>"The policy acknowledges private property rights and the inherent freedom that comes with this. Recongnition of these private property rights was identified during consultation through the reviews process as being of considerable importance for those involved in primary production activities on land. There is a benefit for land owners/users in having this expressly recognised through policy."</i></p> <p>Change the autocrat liberating phrase "<b>wider public interest</b>" to a more constrictive "<b>greater public good</b>" (in Policy4.1.1), as it should compel a greater contemplation on the displacement of rights and freedoms of individuals.</p> <p>Lastly, I want my full water rights returned to the hereditary land my family has farmed generation after generation.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 293                | Keith M J Adams   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources |           | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Relief Sought:</p> <p>My intent with this Submission is to enter into the record a historic reference to the effects of damming and draining and the resulting water issues we now face in the district.</p> <p>It is imperative to know how we got to this place, if we are to successfully improve our situation.</p> <p>I request that an amendment to the MEP be inserted to explore alternatives to slashing existing Water User permits, and feasibility studies to recharge the aquifers at risk while maintaining responsible use of our water-resources. There are alternatives to Draconian Water Resource cuts, but without District Council leadership they will never see the light of day.</p> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>310</b>         | Keith M.J. Adams  | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | 14.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Relief Sought:</p> <p>My intent with this Submission is to enter into the record a historic reference to the collateral damage inflicted by well intention, but short-sighted government regulations.</p> <p>It is imperative to know how we got to this place, if we are to successfully improve our situation.</p> <p>I request that greater appreciation for the family owned farms and vineyards be expressed through the MEP, and that they are given a margin of preferential deliberation when District Council is making decisions and policies that would impact those family farmers and family vineyard owners.</p>   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>321</b>         | Simon and Richard Adams   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 5. 7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.<br/>Policy 5. 7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:<br/><u>Policy 5. 7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</u></p>  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>321</b>         | Simon and Richard Adams   | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.12.      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the following standards accordingly   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
|                    | Standard 3.3.12.6 No tree or log must be dragged through the bed of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake or Significant Wetland or through the coastal marine area except.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
|                    | Standard 3.3.12.7 Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a river (except an ephemera/ river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing ), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area <u>except for the</u> removal of flood debris.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>321</b>         | Simon and Richard Adams   | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
|                    | <u>On land which slopes away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing ), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 m of the waterbody.</u>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
|                    | That the current standards 3.3.13.2, 3.3.13.3 and 3.3.13.4 be amended to read:  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
|                    | <b>3.3.13.2</b> <u>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing ), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
|                    | 3.3.13.3 <u>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemera/river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
|                    | 3.3.13.4 Cultivation must not be in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland, except where the wetland is fenced in accordance with the wetland boundaries mapped in the Plan, in which case cultivation may occur up to the fenced boundary <u>or where the land slopes away from Significant Wetland in which case cultivation must not be within 1 m of the Significant Wetland.</u> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>321</b>         | Simon and Richard Adams   | 4     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | That the definition of intensively farmed livestock be amended as follows:<br><br>Intensively farmed livestock means: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (a) cattle or deer grazed on irrigated land or contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops;</li> <li>• (b) dairy cattle <u>on properties with milking platforms</u>;</li> <li>• (c) farmed pigs.</li> </ul> <u>For clarity intensively farmed livestock does not cover the grazing of dairy cattle on properties without milking platforms except if (a) above applies or livestock entering or passing across a river from an extensively grazed area to an intensive break-fed grazed area.</u>  |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>406</b>         | David Adams   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>1290</b>        | Ahuriri Forests Limited   | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                     | 7.2.1.5.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).<br><br>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation<br><br>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>266</b>         | Aitken Taylor Limited   | 1     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space            | 9.           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The specific decision requested with respect to chapter is not clear from the submission.   |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>266</b>         | Aitken Taylor Limited   | 2     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space            | Policy 9.1.9 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Prioritise cycling and walking in the design and implementation of development new public access (inferred).                            |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| <b>266</b>         | Aitken Taylor Limited   | 3     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.2.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Introduce a requirement for minimum permeable ground cover for new development (inferred).  |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| <b>266</b>         | Aitken Taylor Limited   | 4     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.2.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove "maintain" from the policy (inferred).   |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| <b>266</b>         | Aitken Taylor Limited   | 5     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.2.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove "maintain" from (b) of the policy (inferred).  |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| <b>266</b>         | Aitken Taylor Limited   | 6     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.3.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | We believe the wording should read 'add to/ or not detract from'.   |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| <b>266</b>         | Aitken Taylor Limited   | 7     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.4.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy but also consider the provision of incentives to encourage development in Business 1 zone (inferred).                 |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| <b>266</b>         | Aitken Taylor Limited   | 8     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.5.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include cycling and pedestrian access, visibility into businesses, streetscapes and people as characteristics in the policy (inferred). |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| <b>266</b>         | Aitken Taylor Limited   | 9     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.6.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The word 'maintain' needs to be removed and include requirements for visual permeability of facades (inferred).                         |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| <b>266</b>         | Aitken Taylor Limited   | 10    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation     | 17.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include recognition of walking and cycling in the Introduction (inferred).  |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| <b>266</b>         | Aitken Taylor Limited   | 11    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone     | 9.2.1.        | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Include requirements for visual permeability of facades and regulate the use of commercial buildings (inferred).  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>266</b>         | Aitken Taylor Limited   | 12    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone                       | 9.2.1.9.                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Introduce an additional control requiring that at least 70 percent of any glazed space must be visually permeable (inferred).   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>266</b>         | Aitken Taylor Limited   | 13    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone                       | 9.2.                                      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Introduce standards that prioritise pedestrians, incentivise residential development in the CBD, require verandahs and support an Urban Design Panel (inferred).                      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>549</b>         | Bryan Albrey  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>549</b>         | Bryan Albrey  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>549</b>         | Bryan Albrey  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>549</b>         | Bryan Albrey  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>549</b>         | Bryan Albrey  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.             |       |          |   |   |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| 549                | Bryan Albrey   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| 549                | Bryan Albrey   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| 549                | Bryan Albrey   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| 549                | Bryan Albrey   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| 549                | Bryan Albrey   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| 813                | John Leon Aldridge   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."          |       |          |   |               |        |
| 813                | John Leon Aldridge   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.3.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm." |       |          |   |               |        |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| <b>639</b>         | David Marshall Allan   | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.4.12                            | Support |
| Decision Requested | Implement the new policy to protect the special Omaka Valley.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>639</b>         | David Marshall Allan   | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.4.13                            | Support |
| Decision Requested | Implement the new policy to protect the special Omaka Valley.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>639</b>         | David Marshall Allan   | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.2                              | Support |
| Decision Requested | Implement the new policy to protect the special Omaka Valley.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>639</b>         | David Marshall Allan   | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.7                              | Support |
| Decision Requested | Implement the new policy to protect the special Omaka Valley.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>334</b>         | David Allen  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 158                            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | The owner intends to establish service industries within the site ( <i>infer - 121 Mabers Road, Lot 1 DP 8727</i> ), which will service the rural industry sector. To avoid the necessity to apply for resource consents every time an activity is undertaken on the site, the Industrial 1 zoning is requested. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>550</b>         | Belinda Allen  | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>510</b>         | Anne Allison   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>510</b>         | Anne Allison   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>510</b>         | Anne Allison   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>510</b>         | Anne Allison   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>510</b>         | Anne Allison   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>510</b>         | Anne Allison   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>510</b>         | Anne Allison   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>510</b>         | Anne Allison   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>510</b>         | Anne Allison   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>510</b>         | Anne Allison  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                       | Objective 6.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>127</b>         | Mark Altoft   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.2.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>1. That the distance from MHWS and from marine farms in clauses 16.7.2 and 16.7.3 remains at 500m.</p> <p>2. That if the distance changes to 1000m, that an extra sentence be included in each of 16.7.2 and 16.7.3 stating "This provision only applies to vessels over 50 tonnes. Vessel under this weight must discharge no closer than 500m"</p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>127</b>         | Mark Altoft   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.4.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That clause 16.7.4 is deleted.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>127</b>         | Mark Altoft   | 3     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.3.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>1. That the distance from MHWS and from marine farms in clauses 16.7.2 and 16.7.3 remains at 500m.</p> <p>2. That if the distance changes to 1000m, that an extra sentence be included in each of 16.7.2 and 16.7.3 stating "This provision only applies to vessels over 50 tonnes. Vessel under this weight must discharge no closer than 500m"</p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>530</b>         | AM and LM Campbell Family Trust   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reconsider provisions relating to water cut-offs for irrigators in the Wairau Aquifer when water levels drop in wells P28w/3009, P28w/4404 and P28w/3954. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>530</b>         | AM and LM Campbell Family Trust   | 2     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space            | 9.            | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Reconsider provisions. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>530</b>         | AM and LM Campbell Family Trust   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Issue 4B  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Reconsider provisions. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>181</b>         | Andebrook Farming Limited   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |           | Support |
| Decision Requested | Appendix 6 - Schedule 1 and 3. Retain provisions as proposed.   |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>526</b>         | A G N Anderson  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.2.   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."<br><br>And, that any future proposals to increase the 500m rule be accompanied by scientific evidence supporting the change and proposals for installation of suitable onshore sewerage disposal stations.          |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>526</b>         | A G N Anderson  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.3.   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."<br><br>And, that any future proposals to increase the 500m rule be accompanied by scientific evidence supporting the change and proposals for installation of suitable onshore sewerage disposal stations. |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>508</b>         | Andrew Pope Homes Limited   | 1     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone          | 5.2.1.2.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.<br>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.  |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>508</b>         | Andrew Pope Homes Limited   | 2     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                            | 24.3.1.3  | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.<br>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>508</b>         | Andrew Pope Homes Limited  | 3     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                   | 24.3.1.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.<br>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>508</b>         | Andrew Pope Homes Limited  | 4     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.11. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.<br>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>508</b>         | Andrew Pope Homes Limited  | 5     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.7.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.<br>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>508</b>         | Andrew Pope Homes Limited  | 6     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.6.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.<br>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>508</b>         | Andrew Pope Homes Limited  | 7     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.3.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.<br>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. |       |          |                                  |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1276</b>        | Anna Caroline Memorial Trust  | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                                   | 7.2.1.5.                    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>I seek that Council does not alter the current setback of 20m. That other property has only an 8m setback, even 20m can be deemed inequitable. Should this 28m setback be able to be clearly demonstrated to be fair, reasonable and necessary for particular purpose, and benefit to the community at large, I seek that property consultation be undertaken with property owners who have riparian rights; that normally accepted levels of disclosure are undertaken rather than Council taking the laws into their own hands, under cover and passing legislation because someone sees fit. Having demonstrated this, appropriate financial compensation is made available to all land owners with riparian rights who are affected.</p> <p>If the rule does pass, I advise it is my intention to join with others similarly affected and instigate a legal challenge to block it and seek to recover costs.</p> |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>544</b>         | Apex Marine Farm Limited  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7):</p> <p><i>"Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."</i></p>  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>544</b>         | Apex Marine Farm Limited  | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8):</p> <p><i>"Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."</i></p>   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>544</b>         | Apex Marine Farm Limited  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>544</b>         | Apex Marine Farm Limited  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed in Nikau Bay;<br>AND<br>Amend the Natural Character mapping at the head of Marys Bay;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3. Appendix 2, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>544</b>         | Apex Marine Farm Limited   | 5     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed in Nikau Bay;<br>AND<br>Amend the Natural Character mapping at the head of Marys Bay;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3. Appendix 2, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>544</b>         | Apex Marine Farm Limited   | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the mapping as proposed in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Oyster Bay; and</li> <li>- Port Underwood.</li> </ul> <p>AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Reduce the extent of the natural character overlay in Ngaruru Bay; and</li> <li>- The natural character of Tory Channel should be accurately mapped;</li> </ul> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.</p> |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>544</b>         | Apex Marine Farm Limited   | 7     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the mapping as proposed in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Oyster Bay; and</li> <li>- Port Underwood.</li> </ul> <p>AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Reduce the extent of the natural character overlay in Ngaruru Bay; and</li> <li>- The natural character of Tory Channel should be accurately mapped;</li> </ul> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.</p> |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>544</b>         | Apex Marine Farm Limited   | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 1                | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed in Forsyth Bay.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>544</b>         | Apex Marine Farm Limited   | 9     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed in Nikau Bay and Marys Bay.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| <b>544</b>         | Apex Marine Farm Limited   | 10    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in Port Underwood and Tory Channel, save for reducing the extent of the ONL overlay on the headland extending into Ngaruru Bay;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>544</b>         | Apex Marine Farm Limited   | 11    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in Port Underwood and Tory Channel, save for reducing the extent of the ONL overlay on the headland extending into Ngaruru Bay;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>544</b>         | Apex Marine Farm Limited   | 12    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 9 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the ONL overlay at that location;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>544</b>         | Apex Marine Farm Limited   | 13    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the ONL overlay at that location;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.   |       |          |   |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                                | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|--|-----------------|
| 544                | Apex Marine Farm Limited   | 14    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed.  |       |          |              |  |                 |
| 544                | Apex Marine Farm Limited   | 15    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed.  |       |          |              |  |                 |
| 544                | Apex Marine Farm Limited   | 16    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed.  |       |          |              |  |                 |
| 544                | Apex Marine Farm Limited   | 17    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the mapping as proposed in Port Underwood and Oyster Bay;</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Remove the Ecologically Significant Site classification for Ngaruru Bay, which is, presumably, because of a stand of <i>macrocystis pyrifera</i> at the entrance;</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The Marlborough Environment Plan should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification and may actually enhance it by providing settlement surfaces for juvenile sporophytes and recruitment back to the reef.</p> |       |          |              |  |                 |
| 544                | Apex Marine Farm Limited   | 18    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 16 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision                                | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|--|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove the classification from this area;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that many activities are compatible with this site.   |       |          |                |  |                 |
| <b>544</b>         | Apex Marine Farm Limited  | 19    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the Ecologically Significant Marine Site (Marine Mammal Whale) classification in these areas;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not have any adverse effect on whales.  |       |          |                |  |                 |
| <b>544</b>         | Apex Marine Farm Limited  | 20    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the Ecologically Significant Marine Sites (Marine Mammal Dolphin) classification in this area, as frequency of dolphins is as episodic as most of the rest of the Marlborough Sounds;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not have any adverse effect on dolphins in this area. |       |          |                |  |                 |
| <b>544</b>         | Apex Marine Farm Limited  | 21    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps   | National Transportation Route            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the National Transport Route map to show the route as being confined to the main part of Tory Channel and Queen Charlotte Sound.  |       |          |                |  |                 |
| <b>545</b>         | Aquaculture Direct Limited  | 1     | All      | All            |  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |                |  |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | 1.                                       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The Council should re-evaluate the various alternatives in accordance with s 32, having particular regard to quantified benefits and costs and associated commentary. In the event that the s 32 evaluation reveals significant alternatives that have not been appropriately considered, it may be necessary to re-notify aspects of the proposed MEP.   |       |          |                |                    |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 2     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | It is an omission not to include a guiding principle to promote economic development. The approach taken does not reflect the RMA, and does not reflect the views of the Marlborough community. A guiding principle to that effect should be added, along with consequential changes to the commentary.   |       |          |                |                    |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 3     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The guiding principles should recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses. Its values include economic values. A set of guiding principles that make no reference to that has failed to properly capture the needs of Marlborough. The guiding principles should be amended to reflect this. The clique "jewel in the crown" should be deleted, and replaced with something more reflective of Marlborough and the discussion above.  |       |          |                |                    |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 4     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Support the guiding principle "Providing the community with a streamlined and simplified resource management framework to make it easier for resource users and other interested parties to use." Submit that this philosophy should extend to the application of the MEP provisions, not simply to integrating the regional policy statement with the regional coastal, regional and district plan provisions.   |       |          |                |                    |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 5     | Volume 1 | 2 Background   | 2.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The document does not sufficiently recognise that the protection of one resource may have a positive or negative effect on another ( <i>New Zealand Shipping Federation v Marlborough District Council</i> W038/06). This is reflected in the insufficient identification of costs in the s32 analysis. There are consistent references to "protection" throughout the MEP. However, the response to environmental integration in the MEP is insufficient. The Council should re-evaluate the various alternatives in accordance with s32, having particular regard to quantified benefits and costs and associated commentary. |       |          |                |                    |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 6     | Volume 1 | 2 Background   | 2.                 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Oppose the approach where "avoid" has two meanings, dependent on its context. This results in the MEP being unclear, which in turn is likely to lead to significant future expenditure to determine meaning. We have addressed the use of the term "avoid" in other specific contexts where it arises.</p> <p>"Avoid" should only be used in one sense, consistent with the approach taken by the Supreme Court in <i>New Zealand King Salmon</i> [2014] NZSC 38.</p> <p>Avoid should have only one meaning.</p> <p>Where a different meaning is preferred, this should be clear from the specific provision.</p> |       |          |   |  |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 7     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | 2.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | A single meaning for "protect" should be adopted, consistent with the Supreme Court's approach in <i>New Zealand King Salmon</i> .   |       |          |   |  |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 8     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | Issues of significance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The economic interests of iwi should be expressly recognised.  |       |          |   |  |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 9     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 4A. ( <i>Inferred</i> )   |       |          |   |  |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 10    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 4B and the supporting policies, but submit that the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay (Tennyson Inlet), Oyster Bay (Port Underwood) and Okiwi Bay (Croisilles Harbour) be specifically recognised in policy 4.2.1. ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |   |  |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 11    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Add new Issue 4D - Recognise that the choice whether or not to use natural and physical resources has consequences;</p> <p>Add new Objective 4.4 - Recognise that limiting development has a tradeoff; and</p> <p>Add new Policy 4.4.1 - Identify the consequences of not allowing development in terms of:</p> <p>Substitution;</p> <p>Adverse effects from other alternative activities in the area; and</p> <p>Loss of environmental, economic and social benefits.</p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 12    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The commentary of Objective 4.1 should refer to aquaculture's need for water space, and high quality water. Recognition should be given to the fact that different water space and site characteristics are necessary for different forms of aquaculture. For example, cool fast flowing water is required for salmon farming, whereas access to high nutrient laden water is necessary for effectively farming mussels.</p> <p>Amend Objective 4.1 to include express reference to related servicing and processing industries; and amend commentary as suggested.</p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 13    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Objective 4.3, so long as new objective 4.3A is added. Alternatively, Objective 4.3 should be amended to reflect the fact that social and cultural uses are part of the character of the Marlborough Sounds. <i>(Inferred)</i></p>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 14    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert new Objective 4.3A - Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Marlborough Sounds have been altered by cultural and social use and those uses have become part of the character of the Marlborough Sounds and do not detract from it.</p> <p><b>NOTE</b> - New policy 4.3.6 should be added to support this Objective.</p>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 15    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add new Policy 4.1.1A to recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources.</p>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 16    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 4.1.2 to read "Enable sustainable use and development of natural resources in the Marlborough environment."  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 17    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert new Policy 4.1.2A - allow for experimentation and innovation where there are sufficient controls to appropriately manage adverse effects.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 18    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new Policy 4.1.2B - Allow for development where it will achieve a net improvement in sustainability or efficiency by:<br><br>Offsetting effects;<br><br>Compensating for effects; or<br><br>Substituting one use for another. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 19    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 20    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay (Tennyson Inlet), Oyster Bay (Port Underwood) and Okiwi Bay (Croisilles Harbour) be specifically recognised in the Policy (along with other proposed changes). |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 21    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.1, provided new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 are added as proposed. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 22    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.2, provided new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 are added as proposed. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 23    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.3, provided new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 are added as proposed. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 24    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.4, provided new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 are added as proposed. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 25    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.5, provided new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 are added as proposed. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 26    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | New Policy 4.3.6 should be added to give effect to proposed new Objective 4.3A.                            |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 27    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.1         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the methods of implementation for Objective 4.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>                                  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 28    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.2         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the methods of implementation for Objective 4.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>                                  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 29    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.3         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the methods of implementation for Objective 4.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>                                  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 30    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.4         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the methods of implementation for Objective 4.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>                                  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 31    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.5         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the methods of implementation for Objective 4.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>                                  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 32    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.AER.1       | Support         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the anticipated environmental results and monitoring effectiveness. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 33    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | In order to manage biosecurity threats, the deliberate introduction of exotic or introduced plants into the coastal marine area should require a resource consent (as per rule 35.5 in the current Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan (MSRMP)). A policy should be added to this effect.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 34    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 5.10. Use of the word "Equitable" is vague in this context. The word "equitable" should be replaced with "efficient."<br><br>The commentary to objective 5.10 should note that this "manages conflicts between users" rather than "avoids conflicts."   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 35    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The commentary to Policy 5.10.1 should note sections 124A, 124B and 124C of the RMA, as well as sections 165ZH, 165ZI and 165ZJ.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 36    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the first sentence (default method) of Policy 5.10.2, but delete the second sentence (alternative regime). An alternative regime could be referred to in the commentary.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 37    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the words "necessary and" from policy 5.10.3, so that it reads "to that reasonable to undertake..."  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 38    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | (a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;<br><br>(b) Appropriate provision is made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and<br><br>(c) The formula for determining charges is written into the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by Executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013).<br><br>The MFA provisionally supports policy 5.10.4 if the above relief is granted. |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 39    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.5  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>(a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;</p> <p>(b) Appropriate provision is made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and</p> <p>(c) The formula for determining charges is written into the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by Executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013).</p> <p>The MFA provisionally supports policy 5.10.5 if the above relief is granted.</p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 40    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>(a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;</p> <p>(b) Appropriate provision is made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and</p> <p>(c) The formula for determining charges is written into the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by Executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013).</p> <p>The MFA provisionally supports policy 5.10.6 if the above relief is granted.</p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 41    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>(a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;</p> <p>(b) Appropriate provision is made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and</p> <p>(c) The formula for determining charges is written into the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by Executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013).</p>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 42    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>The MFA is represented on the oversight body to an extent commensurate with the levy on the industry;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;</li> <li>- Appropriate provision is made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP);</li> <li>- The formula for determining charges is written into the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by Executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013); and</li> </ul> <p>Amend Policy 5.10.8 to read "...will be used on the following in accordance with a research priority strategy to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area. The research priority strategy will be determined in conjunction with the Marlborough District Council, central government, science providers, industry, and the community."</p> <p>The MFA provisionally supports policy 5.10.8 if the above relief is granted.</p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 43    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.10        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Consequential amendments are made to the methods of implementation where needed, as a result of the submissions in relation to Issue 5J and Policies 5.10.1 - 5.10.8.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 44    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Issue 6A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A. The word "degradation" should be changed to "modification." This change should be reflected in the language throughout chapter 6, with consequential amendments where appropriate.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 45    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Objective 6.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend objective 6.1 – add new sentence "Establish the extent of acceptable modification."  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 46    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete Policy 6.1.1 and replace with "Natural character is natural, physical and biological processes, and how those processes are perceived"; or</p> <p>6.1.1(b) - delete "and landscapes (including seascapes)." This is a confusing use of terminology in the context of the natural character policies; and</p> <p>6.1.1(e) - amend to read "biological processes and biological patterns." (As compared with perceptual patterns); and</p> <p>Include in the discussion a record that the intent of this policy is to provide for a biological definition of natural character, overlaid with perceptions of biology.</p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 47    | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone       | 6.1.2.        | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the seaward extent of the coastal natural character mapping be reduced to snorkelling or recreational diving depth, and the maps amended to reflect this (or relief securing same outcome). This approach is supported by the commentary in Natural Character of the Marlborough Coast (Boffa Miskell, 2014) at Appendix 6, page 316.   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 48    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 6.1.3 to read "Determine the degree of natural character in both the coastal marine and coastal terrestrial components of the coastal environment."</p> <p>Natural character should only be assessed at the detailed level (level 5). The commentary should be amended to reflect this; and</p> <p>The Natural Character of the Marlborough Coast Study (Boffa Miskell, 2014), on which the MEP is supposedly based, needs to be redrafted.</p> <p>The definition of "outstanding" in the 2014 Study is incorrect; and</p> <p>Frequent use of the terms "unmodified" or "largely unmodified" is unwarranted.</p> |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 49    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete 6.1.4;</p> <p>Define "natural character" as per submission on 6.1.1; and</p> <p>Define "outstanding" as per submission on Vol 2, Chapter 25, Definitions. Outstanding is referred to throughout the MEP, but is not defined. Add definition of "Outstanding" to read "Obviously exceptional, notable, eminent."</p>  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 50    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new Policy 6.1.4 – "Identify the biological characteristics and the values inherent in the perception of those biological characteristics for each area mapped under Policy 6.1.3."  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 51    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.1  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 6.2.1 and replace with:<br><br>New Policy 6.2.1 - In the coastal environment:<br><br><b>"Avoid adverse effects of subdivision use, and development on the characteristics and qualities which make up the outstanding values of areas of outstanding natural character."</b><br><br>Where (a) does not apply, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on natural character. Methods which may achieve this include:<br><br>Ensuring the location, intensity, scale and form of subdivision and built development is appropriate having regard to natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines, headlands, peninsulas, dune systems, reefs and freshwater bodies and their margins; and<br><br>In areas of high natural character, minimising to the extent practicable indigenous vegetation clearance and modification (including earthworks / disturbance, structures, discharges and extraction of water) to natural wetlands, the beds of lakes, rivers and the coastal marine area and their margins; and<br><br>Encouraging any new subdivision and built development to consolidate within and around existing settlements or where natural character has already been compromised. |       |          |                     |              |        |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 52    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 6.2.2 and replace with:<br><br>New Policy 6.2.2 -<br><br><b>"Outside the coastal environment avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects (including cumulative adverse effects) of subdivision, use and development on the characteristics and qualities of the natural character of freshwater bodies. A method which may achieve this includes minimising indigenous vegetation clearance and modification (including earthworks / disturbance and structures) to natural wetlands, the beds of lakes, rivers and their margins."</b>  |       |          |                     |              |        |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 53    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 6.2.3 and replace with:<br><br>New Policy 6.2.3 -<br><br><b>"When considering whether there are any adverse effects on the characteristics and qualities of the natural character values in terms of 6.2.1(a), whether there are any significant adverse effects and the scale of any adverse effects in terms of 6.2.1(b) and 6.2.2, and in determining the character, intensity and scale of the adverse effects:<br/>(a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;<br/>Recognise that many areas contain ongoing use and development that:<br/>Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established<br/>May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;<br/>Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative adverse effects from minor or transitory adverse effects;<br/><br/>Have regard to any restoration and enhancement on the characteristics and qualities of that area of natural character;<br/><br/>Recognise it may be appropriate to offset significant residual adverse effects on natural character to result in no net loss and preferably a net natural character gain. A natural character offset should be developed in a manner consistent with the principles contained in Policy 6.2.6;<br/><br/>Recognise that where adverse effects cannot be practicably avoided, adverse effects could be minimised; and<br/><br/>Acknowledge that a future adverse effect may be avoided where the effect is temporary and is authorised for a finite term."</b> |       |          |                     |              |         |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 54    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.4 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 6.2.4.  |       |          |                     |              |         |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 55    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 6.2.3.  |       |          |                     |              |         |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 56    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.5. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                     |              |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 57    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.6. ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 58    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 6.2.7 to read: "Recognition should be given to the extent of cumulative effects from existing modifications in the environment."   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 59    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 6.2.9 to read: "...community groups, businesses, and others in their efforts..."   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 60    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.M.2         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new 6.M.2A – "Natural Character Assessment Method." New Appendix 2A should be included in the MEP, setting out a detailed method to encourage consistency of approach between landscape architects.   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 61    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.AER.1       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support, on the basis that natural character can be retained while allowing for existing activities, including existing aquaculture.  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 62    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the natural character policies to make it clear that "degree" refers to the magnitude of change, not the classification.  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 63    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Natural Character chapter 6 altogether. Reference to natural character can be made in the indigenous biodiversity chapter (biophysical elements) and in the landscape chapter (experiential elements).<br><br>Or consider whether all three topics (landscape, natural character and indigenous biodiversity) could be dealt with under one category "Natural Heritage," which is the approach taken in the proposed Bay of Plenty Regional Coastal Plan and the Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016). |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 64    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Objective 7.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove reference to "high amenity value." This approach is continued throughout chapter 7, so consequential amendments should also be made.   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 65    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Objective 7.2 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete reference to amenity.  |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 66    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 7.1.2 - by deleting the word "significant" and only using the visual catchment approach (ie. A bay, reach or valley approach); and Delete Map 2 from Vol 3, Appendix 1 and replace with a map that reflects the visual catchment approach. |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 67    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.1.1. ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 68    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new Policy 7.1.2A - " <b><i>Define the boundaries of a feature as a coherent land and sea type</i></b> "; and Map those features and describe their values in Vol 3, Appendix 1.  |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 69    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | 7.1.3(b) - delete reference to "high."; and Delete sub-paragraph 7.1.3(c).  |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 70    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete policy 7.1.5.<br><br>Also suggests that once you have defined the boundary of an ONL, you must go through the First Schedule RMA process in order to change the classification. Really means the opposite of what it says.                       |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 71    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.4 | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete reference to "high" amenity values;<br><br>Delete "where those values are more sensitive to change"; and<br><br>In relation to Policy 7.1.4(b), Appendix 1, volume 3 tends to describe or characterise. Very few values are identified. The entirety of Appendix 1 needs to be re-written, so that it is consistent with the definition in 7.1.1.  |       |          |             |              |        |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 72    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete policy 7.2.3; and<br><br>Delete Map 4 at Vol 3, Appendix 1, page 32.   |       |          |             |              |        |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 73    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 7.2.4.  |       |          |             |              |        |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 74    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete 7.2.1, 7.2.4 and 7.2.5 and replace with new policy under 7.25. (see submission point under policy 7.25).   |       |          |             |              |        |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 75    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete 7.2.1, 7.2.4 and 7.2.5 and replace with new policy under 7.25. (see submission point under policy 7.25).   |       |          |             |              |        |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 76    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete 7.2.1, 7.2.4 and 7.2.5 and replace with:<br><br><b>New Policy 7.2.5</b> - In the coastal environment:<br><br>Avoid adverse effects of subdivision use, and development on the characteristics and qualities which make up the outstanding values of areas of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes.<br><br>Where (a) does not apply, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on natural features and natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include: |       |          |             |              |        |

Ensuring the location, intensity, scale and form of subdivision and built development is appropriate having regard to natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines, headlands, peninsulas, dune systems, reefs and freshwater bodies and their margins; and

Encouraging any new subdivision and built development to consolidate within and around existing settlements or where natural landscape has already been compromised.

**New Policy 7.2.5A** - Outside the coastal environment avoid significant adverse effects and

avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects (including cumulative adverse effects) of subdivision, use and development on the characteristics and qualities of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:

(a) In outstanding natural landscapes, requiring that the location and intensity of subdivision, use and built development is appropriate having regard to, natural elements, landforms

and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines and freshwater bodies and their margins; and

(b) In outstanding natural features, requiring that the scale and intensity of earthworks and built development is appropriate taking into account the scale, form and vulnerability to modification of the feature.

**New Policy 7.2.5B** - When considering whether there are any adverse effects on the

characteristics and qualities of the natural features and landscape values in terms of 7.2.5(a), whether there are any significant adverse effects and the scale of any adverse effects in terms of 7.2.5(b) and 7.2.5A, and in determining the character, intensity and scale of the adverse effects:

(a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;

(b) Recognise that many areas contain on-going use and development that:

(i) Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have

subsequently been lawfully established

(ii) May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;

Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative adverse effects from minor or transitory adverse effects;

Have regard to any restoration and enhancement of the characteristics and qualities of that area of natural features and/or natural landscape;

Recognise it may be appropriate to offset significant residual adverse effects on a landscape or feature to result in no net loss and preferably a net landscape gain;

Recognise that where adverse effects cannot be practicably avoided, adverse effects could be minimised; and

Acknowledge that a future adverse effect may be avoided where the effect is temporary and is authorised for a finite term.

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 77    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy 7.2.6 by adding 7.2.6(d) - "aquaculture activities where the method and effects of farming are reversible."   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 78    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 7.2.7(a)(ii) by adding after "to the foreshore" "-", excluding barges used for aquaculture." (NB. These are not covered by the workers' accommodation in the definition of Dwelling, as that applies only to land-based farming); and<br>Remove reference to amenity. |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 79    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reference to amenity is deleted; and<br>Specific recognition is given to aquaculture in this context, as an existing primary production activity.  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 80    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 7.2.9.   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 81    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.10. ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 82    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.12 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 7.2.12.  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 83    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | All reference to amenity should be removed from Chapter 7.   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 84    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new 7.M.3A – "Landscape Assessment Method." New Appendix 1A should be included in the MEP at volume 3, setting out a detailed method to encourage consistency of approach between landscape architects.  |       |          |             |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 85    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | 7.AER.1       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policies, issues and objectives should be consistent with this intended outcome; and<br>Recognition that landscape is not degraded by allowing for the continuation of existing activities, such as aquaculture.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 86    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | 7.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The s 32 analysis should be redone to take account of re-consenting costs, using publicly available information where possible. The NZIER reports commissioned by the MFA should be referenced. Where existing marine farms are at risk, the cost of loss of farming space should be acknowledged. |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 87    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Issue 8A      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The MEP should better address the concepts of "avoid" and risk.  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 88    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend objective 8.1 to read:<br>"Marlborough's remaining areas of significant indigenous biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments are protected."  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 89    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain objective 8.2, provided other relief sought in respect of chapter 8 is granted. ( <i>Inferred</i> )   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 90    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Adopt approach taken in the proposed Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016) at Appendix 5, pages 175 - 178.  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 91    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The mapped sites in Volume 4 do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria.<br>Adopt approach taken in the proposed Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016) at Appendix 5, pages 175 - 178.  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 92    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.3  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>(a) Rewrite Policy 8.1.3 to read</p> <p>"Recognise that increased information is an intrinsic good. Where there is uncertainty and real risk of a significant adverse effect, use adaptive management techniques to address that risk;" and</p> <p>(b) Add to the commentary the importance of Council partnering with industry to increase knowledge.</p> |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 93    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy 8.2.1 should be amended to refer to "resource users", not simply landowners.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 94    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 8.2.2 to refer to "resource users", in addition to landowners;</p> <p>Add "encourage and promote the protection, restoration and re-establishment of areas of indigenous biodiversity;" and</p> <p>As a result: delete policies 8.2.10, 8.2.11 and 8.2.12.</p>  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 95    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete Policy 8.2.3; or</p> <p>Amend to expressly limit this policy to the terrestrial environment.</p>  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 96    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add new Policy 8.2.3A - "Work with marine resource users and develop partnerships to protect, maintain and restore significant marine habitats."</p> <p>Note that this will require a consequential addition to 8.M.11 Partnership/Liaison method of implementation.</p>   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 97    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.11       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add new Policy 8.2.3A - "Work with marine resource users and develop partnerships to protect, maintain and restore significant marine habitats."</p> <p>Note that this will require a consequential addition to 8.M.11 Partnership/Liaison method of implementation.</p>   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 98    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.5 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 8.2.5; or<br>Amend to expressly limit the policy to the terrestrial environment.                   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 99    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to say "will be developed and maintained in partnership with MPI and affected industries and communities." |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 100   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.8  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 8.2.8.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 101   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 8.2.9.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 102   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.10 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Policy content can be incorporated into 8.2.2 (as per the suggested amendment) and policy 8.2.10 can be deleted. |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 103   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.11 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Policy content can be incorporated into 8.2.2 (as per the suggested amendment) and policy 8.2.11 can be deleted. |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 104   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.12 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 8.2.12. Address content in 8.2.2.  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 105   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.1  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete 8.3.1 and replace with:<br><br>New Policy 8.3.1 -<br><br><b><i>"In the coastal environment, avoid adverse effects, and outside the coastal environment avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of subdivision, use and development so they are no more than minor on:<br/> Indigenous taxa that are listed as threatened or at risk in the New Zealand Threat Classification System lists;<br/> Areas of indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna, that are significant using the assessment criteria in Appendix 3; and<br/> Areas set aside for full or partial protection of indigenous biodiversity under other legislation."</i></b> |       |          |                           |              |        |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 106   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|--------|---------|-----------|------|
| Decision Requested | Delete 8.3.2 and replace with:  |       |        |         |           |      |
|                    | <p><b>New Policy 8.3.2</b> - In the coastal environment, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy, or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on:</p> <p>(a) Areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation;</p> <p>(b) Habitats of indigenous species that are important for recreational, commercial, traditional or cultural purposes; and</p> <p>(c) Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including estuaries, lagoons, coastal wetlands, intertidal zones, rocky reef systems, coastal and headwater streams, floodplains, margins of the coastal marine area and freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas and saltmarsh.</p> <p><b>New Policy 8.3.2A</b> - Outside the coastal environment and where Policy 8.3.1 does not apply, avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of subdivision, use and development so they are not significant on any of the following:</p> <p>(a) Areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation;</p> <p>(b) Habitats of indigenous species that are important for recreational, commercial, traditional or cultural purposes; and</p> <p>(c) Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including wetlands, headwater streams, floodplains and margins of freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas.</p> <p><b>New Policy 8.3.2B</b> - For the purposes of Policies 8.3.1, 8.3.2 and 8.3.2A, when considering whether there are any adverse effects and/or any significant adverse effects:</p> <p>(a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;</p> <p>(b) Recognise that many areas contain on-going use and development that:</p> <p>Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established. May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;</p> <p>(c) Recognise that where the effects are or may be irreversible, then they are likely to be more than minor;</p> <p>(d) Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative effects from minor or transitory effects;</p> <p>(e) Have regard to any restoration and enhancement of the areas and species listed in Policies 8.3.1 and 8.3.2; and</p> <p>(f) Have regard to any technical or operational requirements.</p> <p><b>New Policy 8.3.2C</b> - For the purpose of Policy 8.3.2A, if adverse effects cannot be reasonably avoided, remedied or mitigated then it may be appropriate to consider the next steps in the mitigation hierarchy i.e. biodiversity offsetting, followed by environmental biodiversity compensation, as set out in Policy 8.3.8.</p> |       |        |         |           |      |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 107   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 8.3.5.  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 108   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.8 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete Policy 8.3.8 and replace with the following:</p> <p>Where a biodiversity offset is proposed, the following criteria will apply [taken from Chapter M, Appendix 8 proposed AUP Independent Hearing Panel's Recommendations]:</p> <p>Restoration, enhancement and protection actions will only be considered a biodiversity offset where it is used to offset the significant residual effects of activities after the adverse effects have been avoided, remedied or mitigated.</p> <p>Restoration, enhancement and protection actions undertaken as a biodiversity offset are demonstrably additional to what otherwise would occur, including that they are additional to any avoidance, remediation or mitigation undertaken in relation to the adverse effects of the activity.</p> <p>Offset actions should be undertaken close to the location of development, where this will result in the best ecological outcome.</p> <p>The values to be lost through the activity to which the offset applies are counterbalanced by the proposed offsetting activity, which is at least commensurate with the adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity. Where possible the overall result should be no net loss, and preferably a net gain in ecological values.</p> <p>The offset is applied so that the ecological values being achieved through the offset are the same or similar to those being lost.</p> <p><b>Note:</b> Offsetting is in addition to avoidance through restoration and enhancement. This policy should be read in conjunction with the New Zealand Government Guidance on Good Practice Biodiversity Offsetting in New Zealand, New Zealand Government et al, August 2014 (or any successor document).</p> |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 109   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | This chapter has no annotation as to whether the objectives and policies are part of the regional policy statement, coastal plan, regional plan or district plan.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 110   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.           | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy –<br><b><i>"Risk of an effect occurring will be considered appropriate if one or a combination of the following criteria can be met:?"</i></b><br><b><i>The effects of an activity are likely to be reversible;</i></b><br><b><i>Adverse effects are likely to be reversible before they reach a significant level;</i></b><br><b><i>The normal state of the environment can be adequately defined;</i></b><br><b><i>The development could occur on a staged basis; and/or</i></b><br><b><i>The temporal and spacial scale does not impact on the full range of the species or relevant habitat or area."</i></b> |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 111   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy 9.1.5 should specifically state that the existing aquaculture industry does not impede public access to and along the coast. Support Policy 9.1.13, so long as the proposed change to 9.1.5 is made.  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 112   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 9.1.7 to read "...and launching ramps (for example, at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay) that make a significant contribution..."   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 113   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Objective 9.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The Maritime New Zealand (MNZ) Guidelines for Aquaculture Management Areas and Marine Farms (December 2005) are outdated and not well suited to the Marlborough Sounds environment.<br><br>Insert new Policy 9.1.14A to state that the 2005 MNZ Guidelines do not need to be considered in the Marlborough Sounds context.   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 114   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | An additional sub-section (h) should be added to policy 9.2.1 to allow access to and along the coastal marine area to be restricted to manage threats to biosecurity.  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 115   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.2.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 9.2.2. Subsection (a) should be replaced with "the constraint is reasonable."   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 116   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.3.2  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Policy 9.3.2(d) should be amended to read "recognising the value of open space in the coastal marine area..."   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 117   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13H       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 13H. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 118   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13J       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 13J. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 119   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13K       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | (In light of submission to rezone Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay, and Okiwi Bay): Amend Issue 13K to read "...and maintenance of existing ports at Picton, Havelock, Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay, port landing areas at Okiwi Bay and existing marinas at Picton, Waikawa and Havelock." |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 120   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this provision.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 121   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.2. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 122   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.10 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Objective 13.10 and associated policies should expressly exclude aquaculture.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 123   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.14 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.14. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 124   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.15 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.15. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 125   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.17 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.17. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 126   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.18 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete the word "minimises" and replace with "takes reasonable steps to minimise."   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 127   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete this provision.<br><br>Introduces a new term at 13.1.1(c) - "significant marine biodiversity value".<br><br>The commentary on avoidance is inconsistent with the discussion in other policies, such as page 2-13, 7.2.5 and 8.3.1.  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 128   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete this provision.   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 129   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete 13.2.1 in its entirety (duplication); or 13.2.1(a) - either:<br><br>Delete entire sub-paragraph; or<br><br>Delete "the characteristics and qualities that contribute to",<br>or substitute "values" for reference to "characteristics and qualities."; and<br>13.2.1(g) remove reference to "individual and". |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 130   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.2. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 131   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.3   | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.2.3(b) to read "will generally be granted for a minimum period of 20 years."  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 132   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Put a full-stop after "in a particular location". Delete the rest of the Policy.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 133   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 13.2.5.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 134   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.6  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | In conjunction with amendment suggested to policy 13.2.4, delete this policy. Single reference needed to definition of amenity in the RMA.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 135   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new sub-section (g) "may give rise to potential reverse sensitivity issues".  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 136   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add "excluding Tory Channel and East Bay" (NB. Delete "including Tory Channel").  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 137   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new Policy 13.5.10 -<br><br><b><i>"Protect aquaculture from reserve sensitivity effects arising from residential activity and subdivision for residential purposes in the Coastal Environment."</i></b> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 138   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.11        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new Method of Implementation 13.M.11A - Add a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 139   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new Method of Implementation 13.M.17A - Create a new marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 140   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.7.2   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Policy 13.7.2 should be amended to expressly record that barges used in aquaculture are excluded from the ambit of the policy.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 141   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Replace the word "necessary" in policy 13.10.3 with "reasonable."   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 142   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.6  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete "the landscape and".   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 143   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Sub-section (c) should read "where consent to authorise an existing structure is refused or any appeals have been exhausted."   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 144   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | New sub-section (d) should be added "whether the new, altered or extended jetty may give rise to potential reverse sensitivity issues, and how that could be avoided."          |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 145   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.20 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | New sub-section (d) should be added "whether the new or extended boatshed and/or slipway may give rise to potential reverse sensitivity issues, and how that could be avoided." |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 146   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.12.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy 13.12.1(a) to read "where the dredged or other material is derived from the land, no reasonable and practicable alternatives are available on land."               |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 147   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.12.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 13.12.2.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 148   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.4. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 149   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the word "significant" before "adverse effect."  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 150   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The 'National Transportation Route" should not apply beyond the headlands of each of the side bays in the Sounds. It should be limited to the main channels.<br><br>The National Transportation Route overlay in volume 4 MEP should be redrafted to exclude the side bays.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 151   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.14.3. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 152   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to read "of ships transiting this route are appropriately managed."  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 153   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | 13.15.2(a) - delete "unimpeded by structures";<br><br>13.15.2(b) - commercial shipping routes is not a clear definition. "Avoiding" should be changed to "appropriately managing";<br><br>13.15.2(c) - "avoiding" should be changed to "appropriately managing"; and<br><br>13.15.2(d) - Amend to read "are not significantly affected by activities or structures..." |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 154   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.3 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.15.3. ( <i>Inferred</i> )   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 155   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or<br><br>Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules). |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 156   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or<br><br>Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules). |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 157   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or<br><br>Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules). |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 158   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or<br><br>Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules). |       |          |                                   |                |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 159   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 160   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 161   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 162   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 163   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 164   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.10 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or<br><br>Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules). |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 165   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or<br><br>Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules). |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 166   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.18.1 to read - "Ensure any substantial change to the intensity, character..." .  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 167   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.18.2 to read "do not inappropriately affect water, air or soil quality...".  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 168   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.3. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 169   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.18.4 to read "Inappropriate environmental effects from activities...".   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 170   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.5. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 171   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.18.7  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 13.18.7.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 172   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | 13.             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Support the comment that "This chapter does not contain provisions managing marine farming." However, this should be reworded to say "This chapter does not apply to marine farming or structures and activities associated with marine farming."<br><br>In reality, we cannot have a set of policies managing non-marine farming activities which are inconsistent with the marine farming provisions.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 173   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain issue 15A. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 174   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1e | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Add new Policy 15.1.19A - "Avoid the discharge of human sewage to land where it may contaminate coastal water within the marine farm protection overlay, or areas used for fishing or shellfish gathering";<br><br>Add new Policy 15.1.19B – "Require any accidental discharge to be notified to the Marlborough District Council immediately. The Marlborough District Council will then advise potential affected persons"; and<br><br>Add new Method of Implementation 15.M.15A - Create a new marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm. |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 175   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.5   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.3.5(a) to read "into air from industrial, trade or primary production premises or industrial, trade or primary production processes that have...".  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 176   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.3   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.4.3. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 177   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.4   | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.4.4. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 178   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Chapter 15 Resource Quality. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 179   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                      | Objective 19.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend objective 19.1 to read "...effects on the environment arising from climate change and ocean acidification."          |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 180   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                      | Policy 19.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 19.1.2 to read "...potential effects of climate change and ocean acidification..."                            |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 181   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                      | Policy 19.1.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 19.1.3 to read "Enable primary industries to adapt to the effects of climate change and ocean acidification." |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 182   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                      | 19.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend chapter 19 title to read "Climate Change and Ocean Acidification."   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 183   | All      | All                                    |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Oppose the title "Marlborough Environment Plan." Change the title to "The Sustainable Management Plan for Marlborough."    |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 184   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.16.4.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.16.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 185   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.16.5.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.16.5. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 186   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.17.4.        | Support         |

| Sub No                              | Submitter   | Point                | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |                 |                  |                   |                    |                     |  |                                     |                 |                      |                                   |               |                  |              |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Decision Requested                  | Retain Rule 2.17.4. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |                      |          |                 |           |                 |                 |                  |                   |                    |                     |  |                                     |                 |                      |                                   |               |                  |              |  |  |
| <b>401</b>                          | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 187                  | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.34.10.  | Support in Part |                 |                  |                   |                    |                     |  |                                     |                 |                      |                                   |               |                  |              |  |  |
| Decision Requested                  | Amend rule 2.34.10 to read "Sign required for, or established by statute, rule, regulation or resource consent."  |                      |          |                 |           |                 |                 |                  |                   |                    |                     |  |                                     |                 |                      |                                   |               |                  |              |  |  |
| <b>401</b>                          | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 188                  | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone    | 13.1.     | Support         |                 |                  |                   |                    |                     |  |                                     |                 |                      |                                   |               |                  |              |  |  |
| Decision Requested                  | Retain Rules in Section 13.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |                      |          |                 |           |                 |                 |                  |                   |                    |                     |  |                                     |                 |                      |                                   |               |                  |              |  |  |
| <b>401</b>                          | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 189                  | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone    | 13.2.3.   | Support in Part |                 |                  |                   |                    |                     |  |                                     |                 |                      |                                   |               |                  |              |  |  |
| Decision Requested                  | <p>Amend standard 13.2.3.1 to read:</p> <p>"For port operations in Picton and Shakespeare Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th><b>Location</b></th> <th><b>Day-night</b></th> <th><b>Night-time</b></th> </tr> <tr> <th><b>(Long term)</b></th> <th><b>(Short term)</b></th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>At any point on land at, or beyond,</td> <td>65 Ldn (5 days)</td> <td>60 dB LAeq (9 hours)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>the Inner Noise Control Boundary.</td> <td>68 Ldn (1day)</td> <td>65 LAeq (15 min)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>85 dB LAFMax</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> |                      |          |                 |           |                 | <b>Location</b> | <b>Day-night</b> | <b>Night-time</b> | <b>(Long term)</b> | <b>(Short term)</b> |  | At any point on land at, or beyond, | 65 Ldn (5 days) | 60 dB LAeq (9 hours) | the Inner Noise Control Boundary. | 68 Ldn (1day) | 65 LAeq (15 min) | 85 dB LAFMax |  |  |
| <b>Location</b>                     | <b>Day-night</b>  | <b>Night-time</b>    |          |                 |           |                 |                 |                  |                   |                    |                     |  |                                     |                 |                      |                                   |               |                  |              |  |  |
| <b>(Long term)</b>                  | <b>(Short term)</b>   |                      |          |                 |           |                 |                 |                  |                   |                    |                     |  |                                     |                 |                      |                                   |               |                  |              |  |  |
| At any point on land at, or beyond, | 65 Ldn (5 days)   | 60 dB LAeq (9 hours) |          |                 |           |                 |                 |                  |                   |                    |                     |  |                                     |                 |                      |                                   |               |                  |              |  |  |
| the Inner Noise Control Boundary.   | 68 Ldn (1day)   | 65 LAeq (15 min)     |          |                 |           |                 |                 |                  |                   |                    |                     |  |                                     |                 |                      |                                   |               |                  |              |  |  |
| 85 dB LAFMax                        |   |                      |          |                 |           |                 |                 |                  |                   |                    |                     |  |                                     |                 |                      |                                   |               |                  |              |  |  |
| <b>401</b>                          | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 190                  | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone    | 13.2.3.2. | Support in Part |                 |                  |                   |                    |                     |  |                                     |                 |                      |                                   |               |                  |              |  |  |

| Sub No   | Submitter  | Point                                    | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision | Type            |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
|--|--|--|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|
| Decision Requested   | <p>Amend standard 13.2.3.2 to include the following noise limits:</p> <p>"For port operations in Havelock, Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Location</th> <th>Day-night<br/>(Long term)</th> <th>Night-time<br/>(Short term)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>At any point on land at, or beyond,<br/>the Outer Noise Control Boundary.</td> <td>55 Ldn (5 days)<br/>58 Ldn (1day)</td> <td>50 dB LAeq (9 hours)<br/>55 LAeq (15 min)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>75 dB LAFMax"; and</p> <p>Consequential changes to the maps in Volume 4 to create a Noise Control Boundary for Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay.</p> |  |          |              |           |                 | Location | Day-night<br>(Long term) | Night-time<br>(Short term) | At any point on land at, or beyond,<br>the Outer Noise Control Boundary. | 55 Ldn (5 days)<br>58 Ldn (1day) | 50 dB LAeq (9 hours)<br>55 LAeq (15 min) |
| Location   | Day-night<br>(Long term)   | Night-time<br>(Short term)               |          |              |           |                 |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| At any point on land at, or beyond,<br>the Outer Noise Control Boundary. | 55 Ldn (5 days)<br>58 Ldn (1day)   | 50 dB LAeq (9 hours)<br>55 LAeq (15 min) |          |              |           |                 |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| <b>401</b>   | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 191                                      | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.4.   | Support in Part |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| Decision Requested   | <p>Consequential changes will be necessary if the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay are rezoned as Port Zone.</p> <p>Amend standard 13.2.4.1 to read "...at the port in Picton, Shakespeare Bay, Havelock, Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay are adequately insulated from port noise."</p>  |  |          |              |           |                 |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| <b>401</b>   | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 192                                      | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.21.  | Support in Part |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| Decision Requested   | <p>Amend standard 13.3.21 to read - "Oil spill dispersants must be used by a person described by Section 467 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994 or a person authorised by the Harbour Master."</p>   |  |          |              |           |                 |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| <b>401</b>   | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 193                                      | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.4.   | Support in Part |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| Decision Requested   | <p>Amend standard 13.3.4.2 to read "All anti-foul or bio-foul waste, coating waste or other contaminant removed must be captured upon removal. The waste must be stored for disposal in a covered container located in a roofed area."</p>   |  |          |              |           |                 |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| <b>401</b>   | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 194                                      | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.4.2. | Support in Part |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| Decision Requested   | <p>Amend standard 13.3.4.2 to read "All anti-foul or bio-foul waste, coating waste or other contaminant removed must be captured upon removal. The waste must be stored for disposal in a covered container located in a roofed area."</p>   |  |          |              |           |                 |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| <b>401</b>   | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 195                                      | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.10.  | Support in Part |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Standard 13.3.10 should be amended:</p> <p>So that it is clear that it only applies to monitoring equipment in the coastal marine area;</p> <p>To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (13.3.10.1);</p> <p>To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (13.3.10.2); and</p> <p>To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (13.3.10.5).</p> |       |          |              |            |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 196   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.10.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Standard 13.3.10 should be amended:</p> <p>So that it is clear that it only applies to monitoring equipment in the coastal marine area;</p> <p>To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (13.3.10.1);</p> <p>To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (13.3.10.2); and</p> <p>To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (13.3.10.5).</p> |       |          |              |            |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 197   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.10.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Standard 13.3.10 should be amended:</p> <p>So that it is clear that it only applies to monitoring equipment in the coastal marine area;</p> <p>To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (13.3.10.1);</p> <p>To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (13.3.10.2); and</p> <p>To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (13.3.10.5).</p> |       |          |              |            |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 198   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.4.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain rule 13.4.4. (<i>Inferred</i>)</p>  |       |          |              |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 199   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.6.4.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 13.6.4 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 200   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.6.5.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 13.6.5 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 201   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.6.6.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 13.6.6. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 202   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.6.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rules in 13.6 Prohibited Activities. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 203   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.1.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 14.1 Permitted activities. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 204   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.2.3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend standard 14.2.3.1 to read:</p> <p>"An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at or within the notional boundary of dwellings as they exist at 9 June 2016 outside the Port Landing Area Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <p>7.00 am to 10.00 pm      55 dB LAeq</p> <p>10.00 pm to 7.00 am      45 dB LAeq      75 dB LAFmax"</p> |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 205   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.1.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standard 14.3.1.2 to read - "There must be no more than a minor increase in the height, size or scale of the building or structure being replaced.  |       |          |                           |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 206   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.5.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Standard 14.3.5. should be amended:<br>To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (14.3.5.1).  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 207   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.5.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Standard 14.3.5. should be amended:<br>To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (14.3.5.2).  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 208   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.5.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Standard 14.3.5. should be amended:<br>To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (14.3.5.5). |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 209   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.11.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standard 14.3.11.1 to read - "Oil spill dispersants must be used by a person described by Section 467 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994 or a person authorised by the Harbour Master."                                       |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 210   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.5.4.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 14.5.4 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 211   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.5.5.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 14.5.5 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 212   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.5.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 14.5 - Prohibited activities. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 213   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.1.     | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 15.1 - Permitted Activities. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                           |           |        |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 214   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.1.21.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend 15.1.21 to read "Use of a marine recreation group clubroom."  |       |          |                           |           |        |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 215   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.2.3.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend 15.2.3.1 to read:<br>"An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of the Marina Zone does not exceed the following limits:<br>7.00 am to 10.00 pm    60 dB LAeq<br>10.00 pm to 7.00 am    45 dB LAeq    75 dB LAFmax"; |       |          |                           |           |        |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 216   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.2.3.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend 15.2.3.2 to include the following noise limits:<br>7.00 am to 10.00 pm    55 dB LAeq<br>10.00 pm to 7.00 am    45 dB LAeq    75 dB LAFmax   |       |          |                           |           |        |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 217   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.3.9.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend standard 15.3.9:<br>To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (15.3.9.1);   |       |          |                           |           |        |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 218   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.3.9.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend standard 15.3.9:<br>To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (15.3.9.2);   |       |          |                           |           |        |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 219   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.3.9.5. | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend standard 15.3.9:<br>To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (15.3.9.5). |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 220   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.3.19.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standard 15.3.19.1 to read - "Oil spill dispersants must be used by a person described by Section 467 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994 or a person authorised by the Harbour Master."                          |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 221   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.7.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 15.7 - Prohibited activities. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 222   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.7.4.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 15.7.4 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 223   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.7.5.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 15.7.5 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 224   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.1 - Permitted activities. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                        |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 225   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.     | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>The Marlborough Sounds used to contain extensive natural mussel beds. Attempts to restore those mussel beds in appropriate areas is consistent with NZCPS policy 14.</p> <p>Add new Permitted Activity 16.1.24 - "Restoration of shellfish reefs in the Marlborough Sounds and associated activities in appropriate areas"; and</p> <p>Consequential changes should be made to the Permitted Activity Standards at 16.2, allowing for restoration to be achieved using a variety of techniques, including, but not limited to, depositing natural fibre substrate, placing waste shell or old mooring blocks on the seabed, or undertaking other activities to kick start reef development.</p> |       |          |                        |           |         |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 226   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2.1.4. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 16.2.1.4 to read "Take practical steps to minimise or eliminate contaminants released from equipment being used for the activity." This recognises that a motor may be needed to drive equipment, which will run off petrol or diesel.</p>  |       |          |                        |           |         |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 227   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2.3.1. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend standard 16.2.3.1 to read:</p> <p>"An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at or within the notional boundary of any dwelling existing at 9 June 2016 does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <p>7.00 am to 10.00 pm    50 dB LAeq</p> <p>10.00 pm to 7.00 am    40 dB LAeq    75 dB LAFmax"</p>   |       |          |                        |           |         |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 228   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2.3.2. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add new 16.2.3.2(d) "noise ordinarily generated by commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting ships."</p>   |       |          |                        |           |         |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 229   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.2.1. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>It is unclear whether the policy is intended to apply to ships and barges used for the purpose of aquaculture. "Ship" is defined in the MEP as having the same meaning as in s 2 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994. The case law suggests that a barge could be captured by that definition.</p> <p>Amend rule 16.3.2.1, so it expressly does not apply to any ship or barge used in aquaculture.</p>  |       |          |                        |           |         |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 230   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.9.   | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 16.3.9; or<br>Policy 16.3.9 should be amended:<br>To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 7 months in any calendar year (16.3.9.1); and<br>To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (16.3.9.2); and<br>To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (16.3.9.5). |       |          |                        |            |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 231   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.9.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 16.3.9; or<br>Policy 16.3.9 should be amended:<br>To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 7 months in any calendar year (16.3.9.1).  |       |          |                        |            |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 232   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.9.2.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 16.3.9; or<br>Policy 16.3.9 should be amended:<br>To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (16.3.9.2).  |       |          |                        |            |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 233   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.9.5.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 16.3.9; or<br>Policy 16.3.9 should be amended:<br>To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (16.3.9.5).   |       |          |                        |            |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 234   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.16.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Standard 16.3.16.1 should be amended so that it does not apply to the take and use of coastal water for the ordinary operation of vessels.   |       |          |                        |            |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 235   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.6.    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Minor and spot removal of bio-fouling (including marine pests) from a ship, that inadvertently removes antifouling, should be permitted. Maintenance and applications of antifouling below MHWS should be prohibited, other than minor works and keel strip.<br><br>Amend rule 16.7.6 accordingly and add a new permitted activity rule to 16.1 to secure this relief. |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 236   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.1.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 16.7.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 237   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.7.2. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 238   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.7.3. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 239   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.4.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.7.4. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 240   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions         | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of "Non-consumptive uses" to read "...For example, fishing, swimming and cooling of vessels."   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 241   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions         | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add definition of "Primary Production" to read:<br><br>"All forms of agriculture, horticulture, silviculture and aquaculture, whether on land or on sea, and includes the processing, preparation for market and sale of those products."  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 242   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions         | 25.       | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain chapter 25 - definitions. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 243   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add definition of "Outstanding" to read: "Obviously exceptional, notable, eminent."   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 244   | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The entirety of Appendix 1 needs to be re-written, so that it is consistent with the definition in Policy 7.1.1 and the requirement in Policy 7.1.4;<br>This potential methodological flaw has resulted in incorrect mapping, meaning the landscape overlay maps should be redrafted accordingly; and<br>Where existing marine farms are present, there should be an express statement that those farms do not affect landscape values.                         |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 245   | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The entirety of Appendix 2 needs to be re-written, so that it is consistent with the definition in Policy 6.1.1 and the requirement in Policy 6.1.4;<br>This potential methodological flaw has resulted in incorrect mapping, meaning the coastal natural character overlay maps should be redrafted accordingly; and<br>Where existing marine farms are present, there should be an express statement that those farms do not affect natural character values. |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 246   | Volume 3 | Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance       |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | A note should be added at the beginning of Appendix 3 stating "These criteria are intended to be applied by suitably qualified and experienced ecologists."   |       |          |   |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 247   | Volume 3 | Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance                                |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete Appendix 3 and replace with approach taken in the proposed Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016) at Appendix 5, pages 175 – 178; The MEP should clearly distinguish between areas of national significance and areas of regional significance; and</p> <p>A cascading approach to managing effects on these different areas should be included in the Chapter 8 Policies, consistent with Policy 11 of the NZCPS, rather than a straight avoidance approach (this is reflected in the submissions in respect of the Policies in Chapter 8).</p> |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 248   | Volume 3 | Appendix 4 Determining Significant Adverse Effects                               |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete Appendix 4; or</p> <p>Use appropriate quantitative measure to define significance.</p>   |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 249   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |                             | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Support Water Quality Classification of SG for all coastal water in respect of the value of food gathering (page 5-17).</p> <p>Support the interpretation of the temperature, dissolved oxygen and suitability of fish for human consumption standards/parameters for SG classification on pages 5-21 and 5-22.</p>   |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 250   | Volume 3 | Appendix 7 Scheme Plan Requirements  |                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add new item 24 under "Other Relevant Site Details" - "The location of any relevant marine farm protection overlay"; and</p> <p>Under heading "Sewerage" add - "Any subdivision of land within the marine farm protection overlay must assess the potential for contamination of coastal water."</p>  |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 251   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><i>Coastal Natural Character (Maps 1-5)</i></p> <p>The 2014 Natural Character of the Marlborough Coast study does not separate characteristics from values. It uses a different set of definitions than that contained in the MEP at Policy 6.1.1. Adoption of a different methodology means that the maps contained in the MEP (derived from the 2014 Study) are inconsistent with the policy approach in the MEP. The Coastal Natural Character maps and/or the policies in the MEP need to be redrafted accordingly.</p>   |       |          |  |                             |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 252          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Submit that there is insufficient justification for the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character ratings extending so far offshore into Cook Strait.</p> <p>Redraft the Coastal Natural Character maps to show a reduction in the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character areas.</p> |              |               |                |                             |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 253          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Submit that there is insufficient justification for the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character ratings extending so far offshore into Cook Strait.</p> <p>Redraft the Coastal Natural Character maps to show a reduction in the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character areas.</p> |              |               |                |                             |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 254          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Submit that there is insufficient justification for the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character ratings extending so far offshore into Cook Strait.</p> <p>Redraft the Coastal Natural Character maps to show a reduction in the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character areas.</p> |              |               |                |                             |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 255          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Submit that there is insufficient justification for the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character ratings extending so far offshore into Cook Strait.</p> <p>Redraft the Coastal Natural Character maps to show a reduction in the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character areas.</p> |              |               |                |                             |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 256          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Submit that there is insufficient justification for the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character ratings extending so far offshore into Cook Strait.</p> <p>Redraft the Coastal Natural Character maps to show a reduction in the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character areas.</p> |              |               |                |                             |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 257          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Support the natural character mapping in respect of Waihinau Bay.</p> <p>Oppose the mapping of the waters of Fitzroy Bay as high natural character and the surrounding land as very high natural character.</p> <p>Amend the natural character mapping in those locations where the mapping is opposed; or</p> <p>If Fitzroy Bay rating is correct, the MEP should expressly recognise that the presence of marine farming does not affect the values that lead to that classification.</p>   |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 258   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Support the mapping of the seascape in Beatrix Bay and Anakoha Bay has not having high, very high or outstanding natural character.</p> <p>Oppose the mapping of the land in Beatrix Bay and the western headland of Anakoha Bay as having high natural character.</p> <p>Amend the natural character mapping in those locations where the mapping is opposed; or</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.</p>  |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 259   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The MFA notes that Natural Character Map 3 does not in correspond with the Natural Character Index. Squally Cove is not included in the map.</p> <p>Support the natural character mapping at the head of Crail Bay.</p> <p>Oppose the mapping of the land on the southern side of Whakitenga Bay has having high natural character (according to the online overlay map).</p> <p>Amend the natural character mapping in those locations where the mapping is opposed; or</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.</p> |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 260   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Support the natural character mapping in respect of Clova Bay and Whangatoetoe Bay, Port Underwood.</p> <p>Oppose the mapping of the headlands between Beatrix Bay and Waimaru Bay as having high natural character.</p> <p>Amend the natural character mapping in those locations where the mapping is opposed; or</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.</p> |       |          |              |              |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 261   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Landscape Overlay Maps 1-11 (inferred)</p> <p>The approach in Appendix 1 is focused on descriptions and characterisation, rather than "values". Very few values are identified.</p> <p>The entirety of Appendix 1 needs to be re-written, so that it is consistent with the definition in Policy 7.1.1. This potential methodological flaw has resulted in incorrect mapping. The landscape maps should be amended accordingly.</p>                      |       |          |              |              |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 262   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Landscape Maps 1-11 (inferred)</p> <p>Submit that there is insufficient justification for the seaward extent of the outstanding natural landscape (ONL) extending so far offshore into Cook Strait.</p> <p>Seek a reduction in the seaward extent of the ONL.</p>  |       |          |              |              |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 263   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Oppose the mapping of Fitzroy Bay and half of Waihinau Bay as areas of outstanding natural landscape.</p> <p>Amend the mapping of the ONL; or</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.</p>   |       |          |              |              |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 264   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Oppose the mapping of Fitzroy Bay and the headland between Beatrix Bay and Waimaru Bay as areas of outstanding natural landscape.</p> <p>Amend the mapping of the ONL at the locations where it is opposed; or</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.</p>  |       |          |              |              |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 265   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                               | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Support the mapping of Anakoha Bay, inner Beatrix Bay and Clova Bay.</p> <p>Oppose the mapping of the headland between Beatrix Bay and Waimaru Bay, and Whangatoetoe Bay (Port Underwood) as areas of outstanding natural landscape.</p> <p>Amend the mapping of the ONL at the locations where that is opposed; or</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.</p>  |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 266   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p> |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 267   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p> |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 268   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 3 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                               | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p> |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 269   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p> |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 270   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p> |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 271   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 6 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                               | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p> |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 272   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p> |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 273   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p> |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 274   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                                | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|--|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p> |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 275   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p> |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 276   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p> |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 277   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 12 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                                | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|--|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p> |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 278   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p> |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 279   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 15 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p> |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 280   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 15 | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                                | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|--|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p>   |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 281   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 16 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p>   |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 282   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Davidson's mapped sites (including whales and dolphins) should not be equated with Policy 11(a) sites, because the significant sites work did not adopt the NZCPS Policy 11(a) criteria. The assessment of whether the 2011 significant sites fall within Policy 11(a) or 11(b) criteria in the NZCPS is yet to be undertaken.</p> <p>Whales have rarely been observed travelling through Tory Channel. The Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report refers to the migratory route for whales being in Cook Strait, rather than Tory Channel proper. The MFA encourages its members to have appropriate management plans in place in respect of marine mammals.</p> <p>The map should be redrafted to be consistent with the text of the Davidson 2011 Significant Marine Sites report, or it should be expressly recognised that marine farms do not have an adverse effect on whales.</p> |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 283   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                               | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>The Marine Mammal (Dolphin) map is based on the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report. The authors of that report were asked to identify regionally, rather than nationally significant sites. The 2011 report does not mirror the approach taken in Policy 11 of the NZCPS.</p> <p>Arguably only site 8.1 of Map 18 is a nationally significant site (for Hector's dolphins). However, Hector's dolphins are not necessarily seen regularly throughout the full extent of that area. Area 4.17 is not a nationally significant site, and arguably area 2.17 (Admiralty Bay) is significant habitat for Dusky dolphins (as opposed to nationally significant habitat in terms of Policy 11(a) of the NZCPS. For example, the <i>Admiralty Bay Consortium</i> Environment Court decision noted that the site was significant in terms of s6(c), rather than under NZCPS Policy 11(a)).</p> <p>An avoid policy is not, therefore, justified in respect of these sites, or at least not an area including the side bays.</p> <p>The MEP should be amended:</p> <p>So that a strict avoidance approach is not adopted in respect of these sites, consistent with the proposed changes to the policies at Chapter 8;</p> <p>To specify which species of dolphin are relevant to each of the mapped areas; and</p> <p>Maps should be updated in light of recent population research:<br/> <a href="http://www.stuff.co.nz/environment/82818673/research-shows-hectors-dolphin-population-bigger-than-previously-realised">http://www.stuff.co.nz/environment/82818673/research-shows-hectors-dolphin-population-bigger-than-previously-realised</a>.</p> |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 284   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><i>Ecologically Significant Marine Site 3.8</i></p> <p>It is unclear from the mapping whether these sites are intended to be regionally or nationally significant sites.</p> <p>Support the mapping of sensitive area 3.8, but oppose the planning approach implemented in respect of this area in the MEP provisions.</p> <p>The potential adverse effects of marine farms on elephant fish spawning areas are minor, and adverse effects can be adequately mitigated using adaptive management if need be (<i>Clearwater Mussels Ltd v Marlborough District Council</i> [2016] NZEnvC 21 at [151] – [157]).</p> <p>Seek changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission, in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate.</p>  |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand  | 285   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                               | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><i>Ecologically Significant Marine Site 3.8</i></p> <p>It is unclear from the mapping whether these sites are intended to be regionally or nationally significant sites.</p> <p>Support the mapping of sensitive area 3.8, but oppose the planning approach implemented in respect of this area in the MEP provisions.</p> <p>The potential adverse effects of marine farms on elephant fish spawning areas are minor, and adverse effects can be adequately mitigated using adaptive management if need be (<i>Clearwater Mussels Ltd v Marlborough District Council</i> [2016] NZEnvC 21 at [151] – [157]).</p> <p>Seek changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission, in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate.</p> |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 286   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><i>Ecologically Significant Marine Site 3.8</i></p> <p>It is unclear from the mapping whether these sites are intended to be regionally or nationally significant sites.</p> <p>Support the mapping of sensitive area 3.8, but oppose the planning approach implemented in respect of this area in the MEP provisions.</p> <p>The potential adverse effects of marine farms on elephant fish spawning areas are minor, and adverse effects can be adequately mitigated using adaptive management if need be (<i>Clearwater Mussels Ltd v Marlborough District Council</i> [2016] NZEnvC 21 at [151] – [157]).</p> <p>Seek changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission, in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate.</p> |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 287   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><i>Ecologically Significant Marine Site 3.13</i></p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely affect the gannet colony at the Waimaru Peninsula.</p>   |       |          |              |   |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                                | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|--|-----------------|
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 288   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><i>Ecologically Significant Marine Site 3.14</i></p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that the spat catching site in Clova Bay does not adversely affect the estuarine fringe and sub-tidal habitat inshore of the farm.</p>  |       |          |              |  |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 289   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 12 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><i>Ecologically Significant Marine Site 3.20</i></p> <p>Remove any area used for navigation and Havelock Port from mapped site 3.20. The effect of the mapping and proposed rule 16.13.16 is to require all boats using the channel to have a resource consent to take coastal water.</p>                        |       |          |              |  |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 290   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><i>Ecologically Significant Marine Site 6.3</i></p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely affect the red algae bed in Cutters Bay.</p>  |       |          |              |  |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 291   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | National Transportation Route            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>The National Transportation Route in Tory Channel and Queen Charlotte Sound should not be mapped to extend into all of the side bays.</p> <p>The National Transportation Route map should show the route as being confined to the main part of Tory Channel and Queen Charlotte Sound.</p>                       |       |          |              |  |                 |
| 401                | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 292   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 64                            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The commercial wharf and the boat ramp at Okiwi Bay should be given recognition in the zoning maps.</p> <p>Amend zoning map 64 to zone the commercial wharf and the boat ramp at Okiwi Bay as Port Landing Area Zone; and</p> <p>Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.</p> |       |          |              |  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 293          | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps    | Zoning Map 111   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Okiwi Bay</p> <p>The commercial wharf and the boat ramp at Okiwi Bay should be given recognition in the zoning maps.</p> <p>Amend zoning map 111 to zone the commercial wharf and the boat ramp at Okiwi Bay as Port Landing Area Zone; and</p> <p>Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.</p> |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 294          | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps    | Zoning Map 65    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><i>Elaine Bay</i></p> <p>Amend zoning map 65 to zone the commercial wharf at Elaine Bay as Port Zone;</p> <p>Expand the size of the zone to include more of the CMA and the adjacent road; and</p> <p>Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.</p>  |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 295          | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps    | Zoning Map 103   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><i>Elaine Bay</i></p> <p>Amend zoning map 103 to zone the commercial wharf at Elaine Bay as Port Zone;</p> <p>Expand the size of the zone to include more of the CMA and the adjacent road; and</p> <p>Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.</p>   |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 296          | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps    | Zoning Map 77    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><i>Oyster Bay</i></p> <p>Amend zoning map 77 to zone the commercial wharf at Oyster Bay as Port Zone;</p> <p>Expand the size of the zone to include more of the CMA, the Open Space Zone, and the adjacent road; and</p> <p>Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.</p>                        |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>401</b>         | Aquaculture New Zealand   | 297          | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps    | Zoning Map 139   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p><i>Oyster Bay</i></p> <p>Amend zoning map 139 to zone the commercial wharf at Oyster Bay as Port Zone;</p> <p>Expand the size of the zone to include more of the CMA, the Open Space Zone, and the adjacent road; and</p> <p>Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.</p> |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>797</b>         | Johnathan Dean Arbuckle   | 1     | All      | All   |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>This information is included to complete the submission database only.</p> <p>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.</p>   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1034</b>        | P W Archer  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8184 Hallam Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.</p>  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1034</b>        | P W Archer  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8304 Cregoe Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.</p>   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1034</b>        | P W Archer  | 3     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8184 Hallam Cove and 8304 Cregoe Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.</p>   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1034</b>        | P W Archer  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8184 Hallam Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.</p>  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1034</b>        | P W Archer  | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8304 Cregoe Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1034</b>        | P W Archer   | 6     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8184 Hallam Cove and 8304 Cregoe Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1102</b>        | Scott Archer   | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>52</b>          | Anthony Armstrong  | 1     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)  | Policy 15.2.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>I submit that 'outdoor fires' should not be banned.</p> <p>I have incinerated tree waste, after it has completely dried, for the last forty years with never a complaint. This has always been done in spring or summer, when convection takes the smoke, which only occurs on lighting, high above the city. This is not a detriment to the environment.</p> <p>I have never seen in Blenheim, garden fires that pollute. This is a minor problem, if indeed it is a problem at all. During my three times weekly walks up the Wither Hills, I see no smoke coming from Blenheim residences. Thus outdoor fires are infrequent.</p> <p>The outdoor fires do not add to pollution if burnt on a warm summer's day. If you consider they do, where is your proof?</p> <p>I submit that either you ditch this proposal, or you limit fires to a specific timeframe, say April to October. This is what happened in Christchurch.</p> <p>Trusting you give this submission your earnest consideration.</p> |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>551</b>         | Ben Armstrong  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>551</b>         | Ben Armstrong  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>551</b>         | Ben Armstrong  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>551</b>         | Ben Armstrong  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>551</b>         | Ben Armstrong  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>551</b>         | Ben Armstrong  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>551</b>         | Ben Armstrong  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>551</b>         | Ben Armstrong  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>551</b>         | Ben Armstrong  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |                     |                             |        |
| <b>551</b>         | Ben Armstrong  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |                     |                             |        |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps        | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8355; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |                     |                             |        |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps        | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8358; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |                     |                             |        |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps        | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8354; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |                     |                             |        |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps        | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8560; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |                     |                             |        |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited  | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps        | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8560; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |                     |                             |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 6            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8551; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 7            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8551; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 8            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8082; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 9            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 10           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8443; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 11           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8269; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 12           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8250; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 13           | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8355, 8358, 8354, 8560, 8551, 8082, 8167, 8443, 8269 and 8250; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 14           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8355; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 15           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8358; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 16           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8354; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 17           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8560; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 18           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8560; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 19    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8551; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 20    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8551; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 21    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8082; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 22    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 23    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8443; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 24    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8269; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |              |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 25    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8250; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 26    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8250; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>546</b>         | Aroma Aquaculture Limited   | 27    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8355, 8358, 8354, 8560, 8551, 8082, 8167, 8443, 8269 and 8250; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>547</b>         | Aroma New Zealand Limited   | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>877</b>         | Lynette Ashby   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.                                     |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>877</b>         | Lynette Ashby   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| <b>877</b>         | Lynette Ashby  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>877</b>         | Lynette Ashby  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>877</b>         | Lynette Ashby  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>877</b>         | Lynette Ashby  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>877</b>         | Lynette Ashby  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>877</b>         | Lynette Ashby  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>877</b>         | Lynette Ashby  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>877</b>         | Lynette Ashby  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>74</b>          | Helen Ashworth  | 1     | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone                    | 18.5.2.                                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>It is therefore requested that provision be made in the Marlborough Environment Plan for organised Guiding and Scouting camp fires to be an authorised activity. It is fully appreciated that this would most likely be a Restricted Activity hence allowing permits/safeguards to be put in place. A mandatory fire permit per camp fire/or per location is considered entirely reasonable to enable MDC/Fire Service spot checks to be made on the location, safety and burn cleanliness of the fire - hence satisfying the intent of the act around air quality while teaching our future adults their responsibilities for the environment.</p> <p>Please don't allow the Marlborough girls to miss out and not get the chance to learn fire safety, care of the environment and the wider values of Girl Guiding.</p> |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>76</b>          | Helen Ashworth  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.                                   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>76</b>          | Helen Ashworth  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.3.                                   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1310</b>        | Craig and Christine Aston   | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                   | 7.2.1.5.                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>912</b>         | Myken Augustine   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>912</b>         | Myken Augustine   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>912</b>         | Myken Augustine  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>912</b>         | Myken Augustine  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>912</b>         | Myken Augustine  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>912</b>         | Myken Augustine  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>912</b>         | Myken Augustine  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>912</b>         | Myken Augustine  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>912</b>         | Myken Augustine  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>912</b>         | Myken Augustine  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                       | Objective 6.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1275</b>        | Lewis Noel Austin  | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                     | 7.2.1.5.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain as is without any alteration.</p> <p>The submission does not identify a zone, provision or property number to which the above statement relates to. It is <b><i>inferred</i></b> that standard 30.1.3.2.2 in the Sounds Residential Zone of the Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan (below and emphasis added) is relevant to the submission.</p> <p><i>Sounds Residential Zone</i></p> <p><i>30.1.3 Amenities</i></p> <p><i>Standard 30.1.3.2.2 Provided that <u>no building may be sited closer than 20 metres from a coastal marine area boundary</u> or 8.0 metres of a foreshore reserve.</i></p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>410</b>         | Awarua Trust - Dodson Trust  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. ( <i>Inferred</i> )   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Issue 4A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Council to undertake the following and amend Issue 4A</p> <p>a) Provide a full assessment of the social and economic benefits to Marlborough, including the added value from primary production.</p> <p>b) Provide an explanation of how the economic indicators are derived</p> <p>c) Provide reference to the economic monitoring reports that are used.</p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Objective 4.1 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.1.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.2.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.3.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.4         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the 4.M.4 Guideline should be amended to read:</p> <p><i>The Council will make extensive use of guidelines to assist resource users to carry out their activities according to best practice for environmental outcomes. Guidelines will be developed in consultation with resource users and groups that represent their interests. The Council will <del>rely on</del> <u>support</u> resource user groups to implement the guidelines.</i></p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.11        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Council to provide more information for submitters to make an informed judgement on whether the Monitoring target is appropriate, including:</p> <p>a) A summary of the primary sector contributions to Marlborough GDP over the last 10 years.</p> <p>b) Providing the rational for the stated monitoring target " <i>The primary sector contributes over 15% of Marlborough GDP</i>"</p>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 8     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.1.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 9     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.1.1.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 10    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.1.2  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.1.2.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 11    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.2? | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.2.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 12    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.1.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 13    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.2   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.2.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 14    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.3   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.3.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 15    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.4.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 16    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.5   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.5.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 17    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.6   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That an additional paragraph to be inserted under Policy 5.2.6:<br><br><i><u>Based on the preceding 24 hour average (midnight to midnight), water abstraction will be subject to rationing or shut-off by 8.00am when river flows drop below the required management flow level or conversely water abstraction will not re-commence until 8.00am when river flows rise above the required management flow level.</u></i> |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 18    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.7   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.7.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 19    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.8   | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.8.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 20    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.9  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.9.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 21    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.10.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 22    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.13 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.13.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 23    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.14 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.14.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 24    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.15 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.15.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 25    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.16 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Implement the proposed Method of Implementation 5.M.2:<br><br><b><i>5.M.2 Water user groups</i></b><br><br><i>Encourage the establishment of water user groups to assist the Council to manage water resources. In particular, seek to work with water user groups in the Awatere and Waihopai FMUs to achieve voluntary rationing of water takes in response to falling flows in order to achieve the objectives for each river.</i> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 26    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.17 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.17.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 27    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.18 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.18.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 28    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.19 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.19.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 29    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.20 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.20.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 30    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.21 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.21.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 31    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.22 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.22.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 32    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.23 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.23.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 33    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.24 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.24.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 34    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.25 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Seek confirmation from MDC that the paper over-allocation of Awatere River Class A and B water will not trigger a review of resource consents under Policy 5.2.25; and that the over-allocation will be resolved through the claw-back of unutilised water allocation as Resource consents are progressively renewed or a Resource consent lapses. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 35    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Note comments for Policy 5.3.1 as follows:<br><br>The proposed hierarchy does not reflect the importance of water takes for irrigation used for primary production across the region. In an Awatere context the Municipal supply allocation of 8000m <sup>3</sup> /day is essential and needs to be provided for.                                  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 36    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.2  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.2.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 37    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the second and third paragraph be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>For some rivers, two allocation classes are provided for, referred to as Class A and Class B. In many cases, the two classes are carried over from previous planning instruments. Class A water permits have a greater inherent reliability, due to their lower restrictions, than Class B permits. In some cases, a Class B allocation has been provided for the first time in order to provide for growth in demand (while the constraints of the water resource). These allocations classes provide for run-of-the-river irrigation, <del>and</del> other instantaneous uses and <u>for the pumping into storage.</u></i></p> <p><i>Allocation moves sequentially through the two allocation classes.</i></p> <p><i>Note that Policy 5.8.2 also provides for a Class C allocation for some water resources, <del>specifically</del> <u>primarily</u> for storage purposes, <u>although Class C can be utilised for direct irrigation at lower reliability.</u> Class C water can be applied for at any stage.</i></p> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 38    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.4.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 39    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.5.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 40    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.6.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 41    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.7.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 42    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.8.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 43    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.9 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.9.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                       | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 44           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.10.                  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 45           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.11    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.11.                  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 46           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Support in full.                       |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 47           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.15.                  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 48           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.16.                  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 49           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.1.                   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 50           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.2.                   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 51           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.3.                   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 52           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.4.                   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 548                | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 53    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.5.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 548                | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 54    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.6.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 548                | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 55    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Awatere Users Group seeks confirmation from Council that there will be <u>no additional allocation</u> of water to land outside the Awatere River FMU .  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 548                | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 56    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.1.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 548                | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 57    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend policy wording</b></p> <p>(a) That Policy 5.7.2 be amended so that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.</p> <p><i>Policy 5.7.2 - To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>(b) That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:</p> <p><i><u>Policy 5.7.X - To recognise that land users require water for use other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations for water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</u></i></p> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 548                | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 58    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy:</p> <p><i><u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u></i></p>  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                       | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 59           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.4.                   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 60           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.5.                   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 61           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.6.                   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 62           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.7.                   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 63           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.8.                   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 64           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.9     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.9.                   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 65           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.10    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.10.                  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 66           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.11    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.11.                  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 67           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1.                   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 68    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.2.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 69    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend policy wording:</p> <p>(a) <i>Policy 5.8.3 - In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</i></p> <p>(b) Also change the last paragraph from:</p> <p><i>For this reason, the policy limits the rate of take of water for storage purposes to the authorised daily take for irrigation purposes. This still provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. but also ensures that the abstraction would have no greater effect on existing users than the daily take solely for irrigation purposes.</i></p> <p>Replace last paragraph with the following:</p> <p><i>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and Class B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</i></p> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 70    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.4.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 71    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.5.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 72    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.9.1.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 73    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.9.2.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 74    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.3 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.9.3.  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 75    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.1     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.1.   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 76    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.2     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.2.   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 77    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.4     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the last sentence of the paragraph as follows:<br><br><i>If a water user group exists for the FMU, then the Council will seek to <u>work with the group</u> <del>with it</del> to <u>assist Council running the ballot.</u></i> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 78    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.4     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.4.   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 79    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.5     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.5.   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 80    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.6     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.6.   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 81    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.7     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.7.   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 82    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.8     | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.8.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 83    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | 5.M.9          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.9.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 84    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                     | Policy 11.1.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows:</p> <p><i>Mitigate the adverse effects of gravel extraction on ecological and recreational values, water clarity and bank stability, <u>and downstream irrigation intakes by:</u></i><br/> .....</p> <p>Insert additional bullet point:</p> <p><i><u>(vi) the location and timing of gravel extraction activities upstream of irrigation intakes.</u></i></p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 85    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>a) Support the approach of using permitted activity rules for managing the adverse effects of stock access for extensive grazing properties.</p> <p>b) Recommend that Council work with Industry groups to develop a Code of practice and industry guidelines to mitigate the potential effects of extensively grazed livestock on fresh water bodies.</p>                                 |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 86    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.24 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.24.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 87    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.31 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.31.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 88    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.1.32 as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.32 - In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i></p> <p><i>(b) In the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i></p> <p><i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the distance;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(iv) in the case of water supply intakes and associated structures in a river bed, the practical viability of alternative methods of abstracting water;</i></p> <p><i>(v) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated; and</i></p> <p><i>(vi) for freshwater, the potential effects of increase turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan;</i></p> <p><i>(vii) Riverbed activities in, on, under or over the River bed (with exception of the taking of water), which require resource consent, must prepare site specific management plans that set out how adverse effects from activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated.</i></p> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 89    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.18   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include additional bullet point:</p> <p><u><i>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines.</i></u></p> <p><u><i>Engage with water user group when determining the need for research, the design and implementation of research projects.</i></u></p>  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 90    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.24   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include additional bullet point:</p> <p><u><i>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed guidelines to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of activities in, on, under or over river beds; to assist in the preparation of site specific management plans and for the processing of resource consent applications.</i></u></p>   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 91    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.1.1.    | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter                              | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.1.1.                     |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 92    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.1.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.1.                     |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 93    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.4.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.4.                     |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 94    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.5.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.5.                     |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 95    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.8.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.8.                     |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 96    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.9.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.9.                     |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 97    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.10.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.10.                    |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 98    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.11.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rue 2.2.11.                     |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 99    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.12.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.12.                    |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 100   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.14.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.14.                    |       |          |                 |           |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                       | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 101          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.2.15.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.15.                    |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 102          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.2.16.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.16.                    |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 103          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.2.17.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.17.                    |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 104          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.2.24.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.24.                    |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 105          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.3.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.3.1.                     |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 106          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.3.4.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.3.4.                     |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 107          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.3.5.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.3.5.                     |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 108          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.3.8.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.3.8.                     |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 109          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.3.10.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.3.10.                    |              |               |                 |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                                 | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated           | 110          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.3.11.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.3.11.                              |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated           | 111          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.3.13.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.3.13.                              |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated           | 112          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.3.14.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.3.14.                              |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated           | 113          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.3.15.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.3.15.                              |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated           | 114          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.3.16.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.3.16.                              |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated           | 115          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.3.23.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.3.23.                              |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated           | 116          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.4.             | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Controlled Activities 2.4 (as listed).    |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated           | 117          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.5.             | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Discretionary Activities 2.5 (as listed). |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated           | 118          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.6.             | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Prohibited Activities 2.6 (as listed).    |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated           | 119          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.7.             | Support     |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Permitted Activities 2.7 (as listed).   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 120   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 2.8.1.1.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 121   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.2.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 2.8.1.2.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 122   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.3.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.8.1.3.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 123   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.4.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Council to work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of activities.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 124   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.5.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.8.15 as follows:<br><br><i><u>During the period of 1 September to 31 December in any year no activity must occur within 50 metres of a riverbed nesting bird, or a nesting bird on a lakebed.</u></i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 125   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.2.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.8.2.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 126   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.3.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.8.3.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 127   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.1.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.9.1.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated   | 128   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.2.    | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter                              | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.9.2.                     |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 129   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.3.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.9.3.                     |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 130   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.4.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.9.4.                     |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 131   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.5.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.9.5.                     |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 132   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.7.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.9.7.                     |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 133   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.9.9.                     |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 134   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.10.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.9.10.                    |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 135   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.10.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.10.1.                    |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 136   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.10.2.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.10.2.                    |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>548</b>         | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated | 137   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.11.1.                    |       |          |                 |           |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision                    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|------------------------------|-----------------|
| 548                | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 138   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                           | 2.11.2.                      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.11.2.   |       |          |   |                              |                 |
| 548                | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 139   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                           | 2.11.3.                      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.11.3.   |       |          |   |                              |                 |
| 548                | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 140   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | a) Retain provisions as proposed for the Awatere FMU - Municipal Supply, Class A and Class B water.<br>b) Increase the volume of Awatere FMU Class C water available for Allocation from 226,640m3/day to 259,200m3/day.  |       |          |   |                              |                 |
| 548                | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 141   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | a) Retain provisions as proposed for the Awatere FMU - Minimum Flow and Management Flows for the Municipal Supply, Class A and Class B water.<br>b) Amend the Awatere FMU - Class C Management Flow level (level when rationing is to commence) to allow for an increase in the Class C Allocation to 259,200m3/day (3,000L/s). |       |          |   |                              |                 |
| 548                | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 142   | Volume 4 | All                                       |                              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include an Index system linking individual Maps to a page number.   |       |          |   |                              |                 |
| 548                | Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated  | 143   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Freshwater Management Unit 2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Council to provide further information and clarify how the Freshwater Management Units are to be managed for these areas including updating the FMU - Map 2.  |       |          |   |                              |                 |
| 453                | Vernon Thomas Fraser Ayson  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.11.2.                    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add the following (bold) to Standard 3.3.11.2 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><b>(x) where the clearance is associated with the maintenance of a cycle and/or walking track;</b>  |       |          |   |                              |                 |
| 453                | Vernon Thomas Fraser Ayson  | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                | 4.3.10.2.                    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add the following (bold) to Standard 4.3.10.2 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><b>(x) where the clearance is associated with the maintenance of a cycle and/or walking track;</b> |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>453</b>         | Vernon Thomas Fraser Ayson   | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Permitted Activity:<br><b>3.1.xx Construction of cycle and walking tracks.</b>   |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>453</b>         | Vernon Thomas Fraser Ayson   | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.1.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Permitted Activity:<br><b>4.1.xx Construction of cycle and walking tracks.</b>   |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>1268</b>        | Azwood Energy  | 1     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.7.9.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>1268</b>        | Azwood Energy  | 2     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.5.7.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>1268</b>        | Azwood Energy  | 3     | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone       | 6.5.4.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>1268</b>        | Azwood Energy  | 4     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.5.6.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                  |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter                                    | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| <b>1268</b>        | Azwood Energy                                | 5     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone         | 8.5.6.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                             |           |        |
| <b>1268</b>        | Azwood Energy                                | 6     | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone           | 9.5.4.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                             |           |        |
| <b>1268</b>        | Azwood Energy                                | 7     | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone          | 10.5.3.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                             |           |        |
| <b>1268</b>        | Azwood Energy                                | 8     | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone          | 11.5.2.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                             |           |        |
| <b>1268</b>        | Azwood Energy                                | 9     | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.5.7.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                             |           |        |
| <b>1268</b>        | Azwood Energy                                | 10    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                | 13.6.3.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                             |           |        |
| <b>1268</b>        | Azwood Energy                                | 11    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone   | 14.5.3.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                             |           |        |
| <b>1268</b>        | Azwood Energy                                | 12    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone              | 15.7.3.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                             |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter                                    | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| <b>1268</b>        | Azwood Energy                                | 13    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.1.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>1268</b>        | Azwood Energy                                | 14    | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone             | 17.5.1.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>1268</b>        | Azwood Energy                                | 15    | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone             | 18.5.1.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>1268</b>        | Azwood Energy                                | 16    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone             | 19.5.1.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>1268</b>        | Azwood Energy                                | 17    | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone             | 20.6.1.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>1268</b>        | Azwood Energy                                | 18    | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                 | 21.5.1.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>1268</b>        | Azwood Energy                                | 19    | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.6.1.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>1268</b>        | Azwood Energy                                | 20    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone                  | 23.5.1.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                  |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|--------|
| 19                 | Jessica Bagge   | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>2.36.7.3 Where a pavement sign is used it must:</p> <p>(a) not exceed <b>1100mm</b> in height by 600mm width.</p>  |       |          |  |           |        |
| 220                | Jessica Bagge   | 1     | All      | All                                    |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That there is some reference and directive in Volume One around Cell Phone Towers and that is backed up by some rules in Volume Two.</p> <p>I do not accept this is a Central Government issue that MDC is powerless to influence.</p>   |       |          |  |           |        |
| 222                | Jessica Bagge   | 1     | Volume 2 | All                                    |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>I would like to see some amendments/alternatives to the LRV concept.</p> <p>I will present some options to the Hearing Committee.</p>  |       |          |  |           |        |
| 227                | Jessica Bagge   | 1     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone       | 5.5.4.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>I will offer some ideas when I speak to the Hearings Committee.</p> <p>In the meantime consider this:</p> <p>If this is all driven by Government, then until Council has a complete handle on the where we are at the moment across Marlborough, and what is contributing to the issues, then the best thing to do is simply stand up to Government and let them know that when we are organised and have a plan, we'll do something. This piecemeal, panicked reactive response to yet another Government imposed regulation is hurting the people Council is supposed to represent. You are paid by the ratepayer, not the tax payer. There are bigger things to worry about.</p> <p>Removing the ability for homeowners to heat their homes to achieve so little in the PM 10 fight, without consultation and scant education or forewarning, is heavy handed and unnecessary. Nobody is saying we shouldn't do something, but the outright banning of fires and logburners (older than 15 years) is so draconian. There was no warning.</p> <p>I look forward to meeting the Hearings Committee.</p> |       |          |  |           |        |
| 227                | Jessica Bagge   | 2     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15D | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>I will offer some ideas when I speak to the Hearings Committee.</p> <p>In the meantime consider this:</p> <p>If this is all driven by Government, then until Council has a complete handle on the where we are at the moment across Marlborough, and what is contributing to the issues, then the best thing to do is simply stand up to Government and let them know that when we are organised and have a plan, we'll do something. This piecemeal, panicked reactive response to yet another Government imposed regulation is hurting the people Council is supposed to represent. You are paid by the ratepayer, not the tax payer. There are bigger things to worry about.</p> <p>Removing the ability for homeowners to heat their homes to achieve so little in the PM 10 fight, without consultation and scant education or forewarning, is heavy handed and unnecessary. Nobody is saying we shouldn't do something, but the outright banning of fires and logburners (older than 15 years) is so draconian. There was no warning.</p> <p>I look forward to meeting the Hearings Committee.</p> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>227</b>         | Jessica Bagge   | 3     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.28   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>I will offer some ideas when I speak to the Hearings Committee.</p> <p>In the meantime consider this:</p> <p>If this is all driven by Government, then until Council has a complete handle on the where we are at the moment across Marlborough, and what is contributing to the issues, then the best thing to do is simply stand up to Government and let them know that when we are organised and have a plan, we'll do something. This piecemeal, panicked reactive response to yet another Government imposed regulation is hurting the people Council is supposed to represent. You are paid by the ratepayer, not the tax payer. There are bigger things to worry about.</p> <p>Removing the ability for homeowners to heat their homes to achieve so little in the PM 10 fight, without consultation and scant education or forewarning, is heavy handed and unnecessary. Nobody is saying we shouldn't do something, but the outright banning of fires and logburners (older than 15 years) is so draconian. There was no warning.</p> <p>I look forward to meeting the Hearings Committee.</p> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>227</b>         | Jessica Bagge   | 4     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone       | 5.5.5.    | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>I will offer some ideas when I speak to the Hearings Committee.</p> <p>In the meantime consider this:</p> <p>If this is all driven by Government, then until Council has a complete handle on the where we are at the moment across Marlborough, and what is contributing to the issues, then the best thing to do is simply stand up to Government and let them know that when we are organised and have a plan, we'll do something. This piecemeal, panicked reactive response to yet another Government imposed regulation is hurting the people Council is supposed to represent. You are paid by the ratepayer, not the tax payer. There are bigger things to worry about.</p> <p>Removing the ability for homeowners to heat their homes to achieve so little in the PM 10 fight, without consultation and scant education or forewarning, is heavy handed and unnecessary. Nobody is saying we shouldn't do something, but the outright banning of fires and logburners (older than 15 years) is so draconian. There was no warning.</p> <p>I look forward to meeting the Hearings Committee.</p> |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>372</b>         | Milton and Pauline Bailey   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>782</b>         | James Baker   | 1     | All      | All                                       |            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.2.1.13.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Limestone Coastline.</p> <p><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around excavation and filling they would like included. It is been inferred that the following headings and standards are:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>Heading 3.3.14 Excavation and standards 3.3.14.1 to 3.3.14.12</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>Heading 3.3.16 Filling of land with clean fill and standards 3.3.16.1 to 3.3.16.11</i></b></li> </ul>  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.6.2.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Limestone Coastline.<br><br><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around excavation and filling they would like included. It is been inferred that the following headings and standards are:</i></b> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>Heading 3.3.14 Excavation and standards 3.3.14.1 to 3.3.14.12</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>Heading 3.3.16 Filling of land with clean fill and standards 3.3.16.1 to 3.3.16.11</i></b></li> </ul>   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.8.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Limestone Coastline.<br><br><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around excavation and filling they would like included. It is been inferred that the following headings and standards are:</i></b> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>Heading 3.3.14 Excavation and standards 3.3.14.1 to 3.3.14.12</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>Heading 3.3.16 Filling of land with clean fill and standards 3.3.16.1 to 3.3.16.11</i></b></li> </ul>   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Limestone Coastline.<br><br><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around excavation and filling they would like included. It is been inferred that the following headings and standards are:</i></b> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>Heading 3.3.14 Excavation and standards 3.3.14.1 to 3.3.14.12</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>Heading 3.3.16 Filling of land with clean fill and standards 3.3.16.1 to 3.3.16.11</i></b></li> </ul>   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.14.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Limestone Coastline.<br><br><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around excavation and filling they would like included. It is been inferred that the following headings and standards are:</i></b> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>Heading 3.3.14 Excavation and standards 3.3.14.1 to 3.3.14.12</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>Heading 3.3.16 Filling of land with clean fill and standards 3.3.16.1 to 3.3.16.11</i></b></li> </ul>   |       |          |                          |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Limestone Coastline.</p> <p><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around excavation and filling they would like included. It is been inferred that the following headings and standards are:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>Heading 3.3.14 Excavation and standards 3.3.14.1 to 3.3.14.12</i></b></li> <li><b><i>Heading 3.3.16 Filling of land with clean fill and standards 3.3.16.1 to 3.3.16.11</i></b></li> </ul>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.8.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Chalk Range.</p> <p><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the siting and reflectance of buildings they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>Heading 3.2.1 Construction and siting of a building ... and standard 3.2.1.13.</i></b></li> </ul> <p><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the planting of commercial forestry they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>Heading 3.3.6 Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) and standard 3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i></b></li> </ul> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Chalk Range.</p> <p><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the siting and reflectance of buildings they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>Heading 3.2.1 Construction and siting of a building ... and standard 3.2.1.13.</i></b></li> </ul> <p><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the planting of commercial forestry they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>Heading 3.3.6 Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) and standard 3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i></b></li> </ul> |       |          |                          |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.8.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Inland Kaikoura Range.</p> <p><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the siting and reflectance of buildings they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>Heading 3.2.1 Construction and siting of a building ... and standard 3.2.1.13.</i></b></li> </ul> <p><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the planting of commercial forestry they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>Heading 3.3.6 Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) and standard 3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i></b></li> </ul> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Inland Kaikoura Range.</p> <p><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the siting and reflectance of buildings they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>Heading 3.2.1 Construction and siting of a building ... and standard 3.2.1.13.</i></b></li> </ul> <p><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the planting of commercial forestry they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>Heading 3.3.6 Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) and standard 3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i></b></li> </ul> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 11    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>SEEK that similar controls on the location and reflectance of new buildings, the planting of commercial forestry and limits on excavation and filling of land are applied to large areas of Outstanding Landscapes in south Marlborough that do not appear to have any land use activity controls in place.</p>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 12    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.11. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | SEEK that appropriate controls apply to all of the Marlborough Sounds environment (both Outstanding and Coastal Landscape).   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 13    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.6.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | SEEK additional control requiring assessment of the risk of tree spread using the industry Spread Risk calculator, prior to planting taking place. In addition, any spread that is obviously from a plantation area (ie "tree rain" spreading out of a planted area), should be required to be controlled by the landowner to avoid it becoming a future threat.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | SUPPORT <i>Standards 4.3.6..1(a) and (b) Commercial forestry replanting</i> regarding setbacks from rivers and wetlands.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 15    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | SEEK larger setbacks from the coastal marine area, could be related to slope, ie 30m where the slope for a distance of 500m is less than 20 degrees, otherwise 100 metres. This is likely to have benefits in reducing sedimentation as well as landscape benefits. (refer Urlich Report)   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 16    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | SEEK further provisions relating to the management of any commercial forest species that causes wilding issues, including where existing forestry operations are required to set back for future planting and harvesting (i.e. from the coastal marine area). There should be requirements to control re-growth and manage these areas back into permanent native cover for long term landscape and other benefits. |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 17    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.2.1.13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | In regard to all of the references to exterior paint requiring a light reflectance value of 45% or less, I SEEK that this is amended to include " all exterior cladding must have a reflectance value of 45%1 or less" to avoid large areas of unpainted highly reflective corrugated iron on new buildings (including roof).   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 18    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.   | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | The decision I SEEK is that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Livestock should be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands and suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place.</li> <li>All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees.</li> <li>Sheep should also be excluded where they are being break fed or otherwise very intensively grazed.</li> <li>Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate. This would capture most of the more intensively farmed lowland areas while not capturing the less intensively farmed hill country areas.</li> <li>Anyone wanting to apply for consent to allow livestock access to waterways could then be required to monitor upstream and downstream of this activity to ensure it is not having adverse effects on water quality, thereby putting the onus for monitoring onto the landowner.</li> <li>Another way to clarify requirements would be to exclude stock from the rivers listed in Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation (<i>inferred Tables 15.1 and 15.2</i>). This is based on long-term monitoring information and most of these rivers are in areas where livestock access is clearly a contributing factor to the poorer water quality.</li> </ul> |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 19    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | The decision I SEEK is that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Livestock should be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands and suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place.</li> <li>All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees.</li> <li>Sheep should also be excluded where they are being break fed or otherwise very intensively grazed.</li> <li>Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate. This would capture most of the more intensively farmed lowland areas while not capturing the less intensively farmed hill country areas.</li> <li>Anyone wanting to apply for consent to allow livestock access to waterways could then be required to monitor upstream and downstream of this activity to ensure it is not having adverse effects on water quality, thereby putting the onus for monitoring onto the landowner.</li> <li>Another way to clarify requirements would be to exclude stock from the rivers listed in Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation (<i>inferred Tables 15.1 and 15.2</i>). This is based on long-term monitoring information and most of these rivers are in areas where livestock access is clearly a contributing factor to the poorer water quality.</li> </ul> |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 20    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | 8.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | I also SUPPORT the voluntary partnership approach with landowners as the primary means for protection on private land ( <i>inferred Policy 8.2.2</i> ) with the proviso that this should be well resourced and the results of the approach monitored to make sure that real gains are being made. This also means that for significant natural area sites to be reasonable protected from clearance, the clearance rules need scrutiny.   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 21    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.   | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain standards under Heading 3.3.11 in Volume 2 Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone apart from standard 3.3.11.5 ( <b><i>Clearance of indigenous forest must not exceed 1,000m2 per Computer Register in any 5 year period</i></b> ).  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 22    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Submitter does not believe any indigenous forest in south Marlborough should be able to be cleared as a permitted activity. However, no decision requested has been included in the submission. <b><i>It is inferred that the status of this activity should be discretionary activity.</i></b>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 23    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain standards 4.3.10 in Volume 2 Chapter 4 Coastal Environment Zone apart from standard 4.3.10.5 ( <b><i>Clearance of indigenous forest must not exceed 1,000m2 per Computer Register in any 5 year period</i></b> ).  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 24    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Submitter does not believe any indigenous forest in south Marlborough should be able to be cleared as a permitted activity. However, no decision requested has been included in the submission. <b><i>It is inferred that the status of this activity should be discretionary activity.</i></b>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 25    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision             | 24.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Reference to the need for landscape requirement, as set out in the Subdivision Code of Practise and the Urban Design Protocol. This requirement should read something like this:<br><br><i>'To provide for tree planting within new urban residential, business, and industrial developments, that a dedicated grass berm width of a minimum of 1.5 metres or alternative tree planting sites of a minimum of 9m2 be included, with no intrusion of underground or overhead services within that space.'</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 26    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision             | 24.3.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Rule 24.3.1 and more particularly those provisions under the heading ' <i>Matters over which the Council has reserved control</i> ' should have the following matter or words to a similar effect added to it.<br><br>New standard to be added:<br><br><i>24.3.1.27. Landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, street furniture and pathways and other structures within the road reserves and other part of the subdivision proposed to be vested jn Council or held under corporate body or other community ownership and administration within the subdivision that are required by Rule 24. 1.</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|--------|
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 27    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.1.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Rule 24.1 should have the following rules added to it:</p> <p>Under the heading <i>Esplanade Reserves and Esplanade Strips</i>;</p> <p><i>A landscape planting and land shaping plan shall be provided for all esplanade reserves and esplanade strips shall be provided with any application for consent to subdivide. The landscape plan shall show landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, furniture and pathways and other structures.</i></p>  |       |          |                |           |        |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 28    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.1.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Rule 24.1 Under the heading <i>Telecommunications</i>;</p> <p><i>All telecommunication lines shall be located underground.</i></p>   |       |          |                |           |        |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 29    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.1.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Rule 24.1 Under the heading <i>Electricity</i>;</p> <p><i>All electricity lines shall be located underground.</i></p>  |       |          |                |           |        |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 30    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.1.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Rule 24.1</p> <p>Under the heading <i>'Roading'</i>;</p> <p><i>Applications for subdivision consent shall provide a landscape planting and land shaping plan shall be provided for all roads within the subdivision The landscape plan shall show any proposed landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, furniture and pathways and other structures. The landscape plan shall provide at a minimum, a tree located within the area of road reserve adjacent to each allotment, where this is possible.</i></p> |       |          |                |           |        |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                             | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------------------------|------------|--------|
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger   | 32    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                      | 24.1.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Under a new heading Reserves and other public spaces, excluding esplanade reserves and esplanade strips;</p> <p><i>Applications for subdivision consent shall provide a landscape planting and land shaping plan shall be provided for all roads within the subdivision The landscape plan shall show any proposed landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, furniture and pathways and other structures. The landscape plan shall provide at a minimum, a tree located within the area of road reserve adjacent to each allotment.</i></p>  |       |          |                                     |            |        |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger   | 33    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                      | 24.4.1.10. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Rule 24.4.1. and more particularly those provisions under the heading 'Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion' should have the following matter or words to a similar effect added to it.</p> <p>Add a new standard: 24. 4. 1. 15. <i>Landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and public garden and ornaments, street furniture and pathways and other structures and public utilities and services proposed to be vested within the road reserves and other parts of the subdivision which will be vested in Council and how existing trees are incorporated in the subdivision layout.</i></p>          |       |          |                                     |            |        |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger   | 34    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                      | 24.2.1.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The following rules or words to a similar effect should be added to Rule 24.2.1;</p> <p><i>24.2. 1.2. All network utilities lines shall be located underground.</i></p> <p><i>New Standard 24.2.1.3 All structures, located within roadways and other land areas being vested, including, but not exclusively, cases and containers containing services and utilities and other equipment required or proposed to be located above ground surface shall be coloured in low reflectivity colours .... [these are to be specified in the rule] ..... and screened from the road frontage and adjacent lots by landscape planting or land shaping or combination of these.</i></p> |       |          |                                     |            |        |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger   | 35    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                      | 24.5.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Rule 24.5 should have the following rule or words to a similar effect added to it, or words to a similar effect;</p> <p><i>New Standard 24. 5. 4. Any application for consent to subdivide that does not comply with rules 24.1. 7., 24.1 .12, 24.1.18, 24.1 .1.1, 24.2.1.3 and 24.2.1.2 as applicable (requested by this submission to be added to the Plan) shall be publically notified.</i></p>   |       |          |                                     |            |        |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger   | 36    | Volume 3 | Appendix 7 Scheme Plan Requirements |            | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Appendix 7 should have the following rule added under the heading '<i>Information</i>';</p> <p>New point under Heading <i>Information</i></p> <p><i>Point 13. A landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and public garden and ornaments, street furniture and pathways and other structures and public utilities and services proposed to be vested within the road reserves and other parts of the subdivision which will be vested in Council and how existing trees are incorporated in the subdivision layout.</i></p>   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 37    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of ..... provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground</i></p> <p><b><i>Inferred that this new Objective and policy is under Issue 4C</i></b></p>  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 38    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments                   | Issue 12A | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of .... [refer to the headings of chapter 12] .. ... provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p> <p><b><i>Inferred that the new Objective and policy is under Issue 12A</i></b></p> |       |          |   |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 39    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Issue 14B | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of .... .. [refer to the headings of chapter 14] .. ... provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p> <p><b>Inferred that the new Objective and policy is under Issue 14B</b></p> |       |          |                                 |           |                 |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 40    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | 7.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of .... .. [refer to the headings of chapter 7] .. ... provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p>  |       |          |                                 |           |                 |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 41    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                 | 2.        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following rules to the rules in each zone.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Existing trees within roads shall be retained unless they are replaced within 1 month of their removal.</li> <li>Any new subdivisions shall include trees planted within the road reserves and the applications for consent to subdivide shall include a landscape planting and land shaping plan including street trees at a minimum of one tree located within the area of the road reserve that is adjacent to each lot within the subdivision.</li> <li>Pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent.</li> <li>Any trees removed for the purpose of protecting existing lines shall be replaced by new trees.</li> <li>Where any telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</li> <li>Rules making these requirements shall be included within each of the zones within the Plan.</li> <li>Equipment, structures and containers associated with services and utilities located within roadways shall be screened by vegetation and coloured in low reflectivity colours [these will need to be specified in the rule].</li> </ul> <p><b>Note, the above rules deal with road reserve, which is un-zoned in the proposed MEP. Therefore, it is more appropriate to include them in the General Rules.</b></p> |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 42    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>DECISION I SEEK rewording of paragraph 1 in Chapter 10 to say something like "Trees which are not heritage or notable trees are also important to our district. The ongoing planting and management of all trees in both private and public areas is important for the ongoing maintenance and replenishment of our district's tree population."</p> <p>ANOTHER DECISION I SEEK a reassessment of the resources the Council has to monitor and manage the rules around Notable Trees and the Resource Consents related to them. I fear that unless the rules are monitored, then there will be a disregard for them by developers and contractors, as well as private property owners.</p>   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 43    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                         | 2.28.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>DECISION I SEEK a reassessment of the resources the Council has to monitor and manage the rules around Notable Trees and the Resource Consents related to them. I fear that unless the rules are monitored, then there will be a disregard for them by developers and contractors, as well as private property owners.</p>   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 44    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                       | 19.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Include the following statement to the start of Line 1, Paragraph 1 of the Introduction:</b></p> <p><i>"Society currently relies on fossil fuels as an energy source but needs to find alternatives as quickly as possible. The consumption of these fuels and livestock farming are the two major contributors to the large increase in the release of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases into the atmosphere over the last 150 years."</i></p> <p><b>Re-word Line 5, Paragraph 1 of the Introduction to read:</b></p> <p><i>"Global temperatures are approximately 1.2°C higher than pre-industrial levels and 0.6°C higher now than they were in the early 1990s. To prevent dangerous and potentially irreversible impacts of climate change global temperatures must be kept well below 2°C above preindustrial levels."</i></p> <p><b>Re-word Line 6, Paragraph 1 of the Introduction by deleting "<del>While there is not unanimous agreement</del>" and start sentence with "There is now strong evidence... "</b></p> <p><b>Re-word Line 1, Paragraph 2 of the Introduction to read "<del>In Marlborough, NIWA predicts it is predicted</del> that the mean temperature will increase by approximately <del>4 degree</del> 1.8 degrees C by 2040 and <del>2 2.8 degrees C</del> 2.8 degrees C by 2090 <u>above the pre-industrial mean.</u>"</b></p> <p><b>Line 4, Paragraph 3 of the Introduction</b> Adverse long term effects of global warming are likely to outweigh any regional short term benefits that may occur and should be reflected in this statement.</p> |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger   | 45    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Issue 19A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Issue 19A Paragraph 4 explanation</b> Recognition of the far greater likelihood of adverse effects from global warming <u>should be put last in this section.</u></p> <p><b>Issue 19A Paragraph 7 explanation</b> "<del>The predictions of climate change at a national level involve significant uncertainty and little work has been undertaken to apply these national predictions to Marlborough's climate. This makes the task of responding to the effects of climate change in Marlborough difficult. This situation is complicated further by the fact that New Zealand and Marlborough are subject to natural climate variations associated with La-Nina/El Nino and the Interdecadal Pacific oscillation. These natural variations will be superimposed on human-induced long term climate changes.</del>"</p> <p>Delete this paragraph as it adds nothing of value to the plan.</p>   |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger   | 46    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Objective 19.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Line 4 Explanatory paragraph I</b> suggest a stronger emphasis be placed on <b>ecologically wise</b> use, development and protection of natural and physical resources.</p> <p><b>Remove the word "offset" the policy statement and replace with "reduce" (inferred).</b></p>  |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 351                | Helen Mary Ballinger   | 47    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.1  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Make the following changes to the policy statement Policy 19.1.1 – Promote actions within Marlborough to reduce or offset carbon emissions.<br><b>Policy 19.1.1 Explanation Line 4 (inferred)</b> Make the following change: <i>For example, the Council <del>could</del> will assess and then address the carbon footprint of delivering its own services to the community and encourage businesses to do likewise.</i> |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger   | 48    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include in the explanatory paragraph and the Anticipated Environmental Result 19.AER.1 on how this policy is to be achieved in practice.<br>Inclusion of public outreach or education programme or consultative process in the AERs to get local people and businesses up to speed with the environmental changes, how to prepare for them and take actions to mitigate them <b>(inferred)</b> .                         |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger   | 49    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include any moves towards diversification of the primary industries <b>(inferred)</b> .  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger   | 50    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 19.1.4   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger   | 51    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add wording (underlined) to Policy 19.1.5 (c) " <i>enabling the storage of water <u>during periods of high river flow</u> for subsequent ....</i> "  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger   | 52    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.M.1        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following changes (strikethrough) to 19.M.1 Council carbon footprint: " <i>Investigate Council operations to establish their carbon footprint, set goals for reducing carbon emissions <del>in accordance with New Zealand's national emissions reduction targets</del>, and develop an action plan to reach those goals</i> ".   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger   | 53    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.M.2        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following changes (strikethrough and underlined) to 19.M.2 Marlborough Regional Land Transport Plan: <del>Consider</del> , <i>In the review of the Marlborough Regional Land Transport Plan, <u>Council will include provisions to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases.</u></i>  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger   | 54    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.M.4        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Make the following changes (underlined) to 19.M.4 Research: <i>Apply the findings of international and national climate change research to Marlborough's environment to the extent that is possible <u>and support research in Marlborough</u>. The findings can then be applied to determine and better understand the implications of climate change.</i> |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 55    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.M.5         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method of Implementation 19.M.5 Information.   |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 56    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.M.7         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method of Implementation 19.M.7 District rules.  |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 57    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.M.8         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following ( <i>italics</i> ) to 19.M.8 Advisory Group: Establish an advisory group of science, industry, business and community representatives to work with Council in a collaborative way on identifying climate change threats in Marlborough and on devising appropriate responses.   |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 58    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Method of Implementation 19.M.X Tree Planting<br>Council to assist to improve co-ordination between community-based groups and industry groups and help to provide an overall strategy around what tree species are planted and where, and simply encourage the planting of trees in this way, and also through Council's operations.             |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 59    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Issue 19B      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following change (strikethrough) to Line 3 Paragraph 3 Issue 19B <i>This rise <del>potentially</del> increases the risk of inundation at the coast.</i>  |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 60    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Objective 19.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the wording in paragraphs 1 and 2 of Objective 19.2 to acknowledge the need to support investigations of where and how these effects will be felt, and into future-proofing communities to create resilience to sea level rise.   |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 61    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.2.1  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 19.2.1 - Monitor flood hazard on an ongoing basis.  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 63    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 19.2.2 Avoid any inundation of new buildings and where appropriate infrastructure within the coastal environment by ensuring that adequate allowance is made for the following factors when locating, designing and/or constructing any building or infrastructure: |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 64    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.AER.1      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain 19.AER.1 The community's understanding of the effects of climate change and sea level rise improves over time.   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 65    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.AER.2      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain 19.AER.2 Primary producers are able to adapt to the effects of climate change.   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 66    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.AER.3      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain 19.AER.3 Buildings and infrastructure established after the notification of the MEP are not inundated by the sea.  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>351</b>         | Helen Mary Ballinger  | 67    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following AER to Chapter 19 Climate Change<br>19.AER.4 <i>"There is a significant reduction in the carbon footprint of the Marlborough District"</i> .  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>1011</b>        | Peter Banks   | 1     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape       | Policy 7.2.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>1011</b>        | Peter Banks   | 2     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape       | Policy 7.2.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.  |       |          |                   |               |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| <b>1011</b>        | Peter Banks   | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.4   | Support |
| Decision Requested | To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>1011</b>        | Peter Banks   | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.5   | Support |
| Decision Requested | To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>1011</b>        | Peter Banks   | 5     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.6   | Support |
| Decision Requested | To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>1011</b>        | Peter Banks   | 6     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.7   | Support |
| Decision Requested | To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>1011</b>        | Peter Banks   | 7     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>1011</b>        | Peter Banks   | 8     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>1048</b>        | Robyn Anne Barclay  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone          | 16.7.2.        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS." |       |          |                                 |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| <b>1048</b>        | Robyn Anne Barclay   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.3.                                   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>4000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm." |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>317</b>         | David Arthur Barker  | 1     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.1.1                              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I ask that the Council include Lake Elterwater in the outstanding natural features and landscapes of South Marlborough.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>317</b>         | David Arthur Barker  | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.3                              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I ask the Council to prohibit further instream dams in the Lake Elterwater catchment to allow freshwater within its system to maintain the existence of the lake.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>317</b>         | David Arthur Barker  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3                              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I ask the Council to prohibit further instream dams in the Lake Elterwater catchment to allow freshwater within its system to maintain the existence of the lake.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>317</b>         | David Arthur Barker  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            |   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I ask that the Council remove the erosion prone strip marked on our property.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1103</b>        | Stuart Barnes  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1103</b>        | Stuart Barnes  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1103</b>        | Stuart Barnes  | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1103</b>        | Stuart Barnes  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1103</b>        | Stuart Barnes  | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1103</b>        | Stuart Barnes  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1103</b>        | Stuart Barnes  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1103</b>        | Stuart Barnes  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1103</b>        | Stuart Barnes  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1103</b>        | Stuart Barnes  | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>257</b>         | Gary Barnett  | 1     | All      | All             |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Federated Farmers opinions be considered  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1104</b>        | Simon Barnett   | 1     | All      | All             |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1258</b>        | Gary Barnett  | 1     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a clear decision requested.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1258</b>        | Gary Barnett  | 2     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 2.9.9.1 ( <b>inferred</b> ):<br><br><i><del>Standard 2.9.9.1. The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</del></i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1258</b>        | Gary Barnett  | 3     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.4.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 2.11.4 ( <b>inferred</b> ):<br><br><i><del>2.11.4. From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river.</del></i>                                 |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1258</b>        | Gary Barnett  | 4     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.5.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 2.11.5 ( <b>inferred</b> ):<br><br><i><del>2.11.5 From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to pass across the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river.</del></i>                                 |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1258</b>        | Gary Barnett  | 5     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.       | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.  |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| <b>1258</b>        | Gary Barnett   | 6     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                   | 25.           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a clear decision requested.  |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| <b>1258</b>        | Gary Barnett   | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.7.4.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 3.7.4 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><i>Rule 3.7.4 From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river.</i>                                |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| <b>1258</b>        | Gary Barnett   | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.7.5.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 3.7.5 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><i>Rule 3.7.5 From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to pass across the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river.</i>                               |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| <b>1258</b>        | Gary Barnett   | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.21.1.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.21.1 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><i>Standard 3.3.21.1 The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i> |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| <b>1258</b>        | Gary Barnett   | 10    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a clear decision requested.  |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| <b>1258</b>        | Gary Barnett   | 11    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                      | Zoning Map 80 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I wish that the area shown on accompanying map (attached) be removed from this zone. Part of the area includes private land and the other part is subject to a long term lease and is highly modified agricultural land.   |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| <b>1258</b>        | Gary Barnett   | 12    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.7.7.        | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Does this include a long drop and point source application ie caught short out on the farm? <i>(The submission does not include a specific decision requested.)</i>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>783</b>         | Juliet Barton  | 1     | All      | All            |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>283</b>         | Craig Basham   | 1     | All      | All            |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Specific decision requested on notified provisions is not clear in the Submission.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>263</b>         | Mark Batchelor   | 1     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Rule 24.3.1 and more particularly those provisions under the heading '<i>Matters over which the Council has reserved control</i>' prescribed in rules 24.3.1.9 to 24.3.1.26, should have the following rules or words to a similar effect added to it.</p> <p><i>24.3.1.27. Landscape plan including planting and existing trees, development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, street furniture and pathways and other structures within the road reserves and other parts of the subdivision proposed to be vested in Council or held under corporate body or other community ownership and administration within the subdivision.</i></p> <p><i>The design of stormwater drains and swale areas and floodways and stormwater collection ponds, pedestrian pathways and amenity planting, including the species of any planting within them.</i></p> <p><i>How the landscape plan and any site development within public spaces comply with Crime Prevention through environmental design (CEPTED) principle sand guidelines as referred to in Rule 24.1.</i></p> <p><i>24.3.1.28 the extent to which the application provides for and should provide means of satisfying the Crime Prevention through environmental design (CEPTED) principles and guidelines.</i></p> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>263</b>         | Mark Batchelor   | 2     | Volume 2 | All            |           | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following rules to the rules in each zone.</p> <p><i>Existing trees within roads shall be retained unless they are replaced within 1 month of their removal.</i></p> <p><i>Any new subdivisions shall include trees planted within the road reserves and the applications for consent to subdivide shall include a landscape planting and land shaping plan including street trees at a minimum of one tree located within the area of the road reserve that is adjacent to each lot within the subdivision.</i></p> <p><i>Pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent.</i></p> <p><i>Any trees removed for the purpose of protecting existing lines shall be replaced by new trees.</i></p> <p><i>Where any telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p> <p><i>Equipment, structures and containers associated with services and utilities located within roadways shall be screened by vegetation and coloured in low reflectivity colours [these will need to be specified in the rule].</i></p> |       |          |                                 |           |        |
| <b>263</b>         | Mark Batchelor   | 3     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of rural environments provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p>  |       |          |                                 |           |        |
| <b>263</b>         | Mark Batchelor   | 4     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments           | 12.       | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of urban environments provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p>             |       |          |   |           |        |
| <b>263</b>         | Mark Batchelor  | 5     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | 7.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of landscape provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p>                      |       |          |   |           |        |
| <b>263</b>         | Mark Batchelor  | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of natural and physical resources provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p> |       |          |   |           |        |
| <b>263</b>         | Mark Batchelor  | 7     | Volume 3 | Appendix 7 Scheme Plan Requirements     |           | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Appendix 7 should have the following rule added under the heading ' <i>Information</i> ' ;<br><br><i>13. A landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and public garden and ornaments, street furniture and pathways and other structures and public utilities and services proposed to be vested within the road reserves and other parts of the subdivision which will be vested in Council and how existing trees are incorporated in the subdivision layout.</i>   |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>263</b>         | Mark Batchelor  | 8     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.5.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Rule 24.5 should have the following rule or words to a similar effect added to it, or words to a similar effect;<br><br><i>24.5.4. Any application for consent to subdivide that does not comply with rules 24.1.7. 24.1.12, 24.1.18, 24.1.1.1, 24.2.1.3 and 24.2.1.2 as applicable (requested by this submission to be added to the Plan) shall be publically notified.</i>  |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>263</b>         | Mark Batchelor  | 9     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.4.1.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Rule 24.4.1. and more particularly those provisions under the heading ' <i>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion</i> ' should have the following matter or words to a similar effect added to it.<br><br><i>24.4.1.15. Landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and public garden and ornaments, street furniture and pathways and other structures and public utilities and services proposed to be vested within the road reserves and other parts of the subdivision which will be vested in Council and how existing trees are incorporated in the subdivision layout.</i>  |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>263</b>         | Mark Batchelor  | 10    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.2.1.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The following rules or words to a similar effect should be added to Rule 24.2.1;<br><br><i>24.2.1.2. All network utilities lines shall be located underground.</i><br><br><i>24.2.1.3 All structures, located within roadways and other land areas being vested, including, but not exclusively, cases and containers containing services and utilities and other equipment required or proposed to be located above ground surface shall be coloured in low reflectivity colours ... [THESE ARE TO BE DETERMINED IN THE REVIEW PROCESS].... [these are to be specified in the rule] ..... and screened from the road frontage and adjacent lots by landscape planting or land shaping or combination of these.</i> |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>263</b>         | Mark Batchelor  | 11    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.1.     | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following rule under the heading '<i>Roading</i>':</p> <p><i>Applications for subdivision consent shall provide a landscape planting and land shaping plan for all roads within the subdivision. The plan shall show any proposed landscape planting, existing trees and development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, furniture and pathways and other structures. The landscape plan shall provide at a minimum, one tree located within the area of road reserve adjacent to each allotment.</i></p> |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>263</b>         | Mark Batchelor   | 12    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.1.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following rule under the heading '<i>Electricity</i>':</p> <p><i>All electricity lines shall be located underground.</i></p>  |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>263</b>         | Mark Batchelor   | 13    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.1.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following rule under the heading '<i>Telecommunications</i>':</p> <p><i>All telecommunication lines shall be located underground.</i></p>   |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>263</b>         | Mark Batchelor   | 14    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.1.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following rule under the heading '<i>Esplanade Reserves and Esplanade Strips</i>':</p> <p><i>A landscape plan shall be provided including planting and existing trees land shaping plan shall be provided for all esplanade reserves and esplanade strips shall be provided with any application for consent to subdivide. The landscape plan shall show landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, furniture and pathways and other structures.</i></p>                      |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>263</b>         | Mark Batchelor   | 15    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.1.     | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|--------|---------|-----------|------|
| Decision Requested |   |       |        |         |           |      |
|                    | <p>Add new requirements under 24.1 as follows:</p> <p>Under a new heading Reserves and other public spaces, excluding esplanade reserves and esplanade strips;</p> <p><i>Applications for subdivision consent shall provide a landscape planting and land shaping plan showing any proposed landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, furniture and pathways and other structures.</i></p> <p>Under a new heading 'Stormwater drains and swale areas and floodways and stormwater collection ponds ';</p> <p><i>Stormwater drains and swale areas and floodways and stormwater collection ponds shall be designed to provide grades along their banks that make ingress and egress on foot, provide pedestrian pathways along or around sides and amenity planting within them.</i></p> <p>A new rule be added prescribing the following or wording to a similar effect;</p> <p><i>Crime Prevention through environmental design (CEPTED)</i></p> <p><i>The application shall include description and assessment of how the landscape plan satisfies the Crime Prevention through environmental design(CEPTED) principles and guidelines specified by the 'National guidelines for crime prevention through environmental design in New Zealand (Publication dated November 2005 or any subsequent updates.</i></p> <p>A new rule be added prescribing the following or wording to a similar effect;</p> <p><i>Covenants and other restrictions and controls</i></p> <p><i>Any covenants and any other restrictions and requirements on development, planting, fencing and walls along, on or parallel to the front boundaries placed on properties shall be specified in the application. The application shall include description and assessment of how these satisfy the Crime Prevention through environmental design (CEPTED) principles and guidelines specified by the 'National guidelines for crime prevention through environmental design in New Zealand (Publication date November 2005 or any subsequent updates.</i></p> <p>A new rule be added prescribing the following or wording to a similar effect;</p> <p><i>The application shall include description and assessment of how the New Zealand Urban Design Protocol and any national standards or policy statements relating to urban design.</i></p> |       |        |         |           |      |

| Sub No | Submitter      | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision | Type   |
|--------|----------------|-------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|--------|
| 278    | Mark Batchelor | 1     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Issue 12B | Oppose |

Decision Requested

Additional Objectives and policies shall be added to Chapter 12 of the objectives and policies Volume 1 requiring the following or words to similar effect;

*Objective 12.....*

To provide opportunity for business development within Business zones adjoining residential areas while protecting the amenities of residential properties and zones adjoining, facing, opposite to and adjacent to areas of Business Zone or on sites that Business activities may be permitted to be established on within an Urban Residential zone.

*Policy 12.....*

*Consideration of the design and appearance, scale, intensity and character of any business development and activity shall be concerned with protecting the existing amenities and amenities that may be expected from the Permitted Activity standards of the surrounding Urban Residential zone.*

*Policy .....*

*Combination of the development and performance standards of the surrounding Urban Residential zone and existing development in the immediately surrounding area shall be used to determine the appropriateness of the scale, intensity and character of building and site development for the business activity.*

*Policy .....*

*The scale, intensity and character of buildings and site development and effects shall be similar or be designed to appear similar to and have effects similar to the scale, intensity and character of development that may be expected from the Permitted Activity standards applicable to the surrounding Urban Residential zone or alternatively that exist in the immediate locality.*

*Policy .....*

Operational effects will comply with the performance standards applicable to the surrounding Urban Residential Area. In circumstances where the ambient conditions applicable to those matters in regard to which performance standards are prescribed are less than the maximums prescribed by those standards or have variable characteristics resulting from the residential nature of the locality, the operational effects of the business activity in these regards shall be no greater than those ambient levels and characteristics.

|            |                |   |          |                   |    |        |
|------------|----------------|---|----------|-------------------|----|--------|
| <b>278</b> | Mark Batchelor | 2 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9. | Oppose |
|------------|----------------|---|----------|-------------------|----|--------|

|                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the rules be altered to include the following additional rule or words to a similar effect.</p> <p>Site adjoining or adjacent or facing residential zoned properties.</p> <p>Development of the site and buildings, activities and operational characteristics are Controlled Activities.<br/>Assessment of these applications shall include consideration of the objectives and policies relating to properties adjoining or adjacent or facing residential zoned properties.</p> |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

|            |                |   |          |                    |     |        |
|------------|----------------|---|----------|--------------------|-----|--------|
| <b>278</b> | Mark Batchelor | 3 | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11. | Oppose |
|------------|----------------|---|----------|--------------------|-----|--------|

|                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the rules be altered to include the following additional rule or words to a similar effect.</p> <p>Site adjoining or adjacent or facing residential zoned properties.</p> <p>Development of the site and buildings, activities and operational characteristics are Controlled Activities.<br/>Assessment of these applications shall include consideration of the objectives and policies relating to properties adjoining or adjacent or facing residential zoned properties.</p> |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

|            |                |   |          |                    |     |        |
|------------|----------------|---|----------|--------------------|-----|--------|
| <b>278</b> | Mark Batchelor | 4 | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10. | Oppose |
|------------|----------------|---|----------|--------------------|-----|--------|

|                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the rules be altered to include the following additional rule or words to a similar effect.</p> <p>Site adjoining or adjacent or facing residential zoned properties.</p> <p>Development of the site and buildings, activities and operational characteristics are Controlled Activities.<br/>Assessment of these applications shall include consideration of the objectives and policies relating to properties adjoining or adjacent or facing residential zoned properties.</p> |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| <b>361</b>         | Mark Batchelor   | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.31.                       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include a new rule providing for reduction of onsite parking requirements on the basis of one space for each 5 bike racks under cover and associated bathroom and shower facilities including storage for clothing being provided on the premises. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>571</b>         | BDM Management Limited   | 1     | All      | All   |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>733</b>         | Graeme L Beal  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>733</b>         | Graeme L Beal  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>733</b>         | Graeme L Beal  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8307 in Brightlands Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>733</b>         | Graeme L Beal  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8306 in Brightlands Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>733</b>         | Graeme L Beal  | 5     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8574 and 8590 in Forsyth Bay, and 8307 and 8306 in Brightlands Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>733</b>         | Graeme L Beal  | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>733</b>         | Graeme L Beal  | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>733</b>         | Graeme L Beal  | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>733</b>         | Graeme L Beal  | 9     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>733</b>         | Graeme L Beal  | 10    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8307 in Brightlands Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                     |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>733</b>         | Graeme L Beal  | 11    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8306 in Brightlands Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                     |       |          |              |              |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>733</b>         | Graeme L Beal   | 12    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8574 and 8590 in Forsyth Bay, and 8307 and 8306 in Brightlands Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>608</b>         | Beal Family Trust   | 1     | All      | All                                     |                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We support and agree with the submission lodged by MFIA and seek the same outcome.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1069</b>        | Beaver Bed and Breakfast  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                         | 2.32.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to:</p> <p><i>2.32 Standards that apply to specific permitted activities</i></p> <p><i>Table 2.1 Parking and Queuing Space Requirements</i></p> <p><i>Visitor accommodation or homestay</i></p> <p><i>For homestays – <b>21 for each bedroom</b> in addition to that required for the dwelling.</i></p> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>29</b>          | Beaver Limited and Clouston Sounds Trust  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 126 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The Coastal Living zone be extended over Lot 1 DP 10803 to a more logical boundary based on adjoining zonings.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>452</b>         | Beconbrae Farm  | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.4.12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.12   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>452</b>         | Beconbrae Farm  | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.4.13 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.13   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>452</b>         | Beconbrae Farm  | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.2   | Support         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.2   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>452</b>         | Beconbrae Farm  | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.7                              | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.7   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1053</b>        | Roger Bee   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1053</b>        | Roger Bee   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1053</b>        | Roger Bee   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1053</b>        | Roger Bee   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1053</b>        | Roger Bee   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.             |       |          |   |   |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1053</b>        | Roger Bee  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1053</b>        | Roger Bee  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1053</b>        | Roger Bee  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1053</b>        | Roger Bee  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1053</b>        | Roger Bee  | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>41</b>          | Edward Ross Beech  | 1            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.6.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>41</b>          | Edward Ross Beech  | 2            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.8.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>41</b>          | Edward Ross Beech  | 3            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.10.1.        | Support     |

| Sub No             | Submitter                                | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed standard. (inferred) |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>41</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                        | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.2.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed standard. (inferred) |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>41</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                        | 5     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed standard. (inferred) |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>41</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                        | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.9.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed standard. (inferred) |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>41</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                        | 7     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.3.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed standard. (inferred) |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>41</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                        | 8     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.5.3.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed standard. (inferred) |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>41</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                        | 9     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.3.7.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed standard. (inferred) |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>41</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                        | 10    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.3.9.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed standard. (inferred) |       |          |                            |           |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                          | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>41</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 11           | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone       | 8.5.3.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)  |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 1            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 2            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 3            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 4            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 5            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 6            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 7            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 8            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.5     | Support     |

| Sub No             | Submitter                                 | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 9     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.6  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 10    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 11    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 12    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 13    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 14    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 15    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 16    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.13 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter                                 | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 17    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 18    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 19    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 20    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 21    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 22    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 23    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 24    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.8 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter                                 | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision. (inferred) |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 25    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.1     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed method. (inferred)    |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 26    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.2     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed method. (inferred)    |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 27    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.3     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed method. (inferred)    |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 28    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.4     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed method. (inferred)    |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 29    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.5     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed method. (inferred)    |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 30    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.6     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed method. (inferred)    |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 31    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.7     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed method. (inferred)    |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                         | 32    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.8     | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter                              | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed method. (inferred) |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                      | 33    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.9     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed method. (inferred) |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                      | 34    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.10    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed method. (inferred) |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                      | 35    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.11    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed method. (inferred) |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>42</b>          | Edward Ross Beech                      | 36    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.12    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed method. (inferred) |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>692</b>         | Edward Ross Beech                      | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone  | 3.3.6.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.6.1.               |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>692</b>         | Edward Ross Beech                      | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone  | 3.3.8.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.8.1.               |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>692</b>         | Edward Ross Beech                      | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone  | 3.3.10.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.10.1.              |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>692</b>         | Edward Ross Beech                      | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone  | 3.7.2.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.2.                     |       |          |                           |           |         |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>         | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>692</b>         | Edward Ross Beech        | 5            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.7.1. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>692</b>         | Edward Ross Beech        | 6            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.9.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.9.1. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>692</b>         | Edward Ross Beech        | 7            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.3.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.7.3.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>692</b>         | Edward Ross Beech        | 8            | Volume 2      | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.5.3.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 7.5.3.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>692</b>         | Edward Ross Beech        | 9            | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.3.7.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 8.3.7.1. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>692</b>         | Edward Ross Beech        | 10           | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.3.9.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 8.3.9.1. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>692</b>         | Edward Ross Beech        | 11           | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.5.3.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 8.5.3.       |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech        | 1            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | Issue 8A         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Support Issue 8A.        |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech        | 2            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | Policy 8.1.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy 8.1.1.    |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>     | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech    | 3            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.1.2. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech    | 4            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.1.3. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech    | 5            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.1. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech    | 6            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.2. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech    | 7            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.3. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech    | 8            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.4. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech    | 9            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.5. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech    | 10           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.6. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech    | 11           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.7. |              |               |                           |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>      | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech     | 12           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.8.  |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech     | 13           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.9.  |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech     | 14           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.10    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.10. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech     | 15           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.11    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.11. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech     | 16           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.12    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.12. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech     | 17           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.13    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.13. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech     | 18           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.1.  |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech     | 19           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.2.  |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech     | 20           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.3.  |              |               |                           |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>     | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech    | 21           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.4. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech    | 22           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.5. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech    | 23           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.6. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech    | 24           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.7. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech    | 25           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.8. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech    | 26           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.1            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.1.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech    | 27           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.2            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.2.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech    | 28           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.3            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.3.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech    | 29           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.4            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.4.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>       | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech      | 30           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | 8.M.5            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.5.          |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech      | 31           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | 8.M.6            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.6.          |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech      | 32           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | 8.M.7            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.7.          |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech      | 33           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | 8.M.8            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.8.          |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech      | 34           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | 8.M.9            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.9.          |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech      | 35           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | 8.M.10           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.10.         |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech      | 36           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | 8.M.11           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.11.         |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>693</b>         | Edward Ross Beech      | 37           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | 8.M.12           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.12.         |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>699</b>         | Pete and Takutai Beech | 1            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.         | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 4.3.6.1:</p> <p><i>4.3.6.1. Replanting must not be in, or within:</i><br/> (c) <del>30</del> <b>200</b> metres of the coastal marine area.</p> <p>That the following new standards are included (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p>A buffer zone for all forest plantations is comprised of native bush with its associated under-story.</p> <p>Any pine plantation adjacent to DOC or private land should be made to stop the planting 100 metres from the ridge lines to stop the pines from dominating the skyline and allowing spill over and allowing wilding pines to spread.</p> <p>Any forest that is regarded as an uneconomic forest and the owners have no intention of reharvesting should be compelled to boom spray to kill all the regenerating pines and allow the native bush to regenerate.</p> <p>A harvesting management plan should be required prior to harvest and should include contacting Iwi and Eco tour operators to see if there are any cultural? or environmental issues that they need to be aware of and pay attention too.</p> <p>Every stream bed from the harvest site needs to have debris dams and engineered soak pits or sediment traps that filter out and prevent the sediment from filling up the bays with mud and smothering the benthic life.</p> <p>Kaimoana beds are protected from sedimentation and restored.</p> |       |          |                                   |           |        |
| <b>699</b>         | Pete and Takutai Beech  | 2     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That bottom dredging is banned in the Sounds for both Commercial and recreational uses.</p> <p>That the practice of set netting and long lines is banned.</p> <p>That a sustainable management plan is included for the Sounds recreational fishery.</p>   |       |          |                                   |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 699                | Pete and Takutai Beech  | 3     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity         | 8.M.7          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a Dolphin protection programme for the Sounds is undertaken.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 699                | Pete and Takutai Beech  | 4     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone            | 16.3.1.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Ferry speeds should be limited to 15 kts. No Grandfather clauses.<br><br>Retain standard 16.3.1.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 699                | Pete and Takutai Beech  | 5     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13I      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That all shipping is prohibited in Tory Channel ( <i>inferred</i> ).  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 699                | Pete and Takutai Beech  | 6     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.9 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 699                | Pete and Takutai Beech  | 7     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That greater recognition is given to Picton as being "The Environmental Capital of the Sounds" ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 459                | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 1     | All      | All                               |                | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ensure all rules within the MEP are effects based, rather than regulating actual farming activities.</li> <li>Consequential restructuring or amendments to the Plan and other provisions such as the definitions, objectives and policies, or parts thereof, arising from the material amendments sought.</li> </ul> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 459                | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 2     | All      | All                               |                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Include an alternative pathway in the MEP to encourage proactive on-farm behaviour through the adoption of Farm Environment Plans.</li> <li>The alternative pathway could be to the effect of:</li> </ul>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |

Farming (except intensive farming) undertaken in accordance with a council approved Farm Environment Plan template is a permitted activity, provided the Farm Environment Plan is prepared and implemented in accordance with (schedule X or to like effect), and provided to Marlborough District Council on request.

OR

- introduce a method that allows farmers to develop a farm environment plan that enables them to demonstrate compliance with permitted activity rules

- Schedule X could be to the effect of:

A map or aerial photograph showing:

- The boundaries of the property or within the farm enterprise;
- The boundaries of land management units on the property or within the farm enterprise
- The location of permanent and intermittent rivers, streams, lakes, drains or ponds;
- The location of riparian vegetation and fences adjacent to water bodies;
- The location of any areas within the property that are identified in a District Plan as "significant indigenous biodiversity;" and
- The location of any known and recorded heritage sites.

- A description of the Good Management Practices that will be implemented to target the following management areas, where relevant:

- Nutrient Management;
- Irrigation Management;
- Soils Management;
- Waterbody Management; and/or
- Point sources (e.g. offal pits).



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 3            | All           | All                        |                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete reference to specific species within the rules, standards, and appendices;<br>Amend rules relating to pest species so that they refer back to the Marlborough Regional Pest Management Strategy for direction on management/ control actions. |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 4            | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules            | 2.11.4.          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the MEP so that activities that Council has classified as prohibited (rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4; 4.7.4; 3.7.1; 4.7.1.;7.5.1;8.5.1) are downgraded to non-complying or discretionary activities.  |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 5            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.4.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the MEP so that activities that Council has classified as prohibited (rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4; 4.7.4; 3.7.1; 4.7.1.;7.5.1;8.5.1) are downgraded to non-complying or discretionary activities.  |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 6            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.4.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the MEP so that activities that Council has classified as prohibited (rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4; 4.7.4; 3.7.1; 4.7.1.;7.5.1;8.5.1) are downgraded to non-complying or discretionary activities.  |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 7            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.1.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the MEP so that activities that Council has classified as prohibited (rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4; 4.7.4; 3.7.1; 4.7.1.;7.5.1;8.5.1) are downgraded to non-complying or discretionary activities.  |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 8            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.1.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the MEP so that activities that Council has classified as prohibited (rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4; 4.7.4; 3.7.1; 4.7.1.;7.5.1;8.5.1) are downgraded to non-complying or discretionary activities.  |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 9            | Volume 2      | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.5.1.           | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Downgrade some of the prohibited activity controls within the MEP<br>B+LNZ submits that some of prohibited activities used within the MEP appear unnecessarily restrictive.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 10    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone                     | 8.5.1.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the MEP so that activities that Council has classified as prohibited (rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4; 4.7.4; 3.7.1; 4.7.1.;7.5.1;8.5.1) are downgraded to non-complying or discretionary activities.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 11    | All      | All                                     |              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the MEP rules so that any measurements used are practical, part of the everyday vernacular, and can be interpreted by the community.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 12    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> |       |          |   |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 459                | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 13    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.9.9.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| 459                | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 14    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| 459                | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 15    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| 459                | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 16    | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone           | 21.3.16.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 17    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That a method is introduced into the MEP that provides for and recognises the value of adopting Farm Environment Plans as an alternate to prescriptive activity based rules.</p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 18    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 19    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 20    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 21    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.23.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 22    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 23    | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.3.8.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 24    | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.3.9.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 25    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 26    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone                 | 23.3.5.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 27    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a provision in Objective 14.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p>   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 28    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a provision in Policy 14.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 29    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.1.         | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Include an alternative pathway in the MEP to encourage proactive on-farm behaviour that front foots environmental issues; and/or Establish a new farming rule as a permitted activity which requires the development and implementation of a council approved Farm Environment Plan that would provide an alternative method of complying with the rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> <p>The alternative pathway would be to the effect (or to similar effect) of:<br/> 3.3.1.2. Despite rules (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3; 3.3.11; 3.3.12; 3.3.13; 4.3.12; 3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5;) farming (except intensive farming) undertaken in accordance with a council approved Farm Environment Plan template is a permitted activity, provided the Farm Environment Plan is prepared and implemented in accordance with (schedule X or to like effect), and provided to Marlborough District Council on request.</p> <p>Schedule X could be to the effect of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A map or aerial photograph showing:</li> <li>• The boundaries of the property or within the farm enterprise;</li> <li>• The boundaries of land management units on the property or within the farm enterprise</li> <li>• The location of permanent and intermittent rivers, streams, lakes, drains or ponds;</li> <li>• The location of riparian vegetation and fences adjacent to water bodies;</li> <li>• The location of any areas within the property that are identified in a District Plan as “significant indigenous biodiversity;” and</li> <li>• The location of any known and recorded heritage sites.</li> <li>• A description of the Good Management Practices that will be implemented to target the following management areas, where relevant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nutrient Management;</li> <li>• Irrigation Management;</li> <li>• Soils Management;</li> <li>• Waterbody Management; and/or</li> <li>• Point sources (e.g. offal pits).</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 459                | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 30    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.1.    | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Include an alternative pathway in the MEP to encourage proactive on-farm behaviour that front foots environmental issues; and/or Establish a new farming rule as a permitted activity which requires the development and implementation of a council approved Farm Environment Plan that would provide an alternative method of complying with the rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> <p>The alternative pathway would be to the effect (or to similar effect) of:<br/> 3.3.1.2. Despite rules (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3; 3.3.11; 3.3.12; 3.3.13; 4.3.12; 3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5;) farming (except intensive farming) undertaken in accordance with a council approved Farm Environment Plan template is a permitted activity, provided the Farm Environment Plan is prepared and implemented in accordance with (schedule X or to like effect), and provided to Marlborough District Council on request.</p> <p>Schedule X could be to the effect of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A map or aerial photograph showing:</li> <li>• The boundaries of the property or within the farm enterprise;</li> <li>• The boundaries of land management units on the property or within the farm enterprise</li> <li>• The location of permanent and intermittent rivers, streams, lakes, drains or ponds;</li> <li>• The location of riparian vegetation and fences adjacent to water bodies;</li> <li>• The location of any areas within the property that are identified in a District Plan as “significant indigenous biodiversity;” and</li> <li>• The location of any known and recorded heritage sites.</li> <li>• A description of the Good Management Practices that will be implemented to target the following management areas, where relevant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nutrient Management;</li> <li>• Irrigation Management;</li> <li>• Soils Management;</li> <li>• Waterbody Management; and/or</li> <li>• Point sources (e.g. offal pits).</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |       |          |                     |           |        |
| 459                | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 31    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.6.    | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Include an alternative pathway in the MEP to encourage proactive on-farm behaviour that front foots environmental issues; and/or Establish a new farming rule as a permitted activity which requires the development and implementation of a council approved Farm Environment Plan that would provide an alternative method of complying with the rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> <p>The alternative pathway would be to the effect (or to similar effect) of:<br/> 3.3.1.2. Despite rules (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3; 3.3.11; 3.3.12; 3.3.13; 4.3.12; 3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5;) farming (except intensive farming) undertaken in accordance with a council approved Farm Environment Plan template is a permitted activity, provided the Farm Environment Plan is prepared and implemented in accordance with (schedule X or to like effect), and provided to Marlborough District Council on request.</p> <p>Schedule X could be to the effect of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A map or aerial photograph showing:</li> <li>• The boundaries of the property or within the farm enterprise;</li> <li>• The boundaries of land management units on the property or within the farm enterprise</li> <li>• The location of permanent and intermittent rivers, streams, lakes, drains or ponds;</li> <li>• The location of riparian vegetation and fences adjacent to water bodies;</li> <li>• The location of any areas within the property that are identified in a District Plan as “significant indigenous biodiversity;” and</li> <li>• The location of any known and recorded heritage sites.</li> <li>• A description of the Good Management Practices that will be implemented to target the following management areas, where relevant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nutrient Management;</li> <li>• Irrigation Management;</li> <li>• Soils Management;</li> <li>• Waterbody Management; and/or</li> <li>• Point sources (e.g. offal pits).</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |       |          |                      |           |        |
| 459                | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 32    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.8.   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Include an alternative pathway in the MEP to encourage proactive on-farm behaviour that front foots environmental issues; and/or Establish a new farming rule as a permitted activity which requires the development and implementation of a council approved Farm Environment Plan that would provide an alternative method of complying with the rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> <p>The alternative pathway would be to the effect (or to similar effect) of:<br/> 3.3.1.2. Despite rules (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3; 3.3.11; 3.3.12; 3.3.13; 4.3.12; 3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5;) farming (except intensive farming) undertaken in accordance with a council approved Farm Environment Plan template is a permitted activity, provided the Farm Environment Plan is prepared and implemented in accordance with (schedule X or to like effect), and provided to Marlborough District Council on request.</p> <p>Schedule X could be to the effect of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A map or aerial photograph showing:</li> <li>• The boundaries of the property or within the farm enterprise;</li> <li>• The boundaries of land management units on the property or within the farm enterprise</li> <li>• The location of permanent and intermittent rivers, streams, lakes, drains or ponds;</li> <li>• The location of riparian vegetation and fences adjacent to water bodies;</li> <li>• The location of any areas within the property that are identified in a District Plan as “significant indigenous biodiversity;” and</li> <li>• The location of any known and recorded heritage sites.</li> <li>• A description of the Good Management Practices that will be implemented to target the following management areas, where relevant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nutrient Management;</li> <li>• Irrigation Management;</li> <li>• Soils Management;</li> <li>• Waterbody Management; and/or</li> <li>• Point sources (e.g. offal pits).</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 459                | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 33    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.    | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend all standards relating to livestock accessing waterways so they focus on the effects of the activity, not prescribing the activity itself. Simplify standards so they are easy to interpret and understand. These standards could be worded to the effect of:</p> <p><b>Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <u>Except as provided by rule 3.3.1.2., the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</u></li> <li>2. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river., measured as follows:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) <u>hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</u></li> <li>b) <u>the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site;</u></li> <li>c) <u>the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%.</u></li> </ol> </li> <li>3. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not result in a change in concentration of following:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) <u>daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</u></li> <li>(b) <u>dissolved reactive phosphorus;</u></li> <li>(c) <u>dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</u></li> <li>(d) <u>Escherichia coli (E. coli).</u></li> </ol> </li> <li>2. <u>Livestock are able to enter water bodies for the purpose of crossing from one side to the other if they are being supervised and actively driven across the water body in one continuous movement.</u></li> <li>3. <u>If the farm/ farming enterprise is operating under a council approved Farm Environment Plan, then the Farm Environment Plan takes precedence over conditions 1 and 2.</u></li> <li>4. <u>The disturbance of the bed of a river and associated discharge through stock access that does not comply with conditions 1 and 2, or alternatively condition 3, is a discretionary activity.</u></li> </ol> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 459                | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 34    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend all standards relating to livestock accessing waterways so they focus on the effects of the activity, not prescribing the activity itself. Simplify standards so they are easy to interpret and understand. These standards could be worded to the effect of:</p> <p><b>Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river.</b></p> <p>1. <u>Except as provided by rule 3.3.1.2., the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</u></p> <p>2. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river., measured as follows:</u></p> <p>a) <u>hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</u></p> <p>b) <u>the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site;</u></p> <p>c) <u>the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%.</u></p> <p>3. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not result in a change in concentration of following:</u></p> <p>(a) <u>daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</u></p> <p>(b) <u>dissolved reactive phosphorus;</u></p> <p>(c) <u>dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</u></p> <p>(d) <u>Escherichia coli (E. coli).</u></p> <p>2. <u>Livestock are able to enter water bodies for the purpose of crossing from one side to the other if they are being supervised and actively driven across the water body in one continuous movement.</u></p> <p>3. <u>If the farm/ farming enterprise is operating under a council approved Farm Environment Plan, then the Farm Environment Plan takes precedence over conditions 1 and 2.</u></p> <p>4. <u>The disturbance of the bed of a river and associated discharge through stock access that does not comply with conditions 1 and 2, or alternatively condition 3, is a discretionary activity.</u></p> |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 459                | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 35    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter          | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------|------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend all standards relating to livestock accessing waterways so they focus on the effects of the activity, not prescribing the activity itself. Simplify standards so they are easy to interpret and understand. These standards could be worded to the effect of:</p> <p><b>Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river.</b></p> <p>1. <u>Except as provided by rule 3.3.1.2., the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</u></p> <p>2. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river., measured as follows:</u></p> <p>a) <u>hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</u></p> <p>b) <u>the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site;</u></p> <p>c) <u>the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%.</u></p> <p>3. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not result in a change in concentration of following:</u></p> <p>(a) <u>daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</u></p> <p>(b) <u>dissolved reactive phosphorus;</u></p> <p>(c) <u>dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</u></p> <p>(d) <u>Escherichia coli (E. coli).</u></p> <p>2. <u>Livestock are able to enter water bodies for the purpose of crossing from one side to the other if they are being supervised and actively driven across the water body in one continuous movement.</u></p> <p>3. <u>If the farm/ farming enterprise is operating under a council approved Farm Environment Plan, then the Farm Environment Plan takes precedence over conditions 1 and 2.</u></p> <p>4. <u>The disturbance of the bed of a river and associated discharge through stock access that does not comply with conditions 1 and 2, or alternatively condition 3, is a discretionary activity.</u></p> |       |          |                  |            |        |
| 459                | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 36    | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone | 21.3.16.3. | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend all standards relating to livestock accessing waterways so they focus on the effects of the activity, not prescribing the activity itself. Simplify standards so they are easy to interpret and understand. These standards could be worded to the effect of:</p> <p><b>Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river.</b></p> <p>1. <u>Except as provided by rule 3.3.1.2., the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</u></p> <p>2. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river., measured as follows:</u><br/> a) <u>hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</u><br/> b) <u>the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site;</u><br/> c) <u>the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%.</u></p> <p>3. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not result in a change in concentration of following:</u><br/> (a) <u>daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</u><br/> (b) <u>dissolved reactive phosphorus;</u><br/> (c) <u>dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</u><br/> (d) <u>Escherichia coli (E. coli).</u></p> <p>2. <u>Livestock are able to enter water bodies for the purpose of crossing from one side to the other if they are being supervised and actively driven across the water body in one continuous movement.</u></p> <p>3. <u>If the farm/ farming enterprise is operating under a council approved Farm Environment Plan, then the Farm Environment Plan takes precedence over conditions 1 and 2.</u></p> <p>4. <u>The disturbance of the bed of a river and associated discharge through stock access that does not comply with conditions 1 and 2, or alternatively condition 3, is a discretionary activity.</u></p> |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 459                | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 37    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.11.4.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4., and 4.7.4 from prohibited status to discretionary status.   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 459                | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 38    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.4.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4., and 4.7.4 from prohibited status to discretionary status.   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 459                | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 39    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.4.    | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4., and 4.7.4 from prohibited status to discretionary status.   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 40    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.12.5.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete rules 2.11.5., 3.7.5., and 4.7.5.  |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 41    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.5.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete rules 2.11.5., 3.7.5., and 4.7.5.  |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 42    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.5.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete rules 2.11.5., 3.7.5., and 4.7.5.  |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 43    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.8.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete tree species names, and amend rules so species establishment restrictions are managed through the Regional Pest Management Strategy, not the MEP.<br><br>Amend rules so that the focus is shifted away from activity and onto managing environmental effects of woodlot establishment.<br><br>Re-evaluate the environmental risk of these standards. Where environmental risk is low, amend so the standards default to a controlled or restricted discretionary activity status, not discretionary. |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 44    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete tree species names, and amend rules so species establishment restrictions are managed through the Regional Pest Management Strategy, not the MEP.<br><br>Amend rules so that the focus is shifted away from activity and onto managing environmental effects of woodlot establishment.<br><br>Re-evaluate the environmental risk of these standards. Where environmental risk is low, amend so the standards default to a controlled or restricted discretionary activity status, not discretionary. |       |          |                            |           |        |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 45           | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.3.7.           | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete tree species names, and amend rules so species establishment restrictions are managed through the Regional Pest Management Strategy, not the MEP.</p> <p>Amend rules so that the focus is shifted away from activity and onto managing environmental effects of woodlot establishment.</p> <p>Re-evaluate the environmental risk of these standards. Where environmental risk is low, amend so the standards default to a controlled or restricted discretionary activity status, not discretionary.</p> |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 46           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.10.          | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Delete tree species names, and amend rules so species establishment restrictions are managed through the Regional Pest Management Strategy, not the MEP.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 47           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.1.           | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Revise activity status from prohibited to discretionary.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 48           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.1.           | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Revise activity status from prohibited to discretionary.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 49           | Volume 2      | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.5.1.           | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Revise activity status from prohibited to discretionary.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 50           | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.5.1.           | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Revise activity status from prohibited to discretionary.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 51    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.9.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend all standards relating to woodlot harvest so they focus on the effects of the activity, not the inputs.   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 52    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend all standards relating to woodlot harvest so they focus on the effects of the activity, not the inputs.   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 53    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.3.8.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend all standards relating to woodlot harvest so they focus on the effects of the activity, not the inputs.   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 54    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend standard 3.3.11.1. to read:</p> <p>Indigenous vegetation clearance must comply with Standards 3.3.12.1 to 3.3.12.11 (inclusive).</p> <p>Note and action relief sought for non-indigenous vegetation clearance.</p> |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 55    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.12.   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|--------|---------|-----------|------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Redraft permitted activity rule to the effect of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Except as provided by rule 3.3.1.2, non-indigenous vegetation clearance is a permitted activity, as long as the activity complies with the following conditions: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Any earthworks, the formation of any new track and any planting or replanting of forestry trees must not occur on land that is in, or within 8m of: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) the bed of a river that is permanently flowing; or</li> <li>(ii) the bed of a lake; or</li> <li>(iii) within 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification; or</li> <li>(iv) within 200m of the coastal marine area; unless the new track or earthworks in (a)(i) to (iv) is: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(A) necessary to connect to and from a formed river crossing point that is a consented or permitted activity, and/or</li> <li>(B) for the purpose of the maintenance or upgrade of an existing track or earthwork.</li> </ol> </li> </ol> </li> <li>(b) Harvesting, or the maintenance of or establishment of new tracks must not be within such proximity to any abstraction point for a drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply</li> <li>(c) Any new planting of forestry trees and associated formation of any new track or earthworks must not occur on land that is in, or within 10 m of wetlands (including lakes), unless the new track or earthworks is: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(A) necessary to connect to and from a formed river crossing point that is a consented or permitted activity; and/or</li> <li>(B) for the purpose of the maintenance or upgrade of an existing track* or earthwork.</li> </ol> </li> <li>(d) Any area of forestry that is harvested (other than firebreaks, tracks, landing sites or areas in (a) and (b)) must be planted or replanted to protect from erosion as soon as practicable and no later than 18 months from the date of the harvesting, unless the area is left to re vegetate naturally.</li> <li>(e) Water run-off controls must be installed and maintained for tracks and landing sites.</li> <li>(f) Batters, cuts and side castings must be established by methods that prevent slumping.</li> <li>(g) Vegetation must be felled away from and not be dragged through any water body other than where this is necessary to avoid endangering the health and safety of workers, or where it is unavoidable and is the best harvest method such as, but not limited to, hauling through corridors or butt extraction, and (i) any discharge resulting from the activity must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a &gt;20% change in visual water clarity</li> <li>(h) Harvesting must be planned and carried out so as to minimise the amount of slash discharging into any area listed in (a)(i) and (ii).</li> <li>(i) Slash must be removed from within areas listed in (a)(i) where it is blocking river flow, or is diverting river flow and causing bank erosion.</li> <li>(j) Slash associated with landing sites and processing sites must be placed on stable ground and contained to prevent accumulated slash from causing erosion or land instability.</li> <li>(k) Any discharge resulting from the activity must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a greater than 20% change in visual clarity for that waterbody, or/and shall not cause &gt; 20% deposition of sediment on the bed of the waterbody</li> </ol> </li> <li>2. If the farm/ farming enterprise is operating under a council approved Farm Environment Plan, then the Farm Environment Plan takes precedence over conditions 1 (a)- (k)</li> </ol> |       |        |         |           |      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 56    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.13.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend standards 3.3.13 and 4.3.12 so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway (as outlined in relief sought for vegetation clearance and stock exclusion) that provides farmers with an alternative way of meeting standards 3.3.13 and 4.3.12 if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p>                                 |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 57    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend standards 3.3.13 and 4.3.12 so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway (as outlined in relief sought for vegetation clearance and stock exclusion) that provides farmers with an alternative way of meeting standards 3.3.13 and 4.3.12 if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p>                                 |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 58    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.23.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove 'lime' from each of the rule titles.</p> <p>Amend rules associated with fertiliser application so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Amend rules to reflect fertiliser industry codes of practice.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway that exempts farmers from fertiliser application rules, if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p> |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 59    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove 'lime' from each of the rule titles.</p> <p>Amend rules associated with fertiliser application so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Amend rules to reflect fertiliser industry codes of practice.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway that exempts farmers from fertiliser application rules, if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p> |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 60    | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone       | 17.3.8.   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove 'lime' from each of the rule titles.</p> <p>Amend rules associated with fertiliser application so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Amend rules to reflect fertiliser industry codes of practice.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway that exempts farmers from fertiliser application rules, if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 61    | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.3.9.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove 'lime' from each of the rule titles.</p> <p>Amend rules associated with fertiliser application so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Amend rules to reflect fertiliser industry codes of practice.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway that exempts farmers from fertiliser application rules, if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 62    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove 'lime' from each of the rule titles.</p> <p>Amend rules associated with fertiliser application so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Amend rules to reflect fertiliser industry codes of practice.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway that exempts farmers from fertiliser application rules, if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand   | 63    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone      | 23.3.5.   | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove 'lime' from each of the rule titles.</p> <p>Amend rules associated with fertiliser application so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Amend rules to reflect fertiliser industry codes of practice.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway that exempts farmers from fertiliser application rules, if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p>  |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 64    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend definition of reasonable mixing to the effect of:<br/>Reasonable mixing means for any point source discharge the zone of reasonable mixing in the receiving water must extend from the discharge point as follows:</p> <p>For rivers and streams, the lesser of:</p> <p>a) a distance downstream that equals seven times the width of the river or stream when the flow is at half the median flow; or</p> <p>b) 200m downstream</p> <p>For rivers subject to tidal influence:</p> <p>As for rivers and streams plus a distance upstream equal to half of that allowed downstream when the width is taken at half the median river flow at mid-tide.</p> <p><del>For artificial watercourses (including farm drainage channels), the greater of:</del></p> <p><del>a) 200m downstream; or</del></p> <p><del>b) the property boundary.</del></p> |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 65    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Vegetation clearance means the cutting, destruction or the removal of <del>all forms of indigenous</del> <u>indigenous</u> <del>including indigenous and exotic plant vegetation by cutting, burning, cultivation, crushing, spraying or chemical treatment.</del></p>  |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 66    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision                   | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of River to the effect of:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>a river or stream that is deeper than 15 cm and wider than 1 metre</u>; but does not include any artificial watercourse (including an irrigation canal, water supply race, canal for the supply of water for electricity power generation, and farm drainage canal)</li> </ul>  |       |          |                        |                             |         |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 67    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions         | 25.                         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain definition of intensive farming as notified.  |       |          |                        |                             |         |
| <b>459</b>         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand  | 68    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions         | 25.                         | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of intensively farmed stock to remove irrigated land, i.e:<br>a) cattle or deer <del>grazed on irrigated land</del> or contained for break feeding of winter feed crops <u>(July – September inclusive)</u> ;<br>b) dairy cattle;<br>c) farmed pigs.<br><br>If there are concerns that the definition does not capture intensively farmed cattle, a industry agreed stocking rate or alternative measure could be introduced. |       |          |                        |                             |         |
| <b>771</b>         | Ian Beer   | 1     | All      | All                    |                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                        |                             |         |
| <b>572</b>         | Beleve Limited and R J Davidson Family Trust   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps           | Landscapes 4                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan and recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.   |       |          |                        |                             |         |
| <b>572</b>         | Beleve Limited and R J Davidson Family Trust   | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps           | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan and recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.   |       |          |                        |                             |         |
| <b>1105</b>        | Simon Bell   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2.                     | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."<br><i>(Inferred)</i>          |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1105</b>        | Simon Bell  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.3.                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."<br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1106</b>        | Shane Bennett   | 1     | All      | All                                       |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1220</b>        | Wayne Kelvin Benny  | 1     | All      | All                                       |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1107</b>        | Shaun Bentham   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                              | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>206</b>         | Melynda Bentley   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3                                | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Decision Requested</b>   |       |          |   |   |        |
|                    | We would like to see accurate and reliable data and evidence that support these proposed guidelines. A public meeting for all those concerned.  |       |          |   |   |        |
|                    | Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Springs sector water users.  |       |          |   |   |        |
|                    | Treat all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users equally and consider implementing a rationing restriction when the monitor wells drop below a set level. This would give all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users the opportunity to prioritise where their reduced volume of allocated water is utilised. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>810</b>         | Jason Khon Beo  | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>720</b>         | Gordon Berry  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>720</b>         | Gordon Berry  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.3.                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>69</b>          | Hugh Bethell  | 1     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Policy 13.10.15                           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Oppose this change I don't think there will be large amounts of people wanting to put boat lifters in because of the cost of them so it will not be a huge problem  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1122</b>        | Steven John Bickley   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1122</b>        | Steven John Bickley   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1122</b>        | Steven John Bickley  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1122</b>        | Steven John Bickley  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1122</b>        | Steven John Bickley  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1122</b>        | Steven John Bickley  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1122</b>        | Steven John Bickley  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1122</b>        | Steven John Bickley  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1122</b>        | Steven John Bickley  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1122</b>        | Steven John Bickley  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>333</b>         | Michael David and Brenda June Biggs  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 72                             | Support |
| Decision Requested | Approval of the zoning as detailed on Map 72 (Infer - as it pertains to 349 Anakiwa Road - Lot 1 DP 415536.)   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>784</b>         | Jackie Biggs   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>784</b>         | Jackie Biggs   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>784</b>         | Jackie Biggs   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>784</b>         | Jackie Biggs   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>784</b>         | Jackie Biggs   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>784</b>         | Jackie Biggs   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>784</b>         | Jackie Biggs   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>784</b>         | Jackie Biggs   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>784</b>         | Jackie Biggs   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>784</b>         | Jackie Biggs   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>471</b>         | Bike Walk Marlborough Trust   | 1            | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space  | Policy 9.1.1     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure the public access policy and clauses are included within the River Management Section of the document to provide consistency.<br>Include the Opawa River Stop bank network also between Blenheim and Renwick as part of the overall cycle network. |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>471</b>         | Bike Walk Marlborough Trust   | 2            | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space  | Policy 9.1.9     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 9.1.9   |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>471</b>         | Bike Walk Marlborough Trust   | 3            | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space  | 9.M.5            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 9.M.5  |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>471</b>         | Bike Walk Marlborough Trust   | 4            | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space  | 9.M.8            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 9.M.8  |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>471</b>         | Bike Walk Marlborough Trust   | 5            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.13   |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>471</b>         | Bike Walk Marlborough Trust   | 6            | Volume 1      | 17 Transportation               | Policy 17.4.8    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amendments are requested that when rail corridors are not available and developed for the use of cycling or walking facilities along State Highway 1 that appropriate alternative cycling and walking infrastructure is developed to ensure both walkers and cyclists are provided well defined and safe transportation routes along State Highway 1.</p> <p>For example: Grovetown Shared pathway currently ends at the Opawa Bridge and cyclists heading south are expected to share the road with heavy traffic along Grove Road. As discussed in Policy 17.4.8 "although people do cycle this section of the state highway, the environment is not conducive to the activity." While a 50 km/hr speed limit is in place along Grove Road, and therefore reducing the risk of injuries as a result of collision, Bike Walk Marlborough requests that investigation and installation of appropriate facilities that not only alleviate safety concerns on State Highway 1 but actively encourage methods of active transport. Alternatively, a cycle crossing and route could be provided for to connect with and along Hutcheson Street, being a significantly lower volume road. It is also requested that inclusion of the proposed Opawa bridge be made and the proposal for a separate bike walk bridge and potential for installing an underpass connecting the east and west of Grove Road. Bike Walk Marlborough also advocates that well defined cycling infrastructure (e.g. cycle lanes) would create more cycle aware drivers and would therefore help to alleviate current risks at roundabouts {please note that the MDC 2016 'Crash or near miss reports' indicate 57% of issues are at intersections}.</p> |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>471</b>         | Bike Walk Marlborough Trust   | 7     | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.5.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amendments are requested to include this policy or create additional policy that ensures that any development or subdivision includes pedestrian and cyclist design from the Transport Agency's NZTA Cycling Network Guide and NZTA Pedestrian Planning Guide.</li> <li>Request that MDC 'Subdivision Code of Practise' be updated to include cycling and pedestrian facilities as per the above Guides.</li> </ul> <p><i>In July 2016, NZTA produced their Cycling Infrastructure guidelines which provides a framework for New Zealand local authorities wanting to provide and promote cycling in their region. Bike Walk Marlborough requests that these latest guiding documents are used to guide the design and planning of road and subdivisions.</i></p> <p><i>Request that BWMT be consulted on any significant subdivision developments to ensure input into cycling and walking.</i></p>   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>471</b>         | Bike Walk Marlborough Trust   | 8     | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.6.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 17.6.4  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>471</b>         | Bike Walk Marlborough Trust   | 9     | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | 17.M.10       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amendments are requested that "...Department of Conservation, in maintaining and upgrading the network of recreational walking and bike tracks." As Department of Conservation manage both walking and cycling trails, this amendment ensures Council liaises with Department of Conservation for both facets.</p>   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>471</b>         | Bike Walk Marlborough Trust   | 10    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | 17.M.11       | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain 17.M.11   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>471</b>         | Bike Walk Marlborough Trust  | 11    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space            | Policy 9.3.7   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 9.3.7  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>62</b>          | Bike Walk Renwick  | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment           | Policy 14.4.12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Adopt in full  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>62</b>          | Bike Walk Renwick  | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment           | Policy 14.4.13 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Adopt in full  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>411</b>         | Bird Family Trust  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>7</b>           | Barry William Blackley   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.10.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the policy be amended so that it only applies to occupation associated with marine farming. (inferred)  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>354</b>         | Blairich Holdings Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 53  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Rezone Nos. 6 and 8 Alma Street, Renwick (Lots 113 and 114 Deeds 5A) to Business Zone 1.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1012</b>        | Phillip Blaylock   | 1     | All      | All                                       |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>286</b>         | Blenheim Business Association Inc  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 15  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Business 3 zoning (inferred).   |       |          |   |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>        | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>286</b>         | Blenheim Business Association Inc   | 2            | Volume 1      | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.4.4    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.4.4.   |              |               |                       |                  |                 |
| <b>286</b>         | Blenheim Business Association Inc   | 3            | Volume 1      | 12 Urban Environments | Objective 12.6   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a new Urban Design panel is established for Business Zone 1.   |              |               |                       |                  |                 |
| <b>286</b>         | Blenheim Business Association Inc   | 4            | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules       | 2.31.1.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Section 2.31 parking requirements.   |              |               |                       |                  |                 |
| <b>286</b>         | Blenheim Business Association Inc   | 5            | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules       | 2.35.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | No restriction on signage numbers should be included.   |              |               |                       |                  |                 |
| <b>286</b>         | Blenheim Business Association Inc   | 6            | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules       | 2.35.1.11.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Business Zone 1 should be exempt from the requirement.  |              |               |                       |                  |                 |
| <b>286</b>         | Blenheim Business Association Inc   | 7            | Volume 2      | 9 Business 1 Zone     | 9.               | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Section 9 Business Zone 1.   |              |               |                       |                  |                 |
| <b>286</b>         | Blenheim Business Association Inc   | 8            | Volume 2      | 9 Business 1 Zone     | 9.1.7.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain section 9.1.7 allowing residential activity so long as the residential activity does not interfere with existing use/business activity, in particular around noise requirements. |              |               |                       |                  |                 |
| <b>286</b>         | Blenheim Business Association Inc   | 9            | Volume 2      | 9 Business 1 Zone     | 9.2.1.5.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain section 9.2.1.5.   |              |               |                       |                  |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>     | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>286</b>         | Blenheim Business Association Inc  | 10           | Volume 2      | 9 Business 1 Zone  | 9.2.1.6.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain section 9.2.1.6.  |              |               |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>286</b>         | Blenheim Business Association Inc  | 11           | Volume 2      | 9 Business 1 Zone  | 9.2.1.9.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain section 9.2.1.9.  |              |               |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>286</b>         | Blenheim Business Association Inc  | 12           | Volume 2      | 9 Business 1 Zone  | 9.2.1.10.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Verandahs to be mandatory on all new developments as per the size & build requirements for verandahs as detailed in section 9.2.1.10.  |              |               |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>286</b>         | Blenheim Business Association Inc  | 13           | Volume 2      | 9 Business 1 Zone  | 9.2.1.11.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The landscaping requirement is reduced from 10% to 5% in line with Business Zone 2 & includes requirements for the building owner to have regular maintenance to preserve the landscaping. |              |               |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>286</b>         | Blenheim Business Association Inc  | 14           | Volume 2      | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.2.1.6.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Increase the minimum gross floor area in section 11.2.1.6 to 1500m2.   |              |               |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>286</b>         | Blenheim Business Association Inc  | 15           | Volume 2      | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.              | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain section 11 Business Zone 3.   |              |               |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>286</b>         | Blenheim Business Association Inc  | 16           | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps        | Zoning Map 15    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Business 1 zone (inferred).   |              |               |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>286</b>         | Blenheim Business Association Inc  | 17           | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps        | Zoning Map 9     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Business 1 zone (inferred).   |              |               |                    |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                         | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>573</b>         | Blenheim Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 1            | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Council:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Increases the provision of education in relation to environmentally sound burning practices in Marlborough.</li> <li>Considers the impact of restricting the use of certain fireplaces on low/middle income families, and how Council might support a transition to cleaner energy solutions.</li> <li>Considers options that improve the affordable access to green waste disposal facilities in Marlborough.</li> <li>Considers restrictions of green waste burning on the outskirts of Blenheim.</li> </ul> <p>The submission does not identify an issue, objective, policy or provision to which the decision requested relates to.</p> |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited  | 1            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.1    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy. (inferred)   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited  | 2            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.7    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy. (inferred)   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited  | 3            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.10   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy. (inferred)   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited  | 4            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Objective 14.2   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy. (inferred)   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited  | 5            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.2.1    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy. (inferred)   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited  | 6            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.2.2    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy. (inferred)   |              |               |  |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>               | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited | 7            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.2.3    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy. (inferred)      |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited | 8            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.4.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy. (inferred)      |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited | 9            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy. (inferred).     |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited | 10           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                         | 2.1.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. (inferred)        |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited | 11           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                         | 2.2.5.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. (inferred)        |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited | 12           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                         | 2.3.5.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. (inferred)        |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited | 13           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                         | 2.4.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. (inferred)        |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited | 14           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                         | 2.5.2.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. (inferred)        |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited | 15           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.1.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. (inferred)        |              |               |   |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>               | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited | 16           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.5.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. (inferred)        |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited | 17           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.13.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. (inferred)        |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited | 18           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.14.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. (inferred)        |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited | 19           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.17.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. (inferred)        |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited | 20           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.2.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. (inferred)        |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited | 21           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. (inferred)        |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited | 22           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. (inferred)        |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited | 23           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.25.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. (inferred)        |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited | 24           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.33.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. (inferred)        |              |               |                          |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|---------|
| 462                | Blind River Irrigation Limited  | 25    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.34.      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. (inferred)   |       |          |   |              |         |
| 462                | Blind River Irrigation Limited  | 26    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.39.      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. (inferred)   |       |          |   |              |         |
| 462                | Blind River Irrigation Limited  | 27    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |              | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain appendix 6. (inferred)   |       |          |   |              |         |
| 462                | Blind River Irrigation Limited  | 28    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.</p> <p><i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate irrigation water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i></p> |       |          |   |              |         |
| 462                | Blind River Irrigation Limited  | 29    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy:</p> <p><i>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</i></p>  |       |          |   |              |         |
| 462                | Blind River Irrigation Limited  | 30    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.8.3 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised <u>maximum</u> daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</i></p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following:<br/> <i><u>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</u></i></p> |       |          |  |                |        |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited   | 31    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</p> <p>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</p> <p>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</p> <p>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</p> <p>(ii)<br/> <u>the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance</u><br/> ;</p>  |       |          |  |                |        |

(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;

(iv) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated

by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and

(v) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.

|                    |   |    |          |  |          |        |
|--------------------|---|----|----------|--|----------|--------|
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited  | 32 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.18  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Method of Implementation 15.M.18 be amended to add the following bullet point<br><i><u>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines.</u></i>   |    |          |  |          |        |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited  | 33 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.24  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Method of Implementation 15.M.24 be amended to add the following bullet point<br><br><i><u>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of activities in, on, under or over river beds; to assist in the preparation of site specific management plans and for the processing of resource consent applications.</u></i> |    |          |  |          |        |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited  | 34 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.5.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That standard 3.3.5.3 be amended to read:<br><i><u>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over.</u></i>   |    |          |  |          |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 462                | Blind River Irrigation Limited   | 35    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.2.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.2 be amended to read:<br>3.3.13.2 <u>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 462                | Blind River Irrigation Limited   | 36    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone             | 13.3.13.3. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.3 be amended to read:<br>3.3.13.3 <u>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 462                | Blind River Irrigation Limited   | 37    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.4.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.4 be amended to read:<br>3.3.13.4 <u>Cultivation must not be in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland, except where the wetland is fenced in accordance with the wetland boundaries mapped in the Plan, in which case cultivation may occur up to the fenced boundary .or where the land slopes away from Significant Wetland in which case cultivation must not be within 1m of the Significant Wetland.</u> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 462                | Blind River Irrigation Limited   | 38    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly.<br>3.3.13.1 <u>On land which slopes away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 metres of the waterbody.</u>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 462                | Blind River Irrigation Limited   | 39    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.21.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule subject to amendment to definition of Intensively farmed Livestock. (inferred)   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 462                | Blind River Irrigation Limited   | 40    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard subject to amendment to definition of Intensively farmed livestock.  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 462                | Blind River Irrigation Limited   | 41    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.        | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That the definition of intensively farmed livestock be amended as follows:<br><i>Intensively farmed livestock means</i><br><i>(a) cattle or deer grazed on irrigated land or contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops;</i><br><i>(a) dairy cattle <u>on properties with milking platforms</u>;</i><br><i>(b) farmed pigs.</i><br><u>For clarity Intensively Farmed Livestock does not cover the grazing of dairy cattle on properties without milking platforms except if (a) above applies or the crossing of stock across a river from an extensively grazed area to an intensively / break fed grazed area.</u> |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited  | 43    | All      | All                                     |               | Support |
| Decision Requested | The MEP should include as a method the ongoing commitment of Council toward the further refining of the Soils Sensitive Areas and boundaries.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited  | 44    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy (inferred).   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited  | 45    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy (inferred)  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited  | 46    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy (inferred).   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited  | 47    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.6  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy (inferred).   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited  | 48    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy (inferred).   |       |          |   |               |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                                | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited                  | 49           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy (inferred).                       |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited                  | 50           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy (inferred).                       |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited                  | 51           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective (inferred).                    |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited                  | 52           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy (inferred).                       |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited                  | 53           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy (inferred).                       |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited                  | 54           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy (inferred).                      |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited                  | 55           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective (inferred).                    |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited                  | 56           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy (inferred)                        |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>462</b>         | Blind River Irrigation Limited                  | 57           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy (inferred).                       |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>609</b>         | Blom Ventures Limited and White Gold Enterprice | 1            | All           | All                              |                  | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set in MFA and AQNZ submission.                 |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>785</b>         | John Bloomfield  | 1     | All      | All                              |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.             |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>642</b>         | Daniel Boa   | 1     | All      | All                              |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.             |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>469</b>         | Ian Bond   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.10.4   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>469</b>         | Ian Bond   | 2     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.9.7.2.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Standard 2.9.7.2 needs to state that it is the <b>invert</b> of the culvert that is set to be .....                          |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>469</b>         | Ian Bond   | 3     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.9.7.3.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete standard 2.9.7.3  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>469</b>         | Ian Bond   | 4     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.9.7.4.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submitter seeks Standard 2.9.7.4 to be amended but does not include how it is to be amended.                             |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>469</b>         | Ian Bond   | 5     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.9.7.5.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Standard needs to state that the installation of the culvert does not cause erosion over and above that occurring naturally. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>469</b>         | Ian Bond   | 6     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.14.10.3.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The submitter seeks clarification and elaboration to Standard 2.14.10.3 but does not include how it is to be clarified or elaborated upon.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 469                | Ian Bond   | 7     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.17.2.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submitter seeks clarification in the wording of Standard 2.17.2.1 but does not include alternative wording to help clarify the standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 469                | Ian Bond   | 8     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b><i>It is inferred that from the decision requested "I oppose this definition", the submitter requests that the definition of Commercial forestry harvesting is amended so that the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land is not excluded.</i></b>                                   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 469                | Ian Bond   | 9     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.33.1.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b><i>It is inferred that from the decision requested "I oppose the lack of clarity in the rules", the submitter requests that the rules within the Transportation section of the General Rules Chapter 2 should be clear on how the transportation of harvested forest on public roads is treated in terms of consenting.</i></b> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 469                | Ian Bond   | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Seek clarification on this specific issue.<br><b><i>Inferred that clarity is around whether the planting of Douglas fir is a prohibited activity.</i></b>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 469                | Ian Bond   | 11    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Seek clarification on this specific issue.<br><b><i>Inferred that clarity is around whether the planting of Douglas fir is a prohibited activity.</i></b>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 469                | Ian Bond   | 12    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the 60 days requirement be relaxed to at least 12 calendar months.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 469                | Ian Bond   | 13    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.10.3. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | The wording of this rule needs amending so as not to apply to this situation.   |       |          |                            |   |        |
| <b>469</b>         | Ian Bond  | 14    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.14.1.                                 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submitter seeks that the standard be amended and relaxed but does not provide alternatives to amend and relax the standard.   |       |          |                            |   |        |
| <b>469</b>         | Ian Bond  | 15    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.14.2.                                 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submitter seeks that the standard be amended and relaxed but does not provide alternatives to amend and relax the standard.   |       |          |                            |   |        |
| <b>469</b>         | Ian Bond  | 16    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.14.9.                                 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submitter seeks that the standard be amended and relaxed but does not provide alternatives to amend and relax the standard.   |       |          |                            |   |        |
| <b>469</b>         | Ian Bond  | 17    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.14.12.                                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submitter seeks that the standard be amended and relaxed but does not provide alternatives to amend and relax the standard.   |       |          |                            |   |        |
| <b>469</b>         | Ian Bond  | 18    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.11.                                 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 4.2.1.11.   |       |          |                            |   |        |
| <b>469</b>         | Ian Bond  | 19    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.12.                                 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 4.2.1.12.   |       |          |                            |   |        |
| <b>1116</b>        | Stuart Edward Borrie  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background               | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |                            |   |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| <b>1116</b>        | Stuart Edward Borrie   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1116</b>        | Stuart Edward Borrie   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1116</b>        | Stuart Edward Borrie   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1116</b>        | Stuart Edward Borrie   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1116</b>        | Stuart Edward Borrie   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1116</b>        | Stuart Edward Borrie   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1116</b>        | Stuart Edward Borrie   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1116</b>        | Stuart Edward Borrie   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |                          |               |        |
| <b>1116</b>        | Stuart Edward Borrie   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character      | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |                          |               |        |
| <b>1054</b>        | Ron Bothwell   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>[<i>Inferred</i>]</p> <p><b>Amend Standard 3.3.6.1:</b></p> <p><i>The following species must not be planted:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i></p> <p><i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i></p> <p><i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i></p> <p><i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i></p> <p><i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i></p> <p><i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i></p> <p><i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra).</i></p> |       |          |                          |               |        |
| <b>1054</b>        | Ron Bothwell   | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.5.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>[<i>Inferred</i>]</p> <p>Amend to exclude excavation for roading.</p>   |       |          |                          |               |        |
| <b>1054</b>        | Ron Bothwell   | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.6.      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | [Inferred]<br>Amend to exclude excavation for roading.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1054</b>        | Ron Bothwell  | 4     | All      | All   |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | [Inferred]<br>Delete all provisions pertaining to or affecting forestry.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1264</b>        | Ron Bothwell  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8431, 8436 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1264</b>        | Ron Bothwell  | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8431, 8436 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1264</b>        | Ron Bothwell  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8431, 8436 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1264</b>        | Ron Bothwell  | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8431, 8436 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>913</b>         | Michael Bourke  | 1     | All      | All   |                             | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>581</b>         | Campbell Bowis   | 1     | All      | All                      |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>277</b>         | Peter Bown   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.15. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I would like Council to exempt fences, water troughs & shelter belts from Flood Hazard Levels 2&3 and/or to rezone some of Flood Hazard areas shown on our place (PN160485).   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>277</b>         | Peter Bown   | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.16. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I would like Council to exempt fences, water troughs & shelter belts from Flood Hazard Levels 2 & 3 and/or to rezone some of Flood Hazard areas shown on our place (PN160485). |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>277</b>         | Peter Bown   | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include an exemption for certain types of excavation (inferred).   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>277</b>         | Peter Bown   | 4     | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone     | 19.2.1.8. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I would like Council to exempt fences, water troughs & shelter belts from Flood Hazard Levels 2 & 3 and/or to rezone some of Flood Hazard areas shown on our place (PN160485). |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>277</b>         | Peter Bown   | 5     | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone     | 19.2.1.9. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I would like Council to exempt fences, water troughs & shelter belts from Flood Hazard Levels 2 & 3 and/or to rezone some of Flood Hazard areas shown on our place (PN160485). |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>277</b>         | Peter Bown   | 6     | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone     | 19.3.5.6. | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision            | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Include an exemption for certain types of excavation (inferred).   |       |          |                          |                      |                 |
| <b>277</b>         | Peter Bown   | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps             | Flood Hazard Area 28 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Rezone some of Flood Hazard areas shown on our place (PN160485).   |       |          |                          |                      |                 |
| <b>281</b>         | Peter Bown   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.9.             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Council exempt stock fences within 20 meters of a Riparian Natural Character Area.  |       |          |                          |                      |                 |
| <b>298</b>         | Peter Bown   | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.9.9.               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I would like dispensation for reasonable accidental stock entry to waterways.<br>To be still able to briefly move a mob of sheep & beef stock from one side of Timms creek to the other periodically.  |       |          |                          |                      |                 |
| <b>299</b>         | Peter Bown   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.5.               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I would like council to allow dispensation for reasonable accidental stock entering a waterway.<br>Also dispensation for the limited brief movement of sheep & beef animals across the bed of a river. |       |          |                          |                      |                 |
| <b>305</b>         | Peter Bown   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.32.1.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | For council to allow intensive farms to bury dead animals as well. ( In a sensible way )   |       |          |                          |                      |                 |
| <b>306</b>         | Peter Bown   | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.8.1.5.             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Council needs to distinguish between deliberate nesting disturbance & accidental.  |       |          |                          |                      |                 |
| <b>308</b>         | Peter Bown   | 1     | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone     | 19.3.8.1.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That dairy farming be treated as per a discretionary maner.  |       |          |                          |                      |                 |
| <b>451</b>         | Bown Partnership   | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.2.6.               | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend permitted activity rule 2.2.6 with the following change (bold):<br><i>Take and use of water for dairy shed wash water <b>and dairy milk cool down</b> up to 15m3 per day per dairy shed.</i> |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>451</b>         | Bown Partnership   | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.31.1.      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Relax the requirement that excludes everything except biodegradable material to be disposed of into farm rubbish pits and/or delete standard 3.3.31.1.   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>451</b>         | Bown Partnership   | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.32.6.      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Submission does not include alternative wording to clarify that standard 3.3.32.6 that it is the entry of surface run-off into the pit that needs to be prevented rather than exposure to rain.    |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>88</b>          | Chris Bowron   | 1     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity       | Policy 8.2.2   | Support |
| Decision Requested | I support the statement  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>88</b>          | Chris Bowron   | 2     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity       | Policy 8.2.10  | Support |
| Decision Requested | I support the statement  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>88</b>          | Chris Bowron   | 3     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space  | Policy 9.1.4   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>88</b>          | Chris Bowron   | 4     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | I support this.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>88</b>          | Chris Bowron   | 5     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.8.1.5.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I would support if the suggested words are added   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>88</b>          | Chris Bowron   | 6     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.11.4.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I seek the following decision: the prohibited rule is amended to allow for cases of emergency for animal welfare requirements. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>88</b>          | Chris Bowron   | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I would require an additional word   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>88</b>          | Chris Bowron   | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | An addition of the words as stated.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>88</b>          | Chris Bowron   | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.32.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Needs to be rewritten to incorporate OSPRI recommendations   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>88</b>          | Chris Bowron   | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.32.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Rewording to make the reasons clear.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>88</b>          | Chris Bowron   | 11    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.4.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I seek the following decision: the prohibited rule is amended to allow for cases of emergency for animal welfare requirements. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>88</b>          | Chris Bowron   | 12    | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone     | 17.3.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend 17.3.2.2(c) to include <i>fenceline</i> .  |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|---|-----------------|
| 88                 | Chris Bowron   | 13    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.                                       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | An additional definition is required   |       |          |                          |   |                 |
| 88                 | Chris Bowron   | 14    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.                                       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The Significant Wetland definition should be reworded.<br>Un verified wetlands should not be listed as Significant and should not be listed. |       |          |                          |   |                 |
| 88                 | Chris Bowron   | 15    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.                                       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | A definition of Dairy Cattle is required   |       |          |                          |   |                 |
| 88                 | Chris Bowron   | 16    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.11.5.                                   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I seek the following decision: the prohibited rule is amended to allow for cases of emergency for animal welfare requirements.               |       |          |                          |   |                 |
| 88                 | Chris Bowron   | 17    | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone     | 18.3.3.2.                                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend provision 18.3.3.2.(c) to include <i>fenceline</i> .   |       |          |                          |   |                 |
| 88                 | Chris Bowron   | 18    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.5.                                    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I seek the following decision: the prohibited rule is amended to allow for cases of emergency for animal welfare requirements.               |       |          |                          |   |                 |
| 88                 | Chris Bowron   | 19    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone     | 19.3.3.2.                                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend provision 19.3.3.2.(d) to include <i>fenceline</i> .   |       |          |                          |   |                 |
| 721                | Grant Boyd   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background             | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>721</b>         | Grant Boyd   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>721</b>         | Grant Boyd   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>721</b>         | Grant Boyd   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>721</b>         | Grant Boyd   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>721</b>         | Grant Boyd   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>721</b>         | Grant Boyd   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>721</b>         | Grant Boyd   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                                   |                |        |
| <b>721</b>         | Grant Boyd  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character               | Issue 6A       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                                   |                |        |
| <b>721</b>         | Grant Boyd  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character               | Objective 6.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                                   |                |        |
| <b>221</b>         | Stephen Bradley   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources  | Policy 5.3.9   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A slight reduction (300 cu m per ha per month) in summer (Dec- Jan) TAKE for an equivalent increase in autumn (Mar- Apr) to allow management soil moisture closer to refill point.</li> <li>The USE of STORED water should based on water requirements on 10 out of 10 years.</li> </ul> |       |          |                                   |                |        |
| <b>436</b>         | Rikihana Clinton Bradley  | 1     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                    | 24.5.          | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include specific allowance for boundary adjustments similar to existing MSRMP <b><i>(MSRMP standard 27.3.3.1.3 inferred)</i></b> .  |       |          |                                   |                |        |
| <b>436</b>         | Rikihana Clinton Bradley  | 2     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.2  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include a reference that areas having characteristics of coastal living zone will be considered for further subdivision subject to assessment in relation to Landscape, Natural Character and other appropriate chapters of MEP.  |       |          |                                   |                |        |
| <b>436</b>         | Rikihana Clinton Bradley  | 3     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                       | Zoning Map 135 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Land below 60 m contour be rezoned Coastal Living on applicants property.   |       |          |                                   |                |        |
| <b>260</b>         | Jaquetta Bradshaw   | 1     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                    | 25.            | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | remove the words "excluding transportation".  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>787</b>         | Jo Braven   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>787</b>         | Jo Braven   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>787</b>         | Jo Braven   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>787</b>         | Jo Braven   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>787</b>         | Jo Braven   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.             |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>787</b>         | Jo Braven   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>787</b>         | Jo Braven   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>787</b>         | Jo Braven  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>787</b>         | Jo Braven  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>787</b>         | Jo Braven  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1108</b>        | Shane Bray   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1108</b>        | Shane Bray   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1108</b>        | Shane Bray   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1108</b>        | Shane Bray   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1108</b>        | Shane Bray   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1108</b>        | Shane Bray   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1108</b>        | Shane Bray   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1108</b>        | Shane Bray   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1108</b>        | Shane Bray   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1108</b>        | Shane Bray   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|---------|
| <b>381</b>         | Brentwood Farm Limited   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3     | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>205</b>         | Nicola Bright  | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment           | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>205</b>         | Nicola Bright  | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment           | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>205</b>         | Nicola Bright  | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                               | Policy 7.2.2   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>205</b>         | Nicola Bright  | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                               | Policy 7.2.7   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1301</b>        | Peter Brooks   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 13  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That Lot 23 DP 676 is changed from Urban Residential 3 Zone to Rural Environment Zone.   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1301</b>        | Peter Brooks   | 2     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 7   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That Lot 2 DP 501522 is changed from Urban Residential 3 Zone to Rural Environment Zone.   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>461</b>         | Brookside Holdings Trust and King Contracting Ltd  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3     | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove the minimum level for the Central and Northern Springs Sector (inferred).   |       |          |   |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision            | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1302</b>        | Brookvale Partnership   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                | Zoning Map 13        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Both properties remain in Rural Zoning as this will remain in horticulture until my death.  |       |          |                            |                      |                 |
| <b>1302</b>        | Brookvale Partnership   | 2     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                | Zoning Map 7         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That property number 254303 remains in Rural Zoning as this will remain in horticulture until my death.   |       |          |                            |                      |                 |
| <b>229</b>         | Matthew Broughan  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Flood Hazard Area 24 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the zoning be reconsidered.  |       |          |                            |                      |                 |
| <b>327</b>         | John William Broughan   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Flood Hazard Area 24 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Review the Flood Hazard Areas Overlay Map 24 as it relates to PN 140767 being Pt Sec 3 Wairau Dist.   |       |          |                            |                      |                 |
| <b>138</b>         | Peter Broughton   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.2.              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>1) That the regulated limits applying to discharge of sewage on the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.</p> <p>2) That Council, in consultation with the community, publish a map showing a more restrictive area where Council advises that boaties may discharge sewage. That map to show just the central, deep part of the main Queen Charlotte Sound from about Picton Harbour to seawards, none of Tory Channel (subject to consultation) and maybe just the central deepest part of Endeavour Inlet (subject to consultation).</p> |       |          |                            |                      |                 |
| <b>1109</b>        | Steffen Browning  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.              | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.7.5.   |       |          |                            |                      |                 |
| <b>1109</b>        | Steffen Browning  | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.6.1(c):</p> <p><i>4.3.6.1. Replanting must not be in, or within:</i><br/> <i>(c) <del>30</del> <b>100</b> metres of the coastal marine area.</i></p>  |       |          |                            |                      |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>           | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1109</b>        | Steffen Browning           | 3            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.6.3.         |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1109</b>        | Steffen Browning           | 4            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.6.4.         |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1109</b>        | Steffen Browning           | 5            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 3.3.21.     |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1109</b>        | Steffen Browning           | 6            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 4.3.20.     |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1109</b>        | Steffen Browning           | 7            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.8.  |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1109</b>        | Steffen Browning           | 8            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.9.  |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1109</b>        | Steffen Browning           | 9            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.10. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1109</b>        | Steffen Browning           | 10           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.11. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1109</b>        | Steffen Browning           | 11           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.7.  |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b>                          | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|---|-------------|
| <b>1109</b>        | Steffen Browning  | 12           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.                                 | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.8.   |              |               |                            |   |             |
| <b>1109</b>        | Steffen Browning  | 13           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.                                 | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.9.   |              |               |                            |   |             |
| <b>1109</b>        | Steffen Browning  | 14           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10.                                | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.10.  |              |               |                            |   |             |
| <b>1109</b>        | Steffen Browning  | 15           | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps                |   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain mapping and protection of significant wetlands.  |              |               |                            |   |             |
| <b>1109</b>        | Steffen Browning  | 16           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.                                   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 3.3.11.  |              |               |                            |   |             |
| <b>1109</b>        | Steffen Browning  | 17           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.                                   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 4.3.10.  |              |               |                            |   |             |
| <b>1109</b>        | Steffen Browning  | 18           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.31.                                   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 3.3.31.  |              |               |                            |   |             |
| <b>1109</b>        | Steffen Browning  | 19           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.                                   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 4.3.30.  |              |               |                            |   |             |
| <b>1055</b>        | Rory Bryant   | 1            | Volume 1      | 2 Background               | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |              |               |                            |   |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1055</b>        | Rory Bryant  | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1055</b>        | Rory Bryant  | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1055</b>        | Rory Bryant  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1055</b>        | Rory Bryant  | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1055</b>        | Rory Bryant  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1055</b>        | Rory Bryant  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1055</b>        | Rory Bryant  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1055</b>        | Rory Bryant  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>1055</b>        | Rory Bryant   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character             | Objective 6.1  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>61</b>          | Peter Buckley   | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone           | 7.2.1.         | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Additional clause added to Volume 2 Chapter 7 Coastal Living Area</b></p> <p><b>That all further permanent buildings include rainwater harvesting facilities.</b></p> <p><b>Water storage being a minimum of 25 cu.meters on land areas over 4,000 sq.meters and 10 cu.meters on land area less than 4,000 sq.meters.</b></p> |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>96</b>          | Jane Buckman  | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | I would like the new Marlborough Environment Plan to adopt all nine clauses (a) - (i) of policy 14.4.12 as presented on page 310 of the circulated draft plan.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>96</b>          | Jane Buckman  | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt in full.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>96</b>          | Jane Buckman  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.2.1.3.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support in full.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>96</b>          | Jane Buckman  | 4     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                  | 24.3.1.2.      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support in full.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>96</b>          | Jane Buckman  | 5     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.1.1   | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Support 7.1.1 through to 7.2.12 in full.  |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>96</b>          | Jane Buckman  | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support standard in full.   |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>96</b>          | Jane Buckman  | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.14.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support and adopt in full   |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>96</b>          | Jane Buckman  | 8     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone      | 8.2.1.8.     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support and adopt in full.  |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>96</b>          | Jane Buckman  | 9     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape              | Policy 7.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | support in full.  |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>96</b>          | Jane Buckman  | 10    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape              | Policy 7.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | adopt in full.  |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 1     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape              | Policy 7.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 2     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape              | Policy 7.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape              | Policy 7.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape              | Policy 7.1.4 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |       |          |             |              |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 5     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |       |          |             |              |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 6     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |       |          |             |              |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 7     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |       |          |             |              |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 8     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |       |          |             |              |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 9     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |       |          |             |              |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 10    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |       |          |             |              |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 11    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |       |          |             |              |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 12    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 13    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.8   | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 14    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.9   | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 15    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.10  | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 16    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.11  | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 17    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.12  | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 18    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 14.4.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.                        |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 19    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 14.4.13 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.                        |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 20    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                  | 24.3.1.2.      | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.2 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.   |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 21    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone                                     | 8.2.1.8.                    | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 8.2.1.8 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan   |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 22    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                                | 3.3.6.2.                    | Support |
| Decision Requested | The decision I seek from Council is: That Standard 3.3.6.2 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.                       |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 23    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                                | 3.2.1.14.                   | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 3.2.1.14 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.   |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>284</b>         | Jane Buckman  | 24    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                                | 3.2.1.3.                    | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 3.2.1.3 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.  |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>788</b>         | Jessica Bunting   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>788</b>         | Jessica Bunting   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>788</b>         | Jessica Bunting   | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>788</b>         | Jessica Bunting   | 4            | Volume 3      | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>977</b>         | Nanette Bunting   | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>977</b>         | Nanette Bunting   | 2            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>977</b>         | Nanette Bunting   | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>977</b>         | Nanette Bunting   | 4            | Volume 3      | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>384</b>         | Bures Vineyard Limited  | 1            | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels               | Schedule 3                  | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>643</b>         | Dennis Burkhart  | 1     | All      | All                               |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>610</b>         | Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited   | 1     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity         | Policy 8.3.7  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>610</b>         | Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone            | 16.7.5.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>610</b>         | Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited   | 3     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13C     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the provisions. <i>(The Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought however refers to the Submission of the "Fishing Industry Submitters".)</i>   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>610</b>         | Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited   | 4     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Avoid adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having:</i></p> <p><i>(a) outstanding natural character;</i></p> <p><i>(b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes;</i></p> <p><i>(c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; or</i></p> <p><i>(d) significant historic heritage value;</i></p> <p><b>and require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity."</b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>610</b>         | Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited   | 5     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"The appropriate locations, forms and limits of subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough's coastal environment are those that recognise and provide for, and otherwise avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the following values:<br/> (a) the characteristics and qualities that contribute to natural character, natural features and landscape of an area;<br/> (b) the relationship of Maori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga;<br/> (c) the extensive area of open space within the coastal marine area available for the public to use and enjoy, including for recreational activities;<br/> (d) the importance of public access to and along the coastal marine area, including opportunities for enhancing public access;<br/> (e) the dynamic, complex and interdependent nature of coastal ecosystems;<br/> (f) the high level of water quality generally experienced in Marlborough's coastal waters; and<br/> (g) those attributes that collectively contribute to individual and community expectations about coastal amenity values;<br/> <b>(h) fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity."</b></i><br><br>(Inferred) |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>610</b>         | Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited   | 6     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                | Policy 11.1.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Mitigate the adverse effects of gravel extraction on ecological and recreational values, water clarity and bank stability, <b>and fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity</b> by:<br/> (a) avoiding, where practicable, extraction from the wet bed of any river;<br/> (b) placing limits on:<br/> (i) the timing of operations (especially to avoid bird nesting);<br/> (ii) the method of extraction;<br/> (iii) the location of the extraction and access to the location;<br/> (iv) the amount of gravel that can be extracted; and<br/> (v) the length of time over which the extraction can occur."</i><br><br>(Inferred)   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>610</b>         | Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited   | 7     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.8.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|--------|---------|-----------|------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>" To determine the appropriateness of an area of coastal space to become a Moorings Management Area in the Marlborough Environment Plan, the following matters will be considered:</i></p> <p><i>(a) current and anticipated demand for swing moorings in the area;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the cumulative effect (including on coastal amenity values and benthic habitats) of swing moorings and the capacity of the area to accommodate existing and additional moorings;</i></p> <p><i>(c) whether there are issues with the layout of existing swing moorings, including overlapping of swing circles;</i></p> <p><i>(d) the intensity, character and scale of other activities in the area, including:</i></p> <p><i>(i) the extent to which the use of or access to other coastal structures located in the area are or will be affected by additional swing moorings;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) residential development existing in the area and the potential for future development, having regard to the zoning of land;</i></p> <p><i>(iii) recreational activities occurring in the coastal marine area; <del>and</del></i></p> <p><i>(e) impacts on navigation due to continuing with an uncontrolled approach to siting of swing moorings; <b>and</b></i></p> <p><b><i>(f) impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity."</i></b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |        |         |           |      |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 610                | Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited  | 8     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"When assessing applications to locate structures within and immediately adjacent to the coastal marine area, the following matters will be considered in determining whether the structure is appropriate:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the proposed reason for the structure and the benefits likely to arise from its use;</i></p> <p><i>(b) whether the structure would be the first located in the stretch of coastline either side of the proposed site;</i></p> <p><i>(c) whether the structure is to be sited in a prominent or conspicuous location;</i></p> <p><i>(d) where land-based alternatives to the proposed structure are available, why the coastal marine area location is preferred;</i></p> <p><i>(e) whether the structure is for public, multiple or individual use;</i></p> <p><i>(f) the functional need requiring the structure to be located within the coastal marine area;</i></p> <p><i>(g) what effects the structure will have on:</i></p> <p><i>(i) navigation and safety of other users of the area, including whether the area is used for temporary boat anchoring;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) customary access; and</i></p> <p><i>(iii) the terrestrial environment;</i></p> <p><i>(h) whether coastal processes will be adversely affected by the structure; <del>and</del></i></p> <p><i>(i) the operation of any existing activity or any activity that has been granted resource consent.; <b>and</b></i></p> <p><b><i>(j) impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity."</i></b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 610                | Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited  | 9     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"Where an application is made for resource consent to reclaim or drain the coastal marine area, effects (including cumulative effects) on the following matters will be considered:</i><br><i>(a) the proposed reason for the reclamation/drainage and the benefits likely to arise from its use;</i><br><i>(b) if land-based alternatives are available to the proposed reclamation/drainage, why the coastal marine area location is preferred;</i><br><i>(c) the functional need for the activity to be carried out on the reclamation;</i><br><i>(d) the effects on:</i><br><i>(i) navigation and safety of other users of the area, including whether the area is used for temporary boat anchoring;</i><br><i>(ii) cultural values;</i><br><i>(iii) the terrestrial environment, including an assessment of any earthworks necessary;</i><br><i>(e) whether coastal processes will be adversely affected by the structure; <del>and</del></i><br><i>(f) the operation of any existing activity or any activity that has been granted resource consent.; <b>and</b></i><br><br><b><i>(g) impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity."</i></b><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>610</b>         | Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited   | 10    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"When considering applications for resource consent for ships expected to propagate waves with energy levels in excess of limits specified in the Marlborough Environment Plan, have particular regard to the potential for adverse effects on:</i><br><i>(a) places and cultural values of importance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i><br><i>(b) the ability of people to effectively use any lawfully established structure for that structure's intended purpose and any adverse effects on the structure itself;</i><br><i>(c) people's use and enjoyment of the foreshore and coastal marine area for recreational activities;</i><br><i>(d) the life-supporting capacity of coastal ecosystems;</i><br><i>(e) beaches and the shoreline;</i><br><i>(f) amenity values enjoyed by residents; <del>and</del></i><br><i>(g) the natural character of the coastal environment of the Marlborough Sounds; <b>and</b></i><br><br><b><i>(h) fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity."</i></b><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>610</b>         | Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited   | 11    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.7 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Where a resource consent is required to extend or alter port or marina infrastructure and this is to occur within that part of the Port or Marina Zone located in the coastal marine area, the following matters shall be considered:</i><br><i>(a) the intended use of the extended or altered infrastructure (having regard to Policies 13.17.3 and 13.17.4) and the benefits likely to arise from this use;</i><br><i>(b) the design of structures/reclamation, including size and construction materials;</i><br><i>(c) where reclamation is involved (Policies 13.11.2, 13.11.4, 13.11.6 – 13.11.9);</i><br><i>(d) whether there will be a loss of public access or use of the area and/or public access to and along the coastal marine area will be impeded;</i><br><i>(e) the effects of glare, lighting and noise;</i><br><i>(f) the effects on natural coastal processes;</i><br><i>(g) the effects during construction on:</i><br><i>(i) other users of the area, navigation and public safety; and</i><br><i>(ii) water and air quality;</i><br><br><b><i>(h) the impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity."</i></b><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>610</b>         | Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited   | 12    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific provisions of concern not identified in the Submission.</i>  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>610</b>         | Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited   | 13    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.10.14 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>The specific amendment sought to this Policy is not identified in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>610</b>         | Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited   | 14    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.10.16 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>The specific amendment sought to this Policy is not identified in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>610</b>         | Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited   | 15    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.10.19 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>The specific amendment sought to this Policy is not identified in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |  |                 |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b>                          | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|---|-------------|
| <b>98</b>          | Burleigh Estate Ltd   | 1            | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 13                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Rezone the land owned by Burleigh Estate Ltd shown on the plan attached Urban Residential 2 Greenfield  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>914</b>         | Michael Burne   | 1            | Volume 1      | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>914</b>         | Michael Burne   | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>914</b>         | Michael Burne   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>914</b>         | Michael Burne   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>914</b>         | Michael Burne   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |   | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.             |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>914</b>         | Michael Burne   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |   | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>914</b>         | Michael Burne   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>914</b>         | Michael Burne  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>914</b>         | Michael Burne  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>914</b>         | Michael Burne  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>582</b>         | Cory Burnett   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>582</b>         | Cory Burnett   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>582</b>         | Cory Burnett   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>582</b>         | Cory Burnett   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>582</b>         | Cory Burnett   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>582</b>         | Cory Burnett   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>582</b>         | Cory Burnett   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>582</b>         | Cory Burnett   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>582</b>         | Cory Burnett   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>582</b>         | Cory Burnett   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>86</b>          | Gary Burns  | 1            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment                         | Policy 14.4.13              | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Policies 14.4.12 and 14.4.13 ("Omaka Valley") be retained in full.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>86</b>          | Gary Burns  | 2            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment                         | Policy 14.4.12              | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Policies 14.4.12 and 14.4.13 ("Omaka Valley") be retained in full.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>518</b>         | Abigail Burns   | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>518</b>         | Abigail Burns   | 2            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>518</b>         | Abigail Burns   | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>518</b>         | Abigail Burns   | 4            | Volume 3      | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>702</b>         | Frank Burns   | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>702</b>         | Frank Burns   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>702</b>         | Frank Burns   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>702</b>         | Frank Burns   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>848</b>         | Kirsten Burns   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>848</b>         | Kirsten Burns   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>848</b>         | Kirsten Burns   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>848</b>         | Kirsten Burns   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1003</b>        | Olivia Burns  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1003</b>        | Olivia Burns  | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1003</b>        | Olivia Burns  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1003</b>        | Olivia Burns  | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>687</b>         | Eleanor and Vera Burton   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources                        | Policy 5.10.4               | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision            | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to include information regarding the charge and where the money will go.<br>Allow additional time for those affected to make an informed submission after the information is released [ <i>inferred</i> ].                   |       |          |                                  |                      |                 |
| <b>687</b>         | Eleanor and Vera Burton   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to clarify where the money is spend and why further funding is needed [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                  |                      |                 |
| <b>789</b>         | John Nicholas Burton  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.2.              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <del>The</del> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i>          |       |          |                                  |                      |                 |
| <b>789</b>         | John Nicholas Burton  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.3.              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <del>The</del> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i> |       |          |                                  |                      |                 |
| <b>101</b>         | Viv Butcher   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.2.              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b>Recommendation:</b> The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.   |       |          |                                  |                      |                 |
| <b>101</b>         | Viv Butcher   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.3.              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b>Recommendation:</b> The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.   |       |          |                                  |                      |                 |
| <b>385</b>         | Stephen Butler  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                     | Flood Hazard Area 24 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | We would like full transparency for this proposed change. The reasons why they have to be made. And any alternatives that could be considered.<br>Also full consultation with all the property owners that are affected.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>575</b>         | Butt Drilling Limited   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.17.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (c) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <i>(c) within <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of the land application area of any on-site wastewater management system or an offal pit, unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of the Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> " |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>575</b>         | Butt Drilling Limited   | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <i>(a) <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> "  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>575</b>         | Butt Drilling Limited   | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <i>(a) <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> "  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>575</b>         | Butt Drilling Limited   | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.32.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <i>(a) <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> "  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>575</b>         | Butt Drilling Limited   | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <i>(a) <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> "  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>575</b>         | Butt Drilling Limited   | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.34.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" (a) <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;"  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>575</b>         | Butt Drilling Limited   | 7     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.16.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (c) and (d) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" (c) within <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of the land application area of any on-site wastewater management system or an offal pit;<br>(d) within <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of the boundary of a property in which the discharge of dairy effluent to land occurs;" |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>575</b>         | Butt Drilling Limited   | 8     | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone | 6.3.7.8.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" The discharge must not occur within <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU."  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>575</b>         | Butt Drilling Limited   | 9     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.3.13.7.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" The discharge must not occur within <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU."  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>575</b>         | Butt Drilling Limited   | 10    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.3.14.6.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" The discharge must not occur within <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU."  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>575</b>         | Butt Drilling Limited   | 11    | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone       | 10.3.10.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" The discharge must not occur within <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU."  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>575</b>         | Butt Drilling Limited   | 12    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone       | 19.3.19.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <i>(a) <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> "                         |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>575</b>         | Butt Drilling Limited  | 13    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.20.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <i>(a) <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> "                         |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>575</b>         | Butt Drilling Limited  | 14    | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.3.9.9.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <i>The discharge must not occur within <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU.</i> " |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>575</b>         | Butt Drilling Limited  | 15    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.21.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <i>(a) <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> "                         |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>575</b>         | Butt Drilling Limited  | 16    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.22.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <i>(a) <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> "                         |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>575</b>         | Butt Drilling Limited  | 17    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.23.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <i>(a) <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> "                         |       |          |                      |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| <b>575</b>         | Butt Drilling Limited   | 18    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone                         | 23.3.6.7.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>" The discharge must not occur within <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU."</i> |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>791</b>         | Jonathon Cameron  | 1     | All      | All                                     |                    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown  | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include economic development and associated relief.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown  | 2     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief.   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Specifically recognise the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay and associated relief.   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Sounds have been altered by social and cultural use and associated relief.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown  | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources and associated relief.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown  | 6     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | 6.                 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Include appropriate definitions of natural character, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character and associated relief.   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown   | 7     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | 7.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include appropriate definitions of outstanding features and landscape, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural landscape and features and associated relief.   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown   | 8     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | 7.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL is not appropriate and should be revised.   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown   | 9     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief.  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character       | 6.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and<br>Associated relief. |       |          |                           |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown   | 11           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape               | 7.                          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and<br>Associated relief.   |              |               |                           |                             |                 |
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown   | 12           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.                          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and<br>Associated relief.   |              |               |                           |                             |                 |
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown   | 13           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps              | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Scott Bay has commercial forestry and native bush within it. The area supports industry and employment within the sounds and Marlborough with forestry and tourism. It co-exists with our marine farm. The bay has housing areas with mixed density. The impacts of sewage leaching from these settlements and affecting water quality would be a great significant to our operation.<br><br>I request that the MDC undertake a review of the area and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects. |              |               |                           |                             |                 |
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown   | 14           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps              | Landscapes 5                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Scott Bay has commercial forestry and native bush within it. The area supports industry and employment within the sounds and Marlborough with forestry and tourism. It co-exists with our marine farm. The bay has housing areas with mixed density. The impacts of sewage leaching from these settlements and affecting water quality would be a great significant to our operation.<br><br>I request that the MDC undertake a review of the area and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects. |              |               |                           |                             |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown  | 15    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Yncyca Bay has commercial forestry and native bush within it. The area supports industry and employment within the sounds and Marlborough with forestry and tourism. It co-exists with our marine farm. Both Yncyca and Fairy Bay have housing areas with mixed density. The impacts of sewage leaching from these settlements and affecting water quality would be a great significant to our operation.</p> <p>Recently the Fairy Bay farm came up for renewal and reports were tabled showing the marine farm had negligible impact on the marine and landscape environments.</p> <p>I request that the MDC undertake a review of these areas and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farms are not causing adverse effects.</p> |       |          |              |                             |        |
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown  | 16    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Yncyca Bay has commercial forestry and native bush within it. The area supports industry and employment within the sounds and Marlborough with forestry and tourism. It co-exists with our marine farm. Both Yncyca and Fairy Bay have housing areas with mixed density. The impacts of sewage leaching from these settlements and affecting water quality would be a great significant to our operation.</p> <p>Recently the Fairy Bay farm came up for renewal and reports were tabled showing the marine farm had negligible impact on the marine and landscape environments.</p> <p>I request that the MDC undertake a review of these areas and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farms are not causing adverse effects.</p> |       |          |              |                             |        |
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown  | 17    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Whakatahuri Bay has housing areas with mixed density. The impacts of sewage leaching from these settlements and affecting water quality would be a great significant to our operation.</p> <p>I request that the MDC undertake a review of the area and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects.</p>  |       |          |              |                             |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown  | 18    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Whakatahuri Bay has housing areas with mixed density. The impacts of sewage leaching from these settlements and affecting water quality would be a great significant to our operation.<br>I request that the MDC undertake a review of the area and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects. |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown  | 19    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Landscapes 1                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Whakatahuri Bay has housing areas with mixed density. The impacts of sewage leaching from these settlements and affecting water quality would be a great significant to our operation.<br>I request that the MDC undertake a review of the area and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects. |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown  | 20    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Landscapes 2                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Whakatahuri Bay has housing areas with mixed density. The impacts of sewage leaching from these settlements and affecting water quality would be a great significant to our operation.<br>I request that the MDC undertake a review of the area and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects. |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown  | 21    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.                         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal waters and particularly marine farms and associated relief.   |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>726</b>         | Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown  | 22    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.                         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.  |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>358</b>         | Cape Campbell Farm  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Coastal Natural Character 5 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision                                | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete the discrete area of land on your property identified as a potential building site for a future dwelling from the Coastal Natural Character Overlay in the same manner in which it is excluded from the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape Overlay in the proposed MEP. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                   |  |                 |
| <b>1051</b>        | Cape Campbell Farm  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                      | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 16 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That more signage is used to inform the public of the right way to use this area and also very clear lines as to what a high and low tide is (many people get stranded with the sea coming in) they do not understand the tide tables.<br><br>That this area is maintained as a Unique coastal environment these steps need to be made sooner than later.   |       |          |                                   |  |                 |
| <b>1051</b>        | Cape Campbell Farm  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone            | 16.7.5.                                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 16.7.5 <i>(inferred)</i> .  |       |          |                                   |  |                 |
| <b>1051</b>        | Cape Campbell Farm  | 3     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13B                                | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 13.B <i>(inferred)</i> but with the following amendments.<br><br>That more signage is used to inform the public of the right way to use this area and also very clear lines as to what a high and low tide is (many people get stranded with the sea coming in) they do not understand the tide tables.<br><br>That this area is maintained as a Unique coastal environment these steps need to be made sooner than later.                             |       |          |                                   |  |                 |
| <b>1051</b>        | Cape Campbell Farm  | 4     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.3                           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.3 and associated policies <i>(inferred)</i> but with the following amendments.<br><br>That more signage is used to inform the public of the right way to use this area and also very clear lines as to what a high and low tide is (many people get stranded with the sea coming in) they do not understand the tide tables.<br><br>That this area is maintained as a Unique coastal environment these steps need to be made sooner than later. |       |          |                                   |  |                 |
| <b>554</b>         | Bruce Cardwell  | 1     | All      | All                               |  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |                             |               |                 |
| <b>487</b>         | Carlton Corlett Trust   | 1     | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The relief sought is that the provisions of the Plan be modified to allow in a suitably limited part of the Corlett Trust land to the north of Rosina Corlett Lane uses such as museums or other public interest facilities which would be compatible with the Omaka Aviation Heritage Centre and the Car Museum. |       |          |                             |               |                 |
| <b>519</b>         | Austin Carolino   | 1     | All      | All                         |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |                             |               |                 |
| <b>72</b>          | Mandy Carpenter   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone      | 16.7.2.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The distance from MHWS for sewage disposal should remain at 500m and at a depth of 5m or more.  |       |          |                             |               |                 |
| <b>72</b>          | Mandy Carpenter   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone      | 16.7.3.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the distance from a marine farm for discharge of sewage remain at 500m   |       |          |                             |               |                 |
| <b>328</b>         | Jean-Paul Carré   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                 | Zoning Map 17 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | To change the zoning ( <i>of property number 167548 at 3020 State Highway 1, Blenheim</i> ) from Rural Environment to Urban Residential 3.  |       |          |                             |               |                 |
| <b>27</b>          | Joseph Maurice Carter   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone      | 16.7.2.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That there is no change to the current regulations, the limits remaining at 500 metres.   |       |          |                             |               |                 |
| <b>27</b>          | Joseph Maurice Carter   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone      | 16.7.3.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That there is no change to the current regulations, the limits remaining at 500 metres.   |       |          |                             |               |                 |
| <b>978</b>         | Nick Carter   | 1     | All      | All                         |               | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AONZ submission.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>26</b>          | McGinty, Kathleen and Carter, Alan  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.9.9.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We request further strengthening this clause to state that if there are stock on a property, then the streams and rivers need to be fenced off at least 20 metres above the high water line to prohibit access to stock and to allow for the regeneration of native flora.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>26</b>          | McGinty, Kathleen and Carter, Alan  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We request that this clause be further strengthened to say that no clearing of native flora be allowed within 20 metres of the high water line of all waterways, be they streams or rivers. And that It is incumbent on owners of such land to reforest the Queen's Chain with indigenous trees and other plants if it has been cleared in the past. (Perhaps the MDC could provide the seedlings free of charge for such regeneration programs.)   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>26</b>          | McGinty, Kathleen and Carter, Alan  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Generally, these sub-clauses need to be much stronger in preventing people from clearing indigenous vegetation. They also need to focus on more actively promoting conservation of native vegetation and regenerating land that has been cleared previously with indigenous vegetation.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>26</b>          | McGinty, Kathleen and Carter, Alan  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That forestry operations cease between the hours of 10pm and 7am to enable people living near forestry sites to be able to sleep at night.<br><br>That recreational motor bikes must also adhere to the allowable noise limits and not be exempt from same.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>613</b>         | Cawthron Institute  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone   | 16.3.9.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | We request that the rules pertaining to temporary scientific moorings be made more flexible especially with respect to the duration of these installations. The detailed conditions of this scheme may need to be worked out in consultation between MDC, and science providers. It is suggested that:<br><br>- Approval from the Harbour Master after submission of information on: location, details of the installation, mooring tackle, lighting and signage, purpose, duration, etc.<br><br>- A mooring should not be installed over any scheduled ecologically significant marine site (unless the purpose of the installation is protection of this site).<br><br>- Duration of one location be automatically granted up to 12 consecutive calendar months, but with flexibility to adjust or extent the duration up to 36 consecutive months after approval is given by the Harbour Master. |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>613</b>         | Cawthron Institute  | 2     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment         | Policy 13.9.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><i>[Inferred]</i></p> <p>The requirements for moorings is amended to include scientific mooring.</p>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>613</b>         | Cawthron Institute  | 3     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment         | Policy 13.10.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Do not limit structures for scientific monitoring or research purposes to temporary structures <i>[inferred]</i>  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>295</b>         | Caythorpe Farm Ltd  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Remove the 100% Blanket Cut-off to Southern Springs sector water users.</b></p> <p>We propose that the Blanket trigger level/flow of surface water of 0.010m<sup>3</sup> at Batty's Road Bridge for the whole of the Southern Springs is unjustified. Instead if a surface water trigger flow/level must be imposed, then it should be graduated, i.e. 0.010m<sup>3</sup> trigger for wells within 500m of Doctors Creek, and a lesser level for those wells outside 500m of Doctors Creek.</p> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>271</b>         | Caythorpe Trustees Ltd  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Springs sector water users.</p> <p>Before implementing a blanket restriction further scientific evidence of the complex aquifer/springs recharge process is required. A better understanding of the long term trend of the aquifer levels is essential before setting lower limits and cut-off thresholds.</p>  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>449</b>         | Centaland Holdings Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 13  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Urban Residential 3 Zoning of PN163838 (<b><i>PN inferred</i></b>)</p> <p>Change Urban Residential 3 Zoning and Rural Living Zoning identified in attached map to Industrial (<b><i>inferred Industrial 1 Zone</i></b>).</p>  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 1     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                               | 7.             | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The provisions to be amended so either only publicly owned reserves/conservation land is identified as an outstanding natural feature and landscape and landscape with high amenity value, or the financial viability of privately owned and farmed land is protected through monetary compensation on an annual basis for public good. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 2     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to include a requirement that weeds on conservation estate/reserves need to be controlled. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 3     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | 6.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The provisions to be amended so if perceived natural character is to be retained, monetary compensation on an annual basis is required to cover loss in production.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.20 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Replace the policy with a policy that encourages in stream dams/storage, and includes the waiving of resource consent fees with regard to building storage/dams. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 5     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards               | Issue 11A     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the provisions for Flooding - Flood Management to allow appropriate stock to graze to waters edge for fire hazard management purposes.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 6     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards               | Policy 11.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend the policy to allow structures such as trellis systems and fences be allowed at landowners liability.</li> <li>Where riparian margins are compulsory fenced in flood hazard zones, amend the policy to require the Council to share responsibility for maintenance after flood damage as it would be treated as a boundary fence where cost is shared 50/50.</li> </ul><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                 | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman   | 7     | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Issue 10B                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The provisions to be amended to recognise that trees have a use by date, and that the cost of resource consents for tree maintenance/care should be to be met by the Council. Financial compensation for loss of land use around notable and or amenity trees should be paid on an annual basis for public good. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |   |                           |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman   | 8     | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.                       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend provisions so that indigenous biodiversity, as a heritage resource, is only protected on publicly owned reserves and conservation estate.  |       |          |   |                           |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman   | 9     | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.                       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The provisions to be amended so indigenous biodiversity protection provisions apply to publicly owned reserves/conservation estate but not to private land owners, or monetary compensation is paid on an annual basis for public good. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |                           |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman   | 10    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Issue 10A                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend provisions responding to Issue 10A to state that the whole community pays for care and maintenance of heritage resources. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |                           |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman   | 11    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | 9.                        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The provisions to be amended so as to require the control of weed pests in riparian margins, with the cost to be met by the community as for community good. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |                           |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman   | 12    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Threatened Environments 7 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Overlay.  |       |          |   |                           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 13    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | 8.             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The provisions to be amended so indigenous biodiversity protection provisions apply to publicly owned reserves/conservation estate but not to private land owners, or monetary compensation is paid on an annual basis for public good. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 14    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | 8.M.9          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete method. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 15    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | Policy 16.2.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete the part of this policy relating to the disposal of biodegradable material in farm rubbish pits. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 16    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.6         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the method to allow appropriate stock to graze to waters edge for aesthetic, weed control and fire hazard management purposes. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 17    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Issue 14B      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Issue to acknowledge and address that it does not reflect the values of a working rural environment but reflects to a great degree the values of an urban retreat. Most of the farm fragmentation into parcels of barely economic blocks has arisen through farms being financially marginalised by rules and regulations amongst other issues resulting in subdivision to supplement income. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 18    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | 14.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the provisions to allow appropriate stock to graze to waters edge for weed control purposes. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 19    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Objective 14.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the provisions to recognise the local and central government monetary assistance required to control pests. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 20    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.7  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 21           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.4    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to provide financial assistance to primary producers/farmers for any resource consents required as a result of this policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>          |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 22           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.31.1.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 23           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.23.3.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 24           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.21.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard to allow acceptable classes of stock to graze to waters edge for weed suppression, fire hazard control and aesthetic benefits. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 25           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.19.4.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 26           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.19.2.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 27           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.19.1.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 28           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.12.1.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman   | 29    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.6. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman   | 30    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman   | 31    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) within Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman   | 32    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete (b) within Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman   | 33    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) within Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman   | 34    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard so it only applies to the high country. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman   | 35    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard so it only applies to the high country. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman   | 36    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.9.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman   | 37    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.8.1.  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 38    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.2.7.1.                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 39    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.2.1.16.                                 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 40    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.2.1.15.                                 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 41    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.2.1.9.                                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete reference to structure in Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>348</b>         | Murray Chapman  | 42    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                                | Objective 16.2                            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add provisions requiring the provisions of a centralized transfer station for rural rubbish in each major rural valley. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1243</b>        | Zane Charman  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1243</b>        | Zane Charman  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1243</b>        | Zane Charman   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1243</b>        | Zane Charman   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1243</b>        | Zane Charman   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1243</b>        | Zane Charman   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1243</b>        | Zane Charman   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1243</b>        | Zane Charman   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1243</b>        | Zane Charman   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1243</b>        | Zane Charman   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>701</b>         | Frances Alexandra C Chayter  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>701</b>         | Frances Alexandra C Chayter  | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>701</b>         | Frances Alexandra C Chayter  | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>701</b>         | Frances Alexandra C Chayter  | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>701</b>         | Frances Alexandra C Chayter  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>701</b>         | Frances Alexandra C Chayter  | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>701</b>         | Frances Alexandra C Chayter  | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.  |       |          |                            |           |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>701</b>         | Frances Alexandra C Chayter                             | 8            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>701</b>         | Frances Alexandra C Chayter                             | 9            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>701</b>         | Frances Alexandra C Chayter                             | 10           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>701</b>         | Frances Alexandra C Chayter                             | 11           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>701</b>         | Frances Alexandra C Chayter                             | 12           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>701</b>         | Frances Alexandra C Chayter                             | 13           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>701</b>         | Frances Alexandra C Chayter                             | 14           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>701</b>         | Frances Alexandra C Chayter                             | 15           | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps                |                  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.         |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b>                          | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|---|-------------|
| <b>701</b>         | Frances Alexandra C Chayter   | 16           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.11.                                   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>701</b>         | Frances Alexandra C Chayter   | 17           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.3.10.                                   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>701</b>         | Frances Alexandra C Chayter   | 18           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.31.                                   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>701</b>         | Frances Alexandra C Chayter   | 19           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.3.30.                                   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>583</b>         | Carmay Cheong   | 1            | Volume 1      | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>583</b>         | Carmay Cheong   | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>583</b>         | Carmay Cheong   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>583</b>         | Carmay Cheong   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose      |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>583</b>         | Carmay Cheong  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>583</b>         | Carmay Cheong  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>583</b>         | Carmay Cheong  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>583</b>         | Carmay Cheong  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>583</b>         | Carmay Cheong  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>583</b>         | Carmay Cheong  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>234</b>         | Cherrybank Orchard  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3                                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | We request more information and clarity around scientific and historical data before a decision is made.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>576</b>         | Chee Ong Chin   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                              | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>576</b>         | Chee Ong Chin   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>576</b>         | Chee Ong Chin   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>576</b>         | Chee Ong Chin   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>576</b>         | Chee Ong Chin   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                       |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.             |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>576</b>         | Chee Ong Chin   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                       |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>576</b>         | Chee Ong Chin   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>576</b>         | Chee Ong Chin  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>576</b>         | Chee Ong Chin  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>576</b>         | Chee Ong Chin  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>603</b>         | Chee Song Chin   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>603</b>         | Chee Song Chin   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>603</b>         | Chee Song Chin   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>603</b>         | Chee Song Chin   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>603</b>         | Chee Song Chin   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>603</b>         | Chee Song Chin   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>603</b>         | Chee Song Chin   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>603</b>         | Chee Song Chin   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>603</b>         | Chee Song Chin   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>603</b>         | Chee Song Chin   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 1     | All      | All                                     |                    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend entire plan, to provide consistency in the use of terms, and inclusion of a specific section detailing objectives and policies relevant to the provision of infrastructure. The structure of the Auckland Unitary Plan is a good example. A list of suggested objectives and policies is attached as an addendum to this submission point (see original submission for addendum).  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 2     | All      | All                                     |                    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Replace the term 'regionally significant infrastructure' at each instance it is used in the plan with the word 'infrastructure'.   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 3     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain guiding principle relating to reverse sensitivity.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend paragraph 1 beneath Issue 4B as follows:<br><i>We rely on a range of physical resources to allow our communities function on a day-by-day basis. These resources include the water, stormwater and waste disposal services provided to townships and small settlements; the transport links within Marlborough and connecting Marlborough to the remainder of the country; the provision of electricity and telecommunications; and, on the Lower Wairau Plain, the drainage of land. Collectively, this infrastructure is regionally significant due to the contribution it makes to our social and economic wellbeing, health and safety. <b>Some of infrastructure is also nationally important, such as the</b> <del>Other infrastructure in (e.g. RNZAF Base Woodbourne, ) or running through Marlborough (e.g. the National Grid, <b>the telecommunications network</b> and state highways) also has national importance.</del> It is important that <del>this strategic</del> <b>all</b> infrastructure is able to operate efficiently, effectively and safely on an ongoing basis for community wellbeing. The ability to maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure without significant constraint is important in this respect. Occasionally, new infrastructure may be required to provide for growth within the district.</i> |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 as follows:<br><i>Efficient, effective and safe operation of <del>regionally significant</del> infrastructure.</i>   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend subsection Policy 4.2.1 as follows:<br>Policy 4.2.1 – Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, <del>as regionally significant:</del><br>(h) <del>strategic</del> telecommunications facilities, <del>as defined in Section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001,</del> and <del>strategic</del> radio communication facilities, <del>as defined in Section 2(1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989;</del> |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 4.2.2 as follows:<br>Policy 4.2.2 – Protect regionally significant infrastructure from the adverse effects of other activities.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.7        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Method of Implementation 4.M.7 as follows:<br><b>4.M.7 Specific Zoning and Rules</b><br><i>Recognition will be given to regionally significant infrastructure by providing, where appropriate, explicit zoning for the infrastructure. <b>This, in conjunction with the application of district specific infrastructure (and network utility) rules, zoning will assist to enable the infrastructure to operate efficiently and effectively.</b></i>   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 9     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.8        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Method of Implementation 4.M.8 as follows:<br>4.M.8 Designations<br><i>Encourage requiring authorities (as defined by Section 166 of the RMA) to utilise designations as an effective means of identifying and protecting <del>regionally significant</del> infrastructure. Designations can then be explicitly included in the MEP.</i>   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 10    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.9        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter            | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Method of Implementation 4.M.9 as follows:<br/> <i>Rules will be used to enable activities associated with the maintenance, alteration, minor upgrading and replacement of regionally significant infrastructure. Standards will specify the extent of works involved with any of these activities.</i><br/> <i>Rules will be used to control the proximity of land uses in river beds that could have adverse effects on regionally significant infrastructure. This includes development within the National Grid corridor.</i></p> <p>...</p> <p><i>In addition to the rules in the MEP, the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009 <b>and the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Telecommunication Facilities) Regulations 2008</b> establishes various classes of activity for certain activities relating to existing transmission lines <b>and telecommunications facilities, respectively.</b></i><br/> <i>[Note – if the second generation NESTF is operative prior to the PMEP becoming operative, a small amendment will be needed to the year of the NESTF]</i></p> |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 11    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape        | Objective 7.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain objective 7.2 as proposed.  |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 12    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape        | Policy 7.2.6   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 7.2.6(a) as proposed.  |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 13    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | 11.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Paragraph 4 to the Introduction as follows:<br/> <i>The Council can act to reduce the risk of natural hazards adversely affecting life, <b>and</b> property <del>and regionally significant infrastructure</del>. Using its functions under the RMA to control the use of land to avoid or mitigate natural hazards, the Council can influence the location and management of new developments to ensure that they are not subject to unreasonable risk. Other land uses may adversely affect hazard mitigation works and these can be similarly controlled to ensure that the integrity of the works is not compromised.</i></p>   |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 14    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Issue 11A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Issue 11A as follows:<br/> <i>Issue 11A – Natural hazards in Marlborough, particularly flooding, earthquakes and land instability, have the potential to cause loss of life and significant damage to property <del>and regionally significant infrastructure</del>.</i></p>  |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 15    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Objective 11.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 11.1 as follows:<br><i>Objective 11.1 – Reduce the risks to life, <b>and</b> property and regionally significant infrastructure from natural hazards. Natural hazards can have significant adverse effects on individuals and the community, including loss of life, personal injury, damage to property and disruption of day-to-day life, business and the provision of community infrastructure. For this reason, the objective seeks to reduce the risks and consequences of natural hazards. This objective also implements direction from the CDEMP, which signals that resource management provisions have an important role to play in risk reduction.</i> |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 16    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Policy 12.2.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 12.2.1 as proposed.  |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 17    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Issue 12C      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 12C as proposed.  |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 18    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Objective 12.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain objective 12.9 as proposed.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 19    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Policy 12.9.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 12.9.4 as proposed.  |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 20    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Policy 12.9.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 12.9.5 as proposed.  |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 21    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Policy 12.9.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 12.9.7 as proposed.  |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 22    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Policy 12.9.9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 12.9.9 as proposed.  |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 23    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2  | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.2.2(g) as follows:<br><i>(g) whether the proposed subdivision, use or development activity contributes to the <del>network of regionally significant</del> infrastructure identified in Policy 4.2.1;</i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 24    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.6   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.5.6 as follows:<br>Policy 13.5.6 – Maintain the character and amenity values of land zoned Coastal Living by the setting of standards that reflect the following:<br>(i) <del>limited</del> <b>appropriate</b> infrastructure and services and low volumes of road traffic   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 25    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 13.9.1(c)(ii) as proposed.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 26    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 13.10 as follows:<br><i>Objective 13.10 – Structures in the coastal environment including jetties, boatsheds, decking, slipways, launching ramps, retaining walls, coastal protection structures, pipelines, cables and/or other buildings or structures are appropriately located and within appropriate forms and limits to <b>maintain and</b> protect the values of the coastal environment.</i> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 27    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.24 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.10.24(c) as follows:<br><i>(c) <del>regionally significant</del> infrastructure is at risk.</i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 28    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.5.5   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.5.5(i) as follows:<br><i>Policy 14.5.5 – Maintain the character and amenity values of land zoned Rural Living by the setting of standards that reflect the following:<br/>(i) <del>limited</del> <b>appropriate</b> infrastructure and services and low volumes of road traffic.</i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 29    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.4.4(g) as proposed.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 30    | Volume 2 | 1 Introduction                         | 1.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain structure of Volume 2, with utilities rules being included in General Rules which apply regardless of zone.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 31    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the introduction to network utilities as follows:<br><del>Other</del> <u>The following</u> General Rules contained in Chapter 2 may apply in addition to <b>the Network Utility General Rules [List]</b> to any relevant zone rules for network utilities.                         |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 32    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.38.1.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amended Rule 2.38.1 as follows:<br>2.38.1. Network utility infrastructure listed as follows:<br>(b) a telecommunication line or facility;<br>(c) a radio communication <del>apparatus</del> or facility;<br>(i) <del>a telephone call box</del> or the erection and use of a postal box. |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 33    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.38.2.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.38.2 as follows:<br>2.38.2 <i>Telecommunication line or cable over the bed of a lake or river.</i>  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 34    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.38.3.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.38.3 as follows:<br>2.38.3. <i>Trenching <b>Earthworks</b> for cable-laying <b>underground network utilities</b>.</i>   |       |          |  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 35    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.4.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 2.38.4.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 36    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.5.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 2.38.5.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 37    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.3. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 2.39.1.3.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 38    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.4. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 2.39.1.4.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 39    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.39.1.5 as follows:<br><i>2.39.1.5. The maximum height of a facility or network utility structure, aerial or antenna for a telecommunication, radiocommunication or meteorological facility must not exceed 25m above ground level. <b><u>This height can be exceeded by up to 5m for a telecommunication facility, if that facility is used by more than one telecommunications provider.</u></b></i>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 40    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.39.1.7 as follows:<br><i>The maximum height of any <b><u>antenna or aerial or (and their support structures)</u></b> attached to the top of a building must not exceed the height of the building by more than <b><u>5m in the Industrial 1, Industrial 2, Lake Grassmere Salt Works, Port, and Rural Environment zones and 3m in any other zone.</u></b></i>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 41    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.39.1.8 as follows:<br><i><del>The maximum diameter of a dish a</del><b><u>Antenna</u></b> must not exceed 3m <b><u>in diameter, or 2.5m2 in total face area</u></b> or, if Council desires, instead of the 2.5m2 standard, the following alternative relief would also be acceptable:<br/><del>The maximum diameter of a dish a</del><b><u>Antenna</u></b> must not exceed 3m <b><u>in diameter, or have a width that exceeds 700mm.</u></b></i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 42    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.9.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.39.1.9 as follows:<br><i>A new line, including a cable television line, must be located underground within any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3, Business 1, Business 2, Industrial 1, Industrial 2, Open Space 1 or Open Space 2. <b>Note, Standard 2.39.1.9 does not apply to additional or replacement lines that are provided for as Minor Upgrading.</b></i>  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 43    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.10. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 2.39.1.10 in its entirety.  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 44    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.14. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.39.1.14 as follows:<br>A line or network utility structure, or a telecommunication, radio communication or meteorological facility, <del>or a building or depot</del> <b>that is located outside of legal road</b> , must not be located:<br>(a) in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland;<br>(b) within 8m of a river or the Drainage Channel Network;<br>(c) on, or adjacent to, any land used for the purposes of a farm airstrip, or in such a manner as to adversely affect the safe operation of a farm airstrip existing at the time of the Plan becoming operative.<br><b><u>These setbacks do not apply to a line or network utility structure, or a telecommunication, radio communication or meteorological facility that is located within legal road.</u></b> |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 45    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Section 2.39 as follows:<br><b><u>2.39.X Telecommunication Customer Connections</u></b><br><b><u>Connections from buildings, structures and sites to the telecommunication network are permitted.</u></b>   |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 46    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Performance Standard as follows:<br><b><u>2.39.X Small-Cell Units on Structures</u></b><br><b><u>The installation of a small-cell unit on a structure including any necessary ancillary equipment is permitted, provided that each small-cell unit and the ancillary equipment do not exceed a total volumetric dimension of 0.25 m<sup>3</sup>, excluding auxiliary cables.</u></b>  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 47    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.2.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Standard 2.39.2 as follows:</p> <p><del>2.39.2. Trenching</del> <b>Earthworks</b> for <b>underground network utilities</b> <del>cable laying.</del></p> <p>2.39.2.1. Any earth not placed back in the <del>trench</del> <b>earthworks area</b> must be re-located in a stable location.</p> <p>2.39.2.2. <del>Trenching</del> <b>Earthworks, where undertaken outside of legal road,</b> must not occur in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland or Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification.</p> <p>2.39.2.3. <del>Trenching</del> <b>Earthworks</b> must not occur within <del>such proximity</del> <b>[XXm]</b> to any abstraction point for a community drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply.</p> <p>2.39.2.4. The vegetation cover of <del>a trench site</del> <b>an earthworks area</b> must be restored within 6 months of the end of the operation.</p> <p>2.39.2.5. Woody material greater than 100mm in diameter or soil debris must:</p> <p>(a) not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</p> <p>(b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (excluding an ephemeral river), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</p> <p>(c) be stored on stable ground;</p> <p>(d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or instability of the land.</p> <p>2.39.2.6. <del>Trenching</del> <b>Earthworks</b> must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</p> <p>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</p> <p>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the trenching site;</p> <p>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%.</p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 53    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.3.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Standard 2.39.3 as follows:</p> <p>2.39.3.2. <del>Where Clearance is by hand or mechanical means, blading or root raking</del> by a bulldozer must not be used on slopes greater than <del>20</del><b>34</b>°.</p>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 54    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.40.     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new Controlled Activity Rule as follows:<br><b><u>2.X.X Controlled Activities:</u></b><br><b><u>The following telecommunications activities are controlled activities:</u></b><br><b><u>(a) Small Cell Units</u></b><br><b><u>The installation of a small-cell unit on a structure including any necessary ancillary equipment, provided that each small-cell unit and the ancillary equipment has a total volumetric dimension no greater than 0.3m<sup>3</sup>, excluding auxiliary cables.</u></b><br><b><u>(b) Telecommunications Cabinets within Legal Road</u></b><br><b><u>(i) A new telecommunication cabinet in road reserve located between 1m and 30m of an existing telecommunication cabinet which is located adjacent to a different site</u></b><br><b><u>(ii) A new telecommunication cabinet in road reserve located between 1m and 30m away from any other cabinet or group of cabinets that is on the same side of the road.</u></b><br><b><u>(iii) The total footprint of cabinets in the group in the road is between 1.8m<sup>2</sup> and 4m<sup>2</sup>.</u></b><br><b><u>Control is in respect of:</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><u>Siting;</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>Visual Effects; and</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>Safety</u></b></li> </ul> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 56    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.40.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Section 2.40 as follows:<br>2.40 Discretionary Restricted Activities<br>Application must be made for a Discretionary Restricted Activity for the following:<br>2.40.1. Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards. Council's discretion is restricted to the effects generated by the standard(s) not met.<br><br>2.40 Discretionary Activities<br>Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following:<br>2.40.2. Any land use activity involving a network utility not provided for as a Permitted Activity.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 57    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.40.2.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Section 2.40 as follows:<br>2.40 Discretionary Restricted Activities<br>Application must be made for a Discretionary Restricted Activity for the following:<br>2.40.1. Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards. Council's discretion is restricted to the effects generated by the standard(s) not met.<br><br>2.40 Discretionary Activities<br>Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following:<br>2.40.2. Any land use activity involving a network utility not provided for as a Permitted Activity. |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 58    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules           | 2.7.8.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 2.7.8(b) as proposed.   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 59    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules           | 2.9.8.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete standard 2.9.8 in its entirety.  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 60    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules           | 2.24.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new rule in section 2.24:<br><b>[2.25] Controlled Activities</b><br><b>[2.25.1] New customer connections to a Heritage Resource from an adjacent utility network are a Controlled Activity in respect of:</b><br><b>• The design and external appearance of the customer connection.</b>   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 61    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules           | 2.29.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 29.1.1(c) as follows:<br>29.1.1 The trimming or pruning must only be<br>(c) minor clearing of light branches (less than 50mm in diameter) from proximity to existing power <b>and telecommunication</b> lines;   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 62    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.1.19.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 13.1.19 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 63    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.1.9.   | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 14.1.9.  |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 64    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.1.16.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rules 15.1.16.  |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 65    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone    | 16.1.11.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.1.11.   |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 66    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.3.9.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 13.3.9.2 as follows:<br><i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same <b>or similar</b> location as the cable or line being removed.</i> |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 67    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.4.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 14.3.4.2 as follows:<br><i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same <b>or similar</b> location as the cable or line being removed.</i> |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 68    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.3.8.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 15.3.8.2 as follows:<br><i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same <b>or similar</b> location as the cable or line being removed.</i> |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 69    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone    | 16.3.8.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 16.3.8.2 as follows:<br><i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same <b>or similar</b> location as the cable or line being removed.</i> |       |          |                           |           |         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 70    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.1.10.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 24.1.10.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 71    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.1.11.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 24.1.11.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 72    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.1.12.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 24.1.12.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 73    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.1.13.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 24.1.13.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 74    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.2.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 24.2.1 as follows:<br><del>Subdivision of land associated with utilities</del> <b><i>undertaken by network utility operators.</i></b>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 75    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain definition of Antenna.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 76    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of Height as follows:<br><i>Height in relation to a building or structure, means the vertical distance between the natural ground level at any point and the highest part of the building or structure immediately above that point as shown in Figure 2 of Appendix 26. <b><i>This definition does not apply to lightning rods or GPS antenna affixed to the highest part of a building or structure.</i></b></i> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 77    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Maintenance and Replacement.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited  | 78    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of Minor Upgrading as follows:<br>Minor Upgrading means an increase in the carrying capacity, efficiency or security of electricity (for the purpose of utilities) lines, telecommunication lines and radio communication facilities, using the existing support structures or structures of a similar scale and character, and includes:<br>(a) The <b>replacement, reconfiguration, relocation or</b> addition of <b>lines</b> , circuits and conductors;<br>(b) The re-conductoring of the line with higher capacity conductors;<br>(c) The re-sagging of conductors;<br>(d) The addition of longer or more efficient insulators;<br>(e) The addition of earthwires <del>which may contain telecommunication lines</del> , earthpeaks and lightning rods;<br>(f) Foundation works associated with the minor upgrading;<br><b>(g) The replacement of a pole, provided that:</b><br><b>(i) the replacement pole must not have a diameter that is more than the existing pole's diameter at its largest point plus 50 per cent; and</b><br><b>(ii) The replacement pole must not have a height greater than 25m or the height of pole it is replacing, whichever is the greater; and</b><br><b>(iii) The replacement pole must be located within 3m from the existing pole.</b><br>Minor upgrading does not include an increase in the voltage of the line unless the line was originally constructed to operate at the higher voltage but has been operating at a reduced voltage.<br><b>Minor Upgrading also includes the replacement of existing antennas, provided the replacement antenna size is no greater than 20 percent of the existing antenna being replaced.</b> |       |          |                |           |         |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 79    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Network Utility Structure as proposed.  |       |          |                |           |         |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 80    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Radiocommunication facility as proposed.  |       |          |                |           |         |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 81    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Have one clear and concise definition of 'site'.   |       |          |                |           |         |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 82    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Telecommunication Facility as proposed.   |       |          |                |           |         |
| <b>464</b>         | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 83    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Telecommunication Line as proposed.   |       |          |                |           |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 464                | Chorus New Zealand limited   | 84    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                  | 25.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following definition of Infrastructure:</p> <p><b><u>Infrastructure includes:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(a) reticulated sewerage systems (including the pipe network, treatment plants and associated infrastructure) operated by the Marlborough District Council;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(b) reticulated community stormwater networks;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(d) regional landfill, transfer stations and the resource recovery centre;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(e) National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower NZ Limited);</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(f) local electricity supply network owned and operated by Marlborough Lines;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(g) facilities for the generation of electricity, where the electricity generated is supplied to the National Grid or the local electricity supply network (including infrastructure for the transmission of the electricity into the National Grid or local electricity supply network);</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(h) telecommunication facilities and radiocommunication facilities;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(i) Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko Airports;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(j) main trunk railway line;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(k) district roading network;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(l) Port of Picton and Havelock Harbour;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(m) Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(n) RNZAF Base at Woodbourne; and</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(o) Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain.</u></b></p> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 614                | Churchward Park Scout Group  | 1     | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone            | 18.5.2.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 1013               | Paul Claridge  | 1     | All      | All                             |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 917                | Matthew Desmond Melton Clark   | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy as is.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 917                | Matthew Desmond Melton Clark   | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy as is.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>917</b>         | Matthew Desmond Melton Clark   | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy as is.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>917</b>         | Matthew Desmond Melton Clark   | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy as is.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1206</b>        | Vicky Clark  | 1     | All      | All                                     |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>500</b>         | Ben Clarke   | 1     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | 13.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Policy as follows - " <b>Ensure that developments like marine farms are able to remain in operation when those involved are good custodians and obey the rules.</b> "<br><br>(Inferred)  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>500</b>         | Ben Clarke   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - " <i>Efficient, effective and safe operation of regionally significant infrastructure <b>and regionally significant sectors.</b></i> "<br>(Inferred)   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>500</b>         | Ben Clarke   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - " <i>The social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community <b>and regionally significant sectors</b> are at risk if community infrastructure is not able to operate efficiently, effectively and safely.</i> "<br>(Inferred) |       |          |   |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>                          | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|---|-----------------|
| <b>500</b>         | Ben Clarke   | 4            | Volume 1      | 2 Background  | Identifying regionally significant issues | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to recognize that aquaculture is a regionally significant sector in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.                     |              |               |   |   |                 |
| <b>689</b>         | Elizabeth Patricia Clarke  | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8515; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                      |              |               |   |   |                 |
| <b>689</b>         | Elizabeth Patricia Clarke  | 2            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8519; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                      |              |               |   |   |                 |
| <b>689</b>         | Elizabeth Patricia Clarke  | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8520; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                      |              |               |   |   |                 |
| <b>689</b>         | Elizabeth Patricia Clarke  | 4            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8540; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                      |              |               |   |   |                 |
| <b>689</b>         | Elizabeth Patricia Clarke  | 5            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8515, 8519, 8520 and 8540; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |   |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>689</b>         | Elizabeth Patricia Clarke  | 6            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8515; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                      |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>689</b>         | Elizabeth Patricia Clarke  | 7            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8519; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                      |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>689</b>         | Elizabeth Patricia Clarke  | 8            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8520; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                      |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>689</b>         | Elizabeth Patricia Clarke  | 9            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8540; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                      |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>689</b>         | Elizabeth Patricia Clarke  | 10           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8540; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                      |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>689</b>         | Elizabeth Patricia Clarke  | 11           | Volume 3      | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8515, 8519, 8520 and 8540; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>723</b>         | Graeme Henry Clarke  | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8520 in Crail Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>723</b>         | Graeme Henry Clarke  | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8520 in Crail Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>723</b>         | Graeme Henry Clarke  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8520 in Crail Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>723</b>         | Graeme Henry Clarke  | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8520 in Crail Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>724</b>         | Graeme Henry Clarke  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8515 in Crail Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>724</b>         | Graeme Henry Clarke  | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8515 in Crail Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>724</b>         | Graeme Henry Clarke  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8515 in Crail Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| 724                | Graeme Henry Clarke  | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8515 in Crail Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 728                | Graeme Henry Clarke  | 1     | All      | All                                     |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in CMFA submission.  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 792                | Joanne Rebecca Clarke  | 1     | All      | All                                     |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 443                | Jones, Annabel Farquar and Goldie, Neville<br>Charles Clarke, Richard Spencer  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.6.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a Standard to this Rule as follows -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>" Moorings in all areas other than Waikawa should be limited to vessels of no greater length than 18 metres."</b></li> </ul> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 443                | Jones, Annabel Farquar and Goldie, Neville<br>Charles Clarke, Richard Spencer  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.6.3.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add two Standards to this Rule as follows -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>" The jetty must be available for public use."</b></li> <li><b>" Consent will not be granted for any other occupation of the zone that has the effect of limiting access to any part of a jetty."</b></li> </ul> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 443                | Jones, Annabel Farquar and Goldie, Neville<br>Charles Clarke, Richard Spencer  | 3     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.3.2.1. | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard to read as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>The ship must not be anchored to the foreshore or seabed for more than <del>60</del> <b>10</b> consecutive days or more than 90 days within any 12 month period, within the same embayment, inlet, or estuary, and should be subject to the requirement of the harbour master that such a ship or vessel should be manned when at anchor on a twenty four hour basis."</i> |       |          |   |   |        |
|                    | (Inferred)  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1110</b>        | Sam Clay  | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>793</b>         | John Cleal  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>793</b>         | John Cleal  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>793</b>         | John Cleal  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>793</b>         | John Cleal  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>793</b>         | John Cleal  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.   |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b>                          | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|---|-------------|
| <b>793</b>         | John Cleal   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |   | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>793</b>         | John Cleal   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>793</b>         | John Cleal   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>793</b>         | John Cleal   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>793</b>         | John Cleal   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>918</b>         | Maree Cleal  | 1            | Volume 1      | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |              |               |   |   |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| <b>918</b>         | Maree Cleal  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>918</b>         | Maree Cleal  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>918</b>         | Maree Cleal  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>918</b>         | Maree Cleal  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>918</b>         | Maree Cleal  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>918</b>         | Maree Cleal  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>918</b>         | Maree Cleal  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>918</b>         | Maree Cleal  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |                     |                             |                 |
| <b>918</b>         | Maree Cleal  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |                     |                             |                 |
| <b>617</b>         | Clearwater Mussels Limited   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps        | Landscapes 1                | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Confirm that Landscape is not and an outstanding landscape.  |       |          |                     |                             |                 |
| <b>617</b>         | Clearwater Mussels Limited   | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps        | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Modify Plan Coastal Natural Character to indicate Okuri Bay does not have very high natural character.   |       |          |                     |                             |                 |
| <b>617</b>         | Clearwater Mussels Limited   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps        | Landscapes 2                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Confirm the water of Forsyth Bay is not an outstanding natural landscape.<br>Modify the plans to recognise that Forsyth Island is not of outstanding natural landscape.                    |       |          |                     |                             |                 |
| <b>617</b>         | Clearwater Mussels Limited   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps        | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Confirm the water of Forsyth Bay is not of high natural character.<br>Modify the plans to recognise that Forsyth Island is not of high natural character.                                  |       |          |                     |                             |                 |
| <b>617</b>         | Clearwater Mussels Limited   | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps        | Landscapes 1                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Modify to Plan 1 to show the area us not an outstanding landscape.   |       |          |                     |                             |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b>                         | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|--|-----------------|
| <b>617</b>         | Clearwater Mussels Limited  | 6            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 1              | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Confirm that the area is not an outstanding or high coastal natural character area.                                       |              |               |                |  |                 |
| <b>617</b>         | Clearwater Mussels Limited  | 7            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 3                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plans show to reflect the reality of the sea and landscape of the area - forestry and residential development. |              |               |                |  |                 |
| <b>617</b>         | Clearwater Mussels Limited  | 8            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 3              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plans show to reflect the reality of the sea and landscape of the area - forestry and residential development. |              |               |                |  |                 |
| <b>615</b>         | Clearwater Mussels Limited and Knight-Somerville Partnership  | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan and recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.  |              |               |                |  |                 |
| <b>615</b>         | Clearwater Mussels Limited and Knight-Somerville Partnership  | 2            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 4                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan and recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.  |              |               |                |  |                 |
| <b>615</b>         | Clearwater Mussels Limited and Knight-Somerville Partnership  | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan and recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.  |              |               |                |  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                                | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|--|--------|
| <b>615</b>         | Clearwater Mussels Limited and Knight-Somerville Partnership                              | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan and recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.                    |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>616</b>         | Clearwater Mussels Limited and Talleys Group Limited                                      | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 3                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the Plan and recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.                    |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>616</b>         | Clearwater Mussels Limited and Talleys Group Limited                                      | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the Plan and recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.                    |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>616</b>         | Clearwater Mussels Limited and Talleys Group Limited                                      | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the Plan and recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.                    |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>616</b>         | Clearwater Mussels Limited and Talleys Group Limited                                      | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the Plan and recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.                    |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>329</b>         | Ewan and Suzanne Clemett  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 21                            | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | 100 and 102 Alabama Road ( <i>Lots 1 &amp; 2 DP 3447</i> ) be rezoned to Business 2 zone. |       |          |              |  |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                                | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--|--------|
| <b>396</b>         | Hamish Clifford   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels               | Schedule 3                               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>                                  |       |          |   |  |        |
| <b>398</b>         | Selwyn and Mary Clifford  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels               | Schedule 3                               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>                                  |       |          |   |  |        |
| <b>1267</b>        | Patrick Clifford  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels               | Schedule 3                               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | We are not asking for an increase or an unreasonable amount of water to operate, we are asking for it to continue to be available when we, and others in the area need it.<br><br>We are asking for any water decisions to be based on sound science and applied fairly to all Wairau Aquifer permit holders. |       |          |   |  |        |
| <b>629</b>         | Clifford Bay Marine Farms Limited   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 5              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8001 in Clifford Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |  |        |
| <b>629</b>         | Clifford Bay Marine Farms Limited   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8001 in Clifford Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |  |        |
| <b>629</b>         | Clifford Bay Marine Farms Limited   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the marine mammal site (dolphins) from the vicinity of the marine farm 8001 in Clifford Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |  |        |
| <b>629</b>         | Clifford Bay Marine Farms Limited   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance       |  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove the marine mammal site (dolphins) from the vicinity of the marine farm 8001 in Clifford Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>1059</b>        | Climate Karanga Marlborough   | 1     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Introduction as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Society will continue to rely <del>currently relies</del> on fossil fuels as an energy source for the foreseeable future <b>but needs to find alternatives as quickly as possible</b>. The consumption of these fuels <del>results</del> <b>and livestock farming are the two major contributors to the large increase</b> in the release of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases into the atmosphere <b>over the last 150 years</b>. The general consensus of scientific opinion is that the world is getting warmer, causing its climate to change. Global temperatures are approximately <del>0.6 degrees Celsius</del> <b>1.2°C higher than pre-industrial levels and 0.6°C higher now than they were in the early 1990s</b>. <b>To prevent dangerous and potentially irreversible impacts of climate change global temperatures must be kept well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels</b>. <del>While there is not unanimous agreement,</del> There is now strong evidence that most of the warming observed is attributable to increased concentrations of greenhouse gases produced by human activities. As more gases accumulate in the atmosphere, the Earth gets warmer, resulting in rising sea temperatures and levels, the melting of glaciers and ice caps and greater extremes in weather patterns, such as more storms of greater intensity and longer droughts.</i></p> <p><i>In Marlborough, NIWA predicts <del>it is predicted</del> that the mean temperature will increase by approximately <del>1</del> <b>1.8 degrees C</b> by 2040 and <del>2</del> <b>2.8 degrees C</b> by 2090 <b>above the pre-industrial mean</b>. The climate is likely to become drier and the frequency of droughts is expected to increase. There is also a predicted increase in westerly winds, especially in winter and spring.</i></p> <p><i>Section 7 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires the Council to have regard to the effects of these predicted climatic changes in exercising its functions under the RMA. Uncertainty about the nature of these effects at international, national and local level makes this a difficult task. Most projections are also long term and certainly beyond the ten year life of the Marlborough Environment Plan (MEP). Taking all of this into account, the provisions of this chapter focus on applying the best available information to enable people and communities to respond to the adverse and positive effects created by climate change. <b>It is noted that the adverse long term effects of global warming are likely to outweigh any regional short term benefits that may occur.</b> (Inferred)"</i></p> |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>1059</b>        | Climate Karanga Marlborough   | 2     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Objective 19.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the last sentence of the explanation to the Objective as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"In the meantime, it is prudent to promote actions that offset carbon emissions and <del>retain sufficient flexibility in the</del> <b>focus on ecologically wise</b> use, development and protection of natural and physical resources to enable resource users to adapt to a changing climate."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>  |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>1059</b>        | Climate Karanga Marlborough   | 3     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.1  | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through) -<br/> <i>"Promote actions within Marlborough to reduce <del>or offset</del> carbon emissions."</i></p> <p>And, the explanatory text to the Policy be amended as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Climate change is a global issue that New Zealand's central government is addressing at an international and national level. The RMA effectively excludes regional councils from the role of regulating emissions for climate change purposes (Sections 70A and 104E of the RMA). However, the Council can explore opportunities for supporting national policies and where appropriate promote methods that address climate change problems within New Zealand's national policy framework for climate change. For example, the Council <del>could</del> <b>will</b> assess and then address the carbon footprint of delivering its own services to the community and encourage businesses to do likewise. This is one of many actions the Council could undertake to enable Marlborough's people and communities to play their part in responding to this global issue."</i></p> |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 1059               | Climate Karanga Marlborough  | 4     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the explanatory text for the Policy to explain how the Policy is going to be achieved in practice. There is no mention of any public outreach or education programme or consultative process to get local people and businesses up to speed with the environmental changes we are facing and how to prepare for them and take actions to mitigate them.</p> <p>And, we recommend that Council establishes a new permanent position of 'MDC Climate Change Advisor'.</p>   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 1059               | Climate Karanga Marlborough  | 5     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the explanatory text for the Policy, particular the sentence, "<i>Similar opportunities could exist for the aquaculture industry as a result of increasing sea water temperatures.</i>" The text should also identify the much greater threat of adverse effects.</p>   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 1059               | Climate Karanga Marlborough  | 6     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)</p>  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 1059               | Climate Karanga Marlborough  | 7     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend (c) of the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"(c) enabling the storage of water <b>during periods of high river flow</b> for subsequent use during low flow and low level periods."</i></p>  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 1059               | Climate Karanga Marlborough  | 8     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.M.1        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Method explanatory text as follows (bold) -<br><br>" <i>Investigate Council operations to establish their carbon footprint; set goals for reducing carbon emissions <b>in accordance with New Zealand's national emissions reduction targets</b>, and develop an action plan to reach those goals.</i> "  |       |          |                   |           |                 |
| 1059               | Climate Karanga Marlborough   | 9     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.M.2    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the explanatory text to the Method as follows -<br>" <del>Consider</del> , In the review of the Marlborough Regional Land Transport Plan, <b>Council will include</b> provisions to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases."  |       |          |                   |           |                 |
| 1059               | Climate Karanga Marlborough   | 10    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.M.4    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the explanatory text to the Method as follows (bold) -<br><br>" <i>Apply the findings of international and national climate change research to Marlborough's environment to the extent that is possible <b>and support research in Marlborough</b>. The findings can then be applied to determine and better understand the implications of climate change.</i> " |       |          |                   |           |                 |
| 1059               | Climate Karanga Marlborough   | 11    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.M.5    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method. ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |                   |           |                 |
| 1059               | Climate Karanga Marlborough   | 12    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.M.7    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method. ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |                   |           |                 |
| 1059               | Climate Karanga Marlborough   | 13    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Method of Implementation as follows -<br><br>" <b>Advisory group - An advisory group be established of science, industry, business and community representatives to work with Council in a collaborative way on identifying climate change threats in Marlborough and on devising appropriate responses.</b> "  |       |          |                   |           |                 |
| 1059               | Climate Karanga Marlborough   | 14    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Issue 19B | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend paragraph three of the explanatory text to the Issue as follows -<br><br><i>"Global warming is expected to result in a rise in sea level due to thermal expansion of ocean water and melting of glacial and polar ice. Sea level is predicted to rise around 0.18 to 0.59 metres by 2090. This rise <del>potentially</del> increases the risk of inundation at the coast. Coastal erosion could also become more prevalent, increasing the need for coastal protection measures. Along the coastal margin of the Wairau Plain, the level of the Wairau River bar and river mouth efficiency has far greater influence on the potential for inundation than the projected sea level rise. Further south, the topography and lack of settlement minimises any inundation risk. However, the risks are far greater in the Marlborough Sounds where settlement and associated infrastructure (especially means of access, such as jetties and access tracks) tend to be located in the coastal environment and near the water edge."</i> |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>1059</b>        | Climate Karanga Marlborough  | 15    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Objective 19.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>1059</b>        | Climate Karanga Marlborough  | 16    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.2.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>1059</b>        | Climate Karanga Marlborough  | 17    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.2.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>1059</b>        | Climate Karanga Marlborough  | 18    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add an additional Anticipated Environmental Result as follows -<br><br><b><i>"There is a significant reduction in the carbon footprint of the Marlborough District"</i></b> .  |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>1059</b>        | Climate Karanga Marlborough  | 19    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Issue 19A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Paragraph 4 under this Issue should be moved to the end of the section.</li> <li>Recognise the likely adverse effects on mental health in Paragraph 5 under this Issue.</li> <li>Delete the final paragraph under this Issue.</li> </ul><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                   |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1059</b>        | Climate Karanga Marlborough  | 20           | Volume 1      | 19 Climate Change                       | 19.              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Affiliate MDC with the Global Covenant of Mayors for Climate and Energy, in order to ensure Council keeps abreast of the latest strategies for tackling climate change at regional/city level and is at the forefront of supporting innovations to de-carbonise the Marlborough economy.                                   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>528</b>         | Allan John Climo   | 1            | All           | All                                     |                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 1            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The MEP recognises that exotic commercial forest activity in the Marlborough Sounds, particularly Port Underwood, can result in significant negative impacts on landscapes and community amenity values extending well beyond the land boundaries of the activity.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.2  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 4            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.4     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | The environmental flows, levels and limits established by rules in the MEP in support of Policies 5.2.4 (5.2.7 and 5.2.11) are maintained so that they specifically impose no greater negative impact upon water availability, allocation and access than the quantities, flows and levels currently imposed respectively. |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 5            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.6     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.6  |              |               |   |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | The environmental flows, levels and limits established by rules in the MEP in support of Policy 5.2.7 (and Policies 5.2.4 and 5.2.11) are maintained so that they specifically impose no greater negative impact upon water availability, allocation and access than the quantities, flows and levels currently imposed respectively.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 7     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.11 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | The environmental flows, levels and limits established by rules in the MEP in support of Policy 5.2.11 (and Policies 5.2.4 and 5.2.7) are maintained so that they specifically impose no greater negative impact upon water availability, allocation and access than the quantities, flows and levels currently imposed respectively.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 8     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.3   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 9     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 5.3.1 be amended (bold) to read:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.3.1 - To allocate water in the following order of priority :</i></p> <p><i>(a) natural and human use values then;</i></p> <p><i>(b) aquifer recharge; then</i></p> <p><i>(c) domestic and stock water supply; then</i></p> <p><i>(d) municipal water supply; then</i></p> <p><b><i>(e) irrigation water supply for primary industry; and then</i></b></p> <p><i>(f) all other takes of water.</i></p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 10    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.5  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                                 | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 11           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.6                              |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 12           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.7                              |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 13           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.8                              |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 14           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.9     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested                            |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 15           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.10                             |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 16           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.12                             |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 17           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.14                             |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 18           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.15                             |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                                 | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 19           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.16                             |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 20           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.4                             |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 21           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.3                              |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 22           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.4                              |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 23           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.5                              |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 24           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.6     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.6                              |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 25           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.7                             |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 26           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Policy 5.7.2 and all other sections where IrriCalc is referred to be amended (bold) to ensure that:<br><b>(a) The methodology for determining reasonable water use rates for water "take" under Policy 5.7.2 and water "use" under Policy 5.7.3 are commensurate.</b><br><b>(b) IrriCalc not be specified as the sole tool upon which reasonable water use is determined but reference be made in the respective policies to "validated model(s), tools or methods identified, approved and promulgated from time to time by the Council".</b><br><b>(c) Property specific information including historical irrigation and soil moisture data may be considered in determining reasonable water use instead of the modelling tool.</b><br><b>(d) Where property specific information substantiates that an allocation of water higher than that determined by the modelling tool is required, then such allocation may be approved.</b><br><b>(e) In these circumstances the property specific information will be deemed to override the modelling result.</b> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 27    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy 5.7.3 and all other sections where IrriCalc is referred to be amended (bold) to ensure that:<br><b>(a) The methodology for determining reasonable water use rates for water "take" under Policy 5.7.2 and water "use" under Policy 5.7.3 are commensurate.</b><br><b>(b) IrriCalc not be specified as the sole tool upon which reasonable water use is determined but reference be made in the respective policies to "validated model(s), tools or methods identified, approved and promulgated from time to time by the Council".</b><br><b>(c) Property specific information including historical irrigation and soil moisture data may be considered in determining reasonable water use instead of the modelling tool.</b><br><b>(d) Where property specific information substantiates that an allocation of water higher than that determined by the modelling tool is required, then such allocation may be approved.</b><br><b>(e) In these circumstances the property specific information will be deemed to override the modelling result.</b> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 28    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.8  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 29    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 30    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.2   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 31    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.3  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 32    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strikethrough and bold) to Policy 5.9.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.9.1 – Once an allocation limit is reached and that part of the water resource is fully allocated, any water that subsequently becomes free to allocate to other users will only be made available to those users through a system of <del>ballot</del><b>tender</b>.</i></p> <p>This policy sets out in principle that any water that becomes available to re-allocate shall be allocated via <del>ballot</del><b>tender</b>. A <del>ballot</del><b>tender</b> is considered by water users to be the most equitable way to determine who should receive the water given the likely competition for the water amongst existing users. It avoids the situation of a person gaining access to water in preference to other potential users based on the nature of the use or because they were first to make an application.</p>   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 33    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strikethrough and bold) to Policy 5.9.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.9.2 – On securing the <del>ballot</del><b>tender</b>, the successful <del>ballot</del><b>tenderer</b> must apply for the necessary water permits to authorise the taking and (if relevant) use of water. Until the successful <del>ballot</del><b>tenderer(s)</b> secures the necessary water permits, the water resource is considered fully allocated.</i></p> <p>The policy sets out what the successful <del>ballot</del><b>tenderer</b> must do to secure the allocation gained through a <del>ballot</del><b>tender</b>. As existing water permits define the spatial extent and rate of use, any proposed additional use would exceed existing allocations expressed in consents to take and use water. This means that a separate water permit would be required to authorise the taking and use of water. This policy secures the ability to make such an application without predetermining the outcome. While this process is underway, the water resource is considered to remain fully allocated to prevent a third party making an application for a water permit that would effectively nullify the result of the <del>ballot</del><b>tender</b>.</p> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 34    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.3 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strikethrough and bold) to Policy 5.9.3:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.9.3 – If required, any <del>ballot</del><b>tender</b> will be conducted on the following basis:</i></p> <p><i>(a) at least annually for the calendar year;</i></p> <p><i>(b) if the water permit holder already holds a water permit to take and use water for the same purpose, then they must surrender the original water permit before giving effect to the new water permit; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) if the subsequent water permit application to authorise the taking of water is not made within 12 months of the ballot result or the water permit application is refused, then that water will be re-balloted in the subsequent year.</i></p> <p>The matters in (a) to (c) set out procedurally how any <del>ballot</del><b>tender</b> to allocate water would be conducted. These matters will therefore guide the <del>ballot</del><b>tender</b> process, if any <del>ballot</del><b>tender</b> is required.</p> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 35    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                       | Policy 7.2.3   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (bold) to Policy 7.2.3(c):</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.3 – Control activities that have the potential to degrade the amenity values that contribute to those areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape not identified as being an outstanding natural feature and landscape by:</i></p> <p><i>(c) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities, <b>including the transport of logs on public roads.</b></i></p>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 36    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.2  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 37    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.4  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 38           | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps                       | Zoning Map 77    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That the submitter's Lot 4 DP 11879 zoned Rural 1 under the WARMP be designated in the commensurate Coastal Environment zone under the MEP and so depicted in the respective maps in Volume 4 (Map 77). |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 39           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.1           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.1   |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 40           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Objective 14.1   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.1   |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 41           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.1.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.1  |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 42           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.1.4    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.4  |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 43           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.1.7    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.7  |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 44           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.1.10   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.0  |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 45           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Objective 14.4   | Support     |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.4  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 46    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.1   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 47    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.4   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 48    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.11 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.11  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 49    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation               | Objective 17.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 17.6  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 50    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation               | Policy 17.6.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment (bold) to Policy 17.6.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 17.6.1 – Maintain amenity values in rural and urban areas by encouraging the use of national and arterial routes by high volumes of traffic and heavy vehicles and discouraging high volume and heavy traffic use of collector routes and local routes, particularly where these pass through residential areas.</i></p> <p>The current state of vehicle technology in New Zealand means that noise and vehicle emissions can be expected from the operation of vehicles on roads. There is little the MEP can do to modify those conditions. However, the Council can control the extent of these effects by adopting a road hierarchy, which encourages higher volumes of traffic and heavy traffic movements on certain routes and discourages them on others. An exception is made for some primary production activities, which need to use collector and local routes to transport produce to processing facilities <b>provided that no viable alternative route or method of transport exists. The maintenance of community amenity values will take precedence over commercial financial considerations.</b></p> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited   | 51    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation               | Policy 17.6.2  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 17.6.2                             |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 52    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.1.1.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.1.1                                |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 53    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.2.5.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.5                                |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 54    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.3.5.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 2.3.5                             |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 55    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.4.1.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.4.1                                |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 56    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.5.2.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.5.2                                |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 57    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.1.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.1                                |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited | 58    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.5.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.5                                |       |          |                          |           |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 59    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.13.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.13  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 60    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.14.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.14  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 61    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.17.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.17  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 62    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.22  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 63    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.23  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 64    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment (bold) to the definition of Agricultural Waste:</p> <p><i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that farmers adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, and harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <b>viticultrual</b>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 65    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.39.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.39  |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 66    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.5.1(a):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.5.1 A Category A or B device must not be operated: (a) between 8.00 pm and <del>7:00</del><b>6:30</b> am the following day if the device is within 2km of a</i></p> <p><i>between 8.00 pm and <del>7:00</del><b>6:30</b> am the following day if the device is within 2km of a noise sensitive-activity <b>residential dwelling (excluding a residential dwelling on the same property as the audible bird scaring device).</b></i></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 67    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment (bold) to Standard 3.3.5.2(c):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.5.2 A Category A device [including one mounted and operated on a mobile platform] must not be operated:</i></p> <p><i>(c) at a greater density than one device per five hectares of land in any single land holding, except where the land is less than five hectares in area, one device shall be permitted.</i></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 68    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment (bold) to Standard 3.3.5.3:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.5.3 A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour <b>for each 5 hectare area over which the device is being operated.</b></i></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 69    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.7.1   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 70    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.4.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The MEP clearly recognises the existing use rights of existing frost fans erected and operated in compliance with a resource consent and the conditions upon which the consent was issued.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                      | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|------------|-----------------|
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 71    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                     | 3.6.2.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.6.2   |       |          |  |            |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 72    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                   | 4.1.6.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Rule 4.1.6:</p> <p><del>4.1.6 Commercial forestry replanting.</del></p> <p>4.6 Discretionary Activities</p> <p><b>4.6.X Commercial forestry replanting.</b></p> |       |          |  |            |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 73    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels    | Schedule 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the quantity allocations and water takes detailed in Schedule (1) have specifically no greater negative impact upon water availability, allocation and access than the quantities currently imposed.                     |       |          |  |            |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 74    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels    | Schedule 3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the minimum flows and levels for water takes detailed in Schedule (3) have specifically no greater negative impact upon the flows and levels currently imposed.  |       |          |  |            |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 75    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                   | 4.1.11.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.1.11  |       |          |  |            |                 |
| 484                | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 76    | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan |            | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>In order to ensure certainty and clarity, any discretionary activity resource consent for the establishment of a commercial forestry shall encompass provision for transport of logs from the forest at harvest, including commensurate controls and conditions where required to protect community amenity values and the roading network beyond the boundaries of the forest.</p> <p>In addition any Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan shall include:</p> <p><b><i>The method and route to be used to convey forest development and maintenance equipment, and the transport of harvested logs from the boundary of the forest to the location of processing or disposal, including provisions for the protection of wider community amenity values and the integrity of the roading network.</i></b></p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 77    | Volume 4 | All                                       |               | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Volume 4 Maps  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>484</b>         | Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited  | 78    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                         | 17.M.10       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Methods of implementation to be amended (bold) to include a new method 17.M.X:</p> <p><b><i>The Council will provide and maintain a website based mechanism for the receipt of traffic incident and safety related reports, and complaints of impacts on amenity values arising from roads. These reports/complaints will be monitored and regularly collated for action as appropriate to enhance safety and efficiency of the road network and reduce adverse effects on the environment and community amenity values.</i></b></p>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>382</b>         | Nicola M Clouston   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc   | 1     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                       | Policy 6.1.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | If assessing all of the Marlborough Sounds coastal environment area into natural character classifications is not practical then we submit that policy 6.1.4 should make it clear that areas classified below high are only excluded from the MEP maps on practicality grounds and that policies on natural character in the MEP also apply to these areas.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc   | 2     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment         | Policy 13.2.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | That paragraph (c) of Policy 13.2.2 is inappropriate policy and must be deleted.   |       |          |                           |              |        |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 3     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Issue 8A     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following policy:</p> <p>"In assessing cumulative effects of activities on the marine ecosystem consideration shall be given to:</p> <p>(a) the effect of allowing more or of re-consenting the same or similar activity;</p> <p>(b) the result of allowing more or re-consenting a particular effect, whether from the same activity or from other activities causing the same or similar effect; and</p> <p>(c) the combined effects from all activities in the coastal environment in the locality."</p> <p>"Cumulative effects are relevant in and must be accommodated within all assessments of marine environment ecological effects, including the following policies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 8.1.3 (adequate information on the state of the marine environment); and</li> <li>• 8.2.1 (means to assist in the protection and enhancement of areas and habitats with indigenous biodiversity value); and</li> <li>• 8.2.3 (priority to protecting significant marine areas from adverse effects and to protecting all areas of indigenous biodiversity from significant adverse effects) ; and</li> <li>• 8.2.9 (maintenance, enhancement and restoration of indigenous ecosystems).</li> <li>• 8.3.1 (avoiding significant adverse coastal environment effects)</li> <li>• 8.3.2 (significant adverse effects on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity to be avoided)</li> </ul> <p>Acceptable limits of cumulative effects will be determined by reference to the thresholds specified in a particular policy and by reference to best practice and international sustainability and biodiversity preservation and enhancement standards.</p> <p>Where a retraction of consented activities is required to meet acceptable cumulative effect thresholds then this may occur by default through re-consenting attrition until acceptable levels of cumulative effects are reached, or through the application of activity retraction guidelines developed and agreed with stakeholders"</p> |       |          |                           |              |        |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 4     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.5 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That a paragraph in the nature of the following be included in reference to marine water column effects:<br>"alteration to the abundance or composition of naturally occurring water column elements including phytoplankton, zooplankton and/or other palatable detritus." |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc   | 5     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That paragraph (b) of policy 8.3.2 should be corrected to record "avoided if significant, and avoided, remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1."                                    |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc   | 6     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred).   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc   | 7     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred).   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc   | 8     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That this policy be amended by adding the following to the end:<br>"and to protecting other areas of indigenous biodiversity from significant adverse effects."   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc   | 9     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That this policy should be extended to include the determination of acceptable cumulative ecological impact thresholds ('ecological carrying capacities') for regulated activities in the coastal marine area such as marine farming.                                       |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc   | 10    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.3  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the policy be extended to include the attainment of knowledge on the degree of change that has occurred in coastal marine indigenous flora and fauna biodiversity and abundance that may be reversible and that is attributable to activities that can be managed by resource consent conditions or processes – notably with regard to marine farming.  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 11    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.8  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That this policy be removed.   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 12    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>We submit that a cumulative effects landscape values policy must be included in MEP to meet the requirements of NZCPS Policy 7 – in a similar vein to that as has been included in Chapter 6 for natural character effects. Such a policy should prescribe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The positive identification of areas such as Clova Bay where coastal landscape values are under threat from adverse cumulative effects; and</li> <li>• That for all activities requiring a resource consent in the coastal marine environment, an assessment of cumulative adverse landscape effects be undertaken considering: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) the effects of the existing level of activity;</li> <li>(b) the result of re-consenting or allowing more of a particular effect, whether from the same activity or from other activities causing the same or similar effect; and</li> <li>(c) the combined effects from all activities in the coastal marine environment in the locality.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• That acceptable limits of cumulative effects will be determined by reference to the thresholds specified in a particular policy, or by effects not reducing landscape value to a lower level on a seven point scale, or through guidelines developed with stakeholders with reference to best practice and international assessment standards.</li> <li>• That where a retraction of consented activities is required to meet acceptable cumulative effect thresholds then this may occur by default through re-consenting attrition until acceptable levels of adverse cumulative effects are reached, or through the application of activity retraction guidelines developed and agreed with effected stakeholders.</li> </ul> |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 13    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That it should be made clear that a cumulative effects policy must be applied when applying policy 7.2.4.  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 14    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That marine farming must be included in paragraph (c) as well.   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 15    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.4  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred).  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 16    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That it should be made clear that policy 6.2.7 is to be applied when applying the following policies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 6.2.6 (when identifying areas and opportunities for restoration and rehabilitation); and</li> <li>• 6.2.3 (when assessing for degree of natural character change); and</li> <li>• 6.2.2 (when assessing for significant adverse effects on natural character); and</li> <li>• 6.1.4 (when identifying and mapping areas where there are reversible adverse cumulative natural character effects).</li> </ul> <p>That the following paragraphs should be added to Policy 6.2.7 to meet the requirements of NZCPS 7:</p> <p>"Acceptable limits of cumulative effects will be determined by reference to the thresholds specified in a particular policy or through guidelines developed with stakeholders and with reference to best practice and international assessment standards.</p> <p>Where a retraction of consented activities is required to meet acceptable cumulative effect thresholds then this may occur by default through re-consenting attrition until acceptable levels of cumulative effects are reached or through the application of activity retraction guidelines developed and agreed with stakeholders"</p> <p>That it should be made clear that policy 6.2.7 applies to the re-consenting of activities in the coastal environment as well as to the consenting of new activities in the coastal environment.</p> |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 17    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That it should be made clearer in Policy 6.2.6 that opportunities for restoration or rehabilitation of natural character include the declining of applications for resource consent renewals in localities such as Clova Bay where significant adverse cumulative effects on natural character exist (as per Policies 6.2.3 and 6.2.7).</p> <p>That at a minimum Policy 6.2.6 prescribe that 'consent renewal attrition' (through the decline of renewal applications as they arise) may be applied as a default method of addressing adverse natural character cumulative effects where there are multiple contributing consented activities of similar effect on natural character. Our comments on Policy 6.2.7 elaborate on this further.</p>   |       |          |                     |              |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 18           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character               | Policy 6.2.5     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This policy is inappropriate and should be deleted.  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 19           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character               | Policy 6.2.3     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 6.2.3 apply to the coastal marine area irrespective of existing classification of natural character.   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 20           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character               | Objective 6.1    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | We submit that Clova Bay be identified in the MEP as an area at threat or risk from significant adverse cumulative effects on natural character. This will give some long overdue recognition to the over farming issue in Clova Bay and set the platform for some resolution.   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 21           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.15  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following paragraph be added to Policy 13.15.2:<br><br>"avoiding activities or structures in areas that may impede on or inhibit regular navigation routes"   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 22           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.2    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the following policies be added to this section:<br><br>"Use the coastal water quality programme and other Council initiatives to identify stressors on Marlborough Sounds recreational finfish and shellfish recruitment and stocks"<br><br>And<br><br>"Recognise the high amenity value of recreational finfish and shellfish stocks when assessing adverse effects of activities in the coastal marine area" |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 23           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.1    | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following policies be added to this section:<br>"Use the coastal water quality programme and other Council initiatives to identify stressors on Marlborough Sounds recreational finfish and shellfish recruitment and stocks"<br>And<br>"Recognise the high amenity value of recreational finfish and shellfish stocks when assessing adverse effects of activities in the coastal marine area" |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 24    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13C     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the issue (inferred).   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 25    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 13.3.4 be amended and extended to read:<br>"Ensure recreational use has priority over commercial activities that require occupation of the coastal marine area in Queen Charlotte Sound, including Tory Channel, and in areas of the Pelorus Sound and Kenepuru Sound with high public use or environmental and public amenity value."   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 26    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred).  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 27    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred).  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 28    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred).  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 29    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.6 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That a further paragraph be added to Policy 13.2.5 as follows:<br>"Recognising that there are adverse visual amenity effects of surface structures in the coastal marine environment (including cumulative) and ensuring that visual amenity is maintained and enhanced through the setting of guidelines, standards or limits around the amount of surface structures that may be accommodated within the visual perspective of any given area" |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 30    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a further paragraph be added to Policy 13.2.5 as follows:<br>"Recognising that there are adverse visual amenity effects of surface structures in the coastal marine environment (including cumulative) and ensuring that visual amenity is maintained and enhanced through the setting of guidelines, standards or limits around the amount of surface structures that may be accommodated within the visual perspective of any given area" |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 31    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a further paragraph be added to Policy 13.2.5 as follows:<br>"Recognising that there are adverse visual amenity effects of surface structures in the coastal marine environment (including cumulative) and ensuring that visual amenity is maintained and enhanced through the setting of guidelines, standards or limits around the amount of surface structures that may be accommodated within the visual perspective of any given area" |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 32    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred).  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 33    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following paragraph be added to Policy 13.15.2:<br>"avoiding activities or structures in areas that may impede on or inhibit regular navigation routes"   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>152</b>         | Clova Bay Residents Association Inc  | 34    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment  | Policy 13.15.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following paragraph be added to Policy 13.15.2:<br>"avoiding activities or structures in areas that may impede on or inhibit regular navigation routes" |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>356</b>         | Coatbridge Limited   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 156 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Significant Wetland W781. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>356</b>         | Coatbridge Limited   | 2     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 156 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Significant Wetlands W762 and W784.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>356</b>         | Coatbridge Limited   | 3     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 155 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Significant Wetland W88.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>356</b>         | Coatbridge Limited   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels  | Schedule 3     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the minimum flows and levels for water takes from the Wairau River as proposed.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>356</b>         | Coatbridge Limited   | 5     | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Appendix 5 to include primary production values, and to add Natural Character values to Bartletts Creek. <i>(Inferred)</i>                                 |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>356</b>         | Coatbridge Limited   | 6     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character  | Policy 6.1.6   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy.   |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| <b>356</b>         | Coatbridge Limited   | 7     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>725</b>         | Gena Cockerell   | 1     | All      | All                              |              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>365</b>         | Coffey House Removals 2007 Ltd   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.3.2.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following change to the first sentence:<br><br><i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</i> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>365</b>         | Coffey House Removals 2007 Ltd   | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.3.2.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following change to the first sentence:<br><br><i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</i> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>365</b>         | Coffey House Removals 2007 Ltd   | 3     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.7.2.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following change to the first sentence:<br><br><i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</i> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>365</b>         | Coffey House Removals 2007 Ltd   | 4     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.7.2.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following change to the first sentence:<br><br><i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</i> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>365</b>         | Coffey House Removals 2007 Ltd   | 5     | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone       | 6.3.2.2.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following change to the first sentence:<br><br><i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</i> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>365</b>         | Coffey House Removals 2007 Ltd  | 6     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                     | 7.3.4.2.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following change to the first sentence:</p> <p><i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</i></p>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>365</b>         | Coffey House Removals 2007 Ltd  | 7     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone                       | 8.3.4.2.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following change to the first sentence:</p> <p><i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</i></p>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>408</b>         | Kenneth James Coles   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>163</b>         | James Collett   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 165 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | My decision requested is to further define the boundaries of W793 to a smaller and more realistic and practical size as the current overlay as presented on the map is close to three times the actual size of the wetland.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>253</b>         | James Collett   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That all Wairau River surface water takes are considered equal (both below and above The Narrows) and therefore have the same minimum flow level cut off of 8m <sup>3</sup> /s at Barnett's Bank. This is effectively the current situation, however it is noted that a portion of consents do have a condition relating to the Wash bridge flow which has never been implemented. With the current management flow of 8m <sup>3</sup> /s for full restriction for all Wairau River users, it has shown that there are no adverse effects on instream values. Whereas any changes will have a significant negative economic and social impact on those consent holders currently above The Narrows. |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>235</b>         | Chris Collie-Holmes   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.2.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>235</b>         | Chris Collie-Holmes   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.3.        | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>397</b>         | Heather Collins   | 1     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15B      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I request research that shows the effective use of this Water Quality Index in a New Zealand water quality planning scenario.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>397</b>         | Heather Collins   | 2     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.23   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>397</b>         | Heather Collins   | 3     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.19        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Methods of Implementation 15.M.19.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>397</b>         | Heather Collins   | 4     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.18        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b><i>MEP to recognise industry best practice standards and industry accords (inferred).</i></b>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>397</b>         | Heather Collins   | 5     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.25        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b><i>Inferred - Clarify points raised in submission for Methods of Implementation 15.M.25:</i></b>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>Whether management plans are a requirement for existing or for new dairy farm conversions.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>Which version of Overseer will be used in preparation of a NMP.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>How often a Nutrient Management Plan should be produced.</i></b></li> </ul> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>397</b>         | Heather Collins   | 6     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.5         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Council adopts a community collaboration and engagement rather than a community consultation process.   |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 397                | Heather Collins  | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.28.7.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b>Clarification through policy or methods as to use the limit of 200kg Nitrogen/ha/year rather than a limit based on farm specific conditions to address this issue (inferred).</b>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 397                | Heather Collins  | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.28.11.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Rule wording be changed to three years after the plan is operative.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 397                | Heather Collins  | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.33.4.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This rule is autocratic and should be deleted.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 794                | Jacob Collins  | 1     | All      | All                                    |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 444                | Lisa Collinson   | 1     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.2.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>Phase out small scale solid fuel burning appliances <del>older than 15 years of age</del> <b>as they need replacing</b> within the Blenheim Airshed.</i> "<br><br>Or, consider subsidising the cost;<br><br>Or, providing interest free loans that can be repaid once a property is sold.<br><br>(Inferred) |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 394                | Colonial Vineyards Limited   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                            | Zoning Map 159 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | We would like Council to leave this area as rural land so that any future effects can be managed/mitigated.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 248                | David Colsell  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                 | 16.7.2.        | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>1. Leave the ruling as it currently is (at 500m).</p> <p>2. Perhaps a more progressive solution for the unique environment that is the Marlborough Sounds, is to redefine the classification of a "Ship" within the current rules and introduce a tiered system as such:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Large commercial ships that regularly enter and exit the Sounds, can reasonably be expected to discharge their sewerage outside of the 1000m mark.</li> <li>- Smaller local commercial operators (taxi's, sight seeing and fishing tours , etc.) could be allowed to use a 500m mark but their vessels should be expected to carry a suitable treatment system (inspected under survey).</li> <li>- Recreational craft &gt;7m must macerate their sewerage prior to discharge via electric toilet or macerator pump. This would eliminate the chances of persistent solids washing ashore and significantly increase the rate of dissipation and degradation. This is a simple enough and relatively inexpensive measure that I believe most boat owner's would consider to be a perfectly reasonable and workable request, in the interests of all.</li> <li>- The remaining untreated discharge from smaller boats would be negligible.</li> </ul> |       |          |  |           |        |
| <b>248</b>         | David Colsell   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                 | 16.7.3.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>1. Leave the ruling as it currently is (at 500m).</p> <p>2. Perhaps a more progressive solution for the unique environment that is the Marlborough Sounds, is to redefine the classification of a "Ship" within the current rules and introduce a tiered system as such:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Large commercial ships that regularly enter and exit the Sounds, can reasonably be expected to discharge their sewerage outside of the 1000m mark.</li> <li>- Smaller local commercial operators (taxi's, sight seeing and fishing tours , etc.) could be allowed to use a 500m mark but their vessels should be expected to carry a suitable treatment system (inspected under survey).</li> <li>- Recreational craft &gt;7m must macerate their sewerage prior to discharge via electric toilet or macerator pump. This would eliminate the chances of persistent solids washing ashore and significantly increase the rate of dissipation and degradation. This is a simple enough and relatively inexpensive measure that I believe most boat owner's would consider to be a perfectly reasonable and workable request, in the interests of all.</li> <li>- The remaining untreated discharge from smaller boats would be negligible.</li> </ul> |       |          |  |           |        |
| <b>630</b>         | Combined Clubs of Marlborough Underwater Section  | 1     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That a slow phasing out of forestry occurs and return to native bush.   |       |          |  |           |        |
| <b>630</b>         | Combined Clubs of Marlborough Underwater Section  | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.8.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | We would like a larger buffer zone on forestry in the Sounds, with the possibility of a slow phasing out and return to native bush.   |       |          |  |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| <b>630</b>         | Combined Clubs of Marlborough Underwater Section   | 3     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | Issue 8A      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That commercial scallop dredging has had a catastrophe effect on the sounds underwater environment. This has been more noticeable in Queen Charlotte due to the fact that these beds are wild (no seeding is done), and have been on the decline for years.</p> <p>That commercial is banned, or not allowed to be restarted to protect the unique underwater habitats, the possibility of recreational dredging only in these areas could be considered. These dredges are much, smaller and lighter.</p> <p>Not all recreational people take scallops with dredges our club gathers by hand therefore causes little damage; but do see the result of siltation in bays.</p> |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited   | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.1  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.2  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.1.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.1.1  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited   | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.4  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited   | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.6  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.6  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited   | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.11   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited   | 7     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.14   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited   | 8     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.5  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter                                | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.5                      |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 9     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.6                      |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 10    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.7                      |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 11    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.8                      |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 12    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.10                     |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 13    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.12                     |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 14    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.14                     |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 15    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.3                      |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 16    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.4                      |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 17    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.5                      |       |          |                                  |               |         |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                         | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                         | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-------------|
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 18           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.7.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.2                      |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 19           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.8.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1                      |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 20           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.8.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.2                      |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 21           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.8    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.8                     |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 22           | Volume 1      | 16 Waste                               | Policy 16.3.7    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 16.3.7                     |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 23           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                        | 2.4.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.4.1                        |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 24           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                        | 2.4.1.1          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.4.1.1                      |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 25           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                        | 2.5.2.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.5.2                        |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 26           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.1.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.1                        |              |               |  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                         | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 27           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.5.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.5                        |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 28           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.13.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.13                       |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 29           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.14.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.14                       |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 30           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.17.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.17                       |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 31           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.19.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.19                       |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 32           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.22                       |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 33           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.23                       |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 34           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.25.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.25                       |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited | 35           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.26.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.26                       |              |               |                          |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited  | 36    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.33.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.33  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited  | 37    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.34.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.34  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited  | 38    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.4.1.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.4.1   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited  | 39    | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                 | 21.4.2.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 21.4.2  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited  | 40    | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                 | 21.4.3.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 21.4.3  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited  | 41    | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                 | 21.4.4.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 21.4.4  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited  | 42    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                      | Zoning Map 158 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain zoning map 158.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited  | 43    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined, deletions are crossed out):</p> <p><i>Water permit applications to use water for irrigation will <del>not</del> <u>only</u> be approved when the rate <u>or timing</u> of use <del>exceeds</del> <u>is within</u> the reasonable use calculations, <del>except</del> or where the applicant can demonstrate that they require more water <u>or need to extend the irrigation period</u> based on property specific information.</i></p> |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited  | 44    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.8   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined, deletions are crossed out):<br><br><i><u>Approve applications to take and use water for frost fighting purposed, where such frost fighting infrastructure is not already in place and been exercised under previous water permits and <del>only</del> where there are no effective alternative methods for frost control on the property.</u></i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited  | 45    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.7.10  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined, deletions are crossed out):<br><br><i>Avoid taking water for frost fighting purposes between <del>during periods of peak irrigation demand</del> (1 January <del>to</del> and <del>30</del> <u>31 April</u> <u>March</u> in any calendar year →).</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited  | 46    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.8.3   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined):<br><br><i>Water may be stored at times other than those specified in Policy 5.8.2 to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. <u>The exemptions to the limitation on the rate of take are:</u><br/><br/><u>(a) Due to the narrow window of opportunity afforded by the higher sediment loads that can be carried in the Awarere River, higher rates of take of Class B water may be considered from the Awarere River in April and May if Class C water is not available, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised monthly rate of take for irrigation purposes.</u><br/><br/><u>(b) Community Irrigation and water supply schemes.</u></i> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited  | 47    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include the following under Policy 15.1.32(b):<br><br><i><u>(v) in the case of infiltration trenches or other water intake structures in a river bed, the value to an existing consent holder of the investment that relies on the take of water through that intake:</u></i><br><br><i><u>(vi) in the case of infiltration trenches or other water intake structures in a river bed, the practical viability of alternative methods of abstracting water.</u></i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited  | 48    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | Policy 16.3.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 16.3.1 to the following (or similar):<br><br><i><u>Where necessary to avoid, remedy or mitigate effects on the receiving environment, ensure that wastewater management systems, including the consideration of measures to minimise waste quantities and contaminants, are designed, located and installed to effectively treat and/or contain the contaminant present in wastewater.</u></i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 631                | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited  | 49    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste        | Policy 16.3.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 16.3.2 to the following (or similar):<br><br><i>Require discharge permits for the discharge of contaminants onto or into land where there are significant environmental constraints to ensure effective wastewater management in accordance with Policy 16.3.1.</i>  |       |          |                 |               |                 |
| 631                | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited  | 50    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste        | Policy 16.3.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause (c) of Policy 16.3.3 to read as follows (or similar)<br><br><i>(c) The land application area is located <del>is located as far as practicable from any surface waterbody or coastal water</del>; and the land application system is managed, such that the discharge of wastewater directly or via overland flow to a surface waterbody or coastal water is avoided.</i>   |       |          |                 |               |                 |
| 631                | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited  | 51    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste        | Policy 16.3.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause (a) of Policy 16.3.4 to read as follows (or similar)<br><br><i>(a) The extent <del>of treatment prior to discharge to which the treatment prior to discharge is appropriate for the land application area and receiving environment.</del></i>   |       |          |                 |               |                 |
| 631                | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited  | 52    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste        | Policy 16.3.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy 16.3.8 to read as follows (or similar)<br><br><i>Monitor the operational performance of existing wastewater management systems and require <del>poorly performing systems which are not providing sufficient treatment to avoid significant adverse environmental effects</del> to be upgraded to or replaced with systems that <del>effectively treat and contain all wastewater to the discharge site</del> provide a suitable quality of treated wastewater to avoid adverse effects on the discharge area and receiving environment.</i> |       |          |                 |               |                 |
| 631                | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited  | 53    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste        | Policy 16.3.9 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 16.3.9.   |       |          |                 |               |                 |
| 631                | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited  | 54    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.          | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision                                   | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| Decision Requested | (a) Include the following or similar in the list if permitted activities under 2.7:<br><i><u>New structures in, under, or over riverbeds.</u></i><br><br>(b) Include the following or similar in standards under 2.9:<br><i><u>- The riverbed must be less 3 metres in width;</u></i><br><i><u>- There must be no increase in the velocity of flow through or downstream of the structure at the river's median flow;</u></i><br><i><u>- The structure must be deigned and implemented to ensure there is no erosion or scour downstream of the structure.</u></i> |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited   | 55    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.23.1.                                   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 3.3.23.1.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited   | 56    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1                                  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Class C allocation limits in Appendix 6, Schedule 1.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited   | 57    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | High Priority Waterbodies for Public Access | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove this section of Doctor's Creek and Opawa River from the overlay map <i>High Priority Waterbodies for Public Access</i> .  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited   | 58    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 159                              | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain zoning map 159.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited   | 59    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 160                              | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain zoning map 160.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited   | 60    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 169                              | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain zoning map 169.   |       |          |   |   |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|---------|
| <b>631</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited   | 61    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 170 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain zoning map 170.   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>632</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.6.2   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Review minimum levels for the following FMU's<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs FMU;</li> <li>- Wairau Aquifer Central Springs FMU; and</li> <li>- Wairau Aquifer North Springs FMU.</li> </ul>                                   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>632</b>         | Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3     | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Review minimum levels for the following FMU's and amend Schedule 3 accordingly:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs FMU;</li> <li>- Wairau Aquifer Central Springs FMU; and</li> <li>- Wairau Aquifer North Springs FMU.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>520</b>         | Ashley Cook  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 124 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That Lot 5 resulting from the subdivision of Sec 14 Blk 1 Linkwater SD be re-zoned Coastal Living in entirety.   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>795</b>         | Jeff Cook  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.2.        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i></p>                                      |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>795</b>         | Jeff Cook  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.3.        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i></p>                             |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>798</b>         | Joanne Evalyn Cook   | 1     | All      | All                                       |                | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>849</b>         | Ken Cookson   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone   | 16.7.2.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>849</b>         | Ken Cookson   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone   | 16.7.3.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>743</b>         | Graham Thomas Cooper  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.11.2:<br><br><i>Standard 3.3.11.2 The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 3.3.11.3 to 3.3.11.6 (inclusive):</i><br><br><i>(b) indigenous vegetation dominated by manuka, kanuka, tauhinu, bracken fern and silver tussock, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than <del>20</del> <b>30</b> years in age;</i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>743</b>         | Graham Thomas Cooper  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.19. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.19:<br><br><i>Standard 3.3.7.19 Within 30 days after they are no longer required to be used for harvesting, all harvesting tracks must be recovered so that the contour of the land is restored as closely as practicable to that before the harvesting or associated land disturbance.</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>743</b>         | Graham Thomas Cooper  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.6. | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>1. That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.11.6:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.11.6 Clearance of indigenous vegetation, per Computer Register, must not exceed: (b) 10,000m<sup>2</sup> in any 5 <b>1</b> year period where the average canopy height is below 3m, except for the following species where clearance in any 5 year period must not exceed:</i></p> <p>OR</p> <p>2. That the following amendments (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.11.6:</p> <p><del><i>Standard 3.3.11.6 Clearance of indigenous vegetation, per Computer Register, must not exceed: (b) 10,000m<sup>2</sup> in any 5 year period where the average canopy height is below 3m, except for the following species where clearance in any 5 year period must not exceed:</i></del></p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>743</b>         | Graham Thomas Cooper  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.14.1.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.14.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.14.1 Excavation in excess of 1000m<sup>3</sup> must not occur on any land with a slope greater than 20° within any <del>24</del> <b>12</b> month period.</i></p>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1309</b>        | Jonathan and Karina Coote   | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.2.1.5.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1314</b>        | Jackie and Stephen Coote  | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.2.1.5.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>633</b>         | Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association  | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the coastal occupancy charge part of the plan be withdrawn and introduced again when it can be put as a comprehensive package. Otherwise, address this point.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>633</b>         | Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association  | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the coastal occupancy charge part of the plan be withdrawn and introduced again when it can be put as a comprehensive package. Otherwise, address this point.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>633</b>         | Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association  | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.11        | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | That the coastal occupancy charge part of the plan be withdrawn and introduced again when it can be put as a comprehensive package. Otherwise, address this point.  |       |          |                                  |                             |        |
| <b>633</b>         | Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association  | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the coastal occupancy charge part of the plan be withdrawn and introduced again when it can be put as a comprehensive package. Otherwise, address this point.  |       |          |                                  |                             |        |
| <b>633</b>         | Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association  | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the coastal occupancy charge part of the plan be withdrawn and introduced again when it can be put as a comprehensive package. Otherwise, address this point.  |       |          |                                  |                             |        |
| <b>633</b>         | Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association  | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the coastal occupancy charge part of the plan be withdrawn and introduced again when it can be put as a comprehensive package. Otherwise, address this point.  |       |          |                                  |                             |        |
| <b>18</b>          | Jonathan Corskie  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                     | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the property numbers 252642, 252643, 532309, 532313, 533497, 533502, 152434, 152442, 152450, 152426, 152418, 529455 and 529457 zoned Coastal Living and Coastal Environment on the western shore of Nydia Bay from this Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape overlay map. |       |          |                                  |                             |        |
| <b>552</b>         | Council of Outdoor Recreation Associations of New Zealand   | 1     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.1.6.                      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.  |       |          |                                  |                             |        |
| <b>428</b>         | Allen, Judith and Andrew Cox  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                     | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Lot 2 DP 311518 is NOT included in the High Natural Character area of the M.E.P.   |       |          |                                  |                             |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>796</b>         | John Craddock  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>796</b>         | John Craddock  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>796</b>         | John Craddock  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>796</b>         | John Craddock  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>796</b>         | John Craddock  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>796</b>         | John Craddock  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>796</b>         | John Craddock  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>796</b>         | John Craddock  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>796</b>         | John Craddock  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character        | Issue 6A      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>796</b>         | John Craddock  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character        | Objective 6.1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>634</b>         | Crafar Crouch Construction Limited   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                | Zoning Map 34 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That Lot 31 DP 337965 is re-zoned from Urban Residential to Industrial 1.  |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>521</b>         | Audrey Craig   | 1     | All      | All                        |               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>418</b>         | John Craighead   | 1     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.30.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>418</b>         | John Craighead   | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.31.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>418</b>         | John Craighead   | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.10.       | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter                          | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>418</b>         | John Craighead                     | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.11.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>418</b>         | John Craighead                     | 5     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | 8.M.4      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>418</b>         | John Craighead                     | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>418</b>         | John Craighead                     | 7     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>418</b>         | John Craighead                     | 8     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>418</b>         | John Craighead                     | 9     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>418</b>         | John Craighead                     | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>418</b>         | John Craighead                     | 11    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10. | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>418</b>         | John Craighead   | 12    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>418</b>         | John Craighead   | 13    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>418</b>         | John Craighead   | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.20.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>418</b>         | John Craighead   | 15    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.21.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>418</b>         | John Craighead   | 16    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>418</b>         | John Craighead   | 17    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>418</b>         | John Craighead   | 18    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 4.3.6.1 (c) as follows (strike out and bold) - " <del>30</del> <b>100</b> metres of the coastal marine area". |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>418</b>         | John Craighead   | 19    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.   | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>635</b>         | Crail Bay Aquaculture Limited   | 1     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone                        | 5.2.1.                      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain in the plan.   |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>635</b>         | Crail Bay Aquaculture Limited   | 2     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone                        | 5.2.1.2.                    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain in the plan.   |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>636</b>         | Crail Bay Aquaculture Limited   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps   | Zoning Map 57               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Change the zone for property number 255628, Lot 2 DP 314868, 80 Main Road, Havelock from Urban residential to Business 1.   |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>637</b>         | Crail Bay Trust   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8540 in Grunts Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>637</b>         | Crail Bay Trust   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8540 in Grunts Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>637</b>         | Crail Bay Trust   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8540 in Grunts Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>637</b>         | Crail Bay Trust   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8540 in Grunts Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|---------|
| <b>637</b>         | Crail Bay Trust  | 5     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8540 in Grunts Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1294</b>        | Crawford Family Trust  | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                                   | 7.2.1.5.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>919</b>         | Margaret Cresswell   | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment                         | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt provision in full.   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>919</b>         | Margaret Cresswell   | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment                         | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt provision in full.   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>288</b>         | Mike Croad   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels               | Schedule 3     | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove cut off for Springs Area (inferred).  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>288</b>         | Mike Croad   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels               | Schedule 3     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support in favour of current cut off flows in the Awatere and Wairau Rivers.   |       |          |   |                |         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| <b>288</b>         | Mike Croad  | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources                        | Policy 5.7.10               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete policy (inferred).   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>288</b>         | Mike Croad  | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources                        | Policy 5.7.3                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>288</b>         | Mike Croad  | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources                        | 5.                          | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1111</b>        | Stephen Cross   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8419 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1111</b>        | Stephen Cross   | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1111</b>        | Stephen Cross   | 3     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8419 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.               |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1111</b>        | Stephen Cross   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8419 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1111</b>        | Stephen Cross   | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1111</b>        | Stephen Cross   | 6     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8419 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>224</b>         | William Crosse  | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Plan's policies acknowledge the special characteristics of vineyards on the lighter soils of the Wairau Valley floor, and allow for increased reliability in the allocation of irrigation water for these areas.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>224</b>         | William Crosse  | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The paragraph in question should be deleted from Policy 5.3.8   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>224</b>         | William Crosse  | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Eliminate the provision in IrriCalc for a monthly period for stony soils with low PAW, and require only that the annual period requirements are met.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>224</b>         | William Crosse  | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.7.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | All water permits should be reviewed regardless of their term to ensure water is allocated according to the principles set out in Policy 5.7.3. This will help ensure water is most efficiently used and those irrigators on light soils are not compromised as described in my comments on Policy 5.3.7. |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>217</b>         | Grant Crosswell   | 1     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone        | 5.1.25.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Can it be re worded so that a burner that has a particulate or efficiency level rather than an age limit.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>217</b>         | Grant Crosswell   | 2     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                         | 2.36.9.6.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | 2.36.9.6. Should read, An organisation name and a contact phone number of the group responsible for the sign must be provided on the sign.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>217</b>         | Grant Crosswell   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.1.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Prevent the sale and marketing of water outside the District so that Marlborough does not create an Ashburton Lot 9 scenario.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1293</b>        | Helen Crowder   | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                  | 7.2.1.5.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the proposed Rule 7.2.1.5.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>332</b>         | Robert John Culbert   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.10.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Policy be amended as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>" The manner in which the level of coastal occupancy charges has been determined is as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the expenditure related to the Marlborough District Council's role in the sustainable management of Marlborough's coastal marine area has been established;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the anticipated exemptions and waivers from coastal occupancy charges has been considered;</i></p> <p><i>(c) the beneficiaries and allocation of costs fairly and equitably amongst beneficiaries has been decided; and</i></p> <p><i>(d) the appropriate charge for the differing occupations to recover costs has been determined.</i></p> <p><b>Any money collected from coastal occupancy charges must be spent on improving the coastal amenity, and that the quantum of any money collected must be related to the area occupied."</b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>332</b>         | Robert John Culbert   | 2     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.18 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That this Policy be deleted.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>332</b>         | Robert John Culbert   | 3     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                 | 16.7.2.        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a MHWS."</i><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                        |                    |                 |
| <b>332</b>         | Robert John Culbert  | 4     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                        |                    |                 |
| <b>447</b>         | Ted and Shirley Culley   | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction         | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend wording (underline and strikethrough) of second guiding principle to read:<br><i>A healthy Marlborough economy <del>requires a healthy environment</del> <u>is a place where people and businesses are encouraged to grow and flourish with certainty and confidence.</u></i>  |       |          |                        |                    |                 |
| <b>447</b>         | Ted and Shirley Culley   | 2     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character    | Issue 6A           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend (strikethrough and bold) Issue 6A to read:<br><br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the <b>modification</b> <del>degradation</del> of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins.</i></li> </ul> Add a new policy: <u>Recognise existing and legally established uses such as marine farms are significant investments which are reversible.</u><br><br>Recognise and provide for existing activities that are already legally established in landscape zones (aquaculture, forestry, farming) and provide for their continuation.<br><br>Recognise ongoing use and developments that are lawfully established. |       |          |                        |                    |                 |
| <b>447</b>         | Ted and Shirley Culley   | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape            | Issue 7A           | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete references to significant landscapes throughout this chapter.</li> <li>Include a policy that states 'Landscapes valued by the community for their contribution to a sense of place or economic wellbeing.'</li> </ol>   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>447</b>         | Ted and Shirley Culley  | 4     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | Issue 8A                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete ecologically significant marine sites and reassess using national criteria.</li> <li>Recognise that some of these significant sites may contain marine farms which have been assessed as appropriate.</li> </ol>  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1112</b>        | Sarah Cumming   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to recognise that aquaculture is a regionally significant sector in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1112</b>        | Sarah Cumming   | 2     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows - " <i>Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins. <b>However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming are recognised and provided for.</b></i> "<br>(Inferred) |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1112</b>        | Sarah Cumming   | 3     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Issue as follows - " <i>Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins. <b>However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming are recognised and provided for.</b></i> "<br>(Inferred)     |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1112</b>        | Sarah Cumming   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - " <i>The maintenance and enhancement of the visual, ecological and physical qualities that contribute to the character of the Marlborough Sounds, <b>while recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture.</b></i> "<br>(Inferred)  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1112</b>        | Sarah Cumming   | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - " <i>The use and development of natural and physical resources in the Marlborough Sounds has the potential to detract from the character and intrinsic values of this unique and iconic environment. <b>However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture are recognised and provided for.</b></i> "<br><br>(Inferred)   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1112</b>        | Sarah Cumming  | 6     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | 13.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Policy as follows - " <b>Ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.</b> "<br>(Inferred)   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1112</b>        | Sarah Cumming  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) –<br>" <i>Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant:</i><br>(i) <i>reticulated sewerage systems (including the pipe network, treatment plants and associated infrastructure) operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i><br>(ii) <i>reticulated community stormwater networks;</i><br>(iii) <i>reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i><br>(iv) <i>regional landfill, transfer stations and the resource recovery centre;</i><br>(v) <i>National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower NZ Limited);</i><br>(vi) <i>local electricity supply network owned and operated by Marlborough Lines;</i><br>(vii) <i>facilities for the generation of electricity, where the electricity generated is supplied to the National Grid or the local electricity supply network (including infrastructure for the transmission of the electricity into the National Grid or local electricity supply network);</i><br>(viii) <i>strategic telecommunications facilities, as defined in Section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001, and strategic radiocommunication facilities, as defined in Section 2(1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989;</i><br>(ix) <i>Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko Airports;</i><br>(x) <i>main trunk railway line;</i><br>(xi) <i>district roading network;</i><br>(xii) <i>Port of Picton and Havelock Harbour;</i><br>(xiii) <i>Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas;</i><br>(xiv) <i>RNZAF Base at Woodbourne;</i> <del>and</del><br>(xv) <i>Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain;</i> <b>and</b><br><b>(p) areas of significant aquaculture (areas not specified in Submission).</b> "<br>(Inferred) |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1112</b>        | Sarah Cumming  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - " <i>Efficient, effective and safe operation of regionally significant infrastructure <b>and regionally significant sectors.</b></i> " (Inferred)   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1112</b>        | Sarah Cumming   | 9     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - " <i>The social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community <b>and regionally significant sectors</b> are at risk if community infrastructure is not able to operate efficiently, effectively and safely.</i> " (Inferred) |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>920</b>         | Martin Cunniffe   | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>659</b>         | Donald M Curie  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>659</b>         | Donald M Curie  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>659</b>         | Donald M Curie  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>659</b>         | Donald M Curie  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>659</b>         | Donald M Curie   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>659</b>         | Donald M Curie   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>659</b>         | Donald M Curie   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>659</b>         | Donald M Curie   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>659</b>         | Donald M Curie   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>659</b>         | Donald M Curie   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>1056</b>        | Rob Curtis  | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8098 in Waitata Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                    |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1056</b>        | Rob Curtis  | 2            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8099 in Waitata Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                    |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1056</b>        | Rob Curtis  | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8128 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                    |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1056</b>        | Rob Curtis  | 4            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8098 and 8099 in Waitata Bay, and 8128 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1056</b>        | Rob Curtis  | 5            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 1                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8098 in Waitata Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.            |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1056</b>        | Rob Curtis  | 6            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 1                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8099 in Waitata Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.            |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1056</b>        | Rob Curtis  | 7            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8128 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1056</b>        | Rob Curtis  | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8128 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1056</b>        | Rob Curtis  | 9     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8098 and 8099 in Waitata Bay, and 8128 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ  | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Adding '<b>and development</b>' would better align with s5 and s9 RMA as well as the enabling intent of the RMA. The Marlborough Regional Policy Statement (RPS) which states: 'It is accepted that the Regional Policy Statement must ensure that the environmental limits are met while otherwise managing the use, <b>development</b> and protection of natural and physical resources (p .53 , para. 3)'. Emphasis added by submitter.</p> <p><i>Issue 4A – Marlborough's social and economic wellbeing relies on the use <b>and development</b> of its natural resources.</i></p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.AER.1       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That consistent terminology is used in 4.AER.1 and Issue 4A in relation to the primary sector and its economic contribution to Marlborough. The baseline size of the primary sector (e.g., absolute) should be used in order to understand how the primary sector is contributing to the regional economy over time.</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.1.  |       |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Policy 4.1.2 with the following sentence (bold) to 2nd to last sentence of the first paragraph of the explanation:</p> <p><i>Where the adverse effects are considered more than minor or where there is potential for cumulative effects, then resource consents will be required. <b>Where the adverse effects are considered minor and there is no potential for environmental effects, resource consents will not be required.</b> Policies throughout the MEP help define sustainable resource use.</i></p>  |       |          |   |                 |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 6     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                         | 2.6.1.          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the consent status of Rule 2.6.1 is changed from a Prohibited Activity to a Non-complying Activity.   |       |          |   |                 |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 7     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)  | Issue 15B       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following changes are made to the explanation of Issue 15B:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the reference to the use of the CWQI is removed from this section of the plan and replaced with the human and ecosystem health attributes as defined in the national objectives framework (NOF);</li> <li>Table 15.1 is re-populated to include river sites that fail the national bottom-line for any attributes used for the human or ecosystem health attributes, and</li> <li>that Table 15.2 is re-populated to include rivers that are at risk of degrading and changing banding as defined by NOF.</li> </ol>  |       |          |   |                 |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 8     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)  | Objective 15.1b | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments is deleted (strike-through and bold) are made to Objective 15.1b:</p> <p><del>Objective 15.1b – Maintain or enhance freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual median nitrate concentration is &lt;1 milligram nitrate-nitrogen per litre and the annual 95th percentile concentration is &lt;1.5 milligrams nitrate-nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</del> <b>Maintain water quality in its current state as defined by relevant attributes from the national objectives framework. Where required, improve water quality in line with community expectations once community values have been defined, the carrying capacity of rivers has been quantified to protect community values and Catchment Enhancement Plans have been developed.</b></p> <p>That consequential changes are made to other Policies and Methods to ensure consistency.</p> |       |          |   |                 |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 9     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)  | Objective 15.1c | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Objective 15.1c and incorporate with Objective 15.1b.<br><br><i>Objective 15.1c – Maintain freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual median ammonia concentration is &lt;0.03 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre and the annual maximum concentration is &lt;0.05 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</i>  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 10    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1d | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Objective 15.1d is deleted (strike-through) and replaced with the following text (bold):<br><br>Objective 15.1d – <del>Maintain or enhance freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual median E. coli level is &lt;260 per 100 ml, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</del> <b>Where fresh waterbodies are not valued for primary contact recreation, maintain bacteria levels in their current state as defined by the secondary recreation standard as defined national objectives framework. Where required, improve bacteria levels in line with community expectations once community values have been defined, the carrying capacity of rivers has been quantified to protect community values and Catchment Enhancement Plans have been developed.</b><br><br>That consequential changes are made to other Policies and Methods to ensure consistency.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 11    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1e | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 15.1e.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 12    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.5          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 15.M.5:<br><br><i>15.M.5 Catchment Enhancement Plans will be developed as a priority for rivers that have degraded water quality, as identified in Policies 15.1.4 to 15.1.7. <b>Catchment Enhancement Groups will also be formed for each of these catchments. These groups will be involved at each step in the catchment planning processes.</b> The methods to be used to enhance water quality will be determined following an assessment of the cause and effect of degraded water quality <b>and the costs and benefits of achieving modelled water quality enhancement scenarios over various timeframes,</b> and will be clearly identified within the Plans. It may take time to establish the nature of the cause, which may delay the completion of the Plans. Other methods may be used in the interim to reduce the effects of non-point source discharges on water quality. Each Catchment Enhancement Plan will be developed in <del>consultation</del> <b>partnership</b> with resource users in the catchment and other affected parties.</i><br><br>The Plan contains several provisions relating to limit-setting. These provisions should be amended to allow for the close involvement of the communities most interested in, and affected by, limit-setting in their respective catchments. |       |          |  |                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 13    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 15.1.4:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.4 – Take action to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objectives <del>15.1b</del> within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative <b>agreed by Catchment Enhancement Groups within agreed timeframes:</b></i></p> <p>(a) Mill Creek; and</p> <p>(b) Murphys Creek.</p>  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 14    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 15.1.5:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.5 – Take action to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objectives <del>15.1d</del> within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative <b>agreed by Catchment Enhancement Groups within agreed timeframes:</b></i></p> <p>(a) Are Are Creek;</p> <p>(b) Cullens Creek;</p> <p>(c) Doctors Creek; and</p> <p>(d) Kaituna River.</p> |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 15    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 15.1.6:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.6 – Take action to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objectives <del>15.1e</del> within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative <b>agreed by Catchment Enhancement Groups within agreed timeframes:</b></i></p> <p>(a) Taylor River;</p> <p>(b) Rai River; and</p> <p>(c) Waihopai River.</p>                               |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 16    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.7 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Policy 15.1.7 should be amended to include a stronger focus on empowering local communities to determine objectives and timeframes for water management in their relevant catchments. The explanations to these policies should note that the outcomes of catchment-level collaboration will dovetail with an accompanying formal RMA process.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 17    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 4.1.3:<br><i>Policy 4.1.3 - Maintain <del>and</del><b>or</b> enhance the quality of natural resources.</i>  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 18    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.1.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following (bold) from NPSFM, Policy CA2:</p> <p><b><i>By every regional council applying the following processes in developing freshwater objectives for all freshwater management units:</i></b></p> <p><b><i>a) considering all national values and how they apply to local and regional circumstances;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>b) identifying the values for each freshwater management unit, which:</i></b></p> <p><b><i>i. must include the compulsory values; and</i></b></p> <p><b><i>ii. may include any other national values or other values that the regional council considers appropriate (in either case having regard to local and regional circumstances);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Compulsory national values:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>• Te Hauora o te Wai/the health and mauri of water</i></b></li> <li><b><i>• Te Hauora o te Tanqata/the health and mauri of the people</i></b></li> </ul> <p><b><i>Additional national values:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>• Te Hauora o te Taiao/the health and mauri of the environment</i></b></li> <li><b><i>• Mahinga kai/food gathering, places of food</i></b></li> <li><b><i>• Mahi mara/cultivation</i></b></li> <li><b><i>• Wai Tapu/Sacred Waters</i></b></li> <li><b><i>• Wai Maori/municipal and domestic water supply</i></b></li> <li><b><i>• Au Putea/economic or commercial development</i></b></li> <li><b><i>• He ara haere/navigation</i></b></li> </ul> |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 19    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.3 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That the activity status of Policy 5.2.3 is changed from prohibited to non-complying.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ  | 20    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.8.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ  | 21    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.9.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ  | 22    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.13 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the explanation provided in Policy 5.2.13 (second paragraph):<br><i>This means that the Council cannot continue to allocate water once the cumulative level of allocation from a FMU reaches the allocation limit set in rules. <del>For this reason,</del> <b>In this instance,</b> any further allocation of water from the FMU should be avoided (unless explicitly provided for in another allocation class) <b>except where new hydrological data or proposed consent conditions show that effects can be avoided.</b></i> |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ  | 23    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.14.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ  | 24    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.15.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ  | 25    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.17 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.17.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ  | 26    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.20 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.20.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 27    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested, rather it states " <i>Review and revise policy and the explanation to policy to provide greater clarity</i> ". |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 28    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.2.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 29    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.5.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 30    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.6.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 31    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.7.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 32    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.8.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 33    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.9  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.9.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 34    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.10.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 35    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.11 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.11.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 36    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.3.14:<br><br><i>Policy 5.3.14 – The duration of water permits to take water will reflect the circumstances of the take and the actual and potential adverse effects, but <del>should</del> generally, may:</i><br><br><i>(c) <del>not be more</del> <b>less</b> than ten years when the take is from a water resource that has a default environmental flow established in accordance with Policies 5.2.7 and 5.2.14, <b>except the consent duration may be longer than ten years where information has been provided to demonstrate an acceptable level of effects.</b></i> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ  | 37    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.15.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ  | 38    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.16.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ  | 39    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5D      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5D.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ  | 40    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Objective 5.4 explanation:<br><br><i>In a state of full allocation of water resources, and given the implications of full allocation for potential users under the NPSFM, it is essential that an alternative method to <b>better utilise scarce water resources</b> <del>gain access to water</del> is found to meet future demand.</i>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ  | 41    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.1.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ  | 42    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.3.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 43    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.4.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 44    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.5.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 45    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.6.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 46    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5E      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5E.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 47    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain objective and add the following to the accompanying explanation for Objective 5.5:<br><br><b><i>In accordance with s131 of the RMA the Council will consider shall have regard to the matters in section 104 and to whether the activity allowed by the consent will continue to be viable after the change.</i></b> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 48    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.5  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (bold) are made to Policy 5.5.5:<br><br><i>Policy 5.5.5 – Resolve over-allocation of the Benmorven, Brancott and Omaka Aquifer Freshwater Management Units by reducing individual resource consent allocations on a proportional basis, based on the total allocation available relative to each individual's irrigated land area, <b>and the type of intended use</b>, or equivalent for non-irrigation water uses (excluding domestic and stock water). The reductions will be achieved by reviewing the conditions of the relevant water permits to reallocate the available allocation fairly across all relevant users.</i><br><br>Explanation<br><br>This policy sets out the means by which the over-allocation of groundwater from the Benmorven, Brancott and Omaka Aquifer FMUs will be resolved. A reduction in the allocation that has been granted resource consent, based on reallocating the total allocation available relative to each individual's irrigated land area <b>and current use and reasonable water requirements</b> , is considered to be the most equitable means of reducing total allocation of water from these FMUs. <b>This recognises already established land use reasonable water use needs and associated significant investment that may accompany this existing land use.</b> Where water use is for non-irrigation purposes, such as winery or commercial use, the proportion of the reallocation will be calculated to be relative to irrigation water permit holders. |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 49    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5G      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5G.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 50    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.7.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 51    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.1.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 52    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.2.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 53    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.3.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 54    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.4.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 55    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.6.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 56    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.7.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 57    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.8  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.8.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 58    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.10.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 59    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.11 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.11.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 60    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5H      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to the 6th sentence of the explanation for Issue 5H:</p> <p><i>The imposition of environmental flows/levels to protect the life-supporting capacity of the water resource can result in the restriction or suspension of abstraction from those water resources. The outcome is one in which water users, particularly irrigators, cannot access water at the very time they need it the most. In such circumstances there is the potential for failure of crops, <b>reduced pasture growth</b> or at least reduced yield/<b>production</b>.</i></p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 61    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.8.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>      | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ              | 62           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1.  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ              | 63           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.2.  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ              | 64           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.3.  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ              | 65           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.4.  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ              | 66           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.5.  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ              | 67           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5I         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5J.      |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ              | 68           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.9    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.9. |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ              | 69           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.9.1.  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ              | 70           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.9.2.  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 71    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.9.3    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.9.3.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 72    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Objective 15.1a:<br><i>Objective 15.1a -Maintain <del>or and where necessary</del> enhance water quality.</i>  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 73    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.1.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 74    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made after the 5th sentence of the first paragraph of Policy 15.1.2:<br><i>Water quality classifications will be applied through the MEP to all water and coastal waters. The classifications will, as a minimum, reflect the management purposes set out in Policy 15.1.1. However, particular waterbodies and coastal waters may support other natural and human use values and it is appropriate for these values to be reflected in any classification. This means that many waterbodies and coastal waters will have multiple classifications. For those waterbodies or coastal water experiencing degraded water quality, the classifications will reflect the natural and human use values that are to be restored. <b>These values will be form a part of Catchment Enhancement Plans.</b> Water quality standards will apply to each classification.</i> |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 75    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.3   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (bold) are made to the explanation for Policy 15.1.3:<br>After paragraph 2 of the explanation: <b>Key stakeholders will be given the opportunity to be kept informed or participate in this investigation process.</b><br>After paragraph 4 of the explanation: <b>The date for implementation of cumulative catchment limits has been set at 2024. However, this date may be extended where Catchment Enhancement Groups agree it is necessary to allow resource users sufficient time to implement any changes in land use practices.</b>  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 76    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.8   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.8.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 77    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 15.1.23:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.23 – Avoid the discharge of animal effluent to fresh waterbodies and stock disturbance of river beds to the extent necessary to meet the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1, by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) preventing the direct discharge of collected animal effluent to water; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) avoiding the access of intensively farmed stock to rivers, <b>except in the following circumstances:</b></i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Where the crossing is necessary for stock safety reasons or</b></li> <li>• <b>The farm is already established prior to 9 June 2016 and crossing is necessary to farm operation; and</b></li> <li>• <b>There are practical difficulties in constructing bridges or culverts and</b></li> <li>• <b>The crossing is over an ephemeral waterbody.</b></li> </ul> <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the last paragraph of the explanation for Policy 15.1.23:</p> <p><i>Due to the practical difficulties in some situations of fencing stock out of waterbodies, particularly where stock are grazed extensively, <b>or where intense rainfall events can cause ephemeral waterbodies to flow</b>, the Council has also adopted an approach of using permitted activity rules for managing the adverse effects of stock access not covered by this policy. The permitted activity rules will require <b>good practices to be followed in order to avoid adverse effects on water quality</b> <del>compliance with any relevant water quality standard set for the affected waterbody.</del></i></p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 78    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | Issue 16B      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 16B to delete the reference to "domestic wastewater" and add a new provision(s) dealing with domestic wastewater issues.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 79    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | Policy 16.3.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested, rather it requests information as to the decision to include these soil types in the MEP.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 80    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.3.6.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Provide for dairy wash takes above 15m3 to be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A permitted activity where the take existed prior to plan notification and is from a source that is not over-allocated.</li> <li>• A restricted discretionary activity where the take existed prior to plan notification and is from an over-allocated source.</li> </ul>   |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 81    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Although the submission indicates a definition of 'Dairy Farm' is included in relation to Heading 3.3.1.1, no definition is provided.  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 82    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.13.1 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><br><i>Standard 3.3.13.1. <b>Good Management Practices must be followed when cultivation occurs</b> <del>On all slopes greater than 20° cultivation must be parallel to the contour of the land; except that up to 15% of the cultivated area may be cultivated at an angle to the contour.</del></i>  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 83    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.13.2:<br><br><i>Standard 3.3.13.2 On all slopes greater than 10° cultivation must not be within <del>8m</del> <b>a minimum of 3m</b> of a river <del>(except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area.</del> <b>Critical source areas, swales or gullies must either not be cultivated or have an appropriate mitigation in place to minimise the amount of soil run-off in a rain event.</b></i> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 84    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.13.3:<br><br><i>Standard 3.3.13.3 On all slopes less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within <del>3m</del> <b>3m</b> of a river <del>(except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area.</del> <b>Critical source areas, swales or gullies must either not be cultivated or have an appropriate mitigation in place to minimise the amount of soil run-off in a rain event.</b></i>     |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 85    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Review the 8m buffer in relation to scientific literature and good management practice. The submission does not include reference material or other information that indicates the 8m vegetated buffer does not align with scientific literature or trends in good management practice that have been established in other regions.<br><br>Exclude artificially constructed wetlands, dams and ponds.  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 86    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.5. | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | That Standard 3.3.13.5 is deleted.<br><i>Standard 3.3.13.5. On completion of the cultivation, a suitable vegetative cover that will mitigate soil loss, must be restored on the site so that, within 24 months the amount of bare ground is to be no more than 20% greater than prior to the cultivation taking place.</i>   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 87    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through) are made to Standard 3.3.13.6:<br><i>Standard 3.3.13.6 Cultivation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</i><br><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i><br><i>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the cultivation site;</i><br><i>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%.</i>   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 88    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 3.3.21.1:<br><i>Standard 3.3.21.1 The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river, <b>except in the following circumstances:</b></i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Where the crossing is necessary for stock safety reasons; or</b></li> <li>• <b>The farm is already established prior to 9 June 2016 and crossing is necessary to farm operation; and</b></li> <li>• <b>There are practical difficulties in constructing bridges or culverts and,</b></li> <li>• <b>The crossing is over an ephemeral waterbody.</b></li> </ul> |       |          |                          |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 89    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through) are made to Standard 3.3.21.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.13.6 Cultivation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i><del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the cultivation site;</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%.</del></i></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 90    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.3. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Standard 3.3.21.3 is deleted.</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.21.3 After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not result in a change in concentration of following:-</i></p> <p><i><del>(a) daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(b) dissolved reactive phosphorus;</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(c) dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(d) Escherichia coli (E. coli).</del></i></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 91    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Standard 3.3.23.1 is deleted:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.23.1 The application of fertiliser must not be applied to a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free-draining soils.</i></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 92    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.23.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.23.2 <b>Stored F</b>fertiliser must be <del>stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times</del> <b>and fertiliser must not come into contact with surface water.</b></i></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 93    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.3. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23.3.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 94    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.5. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23.5.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 95    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.23.4.</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.23.4 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year <b>from this activity</b> (excluding N from direct animal inputs).</i></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 96    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.23.6:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.23.6 All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime <del>must</del> <b>does</b> not pass beyond the <del>legal</del> boundary <b>land which it was intended for</b> of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied (<b>inferred</b>).</i></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 97    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a clear decision requested.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 98    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.2. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.2.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 99    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.3. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.28.3:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.28.3 A high rate discharge system must not be used to discharge onto land with an average slope of 7° or greater, and the slope must not exceed 11.3° (1:5) at any point.</i></p> <p>An alternative standard is proposed in which a high rate system can be used on a slope over 7 degrees if the depth applied is under 5mm in any 24-hour period (<b>inferred</b>).</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 100   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.4. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.4.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 101   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.5. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.5.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 102   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.6. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Standard 3.3.28.6 is deleted:</p> <p><i><del>Standard 3.3.28.6 The discharge must not result in anaerobic soil conditions.</del></i></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 103   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.28.7:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.28.7 The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of land to be used for the discharge must not exceed 200kg N/hectare/year <b>from this activity</b> (excluding N from direct animal inputs).</i></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 104   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That at the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.28.8 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><br><i>For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be <b>deemed to be satisfactory by the Council</b> <del>certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 3.3.28.4, 3.3.28.5 and 3.3.28.6 are not breached. The certification</del> <b>Council approval</b> must be provided to the <del>Council</del> prior to effluent entering the storage system.</i> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 105   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Although the submission indicates that a definition of "recognised professional" in relation to Standard 3.3.28.8, no definition is provided.   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 106   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Provide clarity on which certification, who recognises the individual or company as suitably qualified and who provides sign off.   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 107   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           |            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Although the submission indicates that a definition of " <u>impermeable material</u> " in relation to Standard 3.3.28.9, no definition is provided.   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 108   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.28.10(c):<br><br><i>Standard 3.3.28.10 For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within:<br/>(c) <del>a Flood Hazard Area</del> <b>a Flood Hazard Area Level 3 or 4.</b></i>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 109   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.28.11:<br><br><i>Standard 3.3.28.11 <b>Three years from the time the Plan is made operative</b>, <del>From 9 June 2019,</del> Standards 3.3.28.8, 3.3.28.9 and 3.3.28.10 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</i>   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 110   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.1.  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That Standard 3.3.31.1 is deleted:<br><i>Standard 3.3.31.1 Only biodegradable material (except offal or a carcass) must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.</i>                  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 111   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 3.3.31.2 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).<br>Standard 3.3.31.2 Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.            |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 112   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Although the submission indicates that a definition of "property" in relation to Standard 3.3.31.2, no definition is provided.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 113   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.3. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.31.3.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 114   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.4. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.31.4.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 115   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.31.5:<br><i>Standard 3.3.31.5 Surface <del>run-off</del> <b>water</b> must not enter the pit.</i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 116   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.6. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.31.6.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 117   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.32.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.32.6:<br><i>Standard 3.3.32.6 The offal pit must be completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use.</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 118   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.33.1:<br><i>Standard 3.3.33.1 The stack or stockpile must <del>not be located on a Soil Sensitive Area</del> <b>be placed on a sealed surface if it</b> is located on a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free -draining soils.</i>     |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 119   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.3. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.33.3.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 120   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.4. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not provide a decision requested.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 121   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Although the submission indicates that a definition of " <u>impermeable</u> " and <u>when not in use</u> in relation to Standard 3.3.33.4, no definition is provided.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ   | 122   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.33.5.<br><i>Standard 3.3.33.5. <del>There must be no runoff of leachate from the pit, stack or stockpile.</del> <b>Visible run-off of leachate from the pit, stack or stockpile must be intercepted before reaching a waterway.</b></i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 123   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.33.6:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.33.6. Surface <del>run-off</del> <b>water</b> must not enter the pit, stack or stockpile.</i></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 124   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.4.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to Rule 3.7.4 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>3.7.4. From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, <b>except in the following circumstances:</b></i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>• <b>where the crossing is necessary for stock safety reasons; or</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>the farm is already established prior to 9 June 2016 and crossing is necessary to farm operation; and</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>there are practical difficulties in constructing bridges or culverts; and</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>the crossing is over an ephemeral waterbody.</b></i></li> </ul>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 125   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.5.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to Rule 3.7.5 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>3.7.5. From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to pass across the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, <b>except in the following circumstances:</b></i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>• <b>where the crossing is necessary for stock safety reasons; or</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>the farm is already established prior to 9 June 2016 and crossing is necessary to farm operation; and</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>there are practical difficulties in constructing bridges or culverts; and</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>the crossing is over an ephemeral waterbody.</b></i></li> </ul> |       |          |                          |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 126   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.1.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Although the submission indicates that a definition of "dairy farm" in relation to Standard 4.3.1.1, no definition is provided.   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 127   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions             | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Although the submission indicates that a definition of "dairy farm" in relation to Standard 4.3.1.1, no definition is provided.   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 128   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Standard 4.3.12.1 is deleted to avoid health and safety risk:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.12.1 On all slopes greater than 20° cultivation must be parallel to the contour of the land, except that up to 15% of the cultivated area may be cultivated at an angle to the contour.</i></p>  |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 129   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.12.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.12.2-On all slopes greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area. <b>In cultivated areas:</b></i></p> <p><b>(1) a minimum of 3 metres from the outer edge of the bed on land with a slope of less than 16 degrees; and</b></p> <p><b>(2) critical source areas are to be retained with a grass filter strip or a sediment retention system is installed, and maintained to prevent sediment discharge before the critical source area enters a natural waterway, drain or leaves the property, and</b></p> <p><b>(3) on slopes greater than 16 degrees, vegetated strips should be a minimum of 5 metres and;</b></p> <p><b>(4) critical source areas are to be retained with grass filter strips to minimise sediment discharge before the critical source area enters a natural waterway, drain or/eaves the property boundary.</b></p> |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 130   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.3. | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.12.3:<br><br><i>Standard 4.3.12.3 On all slopes less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area. <b>In cultivated areas:</b></i><br><br><b>(1) a minimum of 3 metres from the outer edge of the bed on land with a slope of less than 16 degrees; and</b><br><br><b>(2) critical source areas are to be retained with a grass filter strip or a sediment retention system is installed, and maintained to prevent sediment discharge before the critical source area enters a natural waterway, drain or leaves the property, and</b><br><br><b>(3) on slopes greater than 16 degrees, vegetated strips should be a minimum of 5 metres and;</b><br><br><b>(4) critical source areas are to be retained with grass filter strips to minimise sediment discharge before the critical source area enters a natural waterway, drain or/eaves the property boundary.</b> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ  | 131   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Review 8m buffer in relation to scientific literature and good management practice.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ  | 132   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 4.3.12.5 is deleted:<br><br><i>Standard 4.3.12.5 On completion of cultivation, a suitable vegetative cover that will mitigate soil loss, must be restored on the site so that, within 24 months the amount of bare ground is to be no more than 20% greater than prior to the cultivation taking place.</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ  | 133   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 3.3.21.1:<br><br><i>Standard 3.3.21.1 The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river, <b>except in the following circumstances:</b></i><br><br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Where the crossing is necessary for stock safety reasons; or</b></li> <li><b>The farm is already established prior to 9 June 2016 and crossing is necessary to farm operation; and</b></li> <li><b>There are practical difficulties in constructing bridges or culverts and,</b></li> <li><b>The crossing is over an ephemeral waterbody.</b></li> </ul>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 134   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through) are made to Standard 3.3.13.6:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.13.6 Cultivation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the cultivation site;</i></p> <p><i>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%.</i></p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 135   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.3. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Standard 4.3.20.3 is deleted:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.20.3. After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not result in a change in concentration of following:-</i></p> <p><i>(a) daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</i></p> <p><i>(b) dissolved reactive phosphorus;</i></p> <p><i>(c) dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</i></p> <p><i>(d) Escherichia coli (E. coli).</i></p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 136   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.22.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.22.1. <b>Stored Fertiliser</b> must be <del>stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times</del> <b>and fertiliser must not come into contact with surface water.</b></i></p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 137   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.2. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Standard 4.3.22.2.</p>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 138   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.22.3:<br><i>Standard 4.3.22.3 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year <b>from this activity</b> (excluding N from direct animal inputs).</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 139   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.4. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.22.4.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 140   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.22.5:<br><i>Standard 4.3.22.5 All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime must not pass beyond the <del>legal</del> boundary <b>land which it was intended for</b> of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied (inferred).</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 141   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.24.1. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.24.1.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 142   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.24.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.24.2:<br><i>Standard 4.3.24.2 The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year <b>from this activity</b> (excluding N from direct animal inputs).</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 143   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.1. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.1.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 144   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.2. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.27.2:<br><br><i>Standard 4.3.27.2 A high rate discharge system must not be used to discharge onto land with an average slope of 7° or greater, and the slope must not exceed 11.3° (1:5) at any point.</i><br><br>An alternative standard is proposed in which a high rate system can be used on a slope over 7 degrees if the depth applied is under 5mm in any 24-hour period ( <b>inferred</b> ). |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 145   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.3. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.3.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 146   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.4. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.4.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 147   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 4.3.27.5 is deleted:<br><br><i>Standard 3.3.28.6 The discharge must not result in anaerobic soil conditions.</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 148   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.27.6:<br><br><i>Standard 4.3.27.6 The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of land to be used for the discharge must not exceed 200kg N/hectare/year <b>from this activity</b> (excluding N from direct animal inputs).</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 149   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That at the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.27.7 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><br><i>Standard 4.3.27.7 For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be <b>deemed to be satisfactory by the Council</b> certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 3.3.28.4, 3.3.28.5 and 3.3.28.6 are not breached. <del>The certification</del> <b>Council approval</b> must be provided <del>to the Council</del> prior to effluent entering the storage system.</i> |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 150   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions             | 25.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Although the submission indicates that a definition of <u>recognised professional</u> in relation to Standard 3.3.28.8, no definition is provided.  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 151   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Provide clarity on which certification, who recognises the individual or company as suitably qualified and who provides sign off.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 152   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions             | 25.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Although the submission indicates that a definition of <u>impermeable material</u> in relation to Standard 3.3.28.9, no definition is provided.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 153   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.27.9(c):<br><i>Standard 4.3.27.9 For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within:<br/>(c) a <b>Level 3 or 4</b> Flood Hazard Area.</i>  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 154   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.27.10:<br><i>Standard 4.3.27.10. <b>Three years from the time the Plan is made operative</b>, <del>From 9 June 2019,</del> Standards 4.3.27.7, 4.3.27.8 and 4.3.27.9 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</i>   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| 676                | Dairy NZ  | 155   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.1.  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That Standard 4.3.30.1 is deleted:<br><i>Standard 4.3.30.1 Only biodegradable material (except offal or a carcass) must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 156   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a specific decision requested, rather it states "Clarification is sought around intent of the standard". It is <b><i>inferred</i></b> that the decision requested is to deleted Standard 4.3.30.2.<br><i>Standard 4.3.30.2 Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 157   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions             | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Although the submission indicates that a definition of <u>property</u> in relation to Standard 4.3.30.2, no definition is provided.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 158   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.3. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard is 4.3.30.3.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 159   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.30.4:<br><i>Standard 4.3.30.4. Surface <del>run-off</del> <b>water</b> must not enter the pit.</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 160   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.5. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.30.5.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 161   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.31.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.31.5:<br><i>Standard 4.3.31.5 The offal pit must be <del>completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise</del> designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use.</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 162   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.32.1. | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.32.1.  |       |          |                            |                             |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 163   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.32.2.                   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.  |       |          |                            |                             |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 164   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions             | 25.                         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Although the submission indicates that a definition of <u>impermeable</u> and <u>when not in use</u> in relation to Standard 4.3.32.2, no definition is provided.  |       |          |                            |                             |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 165   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.32.3.                   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.32.3:<br><i>4.3.32.3. <del>There must be no</del> <b>Visible</b> runoff of leachate from the pit, stack or stockpile <b>must be intercepted before reaching a waterway.</b></i> |       |          |                            |                             |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 166   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.32.4.                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.32.4:<br><i>Standard 4.3.32.4 <del>Stormwater</del> <b>Surface water</b> must not enter the pit, stack or stockpile.</i>  |       |          |                            |                             |                 |
| <b>676</b>         | Dairy NZ   | 167   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions             | 25.                         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of <i>wetland</i> is deleted:<br><del><b>Wetland</b> has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act but does not include these areas where they are entirely man-made.</del>  |       |          |                            |                             |                 |
| <b>652</b>         | Jaquery Dale   | 1     | All      | All                        |                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                            |                             |                 |
| <b>563</b>         | Brent Matthew Dalley   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>563</b>         | Brent Matthew Dalley   | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8500 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>563</b>         | Brent Matthew Dalley   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>563</b>         | Brent Matthew Dalley   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>563</b>         | Brent Matthew Dalley   | 5     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8574, 8500 and 8590 in Forsyth Bay and 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>563</b>         | Brent Matthew Dalley   | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>563</b>         | Brent Matthew Dalley   | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                   |       |          |   |                             |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>563</b>         | Brent Matthew Dalley   | 8            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8500 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>563</b>         | Brent Matthew Dalley   | 9            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8500 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>563</b>         | Brent Matthew Dalley   | 10           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>563</b>         | Brent Matthew Dalley   | 11           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>563</b>         | Brent Matthew Dalley   | 12           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>563</b>         | Brent Matthew Dalley   | 13           | Volume 3      | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574, 8500 and 8590 in Forsyth Bay, and 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>923</b>         | Margaret Dalley  | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>923</b>         | Margaret Dalley   | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8500 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>923</b>         | Margaret Dalley   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>923</b>         | Margaret Dalley   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>923</b>         | Margaret Dalley   | 5     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8574, 8500 and 8590 in Forsyth Bay, and 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>923</b>         | Margaret Dalley   | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                    |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>923</b>         | Margaret Dalley   | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                    |       |          |   |                             |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>923</b>         | Margaret Dalley   | 8            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8500 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>923</b>         | Margaret Dalley   | 9            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8500 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>923</b>         | Margaret Dalley   | 10           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>923</b>         | Margaret Dalley   | 11           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>923</b>         | Margaret Dalley   | 12           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>923</b>         | Margaret Dalley   | 13           | Volume 3      | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8574, 8500 and 8590 in Forsyth Bay, and 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>432</b>         | Kevin and Mary Daly   | 1            | Volume 2      | 7 Coastal Living Zone                   | 7.2.1.9.         | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Make the following amends (strikethrough and bold) to Standard 7.2.1.9:<br><i>On land within the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape or any Marlborough Sounds Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape, any paint applied to the exterior cladding of a building or structure must have a light reflectance value of <del>45</del><b>36</b>% or less <b>and must be in the natural range of greens, greys and browns.</b></i> |       |          |  |               |         |
| <b>432</b>         | Kevin and Mary Daly  | 2     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                                  | 7.3.13.1.     | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | We consider that that Standard 7.3.13.1 should be amended to provide for all lawfully established onsite wastewater systems <u>irrespective of whether it was established via resource consent</u> . It could be that once the consent expires, the permitted activity provisions apply until such time that a building is further extended.   |       |          |  |               |         |
| <b>432</b>         | Kevin and Mary Daly  | 3     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment                      | Policy 13.9.2 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to apply to new moorings or where new properties are developed so as to provide for legally established moorings.   |       |          |  |               |         |
| <b>432</b>         | Kevin and Mary Daly  | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources |               | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain MEP Notable Tree Reference 2 (Foreshore reserve of Lochmara Bay West)   |       |          |  |               |         |
| <b>432</b>         | Kevin and Mary Daly  | 5     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                                  | 7.1.17.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 7.1.17 ( <i>inferred</i> )   |       |          |  |               |         |
| <b>432</b>         | Kevin and Mary Daly  | 6     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                                  | 7.1.18.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 7.1.18 ( <i>inferred</i> )   |       |          |  |               |         |
| <b>432</b>         | Kevin and Mary Daly  | 7     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                                  | 7.1.19.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 7.1.19 ( <i>inferred</i> )   |       |          |  |               |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1014</b>        | Paul Dargan  | 1     | All      | All   |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AONZ submission.  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>890</b>         | Lloyd Sampson David  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7):<br><i>"Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."</i>          |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>890</b>         | Lloyd Sampson David  | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8):<br><i>"Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."</i> |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>890</b>         | Lloyd Sampson David  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed.  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>890</b>         | Lloyd Sampson David  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed in Nikau Bay;<br>AND<br>Amend the Natural Character mapping at the head of Marys Bay;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.             |       |          |   |                             |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>890</b>         | Lloyd Sampson David  | 5            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the mapping as proposed in Nikau Bay;<br/> AND<br/> Amend the Natural Character mapping at the head of Marys Bay;<br/> OR<br/> The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.</p>  |              |               |   |                             |                 |
| <b>890</b>         | Lloyd Sampson David  | 6            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the mapping as proposed in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Oyster Bay; and</li> <li>- Port Underwood.</li> </ul> <p>AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Reduce the extent of the natural character overlay in Ngaruru Bay; and</li> <li>- The natural character of Tory Channel should be accurately mapped;</li> </ul> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per a separate submission.</p> |              |               |   |                             |                 |
| <b>890</b>         | Lloyd Sampson David  | 7            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed in:<br>- Oyster Bay; and<br>- Port Underwood.<br>AND<br>- Reduce the extent of the natural character overlay in Ngaruru Bay; and<br>- The natural character of Tory Channel should be accurately mapped;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per a separate submission. |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>890</b>         | Lloyd Sampson David   | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed in Forysth Bay.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>890</b>         | Lloyd Sampson David   | 9     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed in Nikau Bay and Marys Bay.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>890</b>         | Lloyd Sampson David   | 10    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in Port Underwood and Tory Channel, save for reducing the extent of the ONL overlay on the headland extending into the Ngaruru Bay;<br><br>OR<br><br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>890</b>         | Lloyd Sampson David   | 11    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in Port Underwood and Tory Channel, save for reducing the extent of the ONL overlay on the headland extending into the Ngaruru Bay;<br><br>OR<br><br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>890</b>         | Lloyd Sampson David   | 12    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 9 | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove the ONL overlay at that location;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |  |                 |
| <b>890</b>         | Lloyd Sampson David  | 13    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the ONL overlay at that location;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |  |                 |
| <b>890</b>         | Lloyd Sampson David  | 14    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed.  |       |          |   |  |                 |
| <b>890</b>         | Lloyd Sampson David  | 15    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed.  |       |          |   |  |                 |
| <b>890</b>         | Lloyd Sampson David  | 16    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed.  |       |          |   |  |                 |
| <b>890</b>         | Lloyd Sampson David  | 17    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                                | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|--|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the mapping as proposed in Port Underwood and Oyster Bay;</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Remove the Ecologically Significant Site classification for Ngaruru Bay, which is, presumably because of a stand of <i>macrocystis pyrifera</i> at the entrance;</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The Marlborough Environment Plan should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification and may actually enhance it by providing settlement surfaces for juvenile sporophytes and recruitment back to the reef.</p> |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>890</b>         | Lloyd Sampson David   | 18    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 16 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove the classification from this area;</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that many activities are compatible with this site.</p>  |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>890</b>         | Lloyd Sampson David   | 19    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove the Ecologically Significant Marine Site (Marine Mammal Whale) classification in these areas;</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not have adverse effects on whales.</p>  |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>890</b>         | Lloyd Sampson David   | 20    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove the Ecologically Significant Marine Site (Marine Mammal Dolphin) classification in this area, as frequency of dolphins is as episodic as most of the rest of the Marlborough Sounds;</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not have any adverse effect on dolphins in this area.</p>   |       |          |              |  |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b>              | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| <b>890</b>         | Lloyd Sampson David  | 21           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps             | National Transportation Route | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend the National Transportation Route map to show the route as being confined to the main part of Tory Channel and Queen Charlotte Sound.  |              |               |                          |                               |             |
| <b>172</b>         | Davidson Group Ltd   | 1            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.12                     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Deletion of this rule.   |              |               |                          |                               |             |
| <b>172</b>         | Davidson Group Ltd   | 2            | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.2.                          | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | <p>That a Permitted Activity be added under Provision 2.2 for the taking of water for dust control.</p> <p>We suggest an allowance of 50 cubic metres per site per day for up to 50 days per year would be sufficient for sports, most construction sites, haul roads and the like. Larger-scale construction operations would still need a water permit for large volume and/or longer duration takes.</p> <p>We anticipate that conditions to be added under Provision 2.3 would include aspects such as a maximum proportion of take of surface flow and restrictions in resource areas that are over-allocated or under restriction. It may also be desirable for records to be kept and for those to be provided to Council upon request.</p> |              |               |                          |                               |             |
| <b>172</b>         | Davidson Group Ltd   | 3            | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.3.23.                       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Extend what is allowed under this Provision to include works by entities other than Council, applying the same conditions. It may be appropriate to limit rights under this to only those who hold a Land Use consent for the existing protection works, but in any case add to Provision 2.3.23.5 that Council must be notified ahead of time.  |              |               |                          |                               |             |
| <b>172</b>         | Davidson Group Ltd   | 4            | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.9.4.                        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That Council consider whether additional requirements should be included to ensure that dam safety is adequately addressed.  |              |               |                          |                               |             |
| <b>172</b>         | Davidson Group Ltd   | 5            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.19.                       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That Council consider whether additional requirements should be included to ensure that dam safety is adequately addressed.  |              |               |                          |                               |             |
| <b>172</b>         | Davidson Group Ltd   | 6            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.                       | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision             | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | That the application of commercial wastewater to land be changed to a Controlled or Discretionary Activity with requirements for land assessment and design by a recognised professional to assess what effluent quality is required relative to the restrictions of the soil type, groundwater conditions and contamination risks. |       |          |                            |                       |        |
| <b>172</b>         | Davidson Group Ltd  | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Soil Sensitive Area 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Soil Sensitive Areas for free draining soils be removed from the Plan or be extended to include all areas that are free draining.  |       |          |                            |                       |        |
| <b>172</b>         | Davidson Group Ltd  | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.30.2.             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove this provision.  |       |          |                            |                       |        |
| <b>172</b>         | Davidson Group Ltd  | 9     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.18.               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Council consider whether additional requirements should be included to ensure that dam safety is adequately addressed.   |       |          |                            |                       |        |
| <b>172</b>         | Davidson Group Ltd  | 10    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.25.               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the application of commercial wastewater to land be changed to a Controlled or Discretionary Activity with requirements for land assessment and design by a recognised professional to assess what effluent quality is required relative to the restrictions of the soil type, groundwater conditions and contamination risks. |       |          |                            |                       |        |
| <b>172</b>         | Davidson Group Ltd  | 11    | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone           | 21.                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Extend what is allowed under this Chapter to include works by entities other than Council, applying the same conditions. It may be appropriate to limit rights under this to only those who hold a Land Use consent for existing protection works.  |       |          |                            |                       |        |
| <b>172</b>         | Davidson Group Ltd  | 12    | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone           | 21.3.1.2.             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change this Provision to be more enabling of stopbank maintenance works both by Council and private stopbank owners.  |       |          |                            |                       |        |
| <b>225</b>         | Davidson Group Ltd  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.14.4.             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | remove this rule altogether.  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>225</b>         | Davidson Group Ltd  | 2     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                     | 7.3.9.3.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Definition of 'foundation' or rewording of this rule required.  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>225</b>         | Davidson Group Ltd  | 3     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                     | 7.3.9.4.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Rule re worded or foundation definition established.  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>225</b>         | Davidson Group Ltd  | 4     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                     | 7.3.9.5.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove this rule altogether   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>225</b>         | Davidson Group Ltd  | 5     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                     | 7.3.9.13.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Additional wording should reflect where it would be unrealistic to comply with this rule, eg batters steeper than 2 (H) to 1 (V) ....26.5 deg or say 30 deg?  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>947</b>         | Melvyn Ronald Davies  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.2.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> 500m of MHWS, and a minimum depth of water into which discharge may occur is 5 metres.</b> "          |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>947</b>         | Melvyn Ronald Davies  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.3.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> 500m of a marine farm, and a minimum depth of water into which discharge may occur is 5 metres.</b> " |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>392</b>         | Alistair Dawson   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. ( <i>Inferred</i> )                                    |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>979</b>         | Nolan Day   | 1     | All      | All                                       |            | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AONZ submission.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1308</b>        | Stuart and Raewyn Dayman   | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                   | 7.2.1.5.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>878</b>         | Lyndon Daymond   | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>878</b>         | Lyndon Daymond   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>878</b>         | Lyndon Daymond   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| <b>878</b>         | Lyndon Daymond   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>878</b>         | Lyndon Daymond   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>878</b>         | Lyndon Daymond   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>878</b>         | Lyndon Daymond   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>878</b>         | Lyndon Daymond   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>878</b>         | Lyndon Daymond   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>878</b>         | Lyndon Daymond   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>1221</b>        | Wayne de Joux  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1221</b>        | Wayne de Joux  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1221</b>        | Wayne de Joux  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1221</b>        | Wayne de Joux  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1221</b>        | Wayne de Joux  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1221</b>        | Wayne de Joux  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1221</b>        | Wayne de Joux  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1221</b>        | Wayne de Joux  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1221</b>        | Wayne de Joux   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character             | Issue 6A       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1221</b>        | Wayne de Joux   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character             | Objective 6.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>522</b>         | Alicia de Leen  | 1     | All      | All                             |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>39</b>          | Peter Deacon  | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Please ensure these important policies remain in the Plan and are not removed or watered down to suit the interests of large scale industry or forestry enterprises who may have vested interests in eliminating environmental protections. |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>39</b>          | Peter Deacon  | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Please ensure these important policies remain in the Plan and are not removed or watered down to suit the interests of large scale industry or forestry enterprises who may have vested interests in eliminating environmental protections. |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>39</b>          | Peter Deacon  | 3     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Include provisions to promote the planting of native trees and shrubs. (inferred)  |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>89</b>          | Peter Deacon   | 1     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the introductory paragraphs to Chapter 19 in accordance with the suggestions I have made in my submission.<br><br>Affiliate MDC with the Global Covenant of Mayors for Climate and Energy, in order to ensure Council keeps abreast of the latest strategies for tackling climate change at regional/city level and is at the forefront of supporting innovations to de-carbonise the Marlborough economy. |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>89</b>          | Peter Deacon   | 2     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Issue 19A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Chapter 19 - Issue 19A in accordance with my submission above.   |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>89</b>          | Peter Deacon   | 3     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Objective 19.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Chapter 19 - Objective 19.1 in accordance with my submission above.  |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>89</b>          | Peter Deacon   | 4     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Chapter 19 - Policy 19.1.1 in accordance with my submission above.   |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>89</b>          | Peter Deacon   | 5     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.2  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>The Council should decide to take actions that will actually achieve the stated intent of this objective and not just pay it lip service. Council should:-</p> <p>1) Establish a new permanent position of 'MDC Climate Change Adviser'. The purpose of this appointment would be to provide expert advice, information, training, education and support to Council and local residents/businesses, to help them better understand and respond to climate change. This is the greatest environmental challenge we face as a species and probably the greatest threat to civilisation since the second world war. If we are to respond to it with the scale and urgency required then a lot more resources are going to be needed and having someone on the Council team with the right knowledge and expertise will be essential.</p> <p>2) Publish a clear action plan of measures the Council intends to take in the short and medium term to achieve the stated intent of this objective - i.e. to actually improve the community's understanding of climate change - rather than leaving ratepayers in blissful ignorance, as most of them are currently.</p> |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>89</b>          | Peter Deacon   | 6     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The penultimate sentence in the supporting paragraph to Policy 19.1.3 should be removed as it is completely incorrect. There will be no opportunities for aquaculture as a result of increasing water temperatures - quite the reverse - increasing sea temperatures will destroy aquaculture in the Marlborough region.</p> <p>MDC needs to employ people with the necessary expertise to advise them properly on Climate Change and its impacts on marine and terrestrial biology. MDC should urgently appoint a Climate Change Adviser.</p>  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>89</b>          | Peter Deacon   | 7     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.M.1        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Chapter 19 - Method 19.M.1 of the Proposed Plan in accordance with my submission above   |       |          |                   |           |                 |
|                    | The method should therefore read - <i>" Investigate Council operations to establish their carbon footprint, set goals for reducing carbon emissions in accordance with New Zealand's national emissions reduction targets, and develop an action plan to reach those goals"</i>  |       |          |                   |           |                 |
|                    | It is of imperative that the entire Marlborough region takes action to lower carbon emissions, not just Council, and we therefore encourage MDC to promote regional initiatives such as electrification of transport, conversion of waste biomass to energy which could support a thriving local renewable energy industry, regeneration of native forests to act as carbon sinks and increasing carbon sequestration in soils by encouraging farms and vineyards to incorporate more use of biochar and composting in their production systems. |       |          |                   |           |                 |
| <b>89</b>          | Peter Deacon   | 8     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.M.2    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <u>19.M.2Marlborough Regional Land Transport</u>   |       |          |                   |           |                 |
|                    | Council should be more positive in this paragraph and instead of just ' <i>considering</i> ' provisions to reduce GHG emissions this statement should read " <i>In the review of the Marlborough Regional Land Transport Plan, Council will include provisions to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases</i> ".  |       |          |                   |           |                 |
|                    | This is crucial since transport accounts for 20% of New Zealand's GHG emissions and there is huge potential for these emissions to be reduced. All indications are that uptake of electric cars will explode over the next decade and MDC should be proactive in this area by installing rapid charging facilities in the main Marlborough towns, promoting the electrification of local public transport and introducing electric cars to its fleet as soon as possible.  |       |          |                   |           |                 |
| <b>89</b>          | Peter Deacon   | 9     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.M.5    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Council should ensure this provision remains in the Marlborough Environment Plan.  |       |          |                   |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 89                 | Peter Deacon  | 10    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.M.7         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Council should ensure this provision remains in the Marlborough Environment Plan.</p> <p>In addition Council should add another Method of Implementation as follows:-</p> <p><u>19.M.8 Regional Climate Change Advisory Group</u></p> <p>"Council will establish a Climate Change Advisory Group comprising representatives from science, industry, business and the local community to work with Council in a collaborative way to identify regional climate change threats in Marlborough and devise appropriate adaptation and mitigation responses."</p> |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 89                 | Peter Deacon  | 11    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Issue 19B      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><u>Page 19-5 Issue 19B – Climate change could affect natural hazards and create a coastal inundation hazard associated with sea level rise</u></p> <p>Paragraph 3</p> <p>The word '<i>potentially</i>' should be removed from the sentence '<i>This rise potentially increases the risk of inundation at the coast.</i>' Inundation will happen due to sea level rise, particularly during storm events, it is only a matter of how soon this happens as the seas are not going to stop rising for centuries possible millenia.</p>                          |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 89                 | Peter Deacon  | 12    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Objective 19.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><u>Page 19-5 Objective 19.2 - Avoid and mitigate the adverse effects of natural hazards influenced by climate change</u></p> <p>Paragraphs 1 and 2</p> <p>Council should add another Objective here to investigate where and how the effects of sea level rise will be felt in Marlborough, and into what measures are needed to future-proof communities and create resilience to sea level rise.</p>   |       |          |                   |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>89</b>          | Peter Deacon  | 13    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                       | 19.AER.3       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Council should retain the 3 Anticipated Environmental Results and add a fourth:-<br><br>19.AER.4 " <i>There is a significant reduction in the carbon footprint of the Marlborough District</i> ".   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>180</b>         | Heather Deacon  | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.1.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Please ensure these important policies remain in the plan and are not removed or water-down to suit the interests of industrial or forestry enterprises at the expense of local residents and established tourism and viticulture businesses. |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>180</b>         | Heather Deacon  | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.4.12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Please ensure these important policies remain in the plan and are not removed or water-down to suit the interests of industrial or forestry enterprises at the expense of local residents and established tourism and viticulture businesses. |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>335</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 167 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove reference to <i>(Significant Wetland)</i> W226 from the map.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.4   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)  |       |          |   |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>             | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited              | 4            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited              | 5            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited              | 6            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited              | 7            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited              | 8            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited              | 9            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited              | 10           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.9     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited              | 11           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited              | 12           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>             | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited              | 13           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited              | 14           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision (inferred)  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited              | 15           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited              | 16           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited              | 17           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited              | 18           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited              | 19           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 473                | Delegat Limited  | 20    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.</p> <p><i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i></p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 473                | Delegat Limited  | 21    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy:</p> <p><i><u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u></i></p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 473                | Delegat Limited  | 22    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 473                | Delegat Limited  | 23    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 473                | Delegat Limited  | 24    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 473                | Delegat Limited  | 25    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows:<br><i>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised <u>maximum</u> daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</i>   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
|                    | That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following:<br><i>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</i> |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited  | 26    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited  | 27    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited  | 28    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited  | 29    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited  | 30    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited  | 31    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited  | 32    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. (inferred)   |       |          |                                 |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| 473                | Delegat Limited   | 33    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i></p> <p><i>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i></p> <p><i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) <u>the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</u></i></p> <p><i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(iv) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated <u>by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated;</u> and</i></p> <p><i>(v) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i></p> |       |          |  |                |         |
| 473                | Delegat Limited   | 34    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.1.1.         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. (inferred)   |       |          |  |                |         |
| 473                | Delegat Limited   | 35    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.2.5.         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. (inferred)   |       |          |  |                |         |
| 473                | Delegat Limited   | 36    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.3.5.         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. (inferred)   |       |          |  |                |         |
| 473                | Delegat Limited   | 37    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.4.1.         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. (inferred)   |       |          |  |                |         |
| 473                | Delegat Limited   | 38    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.5.2.         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule   |       |          |  |                |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited  | 39           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.1.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule  |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited  | 40           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.5.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred) |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited  | 41           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.13.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred) |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited  | 42           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.14.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred) |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited  | 43           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.17.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred) |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited  | 44           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred) |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited  | 45           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred) |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited  | 46           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.25.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred) |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited  | 47           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.26.          | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows:<br><i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that farmers producers adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and harvesting</u> and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticultural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i> |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 48    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.33.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 49    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.34.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 50    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.39.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 51    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.4.1.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 52    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.6.2.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 53    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |               | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain appendix. (inferred)   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 54    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 61 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain map 61 and wetland W226. (inferred)  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
|                    | (See also submission point 473.72)  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 55    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                      | Zoning Map 167 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain map 167 and wetland W226. (inferred)   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
|                    | (see also submission point 473.73)  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 56    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.3          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Method 5.M.3 to be deleted in its entirety.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 57    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.3   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.9.3 be deleted in entirety.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 58    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.2   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.9.2 be deleted in entirety.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 59    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.1   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.9.1 be deleted in entirety.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 60    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.5.3.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That standard 3.3.5.3 be amended to read:   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
|                    | <i>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour <b><u>for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over.</u></b></i> |       |          |                                  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 473                | Delegat Limited   | 61    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.13.1.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly.<br><u>3.3.13.1 On land which slopes away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 metres of the waterbody.</u>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 473                | Delegat Limited   | 62    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.13.2.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.2 be amended to read:<br><u>3.3.13.2 On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 473                | Delegat Limited   | 63    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.13.3.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.3 be amended to read:<br><u>3.3.13.3 On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 473                | Delegat Limited   | 64    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.13.4.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.4 be amended to read:<br><u>3.3.13.4 Cultivation must not be in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland, except where the wetland is fenced in accordance with the wetland boundaries mapped in the Plan, in which case cultivation may occur up to the fenced boundary or where the land slopes away from Significant Wetland in which case cultivation must not be within 1m of the Significant Wetland.</u> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 473                | Delegat Limited   | 65    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.23.1.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That standard 3.3.23.1 be deleted.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 473                | Delegat Limited   | 66    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.9 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Objective 5.9 be deleted in entirety.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 473                | Delegat Limited   | 67    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.26.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows:<br><i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and</u> harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticultural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 68    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                            | 25.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows:<br><i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and</u> harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticultural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 69    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain schedule 1. (inferred)   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 70    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 2     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Schedule 2. (inferred)   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 71    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture.</i>  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 72    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 61  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Significant wetland W226 be deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 73    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 167 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Significant Wetland W226 be deleted.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited   | 74    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Objective 5.8  | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delegats submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies.<br><br><i>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage at all times to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available. If aquifer water is abstracted to storage during the irrigation season the total abstraction for storage and direct irrigation must not exceed the reasonable use demand allocation.</i> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited  | 75    | All      | All                                     |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Delegats submit that the MEP should include as a method the ongoing commitment of Council toward the further refining of the Soils Sensitive Areas and boundaries.</i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>473</b>         | Delegat Limited  | 76    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Issue 51      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Issue 51 be deleted in entirety.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>218</b>         | Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida   | 1     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Policy 13.3.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I propose re type and placed on an equal footing both activities.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>218</b>         | Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida   | 2     | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                                | Policy 16.3.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Exclude any ship or barge used in aquaculture from the ambit of standard   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>218</b>         | Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida   | 3     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing salmon farm may include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7): "Some bays contain existing salmon farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."  |       |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                                | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--|-----------------|
| <b>218</b>         | Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida  | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing salmon farm, include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8): "Although salmon farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment". |       |          |   |  |                 |
| <b>218</b>         | Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida  | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | National Transportation Route            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The National Transportation Route map should be redrafted to show the route as being confined to the main part of Tory Channel and Queen Charlotte Sound.   |       |          |   |  |                 |
| <b>218</b>         | Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida  | 6     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I propose to remove the natural character overlay from Ruakaka & Otanerau Bays and the natural character of Tory Channel should be accurately mapped; The MEP should expressly recognise that salmon farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2.        |       |          |   |  |                 |
| <b>218</b>         | Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida  | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the natural character overlay from Ruakaka and Otanerau Bays and the natural character of Tory Channel should be accurately mapped.  |       |          |   |  |                 |
| <b>218</b>         | Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida  | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Map 18, so that area 4.17 does not extend into East Bay.  |       |          |   |  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 218                | Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida  | 9     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping, save for reducing the western extent of the ONL overlay on the southeastern headland at the entrance to Tory Channel.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 218                | Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida  | 10    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping, save for reducing the western extent of the ONL overlay on the southeastern headland at the entrance to Tory Channel.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 218                | Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida  | 11    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Include the coastal building and watershed as part of Marlborough heritage: Picton & Havelock all stores, river and shre rowing clubs buildings and marinas.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 218                | Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida  | 12    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | 8.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support it in special due to the Wairau rivers as a rich eco-community.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 218                | Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida  | 13    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.1.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | It should consider freedom in parking (if safely) everywhere, means not limitation due to "private roads in marinas". I understand that all coastal roads should be freely available as well free parking. this should not be limited to private property. If this happens, interpret it to limit the access to state public spaces. Clarify that it should not limit either the number of car units or the activity that is the public doing (recreational or commercial). |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 218                | Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida  | 14    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.4.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | In point c) should said "the character and scale of buildings is compatible with existing development and <b>type of production activity</b> within the surrounding rural area."  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 218                | Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida  | 15    | All      | All                                     |               | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>I want the Plan to Recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards and tourism are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities (Add a new Issue to Vol 1, page 2-1). Acknowledge that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards employ people and spread wealth. Council needs to find ways to enable these sectors to grow, while recognising and protecting the special qualities of Marlborough (Amend Issue 4B and Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively (Vol. 1, page 4-5).</p> <p>Provide an opportunity for local businesses to have certainty so as to invest with confidence in their and my future (Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development). Ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay, as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules (Add a new rule to this effect in Vol 2).</p> <p>Create ways that encourage people and businesses to strive to be more sustainable (Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the plan aimed at building awareness). Grow our region while also caring for the environment, our landscapes and protecting natural character (Amend Issue 4C, Objective 4.3, Issue 6A, Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of agriculture, aquaculture, cattle farming, dairy, vineyards and tourism.</p> |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>204</b>         | Stephen and Kristen Dempster  | 1     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                            | 24.3.1.2.     | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Retain the current minimum controlled activity lot sizes and corresponding standards for accessways for the Urban Residential 2 zones within Blenheim.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>204</b>         | Stephen and Kristen Dempster  | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | To implement a graduated restriction system to avoid the cut off level being reached. To have this as a condition of all new and renewed water permits  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Objective 4.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Policy 4.3.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Policy 4.3.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |   |               |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>           | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 5            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 6            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 7            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 8            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.1.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 9            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.2    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 10           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 11           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 12           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |   |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 13    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 14    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 15    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 16    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.8  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 17    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Replace Policy 5.2.9 as follows:</p> <p>Where a minimum flow has not been set for a tributary in Appendix 6, then either:</p> <p>a) a residual flow shall be set for that tributary at 90% of 7dMALF if there is not a robust relationship between the flow record in the mainstem of a river; or,</p> <p>b) if there is a robust relationship between the tributary and a minimum flow site listed in Appendix 6, then the take will be required to comply with that site's minimum flow.</p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 18    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 5.2.10 as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.2.10 – Have regard to the importance of flow connection to maintaining natural and human use values when considering resource consent applications to take water from intermittently flowing rivers, including:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the timing and duration of that flow connection;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the physical extent of any disconnection</i></p> <p><i>in flow and the potential for that to disconnection to be exacerbated by abstraction; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) any adverse effects on connected aquifers;</i></p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 19           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.11    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 20           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.13    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 21           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.14    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 22           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.15    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 23           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.16    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 24           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.18    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified but reconsider rule 2.3.14 in light of this policy. |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 25           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.19    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 26           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.20    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 27           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.21    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 28    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.24 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 29    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.25 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 30    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 31    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.3.3 as follows:<br><del>Confirm and, where they have not previously been set, Establish allocation volumes that reflect the safe sustainable yield from any Freshwater Management Unit over and above the management flows/levels set through the implementation of Policies 5.2.4 and 5.2.10, considering the effects of the allocation on the natural functioning of the FMU and freshwater habitats.</del> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 32    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 33    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 34    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 35    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 36    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>           | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 37           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.5    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 38           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 39           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 40           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 41           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 42           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 43           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.6    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 44           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.6.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 45           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.6.2     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>           | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 46           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 47           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 48           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 49           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 50           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 51           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character              | Objective 6.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 52           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 53           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 54           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>           | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>      | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 55           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                     |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 56           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                     |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 57           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                     |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 58           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.2    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                     |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 59           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                     |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 60           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                     |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 61           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                     |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 62           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                     |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 63           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                     |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>           | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 64           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character       | Policy 6.2.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 65           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character       | Policy 6.2.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 66           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character       | Policy 6.2.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 67           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character       | Policy 6.2.9     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 68           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape               | 7.               | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 69           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 70           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 71           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 72           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 73    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 74    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 75    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend the fifth sentence of the policy explanation to the policy as follows:<br><i>The programme is funded by the Council, <del>central government's biodiversity fund</del> and landowners.</i>  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 76    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 8.2.3 as follows:<br><i>Priority will be given to <del>the</del> financial assistance for the protection, maintenance and restoration of habitats, ecosystems and areas that have significant indigenous biodiversity values, particularly those that are legally protected.</i>   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
|                    | Amend the explanation to the policy as follows:<br><i>In terms of Priority 4 habitats, in Marlborough bird species such as the New Zealand falcon, weka and rifleman and plant species such as pingao, Muehlenbeckia astonii and native broom species are <del>either acutely or chronically</del> Nationally Threatened <u>or At Risk</u>.</i> |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 77    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 78    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 79    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>           | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 80           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 81           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 82           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 83           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.10    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 84           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.11    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 85           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.12    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 86           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.13    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 87           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 88           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |              |               |                           |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 89    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 90    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 91    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 8.3.5 (d) as follows:<br><i>the loss of a <del>rare or</del> Threatened or <u>At Risk</u> species or <del>its</del> <u>their habitats</u> and species which are rare within the region or ecological district:</i>  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 92    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 93    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 8.3.7 as follows:<br><i>Within an identified ecologically significant marine site <del>fishing activities using techniques</del> that disturb the seabed must be avoided.</i><br>The explanation will need to be similarly amended.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 94    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy as follows:<br><u>Within 5 years of the Regional Coastal Plan component of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative undertake a review of the effectiveness of other mechanisms (including other legislative regimes) for achieving sustainable management of significant marine ecological sites.</u> |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 95    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.8 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Replace Policy 8.3.8 with the following wording:</p> <p><u>Manage the effects of activities on significant indigenous vegetation or indigenous fauna by:</u></p> <p><u>a) avoiding as far as practicable and, where total avoidance is not practicable, minimising adverse effects</u></p> <p><u>b) requiring remediation where adverse effects cannot be avoided</u></p> <p><u>c) requiring mitigation where adverse effects on the areas identified above cannot be avoided or remediated</u></p> <p><u>d) requiring any residual adverse effects on significant indigenous vegetation or indigenous fauna to be offset through protection, restoration and enhancement actions that achieve no net loss and preferably a net gain in indigenous biodiversity values having particular regard to:</u></p> <p><u>i. limits to biodiversity offsetting due the affected biodiversity being irreplaceable or vulnerable;</u></p> <p><u>ii. the ability of a proposed offset to demonstrate it can achieve no net loss or preferably a net gain;</u></p> <p><u>iii. Appendix XX on Biodiversity Offsets</u></p> <p><u>e) enabling any residual adverse effects on other indigenous vegetation or indigenous fauna to be offset through protection, restoration and enhancement actions that achieve no net loss and preferably a net gain in indigenous biodiversity values having particular regard to:</u></p> <p><u>i. the ability of a proposed offset to demonstrate it can achieve no net loss or preferably a net gain;</u></p> <p><u>ii. Appendix XX on Biodiversity Offsets</u></p> |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 96    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.        | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Include new Appendix XX Biodiversity Offsetting</p> <p><u>The following sets out a framework for the use of biodiversity offsets. It should be read in conjunction with the NZ Government Guidance on Good Practice Biodiversity Offsetting in New Zealand. August 2014 (or any successor Central Government guidance and standards):</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>1. Restoration, enhancement and protection actions will only be considered a biodiversity offset where they are used to offset the anticipated residual effects of activities after appropriate avoidance, minimisation, remediation and mitigation actions have occurred as per new policy XX, i.e. not in situations where they are used to mitigate the adverse effects of activities.</u></li> <li><u>2. A proposed biodiversity offset should contain an explicit loss and gain calculation and should demonstrate the manner in which no net loss or preferably a net gain in biodiversity can be achieved on the ground.</u></li> <li><u>3. A biodiversity offset should recognise the limits to offsets due to irreplaceable and vulnerable biodiversity and its design and implementation should include provisions for addressing sources of uncertainty and risk of failure the delivery of no net loss.</u></li> <li><u>4. Restoration, enhancement and protection actions undertaken as a biodiversity offset are demonstrably additional to what otherwise would occur, including that they are additional to any remediation or mitigation undertaken in relation to the adverse effects of the activity.</u></li> <li><u>5. Offset actions should be undertaken close to the location of development, where this will result in the best ecological outcome.</u></li> <li><u>6. The values to be lost through the activity to which the offset applies are counterbalanced by the proposed offsetting activity which is at least commensurate with the adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity, so that the overall result is no net loss, and preferably a net gain in ecological values.</u></li> <li><u>7. The offset is applied so that the ecological values being achieved through the offset are the same or similar to those being lost.</u></li> <li><u>8. As far as practicable, the positive ecological outcomes of the offset last at least as long as the impact of the activity, and preferably in perpetuity. Adaptive management responses should be incorporated into the design of the offset, as required to ensure that the positive ecological outcomes are maintained over time.</u></li> <li><u>9. The biodiversity offset should be designed and implemented in a landscape context – i.e. with an understanding of both the donor and recipient sites role, or potential role in the ecological context of the area.</u></li> <li><u>10. The consent application identifies the intention to utilise an offset, and includes a biodiversity offset management plan that:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>i. sets out baseline information on indigenous biodiversity that is potentially impacted by the proposal at both the donor and recipient sites.</u></li> <li><u>ii. demonstrates how the requirements set out in this appendix will be addressed.</u></li> <li><u>iii. identifies the monitoring approach that will be used to demonstrate how the matters set out in this appendix have been addressed, over an appropriate timeframe.</u></li> </ol> </li> </ol> |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 97    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.4     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend method 8.M.4 as follows:</p> <p><i>Identification of the values of various waterbodies within Marlborough is included in Appendix 5. The natural and human use values include ecological, habitat, recreational and natural character values.</i></p> <p><i>The Council has also identified in the resource management plan significant wetlands and ecologically significant marine sites. <u>With regard to ecologically significant marine sites, a further survey is required in some cases to confirm the boundary or value of a site. Once this occurs the Council will update the planning maps to reflect the outcomes of ongoing surveys.</u></i></p>  |       |          |                           |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 98    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.1.11  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 99    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.1.13  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 100   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.3.3   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 101   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.4.5   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 102   | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 103   | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.2.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 10.2.3 by including a new clause (d) as follows:<br><u>(d) the tree is a significant cause of wilding tree spread affecting indigenous biodiversity.</u>                        |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 104   | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Policy 11.1.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 11.1.7 as follows:<br><u>Avoid, remedy or</u> mitigate the adverse effects of gravel extraction on ecological and recreational values, water clarity and bank stability by:.... |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 105   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Objective 13.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 106   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Policy 13.1.1  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend policy 13.1.1 as follows:<br><i>Avoid adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on <u>the characteristics and values of areas identified as having:</u></i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 107   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.1.2 as follows:<br><i><del>Areas identified in Policy 13.1.1 as having significant values</del> The areas identified as holding the outstanding or significant values listed in (a) to (d) in Policy 13.1.1 will be mapped in the MEP to provide certainty for resource users, Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, the wider community and decision makers.</i> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 108   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 109   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 110   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.6.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete clause (c) from this Policy as it is addressed under later Objective and Policies.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 111   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.25 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 112   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 113   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 114   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.12.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 115   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.13.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 116   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.13.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 117   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.13.8  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 118   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.13.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 119   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.4   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 120   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Objective 15.1a as follows:</p> <p><i>Maintain and where necessary enhance water quality in Marlborough's rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters, so that:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the mauri of wai is protected;</i></p> <p><i>(b) water quality <del>at beaches</del> in coastal waters, rivers and lakes is suitable for contact recreation;</i></p> <p><i>(c) people can use the coast, rivers, lakes and wetlands for food gathering, cultural, commercial and other purposes;</i></p> <p><i>(d) groundwater quality is suitable for drinking;</i></p> <p><i>(e) the quality of surface water utilised for community drinking water supply remains suitable for drinking after existing treatment; and</i></p> <p><i>(f) coastal waters, rivers and lakes support healthy ecosystems.</i></p> |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 121   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1b | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 122   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1c | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 123   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1d | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 124   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1e | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 125   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.1 as follows:<br>(a) Coastal waters: protection of marine ecosystems; <del>potential for</del> contact recreation and food gathering/marine farming; and for cultural and aesthetic purposes;<br>(b) Rivers and lakes: protection of aquatic ecosystems; <del>potential for</del> contact recreation; community water supply (where water is already taken for this purpose); and for cultural and aesthetic purposes; |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 126   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.2   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 127   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.3   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 128   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.4   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.4 as follows:<br><del>Take</del> <i>Investigate, develop and implement</i> actions to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1b within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:<br>(a) Mill Creek; and<br>(b) Murphys Creek.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 129   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.5   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.5 as follows:<br><del>Take</del> <i>Investigate, develop and implement</i> actions to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1d within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:<br>(a) Are Are Creek; (b) Cullens Creek;<br>(c) Doctors Creek; and<br>(d) Kaituna River. |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 130   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.6 as follows:<br><del>Take</del> <i>Investigate, develop and implement</i> actions to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1e within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:<br>(a) Taylor River;<br><br>(b) Rai River; and<br><br>(c) Waihopai River.                |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 131   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.7 as follows:<br><del>Take</del> <i>Investigate, develop and implement</i> actions to enhance water quality in the rivers identified in Tables 15.1 and 15.2 so that water quality is suitable for the purposes specified in Policy 15.1.1 within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative.           |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 132   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.8  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 133   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 134   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 135   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.12 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 136   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.17 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 137   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.18 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 138   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.19 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.19 as follows:<br><i>Progressively work toward eliminating the <u>existing</u> discharge of human sewage to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, <u>and improvement in the discharge from</u> <del>with the exception of</del> regionally significant infrastructure.</i> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 139   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 140   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.27 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 141   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.28 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.28 as follows:<br>To require where appropriate (as part of the subdivision consent process) the creation of esplanade reserves and esplanade strips to maintain or enhance water quality and or aquatic habitats.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 142   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.29 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.29 as follows:<br>(a) avoid, remedy or mitigate the effects of increased sediment runoff to fresh waterbodies or coastal water; and  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 143   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.31 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 144   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 145   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.33 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 146   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.34 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 147   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 148   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 149   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.5.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 150   | Volume 2 | All                                    |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rules Chapters to avoid repetition and duplication of the rules within and across the zone rules chapters.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 151   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.2.8.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend permitted rule 2.2.8 as follows:<br><i>Take and use of water for fire-fighting purposes and for the purpose of spraying for weed and pest control</i> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 152   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.2.14.        | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete activity standard 2.3.13.3 or amend as follows:<br><i>The take must not be from a <del>Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</del></i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 153   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.13.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete activity standard 2.3.13.3 or amend as follows:<br><i>The take must not be from a <del>Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</del></i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 154   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.13.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete activity standard 2.3.13.3 or amend as follows:<br><i>The take must not be from a <del>Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</del></i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 155   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.15.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the permitted rule and activity standards; or<br>Amend the activity standards to address the concerns raised regarding the activity standards.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 156   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.14.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the permitted rule and activity standards; or<br>Amend the activity standards to address the concerns raised regarding the activity standards.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 157   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.17.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the permitted activity rule and associated standards.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 158   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.16.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the permitted activity rule and associated standards.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 159   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.4.1.    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 2.4.1; or<br>Include further matters that the councils control will be limited to, which will give effect to Policies 5.8.3 and 5.8.4   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 160   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.1.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 161   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.4.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 162   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.5.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 163   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.5.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 164   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.6.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 165   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.2.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 166   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.7.1 and activity standards<br>2.9.1 as follows:<br><i>Alteration, repair or maintenance, <u>and operation</u> of an existing structure in, on or over the bed of a lake or river.</i><br><i>2.9.1.1. The structure must have been lawfully established.</i><br><i><u>2.9.1.X Fish passage must be maintained</u></i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 167   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.1.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.7.1 and activity standards<br>2.9.1 as follows:<br><i>Alteration, repair or maintenance, and operation of an existing structure in, on or over the bed of a lake or river.</i><br>2.9.1.1. <i>The structure must have been lawfully established.</i><br><u>2.9.1.X Fish passage must be maintained</u> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 168   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.9.2 as follows:<br><u>The repair, maintenance or replacement of existing flood protection works in, on or over the bed of a lake or river.</u> <del>for existing structures.</del>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 169   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.9.2 as follows:<br><u>The repair, maintenance or replacement of existing flood protection works in, on or over the bed of a lake or river.</u> <del>for existing structures.</del>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 170   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.3.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend activity standard 2.9.3.2 to provide greater detail on the screening requirements and minimum standards for the prevention of fish passage, and maximum size of the intake to limit effects on aquatic ecology.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 171   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.3.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend activity standard 2.9.3.2 to provide greater detail on the screening requirements and minimum standards for the prevention of fish passage, and maximum size of the intake to limit effects on aquatic ecology.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 172   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.7.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 173   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.7.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 174   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.9.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 175   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 176   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.1.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 177   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.4.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.11.4 as follows:<br><i>From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, <u>or to enter water in lakes or significant wetlands.</u></i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 178   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.5.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.11.4 as follows:<br><i>From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, <u>or to enter water in lakes or significant wetlands.</u></i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 179   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.4.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.11.4 as follows:<br><i>From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, <u>or to enter water in lakes or significant wetlands.</u></i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 180   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.14.6.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend activity standard 2.14.6.1 as follows:<br><i>When vegetation is planted for the purposes of aquatic habitat protection, native plant species must be preferentially planted.</i> |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 181   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete activity standard 2.17.2.3.   |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 182   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.20.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 183   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.20.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include a new prohibited activity rule 2.20.4 as follows:<br><u><i>Discharge of untreated human effluent to water within rivers, lakes or wetlands.</i></u>                            |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 184   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.21.1.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 2.21.1 and activity standards 2.22.1 and include this activity in the zone specific rules.   |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 185   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.22.1.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 2.21.1 and activity standards 2.22.1 and include this activity in the zone specific rules.   |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 186   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.13. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 187   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.6.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                 |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 188   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.39.3.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 189   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.6.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standard 3.3.6.2 as follows:<br><i>3.3.6.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i><br><br><i>(g) an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site, unless replanting harvested commercial forest that was lawfully established:</i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 190   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standard 3.3.6.2 as follows:<br><i>3.3.6.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i><br><br><i>(g) an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site, unless replanting harvested commercial forest that was lawfully established:</i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 191   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.7.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 192   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 193   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.8.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 194   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 195   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.9.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 196   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.9.    | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 197   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.11.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend activity standard 3.3.11.2(a) as follows:<br><i>Indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt, <u>which has grown naturally from previously cleared land since the trees were planted</u></i> ;   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 198   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend activity standard 3.3.11.3 as follows:<br><i>3.3.11.3 Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur:</i><br><i>(a) On land identified on the Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Sites;</i><br><i>(b) On land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Sites;</i><br><i>(c) <u>where the area of indigenous vegetation to be cleared is determined to be significant when assessed against the criteria in Appendix 3.</u></i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 199   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.13.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 200   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 201   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.13.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 202   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 203   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.14.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 204   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.   | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 205   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.19.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete activity standard 3.3.19.5 and include these activities in the note at the beginning of the standards.                                       |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 206   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.19.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete activity standard 3.3.19.5 and include these activities in the note at the beginning of the standards.                                       |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 207   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.21.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 208   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 209   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.28.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 210   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 211   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.5.1.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend matter of discretion 3.5.1.1 as follows:<br><i>The effects on water quality, aquatic ecosystems and soil conservation from the excavation</i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 212   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 213   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.2.    | Support         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 214   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.4.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 3.7.4 as follows:<br><i>From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, <u>or to enter water in lakes or significant wetlands.</u></i>                                    |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 215   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.5.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 3.7.5 as follows:<br>From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, or to enter water in lakes or significant wetlands.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 216   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.8.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 217   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.9.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 218   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.10.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support<br>The prohibited activity for drainage of wetlands as detailed in Rules 3.7.8-11 is supported as this is an inappropriate activity, and this will provide for the protection of their inherent natural character and significant indigenous biodiversity values. |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 219   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.11.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 220   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.6.    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Delete the permitted rule and standards and include this activity as Amend the permitted activity standards under section 4.6 as a discretionary activity; Or alternatively;<br>Amend the activity standards 4.3.6 as follows:<br>4.3.6. Commercial forestry replanting.<br>4.3.6.1. Replanting must not be in, or within:<br>(a) 10 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;<br>(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland;<br>(c) 200 metres of the coastal marine area.<br>4.3.6.2. Replanting must not be within such proximity to any abstraction point for a drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply.<br>4.3.6.X Replanting must not occur adjacent to an identified Ecologically Significant Marine Site. |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 221   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete the permitted rule and standards and include this activity as Amend the permitted activity standards under section 4.6 as a discretionary activity; Or alternatively;<br>Amend the activity standards 4.3.6 as follows:<br>4.3.6. Commercial forestry replanting.<br>4.3.6.1. Replanting must not be in, or within:<br>(a) 10 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;<br>(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland;<br>(c) 200 metres of the coastal marine area.<br>4.3.6.2. Replanting must not be within such proximity to any abstraction point for a drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply.<br>4.3.6.X Replanting must not occur adjacent to an identified Ecologically Significant Marine Site. |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 222   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.7.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 223   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 224   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.8.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                            |           |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 225   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 226   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.9.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 227   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.10.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend activity standard 4.3.10.2(a) as follows:<br/> <i>Indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt, <u>which has grown naturally from previously cleared land since the trees were planted</u>;</i></p> <p>Amend activity standard 4.3.10.3 as follows:<br/> <i>4.3.10.3 Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur:</i><br/> <i>(a) On land identified on the Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Sites;</i><br/> <i>(b) On land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Sites;</i><br/> <i>(C) where the area of indigenous vegetation to be cleared is determined to be significant when assessed against the criteria in Appendix 3.</i></p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 228   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend activity standard 4.3.10.2(a) as follows:<br/> <i>Indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt, <u>which has grown naturally from previously cleared land since the trees were planted</u>;</i></p> <p>Amend activity standard 4.3.10.3 as follows:<br/> <i>4.3.10.3 Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur:</i><br/> <i>(a) On land identified on the Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Sites;</i><br/> <i>(b) On land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Sites;</i><br/> <i>(C) where the area of indigenous vegetation to be cleared is determined to be significant when assessed against the criteria in Appendix 3.</i></p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 229   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.11.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 230   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.12.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 231   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.20.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 232   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 233   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend matter of discretion 4.5.2.1 as follows:<br><i>The effects on water quality, <u>aquatic ecosystems</u> and soil conservation from the excavation</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 234   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 235   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 236   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.3.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 237   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.4.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 4.7.4 as follows:<br><i>From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, <u>or to enter water in lakes or significant wetlands.</u></i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 238   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.5.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 4.7.5 as follows:<br>From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, or to enter water in lakes or significant wetlands.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 239   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone               | 13.1.11.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend activity standards for Rule 13.3.4 so that the permitted activity provides for the in-water cleaning of the hull of a ship where the release of contaminants is minor and scraping is not required.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 240   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone               | 13.3.4.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend activity standards for Rule 13.3.4 so that the permitted activity provides for the in-water cleaning of the hull of a ship where the release of contaminants is minor and scraping is not required.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 241   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone             | 15.1.7.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards of Rule 15.3.3 so that the permitted activity provides for the in- water cleaning of the hull of a ship where the release of contaminants is minimal.<br><br>Or<br><br>Amend Rule 15.3.2 to ensure the permitted maintenance includes minor in water hull cleaning of a low risk of contamination. |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 242   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone             | 15.3.3.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards of Rule 15.3.3 so that the permitted activity provides for the in- water cleaning of the hull of a ship where the release of contaminants is minimal.<br><br>Or<br><br>Amend Rule 15.3.2 to ensure the permitted maintenance includes minor in water hull cleaning of a low risk of contamination. |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 243   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include an additional permitted activity standard as follows:<br><u>16.2.1.X The disturbance must not be of an identified Ecologically Significant Marine Site in the planning maps.</u>   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 244   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.4.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 245   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified subject to proposed new Policy 8.3.X as detailed above.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 246   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.6.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 247   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone   | 19.1.5.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend activity standard 19.3.3.2(a) as follows:<br><i>Indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt, <u>which has grown naturally from previously cleared land since the trees were planted;</u></i><br>Amend activity standard 19.3.3.3 as follows:<br><i>19.3.3.3. Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur:</i><br><i>(a) On land identified on the Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Sites;</i><br><i>(b) On land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Sites;</i><br><i><u>(c) where the area of indigenous vegetation to be cleared is determined to be significant when assessed against the criteria in Appendix 3.</u></i> |       |          |                        |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 248   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.3.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend activity standard 19.3.3.2(a) as follows:<br/> <i>Indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt, <u>which has grown naturally from previously cleared land since the trees were planted</u></i>;</p> <p>Amend activity standard 19.3.3.3 as follows:<br/> <i>19.3.3.3. Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur:</i><br/> <i>(a) On land identified on the Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Sites;</i><br/> <i>(b) On land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Sites;</i><br/> <u><i>(c) where the area of indigenous vegetation to be cleared is determined to be significant when assessed against the criteria in Appendix 3.</i></u></p> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 249   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.14.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 250   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.12.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 251   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.16.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 19.1.16 as follows:<br/> <del>Application</del> <u>Discharge of a vertebrate toxic agent into or onto land in circumstances where a contaminant may enter water, or to water.</u></p>  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 252   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.14.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 19.1.16 as follows:<br/> <del>Application</del> <u>Discharge of a vertebrate toxic agent into or onto land in circumstances where a contaminant may enter water, or to water.</u></p>  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation  | 253   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.17.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 19.1.17 and 19.3.15 as follows:<br><i>Application of an agrichemical into or onto land or to air</i><br>Amend permitted activity standard 19.3.15.1 as follows:<br><i>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 and the discharge is in accordance with all the conditions of the approval.</i><br>Amend permitted activity standard 19.3.15.4 as follows:<br><del><i>The application must be undertaken in accordance with the most recent product label. All spills of agrichemicals above the application rate must be notified to Council immediately.</i></del><br>Include new permitted activity standard as follows:<br><i>Any spray drift resulting from the discharge is contained within the boundary of the property.</i> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 254   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.15.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 19.1.17 and 19.3.15 as follows:<br><i>Application of an agrichemical into or onto land or to air</i><br>Amend permitted activity standard 19.3.15.1 as follows:<br><i>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 and the discharge is in accordance with all the conditions of the approval.</i><br>Amend permitted activity standard 19.3.15.4 as follows:<br><del><i>The application must be undertaken in accordance with the most recent product label. All spills of agrichemicals above the application rate must be notified to Council immediately.</i></del><br>Include new permitted activity standard as follows:<br><i>Any spray drift resulting from the discharge is contained within the boundary of the property.</i> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 255   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.18.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete activity standard 19.3.16.3.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 256   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.16.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete activity standard 19.3.16.3.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 257   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.5.3.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 258   | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 259   | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.1.8.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 20.1.8 and 20.3.6 as follows:<br><i>Application Discharge of a vertebrate toxic agent into or onto land in circumstances where a contaminant may enter water, or to water.</i>        |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 260   | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.3.6.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 20.1.8 and 20.3.6 as follows:<br><i>Application Discharge of a vertebrate toxic agent into or onto land in circumstances where a contaminant may enter water, or to water.</i>        |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 261   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone     | 21.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 262   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone     | 21.1.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include a further activity standard 21.3.2.X as follows:<br><i>The protection works shall not disturb an inanga spawning habitat.</i>  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 263   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone     | 21.3.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include a further activity standard 21.3.2.X as follows:<br>The protection works shall not disturb an inanga spawning habitat.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 264   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone     | 21.1.6.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include a further activity standard 21.3.6.X as follows:<br><i>During the period of 1 September to 31 December in any year no works shall occur within 50m of nesting birds on the riverbed.</i> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 265   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone     | 21.3.6.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Include a further activity standard 21.3.6.X as follows:<br><i>During the period of 1 September to 31 December in any year no works shall occur within 50m of nesting birds on the riverbed.</i> |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 266   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 267   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 268   | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |           | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation   | 269   | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |           | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified but correct the maps as described in detail under position and reason (columns of table in submission).   |       |          |   |           |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|------------|-----------------|
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 270   | Volume 3 | Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance                                |            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Appendix 6 Ecological Significance Criteria as follows and to make changes to ensure the ranking criteria capture the sub criteria values to ensure the significance of all these values are considered in determining significance using the ranking system:</p> <p><i>Ecological Significance Criteria for terrestrial, wetland and coastal <u>marine</u> environments</i></p> <p><i>1. Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that is representative, typical or characteristic of the natural diversity of the relevant ecological district or <u>biogeographic</u> area. This can include degraded examples where they are some of the best remaining examples of their type, or represent all that remains of indigenous biodiversity in some areas.</i></p> <p><i>Distinctiveness</i></p> <p><i>H: The site contains any ecological feature that is unique nationally, in the region or in the ecological district <u>or biogeographic area</u>; or it contains several such features that are outstanding regionally or in the ecological district or biogeographic area.</i></p> <p><i>Size and shape</i></p> <p><i>H: The site is large in size for the region or ecological district and is compact in shape <u>or cohesive</u>.</i></p> <p><i>M: The site is moderate in size for the region or ecological district and is compact in shape <u>or cohesive</u>; or the site is relatively large but not very compact or cohesive.</i></p> |       |          |  |            |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 271   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |  |            |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 272   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels  | Schedule 1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the zero allocations within the Schedule for specific catchments to compliment prohibited activity Rule 2.6.4.  |       |          |  |            |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 273   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels  | Schedule 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I seek that the MDC undertake instream flow requirement assessments for the individual FMU's, to ensure that these are set to give effect to Policy 5.2.4 and that the minimum flows will provide for the maintenance or protection of the values listed in that policy.   |       |          |  |            |                 |
| 479                | Department of Conservation   | 274   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels  | Schedule 3 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision                               | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | I seek that the default minimum flow of 90 percent of 7DMALF for A allocation is imposed in this catchment until the MDC undertakes a review of setting minimum flows that will provide instream flow requirements for freshwater ecosystems to give effect to proposed Objective 5.2 and proposed Policy 5.2.4 of the MEP. |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 275   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3                              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I seek that the default minimum flow of 90 percent of 7DMALF for A allocation is imposed in this catchment until the MDC undertakes a review setting minimum flows that will provide instream flow requirements for freshwater ecosystems to give effect to proposed Objective 5.2 and proposed Policy 5.2.4 of the MEP.    |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 276   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3                              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I seek that the default minimum flow of 90 percent of 7DMALF for A allocation is imposed in this catchment until the MDC undertakes a review setting minimum flows that will provide instream flow requirements for freshwater ecosystems to give effect to proposed Objective 5.2 and proposed Policy 5.2.4 of the MEP.    |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 277   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3                              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I seek that the default minimum flow of 90 percent of 7DMALF for A allocation is imposed in this catchment until the MDC undertakes a review setting minimum flows that will provide instream flow requirements for freshwater ecosystems to give effect to proposed Objective 5.2 and proposed Policy 5.2.4 of the MEP.    |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 278   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Update the ecologically significant marine sites overlay to reflect the most recent knowledge of these sites or include new sites that have been identified. These are listed in Attachment 2 to this submission.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 279   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                                | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|--|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Update the ecologically significant marine sites overlay to reflect the most recent knowledge of these sites or include new sites that have been identified. These are listed in Attachment 2 to this submission. |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 280   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Update the ecologically significant marine sites overlay to reflect the most recent knowledge of these sites or include new sites that have been identified. These are listed in Attachment 2 to this submission. |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 281   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Update the ecologically significant marine sites overlay to reflect the most recent knowledge of these sites or include new sites that have been identified. These are listed in Attachment 2 to this submission. |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 282   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Update the ecologically significant marine sites overlay to reflect the most recent knowledge of these sites or include new sites that have been identified. These are listed in Attachment 2 to this submission. |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 283   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Update the ecologically significant marine sites overlay to reflect the most recent knowledge of these sites or include new sites that have been identified. These are listed in Attachment 2 to this submission. |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation  | 284   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter                  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                                | Type    |
|--------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------|--------------|--|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |       |          |              |  |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 285   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 6  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |       |          |              |  |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 286   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |       |          |              |  |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 287   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |       |          |              |  |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 288   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |       |          |              |  |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 289   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |       |          |              |  |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 290   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 13 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter                  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision                                | Type    |
|--------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------|----------------|--|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |       |          |                |  |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 291   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |       |          |                |  |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 292   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |       |          |                |  |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 293   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 16 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |       |          |                |  |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 294   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |       |          |                |  |         |
| <b>479</b>         | Department of Conservation | 295   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.        |       |          |                |  |         |
| <b>681</b>         | Department of Corrections  | 1     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.                                      | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision      | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | That the following new definition is included in the MEP:<br><i>Community corrections activity means the use of land and buildings for correctional administrative and non-custodial services. Services may include probation, rehabilitation and reintegration services, assessments, reporting, workshops and programmes and offices may be used for the administration of and a meeting point for community work groups.</i>  |       |          |                       |                |        |
| <b>681</b>         | Department of Corrections  | 2     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions        | 25.            | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to the definition of <i>community activity</i> :<br><i>Community activity means the use of land and buildings for the purpose of supporting the health, welfare, education, culture and spiritual well-being of the community including not for profit childcare facilities, <b>community corrections activities</b>, active and passive recreation.</i>   |       |          |                       |                |        |
| <b>681</b>         | Department of Corrections  | 3     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.4.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (bold) are made to Policy 12.4.1:<br><i>Policy 12.4.1 – Provide for a wide range of commercial, <b>community</b> and industrial activities in a variety of zones to encourage vibrant and viable business centres.</i><br><i>The use of zones enables activities to occur in specified and established areas of Marlborough's urban environments. Areas zoned as Business and Industrial are based in part on the nature of commercial, <b>community</b> and industrial activities that have existed for some time with largely known effects. Some areas have been zoned specifically for large retail format in recognition of the need to provide for retailing that requires large areas of associated car-parking or outdoor space. The variety of business environments within Marlborough's towns is reflected in the differences in zoning approach.</i> |       |          |                       |                |        |
| <b>681</b>         | Department of Corrections  | 4     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.4.2  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 12.4.2:<br><i>Policy 12.4.2 – The central business areas of Blenheim and Picton provide a focus for retail, commercial business, employment, leisure, visitor accommodation, <b>community</b> and cultural activities.</i>   |       |          |                       |                |        |
| <b>681</b>         | Department of Corrections  | 5     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Objective 12.5 | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (bold) are made to Objective 12.5:<br><br><i>Objective 12.5 A range of opportunities for different business, <b>community</b> and industrial activities are available.<br/><br/>To ensure business, <b>community</b> and industrial activities occur in a planned and coordinated manner and that the future needs of people and communities are met, it is necessary to recognise the various characteristics and attributes of Marlborough's towns within the urban environment. Consolidating the area within which these activities take place will reinforce the communities' perception of the character and form of their towns and identity. Importantly the objective provides a focus for establishing a wide range of opportunities for business, <b>community</b> and industrial activity that will result in wide social and economic benefits for the District, therefore helping to achieve the purpose of the RMA.</i> |       |          |                             |               |        |
| <b>681</b>         | Department of Corrections  | 6     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments       | Policy 12.5.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 12.5.5:<br><br><i>Policy 12.5.5 – Maintain the following characteristics within areas zoned for light industrial activities in Blenheim, Picton and Seddon:<br/><br/>(a) a range of light service industries, <b>community corrections activities</b> and ancillary activities (light manufacturing, logistics, storage, warehousing, transport and distribution are anticipated);</i>   |       |          |                             |               |        |
| <b>681</b>         | Department of Corrections  | 7     | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone           | 9.1.          | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following new activity is added to <i>9.1 Permitted Activities</i> list:<br><br><b>9.1.X. Community corrections activity</b>  |       |          |                             |               |        |
| <b>681</b>         | Department of Corrections  | 8     | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone          | 10.1.         | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following new activity is added to <i>10.1 Permitted Activities</i> list:<br><br><b>10.1.X. Community corrections activity</b>  |       |          |                             |               |        |
| <b>681</b>         | Department of Corrections  | 9     | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.         | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following new activity is added to <i>12.1 Permitted Activities</i> list:<br><br><b>12.1.X. Community corrections activity within Industrial 1 Zone</b>   |       |          |                             |               |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>682</b>         | Derry Properties Limited  | 1     | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone                      | 10.3.1.1.                                 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as necessary to ensure there is certainty for Springlands to operate a licenced premise, and continue to obtain a new liquor licence.                              |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>682</b>         | Derry Properties Limited  | 2     | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone                      | 10.2.1.4.                                 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>682</b>         | Derry Properties Limited  | 3     | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone                      | 10.3.1.3.                                 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (d) of Standard.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>682</b>         | Derry Properties Limited  | 4     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                         | 2.32.1.1.                                 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | To amend the Table 2.1 in the Standard to exclude supermarkets from the employee requirement for car parks.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>682</b>         | Derry Properties Limited  | 5     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                         | 2.32.1.7.                                 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1113</b>        | Sivanathan Devaraj  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1113</b>        | Sivanathan Devaraj  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1113</b>        | Sivanathan Devaraj  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1113</b>        | Sivanathan Devaraj  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1113</b>        | Sivanathan Devaraj   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1113</b>        | Sivanathan Devaraj   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1113</b>        | Sivanathan Devaraj   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1113</b>        | Sivanathan Devaraj   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1113</b>        | Sivanathan Devaraj   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1113</b>        | Sivanathan Devaraj   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>915</b>         | Margaret C Dewar   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.5.       | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.                   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>915</b>         | Margaret C Dewar  | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.                     |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>915</b>         | Margaret C Dewar  | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>915</b>         | Margaret C Dewar  | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>915</b>         | Margaret C Dewar  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>915</b>         | Margaret C Dewar  | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>915</b>         | Margaret C Dewar  | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>915</b>         | Margaret C Dewar  | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>915</b>         | Margaret C Dewar  | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10. | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>915</b>         | Margaret C Dewar  | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>915</b>         | Margaret C Dewar  | 11    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>915</b>         | Margaret C Dewar  | 12    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>915</b>         | Margaret C Dewar  | 13    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>915</b>         | Margaret C Dewar  | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>915</b>         | Margaret C Dewar  | 15    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                |            | Support |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>915</b>         | Margaret C Dewar  | 16    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>915</b>         | Margaret C Dewar  | 17    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.    | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>915</b>         | Margaret C Dewar  | 18    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.31.                                   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>915</b>         | Margaret C Dewar  | 19    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.3.30.                                   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1057</b>        | Roger Dippie  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1057</b>        | Roger Dippie  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1057</b>        | Roger Dippie  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1057</b>        | Roger Dippie  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1057</b>        | Roger Dippie   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1057</b>        | Roger Dippie   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1057</b>        | Roger Dippie   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1057</b>        | Roger Dippie   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1057</b>        | Roger Dippie   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1057</b>        | Roger Dippie   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>584</b>         | Corey Dixon  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>584</b>         | Corey Dixon  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>584</b>         | Corey Dixon  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>584</b>         | Corey Dixon  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>584</b>         | Corey Dixon  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>584</b>         | Corey Dixon  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>584</b>         | Corey Dixon  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>584</b>         | Corey Dixon  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>584</b>         | Corey Dixon   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character             | Issue 6A       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>584</b>         | Corey Dixon   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character             | Objective 6.1  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>523</b>         | Alan Dodgson  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone          | 16.7.2.        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."<br><i>(Inferred)</i>          |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>523</b>         | Alan Dodgson  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone          | 16.7.3.        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."<br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>683</b>         | Dog Point Vineyard  | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained.   |       |          |                                 |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 683                | Dog Point Vineyard  | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.4.13  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 683                | Dog Point Vineyard  | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                       | Policy 7.2.2    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 683                | Dog Point Vineyard  | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                       | Policy 7.2.7    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 2                  | Michael Doherty   | 1     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                    | 24.3.1.2.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>I would like the Council to consider a transitional zone or a percentage allowance of tolerance with regard to the 7500 sqm size. Perhaps the further away from Urban Residential zones the tolerance becomes less.</p> <p>For applications to subdivide in the proposed Rural Living Zone I believe if the property has a boundary with high density housing the permitted size should be 4500 sqm as already allowed by Council. Perhaps properties that do not share a town boundary then use the 7500 sqm.</p> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 755                | Hamish Paul Doig  | 1     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.19 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>" The <b>principal</b> purpose of a boatshed <del>shall be is</del> to house boats and boating equipment. <del>Where a boatshed is to be located in the coastal marine area or on land immediately adjacent to the coastal marine area and its use differs from the purpose described above, the activity is inappropriate in the coastal environment and is to be avoided.</del>"</i></p>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 755                | Hamish Paul Doig  | 2     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.21 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>" The installation of sanitary plumbing <b>and other facilities</b> within or as part of the boatshed <b>that facilitates its use for residential activity involving overnight accommodation is to</b> <del>must be avoided.</del>"</i></p>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 755                | Hamish Paul Doig  | 3     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.22 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend (a) of this Policy as follows (bold) -<br><br>" (a) ensuring <b>new</b> boatsheds are limited to one storey in height, with no internal upper flooring;"  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>355</b>         | Dominion Salt Limited   | 1     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.19.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>355</b>         | Dominion Salt Limited   | 2     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.19.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>355</b>         | Dominion Salt Limited   | 3     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.19.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>355</b>         | Dominion Salt Limited   | 4     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.19.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>355</b>         | Dominion Salt Limited   | 5     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.19 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b>New Policy:</b> <i>Recognise the positive environmental benefit the Salt Works provides, including flood mitigation on SH 1 and during summertime ensures sufficient water in the lake to prevent dust pollution and to enhance the habitat of indigenous flora and fauna.</i> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>355</b>         | Dominion Salt Limited   | 6     | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone  | 22.1.1.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Addition of these words ' <i>and the full range of processes required</i> ' after ' <i>by- products</i> '. Retains words in WARMP.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>355</b>         | Dominion Salt Limited   | 7     | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone  | 22.2.1.2.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Addition and deletion of the words as requested.<br>Replace with <i>'building, bunds, roads and other developments'</i> .<br>Delete words <i>'existing at 9 June 2016'</i> .            |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>355</b>         | Dominion Salt Limited   | 8     | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.1.3.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amendment of documents as requested:<br>Separate <i>'take and use coastal water'</i> from <i>'the maintenance of existing seawater intake'</i> so that they are not read conjunctively. |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>355</b>         | Dominion Salt Limited   | 9     | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.1.11.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Addition of these words.<br>Include the words <i>'and greywater'</i> after the word <i>'effluent'</i> .   |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>355</b>         | Dominion Salt Limited   | 10    | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.1.18.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Remove words as requested.<br>Remove the words <i>'other than'</i> from the use of a moveable source.   |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>355</b>         | Dominion Salt Limited   | 11    | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.2.1.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Replace words as requested.<br>Delete the word <i>'notwithstanding'</i> and replace with <i>'any building not coming within'</i> .  |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>355</b>         | Dominion Salt Limited   | 12    | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.3.4.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete words as requested.  |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>355</b>         | Dominion Salt Limited   | 13    | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.3.12.7. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete rule.  |       |          |                                  |                           |                 |
| <b>355</b>         | Dominion Salt Limited   | 14    | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.3.12.8.                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete rule.  |       |          |                                  |                           |                 |
| <b>355</b>         | Dominion Salt Limited   | 15    | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.4.1.                   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this rule.   |       |          |                                  |                           |                 |
| <b>355</b>         | Dominion Salt Limited   | 16    | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.4.2.                   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Move heading 22.4.2 from Controlled Activities to Permitted Activities. |       |          |                                  |                           |                 |
| <b>355</b>         | Dominion Salt Limited   | 17    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                      | Zoning Map 187            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Map 187   |       |          |                                  |                           |                 |
| <b>355</b>         | Dominion Salt Limited   | 18    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                     | Threatened Environments 8 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Threatened Environments Map 8                                     |       |          |                                  |                           |                 |
| <b>355</b>         | Dominion Salt Limited   | 19    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                     | Landscapes 9              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Landscapes Map 9  |       |          |                                  |                           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>355</b>         | Dominion Salt Limited   | 20           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 5 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Coastal Natural Character Map 5   |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>684</b>         | Donaghys Limited  | 1            | All           | All            |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.                           |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>585</b>         | Christopher Donaldson   | 1            | All           | All            |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.                           |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>866</b>         | Karen Donaldson   | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8471; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>866</b>         | Karen Donaldson   | 2            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8472; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>866</b>         | Karen Donaldson   | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8240; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>866</b>         | Karen Donaldson   | 4            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8240; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                             |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>866</b>         | Karen Donaldson   | 5            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8223; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                             |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>866</b>         | Karen Donaldson   | 6            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8071; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                             |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>866</b>         | Karen Donaldson   | 7            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8471, 8472, 8240, 8223 and 8071; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>866</b>         | Karen Donaldson   | 8            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8471; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.     |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>866</b>         | Karen Donaldson   | 9            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8472; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.     |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>866</b>         | Karen Donaldson   | 10           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8240; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                             |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>866</b>         | Karen Donaldson   | 11    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8240; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                             |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>866</b>         | Karen Donaldson   | 12    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8223; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                             |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>866</b>         | Karen Donaldson   | 13    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8223; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                             |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>866</b>         | Karen Donaldson   | 14    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8071; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                             |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>866</b>         | Karen Donaldson   | 15    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8471, 8472, 8240, 8223 and 8071; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |              |        |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>867</b>         | Karl Donaldson  | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8471; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>867</b>         | Karl Donaldson  | 2            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8472; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>867</b>         | Karl Donaldson  | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8240; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>867</b>         | Karl Donaldson  | 4            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8240; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>867</b>         | Karl Donaldson  | 5            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8223; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>867</b>         | Karl Donaldson  | 6            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8071; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>867</b>         | Karl Donaldson  | 7            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8471, 8472, 8240, 8223 and 8071; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>867</b>         | Karl Donaldson  | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8471; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.     |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>867</b>         | Karl Donaldson  | 9     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8472; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.     |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>867</b>         | Karl Donaldson  | 10    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8240; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.     |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>867</b>         | Karl Donaldson  | 11    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8240; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.     |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>867</b>         | Karl Donaldson  | 12    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8223; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.     |       |          |              |              |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| <b>867</b>         | Karl Donaldson   | 13    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8223; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>867</b>         | Karl Donaldson   | 14    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 1                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8071; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>867</b>         | Karl Donaldson   | 15    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8471, 8472, 8240, 8223 and 8071; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1271</b>        | Robert J and Penelope W Donaldson  | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                   | 7.2.1.5.                    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>200</b>         | Donna Marris   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 19               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Rezone Area 8 in the Urban Growth Study from Rural Environment to Urban Residential Greenfields  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>200</b>         | Donna Marris   | 2     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 18               | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Rezone Area 8 in the Urban Growth Study from Rural Environment to Urban Residential Greenfields. |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>200</b>         | Donna Marris   | 3     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                | Zoning Map 13 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Rezone Area 8 in the Urban Growth Study from Rural Environment to Urban Residential Greenfields. |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>200</b>         | Donna Marris   | 4     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                | Zoning Map 12 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Rezone Area 8 in the Urban Growth Study from Rural Environment to Urban Residential Greenfields. |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>524</b>         | Alice Doole  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.                            |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>524</b>         | Alice Doole  | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.                              |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>524</b>         | Alice Doole  | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.          |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>524</b>         | Alice Doole  | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.          |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>524</b>         | Alice Doole  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.  |       |          |                            |               |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>524</b>         | Alice Doole   | 6            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.                           |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>524</b>         | Alice Doole   | 7            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>524</b>         | Alice Doole   | 8            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>524</b>         | Alice Doole   | 9            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>524</b>         | Alice Doole   | 10           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>524</b>         | Alice Doole   | 11           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>524</b>         | Alice Doole   | 12           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>524</b>         | Alice Doole   | 13           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| <b>524</b>         | Alice Doole  | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>524</b>         | Alice Doole  | 15    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                |            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>524</b>         | Alice Doole  | 16    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>524</b>         | Alice Doole  | 17    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>524</b>         | Alice Doole  | 18    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.31.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>524</b>         | Alice Doole  | 19    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>78</b>          | Chris Douglas  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.2.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>343</b>         | Martin Douglass  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.7.19.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I recognise however that not all harvest tracks are necessary for long term access and my objection to the proposed rule 3.1.7 ( <i>Standard 3.3.7.19</i> ) would be met if the rule was reworded to allow foresters to nominate permanent access ways in their harvest plan that would be retained after harvest. |       |          |                            |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| <b>343</b>         | Martin Douglass  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.6.1.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I seek that the proposed rule 3.1.6 ( <i>Standard 3.3.6.1(a)</i> ) banning the planting of Douglas Fir be deleted from the plan.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>322</b>         | Darryl and Marjorie Downs-Woolley  | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Assurance that "Irricalc" be calibrated to deliver water to "worst case" scenario within variable soil types.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>322</b>         | Darryl and Marjorie Downs-Woolley  | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Council introduce these data logger/telemetry logger, only upon expiration of current water permits or for new permits.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>6</b>           | Eric Driver  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.5.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Submission</b></p> <p>I submit that to avoid the increasing complaints of affected residents and at the same time improve bird control for vineyard owners, all gas guns be <b>banned</b> from use with immediate effect.</p> <p>I wish to make a verbal PowerPoint submission at the appropriate committee meeting.</p> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>1266</b>        | Eric Driver  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.5.1.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I therefore would ask that the Council <b>ban</b> the use of gas guns as bird scaring devices in the interests of avoiding residents' sleep deprivation.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>1266</b>        | Eric Driver  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.5.2      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I therefore would ask that the Council <b>ban</b> the use of gas guns as bird scaring devices in the interests of avoiding residents' sleep deprivation.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| 933                | Michael John Dryden   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone   | 16.7.2.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>4000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 933                | Michael John Dryden   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone   | 16.7.3.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>4000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 20                 | Chris Duckworth   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone   | 16.7.2.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recommendation: The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 360                | Ken Duff  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p><i>Standard 3.3.5.1 Category A or Category B device must not be operated: (c) within 160m of the boundary or notional boundary of the nearest dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building (except a dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building on the same property as the audible bird-scaring device);</i></p> <p>This distance should be increased to a minimum of 500m.</p> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 360                | Ken Duff  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5.2   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p><i>Standard 3.3.5.2 A Category A device must not be operated: (b) at any greater frequency than 4 events in any period of one hour. An event is defined as 3 discharges within a 30 second period;</i></p> <p>Maximum of four events per hour but only one discharge allowable in a 30second period.</p>   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 97                 | Jonathan Duffy  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone   | 16.7.3.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | To amend the proposed Marlborough Environment Plan to allow ship's discharges compliant with the <i>Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998</i> to remain a Permitted Activity.   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 97                 | Jonathan Duffy  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone   | 16.7.2.   | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | To amend the proposed Marlborough Environment Plan to allow ship's discharges compliant with the <i>Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998</i> to remain a Permitted Activity. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>709</b>         | Ian Dunlop  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.           |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>709</b>         | Ian Dunlop  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>709</b>         | Ian Dunlop  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>709</b>         | Ian Dunlop  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>709</b>         | Ian Dunlop  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                       |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>709</b>         | Ian Dunlop  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>709</b>         | Ian Dunlop  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>709</b>         | Ian Dunlop  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>709</b>         | Ian Dunlop  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>709</b>         | Ian Dunlop  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>352</b>         | Robyn Dunn  | 1     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                          | 24.            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Suggest a reference to the need for Landscape requirement - as set out in the Subdivision Code of Practise, which also needs updating and reference made to the Urban Design Protocol.</p> <p>Should read something like;</p> <p><i>'To provide for tree planting within new urban residential , business, and industrial developments , that a dedicated grass berm width of a minimum of 1.5 metres or alternative tree planting sites of a minimum of 9m2 be included, with no intrusion of underground or overhead services within that dedicated Landscape Space.'</i></p> <p>Also, within the same section;</p> <p><i>'That provision is included within the plan, and also specified within the Subdivision Code of Practice, that if removal of a street tree is required for a development or other reason and approved by council, that the tree be valued using an nationally recognised standard valuation method , and compensation be paid for the loss of the tree and the standard replacement cost for another tree. The developer will also be required to pay for the removal cost of the tree. '</i></p> |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>511</b>         | Anna and Hayden Dunne   | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter                             | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained. |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>511</b>         | Anna and Hayden Dunne                 | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained. |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>511</b>         | Anna and Hayden Dunne                 | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.2   | Support |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained. |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>511</b>         | Anna and Hayden Dunne                 | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.7   | Support |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained. |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>58</b>          | Andrew Dwyer                          | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the provision in full.          |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>58</b>          | Andrew Dwyer                          | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the provision in full.          |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>58</b>          | Andrew Dwyer                          | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.2   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the provision in full.          |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>58</b>          | Andrew Dwyer                          | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.7   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the provision in full.          |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>59</b>          | Jo Dwyer                              | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| Decision Requested | Adopt the provision in full.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>59</b>          | Jo Dwyer  | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.4.13                            | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the provision in full.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>59</b>          | Jo Dwyer  | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.2                              | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the provision in full.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>59</b>          | Jo Dwyer  | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.7                              | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the provision in full.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1115</b>        | Steve Dyer  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1115</b>        | Steve Dyer  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1115</b>        | Steve Dyer  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1115</b>        | Steve Dyer   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1115</b>        | Steve Dyer   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1115</b>        | Steve Dyer   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1115</b>        | Steve Dyer   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1115</b>        | Steve Dyer   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1115</b>        | Steve Dyer   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1115</b>        | Steve Dyer   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society  | 1     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.15 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the provisions that seek to make exposed Jetties less safe. Make the Priority for the design of jetties the Safety of people and Boats. Do not restrict the safety of jetties by making them smaller, shallower or look less like Jetties   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                      | Landscapes 5    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>EBCS requests that the whole of East Bay should be zoned ONFL and that the sea of East Bay should be zoned the same as the land.</p> <p>EBCS would be happy to work with Council officers to document the other unique areas of special significance within East Bay that are known to EBCS members</p> <p>We consider that the current presence or absence of marine farms or forestry or farming activity on a land or sea area should not impact on whether that landscape they are located in be considered ONFL or not.</p> <p>However If the objection is the few aquaculture farms along the coastal margin in East Bay then these should be bubble zoned as Marlborough Sounds Coastal landscape rather than zone the whole bay as Marlborough Sounds Coastal landscape which will act as a magnet for those seeking to degrade the ONFL of East bay further.</p> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society  | 3     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity         | Policy 8.3.7    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>EBCs requests that all Ecologically Significant Marine Sites. receive as much protection as possible including limiting fishing techniques which damaged the Benthic environment such as dredging. EBCS asks that they are both correctly labelled and that research continue to identify Ecologically Significant Marine Sites before they are degraded to the point of insignificance.</p> <p>EBCS would like to work with MDC to recognise the other sites of special significance to East Bay</p>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                         | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society  | 4            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.5.1.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend this point by making the size of restricted discretionary structures in ONFL areas bigger than small working structures in the Coastal Environment Zone.</p> <p>EBCS requests that all permitted activities in the MEP for Rural One land apply to rural land in the Coastal Environment Zone</p> <p>A reasonable compromise would be to make structures up to 50m2 permitted and structures over 50m2 restricted discretionary both with Guidelines as to how the structures could be made to blend in in the ONFL Areas</p> |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society  | 5            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.2.1.11.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>EBCS believes it would be better to make small structure permitted but with rules governing the effect of the structure on the environment.</p> <p>EBCS asks that this provision is at least increased in size to structures over 50m2 and that simple guidelines be given as to what is acceptable to build in the ONFL Coastal Environment zone. EBCS further requests that structures smaller than this be Permitted in the Coastal Environment Zone.</p>  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society  | 6            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | Policy 8.3.7     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | EBCS suggests that this policy should be accepted into the Plan  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society  | 7            | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise that the sea water and Benthic Environment of the Coastal Environment are as important to Marlborough as the freshwater and soil. and draft polices that deliver the same protection.  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society  | 8            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Issue 5J         | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>EBCS strongly supports the introduction of coastal occupation charges by the Marlborough District Council.</p> <p>EBCS also supports the starting point for determining fees from “the actual expenditure considered necessary to promote sustainable management of the coastal marine area” (Policy 5.10.7).</p> <p>The EBCS recommends, however, that the MDC considers further the way in which coastal occupancy charges will be determined. There is a need for an effective, transparent, workable, understandable charging regime linked to a long term plan for sustainable management of the coastal marine area.</p> <p>We recommend the following changes to 5J.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. That MDC develops a long term, co-ordinated management plan as the basis for setting the priorities and determining the expenditure necessary to achieve sustainable management of the coastal marine area. This would be a dynamic plan, reviewed and implemented progressively and with possibilities for involvement by interested community organisations and businesses.</li> <li>2. That coastal occupancy charges should be set for a minimum of 4 years ahead, so that those paying can forward manage their marine projects and those implementing the plan have continuity.</li> <li>3. That the directive in s64A RMA - to consider the public benefits lost or gained, and the private benefit gained - should be a criterion for determining the level of coastal occupancy charges in <i>Policy 5.10.7</i>. We suggest this wording is substituted for the wording currently in <i>Policy 5.10.7(c)</i> so that the basis for assessment is clearly understandable.</li> <li>4. That the proportion of public/private benefit is reflected in the coastal occupancy charges for shared use infrastructures, such as substantial public use of jetties, by way of discount or other MDC contribution to private maintenance costs.</li> <li>5. That the public/private assessment methodology should reflect the difference between use of public space for commercial ventures and for residential necessity. We do not support Policy 5.10.4 because it excludes the associated activity from consideration in assessing fees.</li> <li>6. That the community has an opportunity for consultation on the methodology for setting the fees and the actual proposed fees before these are finalised, possibly at the time of release of the Aquaculture Policies and Marine Farms Management Provisions.</li> <li>7. We commend to MDC the simple methodology we proposed previously - <i>“the actual charge should be based on a fixed administrative cost per structure, plus a per square metre charge, divided by a factor reflecting the utility provided to the general public”</i>. We consider this captures the practical issues relevant to any methodology, and has the benefit of being explicit and understandable.</li> </ol> |       |          |                |           |         |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society   | 9     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction |           | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>EBCS support the MEP where it seeks to promote the <b>sustainable</b> management of natural and physical resources</p> <p>Sustainability is defined as (By Webster)</p> <p>ENVIRONMENT, NATURAL RESOURCES <b>the idea that goods and services should be produced in ways that do not use resources that cannot be replaced and that do not damage the environment:</b></p> <p><i>The successful coffee chain promotes sustainability within the coffee-growing regions.</i></p>  |       |          |                |           |         |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society   | 10    | Volume 1 | 2 Background   | 2.        | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | EBCS has supported the special environment of East bay for over 15 years and seeks to protect the nationally and internationally significant values in perpetuity from unsustainable exploitation for commercial or personal gain. Our submission seeks to address the MEP issues specific to East Bay  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society   | 11    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | EBCS wishes to see the issues of unsustainable use of the environment spelt out so that they can be learned from.<br>Issue 4C is the ideal place to highlight these issues and how they have been addressed in the past.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society   | 12    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.AER.1      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | BCS requests that MDC actually takes action to Benchmark the Marine environment, Monitor the Marine environment, and regulate the Marine environment when MEP Policies and rules are not being met.<br><br>EBCS sees the Coastal occupancy charges as an ideal opportunity to adequately resource the science and regulation of the Marine environment, EBCS strongly supports the use of Coastal occupancy charges to protect the environment<br><br>The first step is to benchmark the environment (e.g.plastic rubbish on the beaches of the outer sounds or highly impacted Benthic environments) and to monitor these to see if they are improving.<br><br>That is an anticipated environmental result of the MEP. |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society   | 13    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | EBCS requests that the work Maintain be changed to Improve  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society   | 14    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | 5.AER.1      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | ome that come to mind are that come to mind that EBS would like to see added to this AER<br><br>1/ maintenance of marine Significant Areas - effectiveness no marine significant areas are degraded<br><br>2/ increase in the number of Marine significant areas - effectiveness the number of identified marine significant areas increases each year<br><br>3/ Improvement of Benthic performance under aquaculture - effectiveness all farms operating withing industry best practice guidelines and no farm operating at or near azoic and anoxic levels.   |       |          |   |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 100                | East Bay Conservation Society  | 15    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character               | Issue 6A      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | We Hope that the MDC will see the results of the improvements to the environment of East Bay and support EBCS in improving this special environment still further by zoning the whole bay from ridge to ridge, and foreshore to foreshore ONFL   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 100                | East Bay Conservation Society  | 16    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character               | Policy 6.1.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | EBCS requests that is policy is followed throughout the MEP. It is not good enough to draw a line around the land of the outer sounds and say that this is outstanding, very high or high without including the sea in that clasification  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 100                | East Bay Conservation Society  | 17    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity         | 8.M.5         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No Change  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 100                | East Bay Conservation Society  | 18    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity         | 8.AER.1       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | NO change  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 100                | East Bay Conservation Society  | 19    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | EBCS would prefer that policy 13.1.1 better reflected the needs of remote communities and that in recognising the needs of remote communities allow appropriate subdivision to meet those needs  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 100                | East Bay Conservation Society  | 20    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | EBCS Members would like to involved with the identification of Marine Ecologically significant areas and for the MDC to take the lead to ensure that all local knowledge of signifcant marine biodiversity is included in the MEP.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 100                | East Bay Conservation Society  | 21    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | EBCS would prefer that this point (para (i) is removed altogether or replaced with a modified para (m) to say<br><i>encouraging appropriate <b>locat</b>ion and design of new structures and other development inform, colour and positioning that complement, rather than detract from, the visual quality of the location.</i> |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 100                | East Bay Conservation Society  | 22    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That this policy be adopted  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 100                | East Bay Conservation Society  | 23    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.5 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Ensure that this policy is carried through to the permitted rules for the coastal environment zone as seasonal worker accommodation has been omitted from the permitted activities there   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society  | 24    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Policy 13.9.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | EBCS believes that this is one policy that needs to recognise that there is a significant difference between small tightly clustered properties close to Picton where resources such as moorings are common and there is competition for space and large remote properties with up to a kilometre between titles,<br><br>EBCS believes that there is justification for this policy in the Coastal living zone but no justification whatsoever for this policy in the coastal environment zone where at least two moorings should be permitted. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society  | 25    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Issue 13G     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | EBCs asks that the para detailing the main effects of dredging be modified to include the effect of deposited the dredged material and subsequent re-suspension of that material   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society  | 26    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.1.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert Worker Accommodation as a permitted activity in section 4.1 to match the policy of 13,5,5 Volume one where it says<br><br>Policy 13.5.5 – Except in the case of land developed for papakainga, residential activity on land zoned Coastal Environment will be provided for by enabling:<br><br>(a) one dwelling per Computer Register;<br><br>(b) seasonal worker accommodation; and<br><br>(c) homestays.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society  | 27    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.7.7.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Clarify that this provision does not include Long drops on Coastal environment zoned properties or remove it   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society  | 28    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |               | Support         |
| Decision Requested | EBCS requests that The outer sounds Landscape is used to reassess the whole of East bay as ONFL  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society  | 29    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |               | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The limited number of modifications in East Bay compared with the values that remain reinforce how important it is to ensure these values are protected for future generations and not further degraded.  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society   | 30    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No Change.<br>However EBCS requests that MDC take these values into account when assessing the Natural Character and Features and Landscapes of East Bay  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society   | 31    | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Remove the exclude areas of East Bay or bubble zone the marine farms to prevent even more subdivision of the marine environment further degrading the outstanding natural character of East bay   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society   | 32    | Volume 3 | Appendix 8 Discharge to Air                             |                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Distinguish between the Living zones and the Environment zones to recognise the difference in effects   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society   | 33    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | EBCS asks that ALL of East Bay including the Land between East bay and The outer Queen Charlotte Sound and the entire water of the bay be zoned at Outstanding Natural Character or at the very least Very High Natural Character to reflect the public perception as depicted in the attached photo from the Concourse of Christchurch Airport |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society   | 34    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Steep Erosion Prone Land 4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | MDC needs to look at his map carefully and recognise the limitations of the information contained it and then revisit the other maps that have equally inaccurate information in them (such and the Natural Character maps and Landscape Maps to ensure sustainable protection of the environment   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>100</b>         | East Bay Conservation Society   | 35    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment                       | Policy 13.11.3              | Support         |
| Decision Requested | that this policy be adopted unchanged   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>696</b>         | Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                                | 3.1.                        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Include a new permitted activity as follows:<br><b><i>3.1.59 Intensive poultry farming</i></b>   |       |          |                                 |           |                 |
| <b>696</b>         | Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.2.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include a new permitted activity standard as follows:<br><b><i>3.2.19 Habitable buildings, community activity, recreation activity, and sensitive receptor are set back:</i></b><br><b><i>(i) at least 30 metres from any internal boundary, except where the activity is an alteration to a dwelling, and the setback to the boundary is not thereby reduced;</i></b><br><b><i>(ii) at least 200 metres from any building or enclosure that houses poultry that is in an existing lawfully established intensive poultry farm which is a poultry farm on or before 9 June 2016.</i></b> |       |          |                                 |           |                 |
| <b>696</b>         | Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include a new permitted activity standard as follows:<br><b><i>3.3.49 Intensive poultry farming where sheds or enclosures are set back at least 200 metres from any habitable building, community activity, recreational activity and sensitive receptor.</i></b>  |       |          |                                 |           |                 |
| <b>696</b>         | Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.6.3.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>3.6.3 Intensive Farming that is not specifically provided for as a permitted activity in Section 3.1.   |       |          |                                 |           |                 |
| <b>696</b>         | Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand  | 5     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Confirm that Intensive farming is a Primary Production Activity, either through the insertion of a new definition or making it clear elsewhere in the Plan.  |       |          |                                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 696                | Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand   | 6     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                   | 25.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include as follows:</p> <p><b><i>Intensive Poultry Farming</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Raising or keeping poultry for human consumption or egg production, where the predominant productive processes are carried out primarily within buildings.</i></b></p>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 697                | Elie Bay Residents  | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.10.4:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.10.4 Coastal occupancy charges will be imposed on coastal permits where there is greater private than public benefit arising from occupation of the coastal marine area <b>except for coastal permits for occupation of areas smaller than 500 m2.</b></i></p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 697                | Elie Bay Residents  | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.11        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 5.M.11:</p> <p><i>5.M.11 Annual Plan</i></p> <p><i>The level of charge to be applied to any activity for which a coastal permit is granted to occupy the coastal marine area is set out in the <del>Council's Annual Plan</del> <b>Marlborough Environment Plan.</b></i></p>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 697                | Elie Bay Residents  | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>That all monitoring results and reports are to be made public. This needs to be added to the wording of policy 5.10.8.</p>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 697                | Elie Bay Residents  | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to the 2nd sentence of the 2nd paragraph of the explanation for Policy 5.10.7:</p> <p><i>In determining who should meet the cost of sustainably managing the coastal marine environment, an allocation of costs needs to occur between beneficiaries. The Council has considered that a contribution towards the costs should be made by ratepayers (<del>25</del><b>51</b>%) as well as those benefitting from the occupation of public space (<del>75</del><b>49</b>%). The Council has also given consideration to anticipated waivers that may be granted and the number and size of the various occupations. From this assessment, a schedule of charges has been derived and is set out in the Council's Annual Plan.</i></p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 727                | George Elkington  | 1     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space   | 9.            | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>In the case of Ngati Koata rohe as tangatawhenua kaitiaki I therefore submit the following;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>That Council consult with Ngati Koata in all "Coastal Marine Zone" matters which potentially may conflict with the principles of the "Code of Responsible Conduct" including public access to iwi private land, iwi reserves and the like.</li> <li>That Council will provide resources to improve public awareness of riparian rights of all landowner's, be they iwi or non-iwi.</li> <li>That in the case of mooring sites for new applications or renewals, that consents will not be granted or renewed without the written approval of adjacent land owners or their representative. In the case of multiple owned Maori-land where the owners cannot be located, that Ngati Koata will be their representative point of contact in the first instance.</li> <li>That Council will engage directly with Ngati Koata to protect Ngati Koata iwi cultural values.</li> <li>That those existing riparian rights of all landowners are not altered or adjusted in any way.</li> </ol>  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>1306</b>        | Joena Elkington  | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone             | 7.2.1.5.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul> |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>1315</b>        | Hori (George) Turi Elkington   | 1     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments are made to Policy 13.9.2(a):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>More than one mooring per title should be allowed at busy times, such as hui, wananga, tangi and the like.</li> <li>Exceptions should be made for Maori in multiple owned blocks and should be negotiated between whanau kaumatua and MDC on a case by case basis as the need arises.</li> <li>MDC should take into consideration multiple-owned Maori land that may have hundreds of owners and make exceptions accordingly.</li> </ul>   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>1210</b>        | Vaughan Hugh Ellis   | 1     | All      | All                               |               | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>924</b>         | Matthew Emms   | 1     | All      | All                      |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>437</b>         | David Ensor  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 3.3.31.1   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>437</b>         | David Ensor  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 3.3.31.2   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction           | 1.        | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend page 1-2 paragraphs 3 and 4 to read:</p> <p><b><u>More specific national direction is given through national policy statements, such as the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 and the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014, and through national environmental standards. Regional and district level plans must implement these documents.</u></b></p> <p>To achieve the purpose of the RMA, the Council is required to prepare a <b>hierarchy range</b> of documents, some of which are mandatory, while others are optional. A regional policy statement, regional coastal plan and district plan are mandatory documents, whereas other regional plans are optional. As the Council is a unitary authority, that is, it has the roles of both a district and a regional council, it is responsible for preparing all of the required RMA policies and plans.</p> <p>The purpose of regional policy statements is set out in Section 59 of the RMA and it is "to achieve the purpose of the Act by providing an overview of the resource management issues of the region and policies and methods to achieve integrated management of the natural and physical resources of the whole region". The purpose of regional and district plans is to assist the Council in carrying out its functions in order to achieve the purpose of the RMA and specifically for a regional coastal plan, to achieve the purpose of the RMA in relation to the coastal marine area.</p> <p><b><u>Each planning document must give effect to the documents that are above it in the hierarchy. This applies even if all or a number of the different planning documents are incorporated into one.</u></b></p> |       |          |                |   |        |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 2     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles                        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the "Guiding Principles" section of Chapter 1 - Introduction in its entirety. (Inferred)   |       |          |                |   |        |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 3     | Volume 1 | 2 Background   | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Review and amend the background section to make it more streamlined and focussed.</p> <p>Delete the section on "Review process" in its entirety.</p> <p>(Inferred)</p>   |       |          |                |   |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|--|-----------------|
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 4     | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Relationship of the MEP to other policy statements, standards and strategies | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert a section setting out the relationship between the different planning documents incorporated into the MEP on page 2-8 as follows:</p> <p>For details of specific national policy statements and national environmental standards, refer to the Ministry for the Environment website (<a href="http://www.mfe.govt.nz">www.mfe.govt.nz</a>). Copies of each of the operative national policy statements and national environmental standards are included in Volume 5 of the MEP for information and easy reference.</p> <p><b><u>Relationship between the different planning documents incorporated into the MEP</u></b></p> <p>...</p> <p>Relationship between the MEP and Long Term Plan</p> <p>Under the Local Government Act 2002, the Council has prepared the 2015-25 Long Term Plan (LTP). This sets out the Council's strategic directions and programmes for the next 10 years. The LTP provides a description of the significant activities that the Council plans to carry out over the next ten years, the objectives of those activities and their costs.</p> |       |          |              |  |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 5     | Volume 1 | 2 Background | How to use the MEP   | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision          | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|--------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the section on page 2-13 under the heading "Enable" to read:</p> <p>Enable</p> <p>The RMA <b><u>was intended to install a regulatory regime based on bio-physical bottom lines set to provide for development within the capacity of the environment and the ecosystems that supported. Beyond those bottom lines use and development is enabled for people and communities to provide for their wellbeing. This is reflected in the wording of s5(2) RMA. The RMA has been described as an enabling piece of legislation. The reason for this can be found in the purpose of the RMA at Section 5(2), where it is stated: "</u>sustainable management" means managing the use, development, and protection of natural and physical resources in a way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing and for their health and safety while ...':</b></p> <p><b><u>(a) Sustaining the potential of natural and physical resources (excluding minerals) to meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; and</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(b) Safeguarding the life-supporting capacity of air, water, soil, and ecosystems; and</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(c) Avoiding, remedying, or mitigating any adverse effects of activities on the environment.</u></b></p> <p>Additionally, in drafting rules, different approaches are needed for different activities. In general, Section 9 of the RMA states that no person may use land (including the surface of water in any river or lake) in a way that contravenes a rule in a district plan or regional plan. In other words, if there is no rule in a plan, then there is no need for restriction on the activity under Section 9 or any need to obtain resource consent.</p> <p>Sections 12, 13, 14 and 15 adopt the opposite approach. These sections place restrictions on the use of the coastal marine area, on certain uses of the beds of lakes and rivers, on the taking, use, damming or diversion of water and on discharging contaminants into the environment. Essentially, the restrictions mean that there must be a national environmental standard, resource consent or rule in a plan that allows activities of the nature described in Sections 12-15 to occur. This includes permitted activity rules for an activity or effect of a minor nature, which are considered to be enabling rules. Therefore, where the word 'enable' appears within a provision in the MEP, there will be a related rules method.</p> |       |          |              |                    |        |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 6     | Volume 1 | 2 Background | How to use the MEP | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend page 2-13 paragraph entitled "Avoid" to read:</p> <p>Avoid</p> <p><b><u>The word avoid is to be given its plain, ordinary mean: to "not allow" or "prevent the occurrence of". Use of the word 'avoid' may or may not have the same meaning as prevent.</u></b> In some cases the method used to implement an <b><u>avoidance</u></b> policy is a rule that will 'prohibit' something from occurring. In this case the word 'prohibit' is used within the rules method. There are other policies that use 'avoid' though this is not implemented through a prohibited activity rule. <b><u>This will be the case when the avoidance directive is focused on a specific effect or effects as opposed to a specific activity.</u></b> In these policies 'avoiding' an effect can be achieved through undertaking an activity in such a way that the effect does not occur or is significantly reduced. Where this is the case, policies clearly identify that remediation and/or mitigation is an option. It will be important that the explanations and methods accompanying the policies are read to help inform decision makers of the intent of the word 'avoid' where it is used.</p>  |       |          |              |                    |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 7     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | How to use the MEP | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend page 2-14 - paragraph entitled "Protect" to read:</p> <p><b><u>'Protect' means to keep safe from harm, injury, or damage. Protection can be achieved in a variety of ways. How protection is achieved in each instance will depend on what is sought to be protected and what it is to be protected from. Similar to other words in this section, 'protect' can be interpreted in a number of ways. It can be interpreted in a narrow way that may effectively In some situations protection might limit or prevent future use and development of some of Marlborough's natural and physical resources. However, 'protect' essentially means to keep safe from harm and this can be achieved in a variety of ways. For example, the protection of areas of indigenous biodiversity In others it might be achieved through allowing use and development subject to specific controls such as height, location and color, or within specific parameters such as the amount of vegetation that can be removed. Sometimes a combination of approaches will be used. could be achieved through rules in a plan, legal protection of land, fencing, active pest control and/or improved land management practices, or a combination of these approaches.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>It is therefore important that decision makers or those using the MEP provisions read the explanation of the relevant provision, as this will inform how 'protection' is to occur. Unless there is a clear direction within a protection policy or its explanation or associated method that an activity/effect is to be prevented from occurring, a policy is open to be interpreted more broadly.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>In summary, the 'protection' anticipated by Sections 6(a) and (b) is not an absolute protection: rather, it is protection from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. Identifying what is inappropriate is informed through other policies of the MEP.</u></b></p> |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Chapter 4 should identify that use and development should only occur within the capacity of the environment, within established non-negotiable environmental bottom lines set (in the regional context) to provide for development within the capacity of the environment and the ecosystems it supports. Beyond those bottom lines resource users would be left to make their own decisions with limited restrictions.</p>  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 9     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C           | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert new Objective 4.3.1 and supporting policy as follows:.</p> <p>Objective 4.3 – The maintenance and enhancement of the visual, ecological and physical qualities that contribute to the character of the Marlborough Sounds.</p> <p><b><u>Objective 4.3.1 – Use and development occurs within the ability of the environment to sustain its life-supporting capacity</u></b></p> <p>The Marlborough Sounds is a truly exceptional place – it is considered to be our “jewel in the crown” in terms of natural assets. The landscapes and seascapes within the Marlborough Sounds and the ecology and natural processes that occur within them are unique and highly valued. This objective seeks to maintain and enhance these qualities to ensure that the community and visitors to the district can continue to enjoy this environment now and into the future. This does not mean that use and development of natural and physical resources cannot occur within the Marlborough Sounds, but an element of precaution needs to be exercised to ensure that resource use is complimentary to the visual, ecological and physical qualities that give the Marlborough Sounds its iconic character.</p> <p><b><u>Policy 4.3.X – Set clear and non-derogable environmental limits for each resource that ensure use and development only occurs within the ability of the environment to sustain its life-supporting capacity.</u></b></p> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 10    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the introduction so that it identifies that allocation of natural resources for use should only occur above non-derogable environmental bottom lines set to safeguard the life-supporting capacity of the resource in question. The introduction should better reflect s5 RMA and, in the case of freshwater, to reflect Objective B1 NPSFM.</p>  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 11    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 5.1.1 to provide further clarification around the application and differences of freshwater management units when compared with the water resource units contained in Appendix 5.</p>  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 12    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.2.1 as required to provide clarity and consistency as to which water unit classification this policy relates and how the different unit types inter-relate. This clarification is required through out the PMP.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 13    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | There is a lack of clarity as to which freshwater bodies it applies.<br>Amend Policy 5.2.3 as required to ensure clarity of application and consistency of language.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 14    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.2.4 to read:<br><br>Policy 5.2.4 – Set specific environmental flows and/or levels for Freshwater Management Units dominated by rivers, lakes and wetlands to:<br>(a) protect the mauri of the waterbody;<br>(b) protect instream <b>and riparian</b> habitat and ecology;<br>(c) maintain <b>or enhance</b> fish passage and fish spawning grounds;<br>(d) preserve the natural character of the river;<br>(e) maintain water quality <b>or enhance it to meet freshwater quality limits</b> ;<br>(f) provide for adequate groundwater recharge where the river is physically connected to an aquifer or groundwater; and<br>(g) maintain <b>or enhance</b> amenity values. |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 15    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.2.5 to read:<br><br>Policy 5.2.5 – With the exception of water taken for domestic needs or animal drinking water, <b>prevent avoid</b> the taking of water authorised by resource consent when flows and/or levels in a Freshwater Management Unit are at or below a management flow and/or level set as part of an environmental flow and/or level set in accordance with Policy 5.2.4.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 16    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.6 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.2.6 to read:<br><br>Policy 5.2.6 – For rivers, establish whether the flow has reached the management flows set in the Marlborough Environment Plan <b>on the basis of 24 hour averages (midnight to midnight) an instantaneous basis by way of a hydrological model.</b>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 17    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.2.8 in its entirety.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 18    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.2.9 to read:<br><br><b>Policy 5.2.9 – When considering a water take application Have regard to decision-makers must consider the adverse effects of the proposed instantaneous rate of take from any river, except an ephemeral flowing river, if that rate of take exceeds or is likely to exceed 5% of river flow at any time .</b><br><br>The minimum flows set for rivers manage the cumulative effects of taking water on natural and human use values. However, it remains possible for a take at a discrete location to have a significant adverse effect on flow immediately downstream of the point of abstraction. The risk is probably greatest in the upper part of a catchment due to lower flow that tends to occur in those reaches. This policy allows decision makers to have regard to the adverse effects of an individual take in certain circumstances irrespective of the minimum flows established in the MEP. The proposed rate of abstraction must be calculated to exceed 5% of the river flow at the point of abstraction. Flows in excess of this threshold are considered to have the potential to adversely affect natural and human use values.<br><br><b>The policy only applies if the river is perennially or intermittently flowing.</b> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 19    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Separate Policy 5.2.13 into two policies. The first requiring water allocation limits to be set for FMUs and explaining how. The second stating that over-allocation must be avoided. Add additional text to provide clarity as to the relationship between FMUs, water resource units and the values identified in the PMEPP.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 20    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.15 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.2.15 to provide direction (for example through criteria) on when protection of flow variability is required in order to clarify and to ensure consistency in assessment and application by decision-makers.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 21    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.21 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 5.2.21 to read:</p> <p>Policy 5.2.21 – Ensure any new proposal to dam water within the bed of a river provides for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) effective passage of fish where the migration of indigenous fish species, trout and salmon already occurs past the proposed dam site;</li> <li>(b) sufficient flow and flow variability downstream of the dam structure to maintain: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) existing indigenous fish habitats and the habitats of trout and salmon; and</li> <li>(ii) permitted or authorised uses of water; and</li> <li>(iii) flushing flows below the dam;</li> </ul> </li> <li>(c) the natural character of any waterbody downstream of the dam structure; and</li> </ul> <p><b>have regard to the matters in (a) to (c) when considering any resource consent application to continue damming water.</b></p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 22    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 5.3.1 to read:</p> <p>Policy 5.3.1 – To allocate water in the following order of priority :</p> <p><b><u>(a) to the waterbody in the quantum required to safeguard its life supporting capacity; then</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>(a)</del>(b) <b>other</b> natural and human use values; then</li> <li><del>(b)</del>(c) aquifer recharge; then</li> <li><del>(c)</del>(d) domestic and stock water supply; then</li> <li><del>(d)</del>(e) municipal water supply; and then</li> <li><del>(e)</del>(f) all other takes of water.</li> </ul>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 23    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.3.3 to more clearly establish its purpose and provide a management framework for how that purpose is to be achieved.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 24    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.3.4 to clarify its meaning and to reflect that municipal takes should be incorporated into the allocable quantum (generally via precautionary estimate) before other takes are allocated. This is necessary to ensure that the freshwater is sustainability managed and that over-allocation is avoided.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 25    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.3.5 in its entirety.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 26    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.3.10 in its entirety.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 27    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 5.3.14 to read:</p> <p>Policy 5.3.14 – The duration of water permits to take water will reflect the circumstances of the take and the actual and potential adverse effects, but should generally:</p> <p>(a) not be less than 30 years when the take is from a water resource:</p> <p>(i) that has a water allocation limit specified in Schedule 1 of Appendix 6; and</p> <p>(ii) that has a minimum flow or level specified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 6; and</p> <p>(iii) that is not over-allocated ; or</p> <p>(b) not be more than ten years when the take is from an over-allocated water resource as specified in Policy 5.5.1; or</p> <p>(c) not be more than ten years when the take is from a water resource that has a default environmental flow established in accordance with Policies 5.2.7 and 5.2.14.</p> <p><b><u>(d) All permits issued for a particular FMU will be subject to common review dates to allow changes to the permit to:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>i. reduce over-allocation;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>ii. Address cumulative effects;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>iii. Assess and address efficiency of use.</u></b></p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 28    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Include provisions under Objective 5.4 that enable the use of common review clauses to assess how and if authorized takes are being used efficiently. An efficiency assessment should occur against clear and specified criteria applicable to the specific use. If a take is not being efficiently used then the quantum should be reduced so that it can be accessed by new users. This tool is also important in ensuring that water takes are not 'banked'. A holder of a water permit should be able to transfer water only if they have a take that is efficient for their given activity and have taken action to reduce consumption even further. It should not be available to those who seek a take greater than is required for their specific use specifically to trade the excess.</p> <p>Efficiency reviews should also be provided for on termination of a water permit for the same reasons.</p>  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 29    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 5.4.5 to read:</p> <p>Policy 5.4.5 – When an enhanced transfer system is included in the Marlborough Environment Plan to enable the full or partial transfer of individual water allocations between the holders of water permits to take and use water, this will be provided for as a permitted activity where:</p> <p>(a) the respective takes are from the same Freshwater Management Unit;</p> <p><b>(b) the transferee's intended use is separately assessed and subject to consent to ensure that the environmental effects of that use are assessed and appropriately controlled .</b></p> <p><del>(b)</del>(c) the Freshwater Management Unit has a water allocation limit specified in Schedule 1 of Appendix 6;</p> <p><del>(c)</del>(d) the take is not from the Brancott Freshwater Management Unit, Benmorven Freshwater Management Unit or the Riverlands Freshwater Management Unit;</p> <p><del>(d)</del>(e) metered take and use data is transferred to the Council by both the transferor and the transferee in real time using telemetry;</p> <p><del>(e)</del>(f) the allocation is authorised via a water permit(s) applied for and granted after 9 June 2016;</p> <p><del>(f)</del>(g) the transferee holds a water permit to take water if their abstraction point differs from the that of the transferor; and</p> <p><del>(g)</del>(h) the transferee holds a water permit to use water.</p> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 30    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 5.5.4 to read:</p> <p>Policy 5.5.4 – Progressively resolve over-allocation of the Wairau Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit and Riverlands Freshwater Management Unit by ensuring water permits granted after 9 June 2016 to continue taking water from the Freshwater Management Units reflect <b>the reasonable demand reasonable demand and efficient practice as assessed using a common assessment tool or criteria</b> given the intended use .</p>  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 31    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.5 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.5.5 to read:<br><br>Resolve over-allocation of the Benmorven, Brancott and Omaka Aquifer Freshwater Management Units by reducing individual resource consent allocations on a proportional basis, based on the total allocation available relative to each individual's irrigated land area, or equivalent for non-irrigation water uses (excluding domestic and stock water). The reductions will be achieved by reviewing the conditions of the relevant water permits. <del>to reallocate the available allocation fairly across all relevant users.</del>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 32    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 5.6 to read:<br><br>Objective 5.6 – Ensure that the taking of groundwater does not cause <del>significant adverse effects on</del> river flow limits <u>to be breached</u> . (inferred)   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 33    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.7.2 to read:<br><br>Policy 5.7.2 – To allocate water on the basis of reasonable demand <u>and efficient practice assessed using a common assessment tool or criteria</u> given the intended use .  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 34    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.7.3 to read:<br><br>Policy 5.7.3 – Water permit applications to use water for irrigation will not be approved when the rate of use exceeds <u>efficient practice or the the</u> reasonable use calculation, except where the applicant can demonstrate that they require more water based on property specific information <u>and:</u><br><b>a. That water is being used on site.</b><br><b>b. That additional water use is necessary for the specific use.</b><br><b>c. The applicant demonstrates that the water will be used efficiently</b><br><b>d. The permit includes review dates to assess use and efficiency.</b><br><b>e. The additional take will not result in over-allocation.</b> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 35    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 5.8.1 to read:</p> <p>Policy 5.8.1 – Encourage the storage of water as an effective response to seasonal water availability issues <b><u>where storage is consistent with safeguarding ecosystem health.</u></b></p> <p>Given Marlborough’s dry climate, especially over the summer months, storage of water has been utilised as a common strategy to offset temporary shortages of water for irrigation purposes. Storage has involved the interception of runoff by damming ephemeral water bodies, the damming of intermittently or permanently flowing water bodies and the placement of abstracted water in purpose-built reservoirs. There may also be the potential to augment river flow from the stored water. All of these approaches provide a back-up supply of water that increases water user resilience. For this reason the storage of water is strongly supported.</p> <p><b><u>Storage can have significant adverse effects on ecosystem health either through changes in flow or as a result of the increased use that storage provides for and the effects of that use on water quality. Water storage should not be encouraged unless it is consistent with safeguarding ecosystem health and achieving water quality targets.</u></b></p> <p>In some cases, activity status will assist to encourage the storage of water by providing for activities involved in storing water as a permitted activity or controlled activity.</p> <p>Damming of intermittently or permanently flowing waterbodies can create the potential for adverse effects. These effects will be considered through Policies 5.2.21 and 5.2.22.</p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 36    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include policies that apply an efficiency test to all existing uses on application for renewal of water permits in order to prevent water banking and frees up allocation for new users.</p>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 37    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | 6.            | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Chapter 6 introduction to read:</p> <p>Natural character includes the natural elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities of an environment. <del>The natural character of the coastal environment, and freshwater bodies and their margins, is comprised of a number of key components which include :</del></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <b><u>coastal or freshwater landforms and landscapes (including seascape);</u></b></li> <li>● <b><u>coastal or freshwater physical processes (including the movement of water and sediments);</u></b></li> <li>● <b><u>biodiversity (including individual indigenous species, their habitats and communities they form);</u></b></li> <li>● <b><u>biological processes and patterns;</u></b></li> <li>● <b><u>water flows and levels, and water quality; and</u></b></li> <li>● <b><u>the ways in which people experience the natural elements, patterns and processes.</u></b></li> </ul> <p><b>Collectively, t</b> These combine to create the overall natural character of the environment. Provisions included elsewhere in the Marlborough Environment Plan (MEP) target the individual components of natural character and provide direction on how adverse effects on particular values can be managed. These include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Chapter 5 - Allocation of Public Resources</li> <li>• Chapter 7 - Landscape</li> <li>• Chapter 8 - Indigenous Biodiversity</li> <li>• Chapter 9 - Public Access and Open Space</li> <li>• Chapter 13 - Use of the Coastal Environment</li> <li>• Chapter 15 - Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)</li> </ul> <p><b>However, there is a need for this m</b> Management <b>needs</b> to be integrated in order to preserve natural character in coastal and freshwater environments. This ensures that the management of the individual components of natural character is co-ordinated to achieve a common end in the context of Section 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA), of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (NZCPS) and of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014 (NPSFM).</p> |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 38    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Objective 6.1 to read:</p> <p>Objective 6.1 – <b><u>Assess natural character and evaluate its degree</u></b> <del>Establish the degree of natural character</del> in the coastal environment, and in lakes and rivers and their margins .</p>  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 39    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.1  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 6.1.1 to read:<br><br>Policy 6.1.1 – <b>Assess natural character</b> rRecognisinge the that the following <b>contributing natural elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities contribute to natural character</b> -factors :<br><b>(a) — areas or water bodies in their natural state or close to their natural state;</b><br><b>(b)</b> coastal or freshwater landforms and landscapes (including seascape);<br><del>(b)</del> <b>(c) hydrological, geological and geomorphological aspects</b><br><b>(c)(d)</b> coastal or freshwater physical <b>patterns and</b> processes (including the natural movement of water and sediments);<br><b>(d)(e)</b> biodiversity (including individual indigenous species, their habitats and communities they form);<br><b>(e)(f)</b> biological <b>systems</b> , processes and patterns;<br><b>(f)(g)</b> water flows and levels and water quality; and<br><b>(g)(h)</b> the experience of the above elements, patterns and processes, including unmodified, scenic and wilderness qualities. |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 40    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reword Policy 6.1.3 to read:<br><br>Policy 6.1.3 – <del>Determine</del> <b>Evaluate</b> the degree of natural character in both the coastal marine and coastal terrestrial components of the coastal environment by assessing:<br><b>(a) assessing</b> the degree of human-induced modification on <del>abiotic systems and landforms, marine and terrestrial biotic systems and experiential qualities the factors in Policy 6.1.1</del> ; and<br><b>(b) categorizing</b> natural character at a range of scales.   |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 41    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 6.1.4 to read:<br><br>Policy 6.1.4 – Identify <b>and map</b> those areas of the coastal environment that have high, very high or outstanding natural character.   |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 42    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.5 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 6.1.5 to read:<br><br>Policy 6.1.5 – <b>Determine Evaluate</b> the degree of natural character in and adjacent to lakes and rivers by assessing the degree of human-induced modification to the <b>factors in Policy 6.1.1. following:</b><br><del>(a) channel shape and bed morphology;</del><br><del>(b) flow regime and water levels;</del><br><del>(c) water quality;</del><br><del>(d) presence of indigenous flora and fauna in the river channel;</del><br><del>(e) absence of exotic flora and fauna;</del><br><del>(f) absence of structures and other human modification in the river channel/lake;</del><br><del>(g) vegetation cover in the riparian margin;</del><br><del>(h) absence of structures and other human modification in the riparian margin; and</del><br><del>(i) the experience of the above elements, patterns and processes including unmodified, scenic and wilderness qualities.</del> |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 43    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 6.1.6 to read:<br><br>Policy 6.1.6 – Identify <b>and map</b> those rivers or parts of rivers that have high or very high natural character.   |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 44    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 6.2.4 to read:</p> <p>Policy 6.2.4 – Where resource consent is required to undertake an activity within coastal or freshwater environments with high, very high or outstanding natural character, <b><u>the application must address:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(a) the potential adverse effects on the characteristics and qualities that contribute to the natural character values of the area.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(b) How policies 6.2.1 or 6.2.2 will be achieved (using Appendix 4 if applicable) and taking into account:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(i) The location, scale and design of the proposed activity.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(j) The extent of anthropogenic changes.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(k) The presence of absence of structures, buildings or infrastructure.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(l) The temporary or permanent nature of adverse effects.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(m) The physical and visual integrity of the area, and the natural processes of the location.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(n) The intactness of any areas of significant vegetation and vegetative patterns.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(o) The physical, visual and experiential values that contribute significantly to the wilderness and scenic value of the area.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(p) The integrity of landforms, geological features and associated natural processes.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(q) The natural characters and qualities that exist or operate across land and water and between freshwater bodies and coastal water bodies.</u></b></p> <p>regard will be had to the potential adverse effects of the proposal on the elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities that contribute to natural character .</p> |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 45    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 6.2.7 to read:</p> <p>Policy 6.2.7 – In assessing the cumulative effects of activities on the natural character of the coastal environment, or in or near lakes or rivers, consideration shall be given to:</p> <p>(a) the effect of allowing more of the same or similar activity;</p> <p>(b) the result of allowing more of a particular effect, whether from the same activity or from other activities causing the same or similar effect; and</p> <p>(c) the combined effects from all activities in the coastal or freshwater environment in the locality.</p> <p>Although individual activities may not adversely affect the natural character of the coastal environment or freshwater bodies, when combined with the effects of similar activities or other activities with similar effects, the activities may collectively have cumulative <b>adverse</b> effects on natural character. This policy describes how the cumulative effects of activities on the natural character of the coastal environment or freshwater bodies will be considered. For the coastal environment specifically, any consideration of cumulative effects should take into account scale and may need to include consideration of the intactness of the coastal terrestrial and coastal marine natural character areas.</p>   |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 46    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | 7.           | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Reword the Chapter 7 introduction to read:</p> <p><b>Introduction</b></p> <p>Our landscapes provide us with a Marlborough identity and are an integral part of the Marlborough environment. Landscapes are distinct spatial areas influenced by location-specific processes within the environment. These processes can be natural or human-induced (e.g. land use change). Natural features within the landscape can also help to define a landscape. The resulting landscape characteristics are expressed visually, but can be valued for their ecological significance or for intrinsic reasons (e.g. by providing a sense of place).</p> <p>The Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) identifies the protection of outstanding natural features and landscapes from inappropriate subdivision, use and development as a matter of national importance (Section 6(b)). Those landscapes that do not meet the threshold of being considered 'outstanding' may still make a contribution to the visual appreciation or amenity values of Marlborough. The RMA seeks to maintain and enhance these landscapes with visual amenity value (Section 7(c)). For the purposes of this chapter, landscapes that are identified for Section 6(b) or 7(c) reasons are referred to as "significant landscapes." in provisions that apply to both outstanding natural landscapes and to amenity landscapes[N1] .</p> <p>There are five broad landscape areas in Marlborough: the Richmond Range and associated mountain ranges; the Wairau and Awatere River Valleys; the mountainous interior; the Marlborough Sounds; and the remainder of the coastal environment on the East Coast. The MEP identifies these landscape areas and then identifies outstanding natural landscapes and amenity landscapes within each.</p> |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 47    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 7.1.1 to read:</p> <p>Policy 7.1.1 – <del>When assessing</del> <b>Identify and assess</b> the <b>characteristics and</b> values of Marlborough's landscapes, <b>using</b> the following criteria <del>will be used</del>:</p> <p>(a) biophysical values, including geological, <b>topographical, hydrological</b>, and ecological elements;</p> <p><b>(b) expression of natural and formative processes;</b></p> <p><del>(b)</del>(c) sensory values, including aesthetics, natural beauty and visual perception; and</p> <p><del>(c)</del>(d) associative values, including cultural and historic values and landscapes that are widely known and valued by the immediate and wider community for their contribution to a sense of place.</p>   |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 48    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.3 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 7.1.3 to read:<br>Policy 7.1.3 – <b>Assessment of the values in Identification and assessment under</b> Policy 7.1.1 <b>and Policy 7.1.2</b> will determine:<br>(a) whether a landscape is identified as an outstanding natural feature and landscape in terms of Section 6(b) of the Resource Management Act 1991;<br>(b) whether the landscape has high amenity value in terms of Section 7(c) of the Resource Management Act 1991; <b>or</b><br><del>(c) where landscape values are not sensitive to change.</del> |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 49    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | If an area qualifies as a s7 amenity landscape then it should be mapped as such in the Plan.   |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 50    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 7.1.5 to read:<br>Policy 7.1.5 – Refine the boundaries of outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value in response to:<br>(a) landscape change over time; or<br>(b) more detailed assessment of landscape values <b>by Council</b> .  |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 51    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 7.2.1 to read:<br><br>Policy 7.2.1 – Control activities that have the potential to degrade these <b>the characteristics and values contributing to outstanding natural features and of sensitive landscapes</b> by requiring <del>activities and structures to be subject to a comprehensive assessment of effects on landscape values through the resource consent process</del> <b>consent applications to address:</b><br><b>(a) the potential adverse effects on the characteristics and values of the landscape.</b><br><b>(b) How the Chapter 7 policies will be achieved and taking into account:</b><br><b>(a) The location, scale and design of the proposed activity.</b><br><b>(b) The extent of anthropogenic changes.</b><br><b>(c) The presence of absence of structures, buildings or infrastructure.</b><br><b>(d) The temporary or permanent nature of adverse effects.</b><br><b>(e) The physical and visual integrity of the area, and the natural processes of the location.</b><br><b>(f) The intactness of any areas of significant vegetation and vegetative patterns.</b><br><b>(g) The physical, visual and experiential values that contribute significantly to the wilderness and scenic value of the area.</b><br><b>(h) The integrity of landforms, geological features and associated natural processes.</b><br><b>The natural characters and qualities that exist or operate across land and water and between freshwater bodies and coastal water bodies.</b> |       |          |             |              |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 52    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 7.2.4 in its entirety.   |       |          |             |              |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 53    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Policy 7.2.5 – Avoid adverse effects on the <b>characteristics and</b> values that contribute to <b>the</b> outstanding natural features and landscapes <del>in the first instance. Where adverse effects cannot be avoided and the activity is not proposed to take place in the coastal environment, ensure that the adverse effects are remedied.</del><br><del>Where resource consent is required to undertake a particular activity in an outstanding natural feature or landscape, this policy provides a clear preference for avoiding adverse effects on the biophysical, sensory or associative values within the landscape.</del> This <b>policy</b> does not mean that there can be no new resource use within outstanding natural features or landscapes; rather, the use or development of natural and physical resources may be able to be undertaken in a way that <b>adverse effects are avoided so that</b> the quality and significance of the values is not diminished.<br><del>Alternatively, adverse effects may be able to be remedied through careful planning or remedial works. Policy 7.2.7 provides further guidance in this regard. The option of remedying adverse effects on landscape values does not apply to activities occurring within the coastal environment, as Policy 15 of the NZCPS requires that such adverse effects are avoided.</del>   |       |          |             |              |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 54    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.6 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 7.2.6 to read:<br><br>Policy 7.2.6 – Where the following activities are proposed to take place in an area with outstanding natural features and landscapes, then any adverse effects on the <b>characteristics</b> values of the <del>these</del> area <b>should be preferentially avoided. If avoidance is not possible then adverse effects</b> can be <b>remedied or</b> mitigated, <b>provided only if</b> the overall qualities and integrity of the wider outstanding natural feature and landscape are retained:<br>(a) activities involving the development and operation of regionally significant infrastructure;<br>(b) activities that enhance passive recreational opportunities for the public where these are of a smaller scale; and<br>(c) activities involving the development and operation of renewable electricity generation schemes within Marlborough where the method of generation is reversible. |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 55    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 7.2.7(c) to read:<br><br>(c) In respect of vegetation planting:<br>(i) avoiding the planting of new exotic forestry in areas of outstanding natural features and landscapes in the coastal environment of the Marlborough Sounds;<br>(ii) encouraging plantations of exotic trees to be planted in a form that complements the natural landform; and<br>(iii) recognising the potential for wilding pine spread.<br><b><del>(iii)</del>(iv) Encourage indigenous forestry and recognize its co-benefits.</b>  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 56    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 7.2.10 to read:<br><br>Policy 7.2.10 – Reduce the impact of wilding pines on the landscape by:<br>(a) supporting initiatives to control existing wilding pines and limit their further spread; and<br>(b) controlling the planting of commercial wood species that are prone to wilding pine spread.<br><b><del>(c)</del> Use consent conditions to require forestry operations to remove wilding pines within 1km of the designated forestry boundary and to cover the cost of removing wilding pines at a greater distance that have emanated from that operation.</b><br><b><del>(b)</del>(d) Using consent conditions to require wilding removal as part of subdivision.</b>  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 57    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.12 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 7.2.12 to reflect a more active stance on managing areas with high amenity values to ensure that they are maintained or enhanced as required under s7. Relying on resource users to ensure that those values are appropriately respected as a result of MDC encouragement is not sufficient to ensure maintenance and enhancement will be achieved.<br>More directive and comprehensive policy direction is required. |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 58    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Chapter 8 so that the planning document each provision falls under is identified.  |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 59    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.        | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Chapte 8 introduction to read:</p> <p>New Zealand's biodiversity gives our country a unique character and is internationally important. A large proportion of our species are endemic to New Zealand and if they become extinct they are lost to the world. About 90 percent of New Zealand insects, 80 percent of trees, ferns and flowering plants, 25 percent of bird species, all 60 reptile species, four frog species and two species of bat are endemic.</p> <p>New Zealand's biodiversity has helped shape our national identity, with our distinctive flora and fauna contributing to our sense of belonging. The koru and kiwi are internationally recognised. Biodiversity also provides social and economic benefits through recreational opportunities, tourism, research, education, provision of ecosystem services and natural resources for primary industry and customary and medical uses.</p> <p>The Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires the Council to recognise and provide for as a matter of national importance the protection of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna (Section 6(c)). The protection of these values, whether on land, in freshwater or coastal environments, also helps to achieve other matters of national importance, including landscape and natural character values and historic heritage. However, biodiversity values are also important components of amenity, kaitiakitanga, quality of the environment and ecosystem values, matters to which regard shall be had in terms of Section 7 of the RMA. For this reason there are important links between the provisions of this chapter and others in the Marlborough Environment Plan (MEP).</p> <p>In addition, there are specific roles and functions in relation to protecting significant natural areas and habitats and maintaining indigenous biological diversity. These functions enable the Council to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- establish, implement and review objectives, policies and methods for maintaining indigenous biological diversity [Section 30(1)(ga)]; and</li> <li>- control any actual or potential effects of the use, development or protection of land for the purpose of maintaining indigenous biological diversity [Section 31(1)(b)(iii)].</li> </ul> <p><b><u>The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 gives specific direction on how protection and management of indigenous biodiversity is to be achieved in the coastal and marine environments .</u></b></p> <p>Marlborough's central location within New Zealand and its varied landforms, climate and rich human history combine to form an interesting and diverse area. The District has a range of important and unusual natural features, native plants and animals, a number of which are at their southern or northern limits of distribution. Part of south Marlborough has been identified as one of five areas of high biodiversity concentration within New Zealand.</p> <p>....</p> |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 60    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Issue 8A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 8A so that it includes loss of diversity as a key issue.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 61    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 8.1 to read:<br>Objective 8.1 – Marlborough’s remaining indigenous biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater, <b>wetland, marine</b> and coastal environments is protected.  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 62    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 8.1.1 to read:<br>Policy 8.1.1 – When assessing whether wetlands, <b>freshwater, coastal,</b> marine or terrestrial ecosystems, habitats and areas have significant indigenous biodiversity value, the following criteria will be used:<br>(a) representativeness;<br>(b) rarity;<br>(c) diversity and pattern;<br>(d) distinctiveness;<br>(e) size and shape;<br>(f) connectivity/ecological context;<br>(g) sustainability; and<br>(h) adjacent catchment modifications.<br><br>For a site to be considered significant, one of the first four criteria (representativeness, rarity, diversity and pattern or distinctiveness/special ecological characteristics) must rank medium or high.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 63    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 8.14.3 to read:<br>Policy 8.1.3 – <b><u>Develop an information database that:</u></b><br><b><u>(a) Uses the consent process to identify and map significant biodiversity areas in the terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments.</u></b><br><b><u>(b) Collates information from different sources on the extent, condition and diversity of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough. Having adequate information on the state of biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments in Marlborough to enable decision-makers to assess the impact on biodiversity values from various activities and uses.</u></b><br><b><u>Significant biodiversity areas in the terrestrial, freshwater, marine and coastal environments identified through the consent process will be incorporated into the MEP planning maps on two yearly basis through the Schedule 1 process.</u></b> |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 64    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Clarify the titles used to separate Policies 8.2.ff and Policies 8.3.ff and identify the purpose of each section. The ordering and allocation of the policies under 8.2 is also confusing and needs to be revisited to clarify the purpose of each policy. (inferred)   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 65    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reword Policy 8.2.2 to read:</p> <p>Policy 8.2.2 – Use a voluntary partnership approach with landowners <b>a tool as the primary means</b> for achieving the protection of areas of significant indigenous biodiversity on private land, except for areas that are wetlands.</p>                                     |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 66    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reword Policy 8.2.3 to read:</p> <p>Policy 8.2.3 – <b>When allocating Council support funding P</b>priority will be given to the protection, maintenance and restoration of habitats, ecosystems and areas that have significant indigenous biodiversity values, particularly those that are legally protected .</p> |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 67    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reword Policy 8.2.7 to read:</p> <p>Policy 8.2.7 – A strategic approach to the containment/eradication of undesirable animals and plants that impact on indigenous biodiversity values will be developed <b>and and maintained implemented , .and subject to review and update.</b></p>                              |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 68    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clarify the titles used to separate Policies 8.2.ff and Policies 8.3.ff and identify the purpose of each section. The ordering and allocation of the policies under 8.3 is also confusing and needs to be revisited to clarify the purpose of each policy. (inferred)   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 69    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.3 | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Reword Policy 8.3.3 to read:<br><br>Policy 8.3.3 – Control vegetation clearance, <b>land disturbance, drainage and subdivision</b> activities to retain ecosystems, habitats and areas with indigenous biodiversity value.  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 70    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.7 as notified. (inferred)   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 71    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.8 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 8.3.8 to read:<br><br>Policy 8.3.8 – With the exception of areas with significant indigenous biodiversity value, where indigenous biodiversity values will be adversely affected through land use or other activities, a biodiversity offset can be considered to mitigate residual adverse effects . Where a biodiversity offset is proposed, the following criteria will apply:<br>(a) <b>Residual adverse effects:</b> the offset will only compensate for residual adverse effects that cannot otherwise be avoided, remedied or mitigated;<br><b>(b) Limits to offsetting: offsetting should not be applied to justify impacts on vulnerable or irreplaceable biodiversity</b><br><b>(b)(c) No net loss:</b> the residual adverse effects on biodiversity are capable of being offset and will be fully compensated by the offset to ensure no net loss of biodiversity;<br><b>(e)(d) Net gain:</b> where the area to be offset is identified as a national priority for protection under Objective 8.1, the offset must deliver a net gain for biodiversity;<br><b>(d)(e) Long term outcomes:</b> there is a strong likelihood that the offsets will be achieved in perpetuity;<br>(e) where the offset involves the ongoing protection of a separate site, it will deliver no net loss and preferably a net gain for indigenous biodiversity protection; and<br>(f) <b>Like for like:</b> offsets should re-establish or protect the same type of ecosystem or habitat that is adversely affected, unless an alternative ecosystem or habitat will provide a net gain for indigenous biodiversity.<br><b>(g) Additional conservation outcomes: biodiversity outcomes are above and beyond results that would have occurred if the offset was not proposed.</b><br><b>(h) Proximity: the proposal should be located close to the application site, where this will achieve the best ecological outcomes.</b><br><b>(i) Timing: the delay between the loss of biodiversity through development and the gain or maturation of ecological outcomes is minimized.</b><br><b>(f)(j) Any offsetting proposal will include biodiversity management plans prepared in accordance with good practice.</b> |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 72    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.2        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Method of Implementation 8.M.2 to read:<br><br>8.M.2 District rules<br>Resource consent will be required for <b>subdivision</b> land disturbance or vegetation clearance activities where certain species or habitats with indigenous biodiversity value are to be modified.  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 73    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13A     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 13A to read:<br><br>Issue 13A – Trying to identify appropriate subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough’s coastal environment <b>while that will also</b> protecting the values of the environment.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 74    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.1.1 to read:<br><br>Policy 13.1.1 – Avoid adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having :<br>(a) outstanding natural character;<br>(b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes;<br>(c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland;<br><b>(d) identified as significant coastal biodiversity value sites under Policy 8.1.1</b><br><b>(e) the values, habitats or ecosystems in Policy 11(a) NZCPS or</b><br><b>(f) significant historic heritage value.</b> |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 75    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.1.2 to read:<br><br>Policy 13.1.2 – Areas identified in Policy 13.1.1 <b>as having significant values (a),(b),(c), (f) above</b> will be mapped to provide certainty for resource users, Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi, the wider community and decision makers. <b>Areas identified in (c) and (d) above will be identified on a case by case basis using consistent criteria to ensure consistency in assessments and to provide certainty.</b>  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 76    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Reword Policy 13.2.1 to read:<br><br>Policy 13.2.1 – The appropriate locations, forms and limits of subdivision, use and development <b>activities in Marlborough’s coastal environment are those that recognise and provide for, and otherwise avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the following values is determined by the following factors:</b><br>(a) the characteristics and qualities that contribute to natural character, natural features and landscape of an area <b>and how the Plan requires effects to be managed;</b><br>(b) the relationship of Maori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga;<br>(c) the extensive area of open space within the coastal marine area available for the public to use and enjoy, including for recreational activities;<br>(d) the importance of public access to and along the coastal marine area, including opportunities for enhancing public access;<br>(e) the dynamic, complex and interdependent nature of coastal ecosystems;<br>(f) the high level of water quality generally experienced in Marlborough’s coastal waters; and<br>(g) those attributes that collectively contribute to individual and community expectations about coastal amenity values. |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 77    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.2.5 (b) to read:<br><br>(b) maintaining <b>and enhancing</b> coastal and freshwater quality <b>and enhancing it where it is degraded or required to achieve specified values or quantitative targets, where necessary;</b>  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 78    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.2.5 (m) to read:<br><br>(m) <b>-encouraging requiring</b> appropriate design of new structures and other development in form, colour and positioning that complement, rather than detract from, the visual quality of the location.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 79    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 13.5.3 in its entirety.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 80    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.5 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.5.5 to read:<br><br>Policy 13.5.5 – Except in the case of land developed for papakainga, residential activity on land zoned Coastal Environment will be provided for <b>to a limited</b> extent by enabling :<br>(a) one dwelling per Computer Register;<br>(b) seasonal worker accommodation; and<br>(c) homestays.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 81    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy 13.5.6 to read:<br><br>Policy 13.5.6 – Maintain the character and amenity values of land zoned Coastal Living by the setting of standards that reflect the following:<br>(a) strong connection to the foreshore and coastal water;<br>(b) peaceful environments with relatively quiet background noise levels;<br>(c) predominance of residential activity by enabling one dwelling per Computer Register;<br>(d) privacy between individual residential properties, often surrounded by indigenous and regenerating indigenous vegetation;<br>(e) ample sunlight to buildings;<br>(f) minimal advertising signs;<br>(g) views to the surrounding environment, including to the sea;<br>(h) low building height; and<br>(i) limited infrastructure and services and low volumes of road traffic.<br><b>(j) Colour.</b><br><b>(i)(k) Locating away from sensitive areas.</b> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 82    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.10.3 to read:<br><br>Policy 13.10.3 – Efficient use of the coastal marine area can is to be achieved by:<br>a. <del>using the limiting structures to the</del> minimum area necessary <del>for structures</del> .<br><b>b. Limiting structures that have a technical or operation need to be located in the coastal marine area and for which no alternative location is available.</b><br><b>c. Encouraging structures to be multipurpose where practicable.</b>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 83    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.10.5 (g) to read:<br>(g) what effects the structure will have on:<br>(i) navigation and safety of other users of the area, including whether the area is used for temporary boat anchoring;<br>(ii) customary access;<br><del>(ii)(iii) natural character and landscape values;and</del><br><del>(iii)(iv) the terrestrial, freshwater and marine environment;</del>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 84    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13G      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Reclamation and Drainage section to include provisions addressing:<br>- De-reclamation<br>- The precautionary approach.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 85    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.11.4 to read:<br>Policy 13.11.4 – Where an application is made for resource consent to reclaim or drain the coastal marine area, effects (including cumulative effects) on the following matters will be considered:<br>(a) the proposed reason for the reclamation/drainage and the benefits likely to arise from its use;<br>(b) <del>the explanation for why no if</del> land-based alternatives are available to the proposed reclamation/drainage, <del>why the coastal marine area location is preferred</del> ;<br>.... |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 86    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.11.4 (d) to read:<br>(d) the effects on:<br>(i) navigation and safety of other users of the area, including whether the area is used for temporary boat anchoring;<br>(ii) cultural values;<br><b><u>(iii) the marine, coastal and freshwater environment,</u></b><br><b><u>(iv) Natural character and landscape values.</u></b><br><del>(iii)</del> (v) the terrestrial environment, including an assessment of any earthworks necessary ;  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 87    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reword Policy 13.11.7 to read:<br>Policy 13.11.7 – <del>Where practicable for</del> <b><u>For</u></b> the purpose of public access, an esplanade reserve or strip shall be required to be set aside on reclaimed areas of the coastal marine area <b><u>unless restriction is necessary to:</u></b><br><b><u>(a) protect public health and safety;</u></b><br><b><u>(b) provide for defence, port or airport purposes;</u></b><br><b><u>(c) protect areas with natural and physical resources that have been scheduled in the Unitary Plan in relation to natural heritage, Mana Whenua, natural resources, coastal, historic heritage and special character;</u></b><br><b><u>(d) protect threatened indigenous species;</u></b><br><b><u>(e) protect dunes, estuaries and other sensitive natural areas or habitats;</u></b><br><b><u>(f) have a level of security necessary to carry out an activity or function that has been established or provided for;</u></b><br><b><u>(g) provide for exclusive use of an area to carry out an activity granted an occupation consent under section 12 of the Resource Management Act 1991;</u></b><br><b><u>(h) enable a temporary activity or special event;</u></b> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 88    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13G      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the section entitled Disposal and Deposition to include a policy that identifies areas where deposition should not be allowed (for example significant marine biodiversity areas).   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 89    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.12.1 to read:<br><br>Proposals to dispose of dredged or other material in the coastal marine area must demonstrate that :<br>(a) no reasonable and practicable alternatives are available on land;<br>(b) the disposal will be undertaken in a location and at times of the day or year that will avoid (in the first instance), then remedy or mitigate adverse effects on:<br>(i) the growth and reproduction of marine and coastal vegetation and the feeding, spawning and migratory patterns of marine and coastal fauna;<br>(ii) navigational safety;<br>(iii) other established activities located in the coastal marine area that are likely to be affected by the disposal;<br>(iv) water quality, including an increase in water turbidity or elevated levels of contaminants;<br>(v) shoreline instability or coastal erosion on adjacent coastal land; and<br>(c) in the case of dredged material, the site is located so as to avoid, as far as practicable, the spread or loss of sediment and other contaminants to the surrounding seabed and coastal waters through the action of coastal processes such as waves, tides and other currents.<br><b><u>(d) Appropriate sediment retention methods are used to control spread or loss that cannot be addressed through location.</u></b><br><b><u>(e) The material disposed exhibits the same characteristics to the material at the disposal location.</u></b><br><b><u>(e)(f) The material is free from waste.</u></b> |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 90    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15B       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include the Para Wetlands in table 15.1 under Issue 15B.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 91    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15C       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 15C to read:<br><br>Issue 15C – The mauri of wai (water) has been degraded due to the lack of understanding <b><u>about its spiritual significance and control of the impacts of different activities and uses.</u></b>   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 92    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 15.1a to read:<br><br>Objective 15.1a – Maintain and where necessary enhance water quality in Marlborough’s rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters, so that:<br><b>(a) <u>Water quality limits/targets are met .</u></b><br><b>(a)(b)</b> the mauri of wai is protected;<br><b>(b)(c) <del>water quality at beaches is suitable for contact recreation</del> <u>water quality of Marlborough’s beaches, lakes, rivers and streams is suitable for primary contact recreation and swimming;</u></b><br><b>(c)(d)</b> people can use the coast, rivers, lakes and wetlands for food gathering, cultural, commercial and other purposes;<br><b>(d)(e)</b> groundwater quality is suitable for drinking;<br><b>(e)(f)</b> the quality of surface water utilised for community drinking water supply remains suitable for drinking after existing treatment; and<br><b>(f)(g) <del>water quality across water body types-coastal waters</del> supports healthy ecosystems.</b> |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 93    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Set and objective relating to limits for Phosphorous and sediment, both being significant stressors on water bodies.<br>Include policies that provide a dual nutrient approach controlling both nitrogen and phosphorous which is necessary to control periphyton growth.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 94    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1b | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 15.1b to require the level of dissolved inorganic nitrogen to be set at 0.444mg/l as a more appropriate measure of ecosystem health.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 95    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.1 so that it provides for primary contact recreation - as follows:<br><br>Policy 15.1.1 – As a minimum, the quality of freshwater and coastal waters will be managed so that they are suitable for the following purposes :<br>(a) Coastal waters: protection of marine ecosystems; <del>potential for</del> <b>primary</b> contact recreation <b>(swimming)</b> and food gathering/marine farming; and for cultural and aesthetic purposes;<br>(b) Rivers and lakes: protection of aquatic ecosystems; <del>potential for</del> <b>primary</b> contact recreation <b>(swimming)</b> ; community water supply (where water is already taken for this purpose); and for cultural and aesthetic purposes;<br>(c) Groundwater: drinking water supply; and<br>(d) Wetlands: protection of aquatic ecosystems and the potential for food gathering.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 96    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.2 to specify:<br>a. The difference and relationship between quality classifications and standards.<br>b. The level and which standards will be applied: water resource unit, FMU etc.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 97    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The PMEP should identify and include interim cumulative contaminant limits set (at) a precautionary level to achieve ecosystem health, to ensure that contaminants are appropriately managed in the interregnum between instigation of the 2012 programme and its completion.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 98    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.8 to read:<br><br>Policy 15.1.8 – Encourage the discharge of contaminants to land in preference to water <b><u>where its characteristics will attenuate contaminant discharge.</u></b>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 99    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.9 to read:<br><br>Policy 15.1.9 – Enable point source discharge of contaminants or water to water where the discharge will not result:<br>(a) in any of the following adverse effects beyond the zone of reasonable mixing:<br>(i) the production of conspicuous oil or grease films, scums, foams or floatable or suspended materials;<br>(ii) any conspicuous change in the colour or significant decrease in the clarity of the receiving waters;<br>(iii) the rendering of freshwater unsuitable for consumption by farm animals;<br>(iv) any significant adverse effect on the growth, reproduction or movement of aquatic life; or<br>(b) in the flooding of or damage to another person's property.<br><b><u>(b)(c) The degradation of ecosystem health in combination with all other discharges.</u></b> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 100   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.10 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.1.10 to read:</p> <p>Policy 15.1.10 – Require any applicant applying for a discharge permit that proposes the discharge of contaminants to water to consider all potential receiving environments and adopt the best practicable option, having regard to:</p> <p>(a) the nature of the contaminants;</p> <p><b><u>(a)(b) the contribution of those contaminants to the overall load limit .</u></b></p> <p><del>(b)(c)</del> the relative sensitivity of the receiving environment;</p> <p><del>(c)(d)</del> the financial implications and effects on the environment of each option when compared with the other options; and</p> <p><del>(d)(e)</del> the current state of technical knowledge and the likelihood that each option can be successfully applied.</p>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 101   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.1.11 to read:</p> <p>Policy 15.1.11 – When considering any discharge permit application for the discharge of contaminants to water, regard will be had to:</p> <p><b><u>(a) the factors in policy 15.1.10.</u></b></p> <p><del>(b)</del> the potential adverse effects of the discharge on spiritual and cultural values of Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi;</p> <p><b><u>(a)(c) The potential for adverse effects on ecosystem health including in combination with other permitted discharges .</u></b></p> <p><del>(b)(d)</del> the extent to which contaminants present in the discharge have been removed or reduced through treatment; <b>and</b></p> <p><del>(c)(e)</del> whether the discharge is of a temporary or short term nature and/or whether the discharge is associated with necessary maintenance work for any regionally significant infrastructure.</p> |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 102   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.1.12 to read:</p> <p>Policy 15.1.12 – After considering Policies 15.1.10 and 15.1.11, approve discharge permit applications to discharge contaminants into water <b>only</b> where :</p> <p>(a) the discharge <b>in combination with all other discharges</b> complies with the water quality classification standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing; or</p> <p><b>(b) in FMUs where a contaminant(s) is over-allocated:</b></p> <p><b>(a) (i) only in situations where the discharge is associated with an existing use; and</b></p> <p><b>(ii) how discharge of that contaminant will be progressively reduced over the term of the permit.</b></p> <p><del>(b) in the case of non-compliance with the water quality classification standards set for the waterbody:</del></p> <p><del>(i) the consent holder for an existing discharge can demonstrate a reduction in the concentration of contaminants and a commitment to a staged approach for achieving the water quality classification standards within a period of no longer than five years from the date the consent is granted; and</del></p> <p><del>(ii) the degree of non-compliance will not give rise to significant adverse effects.</del></p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 103   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.1.23 to read:</p> <p>Policy 15.1.23 – Avoid the discharge of animal effluent to fresh <b>and coastal</b> waterbodies and stock disturbance of river beds to the extent necessary to meet the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1, by:</p> <p>(a) preventing the direct discharge of collected animal effluent to water; and</p> <p>(b) avoiding the access of intensively farmed stock to rivers.</p>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 104   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.25 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.1.25 to read:</p> <p>Policy 15.1.25 – Recognise that, <b>in many situations</b>, non-regulatory methods <b>will may</b> be an effective method of managing the adverse effects of non-point source discharges.</p>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 105   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.26 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.26 to read:<br>Policy 15.1.26 – <b>Encourage, Require</b> in close association with rural industry groups, the use of sustainable rural land management practices.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 106   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.27 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.27 to read:<br>Policy 15.1.27 – Promote the retirement and planting of riparian margins in rural areas to intercept contaminated runoff, especially where water quality is degraded or at risk of degradation <b>and requiring planting or riparian margins as a condition of consent where it is an effective management tool in intercepting contaminant run off, excluding stock, or preventing sediment loss.</b> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 107   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.29 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.29 to read:<br>Policy 15.1.29 – To control land disturbance activities in order to:<br>(a) <b>Avoid mitigate</b> the <b>adverse</b> effects of increased sediment runoff to fresh waterbodies or coastal water ; and<br>(b) avoid the potential for direct entry of contaminants into groundwater.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 108   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.34 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.1.34 to read:</p> <p>Policy 15.1.34 – Approve land use consent applications for new dairy farms where the proposed farming would have no more than minor adverse effects on ground or surface water quality or on significant wetlands . A land use consent application must identify <b><u>(as part of and in combination with the requirements in Schedule 1 RMA)</u></b> the risks of new dairy farming and provide measures to address those risks, including as a minimum:</p> <p>(a) measures (including fences, bridges or culverts) to prevent stock entering onto or passing across the bed of any river or lake, significant wetland, or any drain or the Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(b) provision of an appropriate, non-grazed buffer along the margins of any river, lake, significant wetland, drain or the Drainage Channel Network, to intercept the runoff of contaminants from grazed pasture, with reference to the values of fresh waterbodies as identified in Appendix 5;</p> <p>(c) provision for storage of dairy effluent, with all storage ponds sufficiently sized to enable deferral of application to land until soil conditions are such that surface runoff and/or drainage do not occur;</p> <p>(d) demonstration of appropriate separation distances between effluent storage ponds and any surface waterbodies to ensure contamination of water does not occur (including during flood events); <del>and</del></p> <p>(e) a nutrient management plan that includes nutrient inputs from dairy effluent, animal discharges, fertiliser and any other nutrient input <b><u>and the discharge outputs;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(f) assessment of the effects of any discharges, in combination with all other discharges to the FMU on the receiving environment and identifying how and why the adverse effects are no more than minor; and</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(e)(g) measures in place to ensure that leaching maxims are met or for existing farms measures in place to reduce leaching down to the maxim by a specified date.</u></b></p> |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 698                | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated   | 109   | Volume 3 | Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Appendix 3 to read:</p> <p>Ecological Significance Criteria for terrestrial, wetland, <b><u>freshwater, marine</u></b> and coastal environments.</p> <p>The following provides explanations or guidelines for the application of ecological significance criteria in the assessment of sites.</p> <p>Rankings within each criterion are: H = High; M = Medium; L = Low. They collectively contribute to an overall ranking, indicating the degree of significance. For a site to be considered significant, one of the first four criteria (representativeness, rarity, diversity and pattern or distinctiveness) must rank M or H.</p> <p><b><u>The scale at which significance is to be determined depends on the type of environment:</u></b></p>  |       |          |   |           |                 |

**a. Terrestrial environment: the scale of assessment is at the ecological district level. [MDC: Insert an explanation of ecological district].**

**b. Marine environment: the scale of assessment is at the coastal biographic region level. This a region that is defined and classified according to visible ecological patterns and the physical characteristics or a geographic or hydrographic area. New Zealand's coastal biographic regions have been identified and mapped by the Ministry for the Environment. Marlborough falls within the South Cook Strait Region.**

**c. Freshwater environment: [ MDC: Insert assessment classification scale]**

Representativeness

1. Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that is representative, typical or characteristic of the natural diversity of the relevant ecological district, **coastal biographic region or freshwater environment**. This can include degraded examples where they are some of the best remaining examples of their type, or represent all that remains of indigenous biodiversity in some areas.

2. Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that is a relatively large example of its type within the relevant ecological district, **coastal biographic region or freshwater environment**.

3. Additionally for the coastal marine area the site is significant if it contains biological features (habitat, species, community) that represent a good example within the biogeographic area.

H: The site contains one of the best examples of the characteristic ecosystem types in the region or ecological district or **coastal biogeographic area region or freshwater environment for sites within the coastal marine area**.

M: The site contains one of the better examples, but not the best, of the characteristic ecosystem types in the region or ecological district or **coastal biogeographic area region or freshwater environment for sites within the coastal marine area**.

L: The site contains an example, but not one of the better or best, of the characteristic ecosystem types in the region or ecological district or **coastal biogeographic area region or freshwater environment for sites within the coastal marine area**.

Rarity

4. Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that has been reduced to less than 20% of its former extent in Marlborough, or relevant ~~land-~~**environment** , ecological district or **coastal biogeographic region**, or freshwater environment.

5. Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that supports an indigenous species that is threatened, at risk, or uncommon, nationally or within the relevant ecological district or **coastal** biogeographic **area region, or freshwater environment** ~~for sites within the coastal marine area~~.

6. The site contains indigenous vegetation or an indigenous species that is endemic to Marlborough or that are at distributional limits within Marlborough.

H: The site contains nationally threatened or rare flora, fauna or communities; or the site contains several examples of regionally or locally threatened or rare flora, fauna or communities.

M: The site contains one or a few regionally or locally (but not nationally) threatened or rare flora, fauna or communities.

L: The site is not known to contain flora, fauna or communities that are threatened or rare in the ecological district or **coastal** biogeographic **area region** **or freshwater environment**, regionally or nationally.

#### Diversity and pattern

7. Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that contains a high diversity of indigenous ecosystem or habitat types, indigenous taxa, or has changes in species composition reflecting the existence of diverse natural features or ecological gradients.

H: The site contains an unusually high diversity of species and ecosystem types.

M: The site contains a moderate diversity of species and ecosystem types.

L: The site contains a relatively low diversity of species and ecosystem types.

#### Distinctiveness

8. Indigenous vegetation or an association of indigenous species that is distinctive, of restricted occurrence, occurs within an originally rare ecosystem, or has developed as a result of an unusual environmental factor or combinations of factors.

H: The site contains any ecological feature that is unique nationally, in the region or in the ecological district **or coastal biogeographic region or freshwater environment**; or it contains several such features that are outstanding regionally or in the ecological district or **coastal** biogeographic **area region or freshwater environment**.

M: The site contains ecological features that are notable or unusual but not outstanding or unique nationally, in the region or in the ecological district or **coastal** biogeographic **region or freshwater environment area**.

L: The site contains no ecological features that are outstanding or unique nationally, in the region or in the ecological district or **coastal** biogeographic **area region or freshwater environment**; i.e. the ecological features are typical rather than distinctive or special.

Size and shape

9. The site is significant if it is moderate to large in size and is physically compact or cohesive.

H: The site is large in size for the region or ecological district **or coastal biogeographic region or freshwater environment and is compact in shape**.

M: The site is moderate in size for the region or ecological district **or coastal biogeographic region or freshwater environment and is compact in shape; or the site is relatively large but not very compact or cohesive**.

L: The site is small in size for the region or ecological district, **or coastal biogeographic region or freshwater environment or the site is moderate in size but not at all compact or cohesive**.

Connectivity/ecological context

10. ~~1~~Vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that provides or contributes to an important ecological linkage or network, or provides an important buffering function.



11. A wetland which plays an important hydrological, biological or ecological role in the natural functioning of a river or coastal system.

12. Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that provides important habitat (including refuges from predation, or key habitat for feeding, breeding, or resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently.

H: The site is close or well connected to a large natural area or several other natural areas.

M: The site is in the vicinity of other natural areas but only partially connected to them or at an appreciable distance.

L: The site is very isolated from other natural areas.

#### Sustainability

13. The site is significant if it is ecologically resilient, i.e. its natural ecological integrity and processes (functioning) are largely self-sustaining.

H: The site can maintain its ecological integrity and processes with minimal human assistance.

M: The site requires some but not much human assistance to maintain its ecological integrity and processes.

L: The site requires much human assistance to maintain its ecological integrity and processes.

#### Adjacent catchment modification in respect of significant sites within the coastal marine area

14. Catchments that drain large tracts of land can lead to high sediment loading into adjacent marine areas. A site in the coastal marine area is significant if the adjacent catchment is >400 ha and clad in relatively mature native vegetative cover resulting in a long term stable environment with markedly reduced sediment and contaminant run-off compared to developed or modified catchments.

H: The site is dominated by an adjacent land catchment area with stable and relatively mature native vegetation (> 400ha) that is legally protected.

M: The site is dominated by an adjacent land catchment area with stable and relatively mature native vegetation (>400ha) with partial or no legal protection.

L: The site is surrounded by an adjacent land catchment area (>400ha) that is farmed, highly modified or has limited relatively mature vegetative cover.

|                    |   |     |          |  |                |                 |
|--------------------|---|-----|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>698</b>         | Environmental Defence Society Incorporated  | 110 | Volume 3 | Appendix 4 Determining Significant Adverse Effects |                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend appendix 4 to read:</p> <p>Criteria for Determining Significant Adverse Effects<br/> The criteria below assists in determining whether a subdivision, use or development proposal will have significant adverse effects. The criteria shall be applied by the decision maker on resource consents or plan changes.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Character and degree of modification, damage, loss or destruction;</li> <li>2. Duration and frequency of effect (for example long-term or recurring effects);</li> <li>3. Magnitude or scale of effect (for example number of sites affected, spatial distribution, landscape context);</li> <li>4. Irreversibility of effect (for example loss of unique or rare features, limited opportunity for remediation, the costs and technical feasibility of remediation or mitigation);</li> <li>5. Resilience of heritage value or place to change (for example ability of feature to assimilate change, vulnerability of feature to external effects).</li> </ol> <p><b><u>The criteria should be used to assess the effects of the proposal in 2 contexts:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>A. The specific effects of the proposal itself.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>B. The cumulative effects of the proposal in combination with all other relevant environmental stressors.</u></b></p> |     |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1262</b>        | EnviroNZ Limited  | 1   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)             | Objective 15.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 15.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |     |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1262</b>        | EnviroNZ Limited  | 2   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)             | Policy 15.2.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.2.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |     |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1262</b>        | EnviroNZ Limited  | 3   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones                        | 12.3.2.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We seek to modify Rule 12.3.2.1 to include Reprocessed Oil, as defined by MfE in HSNO COP63.  |     |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| <b>1262</b>        | EnviroNZ Limited   | 4     | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones             | 12.3.2.2.                                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We seek to amend Rule 12.3.2.2 to relax the sulphur concentration limits to allow Reprocessed Oil to be used as a recovered fuel in industrial premises.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1262</b>        | EnviroNZ Limited   | 5     | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones             | 12.5.7.                                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We support the inclusion of waste oil in Rule 12.5.7(k) but seek to amend its definition to exclude Reprocessed Oil and provide a separate definition for Reprocessed Oil (as defined by the MFE in HSNO COP63). |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1262</b>        | EnviroNZ Limited   | 6     | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones             | 12.3.9.1.                                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We seek to modify Rule 12.3.9.1(g) to include Reprocessed Oil.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1262</b>        | EnviroNZ Limited   | 7     | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones             | 12.3.9.8.                                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We seek to modify Rule 12.3.9.8 to include Reprocessed Oil.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>700</b>         | ENZAQ Aquaculture New Zealand Limited  | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>799</b>         | June Ethel Eperu   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.                            |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>799</b>         | June Ethel Eperu   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>799</b>         | June Ethel Eperu   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>799</b>         | June Ethel Epere   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>799</b>         | June Ethel Epere   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>799</b>         | June Ethel Epere   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>799</b>         | June Ethel Epere   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>799</b>         | June Ethel Epere   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>799</b>         | June Ethel Epere   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>799</b>         | June Ethel Epere   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>836</b>         | James William Epere  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>836</b>         | James William Epere  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>836</b>         | James William Epere  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>836</b>         | James William Epere  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>836</b>         | James William Epere  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>836</b>         | James William Epere  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>836</b>         | James William Epere  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>836</b>         | James William Epere  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>836</b>         | James William Epere   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>836</b>         | James William Epere   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 1     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | We request that the Plan be withdrawn and rewritten to confer Permitted Activity status on all forestry activities where the plantation forest was lawfully established under the RMA by way of Resource consent or previous Permitted Activity plan provision to Plant. In environmentally sensitive areas the Plan should only default to Controlled Activity status for harvest and is only appropriate for afforestation except for areas where the land under the plantation forest has subsequently been designated an Outstanding Landscape that were activities |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (inferred)  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (inferred)  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Distinguish between the Inner and Outer Marlborough Sounds, and acknowledge that the inner sounds as a highly modified working landscape.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Objective must recognise that the visual landscape is continuously changing and the ecological setting is highly modified.   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited  | 6     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that the Plan allows for the loading of log barges on the coastal marine area as a Permitted Activity   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited  | 7     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Ensure consistency between land uses by application of permitted activity standards and implementation of universal stock exclusion rules. Recommend Council follows Environment Southland proposal Rule 28 requiring that:</p> <p>a vegetated strip is maintained, and stock excluded from, the outer edge of the bed of any river, wetland, modified watercourse or artificial watercourse for a distance of:</p> <p>(1) 3 metres from the outer edge of the bed on land with a slope of less than 4 degrees; and</p> <p>(2) 10 metres from the outer edge of the bed on land with a slope between 4 and 16 degrees; and</p> <p>(3) 20 metres from the outer edge of the bed on land with a slope of greater than 16 degrees;</p> <p>For other than non-intensively grazed sheep.</p> <p>Further submit that a 20 metres vegetated strip is maintained from the boundary of the coastal marine area and all land use activities</p> |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited  | 8     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.2.7  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete all reference to high amenity values</p> <p>Constrain the policy to only address land designated as ONFL</p> <p>Change the word "avoiding" to "minimise" in</p> <p>(i) avoiding minimise extensive land disturbance activity that creates a long term change in the visual appearance of the landscape, particularly when viewed from public places;</p>   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited  | 9     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.2.9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 7.2.9 in its entirety, or alternatively, confirm that Policy 7.2.9 does not apply to high amenity value landscapes and reword to capture all Permitted Activities as well as activities that require Resource Consents, so to reduce the Plan's current pro-farming anti-forestry bias.  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited  | 10    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.2.10 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Councils powers under the Biosecurity Act, not the RMA are key.<br>The Branch and Letham catchments, planted by the Former Catchment Board (predecessor of the District Council) are significant seed sources and should be addressed under the Biosecurity Act. Any policy enacted under the RMA should give rise to a risk based approach, and not blanket prohibitions |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 11    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                            | Policy 7.2.10  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy to align with the methodology in the proposed forestry NES and used a spread-risk based approach to define permitted vs discretionary status for planting in various conifers.<br><br>Refer <a href="http://www.wildingconifers.org.nz/images/wilding/articles/DSS1_NES_Version.pdf">www.wildingconifers.org.nz/images/wilding/articles/DSS1_NES_Version.pdf</a>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 12    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Objective 14.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Reflect this policy in the forestry rules for the Marlborough Sounds.<br><br>Reject the rule requiring 200 metre setback.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 13    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                     | Policy 11.1.22 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Vegetative cover in the Set-back area to be maintain as "defensible space".   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 14    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                     | Objective 11.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Identify colluvial fans or flood plains in or below plantation forests where a risk assessment indicates that there is greater than a 1:10,000 chance of loss of life from debris flow from recently harvested plantation forests<br>Add Debris flows to the list of hazards displayed in 11.M.2 Overlay.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 15    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |  |                |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 505                | Ernslaw One Limited   | 16    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (b) to read<br>(b) avoiding the access of farmed stock (other than low intensity farmed sheep) to rivers.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 505                | Ernslaw One Limited   | 17    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.27 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend explanation to read<br>On properties where stock (other than low intensity sheep) are grazed, riparian retirement may will require fencing to prevent stock entry to the riparian margin.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 505                | Ernslaw One Limited   | 18    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | General – the Proposed Plan uses regional rules to regulate the Activities Associated with Plantation Forestry. By doing this it extinguishes most existing use rights in relation to the use of land under Plantation forests (ie using Regional Rules to trump both RMA Section 9 matters – Restrictions on use of land District Rules and RMA Section 10 - Certain existing uses in relation to land protected). |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 505                | Ernslaw One Limited   | 19    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.7.9.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | remove reference to livestock "entering onto".  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 505                | Ernslaw One Limited   | 20    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.8.1.4.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete all reference to colour change or Munsell Colour<br><br>Substitute with Horizontal visibility as measured with a (NIWA) SHMAK clarity tube (in streams & rivers) or Black Disc measurement (in Lakes or in the Sounds)<br><br>Align the water quality standard with that Proposed in Otago (Plan change 6a) or in Southland – ie no more than a 40% change in visual clarity.                                |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 505                | Ernslaw One Limited   | 21    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.9.9.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.9.9.1. The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock other than non- intensively farmed sheep if there is water flowing in the river.  |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited  | 22    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.9.9.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.9.9.1. The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock other than non- intensively farmed sheep if there is water flowing in the river.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited  | 23    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.6.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule set 3.3.6 for Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) to align with the Proposed Forestry NES. IE "Front -load" the Plan provisions that control to Afforestation, making the subsequent harvest of the plantation, and the supporting earthworks a Permitted Activity<br>Amend rule set 3.3.6 to afford permitted activity (PA) status for Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) based on the terms and conditions and exceptions in the proposed Forestry NES; ie default out of PA into Controlled activity status (ie a consenting regime) when the effects on the environment are judged significantly adverse. In the case of ONFLs, default out of PA into full Discretionary activity status, but not so for amenity landscapes |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited  | 24    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.7.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule set 3.3.7 to make Commercial forestry harvesting a permitted activity, on all but land zoned Red or Dark Orange in the updated Erosion Susceptibility Classification (ESC) mapping produced by LandCare Research for the proposed Forestry NES.<br>On all other land (Green, Yellow & Orange zoned in the ESC) make Commercial forestry harvesting a permitted activity subject to the preparation of a Harvest Plan and an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan as per Rule 3.3.7.1.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited  | 25    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.7.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 3.2.1.7.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited  | 26    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of Commercial forestry Planting in Section 25 (Definitions) to exclude replant  |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited  | 27           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Rule ...<br>or<br>Redefine the rule to align with setbacks from rivers and streams<br>Suggest (f) 20m of the coastal marine area;   |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited  | 28           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Rule<br>Or<br>Develop rules to recognise the ecosystem service provided by afforestation of upland catchment areas being attenuated flood peaks (and reduced frequency of floods of any given size, notwithstanding changes driven by Climate Change)<br>Rework the mapping to identify the actual low-flow generation areas along the river – these are likely to be in the upper 1/3 of the river and be within approximately 50m of the river channel, plus springs (if any) |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited  | 29           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.3.         | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Council to give effect to Sections 10 to 12 of the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Sources of Human Drinking Water) Regulations 2007 by strengthening the Plans Permitted Activity conditions and calling in all existing resource consent to strengthen the conditions to better constrain intensive pastoral farming systems, the disposal of dairy shed, piggery &amp; chicken farm effluent or the storage of grape marc in other than covered, contained pads, mushroom and other composting systems and similar activities.</p> <p>Council to ensure that all well locations are captured and all disused wells are both plugged and capped.</p> <p>Redesign the Plan to comply with NES Section 10</p> <p>10 Limitations on permitted activity rules for activities upstream of abstraction points</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (1) A regional council must not include a rule or amend a rule in its regional plan to allow a permitted activity, under section 9, 13, 14, or 15 of the Act, upstream of an abstraction point where the drinking water concerned meets the health quality criteria unless satisfied that the activity is not likely to— <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (a) introduce or increase the concentration of any determinands in the drinking water so that, after existing treatment, it no longer meets the health quality criteria; or</li> <li>• (b) introduce or increase the concentration of any aesthetic determinands in the drinking water so that, after existing treatment, it contains aesthetic determinands at values exceeding the guideline values.</li> </ul> </li> <li>(2) A regional council must not include a rule or amend a rule in its regional plan to allow a permitted activity, under section 9, 13, 14, or 15 of the Act, upstream of an abstraction point where the drinking water concerned is not tested in accordance with the compliance monitoring procedures in the Drinking-water Standard unless satisfied that the activity is not likely to— <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (a) increase the concentration of any determinands in the water at the abstraction point by more than a minor amount; or</li> <li>• (b) introduce or increase the concentration of any aesthetic determinands in the drinking water, so that, after existing treatment, it contains aesthetic determinands at values exceeding the guideline values.</li> </ul> </li> <li>(3) A regional council must not include a rule or amend a rule in its regional plan to allow a permitted activity, under section 9, 13, 14, or 15 of the Act, upstream of an abstraction point where the drinking water concerned does not meet the health quality criteria unless satisfied that the activity is not likely to— <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (a) increase, by more than a minor amount, the concentration of any determinands in the water at the abstraction point that in the drinking water already exceed the maximum acceptable values for more than the allowable number of times as set out in table A1.3 in Appendix 1 of the Drinking-water Standard; or</li> <li>• (b) increase the concentration of any determinands in the water at the abstraction point that in the drinking water do not exceed the maximum acceptable values for more than the allowable number of times as set out in table A1.3 in Appendix 1 of the Drinking-water Standard to the extent that the drinking water, after existing treatment, exceeds the maximum acceptable values for more than the allowable number of times as set out in the table in relation to those determinands; or</li> <li>• (c) introduce or increase the concentration of any aesthetic determinands in the drinking water so that, after existing treatment, it contains aesthetic determinands at values exceeding the guideline values</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited  | 30    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited  | 31    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3.  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend part (c) to read<br>Harvesting machines must not venture within 10m of the coastal marine area.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 32    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 3.3.11.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 33    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.1. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 3.3.12.1<br>Reword as: Where clearance is by mechanical means, blading or root-raking by a bulldozer must not be used on slopes greater than 25° unless tethered by a traction winch system"   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 34    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 3.3.12.5<br>Reword as: All trees must be felled away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area, where safe and practicable to do so.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 35    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 3.3.12.6<br>Reword as: No tree or log must be dragged through the bed of a river more than 3 m wide, lake or Significant Wetland or through the coastal marine area. The butt end of any tree or log must be at least 1m clear of the ground when dragged across the bed of a river less than 3 m wide (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing) |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 36    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.17. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) & (c)<br>Retain (b) but change "natural clarity" to "water clarity"  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 37    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.19. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision                  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete "all" from "harvesting tracks " and clarify that "tracks that will be used to facilitate replant can be left in place, subject to Rule 3.3.7.20"<br>Add another Provision to make cable harvesting in steep terrain a Permitted Activity subject to Rule   |       |          |                            |                            |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 38    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.7.20.                  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain  |       |          |                            |                            |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 39    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.2.1.7.                   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 3.2.1.7.   |       |          |                            |                            |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 40    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.2.3.3.                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Forest harvesting and earthworks activities are also of limited duration and much less likely to occur at night or at weekends than seasonal agricultural or horticultural activities occurring in the Rural Environment Zone.  |       |          |                            |                            |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 41    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.6.1.                   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove Douglas-fir from the list.<br>Instead adopt the methodology in the proposed forestry NES and used a spread-risk based approach to define permitted vs discretionary status for planting in various conifers.<br><br>Refer <a href="http://www.wildingconifers.org.nz/images/wilding/articles/DSS1_NES_Version.pdf">www.wildingconifers.org.nz/images/wilding/articles/DSS1_NES_Version.pdf</a> |       |          |                            |                            |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 42    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.2.                   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Refer to relief sought on submission on Rule 3.3.6.3  |       |          |                            |                            |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 43    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.3.                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Refer to relief sought on submission on Rule 3.3.6.3.   |       |          |                            |                            |                 |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited   | 44    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Steep Erosion Prone Land 1 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                  | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Adopt the Forestry NES ESC mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land<br>MPI map below shows ESC Dark Orange & Red Zones underlying the existing plantation estate. Map layers are available on the MPI GIS. |       |          |              |                            |        |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited  | 45    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Steep Erosion Prone Land 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the Forestry NES ESC mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land<br>MPI map below shows ESC Dark Orange & Red Zones underlying the existing plantation estate. Map layers are available on the MPI GIS. |       |          |              |                            |        |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited  | 46    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Steep Erosion Prone Land 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the Forestry NES ESC mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land<br>MPI map below shows ESC Dark Orange & Red Zones underlying the existing plantation estate. Map layers are available on the MPI GIS. |       |          |              |                            |        |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited  | 47    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Steep Erosion Prone Land 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the Forestry NES ESC mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land<br>MPI map below shows ESC Dark Orange & Red Zones underlying the existing plantation estate. Map layers are available on the MPI GIS. |       |          |              |                            |        |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited  | 48    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Steep Erosion Prone Land 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the Forestry NES ESC mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land<br>MPI map below shows ESC Dark Orange & Red Zones underlying the existing plantation estate. Map layers are available on the MPI GIS. |       |          |              |                            |        |
| <b>505</b>         | Ernslaw One Limited  | 49    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Steep Erosion Prone Land 6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the Forestry NES ESC mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land<br>MPI map below shows ESC Dark Orange & Red Zones underlying the existing plantation estate. Map layers are available on the MPI GIS. |       |          |              |                            |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| 505                | Ernslaw One Limited  | 50    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps    | Steep Erosion Prone Land 7  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the Forestry NES ESC mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land<br>MPI map below shows ESC Dark Orange & Red Zones underlying the existing plantation estate. Map layers are available on the MPI GIS.   |       |          |                 |                             |        |
| 505                | Ernslaw One Limited  | 51    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps    | Steep Erosion Prone Land 8  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the Forestry NES ESC mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land<br>MPI map below shows ESC Dark Orange & Red Zones underlying the existing plantation estate. Map layers are available on the MPI GIS.   |       |          |                 |                             |        |
| 505                | Ernslaw One Limited  | 52    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps    | Steep Erosion Prone Land 9  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the Forestry NES ESC mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land<br>MPI map below shows ESC Dark Orange & Red Zones underlying the existing plantation estate. Map layers are available on the MPI GIS.   |       |          |                 |                             |        |
| 505                | Ernslaw One Limited  | 53    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps    | Steep Erosion Prone Land 10 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the Forestry NES ESC mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land<br>MPI map below shows ESC Dark Orange & Red Zones underlying the existing plantation estate. Map layers are available on the MPI GIS.   |       |          |                 |                             |        |
| 336                | William Ian Esson  | 1     | Volume 2 | 1 Introduction  | 1.                          | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | In the paragraph under the heading "Controlled activities", amend the text to clarify that <b>under the Resource Management Act</b> controlled activity resource consent applications must be granted. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                 |                             |        |
| 336                | William Ian Esson  | 2     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.3.1.                    | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br><i>"The best practicable method, <b>for example.....</b>, must be adopted to avoid dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson  | 3     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.7.4.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike though and bold) -<br><i>"The total length of the culvert must not exceed <del>8m</del> .....m (a length greater than 8m), except for a culvert passing beneath a State Highway where the total length of the culvert must not exceed 20m."</i><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson  | 4     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.7.5.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br><i>"The culvert installation must be designed and implemented to ensure there is no erosion or scour downstream of the culvert, <b>except for a permitted, predetermined small degree of erosion or scour to occur after a culvert is first placed.</b>"</i>     |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson  | 5     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.22.1.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson  | 6     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.21.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Permitted Activity as follows -<br><b>"Discharge of dust to air as part of a routine activity, e.g transportation of logs on a gravel road."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson  | 7     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.32.3.4. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>" The loading facility, <b>except if used for commercial forestry harvesting</b>, must be located on the same property as the activity to which it relates, and must be formed and finished to an all-weather, dust-free surface."</i><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson  | 8     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.33.2.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule, however, so this Rule does capture the transportation of logs, I seek that the transportation of logs be included in the definition of 'Commercial Forestry Harvesting' ( <i>see separate submission</i> ) or see transportation of harvested logs considered a permitted activity under section 2.31 ( <i>see separate submission</i> ).   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson  | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>" The following activities are excluded from having to comply with the noise limits:</i><br><br><i>(a) sirens and call out sirens associated with the activities of the New Zealand Fire Service;</i><br><i>(b) mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of agricultural or horticultural activities occurring in the Rural Environment Zone;</i><br><i>(c) any fixed motors or equipment, frost fans or gas guns, milling or processing forestry activities, static irrigation pumps; motorbikes that are being used for recreational purposes;</i><br><br><b><i>(d) commercial forestry activities including establishment, management and harvesting.</i></b><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson  | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.9.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>" The best practicable method, <b>for example.....</b>, must be adopted to avoid dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson  | 11    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend (h) under this Standard as follows -<br><p>"(h) Steep Erosion-Prone Land, unless replanting <b>an area of previously</b> harvested commercial forest <b>that was</b> lawfully established;"</p> <p>(Inferred)</p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson  | 12    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) -<br><p>"Notification must be given to Council <del>not more than 60 working days and</del> not less than 20 working days before harvesting commences. Notification must include a Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan that addresses all of the matters set out in Appendix 22."</p> <p>Or, amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br/> "Notification must be given to Council not more than 60 working days and not less than 20 working days before <b>expected</b> harvesting commences. Notification must include a Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan that addresses all of the matters set out in Appendix 22."</p> <p>(Inferred)</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson  | 13    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.2.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><p>"Any material change to the Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan must be notified to Council at least <del>20</del> ..... (a number less than 20) working days before the change is implemented, <b>unless the Council deems it necessary to extend this period to enable further consider of the potential implications of the material change.</b>"</p> <p>(Inferred)</p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson  | 14    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through) -<br><p>"Harvesting must not be in, or within:<br/> (a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 <del>(this exception does not apply to excavation);"</del></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson  | 15    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.5.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br><i>"No excavation or filling in excess of 1000m<sup>3</sup> <b>per .....m<sup>2</sup></b> must occur on any land with a slope greater than 20° within any 24 month period."</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson  | 16    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.12. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (a) of the Standard as follows (bold) -<br><i>"(a) <b>trees must</b> not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area; <b>and</b></i><br><i><del>slash must</del> not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, <b>come into contact with continuously running water in</b> a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area; <b>and</b></i><br><i><b>soil debris in excess on ....m<sup>3</sup> must not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;"</b></i><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson  | 17    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson  | 18    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.18. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add the Standard to other Permitted Activities not related to forestry. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson  | 19    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.3. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson  | 20    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.31.     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new Permitted Activity rule as follows -<br><br><b>"Transportation of logs."</b><br><br>Or, transportation of harvested logs considered a permitted activity under section 2.31 ( <i>see separate submission</i> ).<br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson   | 21    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a definition for " <i>material change</i> " as it is referred to in Standard 3.3.7.2.<br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson   | 22    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of "Commercial forestry harvesting" as follows (strike through) -<br><br><i>"means the felling and removal from the land of trees, for the purposes of commercial forestry, and includes:</i><br><i>(a) excavation or filling, or both, to prepare the land for harvesting (for example, skid, forestry road or forestry track construction or maintenance);</i><br><i>(b) de-limbing, trimming, cutting to length, and sorting and grading of felled trees;</i><br><i>(c) recovery of windfall and other fallen trees;</i><br><i>but does not include <del>the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land.</del>"</i><br><br>Or, add a new Permitted Activity for the transportation of logs under section 2.31 ( <i>see separate submission</i> ).<br><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson   | 23    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a definition for " <i>Significant failure</i> ", relative to Standard 3.3.7.18.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson   | 24    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a definition that would clarify how setbacks, such as the 8m setback in Standard 3.3.7.12(a), is measured. ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson   | 25    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a definition for " <i>trees</i> ", as it would relate to Standard 3.3.7.12.<br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>336</b>         | William Ian Esson   | 26    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                   | 25.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of " <i>Slash</i> " as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"includes branches, tops, chunks, cull logs, uprooted stumps, slovens, broken trees and other waste wood, greater than <del>100mm</del> .....mm (a number greater than 100) in diameter at any point."</i><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>440</b>         | Ian Esson   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The rules in Volume 2 must make it abundantly clear that the <u>replanting</u> of existing harvested trees in such areas is permitted as is stated in Volume 1 Policy 5.3.15.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>440</b>         | Ian Esson   | 2     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.8.3.1.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The council will develop fair, workable, pragmatic guidelines which can be implemented economically and will apply to every landowner, resident, visitor and organisation in the community.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>440</b>         | Ian Esson   | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.2.9.1.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The council will develop fair, workable, pragmatic guidelines which can be implemented economically and will apply to every landowner, resident, visitor and organisation in the community.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>440</b>         | Ian Esson   | 4     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.9.7.4.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | There must be a mechanism in the plan to allow a longer culvert pipe to be installed as a permitted activity when necessary.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>440</b>         | Ian Esson   | 5     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.22.1.2.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This rule must be clarified and relaxed to allow common sense agrichemical application.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>440</b>         | Ian Esson   | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.22.3.     | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | This rule must be clarified and relaxed to allow common sense agrichemical application.   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>440</b>         | Ian Esson   | 7     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.33.2.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This rule will apply to all transportation in the region. If not, it should be included in the definition of a permitted activity related to Commercial Forestry Harvesting.  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>440</b>         | Ian Esson   | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3.3.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Routine forestry activities must also be provided with an exclusion, or the exclusion clause for most of the other activities should be removed.  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>440</b>         | Ian Esson   | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The council will ensure that they listen to the submissions from experienced members of the forestry industry and modify such rules if necessary, to make them fair, reasonable and workable.   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>440</b>         | Ian Esson   | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The council will ensure that a reasonable definition of "material change" is developed. Should minor changes to a harvest plan be included in the definition, the rule must include a much shorter implementation time requirement. The final rule must be reasonable and workable, taking into account the complexities associated with and cost of having a harvesting operation "on sight".  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>440</b>         | Ian Esson   | 11    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.6.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The document must make it perfectly clear that there is a mechanism to allow for some land disturbance to enable existing trees on Steep Erosion-Prone land to be harvested and replanted.  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>440</b>         | Ian Esson   | 12    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.18. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This rule will apply to all significant failures on all land in the region and not just forestry land. If the rule is applied, a clear definition indicating the threshold of notification must be developed.   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>440</b>         | Ian Esson   | 13    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.48.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | A clause needs to be inserted that requires the organisers of such events to be aware of the level of fire danger in relation to surrounding properties at the time of the event, to take appropriate precautions, to have a contingency plan in place if a fire was to occur and be obliged to carry appropriate public liability insurance. They should also be obliged to speak with the neighbour who owns the adjacent bush or forest clad land. |       |          |                          |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision            | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| <b>879</b>         | Laurence Etheredge   | 1     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character               | Policy 6.2.5         | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That adverse effects are to be avoided, remedied or mitigated regardless of any prior adverse effects having taken place.  |       |          |                                   |                      |         |
| <b>879</b>         | Laurence Etheredge   | 2     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.4        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.4   |       |          |                                   |                      |         |
| <b>879</b>         | Laurence Etheredge   | 3     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                    | Structure of the MEP | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That giving clearer directions for use of the MEP is included ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |                                   |                      |         |
| <b>1082</b>        | Richard Warwick Evans  | 1     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone        | 4.6.11.              | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 4.6.11 is changed from a discretionary activity to a permitted activity provided a design/report is prepared by a Certified Assessor (ASWZ 1547).                                      |       |          |                                   |                      |         |
| <b>1082</b>        | Richard Warwick Evans  | 2     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone             | 7.4.4.               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 7.4.4 is changed from a discretionary activity to a permitted activity provided a design/report is prepared by a Certified Assessor (ASWZ 1547).                                       |       |          |                                   |                      |         |
| <b>1082</b>        | Richard Warwick Evans  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone          | 3.3.30.9.            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | To include wastewater disposal in a Soil Sensitive Area as a permitted activity provided a design/report is prepared by a Certified Assessor (ASWZ 1547).  |       |          |                                   |                      |         |
| <b>1082</b>        | Richard Warwick Evans  | 4     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone             | 7.3.9.11.            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | To include excavation/filling in a Soil Sensitive Area identified as loess soils as a permitted activity provided a design/report is prepared by a Chartered Professional Geotechnical Engineer. |       |          |                                   |                      |         |
| <b>1082</b>        | Richard Warwick Evans  | 5     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone               | 8.3.11.11.           | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | To include excavation/filling in a Soil Sensitive Area identified as loess soils as a permitted activity provided a design/report is prepared by a Chartered Professional Geotechnical Engineer. |       |          |                                   |                      |         |
| <b>1082</b>        | Richard Warwick Evans  | 6     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone  | 5.3.10.12.           | Oppose  |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | To include excavation/filling in a Soil Sensitive Area identified as loess soils as a permitted activity provided a design/report is prepared by a Chartered Professional Geotechnical Engineer.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>800</b>         | Jonathan Everett  | 1     | All      | All                             |                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>1207</b>        | Vicki Maree Evrard  | 1     | All      | All                             |                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>779</b>         | Jonathan Andrew Falloon   | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | I support the inclusion of Policy 14.4.12 - Omaka Valley as drafted.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>1307</b>        | Josephine Faragher  | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone           | 7.2.1.5.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).<br/>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul> |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>134</b>         | Richard Farley  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                     | Zoning Map 113 | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | I request the council to amend the proposed significant wetland area (W1005), so that it does not come onto my property, as I disagree that there us a significant wetland on my property. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>587</b>         | Caroline Farley  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8217; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>587</b>         | Caroline Farley  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8026; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>587</b>         | Caroline Farley  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8038; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>587</b>         | Caroline Farley  | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8217, 8026, 8038; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                       |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>587</b>         | Caroline Farley  | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8217; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                            |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>587</b>         | Caroline Farley  | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 1                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8026; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                            |       |          |   |                             |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| <b>587</b>         | Caroline Farley   | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 1       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8038; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>587</b>         | Caroline Farley   | 8     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8217, 8026 and 8038; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 1     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                          | 25.                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the term natural and human use values is defined in the Plan.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 2     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the guiding principles are retained as notified.   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 3     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | Policy 3.1.1       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That (d) in the policy is deleted as follows (strike out):<br><br>" <del>(d) recognises that tangata whenua have rights protected by the Treaty of Waitangi/Te Tiriti o Waitangi and that consequently the Resource Management Act 1991 accords iwi a status distinct from that of interest groups and members of the public; and</del> " |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 4     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | Policy 3.1.4       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the policy is amended to read (strike out and bold):</p> <p>Encourage iwi to develop iwi management plans that contain:</p> <p>(a) specific requirements to address the management of coastal waters, land and air resources, including mauri, and in relation to Sections 6(e), 7(a) and 8 of the Resource Management Act 1991;</p> <p>(b) protocols to give effect to their role of kaitiaki of water and land resources;</p> <p>(c) sites of cultural significance;</p> <p>(d) descriptions of how the document is to be used, monitored and reviewed; <del>and</del></p> <p>(e) the outcomes expected from implementing the management plan; <b>and</b></p> <p><b>(f) background information for large scale resource consent and plan change applicants, so that cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi can be taken into account in the preparation of an application.</b></p> |       |          |   |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 5     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | Policy 3.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the policy is combined with 3.1.4. Our relief sought is detailed in our submission on Policy 3.1.4.</p>   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 6     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | Policy 3.1.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - "<i>Ensure iwi management plans are taken into account in resource management decision making processes <b>with regards to the preparation of a regional policy statement, or regional and district plans.</b></i>"</p>   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 7     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | Policy 3.1.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>That further explanation is provided before the policy is included in the Plan.</li> <li>That similar policies are developed which apply to the relationships between other groups, such as Marlborough's farming community, and the Council.</li> </ul>   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the explanatory text accompanying the issue is amended so that it better reflects the value of the primary sector to Marlborough's social and economic wellbeing, included but not limited to inclusion of the following paragraphs inserted after paragraph 2 (bold):</p> <p><b>"Primary production makes a considerable contribution to Marlborough's economy. In 2015, agriculture, fishery and forestry directly contributed \$254 million to the region's economy; 11.7% of the total GDP, and second only to manufacturing, through which many of the region's primary produce is processed. Agriculture, fishery and forestry also made the largest contribution to overall growth in the region in the year to 2015, growing by over 6.9% over the year, and employing 19.4% of the Marlborough workforce with 4,897 people employed.</b></p> <p><b>Sheep and beef production is extensive in Marlborough, with 397,030ha of land considered pastoral land, and 545,580 sheep and 59,970 beef animals run in Marlborough. Marlborough is a small dairying region, with only 56 herds and a total of 16,661 cows across 5,700 effective hectares. There are over 8000 deer in the Marlborough region.</b></p> <p><b>In addition to pastoral agriculture, the Marlborough Sounds provide 62% of New Zealand's aquaculture production by tonnes, including 62% of greenshell mussels and 61% of salmon production, and contributes almost 6%, or \$162 million, to Marlborough's regional GDP. The Marlborough region is also the largest grape producing region in New Zealand, with 23,203ha in vineyards, and exports representing 74.6% of national production in 2015. Forestry covers 57,500 ha."</b></p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 9     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold):</p> <p><b>"Recognise the rights of resource users by <del>only</del> <b>not</b> intervening in the use of land to protect the environment and wider public interests in the environment, <b>unless specifically required under the Plan.</b>"</b></p> <p>And, that the explanatory text is amended to better align with the intent of the Act, and the importance of protecting both existing use and private property rights unless the Act requires such interference.</p>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 10    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Objective is retained as notified. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 11    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is retained as notified.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 12    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold): <b>"Maintain and, where there is community desire and costs and benefits are <b>balanced</b>, enhance the quality of natural resources."</b>   |       |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 13    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.4         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Method is retained as notified. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 14    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a new method is included in the Plan which reads as follows (bold): " <b>Council will resource priority catchments enhancement projects that develop partnerships between industry, resource users in the catchments.</b> "<br><i>(Inferred to relate to Issue 4A)</i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 15    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Relief sought: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the policy is amended so that the list of regionally significant infrastructure is included in an appendix.</li> <li>• That all items of regionally significant infrastructure including irrigation and on farm-drainage schemes are included in the appendix.</li> <li>• In addition, that 4.2.1(c) in the list of infrastructure is amended to read as follows (strike out): "<i>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council,</i>"</li> </ul> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 16    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold): " <del>Protect</del> <b>Recognise and provide for</b> regionally significant infrastructure. <del>from the adverse effects of other activities.</del> "   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 17    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.10        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Method is amended to read as follows (strike out): " <del>Where the grant of a resource consent application may adversely affect regionally significant infrastructure, the owners and operators of the infrastructure will be served notice of the application as an affected party.— Transpower NZ is required to be served notice if a resource consent application may affect the National Grid under Regulation 10 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees and Procedures) Regulations 2003.</del> "   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 18    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the issue is moved to the Chapter 13: The Use of the Coastal Environment and is amended to reference the balancing exercise required under the Act.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 19    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support in Part |

| Sub No  | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|---|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested  | That the objective is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - " <del>The maintenance and enhancement of the visual, ecological and physical qualities that contribute to the character of the Marlborough Sounds</del> <b>and the appropriate recognition of the land use activities that have created the landscape.</b> "   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| And, that the objective is moved to Chapter 13: The Use of the Coastal Environment, or to Chapter 6: Natural Character. |  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>  | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 20    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>That the policy is moved to Chapter 13: The Use of the Coastal Environment.</li> <li>That the policy is amended to include recognition of the importance of the Sounds as a working landscape and to specify where these qualities and values can be found in the Plan, referencing the Landscape and Coastal Natural Character Appendixes.</li> <li>That a schedule of the activities and characteristics of the Sounds are included within the Plan.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>  | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 21    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested  | That the policy is deleted.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>  | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 22    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested  | The policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <b>Recognise that the Marlborough Sounds is a dynamic environment and some use and development activities will have positive effects.</b> "  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| And, that the policy is moved to Chapter 13: The Use of the Coastal Environment.  |  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>  | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 23    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.AER.1      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested  | Delete the fourth indicator for monitoring effectiveness for this AER as follows (strike out) - " <del>Public perception survey indicates that a majority of residents and ratepayers believe that the Marlborough Sounds environment is in good health.</del> "   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>  | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 24    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Issue 5A     | Support         |
| Decision Requested  | That the issue is retained as notified.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>  | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 25    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Issue 5C     | Support         |
| Decision Requested  | That the issue is retained as notified.  |       |          |   |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 26    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources   | Objective 5.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the objective is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>Water allocation and water use management regimes reflect hydrological and environmental conditions, <b>and social and economic values</b>, within each water resource.</i> "  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 27    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources   | Objective 5.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Objective is adopted as notified.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 28    | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Appendix be amended to include a range of uses including irrigation, industrial, commercial and frost fighting.<br>That the Appendix be amended to include cultural, social and economic values.<br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 29    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources   | Objective 5.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the objective is retained as notified.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 30    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources   | Policy 5.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is retained as notified; and<br>The explanation to the policy is amended to include further information with regards to the identification of Freshwater Management Units and the manner in which they are intended to be utilised going forward. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 31    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources   | Policy 5.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to include the requirement for a review of the natural and human use values through the collaborative catchment limit setting process.<br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 32    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources   | Policy 5.2.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 33    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources   | Policy 5.2.3  | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the policy is deleted from the plan.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 34    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the policy is amended as follows (bold):</p> <p><i>"Set specific environmental flows and/or levels for Freshwater Management Units dominated by rivers, lakes and wetlands to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) protect the mauri of the waterbody;</i></p> <p><i>(b) protect instream habitat and ecology;</i></p> <p><i>(c) maintain fish passage and fish spawning grounds;</i></p> <p><i>(d) preserve the natural character of the river;</i></p> <p><i>(e) maintain water quality;</i></p> <p><i>(f) provide for adequate groundwater recharge where the river is physically connected to an aquifer or groundwater; and</i></p> <p><i>(g) maintain amenity values; and</i></p> <p><b><i>(h) maintain reliability of supply for social and economic values."</i></b></p> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 35    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is retained as notified.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 36    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is retained as notified.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 37    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the policy is retained; and</p> <p>the Policy is combined with Policy 5.2.14.</p>  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 38    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.8  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is adopted as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 39    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is adopted as notified but amended as follows (bold) - " <i>Have regard to the adverse effects of the proposed instantaneous rate of take from any river, except an ephemerally flowing river, if that rate of take exceeds or is likely to exceed 5% of river flow at any time, <b>unless the take is for domestic or stock drinking water.</b></i> " (Inferred)  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 40    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>Limit the total amount of water available to be taken from any freshwater management unit <del>and avoid allocating</del> <b>but allow for the allocation of</b> water (through the resource consent process) beyond the limit set <b>when the applicant can demonstrate that the adverse effects on the values of that freshwater management unit will individually or cumulatively be no more than minor.</b></i> " |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 41    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.14 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is retained; and<br><br>the Policy is combined with Policy 5.2.7.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 42    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.17 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended as follows (bold) - " <i>Implement water restrictions for water users serviced by municipal water supplies when the management flows/levels for the resource from which the water is taken are reached. <b>The water restrictions would be based on the following method...(not specified in submission).</b></i> " (Inferred)  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 43    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.20 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is adopted as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 44    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.21 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) :<br><i>"Ensure any new proposal to dam water within the bed of a river provides for:</i><br><i>(a) <b>retention of</b> an effective passage of fish where there <b>is</b> migration of indigenous fish species, trout and salmon already occurs</i> <del>ring</del> <i> past the proposed dam site;</i><br><i>(b) <b>Recognise and proved for the exclusion of trout and salmon where the dam is to be used as part of restoring/establishing native species habitat; and</b></i><br><del>(b)</del> <i>(c) sufficient flow and flow variability downstream of the dam structure to maintain:</i><br><i>(i) existing indigenous fish habitats and the habitats of trout and salmon; and</i><br><i>(ii) permitted or authorised uses of water; and</i><br><i>(iii) flushing flows below the dam;</i><br><del>(e)</del> <i>(d) the natural character of any waterbody downstream of the dam structure; and</i><br><i>have regard to the matters in (a) to (c) when considering any resource consent application to continue damming water."</i><br>And, that the policy is combined with Policy 5.2.22. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 45    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.22 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is combined with Policy 5.2.21.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 46    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.25 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 47    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The amendment of the Policy is sought however the Submitter has not provided any specific changes in the submission.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 48    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 49    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.4  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out) - " <i>Establish allocation volumes for municipal water supplies and avoid applying management flows and levels to the taking of water for the purpose of municipal supply.</i> "   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 50    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out) - " <i>Allocate water within any class on a first-in, first-served basis through the resource consent process until the allocation limit is reached for the first time. In addition ensure that the water to be allocated is reasonable for the intended end use.</i> " |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 51    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is adopted as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 52    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is retained as notified.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 53    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is retained; and<br>the Policy is combined with Policy 5.2.9.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 54    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.11 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is retained as notified.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 55    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out) - " <i>Enable the construction of bores while recognising that this policy does not authorise the taking of water for any purpose other than bore testing.</i> "  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 56    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) -<br><p><i>" The duration of water permits to take water will reflect the circumstances of the take and the actual and potential adverse effects, but <del>should</del> generally:</i></p> <p><i>(a) not be less than 30 years when the take is from a water resource:</i></p> <p><i>(i) that has a water allocation limit specified in Schedule 1 of Appendix 6; and</i></p> <p><i>(ii) that has a minimum flow or level specified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 6; and</i></p> <p><i>(iii) that is not over-allocated; or</i></p> <p><i>(b) not be more than <del>ten</del><b>fifteen</b> years when the take is from an over-allocated water resource as specified in Policy 5.5.1; or</i></p> <p><i>(c) <b>may</b> not be more than <del>ten</del><b>fifteen</b> years when the take is from a water resource that has a default environmental flow established in accordance with Policies 5.2.7 and 5.2.14, <b>unless supporting information can be supplied.</b>"</i></p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 57    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 58    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>The lapse period for water permits to take water shall be no more than <del>two</del><b>five</b> years.</i> "<br>And, the Policy is combined with Policy 5.4.3.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 59    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The explanatory text for this Policy is amend as follows (bold) - " <i>Section 125(1A)(a) specifies that a resource consent does not lapse if the consent is "given effect to."</i> There was uncertainty during the administration of the previous resource management plans as to what this term meant in the context of a water permit. To avoid confusion in the future, this policy clearly describes that a water permit is given effect to when, in conjunction with Policy 7.4, water is taken from the freshwater resource, the take is measured via an appropriate meter and the water is used for the purpose in which it was granted. <b>Giving effect to in the case of a staged development is as when water is taken for the first stage.</b> "   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 60    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to add the following - " <b>(h) the effects of the transfer on other consented takes.</b> "   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 61    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended as follows (strike out and bold) - " <del>Enable access to water that has been allocated but is not currently being utilised by individual water permit holders through the transfer of water permits.</del> <b>Enable the transferring of water between water users either within the same FMU or catchment or groundwater aquifer provided the effects of the transfer upon existing users of the water resource is adequately mitigated.</b> "   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 62    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is deleted and replaced as follows (strike out and bold) -<br>" <del>No new water permit will be granted authorising additional abstraction from the water resources identified in Policy 5.5.1 after 9 June 2016.</del> "<br><b>"Except as provided for by S124 of the Act, no new water permit will be granted authorising additional abstraction from water resources which as been identified as over allocated. The council may grant permits pursuant to S124 provided the amount of water being sought is reasonable for its intended use, and is the same or lesser rate and volume of the permit already held."</b> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 63    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - " <del>Avoid</del> <b>Require appropriate supporting information before considering any additional diversion of water from over-allocated water resources for use on land in other freshwater management units.</b> "  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 64    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.5 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That a new policy is included in the Plan which reads as follows -</p> <p><b>"Exceedance's of allocable flows will be phased out by some or all of the following methods:</b></p> <p><b>a) Ceasing any new allocation of water (not including the replacement of previously consented taking of water subject to the requirements of s124B of the RMA after 9 August 2008)</b></p> <p><b>b) Encouraging voluntary reductions or promoting water augmentation/harvesting</b></p> <p><b>c) Reviewing conditions of existing consents to determine if any efficiency gains can be made, including through altering the volume, rate or timing of the take provided this does not invalidate the exercise of the consent for its original purpose</b></p> <p><b>d) Shared reduction across the catchment either by consent review for existing takes or as resource consents for takes expire. Shared reductions may also be achieved by anticipating the expiry of existing consents in a catchment</b></p> <p><b>e) Rostering users, so they are not all taking at once or alternatively reducing the rate of permissible takes</b></p> <p><b>f) Directing new applications or replacement of existing resource consents consider alternatives to the water take or to other potential sources of water (e.g. groundwater, water harvesting)</b></p> <p><b>g) Temporarily restricting the taking of water by the issuing of a water shortage direction under section 329 of the RMA</b></p> <p><b>h) Encouraging the establishment of catchment groups or voluntary agreements between water users to achieve necessary reductions in catchment water use</b></p> <p><b>i) Reduce permitted takes, excluding those provided for by s14 (3)(b) of the RMA, through a pro rata reduction in the rate of take and where necessary through a reduction in the daily permitted volume via a plan change</b></p> <p><b>j) Undertake an assessment of sustainable yield or allocable flow."</b></p> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 65    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.6.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the policy as follows (bold) - <b>"Unless there is an identified aquifer dominant Freshwater Management Unit, all water within a catchment will be managed as a surface water resource. This means that the minimum flow, management flow and allocation limit established for the river dominant Freshwater Management Unit will also apply to groundwater takes. A transition period (the Submitter has not provided any specific duration for the period) is provided so that those with existing groundwater takes can organise alternative sources."</b></p>  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 66    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>When resource consent is to be granted to <b>take and</b> use water, every proposed use will be authorised <b>where appropriate</b> by a separate water permit. Categories include municipal, irrigation, industrial, residential, commercial and frost fighting.</i> "  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 67    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is retained ( <i>inferred</i> ); and the Policy is combined with Policy 5.7.3.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 68    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is retained ( <i>inferred</i> ); and the Policy is combined with Policy 5.7.2.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 69    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is deleted and replaced as follows (strike out and bold) -<br>" <del>Require water permit holders to measure their water take with a pulse emitting meter, to record water take and use with a data logger, and to transfer the recorded water take and use information by the use of telemetry. Alternative methods of measurement, recording or transfer that provide the Marlborough District Council with accurate water take and use data may be considered.</del> "<br>" <b>Require water takes to be measured to within +/- (the Submitter has not provided any specific percentage) % of the water take.</b> " |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 70    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is retained as notified.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 71    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is retained as notified.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 72    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2 | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the policy is retained ( <i>inferred</i> ); and the Policy is combined with Policy 5.2.9 and Policy 5.3.10.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 73    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is retained as notified.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 74    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>The annual volume of water taken for storage shall not exceed <del>a volume equivalent to the authorised rate of take for irrigation purposes for two irrigation seasons for the property or properties to be served by the stored water</del> <b>the amount required for reasonable use.</b></i> "   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 75    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is retained as notified.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 76    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>Once an allocation limit is reached and that part of the water resource is fully allocated, any water that subsequently becomes free to allocate to other users will only be made available to those users through a system of ballot. <b>Provision should be made for industry oversight of ballot system.</b></i> " ( <i>Inferred - Submitter did not specify how provision should be made.</i> ) |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 77    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is adopted as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 78    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is retained as notified.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 79    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5J      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Issue is moved to Chapter 13: The Use of the Coastal Environment. ( <i>Inferred</i> )   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 80    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Objective 6.1 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Objective is retained as notified.  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 81    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Recognise that the following natural elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities <b>may</b> contribute to natural character:</i></p> <p><i>(a) areas or water bodies in their natural state or close to their natural state;</i></p> <p><i>(b) coastal or freshwater landforms and landscapes (including seascape);</i></p> <p><i>(c) coastal or freshwater physical processes (including the natural movement of water and sediments);</i></p> <p><i>(d) biodiversity (including individual indigenous species, their habitats and communities they form);</i></p> <p><i>(e) biological processes and patterns;</i></p> <p><i>(f) water flows and levels and water quality; and</i></p> <p><i>(g) the experience of the above elements, patterns and processes, including unmodified, scenic and wilderness qualities."</i></p> <p>And, the explanatory text for the Policy is amended as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"This policy describes those matters considered to contribute to the natural character of coastal and river environments. This provides MEP users with a clear understanding of the meaning of natural character. <b>It is acknowledged that these values may contribute to natural character, and is intended to assist and provide clarity but is by no means exclusive and nor does it represent a hierarchy, and that Natural Character is on a spectrum.</b>"</i></p> |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 82    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the policy amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - <i>"The extent of the coastal environment is <b>mapped in consultation with landholders, the community, tangata whenua and other key stakeholders, and</b> identified in the Marlborough Environment Plan to establish the areas of land and coastal marine area to which management may need to be applied in order to protect the natural character of the coastal environment from inappropriate subdivision, use and development."</i></p> <p>And, the Policy is moved to the Coastal Environment Chapter.</p>   |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 83    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                     |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 84    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.6  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 85    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the explanatory text for the Objective is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>This objective meets the expectations of Section 6(a) of the RMA, which establishes that preservation of natural character is a matter of national importance. <b>Activities that are consistent with underlying zoning and existing land uses will be considered appropriate.</b></i> "  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 86    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - " <del>Avoid the adverse effects of</del> <b>Enable</b> subdivision, use or development on areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character values <b>where the activity is consistent with underlying zoning and existing land uses, and</b> on lakes and rivers and their margins with high and very high natural character values and <b>where significant adverse effects of inappropriate activities can be avoided, remedied or mitigated.</b> " |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 87    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 88    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 89    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out) - " <i>Where resource consent is required to undertake an activity within coastal <del>or freshwater</del> environments with high, very high or outstanding natural character, regard will be had to the potential adverse effects of the proposal on the elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities that contribute to natural character.</i> "   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 90    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is adopted as proposed but with recognition that modified landscapes include any past and present farming land use activities.<br><i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Policy)</i>   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 91    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.6  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>In assessing the appropriateness of subdivision, use or development in coastal or freshwater environments, <b>where appropriate</b> regard shall be given to the potential to enhance natural character in the area subject to the proposal.</i> "  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 92    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.8  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is deleted.   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 93    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.9  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 94    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That an additional policy is under this Objective which reads as follows - " <i><b>In evaluating applications for resource consent, recognise the efforts of private landowners, community groups and others to maintain, protect and restore the natural character of the coastal environment, wetlands, lakes and rivers.</b></i> "   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 95    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the following new method is added under this Issue - " <i><b>The Council is required to work cooperatively with land occupiers, community and industry groups whom are undertaking voluntary stewardship activities.</b></i> " (Inferred)  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 96    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Objective 7.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Objective be amended to read as follows (strike out) - "<br><i>Identify Marlborough's outstanding natural features <del>and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value.</del></i> "<br><br>And, that landscapes with high amenity value and all associated provisions are deleted from the Plan. (The Submitter has not identified the specific maps they seek to delete the high amenity value landscapes from, or the specific provisions that reference high amenity value landscapes that they seek to be deleted.) |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 97    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.1.1  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><p><i>"When assessing the values of Marlborough's <b>outstanding natural</b> landscapes, the following criteria will be used:</i></p> <p><i>(a) biophysical values, including geological and ecological elements;</i></p> <p><i>(b) sensory values, including aesthetics, natural beauty and visual perception; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) associative values, including cultural and historic values and landscapes that are widely known and valued by the immediate and wider community for their contribution to a sense of place.</i></p> <p><b><i>A landscape must meet all or most criteria to be classified as an Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape, and the above criteria must be used to determine the special extent of the landscape.</i></b></p>   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 98    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That a new policy is included which reads as follows - " <b><i>Recognise and provide for farming and rural activities where these currently occur on ONFLs and are consistent with the identified values and attributes.</i></b> "  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 99    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted.   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 100   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policies 7.1.3 and 7.1.4 are combined and amended as follows (strike out and bold):<br><p><i>"Assessment of the values in Policy 7.1.1 will determine:</i></p> <p><i>(a) whether a landscape is identified as an outstanding natural feature and landscape in terms of Section 6(b) of the Resource Management Act 1991;</i></p> <p><del><i>(b) whether the landscape has high amenity value in terms of Section 7(c) of the Resource Management Act 1991; or</i></del></p> <p><b><i>(b) what the specific values and attributes of the identified ONFL are so these can be listed in Appendix 1 of Volume 3 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i></b></p> <p><i>(c) where <b>outstanding</b> landscape values are not sensitive to change.</i></p> <p><b><i>Landscapes that meet the criteria to be identified as an outstanding natural feature and landscape will be specifically identified on the Landscape Overlay.</i></b></p> |       |          |             |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 101   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted as it is submitted it should be combined with Policy 7.1.3 (see submission on 7.1.3).  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 102   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Objective is amended to read as follows (strike out) - " <i>Protect outstanding natural features and landscapes from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and maintain and enhance landscapes with high amenity value.</i> "   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 103   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.2.1 is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - " <del>Control</del> <b>Manage</b> activities that have the potential to <del>degrade</del> <b>affect</b> those values contributing to outstanding natural features and landscapes by requiring activities and structures to be subject to a comprehensive assessment of effects on landscape values through the resource consent process <b>through permitted activity standards that ensure activities avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects.</b> "   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 104   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a new policy is added under this Objective which reads as follows - " <b>Activities that are consistent with the values and factors of Outstanding Natural Landscapes will be recognised for their contribution to the landscape and provided for. Primary production activities in particular will be enabled.</b> "  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 105   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted (if the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape Overlay is deleted as per a separate submission); or<br><br>That the Policy is amended as follows (if the values for the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape are amended in Appendix 1 as per a separate submission) (strike out and bold) - " <del>Control</del> <b>Enable</b> activities that <del>have the potential to degrade</del> <b>are consistent with</b> the amenity values that contribute to the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape by:<br><br>(a) setting permitted activity standards that are consistent with the existing landscape values <b>and uses</b> and that will require greater assessment where <del>proposed activities and structures exceed those standards;</del> and<br><br>(b) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities. |       |          |             |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 106   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape Overlay is deleted (if Policy 7.2.2 is deleted as per a separate submission).<br><i>(Inferred as specific map to be amended was not identified by the Submitter.)</i>  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 107   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape Overlay is deleted (if Policy 7.2.2 is deleted as per a separate submission).<br><i>(Inferred as specific map to be amended was not identified by the Submitter.)</i>  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 108   | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Under the section in this Appendix headed "Areas with high amenity landscape values" and the sub-section headed "B. Wairau Dry Hills Landscape", add an additional value to the list of values as follows (if Policy 7.2.2 is amended as per a separate submission) - " <b>Farming and rural activities positively contribute to the values and attributes of the Wairau Dry Hills.</b> "  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 109   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) -<br><br><b>"For areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape that are classified as an Outstanding Feature and Landscape, Control Enable</b> activities that <del>have the potential to degrade</del> <b>are consistent with</b> the amenity values <b>and attributes</b> that contribute to these areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape <del>not identified as being an outstanding natural feature and landscape by:</del><br>(a) using a non-regulatory approach as the means of maintaining and enhancing landscape values in areas of this landscape zoned as Coastal Living;<br>(b) setting <b>permitted</b> standards/conditions that are consistent with the existing landscape values and land uses.<br>(c) <del>requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities.</del> <i>(Partially inferred)</i> |       |          |   |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 110   | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |              | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Under the section in this Appendix headed "Areas with high amenity landscape values" and the sub-section headed "A. Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape", add an additional value to the list of values as follows - " <b>Farming and rural activities positively contribute to the values and attributes of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape.</b> "  |       |          |             |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 111   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the policy is deleted; or</p> <p>That the Policy 7.2.4 is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold):</p> <p><i>"Where resource consent is required to undertake an activity within an outstanding natural feature and landscape <del>or a landscape with high amenity value,</del> regard will be had to the <del>potential adverse effects</del> <b>consistency of the proposal</b> <del>on</del> <b>with</b> the values that contribute to the landscape.";</i> and,</p> <p>that farming and rural activities are recognised in Appendix 1 as positively contributing to the values and attributes of ONFLs where they occur, and are provided for as permitted. <i>(The Submitter has not advised the locations where an ONFL is identified over rural or farmland for which they seek the addition of the value, therefore specific changes to Appendix 1 have not been able to be recorded in the submission.)</i></p> |       |          |             |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 112   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - "<i>Avoid adverse effects on the values <b>and land uses</b> that contribute to outstanding natural features and landscapes in the first instance. Where adverse effects cannot be avoided and the activity is not proposed to take place in the coastal environment, ensure that the adverse effects are remedied <b>or mitigated.</b></i>"</p> <p>And, that farming and rural activities are recognised in Appendix 1 as positively contributing to the values and attributes of ONFLs where they occur. <i>(The Submitter has not advised the locations where an ONFL is identified over rural or farmland for which they seek the addition of the value, therefore specific changes to Appendix 1 have not been able to be recorded in the submission.)</i></p>  |       |          |             |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 113   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.6 | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.2.6 is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><p><i>"Where the following activities are proposed to take place in an area with outstanding natural features and landscapes, then any adverse effects on the values of those areas can be mitigated, provided the overall qualities and integrity of the wider outstanding natural feature and landscape are retained:</i></p> <p><i>(a) activities involving the development and operation of regionally significant infrastructure, <b>including irrigation schemes</b>;</i></p> <p><i>(b) activities that enhance passive recreational opportunities for the public where these are of a smaller scale; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) activities involving the development and operation of renewable electricity generation schemes within Marlborough where the method of generation is reversible.</i></p> <p><b><i>(d) Farming and primary production.</i></b>"</p> |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 114   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted and replaced with the following new Policy - " <b><i>Applications for subdivision, use and development in outstanding natural features and landscapes must demonstrate that activities, including buildings and earthworks, will be located, designed and of a scale and character that will ensure that the values of the areas are protected.</i></b> "  |       |          |             |               |                 |
|                    | That the Plan is amended so that only outstanding natural features and landscapes are granted protection, and not landscapes with high amenity value.<br><p><i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Plan)</i></p>   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 115   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to reads follows (bold) - " <b><i>Recognise that some outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value will fall within areas in which primary production activities currently occur, and accept farming is an appropriate land use involving activities which may modify the landscape.</i></b> "   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 116   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is deleted.   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 117   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |             |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 118   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.11 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 119   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.12 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is deleted.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 120   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Issue 8A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the explanatory text for the Policy is amended to provide a more accurate context as to the historical drivers for vegetation clearance.<br><i>(The Submitter did not provide specific wording changes to the explanation.)</i>  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 121   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Objective is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Marlborough's <del>remaining</del> significant indigenous biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments is protected.</i> "  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 122   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Objective be amended to read as follows - " <b>To encourage the <del>An</del> increase in area/extent of Marlborough's indigenous biodiversity <b>protected by voluntary legal mechanisms</b>, and restoration or improvement in the condition of areas that have been degraded.</b> " |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 123   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) -</p> <p>"When assessing whether wetlands, marine or terrestrial ecosystems, habitats and areas have significant indigenous biodiversity value, the following criteria will be used:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) representativeness;</li> <li>(b) rarity;</li> <li>(c) diversity and pattern;</li> <li>(d) distinctiveness;</li> <li>(e) size and shape;</li> <li>(f) connectivity/ecological context;</li> <li>(g) sustainability; and</li> <li>(h) adjacent catchment modifications.</li> </ul> <p>For a site to be considered significant, <b>at least</b> one of the first four criteria (representativeness, rarity, diversity and pattern or distinctiveness/special ecological characteristics) must rank <del>medium</del> or high <b>and/or two or more must rank medium, as detailed in Appendix 3.</b></p> |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 124   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Policy is amended as follows (bold) - "<i>Sites in the coastal marine area and natural wetlands assessed as having significant indigenous biodiversity value will be specifically identified in the Marlborough Environment Plan, <b>on maps and in a schedule that includes descriptions of the qualities of each Significant Wetland.</b></i>"</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 125   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new policy under this Objective as follows - "<b><i>Voluntary actions that maintain or enhance indigenous biodiversity shall be recognised and encouraged.</i></b>"</p>  |       |          |                           |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 126   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>Having adequate information on the state of biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments in Marlborough to enable decision makers to assess the impact on biodiversity values from various activities and uses, <b>and to determine permitted activity standards.</b></i> " |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 127   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 128   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 129   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended as follows (bold) - " <i>Priority <b>for Council partnership resources</b> will be given to the protection, maintenance and restoration of habitats, ecosystems and areas that have significant indigenous biodiversity values, particularly those that are legally protected.</i> "   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 130   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended as follows - " <i>Priority <b>for Council partnership resources</b> will be given to the <b>voluntary</b> re-establishment <b>of areas</b> of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough's lowland environments.</i> "   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 131   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>Encourage the <b>voluntary</b> legal protection of sites with significant indigenous biodiversity value through covenanting.</i> "  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 132   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.6 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy; or<br><br>Combine Policy with Policy 9.1.1.  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 133   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.7 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 134   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.8  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy.  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 135   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy.  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 136   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 137   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>Promote <b>the enhancement of Council-owned esplanade</b> corridors of indigenous vegetation along waterbodies to allow the establishment of native ecosystems and to provide wildlife habitat and linkages to other fragmented bush or wetland remnants.</i> " |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 138   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 139   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 140   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 141   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>Control</del> <b>Enable</b> vegetation clearance activities <b>which have a minimal effect on to retain ecosystems, habitats and areas with indigenous biodiversity value.</b> "   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 142   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.4  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>Improve the management of drainage channel maintenance activities <b>within Council's own drainage channel network</b> to mitigate the adverse effects from these activities on the habitats of indigenous freshwater species.</i>  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 143   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity        | Policy 8.3.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The amendment of the Policy is sought.<br><i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Policy)</i>   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 144   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity        | Policy 8.3.6 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 145   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity        | Policy 8.3.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is moved to Chapter 13: Use of the Coastal Environment.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 146   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | 6.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That appropriate subdivision, development and activities are provided for when consistent with Natural Character values. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes or additions sought to the provisions of this Chapter)</i>   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 147   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the objectives and policies in this Chapter are redrafted to appropriately recognise the importance of reliable and adequate freshwater supplies to the Marlborough region. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of this Chapter)</i><br><br>That Chapters 5 (Allocation of Public Resources) & Chapter 15 (Resource Quality (Water section)) are combined and redrafted to remove inconsistencies and superfluous policies. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of these Chapters)</i> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|--------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 148   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the objectives and policies in this Chapter are redrafted to appropriately recognise the importance of reliable and adequate freshwater supplies to the Marlborough region. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of this Chapter)</i></p> <p>That Chapters 5 (Allocation of Public Resources) &amp; Chapter 15 (Resource Quality (Water section)) are combined and redrafted to remove inconsistencies and superfluous policies. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of these Chapters)</i></p>   |       |          |  |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 149   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space         | Policy 9.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold):</p> <p><i>"The following areas are identified as having a high degree of importance for public access and the Marlborough District Council will as a priority focus on enhancing access to and within these areas <b>by obtaining esplanade reserves and strips</b>:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Wairau River from State Highway 63 bridge to the sea;</i></p> <p><i>(b) high priority waterbodies for public access on the Wairau Plain and in close proximity to Picton, Waikawa, Havelock, Renwick, Seddon, Ward and Okiwi Bay;</i></p> <p><i>(c) coastal marine area, particularly in and near Picton, Waikawa and Havelock, Kaiuma Bay, Queen Charlotte Sound (including Tory Channel), Port Underwood, Kenepuru Sound, Mahau Sound, Mahikipawa Arm and Croiselles Harbour, Rarangi to the Wairau River mouth, Wairau Lagoons, Marfells Beach and Ward Beach;</i></p> <p><i>(d) connections would be made with other public land (including esplanade reserves) or other land where esplanade strips or access strips already exist; and</i></p> <p><i>(e) the Queen Charlotte Track."</i></p> |       |          |  |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 150   | Volume 1 | All                                    |              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That any issues, objectives, and policies relating specifically to coastal issues are included in Chapter 13: Use of the Coastal Environment. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of this Volume)</i></p>  |       |          |  |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 151   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | 8.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That pest management is recognised as a legitimate means of protecting biodiversity outcomes and therefore enabled through the policies and rules in the Plan.</p> <p><i>(Submitter has not identified the specific additional policies or rules sought)</i></p>  |       |          |  |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 152   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | 9.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>- That the Chapter is edited to be made more concise and succinct.</p> <p>- That the policies in the Chapter are integrated with other policies found throughout the Plan.</p> <p><i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of this Chapter)</i></p>   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 153   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | Policy 8.3.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Policy is amended as follows (strike through):</p> <p><del>"With the exception of areas with significant indigenous biodiversity value, where indigenous biodiversity values will be adversely affected through land use or other activities, a biodiversity offset can be considered to mitigate residual adverse effects. Where a biodiversity offset is proposed, the following criteria will apply:</del></p> <p><i>(a) the offset will only compensate for residual adverse effects that cannot otherwise be avoided, remedied or mitigated;</i></p> <p><i>(a) the residual adverse effects on biodiversity are capable of being offset and will be fully compensated by the offset to ensure no net loss of biodiversity;</i></p> <p><i>(b) where the area to be offset is identified as a national priority for protection under Objective 8.1, the offset must deliver a net gain for biodiversity;</i></p> <p><i>(c) there is a strong likelihood that the offsets will be achieved in perpetuity;</i></p> <p><i>(d) where the offset involves the ongoing protection of a separate site, it will deliver no net loss and preferably a net gain for indigenous biodiversity protection; and</i></p> <p><i>(e) offsets should re-establish or protect the same type of ecosystem or habitat that is adversely affected, unless an alternative ecosystem or habitat will provide a net gain for indigenous biodiversity."</i></p> |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 154   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Objective 9.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"The public are able to enjoy the amenity and recreational opportunities of Marlborough's coastal environment, rivers, lakes, high country and areas of historic interest <b>via public access where it is safe and appropriate to do so, and with landowner permission.</b>"</i></p> <p>And, that landowner access across their own property is clearly separated from public access in this chapter <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of this Chapter regarding this point).</i></p>   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 155   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.2  | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That Policy 9.1.2 is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"In addition to the specified areas in Policy 9.1.1, the need for public access to be enhanced to and along the coastal marine area, lakes and rivers <b>by esplanade reserves and strips</b> will be considered at the time of subdivision or development, in accordance with the following criteria:</i><br><br><i>a) there is existing public recreational use of the area in question, or improving access would promote outdoor recreation;</i><br><i>b) connections between existing public areas would be provided;</i><br><i>c) physical access for people with disabilities would be desirable; and</i><br><i>d) providing access to areas or sites of cultural or historic significance is important."</i> |       |          |                                |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 156   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 9.1.3 is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Where public access is enhanced in priority locations, steps shall be taken to ensure this does not result in:</i><br><br><i>(a) adverse effects on the wider environment of that location from littering, unsanitary disposal of human waste or damage to vegetation; or</i><br><i>(b) conflicts between users that would detract from public enjoyment of the area, or</i><br><i>(c) trespass over private land, <b>or</b></i><br><i><b>(d) an impediment to landowner access or,</b></i><br><i><b>(e) adverse effects on neighbouring land uses."</b></i>  |       |          |                                |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 157   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |                                |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 158   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy.  |       |          |                                |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 159   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy as notified.  |       |          |                                |              |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 160   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.9 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>Enhance public access</del> <b>recreational opportunities</b> through:<br>(a) <i>development of networks for cycling and walking in both rural and urban areas; and</i><br>(b) <i>facilitating public access and recreational use of Marlborough District Council owned or administered land.</i> "  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 161   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 162   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.12 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold):<br>" <i>In considering whether to waive the requirement for, or to reduce/increase the width of an esplanade reserve or esplanade strip of 20 metres in width, the Marlborough District Council shall have regard to:</i><br>(a) <i>whether the application is in an area identified as having a high degree of importance for public access, as set out in Policy 9.1.1; and</i><br>(b) <i>the width required to effectively provide physical access along the waterbody;</i><br><i>while taking into account the following special circumstances:</i><br>(c) <i>whether significant ecological, conservation or cultural values exist that may be incompatible if general public access to the site is allowed;</i><br>(d) <i>whether significant ecological or conservation values warrant a wider esplanade reserve or esplanade strip;</i><br>(e) <i>whether topography renders the 20 metre width inadequate or excessive for public access;</i><br>(f) <i>whether the site is in an urban zone, where a reduced width of esplanade reserves/strips to 8 metres is generally considered sufficient;</i><br>(g) <i>whether the provision of public access along the esplanade reserve or esplanade strip would result in health or safety risks to the public using the reserve or strip <b>or landowner or facility involved, for example, where there are defence lands, existing road reserve, sensitive machinery, network utilities or works;</b> and</i><br>(h) <i>taking an esplanade reserve or esplanade strip would not enhance public access to or along the waterbody over time;</i><br><b>(i) the subdivision involves only a minor boundary adjustment; and</b><br><b>(j) where the land is protected in perpetuity, provided that public access is secured along the margins of the coast, river or lake concerned.</b> " |       |          |                                |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 163   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold):</p> <p>"When considering resource consent applications for activities, subdivision or structures in or adjacent to the coastal marine area, lakes or rivers, the impact on public access shall be assessed against the following:</p> <p>(a) whether the application is in an area identified as having a high degree of importance for public access, as set out in Policy 9.1.1;</p> <p>(b) the need for the activity/structure to be located in the coastal marine area and why it cannot be located elsewhere;</p> <p>(c) the need for the activity/structure to be located in a river bed and why it cannot be located elsewhere;</p> <p>(d) the extent to which the activity/subdivision/structure would benefit or adversely affect public access, customary access and recreational use, irrespective of its intended purpose;</p> <p>(e) in the coastal marine area, whether exclusive rights of occupation are being sought as part of the application;</p> <p>(f) for the Marlborough Sounds, whether there is practical road access to the site of the application;</p> <p>(g) how public access around or over any structure sought as part of an application is to be provided for;</p> <p>(h) whether the impact on public access is temporary or permanent and whether there is any alternative public access available; <del>and</del></p> <p>(i) whether public access is able to be restricted in accordance with Policies 9.2.1 and 9.2.2; <b>and</b></p> <p><b>(j) the positive impacts of the activity, subdivision or structure from locating the development in that location."</b></p> |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 164   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.15 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Recognise the benefits of the presence of unformed legal road <b>where access is appropriate and will not unreasonably disrupt surrounding land uses or be unsafe</b>, as a means to enhance access to and along waterbodies (including the coast) and to public land."</i></p>  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 165   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.16 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the policy is amended as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"In considering an application to stop any unformed legal road, the Marlborough District Council shall consider the following:</p> <p>(a) current level of use, including whether the unformed legal road is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the sole or most convenient means of access to any existing lot(s) that is public land or feature (for example, a river or the coast); or</li> <li>- used as a walkway or to access conservation land;</li> </ul> <p>(b) opportunities for future use, including whether the unformed legal road will be needed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- to service future residential, commercial, industrial or primary production developments; or</li> <li>- in the future, to connect existing roads;</li> </ul> <p>(c) alternative uses of the land, including its current or potential value for amenity or conservation functions, e.g. walkway, utilities corridor, esplanade strip or access way to features such as a river or the coast;</p> <p>(d) whether there is alternative and practical existing public access to the same end point of the unformed legal road; <del>and</del></p> <p>(e) whether acceptable alternative access can be provided to offset the stopping of the unformed legal road;</p> <p><b>(f) whether there is public access to the other end of the unformed legal road; and</b></p> <p><b>(g) the existing land use and the degree of disruption provided to legitimate activities occurring on the land surrounding the paper road."</b></p> |       |          |                                |              |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 166   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.2.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><i>"Public access to and along the coastal marine area and the margins of lakes and rivers may be restricted to:</i><br><i>(a) ensure a level of security consistent with the purpose of a resource consent or designation;</i><br><i>(b) protect areas of significant indigenous vegetation and/or significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</i><br><i>(c) protect cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i><br><i>(d) allow for foot access only;</i><br><i>(e) protect public health and safety and animal welfare and to manage fire risk;</i><br><i>(f) protect heritage, natural or cultural values; and</i><br><i>(g) in other exceptional circumstances sufficient to justify the restriction, notwithstanding the national importance of maintaining that access; and</i><br><b><i>(h) ensure this does not result in trespass over private land, impede landowner access or cause adverse effects on neighbouring land uses."</i></b> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 167   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Objective 9.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 168   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Objective 9.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a new Policy is added under Objective 9.2 (inferred) which reads as follows -<br><b><i>"Provide for, where it is appropriate, the waiving of requirements for esplanade areas including esplanade reserves and esplanade strips."</i></b>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 169   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.3.5   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read:<br><i>"Ensure the community is <del>adequately</del> <b>appropriately</b> informed about areas of open space, reserves and recreational facilities and the opportunities available to access them."</i>  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 170   | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Objective 10.1 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The Objective is rewritten to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"Retain and protect heritage resources that contribute to the character of Marlborough. <b>To recognise and where appropriate, protect archaeological, historic and cultural sites, buildings, places of historic and cultural heritage of the district from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.</b>"</i>                           |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 171   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.3.8   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 172   | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Objective 10.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Objective 10.2 is deleted and replaced as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"Retain and protect trees that make a notable contribution to Marlborough's character. <b>To recognise and where appropriate, protect notable trees from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.</b>"</i>  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 173   | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.11 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 174   | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Liaise with Heritage New Zealand, the New Zealand Archaeological Association and Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi to develop and implement an appropriate discovery protocol for archaeological sites. <b>Council will meet the cost for an archaeological or cultural impact assessment for unrecorded heritage sites that are accidentally discovered.</b>"</i> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 175   | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.9  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 176   | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.8  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted and Waahi Tapu sites undergo the same identification, mapping and management as other heritage resources.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 177   | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.7  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|--------|---------|-----------|------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold):</p> <p><i>When assessing resource consent applications in relation to heritage resources, have regard to:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>(a) the contribution the heritage resource makes to the local or national identity and sense of place;</i></li> <li><i>(b) the effect demolition, removal, alteration or additions will have on the heritage values of the heritage resource;</i></li> <li><i>(c) the extent to which the adaptive reuse of a heritage resource enables reasonable and economic use of that resource;</i></li> <li><i>(d) the extent to which the work is necessary to enable the continued use of the heritage resource;</i></li> <li><i>(e) the extent to which the work is necessary to ensure structural stability, accessibility, fire egress, sufficient earthquake strengthening, and the extent of the impact of the work on the heritage values of the heritage resource;</i></li> <li><i>(f) any cumulative effects, especially where the resource is part of a group of similar resources;</i></li> <li><i>(g) efforts by the applicant to retain important features of the heritage resource;</i></li> <li><i>(h) the extent to which any alteration or addition is in keeping with the original design and materials, or otherwise enhances the heritage value of the resource;</i></li> <li><i>(i) the need for ongoing recognition of the significance of sites currently identified by monuments or plaques;</i></li> <li><i>(j) options for retaining a heritage resource when its demolition is proposed; <del>and</del></i></li> <li><i>(k) for heritage resources on the New Zealand Heritage List/Rarangi Korero, the views of Heritage New Zealand; <b>and</b></i></li> </ul> <p><b><i>(l) the positive effects on economic, social and cultural wellbeing arising from the proposal.</i></b></p> |       |        |         |           |      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 178   | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Policy is rewritten and combined with Policy 10.1.5 as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>Policy 10.1.5 - "<del>Avoid adverse effects on the historic heritage values of Category I heritage resources.</del>"</p> <p>Policy 10.1.6 - "<del>Where modifications are proposed to Category I heritage resources and other heritage resources, the adverse effects of the modifications on the values of the resources should be avoided, remedied or mitigated.</del>"</p> <p>Rewritten policy -</p> <p><b>"Conserve the values of scheduled heritage resources via permitted activity standards. Where resource consent is required for proposed modifications are proposed to Category I heritage resources and other heritage resources, the adverse effects of the modifications on the values of the resources should be avoided, remedied or mitigated while achieving sustainable management."</b></p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 179   | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Policy is rewritten and combined with Policy 10.1.6 as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>Policy 10.1.5 - "<del>Avoid adverse effects on the historic heritage values of Category I heritage resources.</del>"</p> <p>Policy 10.1.6 - "<del>Where modifications are proposed to Category I heritage resources and other heritage resources, the adverse effects of the modifications on the values of the resources should be avoided, remedied or mitigated.</del>"</p> <p>Rewritten policy -</p> <p><b>"Conserve the values of scheduled heritage resources via permitted activity standards. Where resource consent is required for proposed modifications are proposed to Category I heritage resources and other heritage resources, the adverse effects of the modifications on the values of the resources should be avoided, remedied or mitigated while achieving sustainable management."</b></p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 180   | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.4 | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Increase the community's awareness of historic heritage values by identifying heritage resources, including historic buildings, places, sites, monuments and plaques that meet the following criteria for significance in the Marlborough Environment Plan:</i></p> <p><i>(a) have value as a local landmark, over a significant length of time;</i></p> <p><i>(b) have historic association with a person or event of note, or has strong public association for any reason;</i></p> <p><i>(c) reflect past skills, style, materials, methods of construction or workmanship that would make it of educational or architectural value;</i></p> <p><i>(d) is unique or rare in relation to particular historical themes, or is a work of art;</i></p> <p><i>(e) is important to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi; and</i></p> <p><i>(f) forms part of a precinct or area of heritage value.</i></p> <p><b><i>It is acknowledged that it is appropriate to seek landowner input in the identification of heritage resources on private land.</i></b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 181   | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><i>"Identify and provide appropriate protection to Marlborough's <b>significant</b> heritage resources (as identified in Appendix 3 and on the Zoning Maps in the Plan), including:</i></p> <p><i>(a) historic buildings (or parts of buildings), places and sites;</i></p> <p><i>(b) heritage trees;</i></p> <p><i>(c) places of significance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i></p> <p><i>(d) archaeological sites; and</i></p> <p><i>(e) monuments and plaques."</i></p>  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 182   | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Objective 10.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That a new policy is added under Objective 10.1 <i>(inferred)</i> which reads as follows -</p> <p><b><i>"Recognise and encourage the role of public and private landowners in the ongoing management and protection of Heritage resources."</i></b></p>  |       |          |   |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 183   | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 184   | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Manage Marlborough's heritage resources in association with Heritage New Zealand, the Department of Conservation, the New Zealand Archaeological Association, Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, other heritage organisations, <b>landowners</b> and the local community."</i>                     |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 185   | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Policy 11.2.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 186   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                          | 25.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clarify and/or define the terminology for "flood defences", "floodways" and the "Floodway Zone". ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 187   | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Policy 11.2.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Control <b>residential, commercial and industrial</b> land uses on or in close proximity to existing Marlborough District Council administered flood defences and within floodways to ensure that they do not compromise the effectiveness of any defence or the efficiency of any floodway."</i> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 188   | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Policy 11.2.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 189   | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Policy 11.1.22 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 190   | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Policy 11.1.21 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <i>Locate new <b>habitable</b> structures <del>and works</del> to:</i><br><i>(a) avoid them being damaged from the adverse effects of land instability; and</i><br><i>(b) avoid any increase in the adverse effects of slope instability that the structure or work may cause.</i> " |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 191   | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards   | Policy 11.1.19 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 192   | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards   | Policy 11.1.13 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 193   | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards   | Policy 11.1.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 194   | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards   | Policy 11.1.8  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 195   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That gravel extraction is recognised as a legitimate value for water resource units in Appendix 5. <i>(Submitter did not identify which specific water resource units to add gravel extraction to as a value.)</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 196   | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards   | Policy 11.1.7  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter            | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Mitigate the adverse effects of gravel extraction on ecological and recreational values, water clarity and bank stability by:</i><br><i>(a) avoiding, where practicable, extraction from the wet bed of any river;</i><br><i>(b) placing limits on:</i><br><i>(i) the timing of operations (where necessary to avoid <del>bird</del> nesting <b>of endangered riverbed nesting birds</b>);</i><br><i>(ii) the method of extraction;</i><br><i>(iii) the location of the extraction and access to the location;</i><br><i>(iv) the amount of gravel that can be extracted; and</i><br><i>(v) the length of time over which the extraction can occur."</i> |       |          |                    |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 197   | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |                    |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 198   | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><i>"To actively manage any flood hazard through the provision and maintenance of flood defences and other flood mitigation works, where there is significant community benefit <b>and adverse effects from public works on privately owned land are avoided, remedied or mitigated.</b>"</i>  |       |          |                    |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 199   | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>- That the Policy is retained as notified.</p> <p>- That all provisions for liquefaction and tunnel gully erosion are deleted from the Plan until comprehensive identification and mapping has occurred in consultation with landowners.</p> <p><i>(The specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission as it contains the above conflicting statements)</i></p>   |       |          |                    |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 200   | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards |               | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That a policy is added under Objective 11.1 which reads as follows -<br><i>"Assess the consequences of natural hazard events on Marlborough's human communities, including by considering:</i><br><i>a) The nature of activities in the area;</i><br><i>b) Individual and community vulnerability;</i><br><i>c) Impact on individual and community health and safety;</i><br><i>d) Impact on social, cultural and economic wellbeing;</i><br><i>e) Impact on infrastructure and property, including access and services;</i><br><i>f) Risk reduction and hazard mitigation measures;</i><br><i>g) Lifeline utilities, essential and emergency services, and their co-dependence;</i><br><i>h) Implications for civil defence agencies and emergency services;</i><br><i>i) Cumulative effects;</i><br><i>j) Factors that may exacerbate a hazard event;</i><br><i>k) The costs (including to landowners) of mitigating the hazard."</i> |       |          |                       |                |         |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 201   | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards    | Objective 11.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the Objective is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Reduce the risks to life, <del>property</del> <b>habitable buildings</b> and regionally significant infrastructure from natural hazards."</i>   |       |          |                       |                |         |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 202   | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | 12.            | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the Introduction is retained as notified.  |       |          |                       |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 203   | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Issue 12A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Issue is amended to as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Meeting the residential needs of Marlborough's urban population whilst ensuring residential activity does not have adverse effects on the environment. <b>Reverse sensitivity is identified as a potential issue to address, where residential activity expands into or abuts the rural areas of the District.</b>"</i></p>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 204   | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Policy 12.1.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the part (a) of the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"In addition to the characteristics listed in Policy 12.1.3, the following additional characteristics are to be maintained and apply to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the Urban Residential 2 Greenfields Zone, where:</i></p> <p><i>(i) there is a stronger connection with the Rural Environment Zone; and</i></p> <p><i>(ii) farming is enabled prior to residential development;</i></p> <p><b><i>(iii) the potential for reverse sensitivity is addressed.</i></b>"</p> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 205   | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Policy 12.2.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Policy is retained as notified.</p>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 206   | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Objective 12.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Objective is amended to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Activities that are non-residential in character, <b>with the exception of existing farming activities,</b> are appropriately located and of a scale and nature that will not create adverse effects on the character of residential environments."</i></p>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 207   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.            | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Introduction to Chapter 13 to include the following -  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· Vast areas of the landward extent of the coastal environment are held in private ownership and the predominant land use is primary production.</li> <li>· Like infrastructure, primary production activities within the coastal environment are essential to the community's economic, social and cultural wellbeing.</li> <li>· Primary production activities and the associated effects must be recognised and provided for within the coastal environment chapter.</li> </ul> <p><i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes within the text of the Introduction sought)</i></p> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 208   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That all policies relating to the use of the coastal environment and coastal marine area are contained within this Chapter.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
|                    | <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of the Chapters of Volume 1)</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
|                    | And,   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
|                    | That the objectives and policies in the Chapter are amended to better reflect the importance of primary production and the validity of primary production in the coastal environment.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
|                    | <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of the Chapters of Volume 1 specific to this submission point)</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 209   | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Objective 12.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 210   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Issue is amended as follows (bold) -  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
|                    | <i>"Trying to identify appropriate subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough's coastal environment while protecting the values of the environment, <b>including those relating to existing primary production values.</b>"</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 211   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13A      | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new objective under Issue 13A as follows -<br><br><b>"Recognise the contributions to the social, economic, and cultural wellbeing of people and communities from the use and development of the coastal marine area and coastal environment."</b><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 212   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Objective is deleted.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 213   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" Avoid <b>significant</b> adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having:<br><i>(a) outstanding natural character;</i><br><i>(b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes;</i><br><i>(c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland.</i><br><del><i>(d) significant historic heritage value.</i></del><br><br><b>as mapped in the Marlborough Environment Plan."</b> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 214   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy as seek to combine with Policy 13.1.1 ( <i>see separate submission</i> ).  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 215   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy under Objective 13.1 as follows -<br><br>"Provide that the use of production land for productive purposes will not be constrained by the identification of areas of production land as being in the coastal environment and/or having significant natural character, features/landscapes, or being of historic heritage."<br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 216   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.2 | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Objective is retained as notified.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 217   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That throughout the chapter primary production activities are acknowledged and provided for as being appropriate. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes within the text of the Chapter)</i>   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 218   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"The appropriate locations, forms and limits of subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough's coastal environment are those that recognise and provide for, and otherwise avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the following values:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the characteristics and qualities that contribute to natural character, natural features and landscape of an area;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the relationship of Maori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga;</i></p> <p><i>(c) the extensive area of open space within the coastal marine area available for the public to use and enjoy, including for recreational activities;</i></p> <p><i>(d) the importance of public access to and along the coastal marine area, including opportunities for enhancing public access;</i></p> <p><i>(e) the dynamic, complex and interdependent nature of coastal ecosystems;</i></p> <p><i>(f) the high level of water quality generally experienced in Marlborough's coastal waters; <del>and</del></i></p> <p><i>(g) those attributes that collectively contribute to individual and community expectations about coastal amenity values; <b>and</b></i></p> <p><b><i>(h) legitimate land uses including primary production.</i></b>"</p> |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 219   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy to add (j) as follows -</p> <p><b><i>"(j) existing land uses within the coastal environment."</i></b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 220   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"Attributes that may be considered when assessing any effects on coastal amenity value in a particular location include natural character, biodiversity, public access, visual quality, high water quality, recreational opportunities, structures and activities, open space, <b>and existing land use</b> <del>tranquillity and peacefulness.</del>"</i>  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 221   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 222   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"A permissive approach to recreational activities <b>in public areas</b> will be adopted, except where these:</i><br><br><i>(a) require associated structures and occupy the coastal marine area;</i><br><br><i>(b) cause adverse environmental effects, including those resulting from discharges of contaminants, excessive noise and damage to significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</i><br><br><i>(c) do not maintain or enhance public access to and along the coastal marine area;</i><br><br><i>(d) endanger public health and safety;</i><br><br><i>(e) compromise authorised uses and developments of the coastal marine area; or</i><br><br><i>(f) adversely affect the amenity values of the area."</i> |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 223   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 224   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 225   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Identify areas where residential activity can take place, <b>including providing for dwellings required as part of a working farm.</b>"</i><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 226   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Residential activity and subdivision for residential purposes <b>where it is not ancillary to the purposes of primary production should</b> take place within land that has been zoned Coastal Living, in order to:</i><br><br><i>(a) protect recreational and coastal amenity values;</i><br><br><i>(b) avoid sprawling or sporadic patterns of residential development; and</i><br><br><i>(c) protect landscape, natural character and indigenous biodiversity values."</i> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 227   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.5   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Except in the case of land developed for papakainga <b>or land associated with existing primary production activities</b>, residential activity on land zoned Coastal Environment will be provided for by enabling:</i><br><br><i>(a) one dwelling per Computer Register;</i><br><br><i>(b) seasonal <b>family or farm</b> worker accommodation; and</i><br><br><i>(c) homestays."</i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 228   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.6   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy appropriately provides for concerns around reverse sensitivity. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes within the text of the Policy)</i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 229   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.10 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Objective is amended as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Structures in the coastal environment including jetties, boatsheds, decking, slipways, launching ramps, retaining walls, coastal protection structures, pipelines, cables and/or other buildings or structures, <b>but excluding buildings associated with primary production activities</b>, are appropriately located and within appropriate forms and limits to protect the values of the coastal environment."</i> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 230   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Therefore, use and development associated with farming must be provided for in the coastal environment, and recognised in the values of the coastal environment. This includes provided for buildings or other structures associated with farming.<br><br>That the Policy is amended to address the above concerns. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes within the text of the Policy)</i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 231   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Structures <b>within the coastal marine area</b> shall be designed and located allowing for relevant dynamic coastal processes, including sea level rise."</i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 232   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.24 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is adopted as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 233   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.27 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 234   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to include a new consideration as follows (or similar) -<br><br><i>"(f) <b>the impacts on social and economic wellbeing of carrying out the activity.</b>"</i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 235   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Discourage the use of <b>recreational</b> motorised vehicles on the foreshore where this will impact on ecological values or safety of other foreshore users, where the foreshore acts as protection from the sea or on cultural, heritage and amenity values."</i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 236   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 237   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 238   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.4       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Anticipated Environmental Result is retained as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 239   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Issue 14A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the first bullet point in paragraph one of the explanatory text to this Issue as follows (strike through) -<br><br><i>"recognise the rights of resource users <del>by only intervening in the use of land to protect the environment and wider public interests in the environment.</del>"</i>                                       |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 240   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Objective 14.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Objective is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Rural environments are maintained as a resource for primary production activities enabling these activities to continue contributing to economic <b>and social</b> wellbeing, whilst ensuring the adverse effects of these activities are appropriately managed."</i> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 241   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Objective 14.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That a new policy is included under Objective 14.1 as follows -<br><br><b><i>"Recognise the importance of a thriving primary production sector that actively contributes to the region's social and economic wellbeing."</i></b><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 242   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 243   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 244   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 245   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 246   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Policy is replaced as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Recognise that primary production activities in rural environments may result in effects including noise, dust, smell and traffic generation, but that these will require mitigation where they have a significant adverse effect on the environment. — <b>Recognise that primary production activities in rural environments may result in effects, including noise, dust, smell and traffic generation, but that these will be anticipated and are consistent with the character and use of the rural zone. These effects will only require mitigation where they have a significant adverse effect on the environment.</b>"</i></p> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 247   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.8  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 248   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"<b>Give priority to and manage</b> Manage the <b>reverse sensitivity</b> effects of primary production activities <b>by to ensure ensuring</b> the environmental qualities and amenity values in adjoining residential zones are not unreasonably degraded, bearing in mind their location adjacent to a primary production environment <b>that new activities in neighbouring zones anticipate the amenity values and character that come with locating near a primary production area.</b>"</i></p>   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 249   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 250   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.2 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Objective is retained as notified.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 251   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 252   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 253   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 254   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 255   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Objective is amended to read as follows (bold):<br><br><i>"Activities that are not related <b>or ancillary</b> to primary production <b>may be</b> appropriate to be located within rural environments."</i>   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 256   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That a new policy be added under Objective 14.3 ( <i>inferred</i> ) to the Plan as follows:<br><br><b><i>"Ensure that new activities locating in the rural area are of a nature, scale, intensity and location consistent with maintaining the character of the rural areas and to be undertaken in a manner which avoid, remedies or mitigates adverse effects on rural character, including rural productive values."</i></b> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 257   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.3.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is adopted as notified.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 258   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Objective is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Rural character and amenity values are maintained <del>and</del> <b>or</b> enhanced <b>where appropriate</b>, and reverse sensitivity effects are avoided <b>through the protection of primary production activities.</b>"</i>  |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 259   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted from the plan.   |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 260   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through) -<br><i>"Retain an open and spacious character in Marlborough's rural environments with a dominance of open space and plantings over buildings by ensuring that the scale and siting of development is such that:-<br/><del>(a) it will not unreasonably detract from the privacy or outlook of neighbouring properties;-<br/>(b) sites remain open and with a rural character as viewed from roads and other publicly accessible places; and<br/>(c) the character and scale of buildings is compatible with existing development within the surrounding rural area."</del></i> |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 261   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows -<br><i>Ensure <b>new residential</b> buildings are set back a sufficient distance from property boundaries and road frontages to:<br/>(a) Maintain privacy and outlook for people on adjoining allotments, including for existing houses on small allotments;<br/>(b) Encourage a sense of distance between buildings and between buildings and road boundaries; and<br/>(c) Maintain the pleasantness, coherence, openness and attractiveness of the site as viewed from the road and adjoining sites.</i>  |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 262   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 263   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.5 | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><i>"Noise limits consistent with the character and amenity of the Rural and Coastal Environment Zones have been established to provide for the protection of community health and welfare <b>while enabling lawfully established land uses.</b>"</i> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 264   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.6  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 265   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.7  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 266   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 267   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.11 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 268   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as amended as follows (bold) -<br><i>"Residential activity <b>not associated with primary production</b> takes place within appropriate locations and limits within rural environments."</i>   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 269   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.5.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended as follows:<br><i>"Identify areas within rural environments where residential activity <b>not associated with primary production activities</b> is appropriate."</i>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 270   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.5.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through) -<br><i>"Residential activity directly associated with primary production activity <del>occurring on the same land</del>, seasonal worker accommodation <del>in remote locations</del> and homestays, will be enabled."</i>                     |       |          |                                 |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 271   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | 14.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following new Objective (<i>Submitter did not identify which Issue the Objective should be under</i>) -</p> <p><i>"Marlborough has a well-structured and economically and socially successful range of business environments where the vitality, viability and identity of these environments is retained and enhanced."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 272   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Introduction is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"We are fortunate in Marlborough to generally enjoy good water quality in our coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers. <b>State of the environment reporting shows that:</b></i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>• <b>The quality of Marlborough's groundwater remains high in terms of nitrate content</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>For median nitrate concentrations across the 14 unconfined aquifer sites, all meet the drinking water standard;</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>Historically there have been hotspots in areas on the southern margin of the main aquifer where less dilution occurs;</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>Nitrate leaching rates are likely to have decreased over time;</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>Seasonal patterns appear stable suggesting current land uses are in equilibrium with water resources;</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>95% of sites monitored for macro-invertebrates are fair to excellent, only 3 out of 51 sites are graded "poor";</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>95% of sites are in the A band for secondary contact recreation, with 2 out of 34 sites in the B band;</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>95% of sites meet the proposed DRP standard, with 2 out of 34 sites at higher levels;</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>Around 80% of sites meet the proposed SIN standard, with around 6 out of 34 sites at higher levels;</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>Trend analysis shows a reduction in SIN concentrations for some of the sites with the highest concentrations.</b></i></li> </ul> <p><i>Monitoring has shown that the quality of water in these waterbodies is sufficient to support a wide range of natural and human use values. These include healthy freshwater and marine ecosystems, comprising native fish, plants, algae and invertebrates, trout and salmon; stock and domestic water supplies; commercial uses of water in industry, agriculture, viticulture, marine farming and commercial fishing; and recreational uses such as swimming, shellfish gathering and fishing, scenic and tourism purposes. Water is of considerable cultural and spiritual importance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi.</i></p> <p><i>The contribution that these uses and values make to the community's social and economic wellbeing and to public health means that maintaining the quality of water in Marlborough's coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers is essential. Any reduction in water quality is therefore a significant issue in Marlborough.</i></p> <p><i>Water quality can be adversely affected by discharges of contaminants resulting from human activities on land or water. Contaminants are those things that have the ability to change the physical, chemical or biological condition of the water. There are two types of contaminant discharge that can affect water quality: "point source" discharges (those that enter water at a definable point, often through a pipe or drain) and "non-point source" discharges (those that enter water from a diffuse source, such as land run-off or infiltration through soils).</i></p> <p><i>The generally good state of water quality in Marlborough reflects the low number of point source discharges into waterbodies and coastal waters, good land</i></p> |       |          |  |           |                 |

management practices and lack of intensive land uses that can impact on water quality (e.g. dairying). It should also be acknowledged that over time, resource users have also taken action to reduce the impact of discharges on water quality. **Significant progress has been made working in partnership with landowners, organisations and the community through catchment programmes. Marlborough has a proud history of examples in this regard, including the Rai Valley.** However, there is always the potential that point source and/or non-point source discharges will occur and adversely affect the life supporting capacity and community use of Marlborough's rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters.

Unfortunately, water quality in some rivers has been degraded as a result of point source and non-point source discharges, impacting upon the uses and values that were once supported by the rivers and coastal waters. **In Marlborough most of the rivers and streams have good or fair water quality. The main management aim for water quality is on improving waterways currently classed as poor, in particular where this impacts on swimming values or the health of indigenous aquatic ecosystems.**

The management of water quality has a strong regulatory focus. This is because the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) stipulates that the discharge of contaminants into water, or into or onto land in circumstances where it may enter water, is prohibited unless allowed by resource consent or a rule in a regional plan or a regulation **non-regulatory focus, consistent with the MEP principles, the approach for indigenous biodiversity and building forward from earlier successful catchment programmes.**

In addition, the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014 (NPSFM) sets out objectives and policies that direct the steps that must be taken to manage water in a sustainable manner. In particular, there is a requirement to set objectives for water resources and ~~subsequently~~ to set water quantity and quality limits **in an iterative process informed by costs and achievability** to achieve those objectives. The NPSFM sets as an objective that the overall state of water quality within any region must be maintained or improved.

A key component of the NPSFM is the National Objectives Framework (NOF). The NOF is designed to assist the process of establishing appropriate freshwater quality objectives in a nationally consistent manner. It is based on the identification of values supported by waterbodies and the setting of objectives to protect those values. The NOF contains two compulsory national values: ecosystem health and human health for recreation. **The NOF allows for regions and local communities to determine other important values that they also seek to recognise.** Attributes, or measurable physical, chemical and biological characteristics are identified with respect to these values."

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 273   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the issue is deleted and replaced as follows (strike through and bold) -<br/> <del>"The discharge of contaminants to water can adversely affect the life supporting capacity and the community's use of Marlborough's coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers.</del> <b>Meeting the needs of Marlborough's urban and rural economy whilst ensuring activities do not have adverse effects on water values and uses."</b></p> <p>That the first paragraph of explanatory text is deleted and replaced as follows (strike through and bold) -<br/> <del>"The good state of water quality in Marlborough's coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers makes them more vulnerable to point source and non-point source discharges. Any deterioration in water quality would have dramatic implications for Marlborough's social, economic and cultural wellbeing, as good water quality is essential for a wide range of consumptive and non-consumptive uses. A reduction in water quality could also adversely affect freshwater and marine habitats. The main threats to water quality in Marlborough are described below:</del> <b>Urban and rural activities contribute to the social, economic and cultural well-being of people. Inappropriate landuse and development can detract from the values and uses of water, including marine habitats. The MEP seeks to provide an enabling framework for development while prioritising and progressively reducing the adverse effects of discharges to water."</b></p> <p>That the last paragraph of the "Rural activities" section is deleted as follows (strike through) -<br/> <del>"There is the potential for rural activities to change and intensify in the future. For example, in many other regions there has been a change from traditional pastoral farming to dairy farming. This has led to water quality degradation, especially in lowland streams and for groundwater."</del></p> <p>That the final paragraph of the explanatory text is amended as follows (bold) -<br/> <del>"There has been a strong preference for discharges to land since the first Marlborough Regional Policy Statement (MRPS) became operative in 1995. This has resulted in a reduction in the number of point source discharges to water. Consequently, the greatest risk to water quality is probably associated with non-point source discharges. Non-point source discharges are difficult to manage as there is no discrete point to which management can be applied. This situation does not justify inaction, but means that the management of non-point source discharges is challenging and will require innovative approaches. It is important that the MEP provides a framework to deal with the point source and non-point source discharges to maintain and enhance water quality in Marlborough's coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers</del> <b>through a framework which enables catchment communities to target sources and develop innovations tailored to the specific catchment situation."</b></p> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 274   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15B | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the first paragraph of the explanatory text is retained.</p> <p>That the second paragraph of the explanatory text is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Water quality degradation <del>is</del> <b>has previously been</b> measured relative to the attribute values provided by the National Objectives Framework included in the NPSFM and/or the Council's water quality index. The water quality index, based on the Canadian Water Quality Index, summarises monthly measurements of nine chemical and physical parameters to produce an aggregate score for the state of water quality in Marlborough's rivers. The score allows the overall state of water quality to be categorised as excellent, good, fair, marginal and poor, relative to the <del>natural or desirable level</del> <b>various guideline or default values selected. These proxy values have now been replaced with objectives and standards proposed in this plan.</b>"</i></p> <p>That the third paragraph of the explanatory text is deleted and replaced is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"<del>The rivers determined to be degraded (poor or marginal in the index) or at risk of degradation (close to marginal in the index) on the basis of the Council's 2014/15 State of the Environment Report are identified in Tables 15.1 and 15.2 below. The CWQI used various default measures as guidelines but these guideline values are now being replaced by the proposed MEP water quality standards in Appendix 5. The rivers determined to be priorities for catchment enhancement plans against the MEP proposed values, objectives and standards are identified in the tables below.</del>"</i></p> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 275   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15B | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That Tables 15.1 and 15.2 under the explanatory text for the Issue are deleted and replaced with the following tables:</p> <p><b>" Table - Water bodies prioritised for enhancement of contact recreation</b><br/> <b>First priority – primary contact recreation (swimming)</b><br/> - Rai River<br/> - Waihopai River<br/> - Taylor River<br/> <b>Second priority - secondary contact recreation</b><br/> - Kaituna River<br/> - Cullens Creek<br/> - Are Are Creek<br/> - Doctors Creek</p> <p><b>Table - Waterbodies prioritised for enhancement of indigenous ecosystems</b><br/> <b>First priority</b><br/> - Doctors Creek<br/> - Flaxbourne<br/> <b>Second priority</b><br/> - Are Are<br/> - Opawa<br/> - Omaka<br/> - Mill Creek<br/> - Murphys Creek</p> <p><b>Table - Catchments prioritised for catchment investigations and catchment action plans</b><br/> <b>First priority</b><br/> - Opawa (Taylor River, Doctors Creek, Murphys Creek)<br/> <b>Second priority</b><br/> - Mid Wairau (Waihopai, Mill Creek)<br/> - Rai River<br/> <b>Third priority</b><br/> - Marlborough Sounds (Kaituna River, Cullens Creek)<br/> - Lower Wairau (Are Are Creek)<br/> - South Marlborough (Flaxbourne)"</p> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 276   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15C | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Issue is retained as notified; and<br><br>Add to the explanation to the issue that community catchment action plans are acknowledged as a means of furthering community members knowledge with regards to mauri and other Maori values. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 277   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Maintain and where necessary enhance water quality in Marlborough's rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters, so that:</i><br><br><i>(a) the mauri of wai is protected;</i><br><br><i>(b) water quality at beaches is suitable for contact recreation;</i><br><br><i>(c) people can use the coast, rivers, lakes and wetlands for food gathering, cultural, commercial and other purposes;</i><br><br><i>(d) groundwater quality is suitable for drinking;</i><br><br><i>(e) the quality of surface water utilised for community drinking water supply remains suitable for drinking after existing treatment; and</i><br><br><i>(f) coastal waters support healthy ecosystems; <b>and</b></i><br><br><i><b>(g) water is suitable for stock drinking water and irrigation.</b>"</i> |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 278   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1b | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Objective is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"Maintain or enhance freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the <del>annual</del> <b>five year rolling average</b> median nitrate concentration is &lt;1 milligram nitrate-nitrogen per litre and the <del>annual</del> <b>five year rolling average</b> 95th percentile concentration is &lt;1.5 milligrams nitrate-nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme."</i><br><br>And, that the explanation is amended to clarify that the Objective will be subject to review as part of the development of Catchment Enhancement Plans.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 279   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1c | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Objective is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>"Maintain freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the <del>annual</del> <b>five year rolling average</b> median ammonia concentration is <0.03 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre and the <del>annual</del> <b>five year rolling average</b> maximum concentration is <0.05 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme."<br>And, that the explanation is amended to clarify that the Objective will be subject to review as part of the development of Catchment Enhancement Plans.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 280   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1d | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Objective is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>Maintain or enhance freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual</del> <b>five year rolling average</b> median <i>E. coli</i> level is <260 per 100 ml, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme."<br>And, that the explanation is amended to clarify that the the Objective will be subject to review as part of the development of Catchment Enhancement Plans.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 281   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1e | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Objective is retained as notified.<br>And, that the explanation is amended to clarify that the Objective will be subject to review as part of the development of Catchment Enhancement Plans.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 282   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>As a minimum, the quality of f</del> <b>F</b> reshwater and coastal waters will be managed so <del>that they are suitable</del> <b>to provide</b> for the following purposes:<br>(a) Coastal waters: protection of marine ecosystems; potential for contact recreation and food gathering/marine farming; and for cultural and aesthetic purposes;<br>(b) Rivers and lakes: protection of aquatic ecosystems; potential for contact recreation; community water supply (where water is already taken for this purpose); <del>and</del> for cultural and aesthetic purposes; <b>and for stock drinking irrigation and primary production purposes;</b><br>(c) Groundwater: community and stock drinking water supply; and <b>for irrigation and primary production purposes; and</b><br>(d) Wetlands: protection of aquatic ecosystems and the potential for food gathering." |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 283   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.2   | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br>"Apply water quality classifications (and water quality standards) to all surface water, groundwater and coastal water resources, which reflect:<br>(a) <b>where and/or when</b> the management purposes specified in Policy 15.1.1 <b>apply</b> ; and<br>(b) other uses and values supported by the waterbody or coastal waters; or<br>(c) where water quality has already been degraded, the uses and values that are to be restored."<br>And, that the explanation to the Policy is amended to clarify that classifications, values and standards will be subject to review as part of the development of Catchment Enhancement Plans.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 284   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the second last paragraph of the explanatory text is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"This policy establishes a commitment to commence collecting and analysing resource use and environmental data required to establish cumulative contaminant limits. The use of limits could constrain the land uses that could occur in a catchment (existing and potential) or at least the way in which those land uses are managed. For these reasons, care needs to be exercised in establishing cumulative contaminant limits in respect of water quality. It is also important that the limits reflect the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1, otherwise Objectives 15.1a to 15.1e will not be achieved <b>and that communities review MEP objectives and standards based on catchment specific values and information.</b> The cumulative limits <b>and any catchment-specific revisions to values, objectives or standards</b> will be added to the MEP by plan change <del>or upon review.</del>"</i> |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 285   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.1        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Method is retained as notified.<br>And, that the explanation for the Method is amended to clarify that uses and values will be subject to review as part of the development of Catchment Enhancement Plans.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 286   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.2        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Method is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"To establish water quality classifications for all waterbodies in the MEP that reflect the uses and values supported by the waterbody or that could be supported by the waterbody if water quality was enhanced. Classifications may include <b>any of the standards listed in the Third Schedule of the RMA.</b> NS, AE, F, FS, CR, SG, A, WS and C. (Refer to Policy 15.1.2 for explanation of the classifications.)"</i>  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 287   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>Take action</del> <b>Develop catchment enhancement plans</b> to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet <del>Objective 15.1</del> <b>attribute state A of the NPSFM for nitrate</b> within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:<br>(a) Mill Creek; and<br>(b) Murphys Creek."<br>And, that the timeframe for improvement is included pending investigation of the age of groundwater feeding the above watercourses is undertaken, and community decisions with regards to costs and benefits. |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 288   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>Take action</del> <b>Develop catchment enhancement plans</b> to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet <del>Objective 15.1</del> <b>attribute state A for secondary contact recreation</b> within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:<br>(a) Are Are Creek;<br>(b) Cullens Creek;<br>(c) Doctors Creek; and<br>(d) Kaituna River."<br>And, that the timeframe for improvement is included pending community decisions with regards to costs and benefits.                                    |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 289   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>Take action</del> <b>Develop catchment enhancement plans</b> to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet <del>Objective 15.1</del> <b>attribute state B for primary contact recreation</b> within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:<br>(a) Taylor River;<br>(b) Rai River; and<br>(c) Waihopai River."   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 290   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.7 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><del>"Take action</del> <b>Develop catchment enhancement plans</b> to enhance water quality in the rivers identified in <b>Tables (see tables sought by Submitter in submission on Issue 15B)</b> so that water quality is suitable for the purposes specified in Policy 15.1.1 within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative."  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 291   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.5        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Method is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><del>"Catchment Enhancement Plans will be developed as a priority for rivers that have degraded water quality, as identified in Policies 15.1.4 to 15.1.7. <b>Catchment Enhancement Groups will be established within each catchment.</b> The methods to be used to enhance water quality will be determined following an assessment of the cause and effect of degraded water quality. <b>Possible methods will be modelled to determine the costs and benefits, and decisions made by the Group regarding preferred pathways forward.</b> This <del>and</del> will be clearly identified within the Plans. It may take time to establish the nature of the cause, which may delay the completion of the Plans. Other methods may be used in the interim to reduce the effects of non-point source discharges on water quality. Each Catchment Enhancement Plan will be developed in <del>consultation</del> <b>partnership with land owners and community members resource users in the catchment, and industry through the Catchment Enhancement Groups.</b> <del>and other affected parties.</del>"</del><br><br>And, that a new method is included in the Plan for the development of Catchment Enhancement Groups. |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 292   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That a new policy is included in the Plan which reads as follows -<br><br><b>"Enable land use activities to enable the community to provide for it's economic, social and cultural wellbeing, while maintaining or improving water quality."</b>  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 293   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><del>"Encourage the discharge of contaminants to land in preference to water <b>where</b></del><br><b>a) a discharge to land is practicable;</b><br><b>b) the adverse effects of a discharge to land are less than a discharge to water."</b>   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 294   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.9 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | The Policy is retained. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 295   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.16 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through):</p> <p><i>" The duration of any new discharge permit will be either:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Up to a maximum of 15 years for discharges into waterbodies or coastal waters where the discharge will comply with water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters; or</i></p> <p><i>(b) up to ten years for discharges into rivers identified in Policies 15.1.4, 15.1.5, 15.1.6 or 15.1.7 (where the water quality is to be enhanced) and the discharge will comply with water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters; or</i></p> <p><i>(c) no more than five years where the existing discharge will not comply with water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters.</i></p> <p><del><i>With the exception of regionally significant infrastructure, no discharge permit will be granted subsequent to the one granted under (c), if the discharge still does not meet the water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters."</i></del></p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 296   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.19 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><del><i>"Progressively work toward eliminating the discharge of human sewage to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure."</i></del></p>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 297   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.21 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Policy is retained as notified in the Plan.</p>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 298   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|--------|---------|-----------|------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br/> <del>"Avoid</del> <b>Reduce</b> the discharge of animal effluent to fresh waterbodies and stock disturbance of river beds to the extent necessary to meet the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1, <b>policy 15.1.5, and policy 15.1.6</b> by:<br/> <b>(a) assessing causes of elevated E. coli levels and identifying the most appropriate and cost-effective solutions for restricting stock access; and</b><br/> <del>(a)</del> <b>(b) preventing the direct discharge of collected animal effluent to water; and</b><br/> <del>(b)</del> <b>(c) avoiding managing</b> the access of intensively farmed stock to rivers <b>to support achievement of Policy 15.1.5, and Policy 15.1.6."</b></p> <p>That the explanatory text for the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br/> <del>"Animal effluent can be discharged directly into rivers and wetlands through either the point source discharge of collected animal effluent (e.g. farm dairy effluent) or through stock access to waterbodies. At the date of notification of the MEP, there were no authorised discharges of animal effluent into water. This policy seeks to avoid the significant risk posed to surface water quality by discharges of collected animal effluent. This will be implemented through a prohibited activity rule.</del><br/> <del>Stock can also access rivers when grazing riparian margins. In such circumstances, it is likely that there may will be a discharge of animal effluent to water and the river bed may will be physically disturbed. The resulting increase in bacteria and turbidity in the receiving waters have the potential to reduce water quality. The adverse effects of casual access on water quality are dependent on a number of factors, including the type and density of stock. Intensively farmed stock such as dairy cattle, pigs, or cattle or deer grazed on irrigated pasture or breakfed on winter crops create a significant risk of adverse effects on water quality. For this reason, the policy seeks to avoid stock access where stock is farmed intensively.</del><br/> <b>This policy seeks to understand the cause of elevated E. coli level and identify the most appropriate and cost effective solutions for restricting access in catchments where there is an identified problem. This work will be completed through the Catchment Enhancement Plans, working collaboratively with landowners, industry, the community and Council to explore options.</b><br/> <del>Due to the practical difficulties in some situations of fencing stock out of waterbodies, particularly where stock are grazed extensively, or where rainfall events can cause ephemeral rivers to flow, and in situations where the costs of fencing and designing stock crossings are prohibitive for limited use, the Council has also adopted an approach of using permitted activity rules for managing the adverse effects of stock access not covered by this policy. The permitted activity rules will require compliance with any relevant water quality standard set for the affected waterbody</del> <b>that good management practice is followed to manage adverse effects on colour and visual clarity."</b></p> <p>That a new method is included in the Plan which provides for the assessment of causes of elevated E. coli levels and identification of the most appropriate and cost effective solutions for restricting stock access; and</p> <p>That a new method is included in the Plan which involves working with landowners and industry to implement good management practice around stock access to waterways, through Catchment Enhancement Groups, based on a better understanding of the causes and solutions.</p> |       |        |         |           |      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 299   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.25 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 300   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.26 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 301   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.27 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Promote the retirement, <b>management, and appropriate riparian vegetation</b> and planting of riparian margins in rural areas to intercept contaminated runoff, especially where water quality is degraded or at risk of degradation <b>in order to achieve the desired outcomes for the waterbody.</b>"</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 302   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.28 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That this Policy is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 303   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.29 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>" To <del>control</del> <b>enable</b> land disturbance activities <del>in order to</del> <b>where:</b><br/> (a) <del>mitigate</del> the effects of increased sediment runoff to fresh waterbodies or coastal water <del>are mitigated</del>; and<br/> (b) <del>avoid</del> the potential for direct entry of contaminants into groundwater <b>are mitigated.</b>"</i> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 304   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.33 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That this Policy is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 305   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.34 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That this Policy is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 306   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Policy to replace Policies 15.1.33 and 15.1.34 as follows -<br><br><b>"Enable the establishment and operation of any new dairy farm where a farm environment plan is developed with industry."</b>  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 307   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.18       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Method is retained as notified.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 308   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.25       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Method is amended to include farm environment plans, which are to be utilised in conjunction with the catchment enhancement plans as a non-regulatory tool to compliment the partnership approach. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 309   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That a new policy is included in the Plan which reads as follows -<br><b>"Marlborough District Council will work to drive engagement and collaboration with landowners, iwi and communities through the planning and management of freshwater, including and in particular in the priority catchment investigations and action plans."</b>  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 310   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15E     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Issue is amended to read as follows (strike through) -<br><i>"The discharge of contaminants into air that reduce the amenity of the surrounding area or create an undue risk to human health."</i><br><br>That a new paragraph is added to the explanatory text for the Policy which reads as follows -<br><b>"At times primary production activities will generate effects such as noise, odour and dust - residents living in the rural environment should therefore reasonably expect times when amenity values may be modified by such effects."</b> |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 311   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted and replaced as follows (strike out and bold) -<br><br><del>"Manage the use of agrichemicals to avoid spraydrift. The boundary of the property on which the application of agrichemical occurs is the point at which management applies, as follows:</del><br><br><del>(a) any agrichemical should not move, either directly or indirectly, beyond the property boundary of the site(s) where it is or has been applied; and</del><br><del>(b) agrichemical users will be required to utilise best practice and exercise reasonable care to achieve (a).</del><br><b>Require that appropriate measures and good management practice are taken to ensure that, to the extent reasonably possible, spray drift from the application of agrichemicals does not result in adverse effects that are offensive or objectionable beyond the property boundary."</b> |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 312   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>"Manage discharges of contaminants to air not specifically provided for in Policies 15.2.1 to 15.2.3 or 15.3.1 to 15.3.4 by:<br>(a) allowing, as permitted activities, discharges of contaminants into air from industrial or trade premises or industrial or trade processes that have no more than minor adverse effects on the environment;<br>(b) <del>avoiding or mitigating</del> adverse effects of localised ground level concentrations of contaminants, including cumulative effects on:<br>(i) human health; and<br>(ii) <del>amenity values; and</del><br>(c) avoiding or mitigating <b>more than minor</b> adverse effects on any other values."  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 313   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 314   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through) -<br>"Having adequate information about the state of Marlborough's air quality to enable the Council to assess the cumulative effects of discharges to air on <del>amenity values and human health.</del> "  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 315   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.34       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Method is retained as notified.  |       |          |  |               |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 316   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 317   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 318   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>Control</del> <b>Enable</b> land disturbance activities <b>where</b> <del>to retain topsoil and minimise the potential for eroded soil to degrade water quality in lakes, rivers, significant wetlands and coastal waters</del> <b>is avoided, remedied or mitigated.</b> " |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 319   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 320   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to reflect a non-regulatory approach. <i>(It is not clear in the Submission the specific change sought to the Policy)</i>  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 321   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.5.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------|----------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 322   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | Policy 16.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows:<br>"Encourage waste minimisation practices by <del>establishing a waste management hierarchy that ensures waste is managed in the following order of priority:</del><br>(a) promoting lower levels of solid waste generation; <del>then</del><br>(b) promoting higher levels of reuse, recycling and recovery of solid waste; <del>then</del> <b>and</b><br>(c) <b>disposing</b> <del>disposal</del> of residual solid waste." |       |          |          |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 323   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | 16.M.1         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Method.  |       |          |          |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 324   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | Objective 16.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>Avoid, remedy or mitigate</del> <b>Manage</b> actual or potential adverse effects arising from solid waste management activities."   |       |          |          |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 325   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | Policy 16.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |          |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 326   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | Objective 16.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a new policy is added under this Objective which reads as follows -<br>" <b>Increase access for remote communities to solid waste disposal through the operation of landfill and associated transfer stations, and permissive on-farm waste disposal rules.</b> "  |       |          |          |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 327   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | Policy 16.2.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br>"Require resource consent for the establishment of cleanfills, <b>excluding on-farm cleanfills that meet permitted activity standards</b> , to ensure the appropriate disposal of waste."   |       |          |          |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 328   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | Policy 16.2.4  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the policy is amended as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>Enable the application of solid waste to land from the processing of primary products;</del> <b>primary production activities, including</b> the disposal of animal waste in offal pits, the disposal of <del>biodegradable</del> material in farm rubbish pits or the processing/storage of compost or silage, while avoiding or mitigating adverse effects:<br><del>(a) this does not occur within a Groundwater Protection Area or into or onto soils identified as a Soil Sensitive Area as being at risk; and</del><br><del>(b) standards for permitted activities are met.</del> "  |       |          |          |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 329   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | Policy 16.2.5  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended as follows (strike through) -<br>" <del>Where resource consent is required for the</del> The discharge of solid waste to land from primary production activities will be provided for subject to the following adverse effects being avoided or mitigated, <del>decision makers shall consider the following matters in deciding whether or not to grant consent and whether conditions can be imposed to avoid or mitigate any adverse effects on the environment:-</del><br><del>(a) the soil characteristics at the discharge location and whether the nature and volume of waste to be discharged will adversely affect soil structure;</del><br><del>(b) where the discharge is within a Groundwater Protection Area or into or onto soil identified as a Soil Sensitive Area, the risks to groundwater, surface waterbodies or soil quality;</del><br><del>(c) contamination of freshwater resulting from nutrient (nitrogen and phosphorus) and organic nutrients (BOD) through leaching, runoff and/or direct discharge;</del><br><del>(d) the proximity of the discharge location to waterbodies with a high natural character or to waterbodies identified as having degraded water quality that needs to be enhanced through Policies 15.1.4 to 15.1.7 in Chapter 15 - Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil); and</del><br><del>(e) the potential for reduced amenity values due to odour, vermin or visual effects from the discharge, particularly where this occurs in close proximity to residentially zoned land."</del> |       |          |          |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 330   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | Policy 16.2.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <b>The Council to provide accessible waste disposal options to enable</b> encourage the responsible disposal of solid waste from remote locations, <b>while also enabling on-farm waste disposal.</b> "<br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |          |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 331   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | 16.M.9         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That on-farm waste disposal remains a permitted activity. <i>(It is not clear in the submission what amendment is sought to the wording of the Method.)</i>   |       |          |          |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 332   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | Objective 16.3 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"The discharge of liquid wastes onto or into land is managed in a way that avoids, <b>mitigates, or remedies</b> adverse effects on water and soil quality, land and water ecosystems, slope stability and cultural <del>and amenity</del> values."</i>   |       |          |          |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 333   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | Policy 16.3.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is combined with Policy 16.3.4 and point (a) within Policy 16.3.3 is amended as follows (bold) -<br><i>"(a) the discharge is within the ability of the land to treat and/or contain contaminants present in the liquid waste, taking into account <b>where relevant:</b>"</i>   |       |          |          |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 334   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | Policy 16.3.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is combined with Policy 16.3.3 and the first sentence of Policy 16.3.4 is amended as follows (bold) -<br><i>"When considering discharge permit applications to discharge contaminants onto or into land, have regard <b>where relevant to:</b>"</i>   |       |          |          |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 335   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | Policy 16.3.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"When considering discharge permit applications to discharge contaminants onto or into land, have regard to <b>sites of spiritual and/or cultural significance</b><br/> the cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi."</i><br>And, that sites of spiritual and cultural significance are included in the Plan by way of reference to waahi tapu sites. |       |          |          |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 336   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | Policy 16.3.6 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"<del>Avoid</del> <b>Discourage</b> the use of soak pits for the disposal of contaminants in liquid waste."</i>   |       |          |          |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 337   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | Policy 16.3.8 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |          |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 338   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | Policy 16.3.9 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.<br>And, that a new method is included which sets out Council's role in working with landowners in encouraging artificial wetlands.   |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 339   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste          | 16.M.20        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Method is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 340   | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.6.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><i>"Maintain amenity values in rural and urban areas by encouraging the use of national and arterial routes by high volumes of <b>through</b> traffic and heavy vehicles and discouraging high volume and heavy <b>through</b> traffic use of collector routes and local routes, particularly where these pass through residential areas, <b>with the exception of transportation associated with primary production activities.</b>"</i> |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 341   | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | 17.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a new policy is included in the Plan which reads as follows -<br><i>"Encourage appropriately located effluent dump sites."</i>   |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 342   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is retained as notified.  |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 343   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted.   |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 344   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.5  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 345   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Objective 19.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Objective is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br>"Avoid and mitigate the adverse effects of natural hazards influenced by climate change <b>on human communities.</b> "  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 346   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>"Avoid any inundation of new <b>habitable</b> buildings <del>and where appropriate infrastructure</del> within the coastal environment by ensuring that adequate allowance is made for the following factors when locating, designing and/or constructing any building or infrastructure:<br><br>(a) rising sea levels as a result of climate change of at least 0.5 metres relative to the 1980-1999 average; and<br><br>(b) storm surge."                         |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 347   | Volume 2 | All               |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the layout of the rules is simplified so that the permitted activity standards are provided alongside the name of the permitted activity in the first instance.   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 348   | Volume 2 | All               |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That a graduated approach to activity status is used, including utilisation of the six activity classes: permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, discretionary, non-complying and prohibited.<br><br>That all rules, relating to rural activities ( <i>inferred</i> ), currently classed as discretionary status or defaulting to discretionary status are amended to controlled or restricted discretionary status, unless otherwise specified. ( <i>The Submitter has not specified the specific provisions and changes sought.</i> ) |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 349   | Volume 2 | All               |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the permitted activity standards are revised and simplified so that they focus on the key areas that may cause adverse effects. ( <i>The Submitter has not specified the specific provisions and changes sought.</i> )  |       |          |                   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 350   | Volume 2 | All                        |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That prohibited activity status is only used when an activity must be avoided, and has been through a robust Section 32 analysis to determine the costs and benefits of such an approach. <i>(The Submitter has not specified any specific provisions to be added, deleted or amended.)</i> |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 351   | Volume 2 | All                        |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the rules are amended so that any activity not listed, where it is a land use, the activity defaults to a permitted activity status.   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 352   | Volume 2 | All                        |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the rules specify the policies that need to be referred to in the preparation of a consent application for activities listed as discretionary activities, and the list of assessment criteria for all controlled, restricted discretionary and discretionary activities.               |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 353   | Volume 2 | All                        |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That all reference to the Munsell Scale is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 354   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.6.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 355   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.7.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 356   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.6.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 357   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 358   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.    | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 359   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.1.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 360   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.2.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 361   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.3.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 362   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.1.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through) -<br>" <del>Commercial forestry planting, carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry planting on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, that has not previously been planted in lawfully established commercial, carbon sequestration (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry.</del> "<br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 363   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.2.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through) -<br>" <del>The harvesting of commercial forestry or woodlot forestry plantings on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, which has not been lawfully established</del> "<br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 364   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.3.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 365   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.5.1.    | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through) -<br><del>"Commercial forestry planting, carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) or wWoodlot forestry planting on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, that has not previously been planted in lawfully established commercial, carbon sequestration (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry."</del><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                       |           |        |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 366   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.5.2.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through) -<br><del>"The harvesting of commercial forestry or woodlot forestry plantings on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, which has not been lawfully established"</del><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                       |           |        |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 367   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.5.3.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                       |           |        |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 368   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone   | 8.5.1.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through) -<br><del>"Commercial forestry planting, carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) or wWoodlot forestry planting on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, that has not previously been planted in lawfully established commercial, carbon sequestration (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry."</del><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                       |           |        |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 369   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone   | 8.5.2.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through) -<br><del>"The harvesting of commercial forestry or woodlot forestry plantings on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, which has not been lawfully established"</del><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                       |           |        |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 370   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone   | 8.5.3.    | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 371   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.8.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standards 3.3.8.1, 3.3.8.2 and 3.3.8.3.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 372   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.9.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standards 3.3.9.1 to 3.3.9.12 (inclusive).  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 373   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standards 4.3.7.1, 4.3.7.2 and 7.3.7.3.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 374   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standards 4.3.8.1 to 4.3.8.12 (inclusive).  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 375   | Volume 2 | All                        |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That every time a defined word appears in the text of a provision it is italicised, so the reader is aware that there is an associated definition.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 376   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions             | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That all words included in the definitions list are in lower case unless they are referring to another document or Appendix in the Plan and need to be capitalised.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 377   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions             | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of Agrichemical as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"means any substance, whether inorganic or organic, manufactured or naturally occurring, modified or in its natural state, that is used in any agriculture, horticulture, forestry, management of public amenity areas, or related activity, to eradicate, modify, or control flora or fauna. This includes agricultural compounds, but excludes fertilisers, vertebrate pest control products and <del>organ</del> <b>oral</b> nutrition compounds."</i><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 378   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | New Definition: Archaeological Site. <i>(Specific definition wording sought not provided by Submitter.)</i>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 379   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete the definition for Bare Ground.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 380   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The definition for Breakfeeding is deleted; or</p> <p>The definition for Breakfeeding is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Means the feeding of animals <b>livestock</b> on <del>paddocks</del> <b>pasture or forage</b> where feeding space <b>allocation</b> is controlled by the movement of an electric fence. <b>For the purpose of this Plan, breakfeeding refers to winter months (June to September).</b>"</i></p>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 381   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The definition of Building as amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i><del>"has the same meaning as in Section 8 of the Building Act 2004</del> <b>means any temporary or permanent or movable or immovable structure; and includes any structure intended for occupation by people or animals or machinery but does not include any of the following:</b></i></p> <p><i><b>(a) Any fence or wall which has a height of 2 metres or less.</b></i></p> <p><i><b>(b) Any structure which has a height of 2 metres or less and having a floor area of less than 5.5m<sup>2</sup> which is located at least 1 metre from any adjoining property boundary.</b></i></p> <p><i><b>(c) Any vehicle, trailer, tent, caravan, or boat.</b></i></p> <p><i><b>(d) Any swimming pool or tank which has a height of less than 1 metre above ground.</b></i></p> <p><i><b>(e) Any part of a deck, terrace, balcony, or patio which has a height less than 1 metre above ground.</b></i></p> <p><i><b>(f) Any electricity poles and towers.</b></i></p> <p><i><b>(g) Any pergola, crop structure or vertical crop protection structure.</b></i></p> <p><i><b>(h) Scaffolding or falsework erected temporarily for maintenance and construction purposes.</b></i></p> <p><i><b>(i) Lightning rods and their mountings where they do not exceed 2 metres above the building or structure to which it is attached."</b></i></p> |       |          |                |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 382   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete the definition for Carbon sequestration forestry planting (permanent).   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 383   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete the definition: Carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent).  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 384   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Clean fill is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"means material that does not have the potential to contaminate the environment. This material includes clay, soil, rock, concrete, Brick or demolition products that are free of combustible, organic materials and contaminants and are, therefore, not subject to biological or chemical breakdown. This will involve bulk filling operations where material is required to be carted to the filling site or specifically placed there. <del>rather than</del> <b>This definition excludes</b> cut to fill operations such as normally occurs with construction of tracks, roads and landings <b>and cleanfill required for normal farming activities.</b>"</i>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 385   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of Commercial Forestry is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>means indigenous or exotic tree species deliberately established for wood production, <b>excluding any trees:</b></i><br><br><i><b>(a) less than 10ha in extent, or</b></i><br><br><i><b>(b) planted for primarily amenity purposes, for example landscape enhancement or animal shelter, (including farm shelter belts) where the primary purpose of the trees is not commercial harvesting, or</b></i><br><br><i><b>(c) planted primarily for erosion control, including riparian margin strips, where the primary purpose of the trees is not commercial harvesting, or</b></i><br><br><i><b>(d) planted for scientific or research purpose, including established arboretums, or</b></i><br><br><i><b>(e) intended to remain in perpetuity, for instance trees planted for purposes of permanent carbon accumulation, or trees contained in a QEII or similar covenant.</b></i> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 386   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete the definition for Commercial forestry planting.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 387   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete the definition for Commercial forestry harvesting.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 388   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a definition for compost is included in the Plan. <i>(Submitter has not provided the specific wording sought for the new definition.)</i>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 389   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition Computer Register is deleted.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 390   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Conservation Planting is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 391   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Consumptive Uses is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 392   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Cultivation is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><i>"means breaking up or turning soil such that the surface contour of the land is not altered, <b>excluding:</b></i><br><b>a) direct drilling and strip tiling</b><br><b>b) no-till practices</b><br><b>c) harvesting of forage and crops including ground disturbance</b><br><b>d) forestry."</b> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 393   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a definition for dairy cattle is included in the Plan which reads as follows -<br><b><i>"means milking cows located on the dairy platform."</i></b>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 394   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Domestic livestock is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 395   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition for Drainage channel as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"means an <b>permanently flowing</b> artificial or other watercourse maintained or created for the purposes of removing unwanted water. <b>Channels designed and constructed to convey water only during rainfall events and which do not convey or retain water at other times are excluded from this definition.</b>"</i>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 396   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Ephemeral is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 397   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of Excavation is amend as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"means to dig out soil or natural material from the ground such that the surface contour of the land is permanently altered, <b>excludes normal production earthmoving activities including the formation and maintenance of farm tracks, fence post holes, filling around troughs and gates, cultivation and harvesting of crops, planting trees, removal of trees and horticultural root ripping, drilling bores, digging offal pits, and burials of dead stock and plant waste and installation of services.</b>"</i>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 398   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"means a land based activity, having as its primary purpose the commercial production and sale of any livestock or vegetative matter. Farming does not include intensive farming, forestry, and in the case of vegetative matter, does not include the processing of farm produce beyond cutting, cleaning, grading, chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of produce grown on the farming unit <b>primary production activity including agriculture, horticulture, floriculture, arboriculture, arable and cropping activities, plantation forestry, woodlot forestry, associated structures and buildings, and activities ancillary to the above.</b>"</i> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 399   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Heavy industrial activity is deleted.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 400   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Heritage resource is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>Means an <del>type of</del> historic heritage place or area <b>identified within Appendix 13: Register of Significant Heritage Resources, within the Marlborough Environment Plan.</b> <del>It may include</del> <b>The schedule includes</b> a historic building or item, historic site, a place/area of significance to Maori or heritage landscape. <del>The term may be used to refer to both heritage resources listed in the Marlborough Environment Plan and to those registered by Heritage New Zealand.</del></i>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 401   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for High rate discharge system is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 402   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Home occupation is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"means any occupation, business, trade, craft or profession <b>conducted from the home</b>, the primary purpose of which is to derive income. Excluded from this definition are any activities involving escort agencies, brothels, massage parlours, homestays, retail sales, panel beating, spray painting, motor vehicle repairs, heavy trade vehicles, fibre-glassing, sheet metal work, wrecking of motor vehicles, bottle and scrap metal storage, rubbish collection service, wrought iron work, fish processing, motor body building and any process that involves continual use of power tools and drilling or hammering or any other activity that would detract from the amenities of the neighbourhood or locality. <b>Excludes primary production.</b>"</i> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 403   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Indigenous vegetation is amended to read (bold):<br><br><i>"means naturally occurring vegetation, regardless of height, where the plant species are indigenous to the District. <b>Excludes scattered trees and plants occurring in pasture.</b>"</i>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 404   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Intensively farmed livestock is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"means:</i><br><br><i>(a) cattle or deer <del>grazed on irrigated land</del> or contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops <b>(July – September)</b>;</i><br><br><i>(a) dairy cattle <b>located on the milking platform</b>;</i><br><br><i>(b) farmed pigs."</i>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 405   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Intensive farming is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"means any primary production activity exhibiting <del>two or more</del> of the following characteristics:</i><br><i>(a) little dependence on the quality of the soils of the site, such as greenhouses, mushrooms, plant nurseries; <b>and</b></i><br><i>(b) in excess of 50% coverage in permanent buildings having concrete or otherwise impervious floors for the housing and growing of livestock and/or vegetative matter; <b>and</b></i><br><i>(c) substantial <b>indoor</b> environmental control and/or modification to facilitate growth of livestock and/or vegetative matter; <b>and</b></i><br><i>(d) high output of collected waste material per hectare and includes all pig farming, poultry farming, rabbit farming; greenhouses not relying on the soils, mushrooms, container growing nursery; <b>or</b></i><br><i>(e) land based aquaculture."</i> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 406   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Intermittently flowing is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 407   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Lawfully established is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><i>means an activity that is permitted through a rule in a plan, a resource consent, a national environmental standard, <b>common law</b> or by an existing use right.</i>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 408   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Land disturbance activity is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 409   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Maintenance and replacement is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><i>"means any work, including foundation work, or activity necessary to continue the operation and or functioning of an existing line, building, structure or (for the purpose of utilities) other facility with another of the same or similar <b>character, intensity,</b> height, size or scale, within the same or similar position and for the same or similar purpose."</i>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 410   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Meat processing is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"means the use of land and buildings for the <b>commercial</b> yarding and slaughtering of animals; the associated processing of meat including by-product and co-product processing; rendering; fish and shellfish processing; fellmongery, tanning, casing and pelt processing; and the associated chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of meat and associated products. <b>Excludes primary production where farmed or wild animals are slaughtered for home consumption.</b>"</i> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 411   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Minor upgrading is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"means an increase in the carrying capacity, efficiency or security of electricity (for the purpose of utilities) lines, telecommunication lines and radio communication facilities, using the existing support structures or structures of a similar scale and character, <b>and do not result in injurious affection...."</b></i>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 412   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Munsell scale is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 413   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for National Grid Yard is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><br>"means <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>the area located 12m in any direction from the outer edge of a <b>pylon or tower</b> National Grid support structure <b>and 8m from a pole</b>; and</i></li> <li>• <i>the area located 10m either side of the centreline of an overhead 110kV National Grid line on single poles; or</i></li> <li>• <i>the area located 12m either side of the centreline of any overhead National Grid line on pi poles or towers."</i></li> </ul>     |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 414   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Natural clarity is clarified and everyday language is used. <i>(Submitter has not provided the specific wording sought for the new definition.)</i>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 415   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That a definition for new dairy farm is included in the Plan which reads as follows -<br><b><i>"means the establishment of a new milking plant and surrounding land for the farming of dairy cattle for milk production. Excludes additional land brought into an existing dairy farm."</i></b>                   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 416   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Offal pit is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><b><i>"means a hole excavated on a rural property to be used on an ongoing basis for the purpose of disposing of offal or dead animals, and decomposable material generated on that property. Excludes single animal burial."</i></b> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 417   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for On-site waste water system is retained as notified.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 418   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Pit is deleted.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 419   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the definition for Reasonable mixing is amended to read as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>Reasonable mixing means for any point source discharge the zone of reasonable mixing in the receiving water must extend from the discharge point as follows:</i></p> <p><i>For rivers and streams, the lesser of:</i></p> <p><i>(a) a distance downstream that equals seven times the width of the river or stream when the flow is at half the median flow; or</i></p> <p><i>(b) 200m downstream</i></p> <p><i>For rivers subject to tidal influence:</i></p> <p><i>As for rivers and streams plus a distance upstream equal to half of that allowed downstream when the width is taken at half the median river flow at mid-tide.</i></p> <p><del><i>For artificial watercourses (including farm drainage channels), the greater of:-</i></del></p> <p><del><i>(a) 200m downstream; or</i></del></p> <p><del><i>(b) the property boundary.</i></del></p> <p><i>For lakes:</i></p> <p><i>Within a radius of 100m.</i></p> |       |          |                |           |        |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 420   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the definition for Riparian Natural Character Management Area is deleted from the Plan.</p>  |       |          |                |           |        |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 421   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the definition for River is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><del><i>"has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act</i></del> <b>means a continually or intermittently flowing body of fresh water that is 1 metre or wider, 30cms or deeper, and permanently flowing. This includes a stream and modified watercourse; but does not include any artificial watercourse (including an irrigation canal, water supply race, canal for the supply of water for electricity power generation, and farm drainage canal).</b>"</p>   |       |          |                |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|--------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 422   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of Significant Wetland is amended as to refer to the new schedule of Significant Wetlands that have met the significance criteria ( <i>see separate Submission seeking schedule</i> ).   |       |          |                |           |        |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 423   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>That the first definition for "Site" (relating to a building or structure) is changed to be the definition for "<b>Building site</b>".</li> <li>That the second, third and fourth definitions for "Site" be deleted.</li> <li>That a new definition for "<b>Site</b>" be added as follows - "<b>means a property with a Certificate of Title</b>".</li> </ul>   |       |          |                |           |        |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 424   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the definition for Stormwater is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"means rainfall that <del>runs off land</del> <b>is collected from impervious surfaces and directed into</b> <del>for which specific drainage channels or pipes</del> <b>which</b> have been constructed <b>for this purpose.</b>"</i></p>   |       |          |                |           |        |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 425   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the definition for Structure as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act and includes an underwater cable <b>but excludes farm fencing, tanks, pipes and troughs.</b>"</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>  |       |          |                |           |        |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 426   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the definition for Surface water is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"means water contained <b>permanently</b> in lakes, wetlands, <del>drainage channel,</del> rivers, streams, <del>either permanently or intermittently.</del> <b>For the purpose of this plan, surface water does not include water in drains, drainage channels, water races, dams, ephemeral flow paths and bodies of water designed, installed and maintained for any of the following purposes: water storage ponds including but not limited for fire fighting, irrigation or stock watering, and water treatment ponds including but not limited to wastewater, stormwater, nutrient attenuation, sediment control or animal effluent.</b> As opposed to groundwater."</i></p> |       |          |                |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 427   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Vegetation clearance is amended to read as follows (strike through and <b>bold</b> ) -<br><br><i>"<b>Indigenous</b> Vegetation Clearance means the cutting, destruction or the removal of all forms of <b>standing</b> vegetation <b>that is indigenous to New Zealand</b> including <del>indigenous and exotic plant vegetation</del> by cutting, burning, cultivation, crushing, spraying or chemical treatment."</i>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 428   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of Wetland be deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 429   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Winery be amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"means a facility <b>involving all buildings and plant</b> for the processing of grapes or other fruit for the production of wine, or juice for the subsequent production of wine, and the blending, storage, bottling and packaging of wine. <b>It also includes the vertical integration of other activities aligned with the on-site wine making, such as the retail sale of wine produced on the site, and the serving of food and beverages.</b>"</i> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 430   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for Worker accommodation be amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"means the use of land and buildings for accommodating the short term <b>temporary</b> labour requirements of a <b>seasonal</b> farming activity <del>where the accommodation is provided on the property on which the farming activity occurs.</del>"</i>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 431   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of Woodlot forestry is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 432   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the definition of Woodlot forestry harvesting from the Plan.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 433   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That a new definition for quarrying is added to the Plan which reads as follows -<br><br><i>"<b>Quarrying means any activity where open or surface excavation of rock or other material deposits including gravel, rock, soil, clay, sand or peat is undertaken and removed from the property for commercial purposes.</b>"</i>  |       |          |                |           |                 |

| Sub No                 | Submitter   | Point   | Volume             | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |       |           |         |                  |       |               |       |                   |       |                                |       |                   |       |                           |       |                   |       |                       |       |                    |                        |     |        |                   |       |     |       |                   |
|------------------------|---|---------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-------|-----------|---------|------------------|-------|---------------|-------|-------------------|-------|--------------------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|---------------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|--------------------|------------------------|-----|--------|-------------------|-------|-----|-------|-------------------|
| 425                    | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 434     | Volume 2           | 2 General Rules | 2.1.1.    | Support in Part |       |           |         |                  |       |               |       |                   |       |                                |       |                   |       |                           |       |                   |       |                       |       |                    |                        |     |        |                   |       |     |       |                   |
| Decision Requested     | Delete Rule.  |         |                    |                 |           |                 |       |           |         |                  |       |               |       |                   |       |                                |       |                   |       |                           |       |                   |       |                       |       |                    |                        |     |        |                   |       |     |       |                   |
| 425                    | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 435     | Volume 2           | 2 General Rules | 2.1.1.2.  | Support in Part |       |           |         |                  |       |               |       |                   |       |                                |       |                   |       |                           |       |                   |       |                       |       |                    |                        |     |        |                   |       |     |       |                   |
| Decision Requested     | Apply the Standard to 2.4.1. <i>(The reference to 2.4.1 in Standard 2.1.1.2 is a typographical error, it should be read 2.5.1.)</i>   |         |                    |                 |           |                 |       |           |         |                  |       |               |       |                   |       |                                |       |                   |       |                           |       |                   |       |                       |       |                    |                        |     |        |                   |       |     |       |                   |
| 425                    | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 436     | Volume 2           | 2 General Rules | 2.2.1.    | Support in Part |       |           |         |                  |       |               |       |                   |       |                                |       |                   |       |                           |       |                   |       |                       |       |                    |                        |     |        |                   |       |     |       |                   |
| Decision Requested     | That the Rule is deleted.   |         |                    |                 |           |                 |       |           |         |                  |       |               |       |                   |       |                                |       |                   |       |                           |       |                   |       |                       |       |                    |                        |     |        |                   |       |     |       |                   |
| 425                    | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 437     | Volume 2           | 2 General Rules | 2.2.      | Support         |       |           |         |                  |       |               |       |                   |       |                                |       |                   |       |                           |       |                   |       |                       |       |                    |                        |     |        |                   |       |     |       |                   |
| Decision Requested     | <p>Add a new rule to the Plan as follows -</p> <p>(a) The take and use of groundwater is a permitted activity provided no more than 10m<sup>3</sup>/day at a rate not exceeding 5l/s is taken;</p> <p>(b) The taking and use of surface water is a permitted activity in accordance with the table below:</p> <table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>River</td> <td>&lt; 100 L/s</td> <td>0.5 L/s</td> <td>2 m<sup>3</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td>River</td> <td>100 – 500 L/s</td> <td>2 L/s</td> <td>10 m<sup>3</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td>River</td> <td>500 L/s – 10 m<sup>3</sup>/s</td> <td>5 L/s</td> <td>20 m<sup>3</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td>River</td> <td>10 – 20 m<sup>3</sup>/s</td> <td>5 L/s</td> <td>50 m<sup>3</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td>River</td> <td>&gt;20 m<sup>3</sup>/s</td> <td>5 L/s</td> <td>100 m<sup>3</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Artificial watercourse</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>10 L/s</td> <td>10 m<sup>3</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lakes</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>5 L/s</td> <td>50 m<sup>3</sup></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Note: Nothing in this Plan affects an individual's right to take water in accordance with section 14(3)(b) of the RMA.</p> |         |                    |                 |           |                 | River | < 100 L/s | 0.5 L/s | 2 m <sup>3</sup> | River | 100 – 500 L/s | 2 L/s | 10 m <sup>3</sup> | River | 500 L/s – 10 m <sup>3</sup> /s | 5 L/s | 20 m <sup>3</sup> | River | 10 – 20 m <sup>3</sup> /s | 5 L/s | 50 m <sup>3</sup> | River | >20 m <sup>3</sup> /s | 5 L/s | 100 m <sup>3</sup> | Artificial watercourse | N/A | 10 L/s | 10 m <sup>3</sup> | Lakes | N/A | 5 L/s | 50 m <sup>3</sup> |
| River                  | < 100 L/s   | 0.5 L/s | 2 m <sup>3</sup>   |                 |           |                 |       |           |         |                  |       |               |       |                   |       |                                |       |                   |       |                           |       |                   |       |                       |       |                    |                        |     |        |                   |       |     |       |                   |
| River                  | 100 – 500 L/s   | 2 L/s   | 10 m <sup>3</sup>  |                 |           |                 |       |           |         |                  |       |               |       |                   |       |                                |       |                   |       |                           |       |                   |       |                       |       |                    |                        |     |        |                   |       |     |       |                   |
| River                  | 500 L/s – 10 m <sup>3</sup> /s  | 5 L/s   | 20 m <sup>3</sup>  |                 |           |                 |       |           |         |                  |       |               |       |                   |       |                                |       |                   |       |                           |       |                   |       |                       |       |                    |                        |     |        |                   |       |     |       |                   |
| River                  | 10 – 20 m <sup>3</sup> /s   | 5 L/s   | 50 m <sup>3</sup>  |                 |           |                 |       |           |         |                  |       |               |       |                   |       |                                |       |                   |       |                           |       |                   |       |                       |       |                    |                        |     |        |                   |       |     |       |                   |
| River                  | >20 m <sup>3</sup> /s   | 5 L/s   | 100 m <sup>3</sup> |                 |           |                 |       |           |         |                  |       |               |       |                   |       |                                |       |                   |       |                           |       |                   |       |                       |       |                    |                        |     |        |                   |       |     |       |                   |
| Artificial watercourse | N/A   | 10 L/s  | 10 m <sup>3</sup>  |                 |           |                 |       |           |         |                  |       |               |       |                   |       |                                |       |                   |       |                           |       |                   |       |                       |       |                    |                        |     |        |                   |       |     |       |                   |
| Lakes                  | N/A   | 5 L/s   | 50 m <sup>3</sup>  |                 |           |                 |       |           |         |                  |       |               |       |                   |       |                                |       |                   |       |                           |       |                   |       |                       |       |                    |                        |     |        |                   |       |     |       |                   |
| 425                    | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 438     | Volume 2           | 2 General Rules | 2.3.1.    | Oppose          |       |           |         |                  |       |               |       |                   |       |                                |       |                   |       |                           |       |                   |       |                       |       |                    |                        |     |        |                   |       |     |       |                   |
| Decision Requested     | Delete all the Standards under this heading.  |         |                    |                 |           |                 |       |           |         |                  |       |               |       |                   |       |                                |       |                   |       |                           |       |                   |       |                       |       |                    |                        |     |        |                   |       |     |       |                   |
| 425                    | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 439     | Volume 2           | 2 General Rules | 2.2.4.    | Oppose          |       |           |         |                  |       |               |       |                   |       |                                |       |                   |       |                           |       |                   |       |                       |       |                    |                        |     |        |                   |       |     |       |                   |
| Decision Requested     | Delete Rule.  |         |                    |                 |           |                 |       |           |         |                  |       |               |       |                   |       |                                |       |                   |       |                           |       |                   |       |                       |       |                    |                        |     |        |                   |       |     |       |                   |
| 425                    | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 440     | Volume 2           | 2 General Rules | 2.3.4.    | Oppose          |       |           |         |                  |       |               |       |                   |       |                                |       |                   |       |                           |       |                   |       |                       |       |                    |                        |     |        |                   |       |     |       |                   |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete all the Standards under this heading.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 441   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.5.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Take and use of water for incidental use associated with farming up to <del>5m3</del> <b>10m3</b> per day per Computer Register."</i><br>or, delete the Rule as a result of Submission of new proposed rule in separate Submission.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 442   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.4.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Controlled Activity Rule as follows -<br><b><i>"The taking and use of more than 15m3/day for dairy shed wash down water where the dairy shed existed before 9th June 2016.</i></b><br><b><i>Matters of control</i></b><br><b><i>(a) the dairy shed was lawfully established before [date of notification] and the applicant is able to provide proof of this.</i></b><br><b><i>(b) The water being taken and used within the dairy shed is reasonable and efficient use of water.</i></b><br><b><i>Note: Proof the dairy shed being lawfully established before 9th June 2016 can be done by way of providing the following. It should be noted that these are not the only way that the dairy shed can be proven to be lawfully established before 9th June 2016:</i></b><br><b><i>(a) building permit code of compliance; or</i></b><br><b><i>(b) a resource consent for a dairy shed effluent disposal."</i></b> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 443   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.7.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Take and use of water from the Wairau Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit up to 15m3 per day for any purpose until <del>9 June 2017</del> <b>one year after the Plan becomes operative.</b>"</i>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 444   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.17.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Replace the Rule and the associated Standards with two new rules and associated standards as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><del>"Damming water and the subsequent use of that water.</del><br/> <del>Standard 2.3.16.1. – No more than 5,000m<sup>3</sup> of water is dammed at any time.</del><br/> <del>Standard 2.3.16.2. – The damming and water use must not be otherwise provided for by a resource consent."</del></p> <p>Rule 1</p> <p><b>"For the damming or impounding of water outside the bed of a river or natural lake:</b></p> <p><b>(a) the volume of water impounded is less than 20,000 m<sup>3</sup>; or</b></p> <p><b>(b) the maximum depth of water is less than 3 m; and</b></p> <p><b>(c) if the volume of water impounded is greater than 1,000 m<sup>3</sup>, the design and construction of the dam is certified by a Recognised Engineer; and</b></p> <p><b>(d) the land is not contaminated or potentially contaminated."</b></p> <p>Rule 2</p> <p><b>"For the damming of water in the bed of a river and the constructing, altering, using, maintaining and operating of dam structures within the bed of a river:</b></p> <p><b>(a) The volume of water impounded is less than 20,000 m<sup>3</sup>; and</b></p> <p><b>(b) The maximum depth of water is less than 3 m; and</b></p> <p><b>(c) The dam does not impound the full flow of the river; and</b></p> <p><b>(d) Any existing passage of fish is not impeded; and</b></p> <p><b>(e) The damming of water does not cause water flow to fail to meet any limits in Appendix 6 or fall below the minimum flow for the surface waterbody if the waterbody is subject to a minimum flow as set out in Appendix 6; and</b></p> <p><b>(f) The damming does not prevent water being taken by any domestic or stock water supply, or reduce the reliability of supply of any existing legally authorised water take."</b></p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 445   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.16.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standards 2.3.16.1 and 2.3.16.2 under this heading.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 446   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That a new Restricted Discretionary Activity rule is included in the Plan as follows -<br><b>" Transfer of a water permit.</b><br><b>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</b><br><b>- impacts on existing users;</b><br><b>- compliance with allocation limits;</b><br><b>- reasonable and efficient use."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 447   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.4.1.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule as notified.<br>And, that an advice not is provided that says the rule doesn't relate to the taking from the dam and subsequent use.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 448   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><i>" Take of water that would cause the water quantity allocation limit for the relevant Freshwater Management Unit to be exceeded, unless the take is:</i><br><i>(a) provided for as a Permitted Activity;</i><br><i>(b) the subject of a resource consent application affected by section 124 of the RMA;</i><br><b><i>(c) A take in accordance with Section 14(3)(b) for domestic needs and stock drinking."</i></b> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 449   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 450   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.4.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That reference to the Munsell scale is deleted in the permitted activity standards.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 451   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.5.  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 452   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.2.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete all the Standards under this heading.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 453   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.6.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 454   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.3.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standards is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>" <b>Good management practice</b> <del>The best practicable method</del> must be adopted to <del>avoid</del> <b>manage</b> dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 455   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.1.3.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 456   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.1.4.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 457   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.1.5.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 458   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Rule subject to the deletion of Standards 2.9.1.3, 2.9.1.4 and 2.9.1.5.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 459   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule subject to the deletion of Standards 2.9.2.2 to 2.9.2.5 (inclusive).  |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 460   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.2.2.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 461   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.2.3.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 462   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.2.4.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 463   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.2.5.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 464   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.4.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 465   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.5.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule subject to all Standards being deleted (Standards 2.9.5.1 to 2.9.5.4 inclusive).  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 466   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.5.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete all Standards under this heading.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 467   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>A new Permitted Activity rule is added to the Plan as follows (<i>no associated standards provided in the Submission</i>) -</p> <p><b>"Construction or placement of a new structure, such as a fence, culvert, bridge or stock/vehicle crossing on the bed of a lake or permanently flowing river."</b></p> <p>(<i>Inferred</i>)</p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 468   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.7.    | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is retained.  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 469   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules           | 2.7.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a new Permitted Activity rule is included in the Plan which reads as follows <i>(no associated standards provided in the Submission)</i> -<br><b>"The placement of a river crossing structure, including but not limited to weirs, fords and small bridges, excluding culverts and a river crossing that dams a river, that is fixed in, on under, or over the bed of a river including any associated disturbance of and deposition on the river or lake bed, and diversion of water and discharge of sediment to water."</b> |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 470   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules           | 2.7.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | A new Permitted Activity rule is added to the Plan as follows <i>(no associated standards provided in the Submission)</i> -<br><b>"Maintenance of existing farm drains."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 471   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.8     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Method to add the following -<br><b>"The Council will work with industry to develop good management practice guidance for drain clearance and maintenance."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 472   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules           | 2.7.9.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule subject to the amendments and deletions for specific Standards associated with this Rule <i>(see separate Submissions)</i> .  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 473   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules           | 2.9.9.1.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br><p>"The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river, <b>except in the following circumstances:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>where stock crossing occurs occasionally as part of grazing rotation, or</b></li> <li>- <b>to access other areas of a farm that are separated by the waterbody, or</b></li> <li>- <b>where the crossing is necessary for stock safety, or</b></li> <li>- <b>where there are practical difficulties constructing a bridge or culvert;"</b></li> </ul> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 474   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) -<br><p><i>"After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i><del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site;</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%."</del></i></p>                     |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 475   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.3.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 476   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.10.1.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule amended so activities not meeting permitted standards have Restricted Discretionary status.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 477   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.4.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 478   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.5.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 479   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the heading "<i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i>" to "<i>Drainage Channel <del>Network Activity</del></i>";</p> <p>And, delete the following paragraph under the heading "Drainage Channel Network Activity" -</p> <p><i><del>"These rules apply to river control and drainage works only when carried out by the Marlborough District Council exercising its functions, duties and powers under the Soil Conservation and River Control Act 1941, the Land Drainage Act 1908 and in accordance with the Marlborough District Council Rivers and Drainage Asset Management Plan."</del></i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 480   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.12.4.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the heading "<i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i>" to "<i>Drainage Channel <del>Network Activity</del></i>";</p> <p>And, delete the following paragraph under the heading "Drainage Channel Network Activity" -</p> <p><i><del>"These rules apply to river control and drainage works only when carried out by the Marlborough District Council exercising its functions, duties and powers under the Soil Conservation and River Control Act 1941, the Land Drainage Act 1908 and in accordance with the Marlborough District Council Rivers and Drainage Asset Management Plan."</del></i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 481   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.12.6.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the heading "<i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i>" to "<i>Drainage Channel <del>Network Activity</del></i>";</p> <p>And, delete the following paragraph under the heading "Drainage Channel Network Activity" -</p> <p><i>"These rules apply to river control and drainage works only when carried out by the Marlborough District Council exercising its functions, duties and powers under the Soil Conservation and River Control Act 1941, the Land Drainage Act 1908 and in accordance with the Marlborough District Council Rivers and Drainage Asset Management Plan."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 482   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.12.7.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the heading "<i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i>" to "<i>Drainage Channel <del>Network Activity</del></i>";</p> <p>And, delete the following paragraph under the heading "Drainage Channel Network Activity" -</p> <p><i>"These rules apply to river control and drainage works only when carried out by the Marlborough District Council exercising its functions, duties and powers under the Soil Conservation and River Control Act 1941, the Land Drainage Act 1908 and in accordance with the Marlborough District Council Rivers and Drainage Asset Management Plan."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 483   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.14.7.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Standards under this heading are amended to read as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"2.14.7.1. Cutting must not be carried out more than once in any 12 month period on any section of drainage channel.</i></p> <p><i><del>2.14.7.2. The removal and control must not be carried out in a tidal reach between 1 February and 30 April, and 1 August and 30 November in any year.</del></i></p> <p><i><del>2.14.7.3. The excavator must not enter flowing water.</del></i></p> <p><i>2.14.7.4. The cutting must not be carried out over more than 90% of the channel width by leaving an uncut strip on each side of the channel.</i></p> <p><i><del>2.14.7.5. Removed material must be retained on adjacent channel banks for a period not less than 12 hours to provide opportunity for fish and animals to re-enter the drainage channel."</del></i></p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 484   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.12.8.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the heading " <i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i> " to " <i>Drainage Channel <del>Network Activity</del></i> ";<br>And, delete the following paragraph under the heading "Drainage Channel Network Activity" -<br><i>"These rules apply to river control and drainage works only when carried out by the Marlborough District Council exercising its functions, duties and powers under the Soil Conservation and River Control Act 1941, the Land Drainage Act 1908 and in accordance with the Marlborough District Council Rivers and Drainage Asset Management Plan."</i><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 485   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.12.9.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the heading " <i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i> " to " <i>Drainage Channel <del>Network Activity</del></i> ";<br>And, delete the following paragraph under the heading "Drainage Channel Network Activity" -<br><i>"These rules apply to river control and drainage works only when carried out by the Marlborough District Council exercising its functions, duties and powers under the Soil Conservation and River Control Act 1941, the Land Drainage Act 1908 and in accordance with the Marlborough District Council Rivers and Drainage Asset Management Plan."</i><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 486   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.12.10.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 487   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.16.3.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule to read as follows (bold) -<br><i>"Discharge of stormwater to water, <b>excluding the discharge of stormwater to water in farm drains.</b>"</i><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 488   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.3.   | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standards under this heading to add the following new Standards <i>(the Submitter did not provide the specific wording sought)</i> -<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- That quantitative measures are included in the discharge to stormwater provisions.</li> <li>- That allowances for adverse weather events are provided.</li> </ul> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 489   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.20.1.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 490   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.21.1.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 491   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.33.2.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 492   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.31.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That a new Permitted Activity rule is added to the Plan as follows -<br><b><i>"Any land use activity relating to transportation that is not limited elsewhere in the Plan."</i></b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 493   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.4.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>Maintenance and replacement</del> of the following network utility infrastructure existing at 9 June 2016:<br><del>(a) an electricity line or facility;</del><br><b>(ab) a telecommunication line or facility;</b><br><b>(be) a radio communication apparatus or facility;</b><br><b>(cd) a meteorological service apparatus or facility.</b> "<br><br>And, " <b>Maintenance and replacement of the an electricity line or facility existing at 9 June 2016 in accordance with the National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities.</b> "<br><br>(Inferred) |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 494   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.40.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Discretionary Activity Rule as follows -<br><br>" <b>The replacement of telecommunication lines, radio communication apparatus, and meteorological service apparatus and facilities.</b> "   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 495   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.38.5.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Standard to this Rule as follows -<br><br>" <b>The minor upgrading must not cause any injurious affection to land not owned by the network utility operator conducting the upgrading.</b> "<br><br>(Inferred)  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 496   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.38.1.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 497   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.47.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 498   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.1.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br><i>"No more than one residential dwelling must be constructed or sited per Computer Register, <b>unless the site is over 20ha where one additional residential dwelling is permitted.</b>"</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 499   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"The maximum height of a building or structure must not exceed <del>40</del><b>20</b>m."</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 500   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.3.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 501   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.5.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 502   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.7.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"A <b>dwelling</b> <del>habitable structure or accessory building</del> must have a fire safety setback of at least 100m from any existing commercial forestry or carbon sequestration forestry on any adjacent land under different ownership."</i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 503   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.8.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"A <b>dwelling</b> <del>building or structure</del> must not be located within 90m of the designation boundary (or secured yard) of the National Grid Blenheim substation."</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 504   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.9.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 505   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.10. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"A <b>dwelling</b> building must not be sited in, or within 8m of, a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel, Drainage Channel Network, the landward toe of any stopbank, or the sea."</i>                     |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 506   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.11. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 507   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.12. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 508   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.13. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 509   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.14. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 510   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br><i>"A building or structure that has the potential to divert water must not be within a Level 2 Flood Hazard Area, <b>with the exception of buildings and structures (including trellises and fences) ancillary to primary production.</b>"</i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 511   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.16. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br><i>"A building or structure must not be within a Level 3 Flood Hazard Area, <b>with the exception of buildings and structures (including trellises and fences) ancillary to primary production.</b>"</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 512   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.17. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) -<br><p><i>"Under the National Grid Conductors (wires) within the National Grid Yard the following apply:</i></p> <p><i>(a) a fence must not exceed 2.5m in height;</i></p> <p><i>(b) a building or structure must be uninhabitable and used for farming or horticulture <del>but must not be used as a dairy shed, intensive farming building or commercial greenhouse;</del></i></p> <p><i>(c) a building alteration or addition must be contained within the original building height and footprint;</i></p> <p><i>(d) a building or structure must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of the conductor associated with the National Grid line or otherwise comply with NZECP34:2001."</i></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 513   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.18. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 514   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><p><i>"The following activities are excluded from having to comply with the noise limits:</i></p> <p><i>(a) sirens and call out sirens associated with the activities of the New Zealand Fire Service;</i></p> <p><i>(b) mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of agricultural or horticultural activities occurring in the Rural Environment Zone <b>mobile sources associated with primary production activities; temporary activities required by normal agricultural and horticulture practice, such as cropping and harvesting; and noise from rural livestock;</b></i></p> <p><i>(c) any fixed motors or equipment, frost fans or gas guns, milling or processing forestry activities, static irrigation pumps; motorbikes that are being used for recreational purposes."</i></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 515   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.7.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"The odour, <b>except if generated by farming</b>, must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>      |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 516   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.9.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Rule is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"<b>Good management practice</b> <del>The best practicable method</del> must be adopted to avoid <b>manage</b> dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 517   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Farming, <b>including earthworks ancillary to farming</b>."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 518   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.1.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 519   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.2.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"The airstrip or helipad must be <del>integral</del> <b>ancillary</b> to the use of the land <b>for primary production</b> on which the airstrip or helipad is located <b>for farming</b>."</i></p>        |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 520   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.4.1.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) -<br><br><i>"For a temporary building or structure, or an unmodified shipping container, ancillary to a building or construction project the building, structure or container must not:</i><br><br><i>(a) exceed 40m2 in area;</i><br><br><i>(b) remain on the site for longer than the duration of the project or 12 months, whichever is the lesser."</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 521   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.4.2.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 522   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.4.3.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 523   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | With regards to wilding pines, permitted activity criteria for plantings under a certain area (a small geographical area), in low risk conditions (with low risk conditions including a setback from property boundaries to ensure seedlings are likely to be grazed, rather than spread over property boundaries); and<br><br>The ability to apply for a consent setting out the management obligations of the consent holder in relation to the pest plant attributes and risk associated with the planting, for small to medium sized plantings, again with appropriate setbacks from property boundaries.<br><br><i>(It is not clear in the Submission the specific relief sought.)</i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 524   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amedn the Standard to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>"Planting must not be in, or within:<br>(a) 100m of any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3, Rural Living or Coastal Living;<br>(b) 100m of a <b>dwelling</b> <del>habitable structure or accessory building</del> located on any adjacent land under different ownership;<br>(c) <del>30</del> <b>10</b> m of a formed and sealed public road;<br>(d) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;<br>(e) 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification;<br>(f) <del>200</del> <b>30</b> m of the coastal marine area;<br>(g) <del>an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site;</del><br>(h) <del>Steep Erosion-Prone Land, unless replanting harvested commercial forest lawfully established;</del><br>(i) <del>the Limestone Coastline Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape;</del><br>(j) <del>the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape.</del><br>3.3.6.3. Planting must not be within such proximity to any abstraction point for a drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply." |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 525   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 526   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.2.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 527   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>The Submitter has not identified the specific relief sought in relation to the Standards under this heading relative to this Submission point.</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 528   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.8.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 529   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.9.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 530   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.10.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 531   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>" The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 3.3.11.3 to 3.3.11.6 (inclusive):</i></p> <p><i>(a) indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt;</i></p> <p><i>(b) indigenous vegetation dominated by manuka, kanuka, tauhinu, bracken fern and silver tussock, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 20 years in age;</i></p> <p><i>(c) indigenous vegetation dominated by matagouri, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 50 years in age;</i></p> <p><i>(d) where the clearance is associated with the <b>formation or</b> maintenance of <b>a fence line</b>, an <del>existing</del> road, forestry road, harvesting track, <del>or</del> farm track, <b>farm drain, stream/river crossings and bridges</b>;</i></p> <p><i>(e) where the clearance is on a <del>Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Site</del> and the clearance is within the curtilage of a dwelling;</i></p> <p><b><i>(f) avoiding danger to human life or existing buildings / structures;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(g) avoiding risks to the safe and efficient operation of existing network utilities and private infrastructure;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(h) management of fire risk;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(i) to give effect to a Sustainable Forest Management Plan or Permit as approved under the Forests Act 1949;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(j) undertaking plant pest management activities."</i></b></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 532   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.3. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 533   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>It is not clear in the Submission the specific relief sought by the Submitter with regards to this Standard.</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 534   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the indigenous vegetation clearance limits are increased to more appropriately allow for farming in the rural environment. <i>(The Submission did not identify the specific relief sought.)</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 535   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the indigenous vegetation clearance limits are increased to more appropriately allow for farming in the rural environment. <i>(The Submission did not identify the specific relief sought.)</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 536   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.12.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 537   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>On all slopes greater than 20° cultivation must</del> <b>should be undertaken</b> parallel to the contour of the land, <del>except that up to 15% of the cultivated area may be cultivated at an angle to the contour</del> <b>where reasonably practical.</b> " |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 538   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 539   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.3. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 540   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.4. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 541   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 542   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><p>"<del>Cultivation</del> <b>Any run off to a surface water body</b> must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after <b>beyond the zone of</b> reasonable mixing, <del>or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area measured as follows:-</del></p> <p>(a) <del>hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del></p> <p>(b) <del>the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the cultivation site;</del></p> <p>(c) <del>the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%.</del>"</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 543   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><p>"<del>Excavation in excess of 1000m<sup>3</sup></del> <b>2000m<sup>3</sup></b> must not occur on any <b>hectare of</b> land with a slope greater than 20° within any <del>24</del> <b>12</b> month period."</p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 544   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 545   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.3. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 546   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.4. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 547   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.5.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 548   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.7.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 549   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.8.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p><b>"Except for excavation for the purpose of forming and maintaining farm tracks, fences and drains, there</b> <del>There</del> <i>must be no excavation in excess of 500m<sup>3</sup> per Computer Register located within the following Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes within any 12 month period:</i></p> <p><i>a) Chalk Range;</i></p> <p><i>(b) Inland Kaikoura Range;</i></p> <p><i>(c) Molesworth Station and Upper Clarence;</i></p> <p><i>(d) Limestone Coastline."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 550   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.9.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 551   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.12. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"Excavation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in any Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><del><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></del></p> <p><del><i>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the excavation site;</i></del></p> <p><del><i>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%."</i></del></p> |       |          |                          |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 552   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.15.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Excavation within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from the remaining standards under this rule:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Excavation that is undertaken as part of agricultural or domestic cultivation, or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm <b>or forestry</b> track;</i></p> <p><i>(b) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire, <b>or up to the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire if the excavation does not compromise the stability of the structure or wire;</b></i></p> <p><i>(c) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticulture structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation, <b>or up to the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation if the excavation does not compromise the stability of the foundation.</b></i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 553   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.3.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 554   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.4.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"<del>Fill must not be within a Level 2 or 3 Flood Hazard Area, or in the Level 4 Flood Hazard Area in the vicinity of Condors Overflow.</del>"</i></p>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 555   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.8.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 556   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.10. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 557   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.11  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) -<br><i>"Filling must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area measured as follows:</i><br><del><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></del><br><i>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the filling site;</i><br><del><i>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%."</i></del> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 558   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.12 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 559   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.16.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That farm dams up to 20,000m <sup>3</sup> are permitted, and that the construction, taking, use, damming and diversion of water in the dam are managed by a single rule. <i>(Also see separate submission on Rule 2.2.17.)</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 560   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.20.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (bold) -<br><i>"Land disturbance, <b>including vegetation clearance</b>, to create and maintain a fire break."</i><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 561   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.21.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule subject to amendments to, and deletions of, some Standards. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 562   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><i>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</i></p> <p><i>"The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river, <b>except in the following circumstances:</b></i></p> <p><i><b>(a) where stock crossing occurs occasionally as part of grazing rotation, or</b></i></p> <p><i><b>(b) to access other areas of a farm that are separated by the waterbody, or</b></i></p> <p><i><b>(c) where the crossing is necessary for stock safety, or</b></i></p> <p><i><b>(d) where there are practical difficulties constructing a bridge or culvert;"</b></i></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 563   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i><del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site;</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%."</del></i></p>                            |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 564   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.3. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 565   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That a new rule is included in the Plan which reads as follows -</p> <p><b>"Grazing of a permanently fenced riparian margin may occur for weed control purposes."</b></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 566   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 567   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23.   | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.<br>Or if retained, the Rule is amended as follows (strike through) -<br><i>"Application of fertiliser <del>or lime</del> into or onto land."</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 568   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 569   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 570   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br><i>"The application must not result in the fertiliser being <b>intentionally</b> deposited in or on a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network that contains water."</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 571   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>"Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading <b>from the application of fertiliser</b> on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year <del>(excluding N from direct animal inputs)</del> ."  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 572   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>"All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime must not pass beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied <b>practical measures are taken to minimise fertiliser drift beyond the target area.</b> " |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 573   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.25.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 574   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.26.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 575   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.27.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 576   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is deleted.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 577   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>" The discharge must not occur within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) <del>50</del> <b>20m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU.</i></p> <p><i>(b) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</i></p> <p><i>(c) <del>10m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership.</del>"</i></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 578   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.3. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 579   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.5. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 580   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.6. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 581   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.7. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 582   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, there must be an on-site storage system <del>with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be</del> <b>designed and</b> certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 3.3.28.4, 3.3.28.5 and 3.3.28.6 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system."</i> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 583   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard.   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 584   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within:<br/> (a) 20m of a river, lake, <del>or Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</del><br/> (b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;<br/> (c) a <b>Level 4 Flood Hazard Area.</b>"</i>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 585   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"From <del>9 June 2019</del> <b>three years of the Plan becoming operative</b>, Standards 3.3.28.8, 3.3.28.9 and 3.3.28.10 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016."</i>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 586   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.28.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Rule, subject to the deletion of Standards 3.3.30.5, 3.3.30.8 and 3.3.30.9, with an amendment as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"Discharge <del>of human effluent</del> <b>from an on-site wastewater system</b> into or onto land."</i>   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 587   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.30.5.  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 588   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.30.8. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 589   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.30.9. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete clause 3.3.30.9 and amend the provision so that it deals with 'discharges from on-site wastewater systems', rather than 'discharge of human effluent'.                             |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 590   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 591   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br><br>"Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property <b>or a property under the same ownership</b> must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit." |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 592   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>"Surface <del>run-off</del> <b>water</b> must not enter the pit."  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 593   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.32.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 594   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.32.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 595   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.32.3. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 596   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.32.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"The offal pit must not be located within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) 50m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i></p> <p><i>(b) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, <del>drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</del></i></p> <p><i>(c) 50m of any boundary of the property or a dwelling."</i></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 597   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.32.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 598   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.32.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"The offal pit must be <del>completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise</del> designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use."</i></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 599   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.32.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>The Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought in regard to this Submission.</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 600   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.33.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the rule is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"<del>Making</del> <b>Fermentation of</b> compost or silage in a pit or stack, or stockpiling agricultural solid waste."</i></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 601   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 602   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 603   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>" The pit, stack or stockpile must not be located within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) <del>50m</del> <b>5m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i></p> <p><i>(b) <del>20m</del> <b>5m</b> of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</i></p> <p><i><del>(c) 10m of any boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership.</del>"</i></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 604   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.4. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 605   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>" There must be no runoff of leachate from the pit, stack or stockpile <b>into a waterbody.</b>"</i></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 606   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.6. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 607   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.34.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 608   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.36.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That this Rule is deleted. <i>(The decision requested is unclear as the Submission indicates burning in the open is sought to be a Permitted Activity, however the relief sought to delete this Rule would cause burning in the open to be a Discretionary Activity.)</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 609   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                        | 3.1.37.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 610   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                        | 3.3.45.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 611   | Volume 3 | Appendix 24 Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Appendix.  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 612   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                        | 3.5.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Excavation in excess of <del>1000m<sup>3</sup></del> <b>2000m<sup>3</sup></b> on any hectare of land with a slope greater than 20° within any <del>24</del> <b>12</b> month period including excavation as part of Commercial Forestry Harvesting and Woodlot Forestry Harvesting activities."</i> |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 613   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                        | 3.6.8.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted.   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 614   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                        | 3.1.      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | That a new Permitted Activity rule is added rule that reads as follows -<br><b>"New dairy farm established after 9th June 2016.</b><br><b>A farm environment plan detailing plans to achieve good practice management must be developed in conjunction with industry that sets out:</b><br><b>(c) measures (including fences, bridges or culverts) to prevent stock entering onto or passing across the bed of any river or lake, significant wetland, or any drain or the Drainage Channel Network;</b><br><b>(d) provision, where appropriate, of a non-grazed buffer along the margins of any river, lake, significant wetland, drain or the Drainage Channel Network, to intercept the runoff of contaminants from grazed pasture;</b><br><b>(e) provision for storage of dairy effluent, with all storage ponds sufficiently sized to enable deferral of application to land until soil conditions are such that surface runoff and/or drainage do not occur;</b><br><b>(f) demonstration of appropriate separation distances between effluent storage ponds and any surface waterbodies to ensure contamination of water does not occur (including during flood events); and</b><br><b>(g) a nutrient management plan that includes nutrient inputs from dairy effluent, animal discharges, fertiliser and any other nutrient input.</b><br><b>And be available to Council on request."</b> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 615   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.11.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 616   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.1.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 617   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.2.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 618   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.3.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 619   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.4.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                          |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 620   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.5.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 621   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.14.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 622   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.46.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 623   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><i>"No more than one residential dwelling must be constructed or sited per Computer Register, <b>unless the site is over 20ha where one additional residential dwelling is permitted.</b>"</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 624   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"The maximum height of a building or structure must not exceed <del>1</del><b>20m.</b>"</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 625   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.4.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 626   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.6.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"A <b>dwelling</b> <del>habitable structure or accessory building</del> must have a fire safety setback of at least 100m from any existing commercial forestry or carbon sequestration forestry on any adjacent land under different ownership."</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 627   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.7.  | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 628   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.8.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"A <del>dwelling building</del> must not be sited in, or within 8m of, a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel, the landward toe of any stopbank or the sea."</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 629   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.9.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 630   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.10. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 631   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.11. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 632   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.12. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 633   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><i>"A building or structure that has the potential to divert water must not be within a Level 2 Flood Hazard Area, <b>with the exception of buildings and structures (including trellises and fences) ancillary to primary production.</b>"</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 634   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.14. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><i>"A building or structure must not be within a Level 3 Flood Hazard Area, <b>with the exception of buildings and structures (including trellises and fences) ancillary to primary production.</b>"</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 635   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) -<br><i>"Under the National Grid Conductors (wires) within the National Grid Yard the following apply:<br/> (a) a fence must not exceed 2.5m in height;<br/> (b) a building or structure must be uninhabitable and used for farming or horticulture <del>but must not be used as a dairy shed, intensive farming building or commercial greenhouse;</del><br/> (c) building alterations and additions must be contained within the original building height and footprint;<br/> (d) a building or structure must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of the conductor associated with the National Grid line or otherwise comply with NZECP34:2001."</i>               |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 636   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.16. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 637   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.2.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"The following activities are excluded from having to comply with the noise limits:<br/> (a) <del>mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of agricultural or horticultural activities occurring in the Coastal Environment Zone;</del><br/> <b>Mobile sources associated with primary production activities; temporary activities required by normal agricultural and horticulture practice, such as cropping and harvesting; and noise from rural livestock;</b><br/> (b) any fixed motors or equipment, frost fans or gas guns, milling or processing forestry activities, static irrigation pumps; motorbikes that are being used for recreational purposes."</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 638   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.4.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"The odour, <b>except if generated by farming</b>, must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 639   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.6.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"<b>Good management practice</b> <del>The best practicable method</del> must be adopted to <del>avoid</del> <b>manage</b> dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i></p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 640   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Farming, <b>including earthworks ancillary to farming</b>."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 641   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.2.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"The airstrip or helipad must be <del>integral</del> <b>ancillary</b> to the use of the land <b>for primary production</b> on which the airstrip or helipad is located <del>for farming</del>."</i></p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 642   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.4.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"For a temporary building <del>or structure, or an unmodified shipping container</del>, ancillary to a building or construction project the building, <del>structure or container</del> must not:</i></p> <p><i>(a) exceed 40m2 in area;</i></p> <p><i>(b) remain on the site for longer than the duration of the project or 12 months, whichever is the lesser."</i></p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 643   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.4.2.  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 644   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.4.3.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 645   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.6.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule, subject to deletion of all standards ( <i>see separate submissions</i> ).   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 646   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.7.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 647   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.8.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 648   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.9.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 649   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>"Filling in excess of 1000m3 must not occur within any <del>24</del> <b>12</b> month period." |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 650   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.10.3 to 4.3.10.6 (inclusive):</i><br><i>(a) indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt;</i><br><i>(b) indigenous vegetation dominated by manuka, kanuka, tauhinu, bracken fern and silver tussock, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 20 years in age;</i><br><i>(c) indigenous vegetation dominated by matagouri, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 50 years in age;</i><br><i>(d) where the clearance is associated with the maintenance of <b>a fence line</b>, an existing road, forestry road, harvesting track or farm track;</i><br><i>(e) where the clearance is <del>on a Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Site</del> and the clearance is within the curtilage of a dwelling.</i><br><b>(f) avoiding danger to human life or existing buildings / structures;</b><br><b>(g) avoiding risks to the safe and efficient operation of existing network utility operations and existing electricity generation activities;</b><br><b>(h) management of fire risk;</b><br><b>(i) stream / river crossing formation and maintenance;</b><br><b>(j) formation and maintenance of farm drains;</b><br><b>(k) to give effect to a Sustainable Forest Management Plan or Permit as approved under the Forests Act 1949 prior to 16 September 2010;</b><br><b>(l) construction and maintenance of fences;</b><br><b>(m) maintaining existing tracks;</b><br><b>(n) gathering of plants in accordance with Maori customs/values;</b><br><b>(o) installing a bait station network;</b><br><b>(p) undertaking plant pest management activities."</b> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 651   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) -<br><br><i>"Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur:</i><br><del><i>(a) on a Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Site;</i></del><br><i>(b) on land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Site."</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 652   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"<del>Clearance of indigenous vegetation</del> <b>clearance</b> within the coastal environment must not include the following habitats/species:...."</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 653   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.6. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the indigenous vegetation clearance limits are increased to more appropriately allow for farming in the coastal environment.  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 654   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 655   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"On all slopes greater than 20° cultivation must <del>should</del> be <b>undertaken</b> parallel to the contour of the land, except that up to 15% of the cultivated area may be cultivated at an angle to the contour. <b>where reasonably practical.</b>"</i>                            |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 656   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Excavation in excess of <del>1000</del> <b>2000m3</b> must not occur on any <b>hectare of</b> land with a slope greater than 20° within any <b>12</b> <del>24</del> month period."</i>  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 657   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.2.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 658   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.5.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 659   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.6.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><i>" There must be no excavation in excess of 500m3 per Computer Register located within the Marlborough Sounds Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape within any 12 month period, <b>except excavation for formation and maintenance of farm tracks, races, fences and drains.</b>"</i><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 660   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.10. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Excavation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in any Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:<br/> <del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del><br/> (b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the excavation site;<br/> <del>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%."</del></i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 661   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.14.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"The filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances <b>of less than: 6.5m (measured vertically) from a 110Kv National Grid transmission line; or 7.5m (measured vertically) from a 220kV National Grid transmission line</b> as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 662   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 663   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.18.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That farm dams up to 20,000m <sup>3</sup> are permitted, and that the construction, taking, use, damming and diversion of water in the dam are managed by a single rule.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 664   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.19.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That firebreaks involving both land disturbance and vegetation (indigenous and non-indigenous) clearance are permitted.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 665   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.1. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river, <b>except in the following circumstances:</b></i><br><b>- where stock crossing occurs occasionally as part of grazing rotation, or</b><br><b>- to access other areas of a farm that are separated by the waterbody, or</b><br><b>- where the crossing is necessary for stock safety, or</b><br><b>- where there are practical difficulties constructing a bridge or culvert;"</b>                              |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 666   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions             | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <i>No decision requested.</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 667   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river, measured as follows:</i><br><del><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></del><br><del><i>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site;</i></del><br><del><i>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%."</i></del> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 668   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.3. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 669   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.21.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 670   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.22.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule or amend as follows (strike through) -<br><br><i>"Application of fertiliser <del>or lime</del> into or onto land."</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 671   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.24.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 672   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.25.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 673   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.26.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 674   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standad is amended to read (strike through and bold) -<br>4.3.27.1. <i>The discharge must not occur within:</i><br>(a) <del>50</del> <b>20m</b> of a bore;<br>(b) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland or drainage channel;<br>(c) 10m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership. |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 675   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 676   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.4. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 677   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 678   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.6. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 679   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, there must be an on-site storage <del>system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be</del> <b>designed and</b> certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that Standards 4.3.27.3, 4.3.27.4 and 4.3.27.5 are not breached. The certification report must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system."</i> |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 680   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions             | 25.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a definition for " <i>impermeable surface</i> ". ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 681   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within:<br/> (a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland <del>or drainage channel</del>;<br/> (b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;<br/> (c) a <b>Level 4</b> Flood Hazard Area."</i>  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 682   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"From <del>9 June 2019</del> <b>three years of the Plan becoming operative</b>, Standards 4.3.27.7, 4.3.27.8 and 4.3.27.9 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016."</i>  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 683   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.29.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through) -<br><i>"Discharge of human effluent <b>from on-site wastewater systems</b> into or onto land through an onsite management system."</i>  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 684   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.29.6.  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 685   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.29.8. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 686   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 687   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><i>"Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property <b>or a property under the same ownership</b> must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit."</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 688   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Surface <del>run-off</del> <b>water</b> must not enter the pit."</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 689   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.31.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 690   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.32.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"<del>Making</del> <b>Fermentation</b> of compost or silage in a pit or stack, or stockpiling agricultural solid waste."</i>     |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 691   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.33.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 692   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.35.   | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That this Rule is deleted.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 693   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 694   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Excavation in excess of <del>1000</del> <b>2000m3</b> on <b>any hectare of</b> land with a slope greater than 20° within any <del>24</del> <b>12</b> month period including excavation as part of Commercial Forestry Harvesting and Woodlot Forestry Harvesting activities."</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 695   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the planting of commercial forestry provided for in the Plan as a permitted activity.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 696   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the harvesting of commercial forestry is provided for in the Plan as a permitted activity.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 697   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.8.    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted and replaced with a permitted activity rule that reads as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><b>"New dairy farm established after 9th June 2016.</b><br><b>A farm environment plan detailing plans to achieve good practice management must be developed in conjunction with industry that sets out:</b><br><b>(h) measures (including fences, bridges or culverts) to prevent stock entering onto or passing across the bed of any river or lake, significant wetland, or any drain or the Drainage Channel Network;</b><br><b>(i) provision, where appropriate, of a non-grazed buffer along the margins of any river, lake, significant wetland, drain or the Drainage Channel Network, to intercept the runoff of contaminants from grazed pasture;</b><br><b>(j) provision for storage of dairy effluent, with all storage ponds sufficiently sized to enable deferral of application to land until soil conditions are such that surface runoff and/or drainage do not occur;</b><br><b>(k) demonstration of appropriate separation distances between effluent storage ponds and any surface waterbodies to ensure contamination of water does not occur (including during flood events); and</b><br><b>(l) a nutrient management plan that includes nutrient inputs from dairy effluent, animal discharges, fertiliser and any other nutrient input.</b><br><b>And be available to Council on request."</b> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 698   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.12.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 699   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.1.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 700   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.2.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 701   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.3.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 702   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.4.    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 703   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.7.5.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 704   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.7.9.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 705   | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.1.29.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is retained as notified.  |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 706   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.2.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Change the Heading <i>"Maintenance, repair or replacement of a building or structure"</i> under <i>"Standards that apply to all permitted activities"</i> to a Permitted Activity Rule.   |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 707   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.3.10.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 708   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.3.11.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 709   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.3.13.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"No more than <del>0.5m<sup>3</sup></del> <b>1m<sup>3</sup></b> of natural material, including but not limited to sand, shell or shingle but not including vegetation, must be removed by any individual within a calendar year."</i> |       |          |                                  |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 710   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a new rule is included which reads as follows -<br><b>"Disturbance in the coastal marine area for the purpose of clearing debris, excluding gravel.</b><br><b>(a) The disturbance is limited to the extent necessary to clear the debris;</b><br><b>(b) The disturbance does not damage any riverbank, riverbed, or cause any flooding or erosion;</b><br><b>(c) All reasonable steps are taken to minimise the release of sediment during the disturbance;</b><br><b>(d) The site is left tidy following completion of the activity;</b><br><b>(e) The debris removal is carried out within twelve months of the flood event that deposited the debris."</b> |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 711   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a new permitted activity rule is included in the Plan which reads as follows -<br><b>"Maintenance and repair of coastal protection structures."</b>   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 712   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone   | 19.2.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"The maximum height of a building or structure must not exceed <del>40m</del> <b>15m.</b>"</i>   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 713   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone   | 19.2.1.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 714   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone   | 19.2.1.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"A <b>dwelling</b> <del>habitable or accessory building</del> must have a fire safety setback of at least 100m from any existing commercial forestry or carbon sequestration forestry on any adjacent land under different ownership."</i>   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 715   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone   | 19.2.1.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 716   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.1.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 717   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.1.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Except for the construction or siting of a fence or gate <del>building or structure</del> necessary for farming activity, or for conservation purposes, no building or structure must be constructed or sited within the White Bluffs Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape."</i>  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 718   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.1.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><i>"A building or structure that has the potential to divert water must not be within a Level 2 Flood Hazard Area <b>with the exception of buildings and structures (including trellises and fences) ancillary to primary production.</b>"</i>  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 719   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.1.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><i>"A building or structure must not be within a Level 3 Flood Hazard Area <b>with the exception of buildings and structures (including trellises and fences) ancillary to primary production.</b>"</i>   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 720   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following exemptions are added to the noise limits:<br><b><i>"Mobile sources associated with primary production activities; temporary activities required by normal agricultural and horticulture practice, such as cropping and harvesting; and noise from rural livestock; any fixed motors or equipment, frost fans or gas guns, milling or processing forestry activities, static irrigation pumps; motorbikes that are being used for recreational purposes."</i></b> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 721   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br><i>"The odour, <b>except if generated by farming</b>, must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring."</i>  |       |          |                      |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 722   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.5.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><b>"Good management practice</b> <del>The best practicable method must be adopted to avoid</del> <b>manage</b> dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 723   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.4.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 724   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.3.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the indigenous vegetation clearance limits are increased to more appropriately allow for farming in the Open Space 3 Zone. <i>(No specific relief sought.)</i>   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 725   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.3.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following</i><br><i>(a) Indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt;</i><br><i>(b) Indigenous vegetation dominated by manuka, kanuka, tauhinu, bracken fern and silver tussock, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 20 years in age;</i><br><i>(c) Indigenous vegetation dominated by matagouri, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 50 years in age;</i><br><i>(d) Where the clearance is associated with the maintenance of a fence line an existing road, forestry road, harvesting track or farm track.</i><br><b>(f) Avoiding danger to human life or existing buildings / structures;</b><br><b>(g) Avoiding risks to the safe and efficient operation of existing network utilities and private infrastructure</b><br><b>(h) Management of fire risk;</b><br><b>(i) To give effect to a Sustainable Forest Management Plan or Permit as approved under the Forests Act 1949</b><br><b>(j) Undertaking plant pest management activities."</b> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 726   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.3.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 727   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.6.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 728   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.7.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a new standard is added under this Rule as follows -<br><br><b>"Excavation for the purpose of forming and maintaining farm tracks, fences and drains, including within an ONL."</b>   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 729   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.5.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"There must be no excavation in excess of <del>1000</del> <b>2000m3</b> on any <b>hectare of</b> land with a slope greater than 20 degrees within any <b>12</b> <del>24</del>-month period."</i> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 730   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.5.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 731   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.5.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 732   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.5.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 733   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.5.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 734   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.5.7.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 735   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.5.8.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 736   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.5.9.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 737   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.5.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 738   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.5.12. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 739   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.5.15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"Excavation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or coastal marine area measured as follows:</i></p> <p><del><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></del></p> <p><i>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the excavation site;</i></p> <p><del><i>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%."</i></del></p> |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 740   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.6.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 741   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.10.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 742   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.12.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 743   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.13.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That this rule is deleted, and burning of materials provided for as a permitted activity. |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 744   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.17.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 745   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.18.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 746   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.19.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 747   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.20.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 748   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.21.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 749   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.22.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 750   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.20.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 751   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.20.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property <b>or a property under the same ownership</b> must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit."</i> |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 752   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.20.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"Surface <del>run-off</del> <b>water</b> must not enter the pit."</i>   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 753   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.21.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 754   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.24.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"<del>Making</del> <b>Fermentation</b> compost or silage in a pit or stack, or stockpiling agricultural solid waste."</i><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>      |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 755   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.25.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 756   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.4.2.    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 757   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.5.1.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 758   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.5.3.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 759   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone     | 21.3.15.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule is amended as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Discharge of agrichemicals into or onto land <b>by any person.</b>"</i><br><i>(Inferred)</i>           |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 760   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone     | 21.3.16.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the rule is retained as notified.<br>That clarity is provided with regards to the need for a formal agreement to move livestock across the Floodway Zone. |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 761   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision       | 24.1.16.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That this Rule is amended to read (strike through and bold) -<br><i>In accordance with Section 230 of the RMA, in respect of any subdivision of land in which any allotment of less than 4 hectares is created, an esplanade reserve or esplanade strip of 20m must be provided, unless the property adjoins the Waikawa Marina or Picton Marina, <b>where it boundaries:</b></i><br><b>a) Wairau River from State Highway 63 bridge to the sea;</b><br><b>b) high priority waterbodies for public access on the Wairau Plain and in close proximity to Picton, Waikawa, Havelock, Renwick, Seddon, Ward and Okiwi Bay;</b><br><b>c) coastal marine area, particularly in and near Picton, Waikawa and Havelock, Kaiuma Bay, Queen Charlotte Sound (including Tory Channel), Port Underwood, Kenepuru Sound, Mahau Sound, Mahikipawa Arm and Croiselles Harbour, Rarangi to the Wairau River mouth, Wairau Lagoons, Marfells Beach and Ward Beach;</b><br><b>d) connections would be made with other public land (including esplanade reserves) or other land where esplanade strips or access strips already exist; and</b><br><b>e) the Queen Charlotte Track.</b> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 762   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the minimum lot sizes are retained. However, we consider the default status where the Minimum site size standards are not met should be restricted discretionary.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 763   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new " <i>Matter over which the Council has reserved control</i> " as follows -<br><b>"Reverse sensitivity issues."</b>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 764   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.5.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 24.5.1 is amended to be a restricted discretionary activity, with criteria set out for prospective applicants.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 765   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.5.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 24.5.2 is amended to be a restricted discretionary activity, with criteria set out for prospective applicants.   |       |          |                |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 766   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision   | 24.5.3.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 24.5.3 is amended to be a restricted discretionary activity, with criteria set out for prospective applicants.  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 767   | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values  |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That recognition of farm related modifications are retained in the schedule of values in Appendix 1.</li> <li>• That Appendix 1 is amended so that the values include the primary production activities that have actively contributed to shaping the landscape.</li> <li>• That the areas of high amenity value are deleted from the Appendix, and associated policies deleted from the Plan.</li> <li>• That the maps contained within Appendix 1 are made clearer.</li> </ul> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 768   | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values                          |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That Appendix 1 is amended so that primary production is recognised as an asset and contributor to coastal natural character, and is identified in the Appendix where it has actively shaped the landscape.</li> <li>• That the maps contained within Appendix 1 are clarified, and show each sub area, and provided in the same detail as provided in the Boffa Miskell report.</li> </ul>  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 769   | Volume 3 | Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance                                |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the second paragraph is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Ranking within each criterion are: H = High; M = Medium; L = Low. They collectively contribute to an overall ranking indicating the degree of significance. For a site to be considered significant <b>at least</b> one of the first four criteria (representativeness, rarity, diversity and pattern or distinctiveness) must rank <del>H</del> or H <b>and/or two or more must rank M.</b>"</i></p>                   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 770   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That that all classifications from the Third Schedule of the RMA are used when identifying Water Quality Classification standards, including irrigation purposes and industrial abstraction.</p> <p>That the water resource units are restructured to group by catchment.</p> <p>That the abbreviations are amended as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o CR to read primary contact recreation (1 November – 30th April)</li> <li>o FS to read fish spawning (May – December dependent on species)</li> </ul> <p>That the appendix is amended to include recognition of the following values, as per the NPSFM:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>o Economic and commercial development</b></li> <li><b>o Irrigation and food production</b></li> <li><b>o Stock drinking water</b></li> </ul> <p>That a preamble be added to clarify that classifications, values and standards will be subject to review as part of the development of Catchment Enhancement Plans.</p> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 771   | Volume 3 | Appendix 4 Determining Significant Adverse Effects                               |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Appendix is amended to make it a more robust decision making tool.</p>   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 772   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That amendments are made to the Schedule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><u>Standard/Parameter - Biological growths</u></p> <p>Interpretation of Standard/Parameter</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Bacterial and/or fungal slime growths must not be visible to the naked eye as obvious plumose growths or mats.</i></li> <li>• <i>The daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter) must not exceed 2mg/l.</i></li> <li>• <del><i>Dissolved reactive phosphorus (DRP) must be &lt;0.015mg/l when rivers are at &lt; median flow.</i></del></li> <li>• <del><i>Dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) must be &lt;0.444mg/l when rivers are at &lt; median flow.</i></del></li> </ul> <p><u>Standard/Parameter - Turbidity</u></p> <p>Interpretation of Standard/Parameter</p>  |       |          |  |           |                 |

- *Turbidity must be no greater than 5.6 Nephelometric Turbidity Units **when rivers are at < median flow.***
- ***The Awatere River is excluded from this standard.***

Standard/Parameter - Deposited Fine Sediment (DFS) Stoney Bottom Streams

Delete Standard/Parameter.

Standard/Parameter - Suitability for consumption by farm animals

Interpretation of Standard/Parameter

- *Water must not be rendered unsuitable for farm animals.*
- ***E.coli levels must be <1000/100mL.***

Standard Parameter - Macroinvertebrate Community Index (MCI) – Stoney Bottom Streams

Interpretation of Standard/Parameter

- *Must be ~~>10~~ **80** when river flow is < median **flow.***

Standard Parameter - Escherichia coli (E. coli)

Interpretation of Standard/Parameter

- *Between 1 November and 30 April of the following year ~~mean~~ **median** E. coli levels must be ~~<26~~ **260**/100mL when rivers are at < median flow.*
- *At all other times ~~mean~~ **median** E. coli levels must be <260/100mL when rivers are at < median flow.*
- *Between 1 November and 30 April of the following year ~~maximum~~ **the 95th percentile** E. coli levels must be ~~<260~~ **540**/100mL when rivers are at < median flow.*
- *~~Between 1 November and 30 April of the following year maximum E. coli levels must be <260/100mL when rivers are at < median flow.~~*

Standard Parameter - Colour or visual clarity

Interpretation of Standard/Parameter

*Measurements are to be made immediately upstream of the discharge and below the discharge after reasonable mixing.*

- *Hue must not be changed by more than 5 points on the Munsell scale.*
- *Turbidity must ~~be no greater~~ **not change more** than 1.5 Nephelometric Turbidity Units.*

|                    |   |     |          |  |  |                 |
|--------------------|---|-----|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 773 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels              |  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That information is made available to resource users on the effects of the proposed changes, and transition times are provided for.   |     |          |  |  |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 774 | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources |  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the owners of all listed buildings in the Plan are individually notified of the new provisions in the Plan, and that no building is included without the owner's written agreement to its inclusion and the rules that the building will be bound by.<br>Waihi Tapu sites and any sites of significance to iwi are identified in the appendix. |     |          |  |  |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 775 | Volume 3 | Appendix 24 Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area        |  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Appendix is deleted from the Plan.   |     |          |  |  |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 776 | Volume 3 | Appendix 25 Pest Plants                                |  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Appendix is deleted from the Plan.   |     |          |  |  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 777          | Volume 4      | All            |                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That clarification of the status of the planning maps is provided within the Plan.   |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 778          | Volume 4      | All            |                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That where landowners have concerns with the accuracy of overlay maps or, in respect to hazard overlays, the level of risk assumed for a specific property, we ask that Council revisits these matters with the landowner in question.   |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 779          | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps    |                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Council undertake to ground-truth all sites through a comprehensive wetlands assessment before there are included in the Plan.<br>That Council delete the mapped wetlands until landowner consultation and the groundtruthing assessment has been adequately completed  |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 780          | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps    |                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Floodway Zone overlay is reviewed with regards to encompassing private property.  |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 781          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   |                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Afforestation Flow Sensitive Sites and all associated provisions are deleted from the Plan.   |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 782          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   |                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That robust and objective criteria is used to identify Coastal Natural Character and that a landscape must meet all or most criteria to be classified as having high or outstanding Coastal Natural Character L; and<br>That all land is ground truthed and landowners with Coastal Natural Character mapped over private land consulted with; and<br>That landowners with Coastal Natural Character identified on their property are provided with copies of the Natural Character of the Marlborough Coast – June 2014 report. This will ensure that landowners are well informed about the specialness of their land, and also aid in making decisions about land use and ways to avoid, remedy or mitigate and effects on values; and<br><br>That where Coastal Natural Character is mapped over farmland, that the values of farming and primary production are appropriately acknowledged. |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 783          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   |                  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Flood Hazard Areas maps are removed from the Plan until such time as new mapping is completed that more accurately represents the current flood hazard risk.  |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 784   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That robust and objective criteria is used to identify ONFLs and that a landscape must meet all or most criteria to be classified as an ONFL; and<br>That all ONFLs are ground truthed and landowners with ONFLs mapped over private land consulted with; and<br>That a robust cost benefit analysis of the identification of ONFLs over private land is included in the Plan; and<br>That landowners with ONFLs identified on their property are provided with copies of the 'Marlborough Landscape Study August 2015'. This will ensure that landowners are well informed about the specialness of their land, and also aid in making decisions about land use and ways to avoid, remedy or mitigate and effects on values; and<br>That where landscapes are mapped over farmland, that the values of farming and primary production are appropriately acknowledged. |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 785   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the overlay of High Amenity Value Landscapes and all associated policies and provisions are deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 786   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the overlay of Riparian Natural Character Management Areas and all associated policies and provisions are deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 787   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the overlay of Soil Sensitive Areas and all associated provisions are deleted from the Plan, and retained by Council as a non-regulatory tool to assist landowners with making decisions about their property.  |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 788   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the overlay of Significant Ridgelines and all associated policies and provisions are deleted from the Plan.   |       |          |              |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 789   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the overlay of Steep Erosion Prone Land and all associated provisions are deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 790   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the overlay is deleted from the planning maps, along with all methods and provisions.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 791   | Volume 4 | All                        |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That landowners, the community, tangata whenua and other key stakeholders are involved in the identification of the coastal environment line.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 792   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.1.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | We have included a new rule ( <i>see separate submission</i> ) which outlines our relief sought on this.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 793   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.2.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 794   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 795   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>Cultivation</del> <b>Any run off to a surface water body</b> must not cause any conspicuous change in <del>the</del> colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after <b>beyond the zone of</b> reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area measured as follows:<br>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;<br>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the cultivation site;<br>(c) the change in reflectance must be <50%." |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 796   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.5. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 797   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.4.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 798   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.3.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 799   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.2.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 800   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.4.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 801   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.5.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 802   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) -<br><i>"Filling must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area measured as follows:<br/> (a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;<br/> (b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the filling site;<br/> (c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%."</i> |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 803   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 804   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.2.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><i>"The application must not result in the fertiliser being <b>intentionally</b> deposited in or on a river, lake, Significant Wetland or drainage channel that contains water."</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 805   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading <b>from the application of fertiliser</b> on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year <del>(excluding N from direct animal inputs)</del>."</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 806   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime must not pass beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied <b>practical measures are taken to minimise fertiliser drift beyond the target area.</b>"</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 807   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.31.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 808   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.31.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard to read as follows (strike through) -<br><i>" The offall pit must not be located within:<br/>(a) 50m of a bore;<br/>(b) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland <del>or drainage channel</del>;<br/>(c) 50m of any boundary of the property or a dwelling."</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 809   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.31.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) -<br><i>"The offall pit must be <del>completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise</del> designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use."</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 810   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.32.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"The pit, stack or stockpile must not be located within:<br/> (a) <del>50m</del> <b>5m</b> of a bore;<br/> (b) <del>20m</del> <b>5m</b> of a river, lake, Significant Wetland or drainage channel;<br/> (c) <del>10m of any boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership.</del>"</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 811   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.32.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 812   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.32.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><i>"There must be no runoff of leachate from the pit, stack or stockpile <b>into a waterbody.</b>"</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 813   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.2.2.4. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 814   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.32.4. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 815   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.2.2.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 816   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.2.2.6. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 817   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.10.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That a new Standard is added under this Heading as follows -<br><b>"Council must be advised prior to the works occurring."</b>   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 818   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.11.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That a new Standard is added under this Heading as follows -<br><b>"Council must be advised prior to the works occurring."</b>   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 819   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.16.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That a new Standard is added under this Rule as follows -<br><b>"Driftwood is not removed from a seabed, estuary or lagoon area."</b>  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 820   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone   | 19.3.6.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><b>"Filling in excess of 1000m3 must not occur within any <del>12</del> 24-month period."</b> |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 821   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone   | 19.3.6.3. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 822   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone   | 19.3.6.4. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 823   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone   | 19.3.6.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 824   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone   | 19.3.6.9. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 825   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.6.10. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 826   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.6.11. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 827   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.6.12. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 828   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.6.13. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 829   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.6.14. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 830   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.6.15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) -<br><i>"The filling must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or coastal marine area measured as follows:</i><br><del><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.</i></del><br><i>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the filling site.</i><br><del><i>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%.</i></del> " |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 831   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 832   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions       | 25.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of " <i>Farming</i> " to include earthworks ancillary to farming.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 833   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.2. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 834   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><i>"The application must not result in the fertiliser being <b>intentionally</b> deposited in or on a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network that contains water."</i>   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 835   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading <b>from the application of fertiliser</b> on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year <del>(excluding N from direct animal inputs)</del>."</i>   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 836   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime does not pass beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied <b>practical measures are taken to minimise fertiliser drift beyond the target area.</b>"</i>  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 837   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.21.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 838   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.21.3. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand   | 839   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.21.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) -<br><i>"The offall pit must not be located within:<br/> (a) 50m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;<br/> (b) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, <del>drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</del><br/> (c) 50m of any boundary of the property or a dwelling."</i> |       |          |                      |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 840   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.21.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 841   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.21.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) -<br><i>"The offal pit must be <del>completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise</del> designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use."</i>  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 842   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.22.6. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 843   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.22.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) -<br><i>"There must be no runoff of leachate from the pit, stack or stockpile <b>into a waterbody.</b>"</i>  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 844   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.22.4. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 845   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.22.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"The pit, stack or stockpile must not be located within:<br/> (a) 50m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;<br/> (b) <del>20m</del> <b>5m</b> of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;<br/> (c) <del>10m of any boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership.</del>"</i> |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 425                | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 846   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.22.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                      |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>425</b>         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand  | 847   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone                    | 19.3.22.1.                                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1097</b>        | Sonya Ferguson  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1097</b>        | Sonya Ferguson  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1097</b>        | Sonya Ferguson  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1097</b>        | Sonya Ferguson  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1097</b>        | Sonya Ferguson  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.             |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1097</b>        | Sonya Ferguson  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1097</b>        | Sonya Ferguson  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1097</b>        | Sonya Ferguson   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1097</b>        | Sonya Ferguson   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1097</b>        | Sonya Ferguson   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>880</b>         | Lovey Filimoeatu   | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>621</b>         | Becki Findlayson   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>621</b>         | Becki Findlayson   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>621</b>         | Becki Findlayson   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>621</b>         | Becki Findlayson   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>621</b>         | Becki Findlayson   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>621</b>         | Becki Findlayson   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>621</b>         | Becki Findlayson   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>621</b>         | Becki Findlayson   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>621</b>         | Becki Findlayson   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>621</b>         | Becki Findlayson   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>711</b>         | Fitzgerald Cove Limited   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                      | Zoning Map 118 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the zoning for Lot 1 DP 494360 is changed from Coastal Environment Zone to Coastal Living Zone.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>478</b>         | Birte Flatt   | 1     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                | 19.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The Council accepts in full the reality of the exponential changes being wrought by Climate Change and raises the profile of global warming and climate disruption within their Environment Plan.<br><br>That Council appoints a permanent Clime Change Adviser and establishes a regional Climate Change Advisory Group. |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.20  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.21  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.22  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policies be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the policy be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association  | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association  | 7     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association  | 8     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the policy be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association  | 9     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.2.17.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the rules be amended to enable at least 20,000m <sup>3</sup> of water storage (consistent with existing planning framework) and to enable a catchment of 100ha.<br>That the rules be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years. |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association  | 10    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.5.4.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the rules be amended to enable at least 20,000m <sup>3</sup> of water storage (consistent with existing planning framework) and to enable a catchment of 100ha.<br>That the rules be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years. |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association  | 11    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.7.4.       | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the rules be amended to enable at least 20,000m <sup>3</sup> of water storage (consistent with existing planning framework) and to enable a catchment of 100ha. That the rules be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years. |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 12    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the permitted activity rules and standards around stock crossing or accessing the bed of a river are amended to ensure that clarity around which stock can cross rivers and at what times is provided, and that these rules are practical, certain and able to be implemented without extensive or costly water quality testing.       |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 13    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.7.9.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the permitted activity rules and standards around stock crossing or accessing the bed of a river are amended to ensure that clarity around which stock can cross rivers and at what times is provided, and that these rules are practical, certain and able to be implemented without extensive or costly water quality testing.       |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 14    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.10.1.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the permitted activity rules and standards around stock crossing or accessing the bed of a river are amended to ensure that clarity around which stock can cross rivers and at what times is provided, and that these rules are practical, certain and able to be implemented without extensive or costly water quality testing.       |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 15    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.11.4.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the permitted activity rules and standards around stock crossing or accessing the bed of a river are amended to ensure that clarity around which stock can cross rivers and at what times is provided, and that these rules are practical, certain and able to be implemented without extensive or costly water quality testing.       |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 16    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.11.5.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the permitted activity rules and standards around stock crossing or accessing the bed of a river are amended to ensure that clarity around which stock can cross rivers and at what times is provided, and that these rules are practical, certain and able to be implemented without extensive or costly water quality testing.       |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 17    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.1.21.        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the permitted activity rules and standards around stock crossing or accessing the bed of a river are amended to ensure that clarity around which stock can cross rivers and at what times is provided, and that these rules are practical, certain and able to be implemented without extensive or costly water quality testing.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 18    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the permitted activity rules and standards around stock crossing or accessing the bed of a river are amended to ensure that clarity around which stock can cross rivers and at what times is provided, and that these rules are practical, certain and able to be implemented without extensive or costly water quality testing.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 19    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.4.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That rule 3.7.4 is replaced with controlled activity rules which would allow a landowner to apply for a consent for infrequent river crossings in particular circumstances to enable continued farm operations.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 20    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.5.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That rule 3.7.5 is replaced with controlled activity rules which would allow a landowner to apply for a consent for infrequent river crossings in particular circumstances to enable continued farm operations.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 21    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of intensively farmed livestock is amended to remove reference to stock grazing on irrigated land or contained for break feeding of winter feed crops.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 22    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of meat processing be amended as follows:<br><br><i>Meat Processing - Means the use of land and buildings for the yarding and slaughtering of animals; the associated processing of meat including by-product and co-product processing; rendering; fish and shellfish processing; fellmongery, tanning, casing and pelt processing; and the associated chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of meat and associated products. <b><i>This definition excludes any land and buildings used for private home kill activities.</i></b></i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                 | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 23           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Objective 9.1    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline. |              |               |                                |                  |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 24           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.1     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline. |              |               |                                |                  |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 25           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.3     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline. |              |               |                                |                  |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 26           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.4     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline. |              |               |                                |                  |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 27           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.5     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline. |              |               |                                |                  |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 28           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.10    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline. |              |               |                                |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                 | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association  | 29           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Objective 9.2    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.  |              |               |                                |                  |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association  | 30           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.2.1     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.  |              |               |                                |                  |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association  | 31           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.2.2     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.  |              |               |                                |                  |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association  | 32           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | 9.M.9            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Council liaise with DOC as well as coastal landowners to find practical and co-ordinated solutions the issues of access to inappropriate parts of the coastline resulting in environmental degradation of the coastline and/or unintended trespass into private land. Method 9.M.9 should be amended to include liaison with coastal landowners as well as DOC. |              |               |                                |                  |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association  | 33           | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone           | 19.1.3.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.  |              |               |                                |                  |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association  | 34           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.4.1     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.  |              |               |                                |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association  | 35    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Class C allocation limits for the Flaxbourne FMU be extended such that additional water be taken during high flows.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association  | 36    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | 5.             | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain [ <i>inferred</i> ]   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association  | 37    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.3.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ]  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association  | 38    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.48.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That standards associated with residential units in the rural environment zone provide for a second residential dwelling where a single computer register contains 40ha or more. |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association  | 39    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.44.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That standards associated with residential units in the rural environment zone provide for a second residential dwelling where a single computer register contains 40ha or more. |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association  | 40    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment           | Policy 14.4.10 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That standards associated with residential units in the rural environment zone provide for a second residential dwelling where a single computer register contains 40ha or more. |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association  | 41    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment           | Policy 14.5.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That standards associated with residential units in the rural environment zone provide for a second residential dwelling where a single computer register contains 40ha or more. |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association  | 42    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment           | Policy 14.5.2  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That standards associated with residential units in the rural environment zone provide for a second residential dwelling where a single computer register contains 40ha or more.  |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 43    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That an additional policy be included in Volume 1, Chapter 14, which seeks to recognise that subdivision creating an allotment smaller than 20ha may be more efficient use of the Rural Environment Zone in certain solutions and that consent may be granted for this. |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 44    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That an additional policy be included in Volume 1, Chapter 14, which seeks to recognise that subdivision creating an allotment smaller than 20ha may be more efficient use of the Rural Environment Zone in certain solutions and that consent may be granted for this. |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 45    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That an additional policy be included in Volume 1, Chapter 14, which seeks to recognise that subdivision creating an allotment smaller than 20ha may be more efficient use of the Rural Environment Zone in certain solutions and that consent may be granted for this. |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 46    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That an additional policy be included in Volume 1, Chapter 14, which seeks to recognise that subdivision creating an allotment smaller than 20ha may be more efficient use of the Rural Environment Zone in certain solutions and that consent may be granted for this. |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 47    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That an additional policy be included in Volume 1, Chapter 14, which seeks to recognise that subdivision creating an allotment smaller than 20ha may be more efficient use of the Rural Environment Zone in certain solutions and that consent may be granted for this. |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 48    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That an additional policy be included in Volume 1, Chapter 14, which seeks to recognise that subdivision creating an allotment smaller than 20ha may be more efficient use of the Rural Environment Zone in certain solutions and that consent may be granted for this. |       |          |                                 |               |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 49    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity         | Policy 8.3.7  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amendments to the above noted policies to specifically recognise and provide for the continued use of the marine site for fishing activities in the manner currently enjoyed.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 50    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone            | 16.7.5.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amendments to the above noted policies to specifically recognise and provide for the continued use of the marine site for fishing activities in the manner currently enjoyed.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 51    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amendments to the above noted policies to specifically recognise and provide for the continued use of the marine site for fishing activities in the manner currently enjoyed.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 52    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amendments to the above noted policies to specifically recognise and provide for the continued use of the marine site for fishing activities in the manner currently enjoyed.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 53    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                   | 2.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That provisions for the clearance of flood debris from rivers be made (subject to appropriate conditions), within the permitted activities table in Volume 2, chapter 2 General Rules, Section 2.7 Activities in, on, over or under the bed of a lake or river. That additional policies to support this permitted activity be included, which recognise the adverse effects flood debris can have on adjoining land and in creating a natural flood hazard, and the need to provide a timely and efficient response. |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 54    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                 | Policy 17.3.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Appendix 17 to include some or all of Ward Beach Road as a Secondary Arterial Road.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 55    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                 | Policy 17.3.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Appendix 17 to include some or all of Ward Beach Road as a Secondary Arterial Road.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 56    | Volume 3 | Appendix 17 Rooding Hierarchy           |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Appendix 17 to include some or all of Ward Beach Road as a Secondary Arterial Road. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 57    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 58    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 59    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.5 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 60    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.13 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.13 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 61    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.17 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.17 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 62    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 63    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 64    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.5  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter                                 | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.5 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association           | 65    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.15 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association           | 66    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.7 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association           | 67    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association           | 68    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association           | 69    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.5 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association           | 70    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                      | Policy 7.1.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.1.5 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association           | 71    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                      | Policy 7.2.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association           | 72    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                      | Policy 7.2.5  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter                                 | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.5 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association           | 73    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                       | Policy 7.2.8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.8 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association           | 74    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association           | 75    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.3.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association           | 76    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.3.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association           | 78    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association           | 79    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association           | 80    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.1.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association           | 81    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.1.5 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter                                  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.5 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association            | 82    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.8 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association            | 83    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.9 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association            | 84    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.4.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.10 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association            | 85    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.4.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.11 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association            | 86    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.5.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.5.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association            | 87    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.3.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association            | 88    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.4.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association            | 89    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.1.1.         | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter                               | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.1.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association         | 90    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.5.1.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.5.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association         | 91    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.5.3.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.5.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association         | 92    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.10.2.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.10.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association         | 93    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.6.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.6 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association         | 94    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.8.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.8 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association         | 95    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.13.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.13 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association         | 96    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.14.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.14 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association         | 97    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23.   | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision                                | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|--|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.23 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                          |  |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 98    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.11.                                  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.6.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                          |  |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 99    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards       | 11.M.2                                   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Maps [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                          |  |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 100   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape              | Policy 7.1.4                             | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Maps [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                          |  |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 101   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character      | Policy 6.1.4                             | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Maps [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                          |  |         |
| <b>712</b>         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association   | 102   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps             | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 16 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Map [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                          |  |         |
| <b>713</b>         | Fletcher Distribution Limited (Trading as 'Placemakers') and Mico New Zealand Limited (Trading as 'Mico') | 1     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.                                      | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>It is sought that a new "Trade Supplier" definition (and associated activity status) is included in the Proposed Plan which will enable retailers and wholesalers which are best located in industrial areas to be separated from general 'commercial activities'. The following definition is sought:</p> <p><b>Trade Supplier means business engaged in sales to businesses, and may include sales to general public, but wholly consists of sales in one or more of the following categories:</b></p> <p><b>(a) Automotive and marine supplies.</b></p> <p><b>(b) Buildings supplies, including household fixtures, timber, tools, paint, wallpaper and plumbing supplies.</b></p> <p><b>(c) Garden and landscaping supplies.</b></p> <p><b>(d) Farming and agricultural supplies.</b></p> <p><b>(e) Hire services (excluding hire of books, DVD and video).</b></p> |       |          |   |            |         |
| <b>713</b>         | Fletcher Distribution Limited (Trading as 'Placemakers') and Mico New Zealand Limited (Trading as 'Mico')  | 2     | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones               | 12.1.      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>As part of the introduction of the 'Trade Supplier' definition (submission point #1), it is sought that Rule 12.1 be amended to include "Trade Supplier" on the 'permitted activities' list for the Industrial 1 Zone.</p>  |       |          |   |            |         |
| <b>713</b>         | Fletcher Distribution Limited (Trading as 'Placemakers') and Mico New Zealand Limited (Trading as 'Mico')  | 3     | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones               | 12.2.1.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Standard 12.2.1.</p>   |       |          |   |            |         |
| <b>303</b>         | Ross Flowerday   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Springs sector water users. Before implementing a blanket restriction further scientific evidence of the complex aquifer/springs recharge process is required. A better understanding of the long term trend of the aquifer levels is essential before setting lower limits and cut-off thresholds.</p> <p>Treat all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users equally and consider implementing a rationing restriction when the monitor wells drop below a set level. This would give all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users the opportunity to prioritise where their reduced volume of allocated water is utilised.</p>   |       |          |   |            |         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| <b>1062</b>        | Rebecca Floyd   | 1     | All      | All                        |            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.                 |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>419</b>         | Fly-fish Marlborough  | 1     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 4.3.6.1 (c) as follows (strike out and bold) - " <del>30</del> <b>100 metres of the coastal marine area</b> ". |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>419</b>         | Fly-fish Marlborough  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>419</b>         | Fly-fish Marlborough  | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>419</b>         | Fly-fish Marlborough  | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>419</b>         | Fly-fish Marlborough  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>419</b>         | Fly-fish Marlborough  | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>419</b>         | Fly-fish Marlborough  | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>419</b>         | Fly-fish Marlborough  | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>419</b>         | Fly-fish Marlborough  | 9     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.20.    | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter                          | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>419</b>         | Fly-fish Marlborough               | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.21.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>419</b>         | Fly-fish Marlborough               | 11    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>419</b>         | Fly-fish Marlborough               | 12    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>419</b>         | Fly-fish Marlborough               | 13    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>419</b>         | Fly-fish Marlborough               | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>419</b>         | Fly-fish Marlborough               | 15    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | 8.M.4     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>419</b>         | Fly-fish Marlborough               | 16    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.10.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>419</b>         | Fly-fish Marlborough               | 17    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.11.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>419</b>         | Fly-fish Marlborough               | 18    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.30.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |       |          |                            |           |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| 419                | Fly-fish Marlborough   | 19    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.31.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 1222               | Warwick Foley  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.2.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 1222               | Warwick Foley  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.3.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.2 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 5.2 as follows:<br><u>Water bodies retain sufficient flows and/or levels to:</u><br>(a) <u>safeguard the life supporting capacity.</u><br>(b) <u>provide for non-consumptive human use values.</u>   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.1  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Redraft Policy 5.2.1 as follows:<br><u>Manage water resources to:</u><br>(a) <u>maintain and enhance the values that contribute to life supporting capacity and, provided (a) is met</u><br>(b) <u>provide for human use values.</u><br><br>Add a definition of "human use values" to include the full range of uses and values associated with human use of water - both consumptive and non-consumptive. |       |          |                                  |               |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.2.4 by adding a further matter as follows:<br><i>(h) provide for uses that contribute to the region's social, economic and cultural well-being</i>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.5  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Redraft Policy 5.2.5 to achieve the following<br>(a) Differentiated proportional reductions in takes as flows fall in order to avoid any breach of an environmental flows (rather than total prevention of take when management flow and/or level set as part of an environmental flow is reached.<br>(b) The differentiation referred to in (a) above to be based on the following descending order of priority:<br>i Takes for non-consumptive uses, or for fire fighting<br>ii s14(3)(b) RMA takes<br>iii Stock watering supplies, takes for animal welfare and sanitation (including shed wash down and milk cooling), takes for perishable food processing, and takes for domestic or municipal supply.<br>iv Class A takes<br>v All other takes<br>Apply a similar regime to restrictions on ground water takes. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Clarify what sort of rivers Policy 5.2.9 does, and does not, apply to.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.10 as notified provided the term "human use values" is defined as sought by Fonterra.<br>If "human use values" is not defined as sought by Fonterra, amend policy to ensure that regard is had to any effects on consumptive users.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 7     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.12 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.2.12 as follows:<br>Set <u>groundwater</u> conductivity limits for Freshwater Management Units dominated by aquifers adjoining the coast to manage the potential for saltwater contamination of the aquifer   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 8     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.16 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.2.16 to refer to reductions during low flow to be undertaken in accordance with Fonterra's proposal to redraft Policy 5.2.5.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 9     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.23 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete reference to "human use values" from Policy 5.2.23  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 10    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.24 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.2.24 to refer to reductions during low flow to be undertaken in accordance with Fonterra's proposal to redraft Policy 5.2.5   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 11    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.25 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.2.25   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 12    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 5.3.1 as follows:</p> <p><del>To allocate</del> <i>establish a water quantity management framework that:</i></p> <p>(a) <del>provides for: in the following order of priority:</del></p> <p>(a) natural and human use values; then</p> <p>(b) aquifer recharge; then</p> <p>(c) domestic and stock water supply; then</p> <p>(d) municipal water supply;</p> <p>(b) recognises:</p> <p>(i) <i>non consumptive human use values</i></p> <p>(ii) <i>takes for non-consumptive use; and then</i></p> <p>(c) <i>to the extent that the values and uses in (a) have been provided for,</i> provides for all other takes of water.</p> |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 13    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | retain Policy 5.3.5 as notified.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 14    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6  | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.3.6 as follows:<br>Allocate water within any class on a first in first served basis through the resource consent process. <del>until the allocation limit is reached for the first time</del>   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 15    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.3.8 and/or associated explanation to set out how an application to replace water permits will be addressed if condition 1 of the policy is not met.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 16    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.4.1 as follows:<br>The lapse period for water permits to take water shall <i>generally</i> be no more than two years, <i>however, a longer lapse period may be agreed where Council is satisfied that a longer period would be appropriate in the circumstances.</i>  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 17    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.4 but add further guidance on the criteria that will be applied to determining the acceptability of permit transfers.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 18    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 19    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.2  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 20    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.8.3 as follows:<br>Water may be stored at times other than those specified in Policy 5.8.2 to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that, <i>where the consented use of water is for irrigation purposes</i> , the rate of take does not exceed the authorised daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 21    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.4 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.8.4 as follows:<br>The annual volume of water taken for storage shall not exceed a volume equivalent to the authorised rate of take for irrigation purposes for two irrigation seasons years for the property or properties to be served by the stored water"   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 22    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5I      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Issue 5I, Objective 5.9 and Policies 5.9.1, 5.9.2 and 5.9.3.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 23    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.9 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Issue 5I, Objective 5.9 and Policies 5.9.1, 5.9.2 and 5.9.3.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 24    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.9.1.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 25    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Issue 5I, Objective 5.9 and Policies 5.9.1, 5.9.2 and 5.9.3.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 26    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Issue 5I, Objective 5.9 and Policies 5.9.1, 5.9.2 and 5.9.3.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 27    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 6.2.3 (or split policy into two distinct policies) to ensure:<br>(a) The adverse effects of activities on natural character in areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character are avoided; and<br>(b) The significant values of outstanding freshwater bodies are protected from adverse effects (by those effects being avoided, remedied, or mitigated). |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 28    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.8  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 6.2.8 as follows:<br>Require land use activities to be set back from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area in order to preserve <i>high, very high or significant</i> natural character. |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 29    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity       | Policy 8.2.9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Either-<br>(a) delete Policy 8.2.9; or<br>(b) provide clarity in Policy 8.2.9 on how "are important for" would be determined.<br>Regardless of the above, delete part (g) of Policy 8.2.9.                |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 30    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space  | Policy 9.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Identify within the plan (whether by introducing a new definition, or a table in an appendix, or as map overlays) those parts of water bodies that are considered "high priority" for public access.      |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 31    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space  | Policy 9.1.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 9.1.4   |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 32    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.5.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.5.3 and Policy 14.5.4 to clarify that Policy 14.5.4 takes precedence over Policy 14.5.3 where the houses directly relates to the primary production activity.                             |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 33    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.5.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.5.3 and Policy 14.5.4 to clarify that Policy 14.5.4 takes precedence over Policy 14.5.3 where the houses directly relates to the primary production activity.                             |       |          |                                 |               |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 34    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15B       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Table 15.1 to identify only those waterbodies that are degraded in terms of not meeting the national bottom lines specified for attributes included in Appendix 2 to the NPS-FM; and amend Table 15.2 to include only those waterbodies that are at risk of degrading and consequently changing bands in the national objectives framework.                    |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 35    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 15.1a (d) as follows:<br><del>groundwater quality is suitable for drinking</del> <i>where groundwater is suitable for drinking, that suitability is not compromised</i>  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 36    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1b | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objectives 15.1b, 15.1c, 15.1d, 15.1e to ensure that<br>(a) Water quality is maintained within the NOF band that represents the current state of a water body provided that current band is above the NPS-FM national bottom lines<br>(b) Where the current state of a water body is below the NPS-FM national bottom lines then that state is to be enhanced. |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 37    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1c | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objectives 15.1b, 15.1c, 15.1d, 15.1e to ensure that<br>(a) Water quality is maintained within the NOF band that represents the current state of a water body provided that current band is above the NPS-FM national bottom lines<br>(b) Where the current state of a water body is below the NPS-FM national bottom lines then that state is to be enhanced. |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 38    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1d | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objectives 15.1b, 15.1c, 15.1d, 15.1e to ensure that<br>(a) Water quality is maintained within the NOF band that represents the current state of a water body provided that current band is above the NPS-FM national bottom lines<br>(b) Where the current state of a water body is below the NPS-FM national bottom lines then that state is to be enhanced. |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 39    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1e | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objectives 15.1b, 15.1c, 15.1d, 15.1e to ensure that<br>(a) Water quality is maintained within the NOF band that represents the current state of a water body provided that current band is above the NPS-FM national bottom lines<br>(b) Where the current state of a water body is below the NPS-FM national bottom lines then that state is to be enhanced.          |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 40    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.1 to clarify which rivers and lakes need to be maintained for primary contact recreation and which rivers and lakes need to be maintained with secondary contact recreation.<br>Amend Policy 15.1.1(c) as follows:<br><i>Whether, where groundwater is suitable for drinking, that suitability would be compromised Groundwater: drinking water supply;</i> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 41    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 15.1.4  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 42    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 15.1.5  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 43    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 15.1.6  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 44    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.7 to refer to catchment specific plans setting the scale of any improvement in water quality needed and the appropriate timeframes to achieve this. Such a policy should reflect a cost benefit analysis (s32 report) of the change and timeframes set.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 45    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.5         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method 15.M.5 as notified.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 46    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.23 as follows:<br><del>Avoid</del> <i>Manage</i> the discharge of animal effluent to fresh waterbodies and stock disturbance of river beds to the extent necessary to meet the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1, by:<br>(a) preventing the direct discharge of collected animal effluent to water; and<br>(b) <del>avoiding</del> <i>managing</i> the access of intensively farmed stock to rivers; and<br>(c) <i>managing the crossing of intensively farmed stock across rivers.</i> |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 47    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.25 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.25  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 48    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.26 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retail Policy 15.1.26  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 49    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.27 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.27  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 50    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.34 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.34  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 51    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | Policy 16.2.4  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 16.2.4 as follows:<br><i>Enable the application of solid waste to land from the processing of primary products, the disposal of animal waste in offal pits, the disposal of biodegradable material in farm rubbish pits or the processing/storage of compost <del>or silage</del>, where:...</i>  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 52    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | Objective 16.3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 16.3 as follows:<br><i>The discharge of liquid wastes onto or into land is managed in a way that avoids <u>significant</u> adverse effects on water and soil quality, land and water ecosystems, slope stability and cultural and amenity values.</i>  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 53    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | Policy 16.3.3  | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That Policy 16.3.3 be amended to offer protection for groundwater water such that it can continue to meet Drinking Water standards (without treatment) in the localities and at the depths that groundwater is taken for drinking water purposes. For example, amend Policy 16.3.3 (b) as follows:<br><i>Where groundwater is suitable for drinking, the discharge does not adversely affect the drinking water quality of groundwater adjacent to or down gradient of the discharge, either alone or in combination with any other discharge;</i> |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 54    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retail Policy 19.1.3   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 55    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 19.1.4 to remove duplication with other policies on the allocation of water in the pMEP.  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 56    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 19.1.5 to remove duplication with other policies on the allocation of water in the pMEP.  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 57    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.2.4.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rules 2.2.4 and 2.3.4 as follows:<br><i>Take and use of water for the reasonable drinking water needs of <del>an individual's</del> a <u>person's</u> animals</i>  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 58    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.3.4.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rules 2.2.4 and 2.3.4 as follows:<br><i>Take and use of water for the reasonable drinking water needs of <del>an individual's</del> a <u>person's</u> animals</i>  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 59    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.2.6.        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Provide for dairy shed wash takes above 15m3 as follows -<br>(a) If the take existed before notification of the pMEP and the source <u>is not</u> over-allocated then the take should be permitted<br>(b) If the take existed before notification of the pMEP and the source <u>is</u> over-allocated then the take should be a controlled activity.<br>This involves amending Rule 2.2.6 and inserting a new controlled activity rule into section 2.4 (page 2-8).   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 60    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.6.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Provide for dairy shed wash takes above 15m3 as follows -<br>(a) If the take existed before notification of the pMEP and the source <u>is not</u> over-allocated then the take should be permitted<br>(b) If the take existed before notification of the pMEP and the source <u>is</u> over-allocated then the take should be a controlled activity.<br>This involves amending Rule 2.3.6 and inserting a new controlled activity rule into section 2.4 (page 2-8).   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 61    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.9.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rules 2.7.9, 3.1.21, 3.3.21, 4.1.20 and 4.3.20 to<br>(a) Ensure stock are prevented from accessing the active bed of a river unless as part of a managed crossing<br>(b) Provide for periodic stock crossings as a restricted discretionary activity with controls to ensure effects are not significant.<br>Include a new definition of "active bed of a river" as follows:<br><u>Means the bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse or that is permanently or intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</u> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 62    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rules 2.7.9, 3.1.21, 3.3.21, 4.1.20 and 4.3.20 to<br>(a) Ensure stock are prevented from accessing the active bed of a river unless as part of a managed crossing<br>(b) Provide for periodic stock crossings as a restricted discretionary activity with controls to ensure effects are not significant.<br>Include a new definition of "active bed of a river" as follows:<br><u>Means the bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse or that is permanently or intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</u> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 63    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.4.   | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Rules 2.11.4, 2.11.5, 3.7.4, 3.7.5, 4.7.4 and 4.75.   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 64    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.11.5.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rules 2.11.4, 2.11.5, 3.7.4, 3.7.5, 4.7.4 and 4.75.   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 65    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.21.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rules 2.7.9, 3.1.21, 3.3.21, 4.1.20 and 4.3.20 to</p> <p>(a) Ensure stock are prevented from accessing the active bed of a river unless as part of a managed crossing</p> <p>(b) Provide for periodic stock crossings as a restricted discretionary activity with controls to ensure effects are not significant.</p> <p>Include a new definition of "active bed of a river" as follows:</p> <p><u>Means the bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse or that is permanently or intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</u></p> |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 66    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rules 2.7.9, 3.1.21, 3.3.21, 4.1.20 and 4.3.20 to</p> <p>(a) Ensure stock are prevented from accessing the active bed of a river unless as part of a managed crossing</p> <p>(b) Provide for periodic stock crossings as a restricted discretionary activity with controls to ensure effects are not significant.</p> <p>Include a new definition of "active bed of a river" as follows:</p> <p><u>Means the bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse or that is permanently or intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</u></p> |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 67    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.4.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rules 2.11.4, 2.11.5, 3.7.4, 3.7.5, 4.7.4 and 4.75.   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 68    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.5.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rules 2.11.4, 2.11.5, 3.7.4, 3.7.5, 4.7.4 and 4.75.   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 69    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.20.   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rules 2.7.9, 3.1.21, 3.3.21, 4.1.20 and 4.3.20 to<br>(a) Ensure stock are prevented from accessing the active bed of a river unless as part of a managed crossing<br>(b) Provide for periodic stock crossings as a restricted discretionary activity with controls to ensure effects are not significant.<br>Include a new definition of "active bed of a river" as follows:<br><u>Means the bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse or that is permanently or intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</u> |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 70    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rules 2.7.9, 3.1.21, 3.3.21, 4.1.20 and 4.3.20 to<br>(a) Ensure stock are prevented from accessing the active bed of a river unless as part of a managed crossing<br>(b) Provide for periodic stock crossings as a restricted discretionary activity with controls to ensure effects are not significant.<br>Include a new definition of "active bed of a river" as follows:<br><u>Means the bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse or that is permanently or intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</u> |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 71    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.4.    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rules 2.11.4, 2.11.5, 3.7.4, 3.7.5, 4.7.4 and 4.75.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 72    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.5.    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rules 2.11.4, 2.11.5, 3.7.4, 3.7.5, 4.7.4 and 4.75.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 73    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions             | 25.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Include a new definition of "active bed of a river" as follows:<br><u>Means the bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse or that is permanently or intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</u>  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 74    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.20.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.20.1 as notified.   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 75    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.23.2. | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rules 3.3.23.2 and 4.3.22.1, 19.3.17.2 and 18.3.9.2 as follows;<br>Fertiliser <del>must be that is stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times</del> <u>must be covered and not come into contact with water.</u>               |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 76    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.21.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rules 3.3.23.2 and 4.3.22.1, 19.3.17.2 and 18.3.9.2 as follows;<br>Fertiliser <del>must be that is stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times</del> <u>must be covered and not come into contact with water.</u>               |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 77    | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone       | 18.3.9.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rules 3.3.23.2 and 4.3.22.1, 19.3.17.2 and 18.3.9.2 as follows;<br>Fertiliser <del>must be that is stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times</del> <u>must be covered and not come into contact with water.</u>               |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 78    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone       | 19.3.17.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rules 3.3.23.2 and 4.3.22.1, 19.3.17.2 and 18.3.9.2 as follows;<br>Fertiliser <del>must be that is stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times</del> <u>must be covered and not come into contact with water.</u>               |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 79    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.3.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rules 3.3.28.3 and 4.3.27.2 as follows:<br><i>A <del>high rate</del> <u>depth</u> discharge system must not be used to discharge onto land with an average slope of 7 degrees or greater, and the slope must not exceed 11.3* (1:5) at any point.</i> |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 80    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rules 3.3.28.3 and 4.3.27.2 as follows:<br><i>A <del>high rate</del> <u>depth</u> discharge system must not be used to discharge onto land with an average slope of 7 degrees or greater, and the slope must not exceed 11.3* (1:5) at any point.</i> |       |          |                            |            |        |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 81    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.6.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rules 3.3.28.6 and 4.3.27.5.  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 82    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.5.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rules 3.3.28.6 and 4.3.27.5.  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 83    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rules 3.3.28.8 and 4.3.27.7 to clarify that the recognised professional for undertaking pond storage calculations either: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has completed the Massey University Effluent System Design and Management Course; or</li> <li>• Is an accredited Effluent Design Company; or</li> <li>• Is a Certified Effluent Warrant of Fitness Assessor (by DairyNZ)</li> </ul> |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 84    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rules 3.3.28.8 and 4.3.27.7 to clarify that the recognised professional for undertaking pond storage calculations either: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has completed the Massey University Effluent System Design and Management Course; or</li> <li>• Is an accredited Effluent Design Company; or</li> <li>• Is a Certified Effluent Warrant of Fitness Assessor (by DairyNZ)</li> </ul> |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 85    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rules 3.3.28.10 (c) and 4.3.27.9 (c) to read as follows:<br>(c) a flood Hazard Area Level 3 or 4   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 86    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rules 3.3.28.10 (c) and 4.3.27.9 (c) to read as follows:<br>(c) a flood Hazard Area Level 3 or 4   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 87    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 3.3.28.11 as follows:<br><i>Three years from the date that this rule becomes operative From 9 June 2019, Standards 3.3.28.8, 3.3.28.9 and 3.3.28.10 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</i> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 88    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.27.10.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 4.3.27.10 as follows:<br><i>Three years from the date that this rule becomes operative From 9 June 2019, Standards 4.3.27.7, 4.3.27.8 and 4.3.27.9 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</i>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 89    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.33.5.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 3.3.33.5 as follows:<br><i>There must be no runoff of visible leachate from the leaving the pit, stack or stockpile area.</i>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 90    | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                 | 21.3.16.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete rule 21.3.16  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 91    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                   | 25.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of intensively farmed livestock as follows:<br><i>means:</i><br><i>(a) cattle or deer grazed on irrigated land or contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops;</i><br><i>(b) lactating dairy cattle;</i><br><i>(c) farmed pigs.</i>         |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 92    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.3.14 as follows:<br><i>The duration of water permits to take water will reflect the circumstances of the take and the actual and potential adverse effects, but should generally:</i><br>(a) <del>not be up to 35 less than 30 years when the take is from a water resource:</del><br><i>i. that has a water allocation limit specified in Schedule 1 of Appendix 6; and</i><br><i>ii. that has a minimum flow or level specified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 6; and</i><br><i>iii. that is not over-allocated; or</i><br>(b) <del>not be more than ten years when the take is from an over-allocated water resource as specified in Policy 5.5.1; or</del><br>(c) <del>not be up to 15 more than ten years when the take is from a water resource that has a default environmental flow established in accordance with Policies 5.2.7 and 5.2.14.</del>  |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 93    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.5.6  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 12.5.6 as follows:<br><i>Maintain the following characteristics within areas zoned for heavier industrial activities <u>Industrial 2</u> located near Blenheim:</i><br>(a) <i>a range of heavy industrial activities located location outside the urban area of Blenheim;</i><br>(b) <del>often surrounded by larger lot residential or rural areas;</del><br>(c) <del>a range of heavy industrial activities;</del><br>(d) <i>only very limited commercial non-industrial activities ancillary to heavy industrial activities, while activities that may compromise the efficiency and functionality of the zone for heavy industrial activities are avoided;</i><br>(e) <del>mostly well-separated from adjacent Business 1 and Industrial 1 Zones;</del><br>(f) <i>activities that placing substantial demands on the natural and physical resources of the District (land, water, air, infrastructure and services);</i><br>(g) <i>activities requiring disposal of large quantities of liquid trade wastes; and</i><br>(h) <i>higher volumes of large vehicle traffic.</i> |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 94    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Objective 12.6 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objectives 12.6 and related policies to ensure that amenity requirements are reasonable in the industrial zones.   |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 95    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.6.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 12.6.5 as follows:<br><i>Noise limits have been established to provide for the protection of community health and welfare. <u>Higher noise</u> <del>These limits (and associated lower amenity) are imposed in consistent with the character and amenity of the business and industrial zones to meet the operational requirements of the activities that are anticipated to establish in these zones.</del></i>  |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 96    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Objective 12.7 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 12.7 as follows:<br><del>Reverse sensitivity effects on adjoining residential zones from activities within business and industrial zones are avoided.</del><br><del>Reverse sensitivity effects on business and industrial activities will be avoided by:</del><br><del>(a) Recognising and providing for the benefits of business and industrial activities, while also managing adverse effects on human health, property and the environment.</del><br><del>(b) The operational requirements of heavy industry, other location specific industry and significant industry are recognised and provided for.</del><br><del>(c) Incompatible land uses and activities are adequately separated to avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse effects arising from business and industrial activities, and avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on business and industrial activities.</del>  |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 97    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments           | Policy 12.7.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 12.7.1 as follows:<br><del>Business and industrial activities are appropriately separated from the boundary of adjoining residential zones so that any adverse effects on residential activities are avoided, remedied or mitigated through:-</del><br><del>(a) establishing setbacks for industrial activities from a residential boundary;-</del><br><del>(b) screening of business or industrial outdoor storage areas from a residential boundary;-</del><br><del>(c) restrictions on light spill;-</del><br><del>(d) setting more sensitive noise limits at the boundaries between the Industrial 1 Zone and the Urban Residential 1 Zone; and</del><br><del>(e) standards for dust and odour.</del><br><del>Manage reverse sensitivity effects by:</del><br><del>(a) encouraging new business and industrial activities to locate in an appropriate zone; and</del><br><del>(b) not allowing new business and industrial activities that are likely to have adverse effects to locate in residential zones where sensitive activities are permitted</del><br><del>(c) Discouraging sensitive activities from locating in zones where reduced amenity is recognised and provided for.</del><br><del>(d) Ensure adequate separation distances between sensitive activities and business and industrial activities.</del> |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 98    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Issue 14A     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 14A explanation as follows:<br><del>The varied nature of Marlborough's physical environment has led to a wide range of land uses, including primary production activities such as agriculture, viticulture, horticulture and forestry and rural industrial activities, and non-primary production activities such as residential, commercial and industrial development...</del><br><del>The viability and versatility of the rural resource for primary production activities can be adversely affected by non-rural activities, land fragmentation and the proximity of sensitive receiving activities and environments (resulting in reverse sensitivity effects), such as those found in adjacent towns.</del>  |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 99    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.1.1 as follows:<br><i>Enable the efficient use and development of rural environments for primary production and <u>rural industrial activities</u>.</i><br><i>Amend the explanation associated with the policy as follows:</i><br><i>Currently, a wide range of primary productive land uses are undertaken in Marlborough's rural environments, from viticulture to extensive forestry, pastoral farming, dairy farming and cropping <u>and associated processing activities</u>...</i>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 100   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.1.9 as follows:<br><i>Manage the <u>interface</u> effects of primary production activities <u>in close proximity to ensure the environmental qualities and amenity values in adjoining residential zones, are not unreasonably degraded</u>, bearing in mind their location adjacent to a primary production environment <u>and the purpose of the rural environment to enable primary production activities and rural industry activities</u>.</i>   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 101   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.3.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.3.2 as notified  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 102   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 14.4 as follows:<br>Rural character and amenity values are maintained and enhanced managed and reverse sensitivity effects are avoided.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 103   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Insert new policy under Objective 14.4 as follows:<br><i><u>Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by:</u></i><br><i><u>(a) avoiding sensitive activities that are not related to primary production to locate in an appropriate zone;</u></i><br><i><u>(b) discouraging residential activities at a density greater than one dwelling per property from locating in rural environments; and</u></i><br><i><u>(c) require sensitive activities to be appropriately setback and designed to manage adverse effects that are inherent to rural environments.</u></i> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 104   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.4.5  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.4.5 as follows:<br>Noise limits will enable primary production and rural industry activities in consistent with the character and amenity of the Rural and Coastal Environment Zones, while having regard for have been established to provide for the protection of community health and welfare. |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 105   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.4.7  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.4.7 as follows:<br>Ensure <del>significant adverse offensive and objectionable</del> odour effects from rural activities are avoided or mitigated to protect lawfully established land uses.<br>Change the status of the policy so that it also has regional effect.                               |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 106   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.4.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.4.10 as follows:<br><i>Control the establishment of residential activity within rural environments as a means of avoiding conflict between rural and residential amenity expectations and avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on primary production and rural industry activities.</i>            |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 107   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 15A explanation as follows:<br><i>There are many point source discharges to land, including discharges of <u>from winery, and vegetable processing, and domestic wastewater, and dairy shed effluent and other industrial and trade premises.</u> ....</i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 108   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.17 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 15.1.17  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 109   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 15.3 as follows:<br><del>Reduce</del> <u>Manage</u> the potential for <u>offensive or objectionable effects nuisance</u> and <u>significant adverse health effects from the discharge of contaminants into air.</u>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 110   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.1  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.3.1 as follows:<br><i>Prohibit the discharge of contaminants into air in accordance with Regulations 4 – 10 of the National Environmental Standard for Air Quality <del>resulting from the combustion of materials that will give rise to concentration of contaminants likely to be dangerous or toxic.</del></i>   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 111   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.3.2 as follows:<br><i><del>Require all discharges to comply with the ambient air quality standards established by the National Environmental Standard for Air Quality. Manage the discharge of contaminants to air so that adverse effects on human health, including cumulative adverse effects, are avoided, and all other adverse effects are remedied or mitigated.</del></i>  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 112   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.3.3 as notified.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 113   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.3.6 as follows:<br><i>Promote <u>best practicable option</u> measures to avoid or mitigate the effects of the discharge of contaminants to air at their source.</i>  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 114   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | Issue 16B     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a fifth bullet point to Issue 16B explanation as follows:<br><i>A strong rural economy and a prevalence of residential living in rural and coastal environments mean that a wide variety of liquid wastes are created in Marlborough. These include:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>• domestic wastewater;</i></li> <li><i>• dairy shed effluent;</i></li> <li><i>• <del>winery wastewater; and</del></i></li> <li><i>• vegetable and shellfish processing wastewater</i></li> <li><i>• <u>industrial and trade process wastewater</u></i></li> </ul> |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 115   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | Policy 16.3.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 16.3.1 as follows:<br><i>Ensure that wastewater management systems are designed, located and installed <u>to achieve the best practicable option and effectively treat and/or contain the contaminants present in wastewater</u></i>   |       |          |                 |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 116   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste        | Policy 16.3.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 16.3.3 as follows:<br><i>Approve discharge permit applications to discharge contaminants onto or into land where <u>they demonstrate best practicable option and where:...</u></i>   |       |          |                 |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 117   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste        | Policy 16.3.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 16.3.4 as follows:<br><i>When considering discharge permit applications to discharge contaminants onto or into land, have regard <u>best practicable option and to:</u></i>  |       |          |                 |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 118   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.15.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.2.15 as follows:<br><i>Take, use and discharge of <del>surface</del> water for non-consumptive use.</i>  |       |          |                 |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 119   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.14.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.3.14 as follows:<br><i>Take, use and discharge of <del>surface</del> water for non-consumptive use.</i><br><i>2.3.14.1. The instantaneous take rate must not exceed 5% of <del>river</del> surface water flow at any time.</i><br><i>2.3.14.2. The take and discharge must not be from or into a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</i><br><i>2.3.14.3. The water must be returned into the same <del>surface</del> waterbody from which it was taken, at the same or similar rate and in the same or better quality.</i><br><i>2.3.14.4. <u>For surface water takes.</u> <del>The</del> water taken must be discharged back into the same surface waterbody within 250m of the point of take.</i> |       |          |                 |               |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 120   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.3.1.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.8.3.1 as follows:<br><i>The best practicable <del>method</del> <u>option</u> must be adopted to avoid dust <u>effects</u> beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring.</i>  |       |          |                 |               |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 121   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.32.1.1. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.32.1.1 Table 2.1 as follows:<br><i>Activity</i><br><i>Industrial and Rural Industrial Activities or Warehousing</i><br><i>Minimum Requirements – Number of spaces <del>1 per 100m2 gross floor area, plus 1 for every 100m2 of outdoor storage, plus 2 per 3 employees employed on the site (based on the maximum number of employees at any one time), plus 2 for visitors.</del></i> |       |          |                          |           |         |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 122   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.34.8.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.34.8  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 123   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.34.9.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.34.9  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 124   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.34.10.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.34.10   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 125   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.34.12.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.34.12.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 126   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.35.1.2. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.35.1.2 as follows:<br><i>Only one sign is permitted per property unless otherwise provided for in the Standards in 2.36 or is required for, or established by statute, rule or regulation or is a traffic or safety sign or a sign denoting the name of a road or the number of a premise.</i>   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 127   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.6.  | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 3.2.1.6 as follows:<br><i>A new dwelling must not be sited closer than 150m to the outer bank of an oxidation pond, sewage treatment works, <u>wastewater treatment facility (except for a septic system on the same site as the residential dwelling)</u> or a site designated for such works.</i>  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 128   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.2.3.1 as notified.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 129   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3.2.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 3.2.3.2 as follows:<br><i>7.00 am to 10.00 pm <del>55</del>50 dBA LAeq</i><br><i>10.00 pm to 7.00 am <del>45</del>40 dBA LAeq 70dB LAFmax</i>  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 130   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3.3.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 3.2.3.3 by:<br>1. Inserting new clause (d) under Rule 3.2.3.3 as follows:<br><i><u>(d) Rail activity</u></i><br>2. Insert new Restricted Discretionary Activity Rule 3.5.2 as follows:<br><i><u>3.5.2 Rail noise</u></i><br><i><u>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</u></i><br><i><u>3.5.2.1 The level of sound likely to be received</u></i><br><i><u>3.5.2.2 The existing ambient sound levels</u></i><br><i><u>3.5.2.3 The nature and frequency of the noise including the presence of any special audible characteristics</u></i><br><i><u>3.5.2.4 The effect on noise sensitive activities within the environment</u></i><br><i><u>3.2.5.5 The value and nature of the noise generating activity and the benefit to the wider community having regard to the frequency of the noise intrusion and the practicability of mitigating noise or using alternative sites</u></i><br><i><u>3.2.5.6 Any proposed measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate noise received off-site</u></i><br><i><u>3.5.2.7 The level of involvement of a recognised acoustician in the assessment of potential noise effects and/or mitigation options to reduce noise.</u></i> |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 131   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3.3.  | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Insert new Rule 3.2.4.5 as follows:<br>Any new noise sensitive activity must not be located closer than 250m to a site containing any lawfully established rural industry activity, including any rural industry activity for which a resource consent has been granted but not yet implemented. For the avoidance of doubt, Standard 3.2.4.5 also applies to any alteration of an existing dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building located within 250m of a rural industry activity, where a new bedroom forms part of the alteration.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 132   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.7.    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 3.2.7 as follows:<br>No activity shall result in The odour must not be objectionable or offensive odours to the extent that it causes an adverse effect as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the site area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.<br>Note 1: For the purpose of this performance standard, an offensive or objectionable odour is that odour which can be detected and is considered to be offensive or objectionable by at least two independent observers; including at least one Council officer. In determining whether an odour is offensive or objectionable, the "FIDOL" factors may shall be considered (the frequency; the intensity; the duration; the offensiveness (or character); and the location of where the odour is measured (ie the sensitivity of the receiving environment). For the purposes of this performance standard, the "site" comprises all that land owned or controlled by the entity undertaking the activity causing the odour.<br>Note 2: This performance standard shall not apply if the discharge of odour is authorised by an air discharge permit. |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 133   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.9.1.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 3.2.9.1 as follows<br>The best practicable method option must be adopted to avoid dust effects beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 134   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.7.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.6.7 as notified.   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 135   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.6.    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | The disposal of hazardous waste into or onto land is a provided as a discretionary activity. However, there is no definition in the Proposed Plan for "hazardous waste". Fonterra's concern is that the process wastewater may be captured by this rule.<br>The use and storage of hazardous substances is regulated via the HSNO Act, and there is no need to duplicate these planning provisions in RMA documents.<br>Delete Rule 3.7.6, and all other references in the pMEP to hazardous substances and hazardous waste.   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 136   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.14.   | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 3.7.14 as follows:<br><i>Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of any of the following materials: ...</i><br><del>(k) waste oil</del>   |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 137   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone         | 8.2.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 8.2.1 by including the following:<br><u><i>A dwelling must not be sited closer than 150m to the outer bank of an oxidation pond, sewage treatment works, wastewater treatment facility (except for a septic system on the same site as the residential dwelling) or a site designated for such works, or dairy effluent storage ponds</i></u>   |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 138   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone         | 8.2.3.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 8.2.3 by including the following:<br><u><i>Any new noise sensitive activity must not be located closer than 250m to a site containing any lawfully established rural industry activity, including any rural industry activity for which a resource consent has been granted but not yet implemented. For the avoidance of doubt, Standard 8.2.3.1 also applies to any alteration of an existing dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building located within 250m of a rural industry activity, where a new bedroom forms part of the alteration.</i></u>   |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 139   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert new Rule 12.1.35 as follows:<br><u><i>Commercial activities ancillary to industrial activities.</i></u>   |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 140   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.4.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 12.2.2.4as follows:<br><i>Any activity in the Industrial 1 Zone must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within any adjacent land ...</i><br>...<br><u><i>Any activity in the Industrial 2 Zone must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within any adjacent land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3, or within the notional boundary of a dwelling in any adjacent zone (except Industrial 1 or 2 Zones):</i></u><br><i>7.00 am to 10.00 pm 5550 dBA LAeq</i><br><i>10.00 pm to 7.00 am 4540 dBA LAeq 70dB LAFmax</i> |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 141   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.5.1. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 12.2.5.1 as follows:<br><i>No activity shall result in <del>The odour must not be objectionable or offensive</del> odours to the extent that it causes an adverse effect <del>as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the site area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.</del></i><br><i>Note 1: For the purpose of this performance standard, an offensive or objectionable odour is that odour which can be detected and is considered to be offensive or objectionable by at least two independent observers; including at least one Council officer. In determining whether an odour is offensive or objectionable, the "FIDOL" factors may shall be considered (the frequency; the intensity; the duration; the offensiveness (or character); and the location of where the odour is measured (ie the sensitivity of the receiving environment). For the purposes of this performance standard, the "site" comprises all that land owned or controlled by the entity undertaking the activity causing the odour.</i><br><i>Note 2: This performance standard shall not apply if the discharge of odour is authorised by an air discharge permit.</i> |       |          |                             |           |        |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 142   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.7.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 12.2.7.1 as follows<br><i>The best practicable <del>method</del> option must be adopted to avoid dust <u>effects</u> beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring.</i>  |       |          |                             |           |        |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 143   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.8.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 12.2.8.2 as follows:<br><i>The particulate discharge rate from any air pollution control equipment and dust collection system must not exceed 2 50mg/m3 at any time, corrected to 0°C, 1 atmosphere pressure, dry gas basis.</i>  |       |          |                             |           |        |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 144   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.8.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 12.2.8.3   |       |          |                             |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 145   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.2.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 12.3.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>Discharge of contaminants to air from combustion within a stationary internal combustion engine (i.e., internal combustion).</i></p> <p><i>12.3.2.1. The fuel used in the engine must be gas, LPG, petrol, diesel, vegetable oils or alcohol.</i></p> <p><i>12.3.2.2. Fuel containing sulphur at levels greater than <u>10ppm</u> (or 0.001%) <del>0.05%</del> by weight must not be burned.</i></p> <p><i>12.3.2.3. The <u>net energy power</u> output of the engine must not exceed 400kW, this limit applies to the total <del>heat</del> <u>net energy</u> output from a site.</i></p> <p><i>12.3.2.4. If the <u>net energy power</u> output of the engine is between 30kW and 400kW:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the engine must not be operated for a total of greater than 5 hours in any 24-hour period; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) if the engine is in a fixed location, the stack must comply with the requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 5.</i></p> <p><i>12.3.2.5. Where more than one fuel type is used on the property, the combined <u>net energy</u> <del>heat</del> output must not exceed the lowest MW or kW threshold of any of the fuel types used.</i></p> |       |          |                             |           |        |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 146   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.9.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 12.3.9.1 as follows:</p> <p>The discharge must not contain more than the following maximum <u>net energy</u> <del>heat</del> output limits....</p>  |       |          |                             |           |        |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 147   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.4.2.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 12.4.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>Commercial activity <u>not ancillary to an industrial activity.</u></i></p>  |       |          |                             |           |        |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 148   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions              | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend definition of "Fuel burning equipment" as follows:</p> <p><i>Fuel burning equipment means any fireplace, grate, stove, incinerator, boiler, furnace, gas turbine, or internal or external combustion engine, <u>and that:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>1. Has a net heat or energy output of more than 40 kW; or</u></i></p> <p><i><u>2. Is on or associated with an industrial or trade premises or process.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>This excludes:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>1. Small scale solid fuel burning appliances</u></i></p> <p><i><u>2. Waste incineration devices and crematoria</u></i></p> <p><i><u>3. Motor vehicles</u></i></p> <p><i><u>4. Boats</u></i></p> <p><i><u>5. Aircraft</u></i></p>  |       |          |                             |           |        |
| 1251               | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 149   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions              | 25.       | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Delete the definition of "Heavy industrial activity"  |       |          |                |           |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 150   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete the definition of "Light industrial activity"  |       |          |                |           |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 151   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete the definition of "Liquid waste"   |       |          |                |           |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 152   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain definition of "Noise sensitive activity" as notified.  |       |          |                |           |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 153   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of "Rural industry" as follows:<br><i>...means an industry, <del>constructional engineers and roading and cartage</del> contractors, workshops or yards where either:<br/> (a) <u>The activity is related to the processing of raw materials or primary produce derived from the rural environment; or</u><br/> (b) <u>75% of the total business is with the rural sector and/or coastal marine area; or</u><br/> (c) <u>The nature of the industry is such that it is inappropriately located within an urban or industrial zone.</u></i> |       |          |                |           |         |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 154   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the definition of "Site in relation to a building or structure" as follows:</p> <p><del>Site in relation to a building or structure, means any area of land/or volume of space of sufficient dimensions to accommodate any complying activity provided for by a rule in the Plan.</del></p> <p><u>Any area of land which meets one of the descriptions set out below:</u></p> <p><u>(a) an area of land which is:</u></p> <p><u>i. comprised of one allotment in one certificate of title, or two or more (i)contiguous allotments held together in one certificate of title, in such a way that the allotments cannot be dealt with separately without the prior consent of the council; or</u></p> <p><u>ii. contained in a single lot on an approved survey plan of subdivision for (ii)which a separate certificate of title could be issued without any further consent of the council;</u></p> <p><u>being in any case the smaller area of clauses (i) or (ii) above; or</u></p> <p><u>(b) an area of land which is composed of two or more contiguous lots held in two or more certificates of title where such titles are:</u></p> <p><u>i. subject to a condition imposed under section 37 of the Building Act 2004 (i)or section 643 of the Local Government Act 1974; or</u></p> <p><u>ii. held together in such a way that they cannot be dealt with separately (ii)without the prior consent of the council; or</u></p> <p><u>(c) an area of land which is:</u></p> <p><u>i. partly made up of land which complies with clauses (a) or (b) above; and (i)</u></p> <p><u>ii. partly made up of an interest in any airspace above or subsoil below a (ii)road where (a) and (b) are adjacent and are held together in such a way that they cannot be dealt with separately without the prior approval of the council;</u></p> <p><u>Except in relation to each description that in the case of land subdivided under the Unit Titles Act 1972, the cross lease system or stratum subdivision, 'site' must be deemed to be the whole of the land subject to the unit development, cross lease or stratum subdivision.</u></p> <p><u>Noting that:</u></p> <p><u>(a) Corner site - will be deemed to be a 'front site';</u></p> <p><u>(b) Front site - means a site having one frontage of not less than the minimum prescribed by the Plan for the particular zone in which the site is situated to a road, private road, or the sea; and</u></p> <p><u>(c) Rear site - means a site that is situated generally to the rear of another site and that has not the frontage required for a front site for that use in the zone. Where a right of way is employed, the line(s) defining the extent of that right of way on a survey plan must be treated as a legal boundary for the purpose of bulk and location controls for buildings.</u></p> |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 155   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this particular definition of "site".   |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 156   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this particular definition of "site".   |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited  | 157   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this particular definition of "site".   |       |          |                |           |        |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|--------|
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 158   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the definition of "solid waste".   |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 159   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the definition of "Waste" as follows:</p> <p><del>Waste any material, solid, liquid, gas or radioactive, that is unwanted and or unvalued, and discarded, discharged, emitted or deposited in the environment in such volume, constituency or manner as to cause an adverse effect on the environment. It includes all unwanted or unusable by-products at any given place and time, and includes any other matter that may be discharged, accidentally or otherwise, to the environment. For the purposes of this Plan, waste does not include stormwater or treated human sewage.</del></p> <p><u>Waste means</u></p> <p><u>(a) anything disposed of or discarded; and</u></p> <p><u>(b) includes a type of waste that is defined by its composition or source (for example, organic waste, electronic waste, or construction and demolition waste); and</u></p> <p><u>to avoid doubt, includes any component or element of diverted material, if the component or element is disposed of or discarded</u></p> |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>1251</b>        | Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited   | 160   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the definition of "Wastewater" as follows:</p> <p><del>Wastewater in relation to on-site wastewater management systems, means wastewater originating from household or personal activities including toilets, urinals, kitchens, bathrooms (including shower, washbasins, bath, spa bath but not spa) and laundries. Includes such wastewater flows from facilities serving staff, employees, residents, students, guests in institutional, commercial and industrial establishments, but excludes commercial and industrial wastes, large-scale laundry activities and any stormwater flows.</del></p> <p><u>Wastewater means liquid (and liquids containing solids) waste from domestic, industrial, commercial premises including (but not limited to) toilet wastes, silage, industrial and trade wastes and gross solids.</u></p>   |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>1208</b>        | Vivienne Forrester  | 1     | All      | All            |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |                |           |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b>                          | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|---|-------------|
| <b>703</b>         | Faye Fosbender   | 1            | Volume 1      | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>703</b>         | Faye Fosbender   | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>703</b>         | Faye Fosbender   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>703</b>         | Faye Fosbender   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>703</b>         | Faye Fosbender   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |   | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>703</b>         | Faye Fosbender   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |   | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>703</b>         | Faye Fosbender   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>703</b>         | Faye Fosbender   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>703</b>         | Faye Fosbender   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>703</b>         | Faye Fosbender   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1144</b>        | Scott Foster   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1144</b>        | Scott Foster   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1144</b>        | Scott Foster   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1144</b>        | Scott Foster   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1144</b>        | Scott Foster   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1144</b>        | Scott Foster   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1144</b>        | Scott Foster   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1144</b>        | Scott Foster   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming, |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1144</b>        | Scott Foster   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1144</b>        | Scott Foster   | 10    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1161</b>        | Susan Foster   | 1     | All      | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |               |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>183</b>         | Harold John Fowler  | 1            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape                               | Policy 7.2.10    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Volume 1 Chapter 7.2.10 (a) and (b). Retain provisions as proposed.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>312</b>         | James Fowler  | 2            | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Management Flow of 7.320m <sup>3</sup> /s for the Wairau River Freshwater Management Unit (above The Narrows) monitored at Dip Flat from the Schedule. |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>1248</b>        | James Simon Fowler  | 1            | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions as proposed.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>1248</b>        | James Simon Fowler  | 2            | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions as proposed.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>1249</b>        | James Simon Fowler  | 1            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape                               | Policy 7.2.10    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions as proposed.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>1250</b>        | James Simon Fowler  | 1            | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone                       | 8.5.3.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>1250</b>        | James Simon Fowler  | 2            | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone                       | 8.3.9.1.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>1250</b>        | James Simon Fowler  | 3            | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone                       | 8.3.7.1.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                 | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1250</b>        | James Simon Fowler               | 4            | Volume 2      | 7 Coastal Living Zone                     | 7.5.3.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.                     |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1250</b>        | James Simon Fowler               | 5            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                | 4.7.3.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.                     |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1250</b>        | James Simon Fowler               | 6            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                | 4.3.9.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard.                 |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1250</b>        | James Simon Fowler               | 7            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                | 4.3.7.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard.                 |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1250</b>        | James Simon Fowler               | 8            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.7.2.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.                     |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1250</b>        | James Simon Fowler               | 9            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.10.1.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard.                 |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1250</b>        | James Simon Fowler               | 10           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.8.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard.                 |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1250</b>        | James Simon Fowler               | 11           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.6.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard.                 |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>383</b>         | Francis Estate Vineyards Limited | 1            | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3       | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1209</b>        | Verena Frei  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1209</b>        | Verena Frei  | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1209</b>        | Verena Frei  | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1209</b>        | Verena Frei  | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1209</b>        | Verena Frei  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1209</b>        | Verena Frei  | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1209</b>        | Verena Frei  | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1209</b>        | Verena Frei  | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9. | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1209</b>        | Verena Frei   | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1209</b>        | Verena Frei   | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1209</b>        | Verena Frei   | 11    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1209</b>        | Verena Frei   | 12    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1209</b>        | Verena Frei   | 13    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1209</b>        | Verena Frei   | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1209</b>        | Verena Frei   | 15    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                |            | Support |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.         |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1209</b>        | Verena Frei   | 16    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.    | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.   |       |          |                            |   |                 |
| <b>1209</b>        | Verena Frei   | 17    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.                                   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.   |       |          |                            |   |                 |
| <b>1209</b>        | Verena Frei   | 18    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.31.                                   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.   |       |          |                            |   |                 |
| <b>1209</b>        | Verena Frei   | 19    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.                                   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.   |       |          |                            |   |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction             | Guiding principles                        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to content under the heading:</p> <p><b>A healthy Marlborough economy requires a healthy environment.</b></p> <p><i>While it is not the role of the MEP to directly address economic matters, it does have a role in supporting sustainable business and economic growth within a resource management framework. Maintaining <b>and safeguarding</b> the health of the environment will assist the primary sector in particular to continue to make a significant contribution to the Marlborough economy and the wellbeing of our communities. The productive <b>and sustainable</b> use of natural resources relies on both the quality of the resource as well as sustainable allocation frameworks to enable use of water, land and coastal resources <b>for future generations.</b></i></p> |       |          |                            |   |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 2     | Volume 1 | 2 Background               | Identifying regionally significant issues | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                            | Provision                                    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the content under the heading:</p> <p><b>Does the issue involve a resource that is scarce, rare, unique and/or is under threat?</b></p> <p><i>This includes both natural and physical resources and <del>could</del> include the limited availability of water in some parts of Marlborough <del>or it may</del> <b>and</b> include the habitats of <del>threatened</del> indigenous species.</i></p>   |       |          |                                    |  |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 3     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                       | Issues that cross local authority boundaries | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the wording of the third paragraph page 2-9:</p> <p><i>Under the RMA the mean high water spring boundary separates the primary management responsibilities for the land and coastal water between agencies. <del>The Council, in conjunction with the Minister of Conservation, is responsible for the management of the coastal marine area</del> <b>the Minister of Conservation's responsibility to approve regional coastal plan only applies to the coastal marine area, the landward boundary of which is mean high water springs. In the coastal marine area, the Council has joint responsibility for promoting integrated management of natural and physical resources.</b> The Minister has the responsibility for the final approval of regional coastal plans prepared by a regional council. Landward of mean high water springs the relationship is different and <del>the Council has</del> <b>these responsibilities fall on Council alone</b> <del>responsibility for sustainably managing Marlborough's natural and physical resources.</del></i></p> |       |          |                                    |  |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 4     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                       | How to use the MEP                           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the wording under Explanation of <b>Avoid</b> on page 2-13 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><b>Avoid</b></p> <p><i>Use of the word 'avoid' <del>may or may not have the same meaning as prevent</del> <b>means not allowing or prohibit.</b> In some cases the method used to implement a policy is a rule that will 'prohibit' something from occurring. In this case the word 'prohibit' is used within the rules method. There are other policies that use 'avoid' though this is not implemented through a prohibited activity rule. In these policies 'avoiding' an effect can be achieved through undertaking an activity in such a way that the effect does not occur or is significantly reduced. Where this is the case, policies clearly identify that remediation and/or mitigation is an option. It will be important that the explanations and methods accompanying the policies are read to help inform decision makers of the intent of the word 'avoid' where it is used.</i></p>  |       |          |                                    |  |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 5     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3A                                     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 3A.   |       |          |                                    |  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                                    | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                     | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 6            | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3B         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 3B.                                    |              |               |                                    |                  |             |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 7            | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3C         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 3C.                                    |              |               |                                    |                  |             |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 8            | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3D         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 3D.                                    |              |               |                                    |                  |             |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 9            | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3E         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 3E.                                    |              |               |                                    |                  |             |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 10           | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3F         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 3F.                                    |              |               |                                    |                  |             |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 11           | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3G         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 3G.                                    |              |               |                                    |                  |             |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 12           | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3H         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 3H.                                    |              |               |                                    |                  |             |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 13           | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3I         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 3I.                                    |              |               |                                    |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                                    | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                     | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 14           | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3J         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 3J.                                    |              |               |                                    |                  |             |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 15           | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 3.1.                               |              |               |                                    |                  |             |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 16           | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.2    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 3.2.                               |              |               |                                    |                  |             |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 17           | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.3    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 3.3.                               |              |               |                                    |                  |             |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 18           | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.4    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 3.4.                               |              |               |                                    |                  |             |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 19           | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.5    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 3.5.                               |              |               |                                    |                  |             |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 20           | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 3.1.1.                                |              |               |                                    |                  |             |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 21           | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 3.1.2.                                |              |               |                                    |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 22           | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | Policy 3.1.3     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 3.1.3.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 23           | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | Policy 3.1.4     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 3.1.4.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 24           | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | Policy 3.1.5     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 31.5.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 25           | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | Policy 3.1.6     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy 3.1.6 to add a cross reference to the objectives and policies in Chapter 11 Natural hazards. |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 26           | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | Policy 3.1.7     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 3.1.7.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 27           | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.               | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made (page 4-1)</p> <p><b>Introduction</b></p> <p><i>Section 5 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) recognises that sustainable management includes the use and development of natural and physical resources to provide for the social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the community.</i></p> <p><b>(1) The purpose of this Act is to promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources.</b></p> <p><b>(2) In this Act, sustainable management means managing the use, development, and protection of natural and physical resources in a way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being and for their health and safety while-</b></p> <p><b>(a) sustaining the potential of natural and physical resources (excluding minerals) to meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; and</b></p> <p><b>(b) safeguarding the life supporting capacity of air, water, soil, and ecosystems; and</b></p> <p><b>(c) avoiding, remedying, or mitigating any adverse effects of activities on the environment.</b></p> <p><i>This chapter contains provisions that acknowledge the importance of using and developing our land, water, coastal and air resources and strategic infrastructure in this respect. The objectives and policies provide high level direction on resource use in our environment. This direction is developed further within the resource or activity-based chapters elsewhere in the Marlborough Environment Plan (MEP). Specific provisions within those chapters seek to enable appropriate use and development of natural and physical resources.</i></p> |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 28    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>1. That reference to ecosystem services provided by natural ecosystems associated with freshwater, air, coastal waters and soils in included in the issue's explanatory paragraph.</p> <p>2. That the following amendments (bold) to the wording in the first paragraph, second line on page 4-2:</p> <p><i>Generally, Marlborough has adequate natural resources of sufficient quality to meet the needs of the primary sector. However, the reliance on natural resources also creates an inherent vulnerability to environmental change. The loss of access to natural resources <b>or the environment</b> or a reduction in the quality of the resources <b>or the environment</b> would have a significant impact on the primary sector. The implications would be felt far beyond the farm gate or vineyard, as Marlborough's townships act as service centres to rural land uses and the marine farming industry. Many businesses in Blenheim and other townships are sustained, either directly or indirectly, by the primary sector.</i></p>  |       |          |   |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 29    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 4.1.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 4.1.1 Recognise the rights of resource users by only intervening in the use of land to protect <b>Use of private land will reflect sustainable management including protection of</b> the environment and wider public interests in <del>the</del> <b>this</b> environment.</i></p>  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 30    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 4.1.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 4.1.2 Enable sustainable use of natural resources in the Marlborough environment <b>by including permitted activity rules where adverse effects are no more than minor, taking into account cumulative effects.</b></i></p> <p><i>Many uses of coastal <del>space</del> <b>marine area</b>, river beds, air and water resources are prohibited unless allowed by a rule in a regional plan or by resource consent (see Sections 12 to 15 of the RMA). As a principle, the Council will continue to enable access to natural resources where the subsequent use of those resources has no more than minor adverse effect on the immediate or surrounding environment. This will be achieved through the use of permitted activity rules, including conditions where appropriate, avoiding the need for resource consent. Where the adverse effects are considered more than minor or where there is potential for cumulative effects, then resource consents will be required. Policies throughout the MEP help define sustainable resource use.</i></p> <p><i>The use of allocation frameworks for coastal <del>space</del> <b>marine area</b> and freshwater will also assist to enable the sustainable use and development of these natural resources. These frameworks will provide certainty about the quantities and/or locations of resources available and the circumstances in which they may be used and developed.</i></p> |       |          |   |              |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 31    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.3.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 32    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 4B.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 33    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | In conjunction with Nelson City and Tasman District include a new issue, objective and policy ( <i>inferred</i> ) addressing the important resource management issues in Tasman Bay and show these areas in the maps.<br><br>Include policy to address the concepts of natural capital and ecosystem services. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 34    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.3.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 35    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.1.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 36    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.2.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 37    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.3.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 38    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.4.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 39    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.5.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 40    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.AER.1       | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 4.AER.1</p> <p><i>4.AER.1 People and communities have <del>appropriate</del> access to natural and physical resources in the Marlborough environment in order to provide for their social, economic and cultural wellbeing and health and safety <b>while reflecting sustainable management.</b></i></p> <p><i>Monitoring effectiveness - point #4</i><br/> <del><i>Public perception survey indicates that a majority of residents and ratepayers believe that the Marlborough Sounds environment is in good health.</i></del></p> <p>That the following new anticipated environmental result and monitoring effectiveness criteria (bold) is added:</p> <p><b><i>4.AER.2 That a majority of residents, visitors and ratepayers consider that the Marlborough Sounds has not been the subject of human induced degradation.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Monitoring effectiveness</i></b> (inferred)</p> <p><b><i>Public perception survey indicates that the Marlborough Sounds has not been the subject of human induced degradation.</i></b></p>   |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 41    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to the third sentence of the second paragraph of the Introduction:</p> <p><del><i>Another significant contributor to the economy is the marine farming industry, which is reliant on being able to occupy coastal space in order to develop.</i></del></p> <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the second and third sentences of the third paragraph of the Introduction:</p> <p><b><i>Allocation of resource use will reflect sustainable management.</i></b> <del><i>Any significant reduction or change in approach to resource use could</i></del> <b><i>Climate change can have significant implications for Marlborough's economic, cultural and social wellbeing and for the sustainable management of these natural and physical resources.</i></b> <del><i>The two main areas where allocation of public resources is considered to be an issue are rights to occupy space in the coastal marine area, and rights to take and use freshwater.</i></del> <b><i>Limitations on access to resources may well be different compared to how that has been achieved today.</i></b></p> |       |          |                                  |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 42    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.1.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.1.1 Define and use freshwater management units to apply appropriate management to the taking and use of water within each water resource <b>where use or diversion does not, or is not likely to, have an adverse effect on the environment.</b></i></p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 43    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.1.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.1.2 Recognise that the taking of water and the use of water are two distinct activities and where resource consent application is to be granted, separate water permits for each activity will be granted <b>where use or diversion does not, or is not likely to, have an adverse effect on the environment.</b></i></p>               |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 44    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That a new policy is added that addresses the cumulative effects of discharges of contaminants into enclosed coastal waters, in particular the Kaituna/Pelorus and Wairau Lagoon estuaries.</p>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 45    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Objective 5.2:</p> <p><i>Objective 5.2 <del>Safeguard the life-supporting capacity of freshwater resources by retaining sufficient flows and/or levels for the natural and human use values supported by waterbodies.</del> <b>When making decisions about water use the life supporting capacity of the waterbody must be safeguarded.</b></i></p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 46    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.2.4:<br><i>Policy 5.2.4 – Set specific environmental flows and/or levels for Freshwater Management Units dominated by rivers, lakes and wetlands to:</i><br><i>(a) <del>protect</del> <b>maintain and/or enhance</b> the mauri of the waterbody;</i><br><i>(b) <del>protect</del> <b>maintain and/or enhance</b> instream habitat and ecology;</i><br><i>(c) maintain <b>and/or enhance</b> fish passage and fish spawning grounds;</i><br><i>(d) <del>preserve</del> <b>maintain and/or enhance</b> the natural character of the river;</i><br><i>(e) maintain <b>and/or enhance</b> water quality;</i><br><i>(f) <del>provide</del> <b>maintain and/or enhance</b> for adequate groundwater recharge where the river is physically connected to an aquifer or groundwater; and</i><br><i>(g) maintain <b>and/or enhance</b> amenity values.</i> |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 47    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5J       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5J.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 48    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Objective 5.10:<br><i>Objective 5.10 Equitable and sustainable allocation of public space within Marlborough's coastal marine area <b>while recognizing cumulative effects in a finite resource.</b></i>  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 49    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.1.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 50    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.2.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 51    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.3.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 52    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.4.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 53    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.1       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 5.AER.1:</p> <p><i>5.AER.1 <del>Sufficient</del> <b>Maintain and/or enhance</b> flow in rivers and <del>adequate</del> groundwater level to <del>sustain</del> <b>reflecting sustainable management of</b> natural and human use values supported by these water bodies.</i></p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 54    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.3       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 5.AER.3:</p> <p><i>5.AER.3 <del>Maintenance of the significant values of outstanding</del> <b>Ensuring the survival of all</b> water bodies <b>and its flora and fauna, both rare and commonplace, in their natural communities and habitats are part of the preservation of representative samples of all classes of wetland ecosystems to preserve the remaining New Zealand character.</b></i></p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 55    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | 6.            | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Adopt a more "outcome" and place focus to the protection of the natural character units for the whole of the Marlborough District, including the following: Tasman Bay coast Tory Channel entrance to Rarangi and the South Marlborough Coast. For each of these units set a desired outcome framework to protect the natural character and natural functioning of each of these units. Include specific policies to avoid adverse effects on the natural character of each of these natural character units. Include policies to avoid cumulative adverse effects of residential development, forestry, farming and marine farming for each of the natural character units.</p> <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the first paragraph of the Introduction:</p> <p><b>Introduction</b></p> <p><i>Natural character includes the natural elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities of an environment. The natural character of the coastal environment, and freshwater bodies and their margins, is comprised of a number of key components which include:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>• <del>coastal or freshwater landforms and landscapes (including seascape);</del></i></li> <li><i>• <del>coastal or freshwater physical processes (including the movement of water and sediments);</del></i></li> <li><i>• <del>biodiversity (including individual indigenous species, their habitats and communities they form);</del></i></li> <li><i>• <del>biological processes and patterns;</del></i></li> <li><i>• <del>water flows and levels, and water quality; and</del></i></li> <li><i>• <del>the ways in which people experience the natural elements, patterns and processes.</del></i></li> <li><i>• <b>natural elements, processes and patterns;</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>biophysical, ecological, geological and geomorphological aspects;</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>natural landforms such as headlands, peninsulas, cliffs, dunes, wetlands, reefs, freshwater springs and surf breaks;</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>the natural movement of water and sediment;</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>the natural darkness of the night sky;</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>places or areas that are wild or scenic;</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>a range of natural character from pristine to modified; and</b></i></li> <li><i>• <b>experiential attributes, including the sounds and smell of the sea; and their context or setting.</b></i></li> </ul> |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 56    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Issue 6A:</p> <p><i>Issue 6A <del>Resource use and changes in resource use</del> <b>Inappropriate subdivision, use and development</b> can result in the degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins.</i></p> <p><i>Section 6(a) of the RMA requires the Council <b>to recognise and provide for</b> <del>to preserve</del> <b>the preservation of (inferred)</b> the natural character of the coastal environment, wetlands, and lakes, rivers and their margins and to protect this natural character from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.</i></p> <p><i><del>The</del> <b>Policy 13 of the NZCPS 2010</b> sets a similar objective for the coastal environment.</i></p> <p><i>The entire coastal environment and all freshwater bodies possess some or all of the components of natural character (<del>natural elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities</del>) and therefore all hold some degree of natural character.</i></p> |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 57    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include in Objective 6.1 references to the seven-range scale of natural character proposed by Dr Steven in his report (page 7) that accompanies this submission.</p>  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 58    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.1  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That all of the matters listed in NZCPS Policy 13(2) (provided below) with such additional matters as are necessary to cover wetlands, lakes and rivers and their margins are included in Policy 6.1.1.</p> <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 6.1.1(a):<br/> <i>Policy 6.1.1(a) areas or water bodies <del>in their natural state or close to their natural state.</del></i></p> <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 6.1.1(b)<br/> <i>Policy 6.1.1(b) Coastal or freshwater landforms <del>and landscapes (including seascapes).</del></i></p> <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 6.1.1(e)<br/> <i>Policy 6.1.1 (e) biological <b>elements</b>, processes and patterns;</i></p> |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 59    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Policy 6.1.2 but amend the last sentence of the following explanation to add reference to it being more difficult to define the extent of the coastal environment on the south coast. Add a further statement that there needs to be a consistent approach to defining the extent of the coastal environment to that adopted in adjoining regions (Nelson City, Wellington and Canterbury Regional Councils).</p>  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 60    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.3 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the follow amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policies 6.1.3(a) and (b):</p> <p><i>Policy 6.1.3 – Determine the degree of natural character in both the coastal marine and coastal terrestrial components of the coastal environment by assessing:</i><br/> <i>(a) the degree of human-induced modification on <del>abiotic systems and landforms, marine and terrestrial biotic systems and experiential qualities</del><b>natural elements, natural patterns and natural processes</b>; and</i><br/> <i>(b) <b>the seven-range scale range of natural character</b> at a range of scales.</i></p> <p>As an alternative for Policy 6.1.3 (a), repeat in this policy (a) to (g) from Policy 6.1.1, i.e., exclude reference to invalid subjective parameters.</p>   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 61    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | This should be the seven-range scale of natural character proposed in the report (page 7) by Dr Steven attached to this submission.  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 62    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.6  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 6.1.6:</p> <p><i>Policy 6.1.6 – Identify those rivers or parts of rivers that have high or very high natural character.</i></p> <p><i>Although there is no specific requirement for the Council to identify rivers that have high or very high natural character, the Council has undertaken an assessment to determine the natural character values of a number of Marlborough's rivers. This has been carried out to recognise and provide for Section 6 (a) of the RMA. Using the criteria in Policy 6.1.5, a <del>five</del><b>seven</b>-point assessment scale on the significance of the waterbodies has allowed natural character to be determined. The rivers with high or very high natural character have been mapped in the MEP. Further information on a range of values for Marlborough's rivers, including natural character values, is set out in Appendix 5.</i></p> |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 63    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Replace Objective 6.2. Rewrite to cover separate place based objectives for Queen Charlotte Sound, Pelorus Sound, South Marlborough and eastern Tasman Bay.  |       |          |                     |               |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 64    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold, latter is <b><i>inferred</i></b>) are made to Policy 6.2.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 6.2.1 Avoid the adverse effects of subdivision, use or development on areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character values and on lakes and rivers and their margins with high and very high natural character values <b>by managing the effects of activities within the coastal marine area, that involve the removal of intact or regenerating indigenous vegetation and managing the effects of residential, commercial and industrial development.</b></i></p> |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 65    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 6.2.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 6.2.2 <b>Where natural character is assessed as being very high (VH) or high (H) avoid adverse effects of subdivision, use and development that would result in a lower level of natural character. Elsewhere Aavoid, remedy or mitigate</b> significant adverse effects of subdivision, use or development on coastal natural character, having regard to the significance criteria in Appendix 4.</i></p>   |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 66    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.3.  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 67    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 6.2.5:</p> <p><i>Policy 6.2.5 Recognise that development in parts of the coastal environment and in those rivers and lakes and their margins that have already been modified by past and present resource use activities is less likely to result in adverse effects on natural character.</i></p>   |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 68    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.6 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to the second sentence of the explanation for Policy 6.2.6:<br><i>Policy 6.2.6 In assessing the appropriateness of subdivision, use or development in coastal or freshwater environments, regard shall be given to the potential to enhance natural character in the area subject to the proposal.</i><br><i>It may be possible to improve the natural character of coastal environments and freshwater bodies through appropriate subdivision, use and development of natural resources. Any improvement to <del>the landscape</del>, natural processes, biodiversity, water flows or quality incorporated into the proposal will be considered in this regard.</i> |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 69    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.9  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.9 but add reference to Policy 14 of the NZCPS 2010.  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 70    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | 7.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the introductory paragraph is amended to refer to there being seven broad landscape areas, including Tasman Bay and the exposed Cook Strait coast.  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 71    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Issue 7A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That reference to the NZCPS 2010 in the explanation that follows issues Statement 7A is added.   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 72    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Objective 7.1 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That Objective 7.1 is changed to a policy under Issue 7A:</p> <p><del>Objective 7.1</del> <b>Policy 7.X</b> Identify Marlborough's outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value.</p> <p>That Objective 7.1 is replaced with the following two new objectives under Issue 7A:</p> <p><b>Objective 7.1 Agreement about which natural landscapes and features Marlborough communities and visitors especially value for their landscape values.</b></p> <p><b>Objective 7.X The natural landscapes and features identified in accordance with the above policy are protected from inappropriate subdivision use and development.</b> Note that the submission does not identify which policy is "...the above policy...".</p> |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 73    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 7.1.1 (<b>inferred</b>):</p> <p><i>Policy 7.1.1 When assessing the values of Marlborough's landscapes, the following criteria will be used:</i></p> <p><b>(d) the presence of water, including in seas, lakes, rivers and streams.</b></p>   |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 74    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 7.1.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 7.1.2 Define the boundaries of <del>significant</del> landscapes <b>units</b> using the following methods:</i></p>  |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 75    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.3 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 7.1.3:<br><i>Policy 7.1.3 Assessment of the values in Policy 7.1.1 will determine:<br/>(c) where landscape values are not sensitive to change <b>which landscapes have values such that only significant adverse effects on their landscape values are required to be managed.</b></i>   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 76    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the first sentence of the explanation of Policy 7.1.4:<br><del>Those landscapes that are an outstanding natural feature or landscape</del> <b>Landscapes that meet the criteria to be identified as an outstanding natural landscape, or outstanding natural feature</b> will be identified (and mapped) in the MEP.  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 77    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.5  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following is added (bold) to Policy 7.1.5:<br><i>Policy 7.1.5 Refine the boundaries of outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value in response to:<br/><b>(c) effects of climate change and changed community views and aspirations.</b></i>  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 78    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 7.2.   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 79    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 7.2.1:<br><i>Policy 7.2.1 Control activities that have the potential to degrade those values contributing to outstanding natural features and landscapes by requiring activities and structures to be subject to a comprehensive assessment of effects on landscape values through the resource consent process. <b>Protect the landscape values of areas identified as outstanding from inappropriate subdivision, use and development by controlling activities that may degrade these values and requiring activities and structures to be subject to a comprehensive landscape assessment.</b></i> |       |          |             |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 80    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.2.   |       |          |             |              |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 81    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 7.2.3:</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.3 Control activities that have the potential to degrade the amenity values that contribute to those areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape not identified as being an outstanding natural feature and landscape by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) using a non-regulatory approach as the <b>primary</b> means of maintaining and enhancing landscape values in <b>the Coastal Living Zone and only granting resource consent to activities and structures within the coastal marine area in proximity to this zone that have a functional requirement to be located in these</b> areas of this landscape zoned as Coastal Living <b>or are reasonably necessary to facilitate access and from the land</b>;</i></p>   |       |          |             |              |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 82    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.4.   |       |          |             |              |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 83    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to the second sentence of the explanation for Policy 7.2.5:</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.5 Where resource consent is required to undertake an activity within an outstanding natural feature and landscape or a landscape with high amenity value, regard will be had to the potential adverse effects of the proposal on the values that contribute to the landscape.</i></p> <p><i>Where it is proposed that an activity will take place in an outstanding natural feature and landscape or in a landscape with high amenity value, it is appropriate that an assessment of the impact of the proposal on these significant landscapes is carried out. <del>To undertake the assessment, regard must be had to the values that contribute to the outstanding natural feature and landscape or a landscape with high amenity value as identified in Appendix 1 of the AEP.</del> The level of assessment should reflect the scale of the proposed activity and the potential adverse effects on the values that contribute to the landscape.</i></p> |       |          |             |              |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 84    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.6 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 7.2.6:<br><br><i>Policy 7.2.6 Where the following activities are proposed to take place in an area with outstanding natural features and landscapes, then any adverse effects on the values of those areas can be mitigated, provided the overall qualities and integrity of the wider outstanding natural feature and landscape are retained:</i><br><br><i>(a) activities involving the development and operation of regionally significant infrastructure;</i><br><br><i>(b) activities that enhance passive recreational opportunities for the public where these are of a smaller scale; and</i><br><br><i>(c) activities involving the development and operation of renewable electricity generation schemes within Marlborough where the method of generation is reversible.</i> |       |          |             |               |         |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 85    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.7.  |       |          |             |               |         |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 86    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.8.  |       |          |             |               |         |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 87    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.9.  |       |          |             |               |         |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 88    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.10.   |       |          |             |               |         |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 89    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.11 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.11.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 90    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.12.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 91    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>That reference to Objective 1 and Policies 11, 13 and 14 of the NZCPS 2010 is made in the explanation.</p> <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the first sentence of the fourth paragraph of the Introduction:</p> <p><i>In addition, there are specific roles and functions in relation to protecting <del>significant natural areas and habitats</del> <b>representative or significant natural ecosystems and sites of biological importance</b> and maintaining <b>the diversity of New Zealand's indigenous coastal flora and fauna</b> and indigenous biological diversity <b>to preserve New Zealand's</b> (inferred) <b>own recognisable character</b>.</i></p> |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 92    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Objective 8.1 and the associated explanation except that the following amendment (strike-through) to the first sentence of the first paragraph:</p> <p><i>As there has been considerable loss of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough, it is important that remaining areas are protected and that their condition is maintained and improved <del>where opportunities arise</del>.</i></p>   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 93    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Issue 8A      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Issue 8A and explanation.</p> <p>The submission makes particular reference to retaining the reference to the importance of feeding areas, in particular of threatened species as king shag (first sentence of the second paragraph on page 8-3) and retaining the fourth sentence of the fourth paragraph on page 8-3.</p>  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 94    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 8.1 and the associated explanation but make the following amendment (strike-through) to the first sentence of the first paragraph:<br><i>As there has been considerable loss of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough, it is important that remaining areas are protected and that their condition is maintained and improved <del>where opportunities arise.</del></i> |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 95    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Objective 8.2:<br><i>Objective 8.2 An <b>improved quality and</b> increase in area/extent of Marlborough's indigenous biodiversity and restoration or improvement in the condition of areas that have been degraded.</i>   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 96    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 8.1.1 to refer to the ecological significance criteria in Appendix 3.<br>Amend Appendix 3 to recognise important bird feeding areas as a criterion for determining ecological significance.  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 97    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a further issues statement, objective and policies to address the indigenous biodiversity values of species that are migratory or do not spend their entire life cycle within the District. The submission does not include details for additional issues statement, objective and policies.  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 98    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.2  | Support         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 8.1.2 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Policy 8.1.2</i> <b>While it is acknowledged that there are significant gaps in knowledge of the Districts' ecological values, in particular within the marine environment, Sites in the coastal marine area and natural wetlands assessed as having significant indigenous biodiversity value will be specifically identified in the Marlborough Environment Plan.</b></p> <p><i>Significant wetlands have been identified in the MEP because these small and fragmented areas are all that remain of the once vast areas of wetland that covered lowland Marlborough. Restoration and protection of wetland systems depends on maintenance and stability of the water tables. As such the scale of all wetlands, independent of their existing significance play a role for restoration projects. It is important to ensure the values of the significant all wetlands are protected to meet Policy 14 of the NZCPS 2010. Areas that meet the RMA's definition of a wetland but do not have significant values in terms of the criteria in Policy 8.1.1 have not been identified in the MEP and therefore are not subject to wetland rules.</i></p> <p><i>Areas or habitats assessed as having significant ecological values and areas that fulfil ecosystem services to maintain healthy ecosystem functionality within the coastal marine area have been specifically identified in the MEP and are referred to as 'ecologically significant marine sites'. This is because the coastal marine area is comprised of resources in public ownership, with the Council having a more direct role in managing these resources including in relation to areas with significant biodiversity value in terms of Section 6(c) of the RMA. Regulation and education will be the Council's main approach in protecting, restoring and enhancing marine biodiversity.</i></p> |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 99    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.1.  |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 100   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Policy 8.2.2 and include the following amendment (bold) to the explanation (<i>inferred</i> this is to follow the second paragraph).</p> <p><b>Stressors to wetlands include in particular water abstraction. Identify network of wetlands where conservation and restoration in the long term will be most successful. Integrating wetland remnants in one management area may in the longer term may be most beneficial.</b></p>  |       |          |                           |              |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 101   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to the last sentence of the second paragraph of the explanation:<br><br><i>In terms of Priority 4 habitats, in Marlborough bird species such as the <b>king shag</b>, New Zealand falcon, weka and rifleman and plant species such as pingao, Muehlenbeckia astonii and native broom species are either acutely or chronically threatened.</i> |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 102   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 8.2.4:<br><br><i>Policy 8.2.4 – Priority will be given to the re-establishment of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough's <del>lowland</del> <b>most threatened</b> environments <b>including lowland and marine habitats</b>.</i>   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 103   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.5.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 104   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.6.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 105   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.7.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 106   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.9.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 107   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.10 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.10.  |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 108   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 8.2.11:<br><i>Policy 8.2.11 – Promote corridors of indigenous vegetation <del>along waterbodies</del> to allow the establishment of native ecosystems and to provide wildlife habitat and linkages to other fragmented bush <del>or</del> <b>and</b> (inferred) wetland remnants.</i> |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 109   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.12.  |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 110   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.13.  |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 111   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.1.   |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 112   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.2.   |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 113   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.3.   |       |          |                           |               |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|---------|
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 114   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.4.   |       |          |                           |              |         |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 115   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 8.3.5:<br><i>Policy 8.3.5 In the context of Policy 8.3.1 and Policy 8.3.2, adverse effects to be avoided or otherwise remedied or mitigated may include:</i>   |       |          |                           |              |         |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 116   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.6.   |       |          |                           |              |         |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 117   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 8.3.7:<br><i>Policy 8.3.7 Within <del>an</del> <b>all</b> identified ecologically significant marine sites fishing activities using techniques that disturb the seabed must be avoided.</i> |       |          |                           |              |         |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 118   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.1        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.1.  |       |          |                           |              |         |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 119   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.2        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support 8.M.2.   |       |          |                           |              |         |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 120   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.3        | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.3.                                       |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 121   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.4     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.4.                                       |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 122   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.5     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.5.                                       |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 123   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.6     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.6.                                       |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 124   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.7     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.7.                                       |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 125   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.8     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.8.                                       |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 126   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.9     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.9.                                       |       |          |                           |           |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                                    | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 127          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.10           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.10.                                      |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 128          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.11           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.11.                                      |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 129          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.12           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.12.                                      |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 130          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.AER.1          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.AER.1.                                     |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 131          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.AER.2          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.AER.2.                                     |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 132          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.AER.3          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.AER.3.                                     |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated | 133          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.AER.4          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.AER.4.                                     |              |               |                           |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 134   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | 8.AER.5      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.AER.5.   |       |          |                                |              |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 135   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 9.1.1:<br><br><i>Policy 9.1.1 The following areas are identified as having a high degree of importance for public access, <b>protecting conservation values, mitigating natural hazards and enabling public recreational use</b> and the Marlborough District Council will as a priority focus on enhancing access to and within these areas:</i>   |       |          |                                |              |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 136   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 9.1.2(a):<br><br><i>Policy 9.1.2 In addition to the specified areas in Policy 9.1.1, the need for public access to be enhanced to and along the coastal marine area, lakes and rivers will be considered at the time of subdivision or development, in accordance with the following criteria:</i><br><br><i>(a) <del>there is existing public recreational use of the area in question, or improving access would promote outdoor recreation</del> <b>when considering both whether an esplanade reserve or strip will be taken and what the width will be consider all of the purposes of esplanade reserves under section 229 of the RMA, including managing the effects of public access, protecting conservation values, mitigating natural hazards and enabling public recreational use.</b></i> |       |          |                                |              |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 137   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 9.1.5:<br><i>Policy 9.1.5 Acknowledge the importance New Zealander's place on the ability to have free and generally unrestricted access to <b>and along the coastal marine area.</b></i>   |       |          |                                |              |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 138   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.8 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 9.1.8.  |       |          |                                |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 139   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Policy 9.1.9  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 9.1.9:</p> <p><i>Policy 9.1.9 <b>Provided any adverse effect of public access and public recreation does not compromise conservation, wildlife or flood mitigation, Enhance public access through:</b></i></p>   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 140   | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                | 11.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That a new issues statement, objective and policies is added to address the implications of climate change on natural hazard management in Marlborough.</p> <p>The submission does not provide details for a issues statement, objective and policies.</p>  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 141   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That reference to Tasman Bay is added to the introduction and to the characteristics of this bay that are different to the Sounds and South Marlborough coasts.</p>   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 142   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13A     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Issue 13A statement:</p> <p><i>Issue 13A <del>Trying to identify appropriate subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough's coastal environment while protecting the values of the environment</del> <b>Identifying potential activities in the coastal environment and encouraging efficient use of a finite resource.</b></i></p> |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 143   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Policy 13.1.1 but add a further policy requiring protection of natural character from the effects of inappropriate subdivision, use and development.</p>   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 144   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2 | Support         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.1.2:<br><i>Policy 13.1.2 Areas identified in Policy 13.1.1 <del>as having significant values</del> will be mapped to provide certainty for resource users, Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, the wider community and decision makers.</i>  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 145   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.3.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 146   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 13.2.4:<br><i>Policy 13.2.4 Attributes that <del>may</del> are required to be considered when assessing any effects on coastal amenity value in a particular location include natural character, <b>landscape</b> biodiversity, public access, visual quality, high water quality, recreational opportunities, structures and activities, open space, tranquillity and peacefulness.</i> |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 147   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the following addition (bold) is made to Policy 13.2.5:<br><i>Policy 13.2.5 Amenity values of the coastal environment can be maintained and enhanced by:<br/><b>(n) recognising that activities within the coastal marine area can adversely affect the amenity values across MHWS on land that has high recreational or amenity values, including scenic reserves.</b></i>  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 148   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a reference to baseline data is added to <i>Policy 13.2.6 (a) recognising the contribution that open space and natural character make to amenity values and providing appropriate protection to areas of open space;</i>   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 149   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.1         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.1.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 150   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.2         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.2.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 151   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.3         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.3.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 152   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.4         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.4.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 153   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.5         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Add reference to the Department of Conservation to 13.M.5 as being an affected party for all coastal permits.            |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 154   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13B      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add reference to the issues statement 13B to the recreational values of sheltered and inshore coastal waters.            |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 155   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain but extend reference to Tennyson Inlet, parts of Pelorus Sound, Okiwi Bay, Admiralty Bay, and eastern Tasman Bay. |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 156   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.4 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.4.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 157   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.4.1.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 158   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.4.2.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 159   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That a new Issue, Objectives, and Policies: <i>Marine Protected Areas</i> are added. The submission does not provided details for the new Issue, Objectives, and Policies. |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 160   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13D      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 13D.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 161   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a policy stating that new residential activity in areas with identified outstanding natural character or landscape values should be avoided.                           |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 162   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.1.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 163   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.2.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 164   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.5.3:<br><i>Policy 13.5.3 Residential activity and subdivision for residential purposes should take place within land that has been zoned Coastal Living <b>while avoiding or mitigating of sprawling or sporadic patterns of settlement and urban growth</b>, in order to (placement of the additional wording is <b>inferred</b>):</i> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 165   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.4.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 166   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.5.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 167   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.6.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 168   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.7.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 169   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.5 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to the explanation of Policy 13.11.5:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.11.5 Reclamations shall be designed taking into account relevant dynamic coastal processes, including sea level rise. This policy helps to give effect to the provisions of the NZCPS regarding coastal hazards. It is important that reclamations are designed by appropriately qualified experts to ensure these matters are taken into account.</i></p> <p><b><i>If the sea-level rise is not too rapid, high value habitats that are particularly under threat from sea level rise (e.g. Saltmarsh, seagrass, intertidal rocky shore communities and dune land) could re-establish if they are able to migrate inland into areas where the slope of the newly inundated habitat is the same or greater than that in the existing habitat. This requires there to be no barriers to prevent inland migration. To facilitate targeted planning for such events, a more comprehensive assessment based on site specific survey is required.</i></b></p> |       |          |                                   |           |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 170   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to <i>13.AER.1 Monitoring effectiveness</i>:</p> <p><i>13.AER.1 Monitoring effectiveness</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>All resource consent decisions show that consideration has been given to the mapped values while acknowledging gaps in knowledge.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>Monitoring of resource consent conditions imposed to protect areas of significance while acknowledging gaps in knowledge.</i></b></li> </ul>  |       |          |                                   |           |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 171   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to 13.AER.2:</p> <p><i>13.AER.2 Subdivision, use and development of the coastal environment, including on land and water, is located in appropriate places and within appropriate limits <b>while avoiding or mitigating sprawling or sporadic patterns of settlement and urban growth.</b></i></p>  |       |          |                                   |           |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 172   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.5  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to 13.AER.5 (<b><i>inferred</i></b> addition is to the AER):</p> <p><i>13.AER.5 The amenity values of the coastal environment are maintained and enhanced <b>while acknowledging safeguarding the life-supporting capacity of air, water, soil, and ecosystems.</b></i></p>  |       |          |                                   |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 173   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | 13.AER.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 13.AER.10:</p> <p><i>13.AER.10 A proliferation of coastal structures is avoided. <b>Inappropriate proliferation of coastal structures to be avoided.</b></i></p>   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 174   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 15A.   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 175   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.AER.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 15.AER.2.  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 176   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                      | 19.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the first sentence of the second paragraph:</p> <p><b>Introduction</b></p> <p><i>In Marlborough, NIWA predicts that the mean temperature will increase by approximately 1 degree by <del>2040</del> <b>2066</b> and 2 degrees by <del>2090</del> <b>2116. Increases in temperatures are likely to increase past these dates.</b> The climate is likely to become drier and the frequency of droughts is expected to increase. There is also a predicted increase in westerly winds, especially in winter and spring.</i></p> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 177   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                      | Issue 19A | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That reference in is added to the issues statement that any decrease in water availability will also increase competition between existing water users and values, both extractive and in-stream.   |       |          |  |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 178   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 19.1.1.   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 179   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 19.1.2.   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 180   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 19.1.3:</p> <p><i>Policy 19.1.3 Enable primary industries to adapt to the effects of climate change <b>by ensuring that plan rules are sufficiently flexible whilst ensuring land uses continue to be consistent with the purpose of the RMA.</b></i></p>  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 181   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 19.1.4:</p> <p><i>Policy 19.1.4 Take a precautionary approach to the allocation of additional freshwater resources and where freshwater has already been allocated, ensure that the allocation reflects the status of the resource <b>and the effects on both extractive and instream uses and values.</b></i></p> |       |          |                   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|----------------|---------|
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 182   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 19.1.5:</p> <p><i>Policy 19.1.5 – Ensure that the freshwater that is available for out-of-stream use is allocated and used efficiently, by:</i></p> <p><del>(e)</del> <i>(a) enabling the storage of water for subsequent use during low flow and low level periods;</i></p> <p><del>(a)</del> <b>(b)</b> <i>requiring that the rate of water use authorised by water permit be no more than that required for the intended use, having regard to the local conditions; and</i></p> <p><del>(b)</del> <b>(c)</b> <i>enabling the transfer of water permits between users within the same Freshwater Management Unit; .</i></p> |       |          |                   |                |         |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 183   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Issue 19B      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Issue 19B:</p> <p><i>Issue 19B – Climate change could affect natural hazards <del>and create a coastal inundation hazard associated with sea level rise.</del></i></p> <p>Add a further issue statement, objective and policies relating to addressing climate change that give effect to NZCPS policies 14 and 26.</p>  |       |          |                   |                |         |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 184   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Objective 19.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>The submission states to add to Objective 19.2 the following words: <i>that the adverse effects of hazard mitigation structures are managed consistent with the purpose of the RMA</i> but does not specifically indicate where this to be added.</p>   |       |          |                   |                |         |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 185   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.2.2  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 19.2.2:</p> <p><del><i>Policy 19.2.2 Avoid any inundation of new buildings and where appropriate infrastructure within the coastal environment by ensuring that adequate allowance is made for the following factors when locating, designing and/or constructing any building or infrastructure:</i></del></p> <p><i>Replace Policy 19.2.2 with a policy requiring any new development to avoid coastal hazards, taking into account at least a 100 year timeframe and having regard to relevant NZCPS Policies, including in particular Policy 24 (h).</i></p>  |       |          |                   |                |         |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 186   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change          | Objective 19.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | New policy required. The submission does not include details of the new policy.  |       |          |                            |                |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 187   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.8.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.2.1.8:<br><i>Standard 4.2.1.8. A building must not be sited in, or within <del>8</del>20m of, a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel, the landward toe of any stopbank or the sea.</i>  |       |          |                            |                |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 188   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.2.2.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.2.2.2:<br><i>Standard 4.2.2.2 An activity undertaken within the Coastal Environment Zone must be conducted to ensure that noise arising at or within the boundary of any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3 or within the notional boundary of any dwelling on land zoned Rural Living, Coastal Living or Coastal Environment <b>and all the ecologically significant marine sites the whale and dolphin sites shown on Maps 17 and 18, anchorages, mooring management areas, marine reserves</b> (the placement of this statement is <b>inferred</b>) does not exceed the following noise limits:</i> |       |          |                            |                |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 189   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.1.1.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.1.1.   |       |          |                            |                |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 190   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain except that the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.10.5:<br><i>Standard 4.3.10.5 Clearance of indigenous forest must not exceed 1,000m<sup>2</sup> per Computer Register, <b>or 15 per cent of the title area, whichever is the lesser</b>, in any 5 year period.</i>   |       |          |                            |                |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 191   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.1.8.        | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That Rule 16.1.8 is changed from a permitted activity to a controlled activity, unless authorised as an ancillary by a consent for another activity.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 192   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.17.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 16.1.17 is changed from a permitted activity to a controlled activity.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 193   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.12.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Rule 16.1.12:<br><i>Rule 16.1.12 <del>Temporary</del> <b>Permanent</b> structure or equipment for scientific monitoring purposes.</i>  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 194   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2.3.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Avoid permitted status of noise in and around sensitive areas for wildlife (refer to submission point #188).   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 195   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.12.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a further permitted activity condition that the sand being used for replenishment is of similar size and composition to that which naturally occurs.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated  | 196   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.7.5 but make it clear that the rule does apply to the whale and dolphin sites 7.15 and 8.1 on Maps 17 and 18, respectively by amending the legend on Map 17 to " <b>Marine Mammal (whale)</b> significant marine site and Map 18 to <b>Marine Mammal (dolphin)</b> significant site". |       |          |                        |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision                                | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|--|-----------------|
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 197   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make it clear that the rule does apply to the whale site 7.15 on Map 17 by amending the legend on Map 17 to <i>Marine Mammal (whale) significant marine site</i> .  |       |          |                |  |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 198   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.7.5 but make it clear that the rule does apply to the dolphin site 8.1 on Map 18 by amending the legend on Map 18 to <i>Marine Mammal (dolphin) significant marine (inferred) site</i> .   |       |          |                |  |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 199   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.                                      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following definition of <i>Landscape</i> is included:<br><br><b><i>Landscape means an area, as perceived by people, whose character is the cumulative result of the action and interaction of natural and/or cultural factors.</i></b> |       |          |                |  |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 200   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions |  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of <i>Ecologically Significant Marine Sites</i> includes Maps 16, 17 and 18.  |       |          |                |  |                 |
| 716                | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 202   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps   |  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Coastal Natural Character, general</b><br/>That the colour palette is changed to more clearly show the coastal marine area boundary.</p> <p><b>Coastal Natural Character</b><br/>That the MEP is amended to appropriately address the criticisms and recommendations of Dr Steven for a more valid and robust ranking template.</p> <p>The submission includes three figures that identify additional areas to be expanded for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Coastal Marine Areas Natural Character Rating (page 42),</li> <li>• Coastal Marine Areas of Outstanding Natural Character Rating (page 43) and</li> <li>• Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes of the Marlborough Sounds (page 44).</li> </ul>                                    |       |          |              |                             |        |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 203   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments are made to Coastal Natural Character Map 1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trio Island, Chetwode Islands, Titi Island be integrated in the Outstanding Natural Character of North D'Urville and Port Gore.</li> <li>• All Eastern Tasman Bay be integrated in Outstanding Natural Character between North D'Urville and entrance of Croisilles.</li> <li>• Maud Island and Mount Shewell be integrated in Outstanding Natural Character.</li> <li>• Expand Outstanding Natural Character of entrance of Queen Charlotte.</li> <li>• Amend the boundary of outstanding natural character area to include a distance of not less than 500 metres from MHWM as being within the outstanding natural character area.</li> </ul> |       |          |              |                             |        |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 204   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments are made to Coastal Natural Character Map 2:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trio Island, Chetwode Islands, Titi Island be integrated in the Outstanding Natural Character of North D'Urville and Port Gore.</li> <li>• All Eastern Tasman Bay be integrated in Outstanding Natural Character between North D'Urville and entrance of Croisilles.</li> <li>• Maud Island and Mount Shewell be integrated in Outstanding Natural Character.</li> <li>• Expand Outstanding Natural Character of entrance of Queen Charlotte.</li> <li>• Amend the boundary of outstanding natural character area to include a distance of not less than 500 metres from MHWM as being within the outstanding natural character area.</li> </ul> |       |          |              |                             |        |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 205   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the criticisms and recommendations of Dr Steven be fully recognised and that the MEP is amended accordingly. If analysis is retained, extent of the outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay to be increased and extension of ONL seascape to be at least 750m from MHWM.</p>  |       |          |              |                             |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 206   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments are made to the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape overlay within Landscape Map 1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The boundary of the outstanding natural feature and landscape is extended so that the seaward boundary is connected with adjacent connected with adjacent French Pass and SE d'Urville with northern Bulwer Peninsula.</li> <li>Connect Mount Shewell Reserve and Treble Tree Peninsula with Maud Island, Tawero Point and SW Pohuenui.</li> <li>Expand ONFL in northern seascapes of Waitata Reach entrance including Port Ligar.</li> <li>Connect seascape between Forsyth Island and Alligator Head.</li> <li>Expand all ONFL of northern Queen Charlotte to include the seascapes.</li> </ul> <p>Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape overlay Admiralty Bay</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend the boundaries of the d'Urville Island outstanding natural features and landscape overlays to include the entire Bay that is located further than 300 metres from shore.</li> </ul> <p>Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape Overlay Opuau channel between Bucklands Bay and Treble Tree Point and Ligar Bay</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Include the whole of Apuau Channel between Buckland Bay and Treble Tree Point.</li> <li>Include the coastal waters within Ligar Bay.</li> </ul> |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 207   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments are made to the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape overlay within Landscape Map 2:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The boundary of the outstanding natural feature and landscape is extended so that the seaward boundary is connected with adjacent connected with adjacent French Pass and SE d'Urville with northern Bulwer Peninsula.</li> <li>Connect Mount Shewell Reserve and Treble Tree Peninsula with Maud Island, Tawero Point and SW Pohuenui.</li> <li>Expand ONFL in northern seascapes of Waitata Reach entrance including Port Ligar.</li> <li>Connect seascape between Forsyth Island and Alligator Head.</li> <li>Expand all ONFL of northern Queen Charlotte to include the seascapes.</li> </ul>   |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 208   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 3 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments are made to the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape overlay within Landscape Map 3:   |       |          |              |              |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The boundary of the outstanding natural feature and landscape is extended so that the seaward boundary is connected with adjacent connected with adjacent French Pass and SE d'Urville with northern Bulwer Peninsula.</li> <li>Connect Mount Shewell Reserve and Treble Tree Peninsula with Maud Island, Tawero Point and SW Pohuenui.</li> <li>Expand ONFL in northern seascapes of Waitata Reach entrance including Port Ligar.</li> <li>Connect seascape between Forsyth Island and Alligator Head.</li> <li>Expand all ONFL of northern Queen Charlotte to include the seascapes.</li> </ul>  |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 209   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments are made to the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape overlay within Landscape Map 4:   |       |          |              |              |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The boundary of the outstanding natural feature and landscape is extended so that the seaward boundary is connected with adjacent connected with adjacent French Pass and SE d'Urville with northern Bulwer Peninsula.</li> <li>Connect Mount Shewell Reserve and Treble Tree Peninsula with Maud Island, Tawero Point and SW Pohuenui.</li> <li>Expand ONFL in northern seascapes of Waitata Reach entrance including Port Ligar.</li> <li>Connect seascape between Forsyth Island and Alligator Head.</li> <li>Expand all ONFL of northern Queen Charlotte to include the seascapes.</li> </ul> <p>Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape Overlay Tawhitinui and Kauauroa Bays and Tawero Point</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Include Tawhitinui and Kauauroa Bays.</li> <li>Include the coastal marine area between Tawero Peninsula and Kauauroa Bays.</li> </ul> <p>Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape Overlay Maud Island</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Include the coastal marine area within 300 metres of Maud Island.</li> </ul> <p>Outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay Queen Charlotte Sound</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Include the coastal marine area within 300 metres of the northern side of Queen Charlotte Sound and the whole of Onahau, Lochmara, Torea, Kumutoto, Blackwood and Bay of Many Coves.</li> </ul> |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 210   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments are made to to the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape overlay within Landscape Map 5:  |       |          |   |           |        |
|                    | Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape Overlay Maud Island   |       |          |   |           |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Include the coastal marine area within 300 metres of Maud Island.</li> </ul>   |       |          |   |           |        |
|                    | Outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay Queen Charlotte Sound   |       |          |   |           |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Include the coastal marine area within 300 metres of the northern side of Queen Charlotte Sound and the whole of Onahau, Lochmara, Torea, Kumutoto, Blackwood and Bay of Many Coves.</li> </ul>  |       |          |   |           |        |
|                    | Outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay East Bay  |       |          |   |           |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Include the coastal marine area within 300 metres of the northern side of East Bay.</li> </ul>   |       |          |   |           |        |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 211   | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Appendix 2, <i>Values contributing to high very high and outstanding coastal natural character</i> must be re-written to clearly identify the specific natural elements, patterns and processes that must be preserved and protected within each coastal marine and coastal terrestrial area of the coastal environment. Only relevant and assessable indicators for natural character ratings should be referred to. |       |          |   |           |        |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 212   | Volume 3 | Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance       |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following criteria (bold) is added under the heading <i>Diversity and pattern</i> (page App 3-2):  |       |          |   |           |        |
|                    | <b><i>The site is an important feeding area for indigenous species.</i></b>   |       |          |   |           |        |
| <b>716</b>         | Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated   | 213   | Volume 3 | Appendix 4 Determining Significant Adverse Effects      |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to refer to the effects of the proposal on natural character within the natural character unit it is located.   |       |          |   |           |        |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited  | 1     | Volume 1 | All   |           | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|--|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Review the proposed plan with the aim of reducing the size of the plan. This should be achieved by reducing the number of objectives and policies where there is overlap. In addition, review all objectives and policies and re-draft these so as to ensure that the purpose and intent of all objectives and policies is clear without relying on extensive explanatory text. Then delete superfluous explanatory text. |       |          |                |  |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited  | 2     | Volume 1 | All            |  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Ensure the plan provides objectives, policies and rules addressing all activities, not just the primary production and tourism sector.  |       |          |                |  |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited  | 3     | Volume 1 | All            |  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Review all objectives and policies and re-draft these so as to ensure that the purpose and intent of all objectives and policies is clear without relying on extensive explanatory text.  |       |          |                |  |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited  | 4     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Engage with the quarry/aggregate and construction industry.   |       |          |                |  |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited  | 5     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Review the proposed plan with the aim of reducing the size of the plan. This should be achieved by reducing the number of objectives and policies where there is overlap. In addition, review all objectives ad policies and re-draft these as to ensure that the purpose and intent of all objectives and policies is clear without relying on extensive explanatory text. Then delete superfluous explanatory text.     |       |          |                |  |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited  | 6     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 'reverse sensitivity' as a guiding principle and incorporate into subsequent objectives, policies and rules.   |       |          |                |  |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited  | 7     | Volume 1 | 2 Background   | Working with others to sustainably manage Marlborough's natural and physical resources | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include an objective and policy at RPS level setting out how MDC will engage with others including resource users in order to monitor the efficiency and effectiveness of the District Plan.  |       |          |                |  |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 8     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | Policy 3.1.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 3.1.3 to include a link between requirements to maintain and improve mauri, and iwi management plans/policy to ensure these requirements only apply when there is a level of guidance and therefore certainty for consent applicants. For example:</p> <p><b>Policy 3.1.3 - Where an application for resource consent of plan change is likely to affect the relationship of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi and their culture and traditions, decision makers shall ensure:</b></p> <p><b>(a) the ability for tangata whenua to exercise kaitiakitanga is maintained;</b></p> <p><b>(b) mauri as described in the relevant iwi management plan is maintained or improved where degraded, particularly in relation to fresh and coastal waters, land and air;.....</b></p>  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 9     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | Policy 3.1.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 3.1.4.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 10    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include issues, objectives and policies in Chapter 4 that address a wider range of resource uses than the use for primary industry and tourism in order to achieve 4.AER.1.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 11    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include within Issue 4A a discussion of other resource uses that Marlborough relies on for its social and economic wellbeing. For example:</p> <p>There are a number of other resource uses that play an important role in providing for Marlborough's social and economic wellbeing. These include the use of the aggregate resource from either land or river based sources. Aggregates are a vital and under recognised component of everyday life. Without them there would be none of the infrastructure on which modern society relies. Challenges for the efficient and cost effective extraction and delivery of aggregates includes: transportation (distance between source and market); a lack of recognition of the importance of the resource including in planning documents and the establishment of incompatible land use activities on or adjacent to aggregate resources.</p> |       |          |   |              |                 |
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 12    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.           | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a new objective for resource uses that are not associated with Marlborough's primary production sector and tourism sector. In terms of aggregate extraction and use, this objective needs to recognise the importance of the resource to Marlborough, identify that there are factors that play a significant role in determining the cost of the resource and the products, processes and industries that rely on it, and that this resource use comes with responsibilities. For example:</p> <p><b><u>Objective 4.X - Marlborough's natural resources are recognised as an enabler of the economic and social wellbeing of the region.</u></b></p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 13    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.2.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 14    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 4B but ensure that policies addressing this issue cover more than just the existence of infrastructure but recognises and provides for the materials and processes that contribute to its construction, operation and maintenance.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 15    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.2 but ensure that policies addressing this issue recognise and provide for the materials and processes that contribute to its construction, operation and maintenance.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 16    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a new policy recognising the importance of access to the materials and processes required to construct use and maintain community infrastructure. For example:</p> <p><b><u>Policy 4.2X - Recognise that the use of natural and physical resources is essential for the construction, operation and maintenance of community infrastructure.</u></b></p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 17    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.9         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain method 4.M.9 District and Regional Rules.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 18    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.AER.1       | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the objective and policy framework to recognise and provide for the sustainable use of natural resources for all activities.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited  | 19    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.1  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove any reference to Appendix 5 in Policy 5.2.1 and instead describe generally what natural and human use values associated with freshwater may be. This could be achieved through definitions.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited  | 20    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.2.4 to apply to surfacewater generally rather than only to FMUs dominated by surfacewater. For example:<br><br><b>Policy 5.2.4 - Set specific environmental flows and/or levels for <u>surface water</u> Freshwater Management Units dominated by rivers, lakes and wetlands to:</b><br><br><b>(a) protect the mauri of the waterbody;.....</b>                                      |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited  | 21    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.8.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited  | 22    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.11 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.2.11 to apply to aquifers generally rather than only to FMUs dominated by an aquifer. For example:<br><br><b>Policy 5.2.11 - Set specific minimum levels for <u>aquifer</u> Freshwater Management Units dominated by aquifers to:</b><br><br><b>(a) prevent physical damage to the structure of the aquifer;</b><br><br><b>(b) prevent headwater recession of spring flows; ....</b> |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited  | 23    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.12 | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.2.12 to either:<br>a. remove the conductivity limit requirements; or<br>b. limit the application of Policy 5.2.12 to the coastal FMUs (i.e. Wairau Aquifer Coastal Central FMU).  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 24    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.3.5 or amend Policy 5.3.5 to refer to effects on the values associated with water resources as opposed to the water resource itself and to clarify that enable in the context of this policy means permit. For example:<br><br><b>Policy 5.3.5 - Enable as a <u>permitted activity</u> the take and use of water where it will have little or no adverse effect on the <u>values associated with water resources</u>.</b>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 25    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.8 with amendments addressing the situation where the FMU is over allocated so as to provide for these activities where there is like for like replacement or a decrease in the amount of water taken and the activity continues to reflect reasonable demand for that use. For example:<br><br><b>Policy 5.3.8 - Approve water permit applications to continue taking and using surface water when:</b><br><br><b>(a) a specific minimum flow and allocation limit for the source Freshwater Management Unit is established in the Marlborough Environment Plan;</b><br><br><b>(ab) the Freshwater Management Unit is not over-allocated in terms of the limits set in the Marlborough Environment Plan;</b><br><br><b>(bc) there is to be no change to the intended use of water, or if there is a change in use, this results in a decrease in the rate of take of water; and</b><br><br><b>(ed) the application is made at least three months prior to the expiry of the existing water permit; or</b><br><br><b><u>(e) the Freshwater Management Unit is over-allocated in terms of the limits set in the Marlborough Environment Plan and there is no change to the intended use of water, no change to the rate and volume of water taken or there is a decrease in the rate and volume of water taken, and the water allocated reflects the reasonable demand given the intended use.</u></b> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 26    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.13 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Include guidance within the plan as to the definition of 'full aquifer penetration' by for example:  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
|                    | a. Specifying a minimum depth below the likely lowest groundwater level for an aquifer;  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
|                    | b. Specifying a depth below which a significant percentage (e.g. 50%) of bores are drilled at a specific date; or  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
|                    | c. A depth based on the best available technical information.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 27    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.3.14.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 28    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.4.1.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 29    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.4.3.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 30    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.4.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 31    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.4.5 to remove reference to enhanced transfer system so as to enable the transfer of water as part of this plan. For example:  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
|                    | <p><b>Policy 5.4.5 - <del>When an enhanced transfer system is included in the Marlborough Environment Plan to enable t</del>The full or partial transfer of individual water allocations between the holders of water permits to take and use water, this will be provided for as a permitted activity where:</b></p> <p>...</p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 32    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.2  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.5.2 to refer to allocation as opposed to abstraction so as to provide for further non-consumptive water takes.<br><br><b>Policy 5.5.2 - No new water permit will be granted authorising additional <del>abstraction</del> <u>allocation</u> from the water resources identified in Policy 5.5.1 after 9 June 2016.</b>  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 33    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.5.5 and rely on Policy 5.5.4 or Policy 5.7.2 to give effect to Policy B6 of the NPS Freshwater.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 34    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.7.2 to clarify that water will be allocated to non-irrigation uses based on what is reasonable and that this will be determined on a case by case basis based on the specifics of the proposed use. For example:<br><br><b>Policy 5.7.2 - To allocate water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use <u>by:</u></b><br><b><u>a) for irrigation, applying the 'irricalc' irrigation demand tool; or</u></b><br><b><u>b) for other uses, determining what is reasonable on a case by case basis based on the specifics of the proposed use.</u></b> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 35    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.7.3 to allow specific use and demand information to be considered when making decisions on non-irrigation use consents. For example:<br><br><b>Policy 5.7.3 - Water permit applications to use water <del>for irrigation</del> will not be approved when the rate of use exceeds what is considered the reasonable use <del>calculation</del> in terms of Policy 5.7.2, except where the applicant can demonstrate that they require more water based on property, <u>demand, or activity</u> specific information.</b>   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 36    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.9.1.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 37    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character             | Policy 6.2.5   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.25.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 38    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards              | Policy 11.1.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.6.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 39    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards              | 11.M.8         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain 11.M.8 but amend to make explicit where the method will apply.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
|                    | <p><b>11.M.8 Gravel permits</b></p> <p><b>In addition to regional rules, the Council will utilise a system of gravel permits to authorise the extraction of gravel from river beds. These permits will be issued by a Council Rivers and Drainage Engineer and will specify the location of extraction and the amount of material to be extracted. Conditions can be imposed on the gravel permits to manage any site-specific adverse effects not addressed through regional rules. The permits provide flexibility to respond to the accumulation of gravel in river beds in the short term. The duration of the permits will be limited to enable effective monitoring of the effect of the extraction on river bed levels and the surrounding environment. <u>The gravel permit system will apply to all rivers north of and including the Wairau River and its tributaries.</u></b></p> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 40    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards              | 11.M.10        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 11.M.10.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 41    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards              | 11.M.15        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend 11.M.15 to explicitly provide for the collaborative development of the Gravel Management Strategy.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 42    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the plan to ensure that it recognises and provides for uses of the rural resource and the rural environment other than primary production.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 43    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 14.1 to recognise that rural environments need to be managed so as to enable not only primary production activities but other activities that rely on the rural resource such as gravel extraction. For example:<br><br><b><u>Objective 14.1 - Rural environments are maintained as a resource for primary production activities and activities that rely on the rural resource, enabling these activities to continue contributing to economic and social wellbeing whilst ensuring the adverse effects of these activities are appropriately managed.</u></b> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited  | 44    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Include a new Policy that enables other activities that necessarily rely on the rural resource such as quarrying. For example:<br><br><b><u>Policy 14.1X - Enable the efficient use and development of rural environments for activities that rely on the rural resource and are necessarily located in the rural environment.</u></b>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited  | 45    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.1.7 to apply to all activities that are necessarily located in the rural environment and rely on the rural resource so as to provide protection from the reverse sensitivity effects.<br><br><b><u>Policy 14.1.7 - Recognise that <del>primary production</del> activities that rely on the rural resource, and necessarily occur in rural environments may result in effects including noise, dust, smell and traffic generation, but these will require mitigation where they have a significant adverse effect on the environment.</u></b>                   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited  | 46    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.1 | Support         |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a new policy that outlines the expectations for activities wishing to establish in the rural environment that may be sensitive to the types of effects associated with rural activities. For example:</p> <p><b><u>Policy 14.7.X1 - Ensure the design and location of new habitable buildings achieve adequate separation distances or adopt other on-site mitigation methods, including acoustic insulation, to mitigate potential reverse sensitivity effects with lawfully established productive rural activities.</u></b></p>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 47    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Objective 14.3 but amend the explanation to highlight that there are activities other than primary production activities such as quarrying, that are significant contributors to the economic and social wellbeing of the region and need to be recognised as activities that necessary and appropriately occur in the rural environment.</p> <p>Include a policy enabling land based aggregate extraction within the rural environment.</p>   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 48    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a new policy enabling quarrying within the rural environment. For example:</p> <p><b><u>Policy 14.3.X - Enable the efficient use and development of rural environments for quarrying,wile managing effects on:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>a) the life supporting capacity of soils, water, air and ecosystems;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>b) natural character of rivers, wetlands and lakes;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>c) water quality and water availability;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>d) areas with landscape significance;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>e) areas with significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>f) the values of the coastal environment as set out in Issue 13A of Chapter 13 - Use of the Coastal Environment; or</u></b></p> <p><b><u>g) the safe and efficient operation of the land transport network and Marlborough's airports.</u></b></p> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 49    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Issue 14B      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Recognise within Issue 14B that rural character is influenced heavily by the activities that occur within it and that perception is not always reality.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited  | 50    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.4 but delete the explanation to avoid the objective focusing on primary production activities.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited  | 51    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 14.4.1 to consider all contributing elements to rural character and amenity. For example:</p> <p><del>Policy 14.4.1 - Subdivision, use and development of Marlborough's rural environments should be of a density, scale, intensity and location that individually and cumulatively recognises that rural character and amenity values vary across the region resulting from the combination of natural and physical resources present, including the location and extent of established and permitted activities. Therefore subdivision, use and development should be of a density, scale, intensity and location that individually and cumulatively recognises</del> the following elements:</p> <p>(a) a lack of buildings and structures;</p> <p>(b) a very high ratio of open space in relation to areas covered by buildings;</p> <p>(c) open space areas in pasture, trees, vineyards, crops or indigenous vegetation;</p> <p>(d) areas with regenerating indigenous vegetation, particularly in the Marlborough Sounds;</p> <p>(e) tracts of unmodified natural features, indigenous vegetation, streams, rivers and wetlands;</p> <p>(f) farm animals and wildlife;</p> <p>(g) noises, smells and sights of agriculture, viticulture, horticulture, <del>quarrying and forestry</del> <u>and a working rural environment</u>;</p> <p>(h) post and wire fences, purpose-built farm buildings and scattered dwellings;</p> <p>(i) low population density;</p> <p>(j) the presence of Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko airports;</p> <p>(k) generally narrow carriageways within wide road reserves, often unsealed with open drains, low-speed geometry and low traffic volumes; and</p> <p>(l) a general absence of urban-scale and urban-type infrastructure, such as roads with kerb and channel, footpaths, mown berms, street lights or advertising signs.</p> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 52    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.4.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include reference to all activities that are necessarily located in the rural environment and rely on the rural resource, including quarrying, through direct reference in the policy or explanation; or through including a definition of productive rural activities that included all activities that are necessary located in the rural environment and rely on the rural resource, for example:</p> <p><b><u>Productive rural activities means farming, plantation forestry, intensive farming, horticulture and quarrying activities</u></b></p>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 53    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.4.15 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 14.4.15 and the explanation to ensure that it does not prevent legitimate rural activities such as quarrying from occurring in the rural environment. For example:</p> <p><b>Policy 14.4.15 - The Wairau Plain has been recognised as having particularly amenity and rural character values that are to be maintained and enhanced by:</b></p> <p><b><u>(a) enabling primary production activities as provided for in the underlying Rural Environment Zone and activities the rely on the rural resource such as quarrying activities;</u></b></p> <p><b>(b) avoiding subdivision below eight hectares to help retain primary production options and retain a sense of openness within the Wairau Plain Area;</b></p> <p><b>(c) controlling residential activity, other than that associated with primary production, to avoid conflict between rural and residential amenity expectations;</b></p> <p><b>(d) managing the establishment of subdivision, use and development to avoid, remedy or mitigate effects on the safety functioning and efficiency of the arterial road network; and</b></p> <p><b><u>(e) ensuring that other than as provided for in Policies 14.3.1, 14.5.3 and 14.5.4, activities not related to primary production or quarrying activities in the Wairau Plain Area are to be avoided.</u></b></p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 54    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Provide guidance as to the permitted activity threshold for dust discharges from activities such as construction and bulk handling of materials.   |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 55    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.9.  |       |          |  |                |         |
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 56    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.16 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 15.1.6.  |       |          |  |                |         |
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 57    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.2.4  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.2.4 to provide for applications for discharge consents that are a renewal of an existing discharge. For example:</p> <p><b>Policy 15.2.4 - Refuse discharge permit applications to discharge PM10 into air within the Blenheim airshed if the discharge is likely to increase the concentration of PM10 by more than 2.5 micrograms per cubic metre (24 hour average) in any part of the airshed, unless:</b></p> <p><b>(a) the Blenheim airshed average exceedance is less than 1 per year; or</b></p> <p><b>(b) the application offsets the proposed PM10 discharge by reducing PM10 discharges from another source(s) in the airshed by the same or greater amount; <u>or</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(c) the application is subject to Section 124 of the RMA.</u></b></p> |       |          |  |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|---------|
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 58    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.5 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.3.5 so as to broaden the scope of the policy beyond discharges from industrial and trade processes. For example:</p> <p><b>Policy 15.3.5 - Manage discharges of contaminants to air not specifically provided for in Policies 15.2.1 to 15.2.3 or 15.3.1 to 15.3.4 by:</b></p> <p><b>(a) allowing, as permitted activities, discharges of contaminants into air from industrial or trade promises or industrial or trade processes activities that have no more than minor adverse effects on the environment;</b></p> <p><b>(b) avoiding or mitigating adverse effects of localised ground level concentrations of contaminants, including cumulative effects on:</b></p> <p><b>(i) human health; and</b></p> <p><b>(ii) amenity values; and</b></p> <p><b>(c) avoiding or mitigating adverse effects on any other values.</b></p> |       |          |  |               |         |
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 59    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | Policy 16.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 16.1.2.  |       |          |  |               |         |
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 60    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | 16.M.1        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 16.M.1 Regional rules.  |       |          |  |               |         |
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 61    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Policy 17.4.5 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 17.4.5 to remove the reference to locating activities on specific properties and to shift the focus to the access and include reference to minimising effects on amenity values associated with secondary routes. For example:</p> <p><b>Policy 17.4.5 - Commercial and industrial activities with potential to adversely affect the arterial road network should preferably be located on properties with access to secondary arterial and collector routes unless this will cause an adverse effect on the amenity of this road.</b></p>   |       |          |  |               |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 62    | Volume 2 | All             |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove any duplication of rules and standards from Volume 2 and contain rules for like activities in the same location.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 63    | Volume 2 | All             |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Review the rules of the plan and include, where appropriate, a range of activity statuses recognising that the effects associated with some activities are relatively minor or of narrow scope.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 64    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.15.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.2.15 to include groundwater:<br><br><b>Take, use and discharge of surface-water for non-consumptive use.</b>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 65    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.14.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standards under heading 2.3.14 to include groundwater:<br><br><b>Take, use and discharge of surface water for non-consumptive use.</b><br><br><b>2.3.14.1. The instantaneous take rate must not exceed 5% of river flow at any time.</b><br><br><b>2.3.14.2. The take and discharge must not be from or into a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</b><br><br><b>2.3.14.3. The water must be returned into the same surface waterbody or <u>groundwater resource</u> from which it was taken, at the same or similar rate and in the same or better quality.</b><br><br><b>2.3.14.4. The water taken must be discharged back into the same surface waterbody or <u>groundwater resource</u> within 250m of the point of take.</b> |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 66    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.7.5.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.7.5.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 67    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.7.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Extend the area to which the gravel permit applies to the area traditionally addressed by this permit system, and include a new permitted activity rule that makes it explicit that where a gravel permit is held from Marlborough District Council that the extraction of gravel is permitted activity. For example:</p> <p><b><u>2.7.X The extraction of gravel and the associated disturbance of the bed and banks of a river under the terms and conditions of a valid gravel permit from Marlborough District Council.</u></b></p> <p>Include a new overlay on the planning maps identifying the gravel permit area as all rivers north of and including the Wairau River and its tributaries.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 68    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.16.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a new rule permitting discharges of sediment associated with undertaking permitted works within waterways. For example:</p> <p><b><u>Rule 2.16.X</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Any discharge of sediment generated as a result of an activity in, on, over or under the bed of a lake that is permitted by this plan, is a permitted activity.</u></b></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 69    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.21.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a permitted activity rule for the discharge of dust with effects that are likely to be low or that is likely to have a low risk to human health and amenity values such as Rule 3.2.9.1. For example:</p> <p><b><u>Rule 2.21.X</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Any discharge of dust to air that does not have an offensive or objectionable effect beyond the boundary of the site is a permitted activity.</u></b></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 70    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.31.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 2.31 Permitted Activities.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 71    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Include a new permitted activity standard setting a minimum separation distance for habitable dwellings from productive rural activities such as quarrying. For example:<br><b><u>3.2.2.X Any habitable building shall not be located within 250m of the boundary of a legally established quarry.</u></b> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 72    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.16.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 73    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.6.6.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 74    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 75    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone       | 19.3.6.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 76    | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone           | 21.1.8.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 21.1.8 to apply to all rivers north of and including the Wairau River and its tributaries.<br><b><u>21.1.8. Gravel and sediment removal within a dry part of a riverbed within the gravel permit overlay.</u></b>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 77    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions             | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Notify a definition of Safe Yield.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 78    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions             | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Notify a definition of Enhanced Transfer System.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>717</b>         | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 79    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions             | 25.       | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|--------|---------|-----------|------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Replace the notified definition of clean fill with MfE's definition from its clean fill guidelines.</p> <p><b><u>Cleanfill material</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Material that when buried will have no adverse effect on people or the environment. Cleanfill material includes natural materials such as clay, soil and rock, and other inert materials such as concrete or brick that are free of:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><u>- Combustible, putrescible, degradable, or leachable components</u></b></li> <li><b><u>- hazardous substances</u></b></li> <li><b><u>- products or materials derived from hazardous waste treatment, hazardous waste stabilisation or hazardous waste disposal practices</u></b></li> <li><b><u>- materials that may present a risk to human or animal health such as medical and veterinary waste, asbestos or radioactive substances</u></b></li> <li><b><u>- liquid waste.</u></b></li> </ul> |       |        |         |           |      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 80    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions   | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a new definition of Quarrying:</p> <p><b><u>Quarrying</u></b></p> <p><b><u>means the use of land, buildings and plant for the purpose of extraction of natural sand, gravel, clay, silt and rock and the associated processing, storage, sale and transportation of those same materials and quarry site rehabilitation. It may include:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>a. earthworks associated with the removal and storage of over-burden;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>b. extraction of natural sand, gravel, clay, silt and rock materials by excavation or blasting;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>c. processing of aggregate materials by screening, crushing, washing and/or mixing them together;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>d. the addition of additives such as clay, lime, cement and recycled/recovered aggregate to extracted materials;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>e. workshops required for the repair of equipment used on the same property;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>f. site management offices;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>g. car parking;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>h. landscaping;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>j. quarry site rehabilitation and any associated clean-filling.</u></b></p> |       |          |                  |           |                 |
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 81    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions   | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a new definition of Rural Productive Activities</p> <p><b><u>Productive rural activities</u></b></p> <p><b><u>means farming, plantation forestry, intensive forestry, horticulture and quarrying activities</u></b></p>   |       |          |                  |           |                 |
| 717                | Fulton Hogan Limited   | 82    | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone | 21.1.9.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend rule 21.1.9 to apply to all rivers north of and including the Wairau River and its tributaries.</p> <p><b><u>21.1.9. Gravel and sediment removal within a wet part of a riverbed within the gravel permit overlay.</u></b></p>  |       |          |                  |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| <b>391</b>         | G J and R M Gane Family Trust  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels               | Schedule 3                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>747</b>         | GAL Partnership  | 1     | All      | All   |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>748</b>         | GAL Partnership  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8434 Whangakoko Bay, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>748</b>         | GAL Partnership  | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8434 Whangakoko Bay, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>748</b>         | GAL Partnership  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8434 Whangakoko Bay, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>748</b>         | GAL Partnership  | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of the 8434 Whangakoko Bay, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>801</b>         | John Gallagher   | 1     | All      | All   |                             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>191</b>         | Wayne Gander   | 1     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.5.5.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The lifespan of appliances installed since 2000 should be extended maybe 20-25 years. Also a longer period of time allowed to fund and replace appliances installed prior to 2000, say 5-7 years.  |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>276</b>         | Ian Gardiner   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.2.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.   |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>276</b>         | Ian Gardiner   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.3.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.   |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>79</b>          | Jonathan Gardner   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.3.9.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change rule 16.3.9.1 so that there is no time limit on the period of deployment of monitoring equipment.   |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>79</b>          | Jonathan Gardner   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.6.7.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Restoration activities should be Permitted Activities in their own right, subject to reasonable standards that might include (1) not altering ecologically significant marine sites, (2) notifying the Harbour Master such that any issues to do with safety of navigation are avoided, and (3) consulting with Iwi to avoid sites of cultural interest. |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>749</b>         | GBC Winstone   | 1     | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                     | 13.1.     | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (bold) are included as permitted activities under 13.1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>GBCWinstone (a Division of Fletcher Concrete &amp; Infrastructure Ltd.) activities (on land described as Lot 1 DP 4166, Lot 1 DP 7579 and Lot 1 DP 4973 in Picton and as shown as Specific Identified Sites on Planning Map [Insert relevant Map Number]) shall be permitted, including all other activities listed as permitted in the Port Zone, provided that they comply with the standards for permitted activities in the Port Zone.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The discharges of contaminants into air from particular industrial or trade premises used for the storage, blending and distribution of concrete processing materials.</i></b></li> </ul> <p>This approach will be consistent with sections 5, 7(c) and 7(f) of the Resource Management Act and Policy 15.3.5 of the PMP.</p> |       |          |                                   |                |        |
| <b>749</b>         | GBC Winstone  | 2     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Policy 13.17.3:</p> <p><b><i>Policy 13.17.3 Recognise and provide for the following operational requirements of Port Zones in Picton and Havelock:</i></b><br/> <i>(k) port administration including security, servicing and maintenance activities; and</i><br/> <i>(l) signage;</i> <b><i>and</i></b><br/> <b><i>(m) premises used for the storage, blending, distribution of bulk products including concrete processing materials.</i></b></p> <p>This will give effect to Issue 13J and Objective 13.17.</p>  |       |          |                                   |                |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|------------|--------|
| 749                | GBC Winstone  | 3     | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.9.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 13.2.9.1:</p> <p><del>Standard 13.2.9.1 The best practicable method must be adopted to avoid dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring.</del></p> <p>That the following new standards (bold) are included under heading 13.2.9:</p> <p><b>13.2.9.1 The dust must not result in an objectionable or offensive effects at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.</b></p> <p><b>13.2.9.2 The dust must not result in an adverse health effects beyond the property boundary.</b></p> <p><b>13.2.9.3 Any person undertaking an activity resulting in the emission of dust shall adopt the best practicable option to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects resulting from the dust emissions on the receiving environment.</b></p> |       |          |              |            |        |
| 749                | GBC Winstone  | 4     | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.10.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Heading 13.2.10 and associated standards:</p> <p><del>13.2.10. Dust from any process vent or stack.</del></p> <p><del>13.2.10.1. The dust must not contain hazardous substances.</del></p> <p><del>13.2.10.2. The particulate discharge rate from any air pollution control equipment and dust collection system must not exceed 250mg/m<sup>3</sup> at any time, corrected to 0°C, 1 atmosphere pressure, dry gas basis.</del></p> <p><del>13.2.10.3 Dust particles must not exceed 0.05mm size in any direction.</del></p>  |       |          |              |            |        |
| 749                | GBC Winstone  | 5     | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.25.4. | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 13.3.25.4(a), as it does not appear to serve any RMA related purpose.<br>Standard 13.3.25.4 If the power output of the device is between 30kW and 400kW -<br><del>(a) the engine must not be operated for a total of greater than 5 hours in any 24-hour period;</del><br><br>Alternately, the following new permitted activity rule is included:<br><br><b>Rule 13.1.X Discharges of contaminants to air from the combustion of fuel for the purposes of electricity generation during mains power unavailability.</b> |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>749</b>         | GBC Winstone  | 6     | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.6.3.                     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Rule 13.6.3:<br><br><i>Rule 13.6.3. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the <b>burning</b> of any of the following materials:</i>  |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>442</b>         | GDC Consulting (2010) Limited   | 1     | Volume 4 | All          |                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend colour palate to assist with zone identification.   |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>177</b>         | Kristen Gerard  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain all coastal natural character and ONFLS overlays for the Port Gore area of the Marlborough Sounds.   |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>177</b>         | Kristen Gerard  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain all coastal natural character and ONFLS overlays for the Port Gore area of the Marlborough Sounds.   |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>177</b>         | Kristen Gerard  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain all coastal natural character and ONFLS overlays for the Port Gore area of the Marlborough Sounds.   |       |          |              |                             |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                        | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard              | 1            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.1     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.1                     |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard              | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.2     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.2                     |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard              | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.3     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.3                     |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard              | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.4     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.4                     |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard              | 5            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.5     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.5 ( <i>inferred</i> ) |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard              | 6            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.1    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.1                    |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard              | 7            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.2    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.2                    |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard              | 8            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.3    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.3                    |              |               |   |                  |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter                               | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard              | 9     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.4                    |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard              | 10    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.5                    |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard              | 11    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.6                    |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard              | 12    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.7                    |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard              | 13    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.8                    |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard              | 14    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.1.4 ( <i>inferred</i> ) |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard              | 15    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.5  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested in submission.    |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard              | 16    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.7                     |       |          |                                  |               |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 17    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | This policy should state more clearly what land use activities need to be setback from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area in order to preserve natural character ( <i>inferred</i> ). |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 18    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.9 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.9  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 19    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.1.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.1.4  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 20    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.2.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.1  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 21    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy with the following additional wording (in bold):<br>Policy 7.2.3(c) - requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities <b>and marine farming activities</b> .      |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 22    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.2.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.4  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 23    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.2.7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.7  |       |          |                     |              |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 24           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.8 as long as the existing use rights of farmers are protected. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 25           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.10    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.10   |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 26           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.12    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.12   |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 27           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape               | 7.M.4            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 7.M.4   |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 28           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape               | 7.M.5            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 7.M.5   |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 29           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape               | 7.M.6            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 7.M.6   |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 30           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape               | 7.M.7            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 7.M.7   |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 31           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape               | 7.M.8            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 7.M.8   |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 32           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.               | Support     |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain issues, objectives, polices and Methods of implementation with the following amendments/comments/etc regarding Policy 8.2.2, Methods of implementation 8.M.6, Policy 8.2.4 and Policy 8.3.7 (in separate submission points).   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 33    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.2   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 34    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.3        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Methods of Implementation 8.M.3 <i>The Council's Marlborough Significant Natural Areas programme involves the collection of information about natural ecosystems on private land, with the aim of working with landowners to help protect significant sites. An ecological survey is undertaken with property reports prepared that summarise the ecological values found and suggest management options to ensure their long term survival.</i></p> <p><i>The Department of Conservation has also identified significant sites on private land through its Protected Natural Areas survey programme. There is no duplication in effort as the Council and Department programmes have surveyed different areas of Marlborough.</i></p> <p><i>Although a good proportion of private land in Marlborough has been surveyed, some landowners have not allowed the Council onto their property, therefore the programme of identifying sites is incomplete and ongoing. If a landowner changes their mind or a property changes ownership and a new landowner wishes to have their property surveyed, then the Council will undertake the survey work.</i></p>  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 35    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.6        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Methods of Implementation 8.M.6 <i>The Council will support, including financially, the protection and/or restoration of areas with biodiversity value in the following ways:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>through the established landowner assistance programme, which provides both practical and financial help with work such as pest and weed control and fencing;</i></li> <li><i>by the waiving of resource consent application fees for activities that would assist in the protection of significant areas;</i></li> <li><i>through the annual planning process, consider granting reductions in rating for properties where sites are protected through conservation covenants;</i></li> <li><i>from funding made available by central government for the protection of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna;</i></li> <li><i>by prioritising available funds for significant sites where sites are subject to protective covenants;</i></li> <li><i>through appropriate investigations to improve our understanding of the nature and state of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough; and</i></li> <li><i>through supporting initiatives developed by community and industry groups to promote protection and restoration of indigenous biodiversity.</i></li> </ul> |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 36    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That areas requiring planting to increase indigenous biodiversity do not become regulated and protected by onerous provisions <b>(inferred)</b> .   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 37    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.7 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The policy should be extended to exclude all bottom disturbance fishing activities in the Marlborough Sounds marine environment.   |       |          |                                |                |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 38    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.4   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 9.1.4  |       |          |                                |                |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 39    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.5   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 9.1.5  |       |          |                                |                |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 40    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.8   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 9.1.8  |       |          |                                |                |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 41    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.16  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 9.1.16 ( <i>inferred</i> )   |       |          |                                |                |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 42    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.17  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested  |       |          |                                |                |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 43    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards             | Policy 11.1.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.6   |       |          |                                |                |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 44    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards             | Policy 11.1.13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy by adding the following (in bold): Policy 11.1.13 - Recognise that the risk to life and property during flood events is greater in rural environments <b>and support community initiatives to set-up emergency response networks.</b> |       |          |                                |                |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 45    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards             | Policy 11.1.22 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.22   |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 46    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.1.1 - Avoid adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having:<br>(a) outstanding natural character;<br>(b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes;<br>(c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; or<br>(d) significant historic heritage value. |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 47    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 13.2.2(c) <i>whether the efficient operation of established activities that depend on the use of the coastal marine area is adversely affected by the proposed subdivision, use or development activity (inferred).</i>   |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 48    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.3 -<br>(a) lapse periods for coastal permits will be no more than five years; and<br>(b) the duration of coastal permits granted for activities in the coastal marine area for which limitations on durations are imposed under the Resource Management Act 1991 will generally be limited to a period not exceeding 20 years.                           |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 49    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.3.1  |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 50    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.2 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.3.2  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 51    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.3.3  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 52    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following changes (strikethrough and bold) to Policy 13.3.4 - Ensure recreational use has priority over commercial activities that require occupation of the coastal marine area <b>throughout the entire Sounds</b> in <del>Queen Charlotte Sound, including Tory Channel</del> . (This policy does not apply to areas zoned Port or Marina.) |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 53    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.4.1  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 54    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.4.2  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 55    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We submit that accommodation (accommodation required for farm owners - <b><i>inferred</i></b> ) and workers for these purposes should be enabled.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 56    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy 13.5.6 to recognise that where the Coastal Living zone is located alongside the Coastal Environment Zone, noise associated with farming activities should be anticipated and expected ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ).  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>           | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 57           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.6.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.6.1       |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 58           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.7.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.7.1       |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 59           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.7.2    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.7.2       |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 60           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.9.1       |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 61           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.2    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.9.2       |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 62           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.3    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.9.3       |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 63           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.4    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.9.4       |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 64           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.5    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy 13.9.5      |              |               |                                   |                  |             |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>           | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 65           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.6    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.9.6       |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 66           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.7    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.9.7       |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 67           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.8    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.9.8       |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 68           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.1   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.1      |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 69           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.2   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.2      |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 70           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.3   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.3      |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 71           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.4   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.4      |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 72           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.5   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.5      |              |               |                                   |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>           | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 73           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.6   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.6      |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 74           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.7   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.7      |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 75           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.8   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.8      |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 76           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.9   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.9      |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 77           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.10  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.10     |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 78           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.11  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.11     |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 79           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.12  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.12     |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 80           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.13  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.13     |              |               |                                   |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>           | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 81           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.14  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.14     |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 82           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.15  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.15     |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 83           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.16  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.16     |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 84           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.17  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.17     |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 85           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.18  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.18     |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 86           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.19  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.19     |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 87           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.20  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.20     |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 88           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.21  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.21     |              |               |                                   |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 89           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.22  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.22   |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 90           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.23  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.23   |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 91           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.24  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.24   |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 92           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.25  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.25   |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 93           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.26  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.26   |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 94           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.27  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.27   |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 95           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.4   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.11.4  |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
|                    | We also submit that the map of all barge-sites (current and potential) as has been recently collated by Council with help from the Kenepuru and Central Sounds Resident's Association be re-viewed, finalised and included in the new MEP. |              |               |                                   |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 96           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.6   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.6   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 97           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.4   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.14.1   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 98           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.2    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.14.2   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 99           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.15.1   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 100          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.2   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.15.2   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 101          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.3   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.15.3   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 102          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.16  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That this objective should be widened to include all of the enclosed Sounds waterways - i.e., in the Pelorus and Kenepuru Sounds. |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 103          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.16.1   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>           | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 104          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.16.2      |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 105          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.4   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.16.4      |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 106          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.3   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.16.3      |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 107          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.5   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.16.5      |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 108          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.6   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.16.6      |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 109          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.17  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.17     |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 110          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.1      |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 111          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.2   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.2      |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>           | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 112          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.3   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.3      |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 113          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.4   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.4      |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 114          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.5   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.5      |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 115          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.6   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.6      |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 116          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.7   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.7      |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 117          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.8   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.8      |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 118          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.9   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.9      |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard | 119          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.10  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.10     |              |               |                                   |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                         | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-------------|
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 120          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.17.11  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy 13.17.11   |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 121          | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 15.1a  |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 122          | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Policy 15.1.1– As a minimum, the quality of freshwater and coastal waters will be managed so that they are suitable for the following purposes:</p> <p>(a) Coastal waters: protection of marine ecosystems; potential for contact recreation and food gathering/marine farming; and for cultural and aesthetic purposes;</p> <p>(b) Rivers and lakes: protection of aquatic ecosystems; potential for contact recreation; community water supply (where water is already taken for this purpose); and for cultural and aesthetic purposes;</p> <p>(c) Groundwater: drinking water supply; and</p> <p>(d) Wetlands: protection of aquatic ecosystems and the potential for food gathering.</p> |              |               |  |                  |             |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 123   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Policy 15.1.10 - Require any applicant applying for a discharge permit that proposes the discharge of contaminants to water to consider all potential receiving environments and adopt the best practicable option, having regard to:</p> <p>(a) the nature of the contaminants;</p> <p>(b) the relative sensitivity of the receiving environment;</p> <p>(c) the financial implications and effects on the environment of each option when compared with the other options; and</p> <p>(d) the current state of technical knowledge and the likelihood that each option can be successfully applied.</p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 124   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.18 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.18   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 126   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.19 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following changes (strikethrough) to <i>Policy 15.1.19 - Progressively work toward eliminating the discharge of human sewage to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure.</i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 127   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.20 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.20   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 128   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.27 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | If this policy does not include the Coastal Environment rural areas, it should as siltation in the Sounds is a big issue now-days, and farmers here should do their bit as well.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 129   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15E      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That a new paragraph is added to the explanatory text of Issue 15E, as provided in Federated Farmers submission:<br><i>At times primary production activities will generate effects such as noise, odour and dust - residents living in the rural environment should therefore reasonably expect amenity values to be modified by such effects.</i> |       |          |                   |                |         |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 130   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste          | Objective 16.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 16.1   |       |          |                   |                |         |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 131   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste          | Policy 16.2.8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 16.2.8<br>Council should work with the community to achieve this outcome.   |       |          |                   |                |         |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 132   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste          | 16.M.21        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 16.M.21  |       |          |                   |                |         |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 133   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste          | Policy 16.3.9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 16.3.9  |       |          |                   |                |         |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 134   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.2.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 19.2.1  |       |          |                   |                |         |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 135   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.2.1.         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 2.2.1   |       |          |                   |                |         |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 136   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.2.4.         | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Permitted Activity Rule 2.2.4  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 137   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.2.5.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Permitted Activity Rule 2.2.5  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 138   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.2.8.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Permitted Activity Rule 2.2.8  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 139   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.2.15.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Permitted Activity 2.2.15  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 140   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.6.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support Standard 4.2.1.6  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 141   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.11. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.2.1.11 - On land within any Marlborough Sounds Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape: (b) any paint applied to the exterior cladding of a building or structure must have a light reflectance value of 45% or less. |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 142   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.12. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.2.1.12  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 143   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.4.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The heading should have the following wording included (bold) <i>(inferred)</i> : <b>The best practicable method must be adopted to avoid</b> odour.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 144   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.5.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The heading should have the following wording included (bold) <i>(inferred): The best practicable method must be adopted to avoid</i> smoke.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 145   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.6.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The heading should have the following wording included (bold) <i>(inferred): 4.2.6 The best practicable method must be adopted to avoid</i> dust.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 146   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | We submit that there should be adequate set-backs from all formed public roads, foreshore reserves and adjoining property boundaries - just what these set-backs should be is just one of the many issues that needs to be thrashed out by all those concerned.                                  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 147   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Add new standard to heading <i>4.3.6 Commercial forestry replanting</i> .<br><br><i>New Standard 4.3.6.3 - If an area is not going to be re-planted in commercial forest, then it must be actively managed to avoid the regeneration and proliferation of wilding pines (e.g., by spraying).</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 148   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add the following species ( <b>bold</b> ) to Heading 4.3.7 Woodlot forestry planting and Standard 4.3.7.1 The following species must not be planted:   |       |          |                            |           |        |
|                    | (a) Douglas fir ( <i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> );  |       |          |                            |           |        |
|                    | (b) Lodgepole pine ( <i>Pinus contorta</i> );  |       |          |                            |           |        |
|                    | (c) Muricata pine ( <i>Pinus muricata</i> );   |       |          |                            |           |        |
|                    | (d) European larch ( <i>Larix decidua</i> );   |       |          |                            |           |        |
|                    | (e) Scots pine ( <i>Pinus sylvestris</i> );  |       |          |                            |           |        |
|                    | (f) Mountain or dwarf pine ( <i>Pinus mugo</i> );  |       |          |                            |           |        |
|                    | (g) Corsican pine ( <i>Pinus nigra</i> );  |       |          |                            |           |        |
|                    | (h) <b>all pine species</b> ;  |       |          |                            |           |        |
|                    | (i) <b>all wattle species</b> ;  |       |          |                            |           |        |
|                    | (j) <b>sycamores</b> ;   |       |          |                            |           |        |
|                    | (k) <b>robinias</b> .  |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 149   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <i>Standard 4.3.7.2 Planting must not be in, or within: (a) 30m of a formed and sealed public road;</i> Amend wording of so that planting setbacks apply to all public roads.  |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 150   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <i>Standard 4.3.7.2 Planting must not be in, or within: (d) 200m of the coastal marine area</i><br>Bring 200m setback from the coastal marine area for woodlots into line with set-backs for commercial forestry.<br><b>Inferred</b> that the 30 metre setback (underlined) in Heading 4.3.6 Commercial forestry Standard 4.3.6.1 Replanting must not be in, or within: (c) <u>30 metres</u> of the coastal marine area should be increased to 200 metres setback. |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 151   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.1.  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><i>Heading 4.3.8 Woodlot forestry harvesting Standard 4.3.8.1 Harvesting must not be in, or within: (c) 200m of the coastal marine area.</i></p> <p><b>Inferred</b> Delete 200 metre setback restriction on harvesting of woodlot forestry.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 152   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.7.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>There needs to be some flexibility (<b><i>in the standards - inferred</i></b>) to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.</p>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 153   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.8.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>There needs to be some flexibility (<b><i>in the standards - inferred</i></b>) to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.</p>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 154   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.9.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>There needs to be some flexibility (<b><i>in the standards - inferred</i></b>) to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.</p>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 155   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>There needs to be some flexibility (<b><i>in the standards - inferred</i></b>) to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.</p>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 156   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.9.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Consider including native indigenous species first and foremost to the list of species in Standard 4.3.9.1.</p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 157   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.9.3.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the standard is broadened to include (in bold):<br><i>Standard 4.3.9.3 Only indigenous species must be planted in or within, 8m of a significant wetland, <b>foreshore reserve, Coastal Marine Zone or Open Space 3 Zones.</b></i>   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 158   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend <i>Standard 4.3.10.2 The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.10.3 to 4.3.10.6 (inclusive):</i> by adding the words (bold) to (d) <i>where the clearance is associated with the maintenance of an existing road, forestry road/<b>fire-break</b>, harvesting track or farm track, or <b>fence-line.</b></i><br><br>Addition (bold) of <b>(f) or for restoration purposes within managed native restoration planting areas</b> to Standard 4.3.10.2. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 159   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.5.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | There needs to be some flexibility <b>(in the standards - inferred)</b> to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 160   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.6.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | There needs to be some flexibility <b>(in the standards - inferred)</b> to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 161   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.7.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | There needs to be some flexibility <b>(in the standards - inferred)</b> to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 162   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | There needs to be some flexibility <b>(in the standards - inferred)</b> to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 163   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.4.  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.12.4  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 164   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.19.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.19  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 165   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.26.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following changes (strikethrough and bold):</p> <p>Standard 4.3.26.1 <del>Pest Plants identified in the Appendix 25 and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse and old man's beard are the only vegetation that may be sprayed.</del> <b>Exotic species are the only vegetation that may be sprayed.</b></p>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 166   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.29.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following heading and standards to the 4.3 Standards that apply to specific permitted activities (Coastal Environment Zone):</p> <p>4.3.x Discharge of human effluent into land through a long drop toilet.</p> <p>4.3.x.x There must not be a Council operated sewerage system designed for that purpose within 60m of the long drop toilet.</p> <p>4.3.x.x The bottom of the long drop is located above the natural groundwater level at all times.</p> <p>4.3.x.x The long drop toilet must not be located:</p> <p>(a) within 50m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland or drainage channel;</p> <p>(b) within 30m of a bore.</p> <p>4.3.x.x The long drop toilet must not be constructed on unconsolidated gravels, coarse or medium sands, fissured rocks or scree.</p> <p>4.3.x.x Once the human effluent reaches within 1m of the original ground level, or the long drop is no longer used, the content of the long drop must be covered with soil to a depth of at least 1m.</p> <p>4.3.x.x The long drop toilet must be constructed so that no surface runoff enters the toilet.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 167   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.1.30 ( <i>inferred</i> )  |       |          |                            |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 168   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.31.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.1.31 ( <i>inferred</i> )   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 169   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.35.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 4.3.35 but add a new standard (bold):<br><b>Standard 4.3.35.2 All open fires in the Marlborough Sounds must have a fire permit.</b> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 170   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.36.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 4.3.36 but add a new standard (bold):<br><b>Standard 4.3.36.2 All open fires in the Marlborough Sounds must have a fire permit.</b> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 171   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.6.3  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 172   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.6.4  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 173   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.1.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.7.1  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 174   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.2.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.7.2  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 424                | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 175   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.3.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Add other (weedy) tree species to list of prohibited species to be planted. No species included in submission. |       |          |                        |           |         |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 176   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone  | 7.2.1.9.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 7.2.1.9  |       |          |                        |           |         |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 177   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone  | 7.2.3.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 7.2.3   |       |          |                        |           |         |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 178   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone  | 7.3.6.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 7.3.6   |       |          |                        |           |         |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 179   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone  | 7.3.7.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 7.3.7   |       |          |                        |           |         |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 180   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone  | 7.3.14.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 7.3.14, Standards 7.3.14.1 and 7.3.14.2   |       |          |                        |           |         |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 181   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.13.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.1.13  |       |          |                        |           |         |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 182   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.14.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.1.14  |       |          |                        |           |         |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard   | 183   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.10.  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 16.3.10  |       |          |                        |                |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 184   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.11.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 16.3.11  |       |          |                        |                |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 185   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.13.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 16.3.13  |       |          |                        |                |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 186   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Change date of prohibition (9 June 2022). Although no alternative date provided, based on submission, prohibition should be effective immediately <b>(inferred)</b> .   |       |          |                        |                |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 187   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Change date of prohibition (9 June 2022). Although no alternative date provided, based on submission, prohibition should be effective immediately <b>(inferred)</b> .   |       |          |                        |                |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 188   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.4.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendment (strikethrough) <b>(inferred)</b> : Rule 16.7.4 Discharge of treated or untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area, <del>except for the discharge of treated human sewage from regionally significant infrastructure.</del>   |       |          |                        |                |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 189   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone   | 19.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Clarify at the start of this chapter that Open Space 3 Zone covers all the Sounds Foreshore Reserve, DOC Reserves, Titirangi Farm Park, and some privately Covenanted land.<br><br>There should be no exotic plantings on this land, and no clearance of indigenous vegetation (except that required for walking track/road maintenance and the on-going management of DOC Reserves and Titirangi Farm Park). |       |          |                        |                |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 190   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps            | Zoning Map 114 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Adding the two covenanted areas at Hopai Bay to Open Space 3 Zone.<br>The Coastal Natural Character layer should cover the entire covenanted area.                                    |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 191   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4                              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Remove the area of plantation forestry (blackwoods and eucalyptus species) in Hopai from the Outstanding Natural Features and Landscape Overlay.                                      |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 192   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5                              | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Landscape 5 Overlay Map  |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 193   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4               | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Natural Character 4 Overlay Map  |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 194   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Identify Ouokaha Island, Crail Bay, as an Ecologically Significant Marine Site.   |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>424</b>         | Michael and Kristen Gerard  | 195   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Identify Ika-huia (Grants Bay) Reef as an Ecologically Significant Marine Site.   |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>881</b>         | Laisa Gibbins   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |              |   |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| <b>881</b>         | Laisa Gibbins  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>881</b>         | Laisa Gibbins  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>881</b>         | Laisa Gibbins  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>881</b>         | Laisa Gibbins  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>881</b>         | Laisa Gibbins  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>881</b>         | Laisa Gibbins  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>881</b>         | Laisa Gibbins  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>881</b>         | Laisa Gibbins  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>881</b>         | Laisa Gibbins  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                       | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>202</b>         | Giesen Wines   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the provisions until proper consultation, assessment of the impact and explanation of the change has been made.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>197</b>         | Giesen Wines Ltd   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.3.7  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reassess the parameters and methodology used in IrriCalc to determine the volumes of water to be used to irrigate grapes on water permit applications.                                     |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>197</b>         | Giesen Wines Ltd   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.3  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reassess the parameters and methodology used in IrriCalc to determine the volumes of water to be used to irrigate grapes on water permit applications.                                     |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>197</b>         | Giesen Wines Ltd   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.2  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reassess the parameters and methodology used in IrriCalc to determine the volumes of water to be used to irrigate grapes on water permit applications.                                     |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>197</b>         | Giesen Wines Ltd   | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Objective 5.7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reassess the parameters and methodology used in IrriCalc to determine the volumes of water to be used to irrigate grapes on water permit applications.                                     |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>192</b>         | Perry Mason Gilbert  | 1     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                            | 24.3.1.2.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Minimum area of 5000m2.  |       |          |   |               |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b>                            | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| <b>192</b>         | Perry Mason Gilbert   | 2            | Volume 2      | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.3.                                    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete.   |              |               |                                  |   |                 |
| <b>192</b>         | Perry Mason Gilbert   | 3            | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps                      |   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Investigate other areas. Suggest Hardings Road and lower terraces of Renwick.   |              |               |                                  |   |                 |
| <b>192</b>         | Perry Mason Gilbert   | 4            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                     | High Priority Waterbodies for Public Access | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include into the "High Priority Waterbodies for Public Access" the section of Coop Drain between behind Brooklyn Drive to Dry Hills Lane.   |              |               |                                  |   |                 |
| <b>192</b>         | Perry Mason Gilbert   | 5            | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone              | 8.2.1.2.                                    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Maximum height of 7.5m as this allows for better environment for living.  |              |               |                                  |   |                 |
| <b>192</b>         | Perry Mason Gilbert   | 6            | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone              | 8.3.12.5.                                   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete. There are still significant areas of commercial crops in this zone and large land areas more suitable to machine application. As long as other rules are adhered to there is no environment gain with this proposed rule. |              |               |                                  |   |                 |
| <b>192</b>         | Perry Mason Gilbert   | 7            | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone              | 8.3.14.2.                                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Explain how this would be physically possible.  |              |               |                                  |   |                 |
| <b>192</b>         | Perry Mason Gilbert   | 8            | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone              | 8.3.16.3.                                   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Increase to 8m3 and include a prohibition from burning during period May - Aug incl.  |              |               |                                  |   |                 |
| <b>192</b>         | Perry Mason Gilbert   | 9            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.2.1.12.                                   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend to 5m as per side boundaries.   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>192</b>         | Perry Mason Gilbert   | 10    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add in word "detached" before garage.   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>1017</b>        | Peter Gilford Gilbert   | 1     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                   | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the definitions of <i>Commercial forestry planting</i> and <i>Commercial forestry replanting</i>:</p> <p><i>Commercial forestry planting means means indigenous or exotic tree species deliberately established for wood production. Includes the planting, management and replanting of trees, and the preparation of land for planting.</i></p> <p>A decision requested is also included in <b><u>Item Fourteen. Access tracks and roads for Commercial forestry planting</u></b> of the submission (page 19).</p> <p><i>Commercial forestry planting means indigenous or exotic tree species deliberately established for wood production. Includes the planting, management and replanting of trees, and the preparation of land for planting <b>and the excavation or filling, or both, to prepare the land for planting or replanting (for example forestry road or forestry track construction or maintenance).</b></i></p> <p><i>Commercial forestry replanting means indigenous or exotic tree species deliberately planted for wood production to replace trees previously lawfully planted for the same purpose and subsequently harvested. <del>This definition pertains only to the Coastal Environment Zone.</del></i></p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>1017</b>        | Peter Gilford Gilbert   | 2     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                   | 25.       | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the definition of <i>Commercial forestry harvesting</i>.</p> <p><i>Commercial forestry harvesting means the felling and removal from the land of trees, for the purposes of commercial forestry, and includes:</i></p> <p><i>(a) excavation or filling, or both, to prepare the land for harvesting (for example, skid, forestry road or forestry track construction or maintenance);</i></p> <p><i>(b) de-limbing, trimming, cutting to length, and sorting and grading of felled trees;</i></p> <p><i>(c) recovery of windfall and other fallen trees;</i></p> <p><b>(d) the transportation of trees from the land.</b></p> <p><del><i>but does not include the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land.</i></del></p> <p>Alternatively, as provided in <b>Item Ten. Transportation</b> of submission (page 15), the same exclusion to all other activities on the land that have a transportation component could be applied. For example, farming, winery and marine farming activities are defined but do not include the transportation of the associated production from the land.</p>   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>1017</b>        | Peter Gilford Gilbert  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That duplicated rules relating to Excavation from the Commercial Forestry are remove from the harvesting rules list.</p>  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>1017</b>        | Peter Gilford Gilbert  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.1.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following rules 3.3.1.2 to 3.3.1.16 inclusive are added to the permitted activity of "Farming" in the Rural Environment Zone:</p> <p>3.3.1.2 Farming on land between 20 and 35 degrees is a discretionary activity for which consent must be applied for.</p> <p>3.3.1.3 Notification must be given to Council for discretionary Farming. This will take the form of an annual Farming Plan that addresses all of the matters set out in Appendix 22b.</p> <p>Appendix 22b Notification items:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The name and contact details of the landowner, the owner of the stock on the land and the manager of the farming operation.</li> <li>2. The location on a map of all rivers, lakes or significant wetlands within or adjacent to the area to be Farmed.</li> <li>3. The location on a map of the coastal marine area if it is within 50 metres of the area to be farmed.</li> <li>4. The location on a map of all existing and new farming roads, tracks and stock water-points to be used, created or maintained.</li> <li>5. Any erosion and sediment control methods to be used.</li> <li>6. The location on a map of any stock bridges.</li> <li>7. A plan showing the intended stocking of farm animals and the rotational stocking plan.</li> <li>8. A feed budgeting plan for the year.</li> </ol> |       |          |                          |           |        |

9. A soil analysis report showing the current nutrient status of the farmed land as at the beginning of the annual Farming Plan.

3.3.1.4. No farming must occur on any land with a slope greater than 35°.

3.3.1.5. Any material change to the annual Farm Plan must be notified to Council at least 20 working days before the change is implemented.

3.3.1.6. Farming must not be in, or within:

(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake

(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification;

(c) 200m of the coastal marine area.

3.3.1.7. Farming must not be within such proximity to any abstraction point for a drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply.

3.3.1.8. Water control measures and sediment control measures must be constructed & maintained in:

(a) All areas disturbed by any excavation or filling undertaken on the land;

(b) All farming roads, tracks or stock water sites on the land (including existing farming roads, tracks or stock water sites);

(c) Such that the areas, roads, tracks and sites are stable.

3.3.1.9. No animal must be mustered through the bed of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake or Significant Wetland or through the coastal marine area.

3.3.1.10. Stock, farmed animal faeces and soil debris must:

(a) Not be within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;

(b) Not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (except an ephemeral river), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;

(c) Be placed on stable ground;

(d) Be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or instability of the land.

3.3.1.11. Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing) or lake except where:

(a) Access is essential to muster stock away from the river or lake;

(b) Crossing the bed of a river to enable access;

(c) Stock, farmed animal faeces or soil debris must be removed from the river or lake so as to comply with other Standards for Farming.

In all cases, the Council must be notified at least 2 working days prior to the use of the machinery.

3.3.1.12. Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area.

3.3.1.13. Stock must be bridged when being mustered across a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing).

3.3.1.14. Farming must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:

(a) Hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.

(b) The natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the Farming site.

(c) The change in reflectance must be <50%.

3.3.1.15. All significant Farming road failures and slope failures must be reported to Council within 2 working days of the land owner or farm manager (including any employee or contractor of the owner or farm manager) becoming aware of the failures.

3.3.1.16. Water control measures must be designed and implemented to ensure they remain effective at all times.

**1017**

Peter Gilford Gilbert

5

Volume 2

4 Coastal Environment Zone

4.1.1.

Oppose

Decision Requested

That the following rules 4.3.1.2 to 4.3.1.16 inclusive are added to the permitted activity of "Farming" in the Coastal Environment Zone:

4.3.1.2 Farming on land between 20 and 35 degrees is a discretionary activity for which consent must be applied for.

4.3.1.3 Notification must be given to Council for discretionary Farming. This will take the form of an annual Farming Plan that addresses all of the matters set out in Appendix 22b.

Appendix 22b Notification items:

1. The name and contact details of the landowner, the owner of the stock on the land and the manager of the farming operation.
2. The location on a map of all rivers, lakes or significant wetlands within or adjacent to the area to be Farmed.
3. The location on a map of the coastal marine area if it is within 50 metres of the area to be farmed.
4. The location on a map of all existing and new farming roads, tracks and stock water-points to be used, created or maintained.
5. Any erosion and sediment control methods to be used.
6. The location on a map of any stock bridges.
7. A plan showing the intended stocking of farm animals and the rotational stocking plan.
8. A feed budgeting plan for the year.
9. A soil analysis report showing the current nutrient status of the farmed land as at the beginning of the annual Farming Plan.

4.3.1.4. No farming must occur on any land with a slope greater than 35°.

4.3.1.5. Any material change to the annual Farm Plan must be notified to Council at least 20 working days before the change is implemented.

4.3.1.6. Farming must not be in, or within:

- (a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake
- (b) 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification;
- (c) 200m of the coastal marine area.

4.3.1.7. Farming must not be within such proximity to any abstraction point for a drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply.

4.3.1.8. Water control measures and sediment control measures must be constructed & maintained in:

- (a) All areas disturbed by any excavation or filling undertaken on the land;
- (b) All farming roads, tracks or stock water sites on the land (including existing farming roads, tracks or stock water sites);
- (c) Such that the areas, roads, tracks and sites are stable.

4.3.1.9. No animal must be mustered through the bed of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake or Significant Wetland or through the coastal marine area.

4.3.1.10. Stock, farmed animal faeces and soil debris must:

(a) Not be within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;

(b) Not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (except an ephemeral river), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;

(c) Be placed on stable ground;

(d) Be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or instability of the land.

4.3.1.11. Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing) or lake except where:

(a) Access is essential to muster stock away from the river or lake;

(b) Crossing the bed of a river to enable access;

(c) Stock, farmed animal faeces or soil debris must be removed from the river or lake so as to comply with other Standards for Farming.

In all cases, the Council must be notified at least 2 working days prior to the use of the machinery.

4.3.1.12. Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area.

4.3.1.13. Stock must be bridged when being mustered across a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing).

4.3.1.14. Farming must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:

(a) Hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.

(b) The natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the Farming site.

(c) The change in reflectance must be <50%.

4.3.1.15. All significant Farming road failures and slope failures must be reported to Council within 2 working days of the land owner or farm manager (including any employee or contractor of the owner or farm manager) becoming aware of the failures.

4.3.1.16. Water control measures must be designed and implemented to ensure they remain effective at all times.

|                    |  |   |          |                            |        |        |
|--------------------|--|---|----------|----------------------------|--------|--------|
| <b>1017</b>        | Peter Gilford Gilbert  | 6 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Create minimum standards, or use existing standards for the same activity permitted in other zones, so that there is a minimum standard for discretionary activities to which might be added specific rules for the discretionary activity.</p> <p>For example, for the discretionary activity of Commercial forestry harvesting in the Coastal Environment Zone (CEZ), as a minimum standard use the standards for the permitted activity of Commercial forestry harvesting in Chapter Three Rural Environment Zone (Heading 3.3.7).</p> |   |          |                            |        |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1017               | Peter Gilford Gilbert  | 7     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                      | Policy 7.2.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>1. Adopt the proposed rules for Farming in this submission (point #4 and #5), which would cause resource consent to be necessary for farming on fragile environments like this. In this way farming stock-levels and management could be controlled, or prohibited altogether.</p> <p>2. Fence to exclude stock from the gullies, and plant trees and shrubs in the gullies to create riparian strips for soil conservation, amelioration of water flows, birds, bees, and public amenity. Quail Stream lower to mid-length is the sole example of this type of protection.</p> <p>3. Failing the inclusion of the proposed rules for the permitted activity of Farming (submission points #4 and #5) being adopted, where the MDC has control in the Wither Hills Farm Park, restrict grazing to cattle-only, limit the stocking to a minimal level, and embark on a rotational grazing plan that allows the protective grass sward to recover properly.</p> <p>4. MDC buy those areas of the "Wairau Dry Hills Landscape" that are such a poor example of our care for the environment, and apply best management practice to the land as per the above recommendations, or plant forest on the land to protect the soil structure and fertility.</p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1017               | Peter Gilford Gilbert  | 8     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 5.3.15:</p> <p><del>Policy 5.3.15 Require land use consent for the planting of new commercial forestry in flow sensitive areas.</del></p> <p>Recourse should be via the law, and through the Courts if necessary.</p>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1017               | Peter Gilford Gilbert  | 9     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>1. Water allocation, surplus to the needs of the owner, should be determined by the controlling authority with due process. The surplus water allocation can then be re-allocated on application by another party, by the controlling authority.</p> <p>2. Change the water allocation process so that there is more control by MDC, and so that more efficiency of water use is achieved.</p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1017               | Peter Gilford Gilbert  | 10    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.5.5.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>After 15 years, the efficacy and safety of the installation can be certified by a certified installer of small-scale solid-fuel burning appliances, on a yearly basis on or before the anniversary of the installation.</p>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1017               | Peter Gilford Gilbert  | 11    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.6.1.      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.6.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.6.1 The following species must not be planted:</i></p> <p>(a) <del>Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>);</del></p> <p><b>(ba)</b> Lodgepole pine (<i>Pinus contorta</i>);</p> <p><b>(eb)</b> Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>);</p> <p>(d) <del>European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>);</del></p> <p><b>(ec)</b> Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>);</p> <p><b>(fd)</b> Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>);</p> <p><b>(ge)</b> Corsican pine (<i>Pinus nigra</i>).</p>   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1017</b>        | Peter Gilford Gilbert   | 12    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.6.2.                                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.6.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.6.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p>(f) <del>200</del><b>60m linear from the Mean High Water Springs</b><del>of the coastal marine area;</del></p> <p>On the assumption that the objective is to maintain and improve the subjective nature of the interface between land-based activities and the sea in the Coastal Environment, exclude all other landbased activities such as farming and dwellings/buildings from the 200 metre zone above MHWS.</p> <p>Given that the National Environmental Standard for Production Forestry is on track for release in April-2017, continue to apply the Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan objectives, policies and rules for the Marlborough Sounds until then, and then consider adapting the PMEP to reflect the provisions and guidelines described by that Standard.</p> |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>927</b>         | Mark Gillard  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.</p>  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>927</b>         | Mark Gillard  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>927</b>         | Mark Gillard   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>927</b>         | Mark Gillard   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>927</b>         | Mark Gillard   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>927</b>         | Mark Gillard   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>927</b>         | Mark Gillard   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>927</b>         | Mark Gillard   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>927</b>         | Mark Gillard   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>927</b>         | Mark Gillard   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Objective 6.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>75</b>          | Girl Guiding New Zealand   | 1     | Volume 2 | All                              |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>It is therefore requested that provision be made in the Marlborough Environment Plan for organised Guiding camp fires to be an authorised activity in all zones where fires are permitted for any other purpose. Its is appreciated that this must be a Restricted Activity.</p> <p>Girl Guiding is a responsible organisation and is very open to suggestions of additional training or administration deemed appropriate to allow this activity to go ahead and hence train our girls in both fire safety and environmental responsibility in a fun, supportive and structured environment.</p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>208</b>         | Girl Guiding NZ  | 1     | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone             | 18.5.2.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That MDC make provision for organisations such as GirlGuidingNZ to have fires for campfire and fire related activities where the fire is not used solely for cooking. This may be in the form of exemptions or the need to obtain a permit.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>99</b>          | GJ Gardner Homes   | 1     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.2.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.</p> <p>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.</p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>99</b>          | GJ Gardner Homes   | 2     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                   | 24.3.1.3      | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.</p> <p>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>99</b>          | GJ Gardner Homes  | 3     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                   | 24.3.1.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.</p> <p>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>99</b>          | GJ Gardner Homes  | 4     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.11. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.</p> <p>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>99</b>          | GJ Gardner Homes  | 5     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.7.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.</p> <p>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>99</b>          | GJ Gardner Homes  | 6     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.6.  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. |       |          |   |   |        |
|                    | Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>99</b>          | GJ Gardner Homes  | 7     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone        | 5.2.1.3.                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. |       |          |   |   |        |
|                    | Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1064</b>        | Roger Glendenning   | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>555</b>         | Blair Glover  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.                   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>555</b>         | Blair Glover  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>555</b>         | Blair Glover  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>555</b>         | Blair Glover   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>555</b>         | Blair Glover   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>555</b>         | Blair Glover   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>555</b>         | Blair Glover   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>555</b>         | Blair Glover   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>555</b>         | Blair Glover   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>555</b>         | Blair Glover   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| <b>669</b>         | Go Marlborough Limited  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.35.2.                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.35.2 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><i>Standard 3.3.35.2 If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</i> |       |          |                                  |                             |        |
| <b>669</b>         | Go Marlborough Limited  | 2     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.16.2.                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 5.3.16.2 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><i>Standard 5.3.16.2 If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</i> |       |          |                                  |                             |        |
| <b>669</b>         | Go Marlborough Limited  | 3     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone              | 8.3.15.2.                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 8.3.15.2 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><i>Standard 8.3.15.2 If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</i> |       |          |                                  |                             |        |
| <b>669</b>         | Go Marlborough Limited  | 4     | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone             | 19.3.9.2.                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 19.3.9.2 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><i>Standard 19.3.9.2 If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</i> |       |          |                                  |                             |        |
| <b>669</b>         | Go Marlborough Limited  | 5     | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone                  | 23.3.7.2.                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 23.3.7.2 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><i>Standard 23.3.7.2 If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</i> |       |          |                                  |                             |        |
| <b>323</b>         | Lyn Molly Godsiff   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                     | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscapes Overlay from my property.   |       |          |                                  |                             |        |
| <b>323</b>         | Lyn Molly Godsiff   | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                     | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the Coastal Natural Character Overlay from my property.  |       |          |                                  |                             |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>376</b>         | Brian Walter Godsiff   | 1            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.10    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions as proposed.   |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>604</b>         | Brian Godsiff  | 1            | All           | All                             |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AONZ submission. |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>690</b>         | Evon Ernest Goodwin  | 1            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy.   |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>690</b>         | Evon Ernest Goodwin  | 2            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy.   |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>690</b>         | Evon Ernest Goodwin  | 3            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy.   |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>690</b>         | Evon Ernest Goodwin  | 4            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy.   |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>1009</b>        | Patricia Anne Vaughman Goodwin   | 1            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Adopt in full.   |              |               |                                 |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|---------|
| <b>1009</b>        | Patricia Anne Vaughman Goodwin   | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt in full.   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1009</b>        | Patricia Anne Vaughman Goodwin   | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.2   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt in full.   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1009</b>        | Patricia Anne Vaughman Goodwin   | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.7   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt in full.   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>65</b>          | Rick Gordon  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>65</b>          | Rick Gordon  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>646</b>         | Dylan Goulding   | 1     | All      | All                                     |                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.                               |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>714</b>         | Hayden Goulding  | 1     | All      | All                                     |                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.                               |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>750</b>         | Goulding Trustees Limited  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7):<br><i>"Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."</i>   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>750</b>         | Goulding Trustees Limited   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8):<br><i>"Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."</i>  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>750</b>         | Goulding Trustees Limited   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the absence of a natural character overlay in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Inner Port Ligar;</li> <li>- Camp Bay, Waitata Bay;</li> <li>- Steamboat Bay, Waitata Bay; and</li> <li>- Turner Bay, Waitata Bay;</li> </ul> <p>AND</p> <p>Remove the natural character overlay from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Horseshoe Bay;</li> <li>- Reef Point/ Hamilton Cove/ Yellow Cliffs; and</li> <li>- The West Entry Point of Waitata Reach;</li> </ul> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms, residential activities and land-based farming do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.</p> |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>750</b>         | Goulding Trustees Limited   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the absence of a natural character overlay in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Inner Port Ligar;</li> <li>- Camp Bay, Waitata Bay;</li> <li>- Steamboat Bay, Waitata Bay; and</li> <li>- Turner Bay, Waitata Bay;</li> </ul> AND<br>Remove the natural character overlay from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Horseshoe Bay;</li> <li>- Reef Point/ Hamilton Cove/ Yellow Cliffs; and</li> <li>- The West Entry Point of Waitata Reach;</li> </ul> OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms, residential activities and land-based farming do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>750</b>         | Goulding Trustees Limited  | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed.  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>750</b>         | Goulding Trustees Limited  | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 1                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Camp Bay, Waitata Bay;</li> <li>- Steamboat Bay, Waitata Bay; and</li> <li>- Turner Bay, Waitata Bay;</li> </ul> AND<br>Remove the ONL overlay from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Port Ligar;</li> <li>- Reef Point/ Hamilton Cove/ Yellow Cliffs; and</li> <li>- The West Entry Point of Waitata Reach.</li> </ul> OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms residential activities and land-based farming do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>750</b>         | Goulding Trustees Limited  | 7     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                             | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in:<br>- Camp Bay, Waitata Bay;<br>- Steamboat Bay, Waitata Bay; and<br>- Turner Bay, Waitata Bay;<br>AND<br>Remove the ONL overlay from:<br>- Port Ligar;<br>- Reef Point/ Hamilton Cove/ Yellow Cliffs; and<br>- The West Entry Point of Waitata Reach.<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms residential activities and land-based farming do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>750</b>         | Goulding Trustees Limited  | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Landscapes 4   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in Horseshoe Bay.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>750</b>         | Goulding Trustees Limited  | 9     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Landscapes 5   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in Forsyth Bay.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>750</b>         | Goulding Trustees Limited  | 10    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 65  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Zoning Map 65, to rezone the commercial wharf at Elaine Bay as Port Zone.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>750</b>         | Goulding Trustees Limited  | 11    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 103 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Zoning Map 103, to rezone the commercial wharf at Elaine Bay as Port Zone.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>169</b>         | Grapelands Marl Limited  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | We are asking for water decisions to be based on sound science and be fairly applied to all Wairau aquifer permit holders.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>12</b>          | Rod Gray   | 1     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                            | 24.1.14.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend 24.1.14 to require a minimum of 10 cubic metres of potable water per day.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>84</b>          | Barry Gray  | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | I request that Policy 14.4.12 be retained in the final Marlborough Environment Plan as drafted.                             |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>85</b>          | Barry Gray  | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | I request that Policy 14.4.13 be retained in the final Marlborough Environment Plan as drafted.                             |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>103</b>         | Rod Gray  | 1     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone             | 8.             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | kindly support the rarangi residents association application and alter the rules to suit a beach side community environment |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>156</b>         | Marion Gray   | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | I request that Policy 14.4.12 be retained in the final Marlborough Environment Plan as drafted.                             |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>157</b>         | Marion Gray   | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | I request that Policy 14.4.13 be retained in the final Marlborough Environment Plan as drafted.                             |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>188</b>         | Karen and Mike Gray   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                     | Zoning Map 7   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | None provided in submission.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1305</b>        | Tim and Jane Greenhough   | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone           | 7.2.1.5.       | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).<br>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation<br>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:  |       |          |   |   |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1254</b>        | Greg Norton Limited Trading as Aquanort Pools  | 1     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone        | 5.3.10.6.                                 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include private inground swimming pools along with house foundations in clause 5.3.10.3 or increase permitted excavation to 30 or 40 cubic metres.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>527</b>         | Anne Greig   | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- recognize Aquaculture as an important component of economic growth both locally and Internationally</li> <li>- provide Marine farmers certainty of a future by making relicensing less bureaucratic if all requirements are met. Existing owners should not have to compete for space they have already been licensed for and waste money in court trying to justify this</li> <li>- work with the Aquaculture Industry regarding environmental issues which is highly desirable for both parties. It is in the best interest of Marine farmers to protect their product by ensuring the environment is of the highest standard for growing so both parties have here a common interest</li> <li>- recognize that the Industry is significant in providing long-term employment to the people of the Marlborough area.</li> <li>- recognize that New Zealand has a unique opportunity (in the Marlborough Sounds) to provide a top quality sea food. We should all be proud of this and own it! The demand worldwide for safe, quality sea food is something that New Zealand recognizes and can produce, this the Councils should support the industry and help in a positive way that makes it possible to get on with the job for both the people of the area and Industry.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>982</b>         | Nathan Grey  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>982</b>         | Nathan Grey  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>982</b>         | Nathan Grey  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>982</b>         | Nathan Grey  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>982</b>         | Nathan Grey  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>982</b>         | Nathan Grey  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>982</b>         | Nathan Grey  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>982</b>         | Nathan Grey  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>982</b>         | Nathan Grey  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision           | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |                     |        |
| <b>982</b>         | Nathan Grey   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                       | Objective 6.1       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |                     |        |
| <b>850</b>         | Kyle Gribben  | 1     | All      | All                                       |                     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |                     |        |
| <b>77</b>          | Rob Grigg   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.2.             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the current 500m distance from MHWS and Fish Farms rule be retained.   |       |          |   |                     |        |
| <b>344</b>         | Shane Douglas Groome  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Flood Hazard Area 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the Flood Hazard Area Overlay on my property as notified and replace with the Flood Hazard Area Overlay in the Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan.  |       |          |   |                     |        |
| <b>350</b>         | Deborah Jane Groome   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 115      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The Flood Hazard area for property 527704 to remain the same as is identified by the engineers on their maps provided. Engineers reports attached (see Shane Douglas Groome Submission 344 Parts 1 to 4) and also contained in our property file with Marlborough District Council. |       |          |   |                     |        |
| <b>414</b>         | Growing Horizon Limited   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3          | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>        |       |          |   |                     |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision          | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| 751                | Guardians of the Sounds  | 1     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.           | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>The submission does not include a Zone or rule to which it relates to. It is <b><i>inferred</i></b> that standard 4.3.6.1 is relevant.</p> <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 4.3.6.1:</p> <p><i>4.3.6.1. Commercial forestry replanting.</i></p> <p><i>Replanting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(c) <del>30</del> <b>200</b> metres of the coastal marine area.</i></p>  |       |          |                            |                    |         |
| 751                | Guardians of the Sounds  | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.6.             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new standards are included under Rule 4.1.6 Commercial forestry replanting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>Replanting setbacks from the shoreline: 200 m.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>Replanting setback for permanently flowing streams directly coupled to the sea: 5 m for streams less than 3m in width; and 10 m for streams equal to, or greater than, 3m in width.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>Replanting controls on steep slopes: A mandatory Replanting Management Plan identifying areas at high risk of erosion which require retirement and implementation of buffers, such as gully heads and steep ephemeral gullies. A similar Plan would be required for afforestation.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>Replanting requirements to reduce the window of vulnerability:</i></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <b><i>Replanting of areas harvested within 12 months of harvest.</i></b></li> <li>◦ <b><i>Replanting in excess of 1000 stems/hectare.</i></b></li> </ul> </li> </ul> |       |          |                            |                    |         |
| 751                | Guardians of the Sounds  | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.8.             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new standards are included under Rule 4.1.8 Woodlot forestry harvesting:</p> <p><b><i>Harvest controls:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>Remove all woody material &gt;100 mm diameter and &gt;3metres in length from gullies (&gt;5000m2 or 0.5 hectare) as soon as practicable, but no later than 1 month, after harvest.</i></b></li> </ul> <p><b><i>Earthworks requirements:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>All road design, construction, and maintenance to be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation.</i></b></li> </ul>  |       |          |                            |                    |         |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds  | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction             | Guiding principles | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the section <b>Recognise that the Marlborough Sounds is the District's "jewel in the crown"</b> in the guiding principles.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.4.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.5.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds   | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.6.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds   | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.7.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds   | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.10.8 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><br><i>Policy 5.10.8 - Any coastal occupancy charges collected will be used on the following to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area:</i><br><br><b>(x) Programmes such as the Marlborough Marine Futures collaborative process to develop integrated management of the Marlborough Sounds.</b> |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds   | 7     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | 6.            | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Volume 1, Chapter 6 Natural Character.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds   | 8     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.5  | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 6.2.5:<br><br><i>Policy 6.2.5 Recognise that development in parts of the coastal environment and in those rivers and lakes and their margins that have already been modified by past and present resource use activities is less likely to result in adverse effects on natural character.</i>  |       |          |                            |              |                 |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character        | 6.AER.1      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 6.AER.1:<br>6.AER.1<br><i>The natural character of Marlborough's coastal environment and of lakes, rivers and their margins is <del>retained</del><b>restored</b>.</i><br><b>Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds.</b>  |       |          |                            |              |                 |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds   | 10    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | Policy 7.2.7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.7.<br>That appropriate controls therefore apply to <b>all</b> of the Marlborough Sounds environment (both Outstanding and Coastal Landscape).   |       |          |                            |              |                 |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds   | 11    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.11.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That additional controls on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.<br><br>In regard to all of the references to exterior paint requiring a light reflectance value of 45% or less, GOS SEEK that this is amended to include "all exterior cladding must have a reflectance value of 45% or less" to avoid large areas of unpainted highly reflective corrugated iron on new buildings (including roof). |       |          |                            |              |                 |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds   | 12    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5.1.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That additional controls are included on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.   |       |          |                            |              |                 |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds   | 13    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.6.    | Support         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on excavation. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.   |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds   | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.5.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on filling. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.  |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds   | 15    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Landscapes 5 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments are made to Overlay Map 5 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br>1. Endangered Hector dolphin habitat including East Bay and "Hectorville" Te Ipapakerere Bay and Waikakaramea Bay are designated as ONFL's or as ecologically significant marine sites.<br><br>2. The whole of Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory channel are designated as ONFL's in their entirety. |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds   | 16    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | 7.M.1        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory Channel are included as ONFL's in their entirety. This should also include Endeavour Inlet, East Bay and Melville Cove ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds   | 17    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | 7.M.6        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 7.M.6.<br>Consider providing funding to wilding pine control programmes and other community initiated control programmes for undesirable plants and animals.   |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds   | 18    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | 7.M.7        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Undertake research into alternative forestry and land use options such as permanent sink forestry for pine forest owners in the Marlborough Sounds. The investigations should include how best to manage the transition from pine plantations to the chosen alternatives in a manner that minimises landscape effects, especially those caused by wilding pines.                  |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds   | 19    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.       | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Implementation of the recommendations from the MDC Technical Report Mitigating Fine Sediment from Forestry in the Coastal Waters of the Marlborough Sounds (Nov 2015) (a link to this document is provided in the submission). A number of options are evaluated for improving soil conservation and water quality, and thereby helping to maintain indigenous biodiversity within the Sounds.</p> <p>That the following new standards are added to 4.3.6 Commercial forestry replanting (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.A The following species must not be planted:</b></p> <p>(a) Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>);<br/> (b) Lodgepole pine (<i>Pinus contorta</i>);<br/> (c) Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>);<br/> (d) European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>);<br/> (e) Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>);<br/> (f) Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>);<br/> (g) Corsican pine (<i>Pinus nigra</i>).</p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.B Assess the risk of tree spread using the industry Wilding Spread Risk calculator, prior to planting taking place.</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.C No planting within 50m of a ridge.</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.D Replanting of areas harvested within 12 months of harvest.</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.E Replanting in excess of 1000 stems/hectare.</b></p> <p>That the above new standards also apply to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.</p> |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds  | 20    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.6.1 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>4.3.6.1 Replanting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p>(a) <del>8</del><b>10</b> metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;<br/> (b) <del>8</del><b>10</b> metres of a Significant Wetland;<br/> (c) <del>30</del><b>100</b> metres of the coastal marine area.;</p> <p><b>(d) 5 metres for streams less than 3 metres in width and 10 metres for steams equal to, or greater than, 3 metres in width.</b></p> <p>That control of pine re-growth and management of wildings in this coastal set-back is the responsibility of the plantation owner.</p>   |       |          |                            |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|---------|
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds  | 21    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                            | 7.            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That a new Method of Implementation ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ) is included to introduce an industry levy on logs harvested to control for wilding spread beyond the boundary and/or into the coastal setback area and the cost of this control.  |       |          |  |               |         |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds  | 22    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                            | 7.AER.1       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the wording "Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds" is included in 7.AER.1.  |       |          |  |               |         |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds  | 23    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | Objective 8.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the explanation of Objective 8.1 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ).  |       |          |  |               |         |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds  | 24    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | 8.AER.1       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That by 2020 a robust and substantial Marine Protected Areas/Marine Park, including at least all of Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory Channel, is established ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ).   |       |          |  |               |         |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds  | 25    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15C     | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new Methods of Implementation are included under Issue 15C:</p> <p><i>Management purpose (15-11)</i></p> <p><b><i>15.M.X Replanting Management Plan</i></b></p> <p><b><i>A mandatory plan that identifies areas at high risk of erosion and require retirement and implementation of buffers, such as gully heads and steep ephemeral gullies.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Incentives</i></b></p> <p><b><i>15.M.X Greater recognition and encouragement of planting permanent forest (not for harvest) or allowing native regeneration. Assistance could include rates rebate and funding for control of wilding pines.</i></b></p> |       |          |  |               |         |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds  | 26    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.13.       | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That the following new standards are included under Heading 4.3.13:<br><b>a) All road design, construction, and maintenance to be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.</b><br><b>b) All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation.</b>  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds  | 27    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That a new policy and rules aimed at preventing wilding pines spreading beyond the borders of commercial forestry are included.<br>That a requirement to use the industry Wilding Spread Risk Calculator to assess the risk of tree spread for a site prior to planting taking place is included.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds  | 28    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Issue 13A     | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds  | 29    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Policy 13.4.1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments are made:<br>1. Habitat protection for Blue Cod, particularly from all fishing impacts in the Marlborough Sounds, should include all the enclosed bays and 463m off all islands (as at Long Island Marine Reserve) and includes fishing of any type only permitted in open channels.<br>2. Commercial dredging is not be allowed to resume at any time in the Queen Charlotte Sound, or ideally anywhere in the Marlborough Sounds.<br>3. There is a permanent and complete ban on recreation dredges in the Marlborough Sounds and that scallops should only be sustainably harvested by scuba/snorkel in future. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds  | 30    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Issue 13E     | Support |
| Decision Requested | That permanent moorings should be provided in future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas.  |       |          |   |               |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds  | 31    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Objective 13.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Objective 13.7.  |       |          |  |                |         |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds  | 32    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.7.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Policy 13.7.1.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds  | 33    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.7.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Policy 13.7.2.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds  | 34    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the MEP include methods on how nitrogen levels will be monitored and managed in coastal waters, including the effects of forestry and Salmon farming ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |  |                |         |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds  | 35    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.8.         | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new standard is included under Heading 4.3.8 Woodlot forestry harvesting:</p> <p><b><i>4.3.8.X All woody material &gt;100 mm diameter and &gt;3 metres in length from gullies (&gt;5000m or 0.5 hectare) must be removed as soon as practicable, but no later than 1 month, after harvest.</i></b></p> <p>That the above new standard also applies to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.</p> |       |          |  |                |         |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds  | 36    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.7.1.         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.7.1 with the addition that there is no new commercial exotic forestry planting in the Marlborough Sounds.  |       |          |  |                |         |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds  | 37    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.7.2.         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Reconsider prohibited activity 4.7.2. This could become an ongoing source of wilding pines. Suggest an option to harvest once (or poison) and take measures to control wilding pines while the land is regenerating.   |       |          |  |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds   | 38    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.3.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following tree species are included in prohibited activity Rule 4.7.3:<br><b>Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>);</b><br><b>Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>);</b><br><b>European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>);</b><br><b>Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>);</b><br><b>Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>).</b> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds   | 39    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.6.3.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds   | 40    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.6.4.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds   | 41    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.7.1.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds   | 42    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.2.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.7.2.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds   | 43    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.6. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.13.6.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds   | 44    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.5. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.15.5.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds   | 45    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.16.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.16.8:<br><i>Standard 3.3.16.8 Filling must not be in, or within:</i><br><b>(d) 100m of the coastal marine area.</b>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds   | 46    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.15.9:<br><i>Standard 4.3.15.9 Filling must not be in, or within:</i><br><b>(d) 100m of the coastal marine area.</b>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds   | 47    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.14.1. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the following new standard is added under the heading 3.3.14 Excavation ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><b>Standard 3.3.14.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3 on flat land.</b>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds   | 48    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the following new standard is added under the heading 4.3.13 Excavation ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><b>Standard 4.3.13.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3 on flat land.</b>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds   | 49    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That further protection is provided by prohibiting anchoring and dredging in a buffer zone around these sites and any future marine protected areas. The size of these buffer zones to be determined as recommended in the MDC Expert Panel Assessment 2014-2015 (a link to this document is provided in the submission). |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 752                | Guardians of the Sounds   | 50    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.2.   | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| Decision Requested | That a collaborative approach is established between Council and boating clubs, recreational fishers and tourism operators to come up with workable regulations to keep human sewage out of the coastal marine environment.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds  | 51    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.3.                                   | Support |
| Decision Requested | That a collaborative approach is established between Council and boating clubs, recreational fishers and tourism operators to come up with workable regulations to keep human sewage out of the coastal marine environment.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>752</b>         | Guardians of the Sounds  | 52    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            |   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Ecologically Significant Marine Sites Overlays.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>325</b>         | Dianne Elizabeth & Kenneth George Gullery  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Re - Do the plan for Kaikoura Bay, Port Underwood, protecting the Tube Worm Colony (North Eastern Corner/Headland) and leaving/allowing existing Marine Farm Site's 8446, 8447 and 8448 to <u>Remain</u> in the South Westerly Waters of the Bay.<br><br><b><i>(Inferred to be regarding Ecologically Significant Marine Site 6.2)</i></b> |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>647</b>         | Douglas Guy  | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>756</b>         | Hye Sug Ha   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>756</b>         | Hye Sug Ha   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose  |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>756</b>         | Hye Sug Ha   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>756</b>         | Hye Sug Ha   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>756</b>         | Hye Sug Ha   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>756</b>         | Hye Sug Ha   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>756</b>         | Hye Sug Ha   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>756</b>         | Hye Sug Ha   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>756</b>         | Hye Sug Ha   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>756</b>         | Hye Sug Ha   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>802</b>         | Janice Hahn  | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>525</b>         | Harmony Haira  | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>588</b>         | Christopher Hall   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>588</b>         | Christopher Hall   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>588</b>         | Christopher Hall   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>588</b>         | Christopher Hall   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>588</b>         | Christopher Hall   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>588</b>         | Christopher Hall   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>588</b>         | Christopher Hall   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>588</b>         | Christopher Hall   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>588</b>         | Christopher Hall   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>588</b>         | Christopher Hall   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| <b>984</b>         | Neville James Hall  | 1     | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                       | 17.M.6                                    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That a 50 year plan is included to stop ever bigger trucks from entering Blenheim.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>984</b>         | Neville James Hall  | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 17 Roading Hierarchy           | 3.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That a 50 year plan is included to stop ever bigger trucks from entering Blenheim.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>984</b>         | Neville James Hall  | 3     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | Policy 8.2.4                              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That at least boundary shelter trees be left in place.<br>That landowners be required to replace all removed shelter which will give protection to both flora and fauna.              |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1211</b>        | Vaughan Hall  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1211</b>        | Vaughan Hall  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1211</b>        | Vaughan Hall  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1211</b>        | Vaughan Hall  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1211</b>        | Vaughan Hall  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1211</b>        | Vaughan Hall   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1211</b>        | Vaughan Hall   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1211</b>        | Vaughan Hall   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1211</b>        | Vaughan Hall   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1211</b>        | Vaughan Hall   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1298</b>        | Brian and Elsie Hall   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.1.36.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | We would like to see the proposed rule overturned.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1298</b>        | Brian and Elsie Hall   | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.36.       | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | We would like to see the proposed rule overturned.  |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>1298</b>        | Brian and Elsie Hall  | 3     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps              | Zoning Map 61  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Zone our property at 2670 State Highway 63 Wairau Valley (Lot 1 DP 309416) Rural Environment.   |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>1298</b>        | Brian and Elsie Hall  | 4     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps              | Zoning Map 167 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Zone our property at 2670 State Highway 63 Wairau Valley (Lot 1 DP 309416) Rural Environment.   |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>141</b>         | Hall Family Farms Ltd   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.1.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | We want our property removed from the soil sensitive map and impeded soil area. Property Number: 537552 pt sec 52DIST Of Wairau WEST and lot DP 8576. This will enable us to continue apply dairy shed effluent to our property.  |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>141</b>         | Hall Family Farms Ltd   | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.5.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No change   |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>141</b>         | Hall Family Farms Ltd   | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>We want to be able to use;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The massey university pound storage calculator</li> <li>2. Council to be more specific about "certified by a recognised professional"</li> <li>3. Supply set of plans and have approved by council before any storage is built</li> <li>4. be able to build back up storage less than 3 months</li> </ol> |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>141</b>         | Hall Family Farms Ltd   | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That dairy farms be able to build back up storage in a flood hazard area provided it is situated in the lowest risk area on any individual property.  |       |          |                          |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision             | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------|-----------------|
| <b>141</b>         | Hall Family Farms Ltd   | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.28.11.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | approve the ability to build less than 3 months storage using massey university calculator.   |       |          |   |                       |                 |
| <b>141</b>         | Hall Family Farms Ltd   | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.45.               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | we request that mdc allow staff to live in accommodation that meets a proper living standard. We provided a good home to our staff do not treat us the same as viticulture industry.  |       |          |   |                       |                 |
| <b>141</b>         | Hall Family Farms Ltd   | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Soil Sensitive Area 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Our property to be removed from MEP impeded soil map. Property number 537552  |       |          |   |                       |                 |
| <b>141</b>         | Hall Family Farms Ltd   | 8     | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land   |                       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That MDC be made to apply for resource consent and ask for "affected party approval" to carry out any river protection work because in our experience the people owning land around these river control works can be negatively affected.   |       |          |   |                       |                 |
| <b>141</b>         | Hall Family Farms Ltd   | 9     | All      | All                                       |                       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | We full support all of federation farmers submission  |       |          |   |                       |                 |
| <b>141</b>         | Hall Family Farms Ltd   | 10    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Springs sector water users.</p> <p>Before implementing a blanket restriction further scientific evidence of the complex aquifer/springs recharge process is required. A better understanding of the long term trend of the aquifer levels is essential before setting lower limits and cut-off thresholds.</p> <p>Treat all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users equally and consider implementing a rationing restriction when the monitor wells drop below a set level. This would give all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users the opportunity to prioritise where their reduced volume of allocated water is utilised.</p> |       |          |   |                       |                 |
| <b>141</b>         | Hall Family Farms Ltd   | 11    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3            | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | More monitoring of the Wairau Aquifer affects on the Spring Creek river.<br>Not to be fully restricted. |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>141</b>         | Hall Family Farms Ltd   | 12    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.7.4.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Make it a Permitted activity  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>141</b>         | Hall Family Farms Ltd   | 13    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.7.5.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Change to permitted activity  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>141</b>         | Hall Family Farms Ltd   | 14    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                           | 2.2.6.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We want this rule changed to be a volume based on the number of cows being milked per property.         |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>265</b>         | Lisa Halliday   | 1     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                               | Policy 7.2.2   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred).   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>265</b>         | Lisa Halliday   | 2     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                               | Policy 7.2.7   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred).   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>265</b>         | Lisa Halliday   | 3     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment           | Policy 14.4.12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred).   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>265</b>         | Lisa Halliday   | 4     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment           | Policy 14.4.13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred).   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>375</b>         | Norman Alexander Ham  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3     | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete the Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit minimum level for water takes. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>375</b>         | Norman Alexander Ham  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Freshwater Management Unit 3              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>929</b>         | Mandy Hargood   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>929</b>         | Mandy Hargood   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>929</b>         | Mandy Hargood   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>929</b>         | Mandy Hargood   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>929</b>         | Mandy Hargood   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.             |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>929</b>         | Mandy Hargood   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>929</b>         | Mandy Hargood  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources                 | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>929</b>         | Mandy Hargood  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources                 | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>929</b>         | Mandy Hargood  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>929</b>         | Mandy Hargood  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>764</b>         | HARO Partnership   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm, include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7):<br><br><i>"Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."</i> |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>764</b>         | HARO Partnership   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |               | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm, include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8):<br><br><i>"Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."</i>               |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>764</b>         | HARO Partnership  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from:<br>- The Camel Point headland and its vicinity; and<br>- The northern extreme of the Tennyson Inlet.<br><br>OR<br><br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>764</b>         | HARO Partnership  | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from:<br>- The Camel Point headland and its vicinity; and<br>- The northern extreme of the Tennyson Inlet.<br><br>OR<br><br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>764</b>         | HARO Partnership  | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                               | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed at Camel Point;<br>AND<br>Remove the ONL overlay from the northern extreme of Tennyson Inlet;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>764</b>         | HARO Partnership   | 6     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed at Camel Point;<br>AND<br>Remove the ONL overlay from the northern extreme of Tennyson Inlet;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>764</b>         | HARO Partnership   | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate.  |       |          |   |   |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision                               | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|---|-----------------|
| <b>764</b>         | HARO Partnership   | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps           | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate.  |       |          |                        |   |                 |
| <b>764</b>         | HARO Partnership   | 9     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps           | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate.  |       |          |                        |   |                 |
| <b>644</b>         | Diana Elizabeth Harper   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2.                                 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."          |       |          |                        |   |                 |
| <b>644</b>         | Diana Elizabeth Harper   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3.                                 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm." |       |          |                        |   |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|---|-----------------|
| <b>130</b>         | Vivienne Harris   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone    | 3.3.22.                                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add to this rule an addition being 3.3.22.6 - which reads the same as 3.3.23.6 with agrichemical substituted for fertilizer and lime.</p> <p>In addition incorporate, and implement more fully, the recommendations of the Environet Ltd 2007 report, commissioned by MDC, so that monitoring (especially at peak application times) and buffering in improved/increased, particularly along public roads and along any boundary where adjacent dwelling is not involved (as an owner) in the growing of grapes - especially the urban-rural interface with rural towns and communities.</p> <p>I am potentially effected by the environmental effects of this process and I derive no trade or commercial benefit.</p>          |       |          |                             |   |                 |
| <b>130</b>         | Vivienne Harris   | 2     | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.7.                                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Strengthen, or even actually monitor, the emissions of dust from activities such as, rock crushing to produce gravels, sand and aggregate and similar.</p> <p>Ensure standards are met for site storage, on site movement of material (including cement) and so that dust and other contaminants is minimized or eliminated and, in any case, restricts such dust and contaminants to within the operational site or storage site boundary.</p> <p>Provide monitoring data (including appropriate explanatory notes) to those living within a (say) 1 km radius or to anyone who may request such information.</p> <p>I am potentially effected by the environmental effects of this process and I obtain no trade benefits.</p> |       |          |                             |   |                 |
| <b>625</b>         | Cheryl Harris   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.</p>  |       |          |                             |   |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| <b>625</b>         | Cheryl Harris  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>625</b>         | Cheryl Harris  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>625</b>         | Cheryl Harris  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>625</b>         | Cheryl Harris  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>625</b>         | Cheryl Harris  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>625</b>         | Cheryl Harris  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>625</b>         | Cheryl Harris  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>625</b>         | Cheryl Harris  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>625</b>         | Cheryl Harris  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                                     | Objective 6.1               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>843</b>         | Karen Anne Harris  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8351 in Old Homewood Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>843</b>         | Karen Anne Harris  | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8351 in Old Homewood Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>843</b>         | Karen Anne Harris  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8351 in Old Homewood Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.        |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>843</b>         | Karen Anne Harris  | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8351 in Old Homewood Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.        |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>925</b>         | Michelle Gail Harris   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                                | 3.3.35.                     | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through) are made to Standard 3.3.35 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>(b) creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films;</del></li> <li><del>(c) fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</del></li> </ul> <p>At the very least, <b>professional companies</b> who all abide by the HSNO Act should be exempt from the new regulations as above entirely, and should not have to get a resource consent for shows that have overall minimum air pollution risk to Marlborough, due to the rarity of events, and short duration of displays when they do happen.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>925</b>         | Michelle Gail Harris   | 2     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.16.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through) are made to Standard 5.3.16 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>(b) creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films;</del></li> <li><del>(c) fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</del></li> </ul> <p>At the very least, <b>professional companies</b> who all abide by the HSNO Act should be exempt from the new regulations as above entirely, and should not have to get a resource consent for shows that have overall minimum air pollution risk to Marlborough, due to the rarity of events, and short duration of displays when they do happen.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>925</b>         | Michelle Gail Harris   | 3     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone              | 8.3.15.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through) are made to Standard 8.3.15 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>(b) creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films;</del></li> <li><del>(c) fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</del></li> </ul> <p>At the very least, <b>professional companies</b> who all abide by the HSNO Act should be exempt from the new regulations as above entirely, and should not have to get a resource consent for shows that have overall minimum air pollution risk to Marlborough, due to the rarity of events, and short duration of displays when they do happen.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| 925                | Michelle Gail Harris  | 4     | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone       | 19.3.9.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through) are made to Standard 19.3.9 (<b><i>inferred</i></b>):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>(b) creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films;</del></li> <li><del>(c) fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</del></li> </ul> <p>At the very least, <b>professional companies</b> who all abide by the HSNO Act should be exempt from the new regulations as above entirely, and should not have to get a resource consent for shows that have overall minimum air pollution risk to Marlborough, due to the rarity of events, and short duration of displays when they do happen.</p> |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 925                | Michelle Gail Harris  | 5     | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone            | 23.3.7.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through) are made to Standard 23.3.7 (<b><i>inferred</i></b>):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>(b) creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films;</del></li> <li><del>(c) fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</del></li> </ul> <p>At the very least, <b>professional companies</b> who all abide by the HSNO Act should be exempt from the new regulations as above entirely, and should not have to get a resource consent for shows that have overall minimum air pollution risk to Marlborough, due to the rarity of events, and short duration of displays when they do happen.</p> |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 925                | Michelle Gail Harris  | 6     | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone | 6.1.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Urban Residential 3 Zone:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li> <li>fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li> </ul>  |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 925                | Michelle Gail Harris  | 7     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.1.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Coastal Living Zone:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li> <li>fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li> </ul>   |       |          |                            |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| <b>925</b>         | Michelle Gail Harris  | 8     | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone           | 9.1.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Business 1 Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li> <li>• fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li> </ul>          |       |          |                             |           |        |
| <b>925</b>         | Michelle Gail Harris  | 9     | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone          | 10.1.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Business 2 Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li> <li>• fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li> </ul>          |       |          |                             |           |        |
| <b>925</b>         | Michelle Gail Harris  | 10    | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone          | 11.1.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Business 3 Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li> <li>• fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li> </ul>          |       |          |                             |           |        |
| <b>925</b>         | Michelle Gail Harris  | 11    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Industrial 1 and 2 Zones: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li> <li>• fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li> </ul> |       |          |                             |           |        |
| <b>925</b>         | Michelle Gail Harris  | 12    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone   | 14.1.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Port Landing Area Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li> <li>• fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li> </ul>   |       |          |                             |           |        |
| <b>925</b>         | Michelle Gail Harris  | 13    | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone        | 17.1.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Open Space 1 Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li> <li>• fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li> </ul>        |       |          |                             |           |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>925</b>         | Michelle Gail Harris   | 14           | Volume 2      | 18 Open Space 2 Zone                                    | 18.1.                       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Open Space 2 Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li> <li>• fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li> </ul> |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>925</b>         | Michelle Gail Harris   | 15           | Volume 2      | 20 Open Space 4 Zone                                    | 20.1.                       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Open Space 4 Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li> <li>• fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li> </ul> |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>925</b>         | Michelle Gail Harris   | 16           | Volume 2      | 21 Floodway Zone  | 21.1.                       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Floodway Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li> <li>• fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li> </ul>     |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>928</b>         | Michael Headley Harris   | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8351 in Old Homewood Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>928</b>         | Michael Headley Harris   | 2            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8351 in Old Homewood Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>928</b>         | Michael Headley Harris   | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8351 in Old Homewood Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>928</b>         | Michael Headley Harris   | 4            | Volume 3      | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8351 in Old Homewood Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>1052</b>        | Robin Bruce and Valerie Annette Harris  | 1     | All      | All                   |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>1065</b>        | Robin Harris  | 1     | All      | All                   |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>1205</b>        | Valerie Annette Harris  | 1     | All      | All                   |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>1281</b>        | Andrew Harris   | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.5.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 7.2.1.5 from the proposed plan.   |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>589</b>         | Chase Harrison  | 1     | All      | All                   |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>230</b>         | Marion Harvey   | 1     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change     | Issue 19A | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>1. Make changes to the wording of the plan to acknowledge and give due weight to the seriousness of the threats posed by climate change, currently these are downplayed (see submission).</p> <p>2. Strengthen emphasis on the importance of research and acknowledge the sources of information that are available. While there are still elements of uncertainty, these do not reduce the ability of the Council to take action on climate change and make effective decisions as the plan currently implies.</p> <p>3. Focus on reducing regional greenhouse gas emissions, not on offsetting.</p> <p>4. Give climate change its proper place at the forefront of this Environmental Plan, designed to carry through until 2026 or later, Chapter 1 not Chapter 19.</p> |       |          |              |                             |        |
| <b>388</b>         | Adrian Mark Henry Harvey  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Flood Hazard Area 4         | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I would like to see this amended to actual Hazard Area.   |       |          |              |                             |        |
| <b>388</b>         | Adrian Mark Henry Harvey  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I would like this map to be amended and the Coastal Natural Character removed from my freehold title.   |       |          |              |                             |        |
| <b>388</b>         | Adrian Mark Henry Harvey  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I would like to see this removal from my freehold land.   |       |          |              |                             |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision                  | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| <b>388</b>         | Adrian Mark Henry Harvey  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Threatened Environments 4  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | I would like to see this more defined. The costs to fence and keep pests out.   |       |          |                            |                            |         |
| <b>388</b>         | Adrian Mark Henry Harvey  | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Steep Erosion Prone Land 4 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | I would like to see this taken out of the Plan.   |       |          |                            |                            |         |
| <b>388</b>         | Adrian Mark Henry Harvey  | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1.                   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | They should be planted in woodlots.   |       |          |                            |                            |         |
| <b>388</b>         | Adrian Mark Henry Harvey  | 7     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.                     | Support |
| Decision Requested | There are some really good forestry sites in the inner and central Sounds. This would be a better option than livestock farming.  |       |          |                            |                            |         |
| <b>430</b>         | John and Pam Harvey   | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.2.6.                     | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.2.6 as follows (strikethrough and bold): <i>Take and use of water for dairy shed wash water up to <del>15</del> <b>25</b>m<sup>3</sup> per day per dairy shed.</i> |       |          |                            |                            |         |
| <b>430</b>         | John and Pam Harvey   | 2     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.8.2.                     | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Allow instant removal of fallen, or washed out trees.   |       |          |                            |                            |         |
| <b>430</b>         | John and Pam Harvey   | 3     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.9.2.                     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 2.9.2 (Rule 2.7.2)   |       |          |                            |                            |         |
| <b>430</b>         | John and Pam Harvey   | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.                  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.8  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>430</b>         | John and Pam Harvey   | 5     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.9.7.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 2.9.7 (Rule 2.7.7)   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>430</b>         | John and Pam Harvey   | 6     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.14.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 2.14.1 (Rule 2.12.1)   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>430</b>         | John and Pam Harvey   | 7     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.14.6.   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Heading 2.14.6 so that plant species other than native plant species can be planted, e.g., bitter willow ( <i>inferred</i> ). |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>430</b>         | John and Pam Harvey   | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.8.    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Heading 3.2.8 ( <i>inferred</i> )  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>430</b>         | John and Pam Harvey   | 9     | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone       | 10.3.10.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Heading 10.3.10 (Rule 10.1.14)   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>533</b>         | Hamish Harvey   | 1     | All      | All                      |           | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.                    |       |          |                          |           |         |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b>                          | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|---|-------------|
| <b>590</b>         | Cameron Harvey   | 1            | Volume 1      | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>590</b>         | Cameron Harvey   | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>590</b>         | Cameron Harvey   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>590</b>         | Cameron Harvey   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>590</b>         | Cameron Harvey   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |   | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>590</b>         | Cameron Harvey   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |   | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>590</b>         | Cameron Harvey   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>590</b>         | Cameron Harvey   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>590</b>         | Cameron Harvey   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>590</b>         | Cameron Harvey   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>882</b>         | Lydia B Harvey   | 1     | All      | All                 |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>766</b>         | Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited  | 1     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions      | 25.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to the definition of <i>Large format retail</i>:</p> <p><i>Large format retail means the use of land and buildings for the sale of goods to the trade and/or general public. <b>Large format retail applies within the Business 3 zone only.</b></i></p> <p>The Submitter considers that a minimum floor area threshold should be added to the large format retail definition. The Submitter is not interested in the setting of this threshold; that is the responsibility of the Council. For the purpose of this Submission, the Submitter is only interested in ensuring that the definition, if retained, only applies to the Business 3 zone.</p> |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>766</b>         | Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited  | 2     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions      | 25.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of <i>Commercial activity</i> be retained provided that the definition for <i>Large format retail</i> is revised as set out in this submission (point #1).   |       |          |                     |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>766</b>         | Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited  | 3     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.4.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 12.4.4 be retained provided that the definition for large format retail is revised, as set out in the decision requested for submission point #1.</p> <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the 1st sentence of the 4th paragraph of the explanation of Policy 12.4.4:</p> <p><i>The third tier, Business <del>1</del> <b>3</b> Zone, also provides the community with a localised shopping and service function, but at a much larger scale for the large format retail operations. These large scale retailing activities are limited to single purpose stores to prevent the potential for dispersal of retail activities and therefore any detracting from the role and function of the finer grained, more pedestrian-oriented business areas of the Business 1 Zone.</i></p> |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| <b>766</b>         | Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited  | 4     | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation     | Policy 17.5.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 17.5.5.  |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| <b>766</b>         | Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited  | 5     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules       | 2.31.1.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.31.1.  |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| <b>766</b>         | Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited  | 6     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules       | 2.32.1.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.32.1.  |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| <b>766</b>         | Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited  | 7     | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone     | 9.1.3.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 9.1.3.   |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| <b>766</b>         | Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited  | 8     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps           | Zoning Map 15 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Zoning Map 15.  |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| <b>766</b>         | Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited  | 9     | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone     | 9.2.1.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Submitter's interest in these provisions be recorded pending submissions from other submitters and Council's further consideration of these matters.  |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| <b>1312</b>        | Errol Hattersley   | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.5.      | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).<br>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation<br>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:   |       |          |                          |               |                 |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul> |       |          |                          |               |                 |
| <b>369</b>         | Tony Hawke  | 1     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character      | 6.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Review this chapter of the MEP.   |       |          |                          |               |                 |
| <b>369</b>         | Tony Hawke  | 2     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape              | 7.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Review this chapter of the MEP.   |       |          |                          |               |                 |
| <b>369</b>         | Tony Hawke  | 3     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments    | Policy 12.1.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Allow for infill subdivision in the Residential 2 Zone.   |       |          |                          |               |                 |
| <b>369</b>         | Tony Hawke  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested. ( <i>Inferred that concerned about the inclusion of Douglas Fir in this Standard.</i> )  |       |          |                          |               |                 |
| <b>369</b>         | Tony Hawke  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested. ( <i>Inferred that concerned about the inclusion of Douglas Fir in this Standard.</i> )  |       |          |                          |               |                 |
| <b>369</b>         | Tony Hawke  | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.10.1.     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | No decision requested. ( <i>Inferred that concerned about the inclusion of Douglas Fir in this Standard.</i> )  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>369</b>         | Tony Hawke  | 7     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.3.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested. Inferred that decision is the stated submission and that is <i>Allow for two residential dwellings on the one site, provided the area and access requirements in the Residential 2 Zone can be met.</i>  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>369</b>         | Tony Hawke  | 8     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.5.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the maximum height of 10 metres in this area (Appendix K Rule 2.2.7 WARMP).<br><br><b>Note - Appendix K was deleted from the WARMP through Variation 39. Inferred that submitter is requesting that WARMP Appendix K Rule 2.2.7 bullet point 2 Height of Dwellings, recreational buildings and others - 2 storeys (10 metres) be <u>included</u> in the MEP.</b>   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>369</b>         | Tony Hawke  | 9     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.6.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain requirements under Appendix K Rule 2.2.7 bullet point 3 WARMP in this area, which states:<br><br><i>Height All buildings shall be contained within a building envelope extending from 3 meters above the boundary into the site at an angle of 45deg.</i><br><br><b>Note - Appendix K was deleted from the WARMP through Plan Change 39. Inferred that submitter requests Rule 2.2.7 point 3 to be <u>included</u> in the MEP.</b> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>369</b>         | Tony Hawke  | 10    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.6.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Rule 32.1.3.4.6 Vol 2 Chapter 12 WARMP. Rule 32.1.3.4.6 states:</p> <p><i>32.1.3.4.6 Exception for a garage</i></p> <p><i>Any part of the building may intrude into a recession plane, until the recession plane reaches 3 metres in height with reference to the boundary level to enable the building to be sited up against or nearer to a side or rear boundary provided that:</i></p> <p><i>a) The continuous or aggregate length of a building or buildings sited on or near to the boundary and intruding into the recession plane may not exceed 9 metres.</i></p> <p><i>b) The exemption can be applied to only one side boundary and one rear boundary.</i></p> <p><i>c) Any such building shall be sited at least 5.5 metres from the front boundary. This does not apply to side entry garages, where a 90-percentile vehicle can park between the front boundary and the garage entrance.</i></p> <p><i>d) The maximum height of the building within 1 metre of the boundary does not exceed 3 metres.</i></p> <p><b>Note Inferred that submitter is referring to Chapter <u>32</u> of the WARMP as the WARMP has no Chapter 12.</b></p> |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>369</b>         | Tony Hawke  | 11    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.11.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove this rule.   |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>369</b>         | Tony Hawke  | 12    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                   | 24.1.16.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add words "<i>unless waived or width reduced by a resource consent</i>". Substitute "<i>must be provided</i>" for "<i>may be provided</i>".</p> <p>Add in a rule:</p> <p><i>An exemption - the above rule (<b>inferred Rule 24.1.16</b>) shall not apply where a subdivision is for a minor boundary adjustment to an allotment involving an alteration of no more than 15% of the allotment area.</i></p> <p><i>Minor boundary adjustments should not be caught up in Rule 24.1.16 (<b>inferred</b>) when the subdivision has no effect on the water course within the allotment.</i></p>   |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>369</b>         | Tony Hawke  | 13    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                   | 24.3.1.17. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add in rule as above <b><i>(inferred relates to new rule requested in Submission point 369.12)</i></b><br>Add in a rule:<br><i>An exemption - the rule shall not apply where a subdivision is for a minor boundary adjustment to an allotment involving an alteration of no more than 15% of the allotment area.</i><br><i>Minor boundary adjustments should not be caught up in Rule 24.1.16 (inferred) when the subdivision has no effect on the water course within the allotment.</i> |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>369</b>         | Tony Hawke  | 14    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested. ( <i>Inferred delete Objective 7.1 and policies relating to identification of outstanding natural features and landscape characteristics.</i> )  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1008</b>        | Philip Anthony Hawke  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1008</b>        | Philip Anthony Hawke  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1008</b>        | Philip Anthony Hawke  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1008</b>        | Philip Anthony Hawke  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| <b>1008</b>        | Philip Anthony Hawke   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1008</b>        | Philip Anthony Hawke   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1008</b>        | Philip Anthony Hawke   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1008</b>        | Philip Anthony Hawke   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1008</b>        | Philip Anthony Hawke   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1008</b>        | Philip Anthony Hawke   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>767</b>         | Hawkesbury Farm Limited  | 1     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | That commercial forestry is not allowed but shelter belts are, especially with a preference for indigenous species on ridges, valleys and hills.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>767</b>         | Hawkesbury Farm Limited  | 2     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.7  | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.7.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>767</b>         | Hawkesbury Farm Limited  | 3     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That ( <i>inferred</i> ):  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Primary production is recognised, particularly larger farms with livestock production, as a vitally important part of Marlborough's diverse income and employment base. History has shown that to focus on a monoculture is a dangerous proposition.</li> <li>• Council has to somehow achieve consistency in how it interprets RMA issues and policies. At present the Omaka Valley has large houses above ridge lines and many roads leading to subdivision which are unsightly and unplanted.</li> <li>• Consistency with subdivision so that one party doesn't feel favoured over another.</li> <li>• Selective single housing for areas larger than 20 ha is allowed if placed below main ridges, professionally landscaped and well placed to suit the land form and thereby not being visually intrusive.</li> <li>• Under current 8 ha subdivision requirements, smaller allotment sizes have been granted for housing. There seems to be a lack of consistency in how council interprets the understood guidelines of the RMA. Of concern is the amount of land subdivided only to end up grazing a pet sheep and a calf or two, with that goes the total loss of production from what is often very productive land.</li> </ul> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>767</b>         | Hawkesbury Farm Limited  | 4     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That ( <i>inferred</i> ):  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Primary production is recognised, particularly larger farms with livestock production, as a vitally important part of Marlborough's diverse income and employment base. History has shown that to focus on a monoculture is a dangerous proposition.</li> <li>• Council has to somehow achieve consistency in how it interprets RMA issues and policies. At present the Omaka Valley has large houses above ridge lines and many roads leading to subdivision which are unsightly and unplanted.</li> <li>• Consistency with subdivision so that one party doesn't feel favoured over another.</li> <li>• Selective single housing for areas larger than 20 ha is allowed if placed below main ridges, professionally landscaped and well placed to suit the land form and thereby not being visually intrusive.</li> <li>• Under current 8 ha subdivision requirements, smaller allotment sizes have been granted for housing. There seems to be a lack of consistency in how council interprets the understood guidelines of the RMA. Of concern is the amount of land subdivided only to end up grazing a pet sheep and a calf or two, with that goes the total loss of production from what is often very productive land.</li> </ul> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>767</b>         | Hawkesbury Farm Limited  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.6.2.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.6.2(j).  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>767</b>         | Hawkesbury Farm Limited  | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.10.4.      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That only indigenous species are planted ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>767</b>         | Hawkesbury Farm Limited   | 7     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Issue 5H                                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That ( <i>inferred</i> ):   |       |          |   |   |                 |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council should adopt a more open view to the storage of water this has to be done with the required safety issues of course but more flexibility needs to be displayed, i.e., no Resource Consent for smaller damming propositions as long as they are professionally engineered, perhaps 10000m3 and below.</li> <li>• Properties with Southern Valley connections and water take are urged to use this facility especially in regard to original commitment. This would take the pressure off ground water reserves and aquifer.</li> <li>• Those that actively and publicly opposed the scheme should be last on as this has caused a lot of friction between neighbours.</li> <li>• New Resource Consent applications for water either from ground water, run off or river take should be tied to storage, storage pertinent to the land use option chosen.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>851</b>         | Kevin Hawkins   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>851</b>         | Kevin Hawkins   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>851</b>         | Kevin Hawkins   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>851</b>         | Kevin Hawkins   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>851</b>         | Kevin Hawkins   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>851</b>         | Kevin Hawkins  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                       |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>851</b>         | Kevin Hawkins  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>851</b>         | Kevin Hawkins  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>851</b>         | Kevin Hawkins  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                       | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>851</b>         | Kevin Hawkins  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                       | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>300</b>         | Hawkswood Vineyard Ltd   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the cut off for the Springs Area (inferred).  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>300</b>         | Hawkswood Vineyard Ltd   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.7  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision                                 | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| Decision Requested | The current allocation should remain at 10m3 per day or if there is to be a reduction it should be based on the size of the property and amenity area.                                |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>300</b>         | Hawkswood Vineyard Ltd  | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.10                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete policy (inferred).   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>300</b>         | Hawkswood Vineyard Ltd  | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.3                              | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>300</b>         | Hawkswood Vineyard Ltd  | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | 5.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>301</b>         | Hawkswood Vineyard Ltd  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3                                | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the minimum flow cut offs for Wairau, Waihopai and Awatere Rivers (inferred).  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>729</b>         | Graham Hayter   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                              | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>729</b>         | Graham Hayter   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>729</b>         | Graham Hayter  | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>729</b>         | Graham Hayter  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>729</b>         | Graham Hayter  | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>729</b>         | Graham Hayter  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>729</b>         | Graham Hayter  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>729</b>         | Graham Hayter  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>729</b>         | Graham Hayter  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>729</b>         | Graham Hayter  | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>497</b>         | Heagney Bros Limited   | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                         | 2.33.2.                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submitter has not identified a "Decision requested" to which the submission relates to.<br><b><i>It is inferred that the submitter opposes Rule 2.33.2 and that cartage of logs from plantations should not require a resource consent.</i></b>        |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>497</b>         | Heagney Bros Limited   | 2     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                          | 25.                                       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submitter has not identified a "Decision requested" to which the submission relates to.<br><b><i>It is inferred that an amendment(s) to the definition of Commercial forestry is made to clarify the consequences of excluding transportation.</i></b> |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>803</b>         | John Healy   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>803</b>         | John Healy   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>803</b>         | John Healy   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>803</b>         | John Healy   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>803</b>         | John Healy   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>803</b>         | John Healy   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>803</b>         | John Healy   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>803</b>         | John Healy   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>803</b>         | John Healy   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>803</b>         | John Healy   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>983</b>         | Nicholas James Hearn   | 1     | All      | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |               |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>824</b>         | Archer, Beryl Evelyn and Heberd, John Roderick  | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8149 in Anakoha Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>824</b>         | Archer, Beryl Evelyn and Heberd, John Roderick  | 2            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8149 in Anakoha Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>824</b>         | Archer, Beryl Evelyn and Heberd, John Roderick  | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8149 in Anakoha Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>824</b>         | Archer, Beryl Evelyn and Heberd, John Roderick  | 4            | Volume 3      | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8149 in Anakoha Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 1            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources                 | Issue 4A                    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Page 4-2, Paragraph 5<br>That the claim that the value of the conservation estate, e.g., the Queen Charlotte Track as having significant economic value to Marlborough (with \$10 million given as the contribution to Marlborough's economy) is properly validated through a reliable economic reference, given that over the life of the MEP this figure could go either up or down markedly. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources                 | 4.M.4                       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 4.M.4.   |              |               |   |                             |             |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.3.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.1.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.2.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.3.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.4.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.5.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 9     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.AER.1       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Monitoring effectiveness points #2 and #3 - How the infrastructure throughout Marlborough will be geared up to cope with the 1.5 million visitors by 2026 should be included ( <i>inferred</i> ). |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 10    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | 5.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain content of Introduction.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 11    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.1.   |       |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 12    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.1.1.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 13    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.1.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.1.2.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 14    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.2 and associated policies.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 15    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | There should be reference to the issue of toxic metals (copper, chromium and arsenic) the leaching into the aquifers, particularly under vineyard posts. It is noted that the risk of toxic metals (e.g., copper, chromium and arsenic) from vineyard is generally perceived to be small, but if the precautionary principle is used, this issue needs to be covered in the MEP. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 16    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy 5.2.11 should be cross-referenced to the Council's climate change policies, as, given the very low height above sea-level in the Lower Wairau Valley (1 -2 m in places), salt-water intrusion into the aquifer will become more of an issue for management in the future.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 17    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.12 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy 5.2.12 should be cross-referenced to the Council's climate change policies, as, given the very low height above sea-level in the Lower Wairau Valley (1 -2 m in places), salt-water intrusion into the aquifer will become more of an issue for management in the future.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 18    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.3 ( <i>inferred</i> ).  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 19    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.3.15.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 20    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.16.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 21    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | An expectation that the person who receives the transferred water right is then responsible for monitoring, and for all other conditions attached to a permit for water take. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 22    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.5 and associated policies.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 23    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.6 and associated policies.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 24    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.4.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 25    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.9 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.9.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 26    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.9.1.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 27    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.9.2.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 28    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.9.3.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 29    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.10.  |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 30    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.1.   |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 31    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.2.   |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 32    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.3.   |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 33    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.4.   |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 34    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.5.   |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 35    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That coastal occupancy charges are incurred on marine farms as this expenditure is likely to be relatively greater for environmental monitoring of marine farming than for monitoring of jetties and boatsheds ( <i>inferred</i> ). |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 36    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That coastal occupancy charges are incurred on marine farms as this expenditure is likely to be relatively greater for environmental monitoring of marine farming than for monitoring of jetties and boatsheds ( <i>inferred</i> ). |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 37    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Objective 6.1  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 6.1 and encourage the Council to make this work a priority, given the pressure on the Council for more use of the coastal environment, and ongoing development pressure in the dry hills landscape area.  |       |          |   |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 38    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                                     | Policy 6.1.4 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | We encourage the Council to undertake further consideration of this when it implements policy 6.1.4.   |       |          |   |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 39    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                                     | Policy 6.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.1.   |       |          |   |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 40    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                                     | Policy 6.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.2.   |       |          |   |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 41    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                                     | Policy 6.2.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.3.   |       |          |   |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 42    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                                     | Policy 6.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.5.   |       |          |   |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 43    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                                     | Policy 6.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.6.   |       |          |   |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 44    | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |              | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Appendix 2 page App 2-27 and App 2-29.<br>That D'Urville Island - Northern Cook Strait (page App 2-27) is described in its entirety as an outstanding landscape (seascape) and includes the long views from east-west from the ONLs of D'Urville Island, the Rangitoto Islands to the Chetwodes and the Capes (page App 2-29) ( <i>inferred</i> ). |       |          |   |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 45    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                                     | 6.           | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain alignment of the MEP in this respect with the RMA and the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement (NZCPS) Objective 2 and NZCPS Policies 13 and 14 ( <i>inferred</i> ). |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 46    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character        | Policy 6.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.7.  |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 47    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character        | Policy 6.2.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.8.  |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 48    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.6.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.1.6.  |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 49    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character        | Policy 6.2.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.9.  |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 50    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character        | 6.M.1        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 6.M.1.   |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 51    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character        | 6.M.2        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 6.M.2.   |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 52    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character        | 6.M.3        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 6.M.3.   |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 53    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character        | 6.M.4        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 6.M.4.   |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 54    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character        | 6.AER.1      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 6.AER.1.   |       |          |                            |              |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 55    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character  | Policy 6.1.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That those areas assessed as being threatened environments are included within Volume 1 Chapter 6 ( <i>inferred</i> ).  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 56    | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Endeavour Stream is included in Appendix 5 given that there is an ecologically significant marine site at the head of Endeavour Inlet (ID 4.27).   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 57    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape  | Objective 7.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 7.1.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 58    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.5.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That any area of original native forest, particularly lowland forest in the Marlborough Sounds and in South Marlborough should not be able to be cleared as a permitted activity.<br><br>That there should be no clearance allowed at all if it has already been assessed as being an outstanding natural feature or landscape. |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 59    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape  | Policy 7.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.1.1.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 60    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape  | Policy 7.1.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.1.2.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 61    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape  | Policy 7.1.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.1.3.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 62    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape  | Policy 7.1.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.1.4.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 63    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape  | Policy 7.1.5  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The submission does not specify a decision requested.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 64    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                            | Policy 7.2.4   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.4.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 65    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                            | Policy 7.2.5   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.5.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 66    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                            | Policy 7.2.7   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 7.2.7 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.7 – Protect the values of outstanding natural features and landscapes and the high amenity values of the Wairau Dry Hills and the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscapes by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) In respect of structures:</i></p> <p><i>(iii) using reflectivity levels and <b>all</b> building materials that complement the colours in the surrounding landscape;</i></p> <p><i>(b) In respect of land disturbance (including tracks and roads):</i></p> <p><i>(iii) minimising the extent of any cuts or side castings where land disturbance is to take place on a slope; <del>and</del></i></p> <p><i>(iv) encouraging the revegetation of cuts or side castings by seeding or planting;</i></p> <p><b><i>(v) avoid the clearing of the foreshore reserve in the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape by builders or adjacent property owners; and</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(vi) enable weed control and re-vegetation of the foreshore reserve in the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape.</i></b></p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 67    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                            | Policy 7.2.9   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.9.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 68    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not specify a decision requested.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 69    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.21.        | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek is that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Livestock are excluded from the beds of lakes and significant wetlands, and suitable setbacks be required to avoid adverse effects: a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place.</li> <li>All cattle, pigs and deer are excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees.</li> <li>Sheep are excluded where they are being break fed or otherwise very intensively grazed. Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate.</li> <li>Stock are excluded from the rivers listed in Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation. This is based on long term monitoring information and most of these rivers are in areas where livestock access is clearly a contributing factor to the poorer water quality.</li> </ul> |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 70    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek is that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Livestock are excluded from the beds of lakes and significant wetlands, and suitable setbacks be required to avoid adverse effects: a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place.</li> <li>All cattle, pigs and deer are excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees.</li> <li>Sheep are excluded where they are being break fed or otherwise very intensively grazed. Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate.</li> <li>Stock are excluded from the rivers listed in Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation. This is based on long term monitoring information and most of these rivers are in areas where livestock access is clearly a contributing factor to the poorer water quality.</li> </ul> |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 71    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | 8.            | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Chapter 8 Introduction.   |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 72    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | Objective 8.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 8.1.  |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 73    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | Objective 8.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 8.2.  |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 74    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | Policy 8.1.1  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.1.1. We encourage the MDC to continue this survey work, particularly in North Marlborough, where there are still wetlands that are possibly unsurveyed, and areas of original indigenous forest in private ownership, some of which is very much at risk from clearing for development. |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 75    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.1.2.  |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 76    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.1.3.  |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 77    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That restoration of lost shellfish beds in Pelorus Sound is a permitted activity and includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>restoration of the benthic environment and</li> <li>the placement of scientific recording instruments.</li> </ul>   |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 78    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.2.  |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 79    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.3.  |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 80    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.4.  |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 81    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.5.  |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 82    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.6.  |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 83    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.7 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.7.   |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 84    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.8.   |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 85    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.9.   |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 86    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.10.  |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 87    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.11.  |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 88    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.12.  |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 89    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.13.  |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 90    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.1.   |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 91    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 8.3.2 requires the Council to undertake an objective assessment with respect to the criteria set out under Policy 8.1.1, rather than relying on the word of the developer who may wish to describe the site as <u>not</u> being significant. |       |          |                           |               |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>        | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 92           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.3.    |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 93           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.5.    |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 94           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.7.    |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 95           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.8.    |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 96           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.1            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.1.           |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 97           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.6            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.6.           |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 98           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.7            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.7.           |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 99           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.8            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.8.           |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 100          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.AER.1          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.AER.1.         |              |               |                           |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom            | 101          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity         | 8.AER.2          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.AER.2.                    |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom            | 102          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity         | 8.AER.3          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.AER.3                     |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom            | 103          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity         | 8.AER.4          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.AER.4.                    |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom            | 104          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity         | 8.AER.5          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.AER.5.                    |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom            | 105          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.2   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.2.             |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom            | 106          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.2.              |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom            | 107          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.3    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policies 13.2.3(a) and (b). |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom            | 108          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.6    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.6.              |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom            | 109          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.9    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.9.              |              |               |                                   |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>        | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 110          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.8   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.8.  |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 111          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.8.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.8.1.   |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 112          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.8.2    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.8.2.   |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 113          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.6         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.AER.6.        |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 114          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.9   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.9.  |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 115          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.9.1.   |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 116          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.2    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.9.2.   |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 117          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.4   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.4.  |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 118          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.4.1.   |              |               |                                   |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| 688                | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 119   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.2   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.4.2.   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| 688                | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 120   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.9          | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.9.  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| 688                | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 121   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.4.  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| 688                | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 122   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.5.  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| 688                | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 123   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.6  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Policy 13.10.6.   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| 688                | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 124   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.7.  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| 688                | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 125   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.15 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>The submission does not include a decision requested in relation to Policy 13.10.15(b).</p> <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.10.15(d) (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p><i>Policy 13.10.15(d) discouraging the use of jetties (or parts of jetties) that run parallel to the shore, as they can cause greater visual impact than jetties perpendicular to the shore;</i></p> <p>The submission does not include a decision requested in relation to Policy 13.10.15(i).</p> |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| 688                | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 126   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.16 | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | We submit that the last sentence of this policy should be amended to make it clear that it does not provide for routine "free-loading" by local residents who may have declined to pay a share of maintenance or consent costs, or commercial users who will not take responsibility for a share of repairing damage resulting from their (regular) use. We accept that all jetties must be available for public access in principle (examples are for emergencies, and for visitors arriving to a specific location), but that this provision will be taken advantage of, if this policy is retained, with no ability for consent holders to seek redress or contribution for the <u>regular</u> use of jetties by others. |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 127   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.17 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.17.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 128   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.18 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.18.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 129   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.19 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.19.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 130   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.20 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.20.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 131   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.21 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.21.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 132   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.22 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.22.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 133   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.23 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.23.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 134   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources  | Policy 5.10.8   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 135          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.24  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.24.  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 136          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.25  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.25.  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 137          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.26  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.26.  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 138          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.27  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We submit that, with rising sea-level, existing sea-wall structures that have either been consented, or which have been in place for 20-60 years (in some cases) should not retrospectively be required to seek consents. Any requirement to take them down could result in even more damage to beachfronts. The exception to this could be those constructed out of old car tyres: there have already been issues in Endeavour Inlet requiring clean-up of abandoned or badly-maintained tyre structures. |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 139          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.13  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | We hope that MDC intends to do more to monitor and enforce this objective than it has in the past.   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 140          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.7   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.7.   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 141          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.8   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.8.   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 142          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.3   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.3.   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 143   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.18         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.18.  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 144   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13F       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That marine farm structures are included within the general description of coastal structures in the preamble of Issue 13F and in the Section 32 Report on Chapter 13 - Use of the Coastal Environment.  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 145   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.15.3.   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 146   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.16 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.6.   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 147   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.16.1. That the policy takes into consideration large private launches as they travel at least as fast as the ferries, and can generate very large wakes, which cause damage to beaches and the edge of the land in enclosed waters ( <i>inferred</i> ). |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 148   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.16.2.   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 149   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.16.3. That the policy takes into consideration large private launches as they travel at least as fast as the ferries, and can generate very large wakes, which cause damage to beaches and the edge of the land in enclosed waters ( <i>inferred</i> ). |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 150   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.16.4.   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 151   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.5  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.16.5. That the policy takes into consideration large private launches as they travel at least as fast as the ferries, and can generate very large wakes, which cause damage to beaches and the edge of the land in enclosed waters ( <i>inferred</i> ). |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 152   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.6  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.16.6.   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 153   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.20         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.20.  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 154   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.23         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.23.  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 155   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.11 and request that further attention is given to ways of monitoring and preventing freedom camping in the marinas.  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 156   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.1        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.AER.1.   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 157   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.2        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.AER.2.   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 158   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.3        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.AER.3.   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 159   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.4        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.AER.4.   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>        | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 160          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.5         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.AER.5.        |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 161          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.6         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.AER.6.        |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 162          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.8         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.AER.8.        |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 163          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.7         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.AER.7.        |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 164          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.9         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.AER.9.        |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 165          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.10        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.AER.10.       |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 166          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.11        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.AER.11.       |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 167          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.12        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.AER.12.       |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom | 168          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.13        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.AER.13.       |              |               |                                   |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                               | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 169          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment            | 13.AER.14        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.AER.14.   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 170          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment            | 13.AER.15        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.AER.15.   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 171          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment            | 13.AER.16        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.AER.16.   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 172          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment            | 13.AER.17        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.AER.17.   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 173          | Volume 1      | 19 Climate Change                            | Issue 19B        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Issue 19B refers to land subsidence in the Sounds as it currently significantly adds to the rate of sea-level rise here. |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 174          | Volume 3      | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan |                  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan.  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 175          | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources             | Policy 5.4.1     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.4.1 applies to forestry consents.   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 176          | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape                                  | Policy 7.2.1     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.1.  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 177          | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape                                  | Policy 7.2.2     | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 7.2.2(b):<br><br><i>"Control activities that have the potential to degrade the amenity values that contribute to the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape by:<br/> (a) setting permitted activity standards that are consistent with the existing landscape values and that will require greater assessment where proposed activities and structures exceed those standards; and<br/><br/> (b) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities prohibiting new resource consents for commercial forestry." (Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |              |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 178   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | Policy 7.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 7.2.3(c):<br><br><i>Policy 7.2.3 – Control activities that have the potential to degrade the amenity values that contribute to those areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape not identified as being an outstanding natural feature and landscape by:<br/> (a) using a non-regulatory approach as the means of maintaining and enhancing landscape values in areas of this landscape zoned as Coastal Living; <b>and</b><br/> (b) setting standards/conditions that are consistent with the existing landscape values and that will require greater assessment where proposed activities and structures exceed those standards; <del>and</del><br/> (c) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities.</i> |       |          |                            |              |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 179   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That there should be standards relating for the management of wilding trees, including <i>Pinus radiata</i> , which is by far the main problem historically and currently in the Marlborough Sounds ( <i>inferred</i> ).  |       |          |                            |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 688                | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 180   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Policy 7.2.10 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.10 – Reduce the impact of wilding <del>pin</del>es- <b>spread of exotic tree species</b> on the landscape by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) supporting initiatives to control existing wilding <del>pin</del>es <b>spread</b> and limit their further spread; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) controlling the planting of commercial wood species that are prone to wilding <del>pin</del>e spread.</i></p> <p>The ability of <b>exotic tree species</b> <del>pine trees</del> to spread from commercial plantations, soil conservation plantings, rural shelterbelts and isolated plantings is well documented in Marlborough. As <del>pin</del>es <b>these tree species</b> spread, they alter the landscape due to their visual dominance and colour contrast. In addition, where forests have been harvested but not replanted there is the potential for rapid growth of wilding seedlings, creating more unmanaged sources of wilding <del>pin</del>e spread. Many in the community believe that these landscape changes are unacceptable and some locals have initiated control programmes in an effort to reduce the presence of wilding <del>pin</del>es <b>tree species</b> in the landscape and limit their spread to other areas. These efforts are to be supported as a means of effective landscape protection. Additionally, there are certain species of tree grown for commercial wood production that are more prone to wilding <del>pin</del>e spread. Controls on planting certain species will assist to reduce the risk of wilding <del>pin</del>e spread and therefore reduce impacts on landscape values.</p> |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 688                | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 181   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Policy 7.2.11 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.11 – Liaise with the Department of Conservation regarding any landscape issues on land administered by the Department and identified as having outstanding natural features and landscapes (including within the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape).</i></p> <p>A significant proportion of outstanding natural features and landscapes occur on Crown land administered by the Department of Conservation. Because this land is managed for conservation purposes and is not likely to attract development, there are fewer threats to the biophysical, sensory and associative values in these landscapes compared to those areas with outstanding natural features and landscapes on privately owned land. However, that is not to say that potential threats do not exist. For example, applications can be made to operate concessions within areas administered by the Department and vegetation change can occur as a result of pest plant incursions (including wilding <del>pin</del>es <b>exotic tree species</b>, broom and gorse). The Council will liaise with the Department on an ongoing basis to discuss landscape issues as they arise and to develop and implement appropriate management responses.</p>  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 688                | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 182   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.3         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 7.M.3.   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 688                | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 183   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.4         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 7.M.4.   |       |          |             |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 688                | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 184   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | 7.M.5     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 7.M.5.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 688                | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 185   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | 7.M.6     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 7.M.6.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 688                | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 186   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | 7.M.7     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 7.M.7.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 688                | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 187   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | 7.M.8     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 7.M.8.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 688                | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 188   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | 7.M.9     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 7.M.9.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 688                | Judy and John Hellstrom  | 189   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 4.3.6.1 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.6.1. Replanting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) 8 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</i></p> <p><i>(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland;</i></p> <p><i>(c) <del>30 metres</del> 100 metres of the coastal marine area, <b>except where the slope of the land adjacent to the coastal marine area does not exceed 20 degrees (as measured over a 200 meter distance inland from the coastal marine area) the setback is 30 metres.</b></i></p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 190          | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.1.7.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That there are requirements to control re-growth and manage these areas back into permanent native cover for long term landscape and other benefits. There should be requirements specifically to restore and/or replant the coastal set-back areas, for example, to indigenous forest. (At present, there are very unsightly remnants of commercial forestry plantings along the foreshore, particularly in parts of Tory Channel, but also elsewhere in the Sounds, after harvesting has been completed.) |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 191          | Volume 1      | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.              | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Chapter 10.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 192          | Volume 1      | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Objective 10.2   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 10.2 and associated policies.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 193          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                         | 2.28.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 2.28 Permitted Activities for Notable Trees.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 194          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                         | 2.29.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 2.29 Standards that apply to specific permitted activities for Notable Trees.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 195          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                         | 2.29.2.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.29.2.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>688</b>         | Judy and John Hellstrom   | 196          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Policy 13.8.3    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.8.3.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>930</b>         | Maria Hemara  | 1     | All      | All                                     |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 1     | All      | All                                     |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The entire Plan be withdrawn and replaced by one that -<br>(1) is effective in meeting its objectives<br>(2) is science based<br>(3) is effects based<br>(4) is compliant with the RMA<br>(5) respects existing use rights by allowing forestry to have a Controlled status<br>(6) conforms to Policy 15.4.4<br>(7) incorporates valid mapping. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Objective but amend the explanation for the Objective to to reflect the Council's intention to provide certainty and equity between land uses, allowing rational decisions to achieve optimum environmental outcomes.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.1         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Revise the text to reflect that the Sounds have been heavily modified and are a working landscape with periodic change the norm.<br><i>(Submitter has not identified the specific wording changes sought to the Issue)</i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Revise the text to reflect that the Sounds are a working landscape, and that ecological and physical values may support certain vegetation that may be incompatible with some visual values. It should be specified that visual values have lower priority than ecological and physical values.<br><i>(Submitter has not identified the specific wording changes sought to the Objective)</i>     |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 9     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>"Identify the qualities and values that contribute to the unique <del>and iconic</del> character of the Marlborough Sounds and protect these from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. <b>The identification of the qualities and values is to be based on sound, peer-reviewed science.</b> "<br><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 10    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br><br>"Provide direction on the appropriateness of resource use activities in the Marlborough Sounds environment. <b>The direction provided will be based on sound, peer-reviewed science.</b> "  |       |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 11    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy.  |       |          |                                  |                             |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 12    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                   |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a definition of "degree of natural character", as it relates to Objective 6.1 |       |          |                                  |                             |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 13    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.1                | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                  |                             |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 14    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.4                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                  |                             |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 15    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Objective 6.2               | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                  |                             |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 16    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.3                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy.  |       |          |                                  |                             |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 17    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                     | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Ground truth all Natural Character mapping to ensure its accuracy and validity.   |       |          |                                  |                             |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 18    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                     | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Ground truth all Natural Character mapping to ensure its accuracy and validity.   |       |          |                                  |                             |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 19    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                     | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Re-map my property so that it does not have ONFL east of the ridge line;<br>Re-map my property so that it does not have "high" or "very high" natural character;<br><br>Ground truth all Natural Character mapping to ensure its accuracy and validity.  |       |          |                     |                             |                 |
| 648                | D C Hemphill   | 20    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps        | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Ground truth all Natural Character mapping to ensure its accuracy and validity.  |       |          |                     |                             |                 |
| 648                | D C Hemphill   | 21    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps        | Coastal Natural Character 5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Ground truth all Natural Character mapping to ensure its accuracy and validity.  |       |          |                     |                             |                 |
| 648                | D C Hemphill   | 22    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.4                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"Where resource consent is required to undertake an activity, <b>excluding plantation forestry</b>, within coastal or freshwater environments with <del>high</del>, very high or outstanding natural character, regard will be had to the potential adverse effects of the proposal on the elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities that contribute to natural character."</i> |       |          |                     |                             |                 |
| 648                | D C Hemphill   | 23    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5                | Support         |
| Decision Requested | The Council should comply with 6.2.5; and correct the mapping of "high" and "very high" natural character, according to the criteria already listed in the MEP and Boffa Miskell (2014), following Policy 6.2.5.   |       |          |                     |                             |                 |
| 648                | D C Hemphill   | 24    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.8                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy.   |       |          |                     |                             |                 |
| 648                | D C Hemphill   | 25    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.M.2                       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Revise the assessment to accurately reflect the stated criteria.   |       |          |                     |                             |                 |
| 648                | D C Hemphill   | 26    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.1.1                | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy to explain how the Council will apply values, and reassure landowners that they will be applied in combination, not selectively or subjectively. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes to the Policy sought)</i> ; or<br>Delete Policy.                            |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 27    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 28    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the the Policy by adding the following statement at the end (bold) -<br><br>" <b>Costs associated with the refinement of any boundaries of outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value will be paid by the Council.</b> "<br><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 29    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.1         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Method. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 30    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 31    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.3         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Method.  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 32    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.7         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Method.  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 33    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.3  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy and replace with a new policy and method that reflects the following -<br><br>Develop a completely different compliance regime that will achieve real environmental improvement. Such a regime should be developed jointly between the Council and the forest industry. In concept, it would consist of a fast track to Resource Consent approval for landowners, operators, and managers approved by the Council for their past satisfactory environmental performance, having regard also to the internal regime of each organization for achieving environmental protection . Those landowners, operators, and managers without such a history (or with past inferior environmental performance) would be held to a higher standard.<br><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                 |               |        |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill   | 34    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape     | Policy 7.2.9  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy.   |       |          |                 |               |        |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill   | 35    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape     | Policy 7.2.10 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 7.2.10 to take into account science, specifically ecology and carbon sequestration as a means of climate change mitigation.<br><br><i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Policy)</i>  |       |          |                 |               |        |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill   | 36    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.5.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard to provide greater clarity as to what specific situations require 50 metres of protection.<br><br><i>(Inferred - Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Standard, also inferred referencing Standard 2.8.1.5 as the Rule referred to in the submission does not exist)</i>   |       |          |                 |               |        |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill   | 37    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.1.4.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard to to suit the purpose really intended. <i>(Inferred - Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Standard)</i>  |       |          |                 |               |        |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill   | 38    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.5.1.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                 |               |        |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill   | 39    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.5.2.      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br><i>" The structure must not intersect the groundwater <b>intended for consumption.</b>"</i><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 40    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.9.7.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard to clarify what part of the culvert is to be placed below the riverbed.  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 41    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.9.7.4.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><del>"The total length of the culvert must not exceed 8m, except for a culvert passing beneath a State Highway where the total length of the culvert must not exceed 20m</del> <b>the length defined by engineering analysis for the site of the installation.</b> "<br><i>(Inferred)</i>    |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 42    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.9.7.5.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br><i>" The culvert installation must be designed and implemented to ensure there is no <b>accelerated</b> erosion or scour downstream of the culvert."</i><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 43    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard to include only species know to spread rapidly in the Coastal Environment.<br><i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Standard);</i><br>Add the Standard to other land use activities.<br><i>(Submitter has not identified the other land use activity rules for which the Standard should also apply)</i> |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill  | 44    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.10. | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard to specify an acceptable temporary discoloration or loss of clarity.<br><i>(Inferred - Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Standard)</i>  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill   | 45    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                        | 4.1.                        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Permitted Activity as follows -<br><b>"Transportation by land and water of logs and all other forest products."</b>  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill   | 46    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                                    | 25.                         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definitions of commercial forestry and forestry road to be the same as the draft National Environmental Standard on Plantation Forestry.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill   | 47    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values           |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a section to the Appendix that defines the criteria used to derive the values given, and specific guidelines showing how they were applied.  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>648</b>         | D C Hemphill   | 48    | Volume 3 | Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance |                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Re-format and revise. <i>(It is not clear from the Submission the specific changes sought to the Appendix)</i>   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>55</b>          | Kevin Henderson  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                            | 16.7.2.                     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Decisions Requested<br><br>In order that the boating public remain able to enjoy using the Sounds as they have (without ill effect) for decades I request that the 'Environment Plan' adopt the national 500 metre standard regarding the discharge of sewage. |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1019</b>        | Philip Henderson   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                                      | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8485 in Goulter Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>1019</b>        | Philip Henderson   | 2            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8485 in Goulter Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1019</b>        | Philip Henderson   | 3            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8485 in Goulter Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1019</b>        | Philip Henderson   | 4            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8485 in Goulter Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1019</b>        | Philip Henderson   | 5            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8485 in Goulter Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1019</b>        | Philip Henderson   | 6            | Volume 3      | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8485 in Goulter Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>686</b>         | Ernest and Catherine Henshaw   | 1            | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment                       | Objective 13.8              | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | To add additional Objectives and Polices to Chapter 13 or strengthen the notified Objectives, Polices and Rules to restrict and reduce over time the number of moorings and to give priority to maintaining safe anchorages available for public use. For example to recognise in the explanation to Objective 13.8 that safe anchorage may also complete for space with swing moorings. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>686</b>         | Ernest and Catherine Henshaw   | 2            | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment                       | Policy 13.8.2               | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | To add additional Objectives, Polices and Rules to Chapter 13 or strengthen the notified Objectives, Polices and Rules to restrict and reduce over time the number of moorings and to give priority to maintaining safe anchorages available for public use. For example to:<br><br>Include in Policy 13.8.2 an additional clause that considers whether there is sufficient space to:<br><br>(i) provide for safe anchorage either outside the proposed Mooring Management Area; or<br><br>(ii) require the provision of some public moorings in the proposed Mooring Management Area; and<br><br>(iii) ensure the most sheltered and safest location within a bay is available for safe anchorage for public use. |       |          |                                   |   |        |
| <b>686</b>         | Ernest and Catherine Henshaw  | 3     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.9                            | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recognise in the explanation to Objective 13.9 that safe anchorage may also complete for space with swing moorings.   |       |          |                                   |   |        |
| <b>686</b>         | Ernest and Catherine Henshaw  | 4     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recognise in Policy 13.9.1 that short term anchorages should be in the most sheltered and safe location within a bay and include as an additional matter the number of moorings held by the "would be consent holder" within the Marlborough Sounds.  |       |          |                                   |   |        |
| <b>686</b>         | Ernest and Catherine Henshaw  | 5     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.7                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Make all matters in Policy 13.9.7 (including the amendments sought above) relevant in determining a "renewal" application.  |       |          |                                   |   |        |
| <b>686</b>         | Ernest and Catherine Henshaw  | 6     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone            | 16.                                       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a clear decision requested.   |       |          |                                   |   |        |
| <b>624</b>         | Carol-Ann Herbert   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                      | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |                                   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>624</b>         | Carol-Ann Herbert  | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>624</b>         | Carol-Ann Herbert  | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>624</b>         | Carol-Ann Herbert  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>624</b>         | Carol-Ann Herbert  | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>624</b>         | Carol-Ann Herbert  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>624</b>         | Carol-Ann Herbert  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>624</b>         | Carol-Ann Herbert  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>624</b>         | Carol-Ann Herbert  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>624</b>         | Carol-Ann Herbert  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>649</b>         | Dave Herbert   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>649</b>         | Dave Herbert   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>649</b>         | Dave Herbert   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>649</b>         | Dave Herbert   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>649</b>         | Dave Herbert   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>649</b>         | Dave Herbert   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>649</b>         | Dave Herbert   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>649</b>         | Dave Herbert   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>649</b>         | Dave Herbert   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>649</b>         | Dave Herbert   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>804</b>         | Jordan Herbert   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>804</b>         | Jordan Herbert   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>804</b>         | Jordan Herbert   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>804</b>         | Jordan Herbert   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>804</b>         | Jordan Herbert   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>804</b>         | Jordan Herbert   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>804</b>         | Jordan Herbert   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>804</b>         | Jordan Herbert   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>804</b>         | Jordan Herbert   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>804</b>         | Jordan Herbert   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>1223</b>        | Wayne Herd  | 1     | All      | All                                       |            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>413</b>         | Herd Properties   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 1     | Volume 1 | All                                       |            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That when referring the following terms be used constantly throughout the plan: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Historic heritage and/or natural heritage</li> <li>• Historic heritage values and/or natural heritage values</li> </ul>  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 2     | Volume 1 | All                                       |            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That when referring to cultural and historic heritage resources contained in the schedule they be referred to as "heritage resources included in schedule X in appendix 13".  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 3     | Volume 1 | All                                       |            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Where there is an intended reference to discovered and undiscovered archaeological sites, the words 'recorded archaeological site' and 'unrecorded archaeological site' be used. In the context of the Plan, 'recorded' should refer to any site with a New Zealand Archaeological Association identifier and/or included in the relevant appendices of the Plan. |       |          |   |            |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                            | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 4     | Volume 1 | All                                |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That when referring to adverse effects on archaeological sites, the Plan use 'adverse effects from the modification or destruction of archaeological sites' etc.   |       |          |                                    |               |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 5     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                                    |               |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 6     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                                    |               |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 7     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                                    |               |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 8     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                                    |               |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 9     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                                    |               |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 10    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 3.1.3:</p> <p>Policy 3.1.3 – Where an application for resource consent or plan change is likely to affect the relationship of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi and their culture and traditions, decision makers shall ensure:</p> <p>...</p> <p>(e) how <b>that</b> traditional <b>and cultural</b> Maori uses and practices relating to natural and physical resources such as mahinga maataitai, waahi tapu, papakainga and taonga raranga will be recognised and provided for.</p> |       |          |                                    |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 11    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | Policy 3.1.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 3.1.4:<br>Policy 3.1.4 – Encourage iwi to develop iwi management plans that contain<br>...<br>(c) sites <b>of, places, areas and landscapes of historic or</b> cultural significance;  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 12    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | 3.M.3         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Method of Implementation 3.M.3:<br>Iwi management plans will be used and taken into account to:<br>...<br>• <b><u>assist the identification of heritage resources for inclusion in the Marlborough Environment Plan and Council maps.</u></b>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 13    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.3<br>Objective 4.3 – The maintenance and enhancement of the <b>visual, ecological and physical</b> qualities <b>of natural and physical resources</b> that contribute to the character of the Marlborough Sounds.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 14    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 7.1.1 (c)<br>(c) associative values, <b>including landscapes that are widely known and valued by the immediate and wider community for their contribution to a sense of place,</b> cultural <b>values,</b> and historic <b>heritage values</b> <del>and landscapes that are widely known and valued by the immediate and wider community for their contribution to a sense of place.</del> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 15    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Objective 7.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 16    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.1  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |             |               |         |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 17    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |             |               |         |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 18    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |             |               |         |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 19    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 7.2.7(a) to read</p> <p>In respect of structures:<br/> ...<br/> <b><u>(viii) avoiding the disturbance of archaeological sites.</u></b></p> <p>In respect of land disturbance (including tracks and roads):<br/> ...<br/> <b><u>(v) avoiding the disturbance of archaeological sites.</u></b></p> <p>In respect of vegetation planting and clearance:<br/> ...<br/> <b><u>(iv) avoiding the disturbance of archaeological sites.</u></b></p> |       |          |             |               |         |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 20    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.2 | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new method of implementation under Objective 7.2 to read:<br><br><b><u>7.M.10 Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014</u></b><br><b><u>This Act makes it an offence to destroy or modify an archaeological site without first obtaining an 'archaeological authority'. This applies to both recorded and unrecorded archaeological sites. It is important that the planning for any building or development takes this issue into account and an archaeological assessment may be required. The applicant is advised to contact Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga if any activity such as earthworks, fencing or landscaping may modify damage or destroy any archaeological site. More information is contained in Appendix 13.</u></b> |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 21    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | 7.AER.1      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | New clause in the monitoring effectiveness column:<br>The instances of archaeological site damage recorded by Heritage New Zealand decreases or is maintained at zero, and the instances of site avoidance increases.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 22    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.4.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend 9.4.4(g) to read:<br><br>(g) any historical <del>al</del> <b>heritage</b> , conservation, <del>or</del> ecological, <del>archaeological or waahi tapu</del> values; <b>or spiritual and cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi</b> associated with the reserve;  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 23    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                       | 19.          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Council develop an appropriate anticipated environmental result to address Objective 9.4. Heritage New Zealand recommends the following monitoring clauses to address cultural and historic heritage values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The condition, of Heritage Resources as defined in Volume 2, is maintained or improved</li> <li>• The instances of archaeological site damage recorded by Heritage New Zealand decreases or is maintained at zero, and the instances of site avoidance increase.</li> </ul>   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 24    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | It is also important to note that Heritage New Zealand retains regulatory responsibilities regarding archaeological sites. Any modification or destruction of a known or unknown recorded or unrecorded archaeological site requires an archaeological authority under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 and Heritage New Zealand processes applications for such authorities.   |       |          |   |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 25    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Issue 10A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Marlborough's historic heritage is vulnerable to the <b>inappropriate</b> use and development of natural and physical resources. Archaeological sites are particularly vulnerable to land disturbance, as they tend to be buried and excavation at, or in close proximity to, the site can <u>unearth</u> disturb the object of significance and its archaeological context. If appropriate action is not taken, the heritage resource <b>that was previously</b> buried can potentially be <b>damaged modified</b> or destroyed. For archaeological sites that have a connection to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, such adverse effects can also cause a serious cultural affront to the mana of an iwi.</p> <p><b><u>One of the threats to historic heritage is that there are many unknown areas of heritage significance. A lack of knowledge about the location, extent and values of historic heritage creates risks that require management.</u></b> For example, although past archaeological studies have revealed a little of the Maori and early European settlement patterns and culture, much more remains to be identified, researched and recorded. There will also be forgotten sites. The lack of awareness of the existence of a heritage resource makes the resource vulnerable to irreparable damage as a result of land use change.</p> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 26    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Objective 10.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Objective 10.1 to read:</p> <p>Objective 10.1 – Retain and protect heritage resources that contribute to <b><u>an understanding and appreciation of Marlborough's and New Zealand's history and cultures. to the character of Marlborough.</u></b></p>  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 27    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 28    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 29    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The policy should be amended to the following:</p> <p>Policy 10.1.3 – <del>Identify and provide appropriate</del> protection to Marlborough's heritage resources <b><u>through a diverse range of methods, including-</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(a) historic buildings (or parts of buildings), places and sites;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(b) heritage trees;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(c) places of significance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(d) archaeological sites;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>and (e) monuments and plaques.</u></b></p>   |       |          |   |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 30    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 10.1.4 be amended to the following:</p> <p>Policy 10.1.4 – <del>Increase the community’s awareness of historic heritage values by identifying</del> <b>Identify</b> heritage resources <b>for scheduling in Appendix 13 of the Marlborough Environment Plan, including historic buildings, places, sites, monuments and plaques</b> that meets <b>one or more</b> of the following criteria for significance <del>or value in the Marlborough Environment Plan</del>:</p> <p>(a) have value as a local landmark, over a significant length of time;</p> <p>(b) have historic association <b>of value</b> with a person, <del>idea</del> or event of note, or have a strong public association for any reason;</p> <p>(c) reflect past skills, <b>design</b>, style, materials, methods of construction or workmanship that would make it of educational or architectural value;</p> <p>(d) is <b>a</b> unique or rare <b>heritage resource in relation to particular historical themes</b>, or is a work of art;</p> <p>(e) is important to Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi;</p> <p>(f) forms part of a precinct or area of heritage value;</p> <p><b>(g) has the potential to provide knowledge of New Zealand history or public education of value; or</b></p> <p><b>(h) has symbolic commemorative value.</b></p>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 31    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 10.1.5 to read:</p> <p>Policy 10.1.5 – Avoid adverse effects on <del>the</del> historic heritage values <del>from the destruction, demolition, partial demolition or relocation</del> of Category <del>A</del> <b>I</b> heritage resources <del>identified in Schedule 1 and from the destruction of sites of significance to Maori identified in Schedule X of Appendix 13.</del></p> <p><b><u>Schedule 3 sites of significance to Maori, including wahi tapu, while Schedule 1 contains Category A historic buildings and structures (or parts of buildings or structures), places, sites, monuments and plaques. Category A means they are of special or outstanding significance. This is the same meaning as Category 1 historic places in the New Zealand Heritage List / Rarangi Korero. Heritage resources sourced from the New Zealand Heritage List/Rarangi Korero are assigned either a Category I or Category II status. Heritage resources classified as Category I are nationally significant.</u></b></p> <p>Any loss or damage of or significant change to a <b>Category I heritage resource an item contained in Schedule 1 or X</b> would result in a significant and potentially irreversible loss of historic heritage that is important in a national context. For this reason, <b>any significant</b> adverse effects on the historic heritage values of <b>resources in Schedule 1 and X Category I resources</b> must be avoided. This will see a prohibited activity rule that forbids the <del>loss or</del> <b>destruction, relocation, demolition, or partial demolition</b> of a <del>Category I</del> resource <b>in Schedule 1 and the destruction of a resource in Schedule X.</b></p> |       |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 32    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Objective 10.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include the following new policy, followed by an appropriate explanation:</p> <p><b><u>Policy 10.1.X – Avoid adverse effects on historic heritage values from the destruction, demolition or partial demolition of Category B heritage resources identified in Schedule 2 of Appendix 13, except where the item is of danger to public safety and repair is not the best practicable option after having regard to the matters in Policy 10.1.7.</u></b></p>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 33    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.6  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Replace the current policy with the following wording and an appropriate explanation:</p> <p><b><u>Policy 10.1.5 – Except where provided for under Policy 10.1.6 and 10.1.X, avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects from the use, subdivision or development of land on heritage resources identified in Schedules 1, 2, and 3 of Appendix 13.</u></b></p>  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 34    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 10.1.7 to read:</p> <p>Policy 10.1.7 – When assessing resource consent applications in relation to heritage resources <b><u>included in Schedule 1 and 2 of Appendix 13</u></b> have regard to:</p> <p>...</p> <p>(b) the <b><u>effects effect demolition, removal, alteration or additions will have</u></b> on the <b><u>historic and</u></b> heritage values of the heritage resource, <b><u>including the relationship between distinct elements of the heritage resource and its surroundings;</u></b></p> <p>...</p> <p>(e) the extent to which the work is necessary to ensure structural stability, accessibility, fire egress, sufficient earthquake strengthening, and the extent of the impact of the work on the <b><u>historical</u></b> heritage values of the heritage resource;</p> <p>...</p> <p>(h) the extent to which any alteration or addition is in keeping with the original design and materials, or otherwise enhances the <b><u>historical</u></b> heritage value of the resource;</p> <p>...</p> <p>(j) <b><u>the economic feasibility of all reasonably practicable options to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects options for retaining a heritage resource when its demolition is proposed;</u></b> and</p> <p>...</p> <p>This policy sets out the matters that the Council should have regard to when assessing any resource consent application <b><u>with adverse effects on the historic heritage values of identified heritage resources to demolish, remove, alter or add to a heritage resource.</u></b> These matters are designed to ensure that the significance of the heritage resource is recognised and appropriately provided for in the decision making process.</p> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 35    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.8  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 10.1.8 to read:</p> <p>Policy 10.1.8 – When assessing resource consent applications <b>in relation to sites of significance to Maori, including wahi tapu, included in Schedule 3 of Appendix 13, to destroy or modify a registered waahi tapu site or area, or to undertake activities in a place of significance to Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi,</b> have regard to:</p> <p>(a) the effects <b>of demolition, removal, alteration or additions</b> on the heritage values of the heritage resource, <b>including effects on the spiritual and cultural values of iwi;</b></p> <p>(b) the position of the relevant iwi;</p> <p>(c) the views of Heritage New Zealand, <b>for heritage resources on the New Zealand Heritage List / Rarangi Korero;</b></p> <p>(d) <b>the effects of the destruction or alteration on the heritage resource or the effects of the proposed activity on the spiritual and cultural values of iwi;</b></p> <p>...</p> <p>This policy sets out the matters that the Council should consider when assessing any resource consent application <b>with adverse effects on the historic or cultural heritage values of an identified to destroy or modify a waahi wahi</b> tapu site or area, or other area of significance to Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi. These matters are designed to ensure the cultural and spiritual significance of the site or area is recognised and appropriately provided for in the decision making process.</p> <p><b>Chapter 3 – Marlborough’s Tangata Whenua Iwi also provides a range of objectives and policies relevant to any application regarding sites of significance to Maori, including wahi tapu.</b></p> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 36    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 10.1.9 to read:</p> <p>Policy 10.1.9 – Except as set out in Policy 10.1.11 <b>and the Schedule of Archaeological Requirements in Appendix 13,</b> primarily rely on Heritage New Zealand and the requirements of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 to regulate archaeological sites within Marlborough.</p>  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 37    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.10 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove Policy 10.1.10.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 38    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy 10.1.11 – Control land disturbance activities in places of significance to Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi, identified in Schedule 4 of Appendix 13.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 39    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.M.1         | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Method of Implementation 10.M.1 to read:</p> <p>The Council will identify significant heritage resources and notable trees within Appendix 13 of the MEP. Each individual resource or tree will be described in a schedule and included on planning maps. Resources or trees identified will be those that meet the criteria in Policies 10.1.4 and 10.2.1 and/or those included on the New Zealand Heritage List/Rarangi Korero. <b><u>Heritage resources and trees will be divided into the following Schedules:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <b><u>Schedule 1: Category A Historic Buildings, Structures, Places, Sites and Areas</u></b></li> <li>● <b><u>Schedule 2: Category B Historic Buildings, Structures, Places, Sites and Areas</u></b></li> <li>● <b><u>Schedule 3: Sites of Significance to Marlborough's Tangata Whenua Iwi</u></b></li> <li>● <b><u>Schedule 4: Places of Significance to Marlborough's Tangata Whenua Iwi</u></b></li> <li>● <b><u>Schedule 5: Notable Trees</u></b></li> </ul> <p><b><u>Where Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi do not wish the location of a relevant heritage resource disclosed, Council will make use of methods to protect the confidentiality of the site.</u></b></p> <p>....</p> |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 40    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.M.2    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the method be amended accordingly to reflect the final state of the heritage rules.  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 41    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.M.3    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Method of Implementation 10.M.3 to read:</p> <p>The Council will support, including financially, the protection and enhancement of heritage resources and notable trees included in the MEP in the following ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Waiving some or all resource consent and building consent application fees where the activity requiring consent will assist with the protection or enhancement of a heritage resource or notable tree;</li> <li>• Providing grants on an annual basis to facilitate the protection of heritage resources/notable trees and/or the community's appreciation of the resources/trees;</li> <li>• <b><u>Providing rates rebates for properties with heritage resources;</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>Carrying out public education and promotion regarding the value and benefits of heritage resources;</u></b></li> <li>• Providing funding to assist with the ongoing maintenance of notable trees where required.</li> </ul>   |       |          |   |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 42    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.M.4    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Method of Implementation to read:</p> <p>The Council will liaise on an ongoing basis with the various agencies and groups involved in the protection of historic heritage in Marlborough to ensure that protection efforts are co-ordinated. Heritage New Zealand, the Department of Conservation, the <b>New Zealand</b> Archaeological Association, Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi and other heritage organisations are the key agencies and groups in this regard.</p>  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 43    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.M.5    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Method of Implementation 10.M.5 to read:</p> <p>In conjunction with Heritage New Zealand, the New Zealand Archaeological Association and Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, the Council will develop, maintain and implement a discovery protocol for archaeological sites <b>where an archaeological authority has not been obtained and there is no reason to suspect the presence of any archaeological sites</b>. This will detail the procedures to be followed if any feature, artefact or human remains are discovered or are suspected to have been discovered. Information will be included within the protocol on the rohe of different iwi to enable people to make contact with the relevant iwi. <b>The protocol will assist in ensuring that the relevant provisions of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 can then be applied. The protocol will be included in Appendix X containing the Schedule of Archaeological Requirements.</b></p> |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 44    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.M.6    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Method of Implementation 10.M.6 to read:</p> <p>In conjunction with the New Zealand Archaeological Association, the Council will provide information on known archaeological sites in Marlborough <b>and areas where there is reasonable cause to suspect the presence of unrecorded archaeological sites</b>. This will assist resource users to determine whether they need to approach Heritage New Zealand for an archaeological authority.</p>  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 45    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.M.7    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain as notified.</p>  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 46    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.AER.1  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Anticipated environmental result 10.AER.1 - Monitoring Effectiveness to read:<br>...<br>No loss of Category <b>1 A</b> heritage resources as measured through the grant of resource consent applications to demolish, <b>partially demolish or relocate</b> Category <b>1 A</b> heritage resources.<br><b>Limited loss of Category B heritage resources as measured through the grant of resource consent applications to demolish or partially demolish Category B heritage resources.</b><br><b>No loss of sites of significance Maori, including wahi tapu, as measured through the grant of resource consent applications to destroy sites of significance to Maori, including wahi tapu.</b><br><b>The instances of archaeological site damage recorded by Heritage New Zealand decrease or are maintained at zero, and the instances of site avoidance increase.</b> |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 47    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Policy 12.2.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Policy 12.2.5 – Where resource consent is required, ensure that subdivision and/or residential development within Urban Residential Zones is undertaken in a manner that:<br>...<br><b>(e) protects the historic heritage values of heritage resources identified in Appendix 13.</b><br><br><b>(Listed as bullet point (d) in submission. Inferred that addition required to policy and so list above as (e).)</b>  |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 48    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Policy 12.6.7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 12.6.7 to read:<br>Policy 12.6.7 – Where resource consent is required, ensure that development within the business or industrial zones is undertaken in a manner that:<br>...<br><b>(f) protects the historic heritage values of heritage resources identified in Appendix 13.</b><br><br>(Listed as bullet point (d) in submission. Inferred that addition required to policy and so list above as (f).)   |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 49    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.3.1 to read:<br>Policy 13.3.1 – A permissive approach to recreational activities will be adopted, except where these:<br>...<br><b><u>(g) adversely affect historic heritage values of heritage resources identified in Appendix 13.</u></b>   |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 50    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.5.2 to read:<br>Policy 13.5.2 – Residential activity and subdivision for residential purposes should take place within land that has been zoned Coastal Living, in order to:<br>...<br><b><u>(d) protect the historic heritage values of heritage resources identified in Appendix 13.</u></b>   |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 51    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.5.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.5.2 to read:<br>Policy 14.5.2 – Residential activity and subdivision for residential purposes within rural environments should take place within land zoned Rural Living, Coastal Living, Urban Residential 2 at Marlborough Ridge and Urban Residential 3, to<br>...<br><b><u>(j) protect the historic heritage values of heritage resources identified in Appendix 13.</u></b>                             |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 52    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                 | Policy 17.6.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 17.6.2 to read:<br>Policy 17.6.2 – The development, maintenance and use of the land transport network must be undertaken in a manner that protects natural and physical resources and the health, safety and wellbeing of the community through avoiding, remedying or mitigating:<br>...<br><b><u>(h) adverse effects on the historic heritage values of heritage resources identified in Appendix 13.</u></b> |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 53    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                   | 2.            | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add the following rules to the section on Heritage Resources:<br><b><i>2.26. Restricted Discretionary Activities</i></b><br><b><i>Application must be made for a Restricted Discretionary Activity for the following:</i></b><br><b><i>2.26.1. Erection of a sign attached to, obstructing, or within the site of a Heritage Resource included in Schedule 1, 2 or 3 of Appendix 13 that is not a permitted activity under rule 2.24.X.</i></b><br><b><i>Matters of which the Council will exercise its discretion:</i></b><br><b><i>2.26.1.1. Effects on historic heritage values.</i></b><br><b><i>2.26.1.2. Sign design, size, number, appearance, illumination, construction, location, and placement.</i></b><br><br><b><i>2.26.2 Alteration of a heritage resource identified in Schedule 1 or 2 of Appendix 13, including alterations provided for under Rule 2.24.3. that do not meet the applicable standards.</i></b><br><b><i>Matters of which the Council will exercise its discretion:</i></b><br><b><i>2.25.2.1. Effects on historic heritage values.</i></b><br><b><i>2.25.2.2. Effects on amenity.</i></b><br><b><i>2.25.2.3. Alteration design, construction, location, appearance and layout.</i></b> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 54    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.24.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add the following permitted activity and associated standards.<br><b><i>2.24.X.Erection of one sign within the site of a Heritage Resource included in Schedule 1,2 or 3 that is not greater than 0.5m2 and is not flashing, illuminated or variable for the purposes of:</i></b><br><b><i>(a) setting out information relating directly to the onsite activities or uses;</i></b><br><b><i>(b) aiding traffic or maritime safety or navigation or providing information for public health and safety requirements</i></b><br><b><i>(c) interpretative material on the historic heritage values of the place.</i></b>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 55    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.24.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.24.1 to read<br><br>Repair or maintenance of a Heritage Resource <b><u>identified in Schedule 1 or 2 of Appendix 13.</u></b>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 56    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.24.2.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.24.3 to read:<br>2.24.3. <del>Internal or external safety</del> Alteration of a Heritage Resource <b>identified in Schedule 1 or 2 of Appendix 13</b> , necessary for the purpose of improving structural <b>stability or safety through:</b><br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>structural seismic upgrades, core sample drilling, temporary lifting, shifting off foundations or permanent realignment of foundations</b></li> <li>• <b>fire protection; and</b></li> <li>• <b>provision of access.</b></li> </ul> <del>performance (including earthquake strengthening work), fire safety or physical access.</del>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 57    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.24.3.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.24.3 to read:<br>Maintenance ( <del>meaning protective care</del> ) of <del>an archaeological site</del> <b>a site of significance, including wahi tapu, to Maori identified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 13</b> , where that maintenance includes:<br>(a) keeping the site in good condition by controlling noxious weeds, cutting grass and light stock grazing;<br>(b) land disturbance by cultivation <b>or fencing</b> that does not extend beyond the area or depth previously disturbed; <b>or</b><br>(c) maintenance and upgrading of a paved road, modified berm or path provided that the land disturbance does not extend beyond the area or depth previously disturbed. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 58    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.25.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add the following performance standard:<br><b><i>2.25.X. Maintenance of a site of significance to Maori, including wahi tapu, identified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 13 meeting the requirements in Rule 2.24.3.</i></b><br><b><i>2.25.X.1. Maintenance work shall be supported by the written approval of the relevant tangata whenua iwi.</i></b>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 59    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.25.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend 2.25.1 to read:<br>Repair or maintenance of a Heritage Resource <b>identified in Schedule 1 or 2 of Appendix 13</b> .<br>...<br><b>2.25.1.6. The repair or maintenance can include the patching, restoration or minor replacement of materials, elements, components, equipment or fixtures</b>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 60    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.25.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 2.25.2 to read:</p> <p><del>Internal or external safety a</del>Alteration of a Heritage Resource, necessary for <b>those reasons stated in Rule 2.24.2. the purpose of improving structural performance (including earthquake strengthening work), fire safety or physical access.</b></p> <p>....</p> <p><b><u>2.25.2.3. The alteration must not involve the relocation, partial demolition, or full demolition of the Heritage Resource.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.25.2.4. The alteration must not result in any increase in the area of land occupied by the Heritage Resource.</u></b></p> |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 61    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.26.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following discretionary activities</p> <p><b><u>2.26.3. Any land disturbance in a landscape identified in Appendix 1 that has historic heritage related associative values.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.26.4. Any subdivision of land containing a Heritage Resource identified in Schedule 1, 2 or 3 of Appendix 13.</u></b></p>  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 62    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.26.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 2.26.2 to read:</p> <p>2.26.2. Any land use activity <b>involving with potential adverse effects on</b> a Heritage Resource identified in Schedule 1, 2 or 3 of Appendix 13 not provided for as a Permitted, <b>Restricted Discretionary, or Prohibited</b> Activity, <b>including but not limited to, plantation forestry and harvesting, land disturbance, network utility infrastructure, and the construction of or addition to buildings or structures.</b></p>   |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 63    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.27.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new prohibited activity:</p> <p><b><u>2.27.2. The destruction of a site of significance, including wahi tapu, to Maori identified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 13.</u></b></p>   |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 64    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.27.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 2.27.1 to read:</p> <p>The whole or partial demolition or <b>removal</b> relocation of a Category I Heritage Resource <b>identified in Schedule 1 of Appendix 13.</b></p>  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 65    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.32.1.10. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend 2.32.1.10 to read:<br><br>When a building is increased in floor area, or undergoes a partial change in use, parking requirements for the existing part of the building (if any), or that part remaining in the existing activity, will remain unaltered. Parking requirements for the increased floor area or that area with a new or altered use must be calculated in accordance with Table 2.1. For the purpose of this standard, 'partial' means an addition or alteration of more than 20% of the gross floor area over a 5 year timeframe. <b><u>This rule does not apply for any change of use of a Heritage Resource included in Schedule 1 or 2 of Appendix 13.</u></b>   |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 66    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Council should consult with tangata whenua to agree on how sites of significance to Maori should be referred to and then an appropriate definition be included in Chapter 25 Definitions.<br>That the following definitions be added to the plan:<br><b>Alteration</b> means any changes to the fabric or characteristics of a building involving, but not limited to, the removal and replacement of walls, windows, ceilings, floors or roofs, either internally or externally and includes any sign attached to the building. It does not include repair or maintenance.<br><b>Addition</b> means an extension, or increase in floor area, number of stories, or height of a building or structure. It includes the construction of new floors, walls, ceilings, and roofs.<br>Archaeological site has the same meaning as in Section 6 of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014.<br><b>Repair</b> means the restoration to good or sound condition of any existing building or structure (or part of any existing building or structure) for the purpose of its maintenance. It includes reconstruction after damage caused by natural hazards. |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 67    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition for Maintenance of a building or structure to read:<br><br>Maintenance <del>of a building or structure</del> means the protective care of a place. For clarity, the maintenance of a building or structure does not extend to the complete rebuild or replacement of the a building or structure.   |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 68    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The definition be amended to only focus on replacement and the word 'maintenance' be removed.  |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 69    | Volume 3 | All            |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the archaeological requirements appendix in Attachment 2 be added to Volume Three of the Plan.  |       |          |                |           |        |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 70    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the historic heritage related associative values remain as notified.  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 71    | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Council add a new schedule to Appendix 13 for sites of significance to Maori, including wahi tapu, directly after the existing Schedule 2. Any sites of significance currently in Schedule 1 or 2 should be moved into the new schedule. For Schedule 1, these include MEP Reference 6 and 9. For Schedule 2, these include MEP Reference 1, 2, 3, 4, 49, 50, and 131.</p> <p>That any buildings or structures of historic heritage value located on a site of significance are included in Schedule 1 or 2.</p> <p>That Council note that where iwi do not want the exact location of a site of significance disclosed, specific methods may be required.</p> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 72    | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend to read:</p> <p>Schedule 1: Category <del>1A</del> Heritage Resources</p>   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 73    | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend to read:</p> <p>Schedule 2: Category <del>1B</del> and <del>Locally Significant</del> Heritage Resources</p>  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 768                | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  | 74    | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources |           | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following be added to Schedule 2 of Category 2/B Heritage Resources:<br>MEP Reference – 147<br>HNZ List No (if applicable) – 1534<br>Heritage Resource – Wairau Public Hospital Nurses' Home (Former)<br>Address – 2 Hospital Road, Witherlea, Blenheim<br>Value applies to – Building envelope<br><br>Refer to Hard Copy Submission for information on the Wairau Public Hospital Nurses Home (Former). |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 75    | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the word 'proposed' be added inside parentheses after the Heritage New Zealand List Number for Heritage Resources MEP Reference 61.  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 76    | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the word 'proposed' be added inside parentheses after the Heritage New Zealand List Number for Heritage Resources MEP Reference 73.  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 77    | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the word 'proposed' be added inside parentheses after the Heritage New Zealand List Number.  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>768</b>         | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga   | 78    | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the word 'proposed' be added inside parentheses after the Heritage New Zealand List Number.  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1066</b>        | Raewyn Heta   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                                 | 16.7.5.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Ban all dredging in identified ecological marine sites and controlled only anchoring.   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1066</b>        | Raewyn Heta   | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                             | 4.3.6.1.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Larger setbacks from the coastal marine area, including slope consideration, i.e. 30m where the slope for a distance of 50m is less than 20 degrees, otherwise 100 metres.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1066</b>        | Raewyn Heta   | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | More control of planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds by requiring Resource consent by commercial entities.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1066</b>        | Raewyn Heta   | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | More control of planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds by requiring Resource consent by commercial entities.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1066</b>        | Raewyn Heta   | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Livestock should be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands and suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place. All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees. |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1066</b>        | Raewyn Heta   | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Livestock should be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands and suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place. All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees. |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1066</b>        | Raewyn Heta   | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>A provision that supports industry best practice.</p> <p><i>Possible provisions:</i></p> <p>1. <i>The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity.</i></p> <p>2. <i>The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.</i></p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1066</b>        | Raewyn Heta   | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | A provision that supports industry best practice.<br><i>Possible provisions:</i><br>1. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity.<br>2. The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding. |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>1066</b>        | Raewyn Heta  | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | A provision that supports industry best practice.<br><i>Possible provisions:</i><br>1. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity.<br>2. The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding. |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>1066</b>        | Raewyn Heta  | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | A provision that supports industry best practice.<br><i>Possible provisions:</i><br>1. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity.<br>2. The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding. |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>1066</b>        | Raewyn Heta  | 11    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | A provision that supports industry best practice.<br><i>Possible provisions:</i><br>1. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity.<br>2. The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding. |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>1066</b>        | Raewyn Heta  | 12    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | A provision that supports industry best practice.<br><i>Possible provisions:</i><br>1. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity.<br>2. The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1066</b>        | Raewyn Heta  | 13    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | A provision that supports industry best practice.<br><i>Possible provisions:</i><br>1. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity.<br>2. The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1066</b>        | Raewyn Heta  | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | A provision that supports industry best practice.<br><i>Possible provisions:</i><br>1. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity.<br>2. The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1066</b>        | Raewyn Heta  | 15    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                |            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The inclusion of identified significant wetlands in the Plan to provide certainty to landowners and protection for wetlands from drainage and indigenous vegetation clearance.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1066</b>        | Raewyn Heta  | 16    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | For significant natural area sites to be reasonably protected from clearance, the clearance rules need scrutiny.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1066</b>        | Raewyn Heta  | 17    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | For significant natural area sites to be reasonably protected from clearance, the clearance rules need scrutiny.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>1067</b>        | Renee Heta   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1067</b>        | Renee Heta   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1067</b>        | Renee Heta   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1067</b>        | Renee Heta   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1067</b>        | Renee Heta   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1067</b>        | Renee Heta   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1067</b>        | Renee Heta   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1067</b>        | Renee Heta   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1067</b>        | Renee Heta   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1067</b>        | Renee Heta   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>90</b>          | Tony Hewitt  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                         | 2.2.          | Support |
| Decision Requested | I seek an additional provision along lines of 2.2.10 but for surface flow testing.<br><br>Take water for purposes of completing a stream depletion test to determine effects on downstream users and/or values. At no time shall testing remove more than 10% of the flow or be carried out for more than 14 days in any one year. Water can only be pumped to land using approved irrigation methods. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman   | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 4A  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.1  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter             | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-------|----------|---|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.2   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman          | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.3   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman          | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.1          | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 4.M.1          |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman          | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.2          | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 4.M.2          |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman          | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.3          | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 4.M.3          |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman          | 9     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.4          | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 4.M.4          |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman          | 10    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.5          | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 4.M.5          |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman          | 11    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Objective 14.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.1 |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman          | 12    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.1.1  | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter            | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.1 |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman         | 15    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.1.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.4 |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman         | 16    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5H      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5H      |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman         | 17    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.8 |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman         | 18    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.20 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.20 |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman         | 19    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman         | 20    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.2  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman         | 21    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.3  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman         | 22    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.4  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter            | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.4  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman         | 23    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.5  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman         | 24    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.6         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.M.6         |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman         | 25    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.5  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman         | 26    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.9  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman         | 27    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity        | Policy 8.2.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.12 |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman         | 28    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity        | 8.M.6         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.6         |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman         | 29    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity        | Issue 8A      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 8A      |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman         | 30    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.2.17.       | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter          | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.17 |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman       | 31    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.3.16.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.3.16 |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman       | 32    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.6.4.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.6.4  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman       | 33    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.6.5.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.6.5  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman       | 34    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.7.4.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.7.4  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman       | 35    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.11.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.11.1 |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman       | 36    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.11.2.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.11.2 |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman       | 37    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.7.9.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.7.9  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman       | 38    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.21.   | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter           | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---------------------|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.21  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman        | 39    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.1.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.1   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman        | 40    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone     | 19.1.10.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 19.1.10 |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman        | 41    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.12.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.12  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman        | 42    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone     | 19.1.6.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 19.1.6  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman        | 43    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.13.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.13  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman        | 44    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.11.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.11  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman        | 45    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone     | 19.1.5.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 19.1.5  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman        | 46    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.14.   | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.14  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman  | 47    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone      | 19.1.7.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 19.1.7  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman  | 48    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone  | 3.1.21.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.21  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman  | 49    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone  | 3.1.22.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.22  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman  | 50    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone      | 19.1.17.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 19.1.17   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman  | 51    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Insert notations for each provision in Chapter 8 to identify whether the relevant provision is in the Regional Policy Statement, Regional Coastal Plan, Regional Plan or District Plan. |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman  | 52    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include policy recognition that a partnership approach will be undertaken with landowners for areas that are wetlands, together with a regulatory regime.                               |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman  | 53    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following changes (strikethrough, bold) to Policy 8.3.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 8.3.2 – Where subdivision, use or development <b>that</b> requires resource consent, <b>occurs within areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value, significant</b> the adverse effects on <b>those</b> areas, habitats or ecosystems <del>with indigenous biodiversity value</del> shall be:</i></p> <p><i>(a) avoided where it is a significant site in the context of Policy 8.1.1; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) avoided, remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1.</i></p> <p>This policy sets up a hierarchy for decision makers to use when assessing the effects of subdivision, use or development activities on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value. For those sites identified as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1, it is important that <b>significant</b> adverse effects are avoided. This recognises that there are few significant sites remaining on private land, especially in southern Marlborough. Where sites have not been identified as significant through Policy 8.1.1, decision makers can also consider remediation or mitigation options to address adverse effects.</p> |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 455                | John Hickman  | 54    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | Policy 8.3.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy so that offsetting may be considered on a case by case basis in areas with significant biodiversity values.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 455                | John Hickman  | 55    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | Issue 8A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The inclusion of policy recognition of the potential positive benefits to indigenous biodiversity from subdivision, use and development.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 455                | John Hickman  | 56    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy and/or explanation to recognise that food gathering will not be appropriate in all wetlands.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 455                | John Hickman  | 57    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.8.1.5.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 2.8.1.5   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 455                | John Hickman  | 58    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.9.4.2.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete or amend this standard so that dams of 5000m3 are exempt from this requirement.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 455                | John Hickman  | 59    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.12.7.     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend rule and/or definition of vegetation clearance to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman   | 60    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule to ensure that test pits and other investigatory works prior to the construction of a dam or other project are not captured by this rule.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman   | 61    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman   | 62    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman   | 63    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.19.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete or amend this standard so that dams of 5000m3 are exempt from this requirement.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 455                | John Hickman   | 64    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.2.4.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standard as follows (bold):<br><i>19.3.2.4 Only indigenous species must be planted in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland.</i><br><b>Where the Significant Wetland is fenced, other species may be planted up to the fence boundary.</b>   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 455                | John Hickman   | 65    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.5.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul> |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 455                | John Hickman   | 66    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.5.12. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul> |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 455                | John Hickman   | 67    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions       | 25.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend definition to ensure that maintenance of existing farm tracks, accessways, fences and other structures are not captured, together with test pits and other investigation works for proposed dam or other projects.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 455                | John Hickman   | 68    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions       | 25.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of intensively farmed stock to ensure that short term breakfeeding during winter when there is low growth or in times of drought is not captured by this definition.  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 455                | John Hickman   | 69    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions       | 25.        | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend definition of vegetation clearance to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>             |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>455</b>         | John Hickman   | 70    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.12.3.                                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule and/or definition of vegetation clearance to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>805</b>         | James Higgin   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>805</b>         | James Higgin   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>805</b>         | James Higgin   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>805</b>         | James Higgin   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>805</b>         | James Higgin   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>805</b>         | James Higgin   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>805</b>         | James Higgin   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>805</b>         | James Higgin   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>805</b>         | James Higgin   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>805</b>         | James Higgin   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>650</b>         | Dean Higgins   | 1     | All      | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |               |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| <b>378</b>         | Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill  | 1     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change          | 19.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is that planning for the effects of Disruptive Climate Change needs to be high on the list of priorities for MDC and in recognition of that importance it should be reclassified as Section 1 in the MEP rather than relegated to the bottom of the list as if it is an afterthought. |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>378</b>         | Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 16.7.5 ( <i>inferred</i> ).?  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>378</b>         | Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill  | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>378</b>         | Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill  | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule that requires resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.<br><i>(Inferred support of this heading and associated standards.)</i>  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>378</b>         | Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill  | 5     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Commercial forestry harvesting as a discretionary activity ( <i>inferred</i> ).  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>378</b>         | Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill  | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain heading 3.3.21 ( <i>inferred</i> ).?   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>378</b>         | Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill  | 7     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain heading 4.3.20 ( <i>inferred</i> ).?   |       |          |                            |           |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                              | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>378</b>         | Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill  | 8            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain heading 3.3.28.8 ( <i>inferred</i> ).  |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>378</b>         | Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill  | 9            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain heading 3.3.28.9 ( <i>inferred</i> ).  |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>378</b>         | Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill  | 10           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain heading 3.3.28.10 ( <i>inferred</i> ). |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>378</b>         | Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill  | 11           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain heading 3.3.28.11 ( <i>inferred</i> ). |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>378</b>         | Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill  | 12           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain heading 4.3.27.7 ( <i>inferred</i> ).  |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>378</b>         | Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill  | 13           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain heading 4.3.27.8 ( <i>inferred</i> ).  |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| <b>378</b>         | Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill                               | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain heading 4.3.27.9 ( <i>inferred</i> ).                               |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>378</b>         | Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill                               | 15    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain heading 4.3.27.10 ( <i>inferred</i> ).                              |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>378</b>         | Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill                               | 16    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                |            | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain mapping and protection of significant wetlands ( <i>inferred</i> ). |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>378</b>         | Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill                               | 17    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain heading 3.3.11 and associated standards ( <i>inferred</i> ).        |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>378</b>         | Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill                               | 18    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain heading 4.3.30 and associated standards ( <i>inferred</i> ).        |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>378</b>         | Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill                               | 19    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.31.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 3.3.31.1 ( <i>inferred</i> ).                              |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>378</b>         | Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill                               | 20    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 3.3.31.1 ( <i>inferred</i> ).                              |       |          |                            |            |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>1119</b>        | Sharon Hill  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1119</b>        | Sharon Hill  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1119</b>        | Sharon Hill  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1119</b>        | Sharon Hill  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1119</b>        | Sharon Hill  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1119</b>        | Sharon Hill  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1119</b>        | Sharon Hill  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1119</b>        | Sharon Hill  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |                            |                             |        |
| <b>1119</b>        | Sharon Hill  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character        | Issue 6A                    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |                            |                             |        |
| <b>1119</b>        | Sharon Hill  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character        | Objective 6.1               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |                            |                             |        |
| <b>470</b>         | Kathryn Margery Hine   | 1     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.2.                      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Neighbours who are required to be notified or could be affected need to be given sufficient information to understand the potential noise effects and understand that there are different pumps available.</p> <p>Information provided on pumps should outline the different pump options available and the noise levels associated with each.</p> <p>Noise should be a major consideration in the approval of septic tank sewerage systems that could affect neighbours.</p> |       |          |                            |                             |        |
| <b>488</b>         | Margaret and Robert Hippolite  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>a. The Submitters Oppose the zoning of NL 12C/223, CFR 505902, NL 12C/224, NL 138/669 and NL37/121 as being within Coastal Natural Character Map 3 - Symonds Hill - High Rating.</p> <p>b. The Submitters seek that NL 12C/223, CFR 505902, NL 12C/224, NL 138/669 and NL37/121 be excluded from the Symonds Hill Coastal Natural Character area, and that the Coastal Natural Character Map 3 be amended accordingly</p>   |       |          |                            |                             |        |
| <b>488</b>         | Margaret and Robert Hippolite  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Threatened Environments 3   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The Submitter seeks that Threatened Environments: Indigenous Vegetation Sites Map 3 be amended to exclude Threatened Environments: Indigenous Vegetation areas as shown on the attached plan.  |       |          |                            |                             |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>916</b>         | Margaret Hippolite  | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Okiwi Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                            |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>916</b>         | Margaret Hippolite  | 2            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Squally Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>916</b>         | Margaret Hippolite  | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Squally Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>916</b>         | Margaret Hippolite  | 4            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                             |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>916</b>         | Margaret Hippolite  | 5            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Okiwi Bay, Squally Cove and East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>916</b>         | Margaret Hippolite  | 6            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Okiwi Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.    |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>916</b>         | Margaret Hippolite  | 7            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Squally Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>916</b>         | Margaret Hippolite  | 8            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                             |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>916</b>         | Margaret Hippolite  | 9            | Volume 3      | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Okiwi Bay, Squally Cove and East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1068</b>        | Robert Hippolite  | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Okiwi Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1068</b>        | Robert Hippolite  | 2            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Squally Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1068</b>        | Robert Hippolite  | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Squally Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1068</b>        | Robert Hippolite  | 4            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                             |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|--------|
| <b>1068</b>        | Robert Hippolite  | 5     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Okiwi Bay, Squally Cove and East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>1068</b>        | Robert Hippolite  | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Okiwi Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                            |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>1068</b>        | Robert Hippolite  | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Squally Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>1068</b>        | Robert Hippolite  | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                             |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>1068</b>        | Robert Hippolite  | 9     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Okiwi Bay, Squally Cove and East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>1167</b>        | Tiwini Hippolite  | 1     | All      | All   |              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>80</b>          | Brian Hobbs   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                                  | 16.7.2.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | 4. Recommendation<br><br>The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.             |       |          |   |              |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| <b>80</b>          | Brian Hobbs  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                                  | 16.7.3.                     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>155</b>         | Mark Hodges  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels               | Schedule 3                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Springs sector water users. Before implementing a blanket restriction further scientific evidence of the complex aquifer/springs recharge process is required. A better understanding of the long term trend of the aquifer levels is essential before setting lower limits and cut-off thresholds. Treat all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users equally and consider implementing a rationing restriction when the monitor wells drop below a set level. This would give all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users the opportunity to prioritise where their reduced volume of allocated water is utilised. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>837</b>         | Jonathan William Hodges  | 1     | All      | All   |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>668</b>         | David Quintin Hogg   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167 in Pig Bay, Port Gore; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>668</b>         | David Quintin Hogg   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167 in Pig Bay, Port Gore; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>668</b>         | David Quintin Hogg   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167 in Pig Bay, Port Gore; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>668</b>         | David Quintin Hogg   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167 in Pig Bay, Port Gore; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>852</b>         | Kelvin Holdaway   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.35.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.35.2 ( <b>inferred</b> ):<br><del>3.3.35.2. If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</del> |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>852</b>         | Kelvin Holdaway   | 2     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.16.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through) is made to Standard 5.3.16.2 ( <b>inferred</b> ):<br><del>5.3.16.2. If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</del> |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>852</b>         | Kelvin Holdaway   | 3     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone              | 8.3.15.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through) is made to Standard 8.3.15.2 ( <b>inferred</b> ):<br><del>8.3.15.2. If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</del> |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>852</b>         | Kelvin Holdaway   | 4     | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone             | 19.3.9.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through) is made to Standard 19.3.9.2 ( <b>inferred</b> ):<br><del>19.3.9.2. If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</del> |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>852</b>         | Kelvin Holdaway   | 5     | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone       | 6.1.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Urban Residential 3 Zone.  |       |          |                                  |           |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>              | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>852</b>         | Kelvin Holdaway  | 6            | Volume 2      | 7 Coastal Living Zone       | 7.1.             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Coastal Living Zone.      |              |               |                             |                  |             |
| <b>852</b>         | Kelvin Holdaway  | 7            | Volume 2      | 9 Business 1 Zone           | 9.1.             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Business 1 Zone.          |              |               |                             |                  |             |
| <b>852</b>         | Kelvin Holdaway  | 8            | Volume 2      | 10 Business 2 Zone          | 10.1.            | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Business 2 Zone.          |              |               |                             |                  |             |
| <b>852</b>         | Kelvin Holdaway  | 9            | Volume 2      | 11 Business 3 Zone          | 11.1.            | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Business 3 Zone.          |              |               |                             |                  |             |
| <b>852</b>         | Kelvin Holdaway  | 10           | Volume 2      | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.            | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Industrial 1 and 2 Zones. |              |               |                             |                  |             |
| <b>852</b>         | Kelvin Holdaway  | 11           | Volume 2      | 17 Open Space 1 Zone        | 17.1.            | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Open Space 1 Zone.        |              |               |                             |                  |             |
| <b>852</b>         | Kelvin Holdaway  | 12           | Volume 2      | 18 Open Space 2 Zone        | 18.1.            | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Open Space 2 Zone.        |              |               |                             |                  |             |
| <b>852</b>         | Kelvin Holdaway  | 13           | Volume 2      | 21 Floodway Zone            | 21.1.            | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Floodway Zone.            |              |               |                             |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>1120</b>        | Stewart Holdem   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1120</b>        | Stewart Holdem   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1120</b>        | Stewart Holdem   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1120</b>        | Stewart Holdem   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1120</b>        | Stewart Holdem   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1120</b>        | Stewart Holdem   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1120</b>        | Stewart Holdem   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1120</b>        | Stewart Holdem   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1120</b>        | Stewart Holdem   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1120</b>        | Stewart Holdem   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>883</b>         | Lavinia Holland  | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>931</b>         | Michael Holland  | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1225</b>        | Wayne Hollis   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1225</b>        | Wayne Hollis   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| <b>1225</b>        | Wayne Hollis   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1225</b>        | Wayne Hollis   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1225</b>        | Wayne Hollis   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1225</b>        | Wayne Hollis   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1225</b>        | Wayne Hollis   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1225</b>        | Wayne Hollis   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1225</b>        | Wayne Hollis   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1225</b>        | Wayne Hollis   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                            | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |                                    |                    |                 |
| <b>106</b>         | Val Holmes   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone             | 16.7.2.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.   |       |          |                                    |                    |                 |
| <b>106</b>         | Val Holmes   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone             | 16.7.3.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.   |       |          |                                    |                    |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                     | Guiding principles | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Guiding principles but test all objectives, policies and methods to ensure that the principles underpin the regulatory framework.   |       |          |                                    |                    |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 2     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                       | 2.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include definitions in Ch 25 Definitions for the following terms, based on the descriptions in Ch 2:</p> <p>Enable means that an activity is provided for through a rule.</p> <p>Avoid is to avoid an effect by undertaking an activity in such a way that the effect does not occur or is significantly reduced. If an effect is to be totally avoided the activity will be prohibited in the rules.</p> <p>Control means that controls will be used in rules to manage effects of the activity.</p> <p>Manage means that the effects of an activity can be managed through a range of mechanisms such as rules or non-regulatory methods.</p> <p>Protect means to keep safe from harm from inappropriate subdivision use and development.</p> |       |          |                                    |                    |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 3     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                       | 2.                 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend all uses of the term 'natural and human use values' to 'values'</li> <li>Add a definition in Ch 25 of values as follows:<br/>The worth, desirability or utility of a thing, or qualities on which these depend.</li> <li>Ensure that all values that exist are included and taken into account in the MEP.</li> <li>Amend Appendix 5 to include and recognise all values of water resource units including food production values</li> </ul>  |       |          |                                    |                    |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 4     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.2       | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 3.1.2<br>An applicant is encouraged to consult with iwi in the development of resource consent or plan change where the scale and significance of the activity will impact on cultural values.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 4.1.1<br>Recognise and provide for the rights of resource users by only intervening in the use of land where there is a clear resource management issue that requires intervention.              |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 4.2.1 by adding:<br>p) irrigation networks and reticulation infrastructure   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 8     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 5.1 as follows:<br>Water allocation and water use management regimes will reflect the values identified for the water resource.<br>Amend the Explanation to be consistent with the objective. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 9     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.1.1 Explanation by relacing 'hydrological and environmental circumstances' with 'identified values'.<br>Delete 'natural and human use'   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 10    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.1.2  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.1.2<br>Or reword:<br>Recognise that the taking of water and the uses of water are interrelated and will be managed together.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 11    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5B      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Explanation to Issue 5B by deleting paragraph 1 and 1st sentence of Para 2:<br>Marlborough's freshwater bodies sustain a diverse range of values, including cultural and spiritual values, recreation values, habitat values, landscape values, community values such as drinking water, food production values and commercial and economic values. The water that flows in rivers or is contained in aquifers, lakes and wetlands sustains Marlborough's community and environment.<br><br>Delete all reference so to 'natural and human use' values and only use 'values'. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 12    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete 'natural and human use' from Objective 5.2 and the Explanation.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 13    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete 'natural and human use' from Policy 5.2.1 and the Explanation.<br>Delete heading 'Natural and human use values'.<br>Amend Appendix 5 to include wider range of values including food production.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 14    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.2.4 as follows:<br>Set specific environmental flows and /or levels for Freshwater Management Units based on the freshwater objectives for each FMU which are informed by the values identified for that FMU.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 15    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.5  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 5.2.5as follows:<br/>           With the exception of water taken for domestic needs, animal drinking water or water for capital root stock protection and crop survival water for drought intolerant food crops, prevent the taking.....</p> <p>Add to the Explanation:<br/>           Water for capital root stock protection and crop survival water for drought intolerant food crops to ensure that they can be maintained in the event of a drought as such crops are not able to moved in the event of a drought and the loss of the capital investment would have serious impacts on the Marlborough community.</p> <p>Include definitions as follows:<br/>           Capital rootstock protection means water required to maintain survival of permanent horticultural crops in drought, no more than the equivalent of 50% of the total allocation of the consent holder.</p> <p>Crop survival water means water for the survival of drought intolerant food crops excluding pasture, maize and animal feed crops. Water supplied for survival should be no more than 50% of the total allocation of the consent holder.</p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 16    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.7  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete 'natural and human use' form Policy 5.2.7   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 17    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.11 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 5.2.4 as follows:<br/>           Set specific minimum levels for Freshwater Management Units dominated by aquifers based on the freshwater objectives for each FMU which are informed by the values identified for that FMU<br/>           Or amend to include:<br/>           g) to provide for the identified values for the FMU.</p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 18    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.17 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 5.2.17<br/>           Implement water restrictions for water users serviced by municipal water supplies when the management flows/ levels are 20% above the minimum flow or level by restricting takes that are not for essential domestic use.</p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 19    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.23 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete 'natural and human use' from Policy 5.2.23   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 20    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.3.1:<br>a) Essential domestic supplies<br>b) Values identified for the FMU<br>c) Aquifer recharge<br>d) Domestic and stock drinking water<br>e) Capital rootstock and crop survival water<br>f) Municipal water supply<br>g) All other water takes<br>Amend the Explanation to reflect the re-order of priorities. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 21    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.3.7 by adding:<br>Except for capital rootstock where a 10/10 reliability will apply.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 22    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.3.9 to include provision for higher reliability for irrigation water for capital rootstock.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 23    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.13 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.13  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 24    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.4   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 25    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.1  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.5.1 as follows:<br>Recognise that the following Freshwater Management Units are under pressure and undertake a process to identify limits for these FMU's that incorporate all identified values.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 26    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.5.4 but apply a reasonable use test for all water takes.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 27    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.5  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.5.5: as follows:<br>Apply a reasonable use test to all takes in the Benmorven, Brancott and Omaka FMU's to ensure that allocations reflect required amounts. Undertake a review of the limits for the aquifers to ensure that they reflect all values. If additional reductions are then required they will be applied according to the priorities set out in Policy 5.3.1. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 28    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.7   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 29    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.7.1 by deleting 'every proposed use will be authorised by a separate water permit'.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 30    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.2<br>Amend Explanation by inserting after IrriCalc: 'or alternative model where IrriCalc does not include specific crops'  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 31    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 5.7.3<br>'Or the crop grown is not provided for in IrriCalc.'  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 32    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.6   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.6  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 33    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.8   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.7.8 to clarify the information that would be required to justify use of water for frost fighting.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 34    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.9   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.7.9 as follows:<br>Water takes for frost fighting purposes will be based on the requirements for the specific crop.<br>Add to the Explanation<br>A limitation of 44 cubic metres per hour per hectare may be applied unless the applicant demonstrates a greater requirement.                     |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 35    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 36    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.2  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 37    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.3  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 38    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include a new method:<br>5.M.1A Identification of values supported by freshwater, groundwater resources.<br>To identify, the values that the community places on freshwater bodies. These values will be used as the basis for establishing freshwater objectives and policy responses to manage the waterbodies |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 39    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Objective 14.1 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.1 and ensure that it is implemented throughout the Plan.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 40    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.1.1 and ensure that it is implemented throughout the Plan.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 41    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete 'land based' form Policy 14.1.3.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 42    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.5   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 43    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.7 but ensure robust policies to manage reverse sensitivity effects.<br>Add R to Policy 14.1.7   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 44    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.1.9 as follows"<br>Manage the potential effects of primary production on adjoining residential zones by ensuring that adequate buffer distances are established within the residential zone. |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 45    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.2<br>Ensure that 'pests' include unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 46    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.1  | Support         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.2.1<br>And provide for the policy to be implemented through rules.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 47    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.2.2 but add R to the policy and ensure that it applies to unwanted organisms.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 48    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 14.3:<br>Activities that are not related to primary production are generally not appropriate to be located in rural environments. |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 49    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.3.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend 14.3.2 by adding e):<br>The potential reverse sensitivity effects arising from locating adjacent to primary production activities.          |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 50    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Issue 14B      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 14B by deleting 'increased' with 'potential'.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 51    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete 'and enhanced' from Objective 14.4   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 52    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.4.1 a)<br>Presence of buildings and structures necessary for primary production   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 53    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.2  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.4.2 as follows:<br>d) reflects the need for buildings and structures for primary production activities.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 54    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.4.3<br>b) add 'and side and rear boundaries'<br>d) avoid potential reverse sensitivity effects<br><br>Amend Explanation so it refers to all boundaries, not just the road boundary.                                       |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 55    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.4.5 by adding:<br>and enabling primary production activities to be undertaken.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 56    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.4.10:<br>Control the establishment of residential and other sensitive activities within the rural environments as a means of avoiding reverse sensitivity between sensitive activities and primary production activities. |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 57    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.15 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.4.15 by adding 'and other sensitive activities' after 'residential activity'  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 58    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.5.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.5.2 by adding:<br>j) avoid reverse sensitivity effects  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 59    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.5.4  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete 'seasonal' from Policy 14.5.4.<br>Delete 'remote' from Policy 14.5.4.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 60    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 15.1a):<br>Add g) values identified for the water bodies are provided for.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 61    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1e | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Clarify how waterbodies valued for primary contact recreation have been identified.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 62    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.1<br>b) potential for contact recreation 'in identified areas'.<br>c) Add 'and food production'<br>e) other values identified for the water body   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 63    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.2   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.2<br>b) all values identified for the waterbody  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 64    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.3   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.3 but add that method 15.M.1 will be used as the first stage on implementing Policy 15.1.3.ts in place a process for limits to be set. This process includes the identification of values supported by freshwater resources. (Method 15.M.1). |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 65    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.1          | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Method 15.M.1 but amend:<br>15.M.1 Identification of values supported by freshwater, groundwater or coastal water resources.<br>To identify, the values that the community places on freshwater bodies. These values will be used as the basis for establishing freshwater objectives and policy responses to manage the waterbodies.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 66    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.25 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.25  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 67    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.26 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.26  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 68    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.29 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.29 but include a default rule as Restricted Discretionary as activities not meeting the permitted activity standards can be managed through an RD process.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 69    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 15.3<br>Reduce the potential for adverse effects, including health effects, from the discharge of contaminants to air.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 70    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.3.4<br>Manage the use of agrichemicals to avoid adverse effects of spraydrift by adopting best practice methods of application to minimise the potential for off-target drift.<br>Include in the Explanation:<br>Best practice for agrichemical use is set out in NZS8409:2004 Management of Agrichemicals. Appropriate training is required to ensure that users are competent in undertaking applications of agrichemicals to minimise potential for off target spray drift. |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 71    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.4 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 15.4<br>Safeguard the life supporting capacity of Marlborough's soil resource.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 72    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.4.2:<br>Encourage good management practices that:   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 73    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.4.3 but include a default rule as Restricted Discretionary as activities not meeting the permitted activity standards can be managed through an RD process. Matters of discretion should be the matters listed in Policy 15.4.4. |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 74    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.5.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.5.1 but amend to include (D).  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 75    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.2.1.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.2.1 by deleting 'dwelling' and replace with 'habitable building'.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 76    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.2.5.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.2.5 by deleting 'farming' and replace with 'production land activities'<br><br>Amend to add 'or CT' after 'Computer Register.'   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 77    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.2.7.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.2.7 by deleting 'Until 9 June 2017.'   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 78    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.3.1.        | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.3.1 by deleting 'dwelling' and replace with 'habitable building' or 'dwellings' with 'habitable buildings'.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 79    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.3.5.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.3.5 by deleting 'farming' and replace with 'production land activity'   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 80    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.4.1.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.4.1  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 81    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add to 2.7 as 2.7.11<br>Vegetation removal to remove unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 82    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.12.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add to 2.12 as 2.12.12<br>Vegetation removal to remove unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 83    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.14.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rules for application of agrichemicals as sought for Rule 2.22.1 below. (See Subpoint 769.86)<br>Add an extra standard to 2.14.10:<br>Meet the requirements of 2.22.1. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 84    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.2.   | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 2.17.2:<br/> Discharge of an aquatic agrichemical into a waterbody</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The substances, including any adjuvants, are approved by EPA under the HSNO Act for discharge directly into or onto water and must comply with requirements covering the person in charge, training, signage, storage, emergency management and all other requirements under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 and pursuant Regulations</li> <li>2. The person authorising the discharge direct to water shall notify: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Every person taking water for potable supply within 1km downstream of proposed discharge at least 12 hours prior to discharge occurring; and</li> <li>ii. Every resource consent holder for taking of water for public potable water supply purposes downstream of proposed discharge at least 1 week before commencing discharge.</li> </ol> </li> <li>3. Qualifications<br/> Discharge of agrichemicals directly into or onto water can be carried out only by persons Holding either: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) a GROWSAFE® Registered Chemical Applicators Certificate (National Certificate in Agrichemical Aquatic strand)</li> <li>or:<br/> GROWSAFE® Introductory Certificate and under direct supervision of a person holding a GROWSAFE® Registered Chemical Applicator Certificate (National Certificate in Agrichemical Aquatic strand)</li> <li>b) Aerial application –the pilot must hold a GROWSAFE® Pilots Agrichemical Rating Certificate issued by CAA and the application company must hold AIRCARE™ Accreditation</li> </ol> </li> </ol> <p>Where spraying is occurring in a public place signs shall be placed within the immediate vicinity of the spraying prior to commencing and maintained until spraying has ceased.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4. Records<br/> All users must keep records consistent with Appendix C9 of NZS8409:2004 Management of Agrichemicals as evidence and information that provides an authentic record to verify that the application of agrichemical(s) directly to water has been carried out in a safe responsible manner, in particular with respect to notification of any person who may take water for their own use. Such records must be provided to Auckland Council when requested.</li> <li>5. Pest plants identified in Appendix 25, unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993 and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse and old man's beard are the only vegetation that may be sprayed.</li> </ol> |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 85    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.11.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain 2.17.11.1<br/> Replace 2.17.11.2 – 8 with provisions as sought for 2.17.2 (except for clause 5) to 2.17.11. (See Subpoint 769.84)<br/> Add additional clause:<br/> The discharge must only be for the purpose of eradicating, modifying or controlling aquatic plants or unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity 1993.</p>   |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 86    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.22.     | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 2.22.1 for Standards for application of agrichemicals as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The substance is approved under HSNO and the use and discharge of the substance is in accordance with all conditions of the approval.</li> <li>2) The application must not result in the agrichemical being deposited on a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel that contains water unless specifically provided for in other sections of this Plan.</li> <li>3) The discharge is undertaken in a manner consistent with NZS8409:2004 Management of Agrichemicals and for specific activities compliance with the following sections of NZS8409: 2004 Management of Agrichemicals: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Storage – Appendix L4</li> <li>• Use – Part 5.3 and 5.5</li> <li>• Disposal – Appendix S</li> <li>• Records – Appendix C9</li> </ul> </li> <li>4) The discharge must be undertaken in such a way that there are no adverse effects from off target spray drift beyond the boundary property</li> <li>5) Spray plan<br/>The owner/ occupier or manager shall prepare a spray plan at least once a year including identifying sensitive areas adjacent to where discharges will occur. (Spray plan requirements to be included in Plan or refer to NZS8409:2004 5.3 and Appendix M4 and template on website);</li> <li>6) Training<br/>Where agrichemicals are applied: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) All users, other than agrichemical contractors, must hold a GROWSAFE® Introductory Certificate or be under direct supervision of a person holding a GROWSAFE® Applied Certificate or Registered Chemical Applicators Certificate.</li> <li>ii) Every ground based agrichemical contractor shall hold a GROWSAFE® Registered Chemical Applicators Certificate Or have a GROWSAFE® Introductory Certificate and under direct supervision of GROWSAFE® Registered Chemical Applicator</li> <li>iii) Every pilot undertaking Aerial application must hold a GROWSAFE® Pilots Agrichemical Rating Certificate issued by CAA and the application company or operator must hold a current AIRCARE™ Accreditation.</li> </ol> </li> <li>7) Notification<br/>The owner/ occupier or manager shall ensure that notification has occurred prior to application commencing as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) Sensitive areas other than amenity areas and public places:<br/>The owner/ occupier or manager of the property where agrichemicals are to be used is to ensure that any person likely to be directly affected by application and who requests notification, is notified prior to application commencing:</li> <li>ii) Amenity areas and public places<br/>The owner/ occupier or manager shall provide a public notice in a local newspaper or letter drop in the area to be sprayed at least 7 days before the proposed application and ensure that the signage below is provided: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) Where spraying is occurring in a public place signs shall be placed within the immediate vicinity of the spraying prior to commencing and maintained until spraying has ceased,</li> <li>ii) Where the spraying is occurring on or alongside roads vehicles associated with the spraying shall display signs on the front and rear of the vehicles advising that spraying is occurring.</li> </ol> </li> </ol> </li> </ol> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 769                | Horticulture New Zealand  | 87    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.22.     | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a Restricted Discretionary Activity Rule for agrichemicals<br>If the conditions of the permitted activity rule cannot be met then consent as a restricted discretionary activity would be required.<br>Matters of discretion<br>When assessing an application for discharge of contaminants into air, or onto or into land or water from the use or application of agrichemicals, the matters to be considered are:<br>(a) The type of agrichemical to be discharged, including its toxicity and volatility and the carrying agent (formulation);<br>(b) The proposed method of application, including the type of spray equipment to be used, the spray volume and droplet size, the direction of spraying and the height of release above the ground;<br>(c) The nature of any training undertaken by the operator;<br>(d) Measures to avoid agrichemical spray drift;<br>(e) The extent to which the use or application complies with NZS8409:2004 Management of Agrichemicals;<br>(f) The proximity of the use or application to potable water including roof water;<br>(g) The proximity of the use or application to waterbodies;<br>(h) The timing of application in relation to weather conditions; and<br>(i) Communication requirements. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 88    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.34.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new permitted activity<br>2.34.13<br>Signage required by other legislation such as HSNO or Worksafe NZ Act.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 89    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include a new permitted activity 3.1.59<br>Burial, spraying, burning or removal of vegetation material infected by unwanted organisms as declared by MPI Chief Technical Officer or an emergency declared by the Minister under the Biosecurity Act 1993.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 90    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include in 3.1 Permitted Activities:<br>Accessory buildings for primary production including artificial crop protection structures and crop support structures<br>Or amend the definition of farming to include accessory buildings to the activity.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 91    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.11. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend 3.2.1.11:<br>Delete 'utilising the soils of the site'<br>Add after greenhouse: or artificial crop protection structures   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 92    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.12. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend 3.2.1.12 as follows:<br>Habitable buildings<br>8 m for the front boundary<br>25 m for the rear boundary<br>25 m for the side boundary<br><br>All other buildings<br>8 m for the front boundary<br>5 m for the rear boundary<br>5 m for the side boundary  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 93    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.18. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add additional points to Standard 3.2.1.18:<br>c) Artificial crop protection structures and crop support structures between 8-12 metres from a pole support structure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Meet the requirements of NZECP 34: 2001</li> <li>• Are no more than 2.5 metres in height</li> <li>• Are removable or temporary to allow a clear working space 12 metres from the pole where necessary for maintenance purposes</li> <li>• Allow all weather access to the police and a sufficient area for maintenance equipment, including a crane.</li> </ul> d) An artificial crop support structure or crop support structure located within 12 metres of a tower support structure that meets the requirements of Clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34:2001. |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 94    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 3.2.3.2.<br>7am – 10pm 55dBA LAeq  |       |          |                          |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 95    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend 3.2.3.3 by hanging 'agricultural or horticultural activities' to primary production activities'  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 96    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.9.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.2.9.1  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 97    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.2.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 3.3.2.1  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 98    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 3.3.5.1 as follows:<br>A category A or Category B device must not be operated<br>a) After sunset and before sunrise<br>b) Exceed 65dB SEL when measured at the notional boundary of the nearest habitable building on a site other than on which the device is located or the zone boundary   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 99    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend 3.3.12 by adding an additional standard:<br>Removal of vegetation for the purposes of managing unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 100   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Standard:<br>3.3.13.7<br>For cultivation that is undertaken for rotational cropping the activity will use mechanisms in Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines for vegetable growing (Horticulture NZ 2014) to minimise sediment run-off to water.<br><br>Add to Standard 3.3.13.5: except where 3.3.13.7 applies.<br><br>Amend definition of cultivation as sought elsewhere in this submission. |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 101   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.5.      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Restricted Discretionary Activity Rule for cultivation:<br>List the matters of discretionary as the matters listed in Policy 15.4.4 a- g.   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 102   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.15.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 3.3.15.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 103   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add new standard to 3.3.22<br>Meet the requirements on 2.17.2. (See subpoint 769.84)<br><br>Provide a Restricted Discretionary Rule as sought by Horticulture NZ for where the application does not meet the permitted activity standards.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 104   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend 3.3.23.2 by adding:<br>Except during application.<br><br>Delete 3.3.23.4<br><br>Provide a Restricted Discretionary Rule for where the application does not meet the permitted activity standards.<br>Matters of discretion<br>When assessing an application for discharge of contaminants into air, or onto or into land or water from the use or application of fertiliser, the matters to be considered are:<br>(a) The type of fertiliser to be discharged,<br>(b) The proposed method of application<br>(c) The nature of any training undertaken by the operator;<br>(d) Measures to avoid fertiliser drift;<br>(e) The extent to which the use or application complies with Code of Practice for Nutrient Management (Fert Assoc)<br>(f) The proximity of the use or application to potable water including roof water;<br>(g) The proximity of the use or application to waterbodies;<br>(h) The timing of application in relation to weather conditions; and<br>(i) Communication requirements. |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 105   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.25.2  | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete 3.3.25.2  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 106   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.7.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete 3.3.26.7  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 107   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.27.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Appendix 25 to include unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993. (See Subpoint 769.137)   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 108   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.36.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend 3.3.36.1<br>Only material generated on the same property or a property under the same management or ownership may be burned.<br><br>Include Permitted activity rule to provide for burning of material infected by unwanted organisms. |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 109   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone      | 8.2.1.4.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend 8.2.1.4 by adding<br>15 metres for a habitable building located on a boundary with the Rural Environment Zone.   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 110   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision           | 24.3.1.2.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 24.3.1.2 to required minimum building platform shape factor for subdivisions and include it for all subdivisions in the Rural Area, including the Rural Environment   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 111   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision           | 24.3.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add an additional matter:<br>24.3.1.27<br>Potential for reverse sensitivity effects and mechanisms to avoid such effects.  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 112   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision           | 24.4.1.13. | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain 24.4.1.13.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 113   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of accessory:<br>Means a separate detached building the use of which is incidental to that of the principal building or buildings on the site or the activity on the site.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 114   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition by changing 'organ' to 'oral' nutrition compounds  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 115   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clarify the relationship between 'accessory' and 'ancillary' and amend to ensure that there is clarity as to how the terms will be applied in the Plan.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 116   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add to the end of Category B:<br>Such as sirens and high frequency devices.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 117   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a definition for artificial crop protection structures as follows:<br/>Artificial Crop Protection Structures means structures with material used to protect crops and/or enhance growth (excluding greenhouses).</p> <p>Include a definition for greenhouses as follows:<br/>Greenhouses are a totally enclosed structure where plants are grown in a controlled environment.</p> <p>Include a definition for crop support structures as follows:<br/>Crop support structures are open structures on which plants are grown.</p> |       |          |                |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 118   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of building by adding an exemption for artificial crop protection structures and crop support structures.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 119   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of bare ground by adding:<br>But does not include land that is part of a rotational growing system where it is between crops.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 120   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of cultivation:<br>Means breaking up, turning and mounding of soil in preparation for sowing and harvesting a crop, including ancillary erosion and control methods to minimise sediment runoff to water.    |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 121   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain definition of farm airstrip and helipad  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 122   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend definition of intensive farming to exclude greenhouses and include in the definition of farming.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 123   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete 'and mobile' from the definition of frost fan.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 124   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete greenhouses from intensive farming and provide for them as a standalone activity.<br>Add an exclusion to the definition of intensive farming:<br>But does not include greenhouses for the production of vegetative matter. |       |          |                |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 125   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a definition of fertiliser as the ACVM regulations or as follows:</p> <p>A substance or biological compound or mix of substances or biological compounds that is described as, or held out to be for, or suitable for, sustaining or increasing the growth, productivity, or quality of plants or, indirectly, animals through the application to plants or soil of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) essential nutrients and</li> <li>ii) fertiliser additives; and</li> <li>iii) non-nutrient attributes of the materials used in fertiliser.</li> </ul> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 126   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the definition of minor upgrading by adding to b):<br/>The re-conductoring of the line with higher capacity conductors but does not include an increase in voltage of the line unless the line was originally constructed to operate at the higher voltage but has been operating at a reduced voltage.<br/>Delete last sentence of the definition.</p>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 127   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the definition of noise sensitive activity:<br/>Delete 'examples include' and replace with 'Noise sensitive activities are..'</p>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 128   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a definition of sensitive activities as follows:<br/>Sensitive activities are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Habitable buildings</li> <li>b) Educational facilities</li> <li>c) Correctional facilities</li> <li>d) Public places and amenity areas where people congregate</li> <li>e) Public roads</li> </ul>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 129   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Include a definition for reverse sensitivity as follows:<br>Reverse sensitivity occurs when occupants of a new development (for example, a lifestyle block) complain about the effects of an existing, lawfully established activity (for example, noise or smell from industry or farming). This can have the effect of imposing economic burdens, operational limitations or other constraints on the existing activity thereby reducing its viability. |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 130   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of ponding:<br>Means the intermittent formation of pools of surface liquid which remain for 24 hours after the source of liquid has ceased.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 131   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of rural industry:<br>Means an industry, constructional engineers and roading, cartage or rural contractor workshop or yards or facilities for the processing, packing and storage of primary products where either:   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 132   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of vegetation clearance by adding:<br>But does not include the harvesting of crops.<br>Delete 'cultivation'.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 133   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of worker accommodation:<br>Means the use of land and buildings for accommodating the short term labour requirement of a farming activity or rural industry where the accommodation is provided on the property on which the farming or rural industry activity occurs.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand  | 134   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a definition for production land:<br>Production land has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act.   |       |          |                |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---|-----------------|
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 135   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add 'food production' as a value to the following FMU's listed on the table 'Other water resources':</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Benmorven FMU</li> <li>Brancott FMU</li> <li>Omaka Aquifer FMU</li> <li>Omaka River FMU</li> <li>Riverlands FMU</li> <li>Southern Springs FMU</li> <li>Wairau Aquifer FMU</li> </ul> <p>Add 'food production' as a value to Schedule 1: 6 Awatere Lower and other Water Resource Units where food production is undertaken.</p> |       |          |  |   |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 136   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels  |   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Withdraw Appendix 6 and develop environmental flows and levels and develop for each catchment through a robust consultation process to identify all values for a waterbody, and then set objectives and flows.</p> <p>In the interim continue to use and apply existing environmental flows and levels for each catchment.</p>  |       |          |  |   |                 |
| <b>769</b>         | Horticulture New Zealand   | 137   | Volume 3 | Appendix 25 Pest Plants  |   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add to Appendix 25:</p> <p>Pest plants will include plant that are unwanted organisms, or infected by unwanted organisms as declared by MPI Chief Technical Officer or an emergency declared by the Minister under the Biosecurity Act 1993.</p>  |       |          |  |   |                 |
| <b>678</b>         | David Horton   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background   | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.</p>   |       |          |  |   |                 |
| <b>678</b>         | David Horton   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources  | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>678</b>         | David Horton   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>678</b>         | David Horton   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>678</b>         | David Horton   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>678</b>         | David Horton   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>678</b>         | David Horton   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>678</b>         | David Horton   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>678</b>         | David Horton   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>678</b>         | David Horton   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>680</b>         | Delwynne Horton  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>680</b>         | Delwynne Horton  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>680</b>         | Delwynne Horton  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>680</b>         | Delwynne Horton  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>680</b>         | Delwynne Horton  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>680</b>         | Delwynne Horton  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>680</b>         | Delwynne Horton  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>680</b>         | Delwynne Horton  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>680</b>         | Delwynne Horton  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>680</b>         | Delwynne Horton  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     |               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>770</b>         | House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.1.3.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.3.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>770</b>         | House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated   | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.1.3.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.1.3.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>770</b>         | House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated   | 3     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone        | 5.1.11.       | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 5.1.11.   |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>770</b>         | House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated        | 4     | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone  | 6.1.4.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 6.1.4.  |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>770</b>         | House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated        | 5     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone         | 8.1.5.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 8.1.5.  |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>770</b>         | House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated        | 6     | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That relocated buildings in the Industrial 1 and 2 Zones is a permitted activity. |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>770</b>         | House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated        | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone    | 3.3.3.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 3.3.3:</p> <p><del>3.3.3.1. A building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</del></p> <p><del>3.3.3.2. All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 6 months of the building being delivered to the site. This includes providing connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations. The owner of the land on which the relocated building is to be located must certify to the Council, before the building is relocated, that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 6 month period.</del></p> <p><b>3.3.3.a Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</b></p> <p><b>3.3.3.b A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building.</b> A suggested pre-inspection report is attached as Schedule 2 in the submission.</p> <p><b>3.3.3.c The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site.</b></p> <p><b>3.3.3.d All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting 3.3.3.c reinstatement work is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</b></p> <p><b>3.3.3.e. The proposed owner of the relocated building must certify to the Council that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 12 month period.</b></p> <p><del>3.3.3.3f The siting of the relocated building must also comply with Standards 3.2.1.1 to 3.2.1.18 (inclusive).</del></p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>770</b>         | House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated  | 8     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.3.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 4.3.3:</p> <p><del>4.3.3.1. A building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</del></p> <p><del>4.3.3.2. All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 6 months of the building being delivered to the site. This includes providing connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations. The owner of the land on which the relocated building is to be located must certify to the Council, before the building is relocated, that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 6 month period.</del></p> <p><b>4.3.3.a Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</b></p> <p><b>4.3.3.b A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building.</b> A suggested pre-inspection report is attached as Schedule 2 in the submission.</p> <p><b>4.3.3.c The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site.</b></p> <p><b>4.3.3.d All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting 4.3.3.c reinstatement work is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</b></p> <p><b>4.3.3.e. The proposed owner of the relocated building must certify to the Council that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 12 month period.</b></p> <p><del>4.3.3.3.f The siting of the relocated building must also comply with Standards 4.2.1.1 to 4.2.1.16 (inclusive).</del></p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>770</b>         | House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated   | 9     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.7.    | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 5.3.7:</p> <p><del>5.3.7.1. A building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</del></p> <p><del>5.3.7.2. All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 6 months of the building being delivered to the site. This includes providing connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations. The owner of the land on which the relocated building is to be located must certify to the Council, before the building is relocated, that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 6 month period.</del></p> <p><b>5.3.7.a Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</b></p> <p><b>5.3.7.b A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building.</b> A suggested pre-inspection report is attached as Schedule 2 in the submission.</p> <p><b>5.3.7.c The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site.</b></p> <p><b>5.3.7.d All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting 5.3.7.c reinstatement work is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</b></p> <p><b>5.3.7.e. The proposed owner of the relocated building must certify to the Council that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 12 month period.</b></p> <p><del>5.3.7.3f The siting of the relocated building must also comply with Standard 5.2.1.6.</del></p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>770</b>         | House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated   | 10    | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone | 6.3.2.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 6.323:</p> <p><del>6.3.2.1. A building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</del></p> <p><del>6.3.2.2. All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 6 months of the building being delivered to the site. This includes providing connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations. The owner of the land on which the relocated building is to be located must certify to the Council, before the building is relocated, that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 6 month period.</del></p> <p><b>6.3.2.a Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</b></p> <p><b>6.3.2.b A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building.</b> A suggested pre-inspection report is attached as Schedule 2 in the submission.</p> <p><b>6.3.2.c The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site.</b></p> <p><b>6.3.2.d All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting 6.3.2.c reinstatement work is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</b></p> <p><b>6.3.2.e. The proposed owner of the relocated building must certify to the Council that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 12 month period.</b></p> <p><del>6.3.2.3f The siting of the relocated building must also comply with Standard 6.2.1.4.</del></p> |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>770</b>         | House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated   | 11    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.4.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 7.3.4:</p> <p><del>7.3.4.1. A building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</del></p> <p><del>7.3.4.2. All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 6 months of the building being delivered to the site. This includes providing connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations. The owner of the land on which the relocated building is to be located must certify to the Council, before the building is relocated, that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 6 month period.</del></p> <p><b>7.3.4.a Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</b></p> <p><b>7.3.4.b A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building.</b> A suggested pre-inspection report is attached as Schedule 2 in the submission.</p> <p><b>7.3.4.c The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site.</b></p> <p><b>7.3.4.d All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting 7.3.4.c reinstatement work is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</b></p> <p><b>7.3.4.e. The proposed owner of the relocated building must certify to the Council that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 12 month period.</b></p> <p><del>7.3.4.3f The siting of the relocated building must also comply with Standards 7.2.1.1 to 7.2.1.11 (inclusive).</del></p> |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>770</b>         | House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated  | 12    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.4.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 8.3.4:</p> <p><del>8.3.4.1. A building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</del></p> <p><del>8.3.4.2. All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 6 months of the building being delivered to the site. This includes providing connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations. The owner of the land on which the relocated building is to be located must certify to the Council, before the building is relocated, that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 6 month period.</del></p> <p><b>8.3.4.a Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</b></p> <p><b>8.3.4.b A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building.</b> A suggested pre-inspection report is attached as Schedule 2 in the submission.</p> <p><b>8.3.4.c The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site.</b></p> <p><b>8.3.4.d All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting 8.3.4.c reinstatement work is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</b></p> <p><b>8.3.4.e. The proposed owner of the relocated building must certify to the Council that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 12 month period.</b></p> <p><del>8.3.4.3f The siting of the relocated building must also comply with Standards 8.2.1.1 to 8.2.1.8 (inclusive).</del></p> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>770</b>         | House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated   | 13    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.1.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the default activity classification for any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards is changed from discretionary to restricted discretionary activity subject to the following assessment criteria (or to the same or similar effect):</p> <p>Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) proposed landscaping;</li> <li>ii) the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services;</li> <li>iii) the appearance of the building following reinstatement.</li> </ul>  |       |          |                          |           |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>770</b>         | House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated   | 14           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.6.1.           | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That the default activity classification for any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards is non-notified restricted discretionary activity subject to the following assessment criteria (or to the same or similar effect):<br>Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent:<br>i) proposed landscaping;<br>ii) the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services;<br>iii) the appearance of the building following reinstatement. |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>770</b>         | House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated   | 15           | Volume 2      | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.4.1.           | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That the default activity classification for any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards is non-notified restricted discretionary activity subject to the following assessment criteria (or to the same or similar effect):<br>Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent:<br>i) proposed landscaping;<br>ii) the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services;<br>iii) the appearance of the building following reinstatement. |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>770</b>         | House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated   | 16           | Volume 2      | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone       | 6.4.1.           | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That the default activity classification for any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards is non-notified restricted discretionary activity subject to the following assessment criteria (or to the same or similar effect):<br>Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent:<br>i) proposed landscaping;<br>ii) the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services;<br>iii) the appearance of the building following reinstatement. |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>770</b>         | House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated   | 17           | Volume 2      | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.4.1.           | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | That the default activity classification for any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards is non-notified restricted discretionary activity subject to the following assessment criteria (or to the same or similar effect):<br>Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent:<br>i) proposed landscaping;<br>ii) the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services;<br>iii) the appearance of the building following reinstatement. |       |          |                     |           |        |
| <b>770</b>         | House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated   | 18    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.4.1.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the default activity classification for any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards is non-notified restricted discretionary activity subject to the following assessment criteria (or to the same or similar effect):<br>Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent:<br>i) proposed landscaping;<br>ii) the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services;<br>iii) the appearance of the building following reinstatement. |       |          |                     |           |        |
| <b>770</b>         | House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated   | 19    | Volume 1 | All                 |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete all provisions (including objectives, policies, rules, assessment criteria, definitions, methods and reasons) regulating the removal, re-siting, and relocation of buildings in the plan.<br><br>Rewrite these provisions to reflect the reasons for this submission.<br><br>Recognise the need to provide for the coordination between Building Act and Resource Management Act, to avoid regulatory duplication.  |       |          |                     |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|--------|
| 770                | House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated   | 20    | Volume 2 | All                              |              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete all provisions (including objectives, policies, rules, assessment criteria, definitions, methods and reasons) regulating the removal, re-siting, and relocation of buildings in the plan.</p> <p>Rewrite these provisions to reflect the reasons for this submission.</p> <p>Recognise the need to provide for the coordination between Building Act and Resource Management Act, to avoid regulatory duplication.</p>   |       |          |                                  |              |        |
| 770                | House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated   | 21    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                   | 25.          | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following definitions are included in the MEP:</p> <p><b>Relocated Building</b> means any previously used building which is transported in whole or in parts and re-located from its original site to its destination site; but excludes any prefabricated building which is delivered dismantled to a site for erection on that site.</p> <p><b>Removal of a Building</b> means the shifting of a building off a site.</p> <p><b>Relocation of a Building</b> means the placement of a relocated building on its destination site.</p> <p><b>Re-siting of a Building</b> means shifting a building within a site.</p> |       |          |                                  |              |        |
| 806                | Jamie Hrstich  | 1     | All      | All                              |              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br/>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.</p>   |       |          |                                  |              |        |
| 5                  | Dale Hulburt   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>I therefore stand strongly against the current wording of Section 5, and <b>it should be re-worded to include recognition for different water needs by different crops, different varieties within those crops, and different production methods.</b></p>   |       |          |                                  |              |        |
| 5                  | Dale Hulburt   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>I therefore stand strongly against the current wording of Section 5, and <b>it should be re-worded to include recognition for different water needs by different crops, different varieties within those crops, and different production methods.</b></p>   |       |          |                                  |              |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| <b>35</b>          | Dale Hulburt  | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        |              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I therefore stand strongly against the current wording of Section 5, and <b>it should be re-worded to include recognition of "Prior tempore potior iure" and that "Fair &amp; Just" should take precedent over "equitable" in protecting businesses and farming families that have built our community.</b>   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>272</b>         | Dale Hulburt  | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.4.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Relief Sought:</p> <p>That Section 5 be <b>amended</b> to include three passages regarding the "Portability/Transferability" of Water User rights (allocations).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There should be TWO classifications of Water Users: One termed specifically as "Portable/Transferable" to allow it to be removed to another location off the original property; the other as "Non-Removable/Non-Transferable", which cannot be removed from the property it sits on.</li> <li>• Unless a special "portable" classification of Resource Consent is sought; a Lessee of Land who seeks Resource Consent for the taking of Water, be classified as a Custodian of the Consent, with the ownership transferred automatically to the Land-Owner at the termination or scheduled end of the Lease Agreement.</li> <li>• As part of the approval process, the Land-Owner (Lessor) should be required to sign-off on the application, and sign an acknowledgement form if the Resource Consent application is for a "Portable/Transferable" Water Use permit.</li> </ul> <p>My choice of wording ("portable" / "transferable") is designed to confine any rule change to the REMOVAL of water-usage from a property without the expressed consent of the Land-Owner. It is NOT the intent of the author to prevent a well from being bored elsewhere on the same property under the same Resource Consent, which is a reasonable modification to a Water Take/Use permit. In forwarding this amendment recommendation, I think it is important to state that we are looking to improve the protections of all that benefit from our natural resources, we are not looking for any personal gain or business advantage for ourselves.</p> |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>313</b>         | Dale Hulburt  | 1     | All      | All                                     |              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Acceptance of my earlier submissions and those of Keith Adams and Federated Farmers.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>314</b>         | Dale Hulburt  | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Council should provide wording that puts greater emphasis on phasing back of water consents to those Large Corporate Vineyards in drier arid lands that are more greatly responsible for our current Water Resource conditions.   |       |          |   |              |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>314</b>         | Dale Hulburt  | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Council should provide wording that puts greater emphasis on phasing back of water consents to those Large Corporate Vineyards in drier arid lands that are more greatly responsible for our current Water Resource conditions.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1016</b>        | Philip Erwin Hunnisett  | 1     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                | Policy 19.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Council need to take a strong precautionary approach to any activity that promotes fossil fuel burning and instead promote drastic cut backs on carbon emissions.<br><br>Marlborough District Council is urged to participate in the "Global Covenant of Mayors for Climate Change and Energy".<br><br>This is a global initiative committed to climate leadership. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1016</b>        | Philip Erwin Hunnisett  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.21.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Livestock should not have access to lakes, wetlands, waterways or the coastal marine area where intensive cattle or sheep farming is practiced.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1016</b>        | Philip Erwin Hunnisett  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.10.4.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.10.4 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><br><del>Standard 3.3.10.4 There must be no planting within the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape.</del>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1016</b>        | Philip Erwin Hunnisett  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.11.5.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the clearance of indigenous forest in the Rural Environment Zone is not a permitted activity. The submission does not include what status this type of activity should be.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1016</b>        | Philip Erwin Hunnisett  | 5     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.5.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.7.5.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>1016</b>        | Philip Erwin Hunnisett  | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.3.6.1.                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include alternative set backs for forestry activities on steep land to reduce the sedimentation issues.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>691</b>         | Emma Hunter   | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>807</b>         | Jeremy Hunter   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>807</b>         | Jeremy Hunter   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>807</b>         | Jeremy Hunter   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>807</b>         | Jeremy Hunter   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>807</b>         | Jeremy Hunter   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.             |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>807</b>         | Jeremy Hunter   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |                |        |
| <b>807</b>         | Jeremy Hunter  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |                |        |
| <b>807</b>         | Jeremy Hunter  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |                |        |
| <b>807</b>         | Jeremy Hunter  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |                |        |
| <b>807</b>         | Jeremy Hunter  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |                |        |
| <b>498</b>         | Hura Pakake Family Trust   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 111 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Sec 1 SO 429448 (Property Number 537753) be rezoned Coastal Living, consistent with the neighbouring zoning and that Planning Map 111 be amended accordingly.   |       |          |   |                |        |
| <b>498</b>         | Hura Pakake Family Trust   | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Sec 1 SO 429448 (Property Number 537753):   |       |          |   |                |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>be removed from the Whangerae Inlet and Okiwi Bay Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape (Appendix 1 Area 8 <i>inferred</i>) and</li> <li>that Landscapes Map 4 (Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape - Outer Sounds) be amended accordingly.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |                |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision            | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|----------------------|--------|
| 498                | Hura Pakake Family Trust   | 3     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone  | 7.3.7.2.             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 7.3.7.2(e):</p> <p><i>Standard 7.3.7.2(e) The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 7.3.7.3 to 7.3.7.6 (inclusive): (e) where the clearance is on a Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Site and that clearance is within the curtilage <b>and access way</b> of an <b>existing or consented</b> dwelling.</i></p>  |       |          |                        |                      |        |
| 498                | Hura Pakake Family Trust   | 4     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone  | 7.3.7.4.             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment (strike-through) and add a new standard (bold):</p> <p><i>Standard 7.3.7.4. Clearance of indigenous vegetation within the coastal environment must not include the following habitats/species: <del>(e) coastal broadleaved shrubland;</del></i></p> <p>New standard:</p> <p><b>Rule 7.3.7.X Clearance of indigenous vegetation, per Computer Register, must not exceed: c) 0.2 hectares in any 1 year period of coastal broadleaf scrub and shrub/and is cleared.</b></p> |       |          |                        |                      |        |
| 48                 | Grant Hutchings  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps           | Flood Hazard Area 15 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the flood hazard designation be removed.  |       |          |                        |                      |        |
| 49                 | Grant Hutchings  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2.              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.   |       |          |                        |                      |        |
| 49                 | Grant Hutchings  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3.              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.   |       |          |                        |                      |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>853</b>         | Vanessa Hyslop   | 1            | All           | All                              |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited  | 1            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited  | 2            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited  | 3            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited  | 4            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited  | 5            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited  | 6            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited  | 7            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>        | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited | 8            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision        |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited | 9            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision        |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited | 10           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision        |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited | 11           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision        |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited | 12           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision        |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited | 13           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision        |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited | 14           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision        |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited | 15           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.<br><i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
|                    | That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:<br><i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 16    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy:<br><i>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</i> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 17    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 18    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 19    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 20    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows:<br/> <i>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised <u>maximum</u> daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</i></p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following:<br/> <i>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</i></p> |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 21    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 22    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 23    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 24    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 25    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 26    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.3  | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain provision   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited  | 27    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i></p> <p><i>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i></p> <p><i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(iv) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated <u>by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</u></i></p> <p><i>(v) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i></p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited  | 28    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.1.1.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited  | 29    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.2.5.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited  | 30    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.3.5.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the provision is retained in full.  |       |          |  |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 31           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.4.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 32           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.5.2.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 33           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 34           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.5.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 35           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.25.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 36           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.26.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of Agricultural Waste (See subpoint 776.50). |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 37           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.33.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 38           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.34.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision  |              |               |                          |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited  | 39    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.39.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited  | 40    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.4.1.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited  | 41    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.6.2.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited  | 42    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |               | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited  | 43    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                                  | Policy 16.3.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Indevin submit that the MEP should include as a method the ongoing commitment of Council toward the further refining of the Soils Sensitive Areas and boundaries.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited  | 44    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Objective 5.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Indevin submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies.<br><br><i><u>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage at all times to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available. If aquifer water is abstracted to storage during the irrigation season the total abstraction for storage and direct irrigation must not exceed the reasonable use demand allocation.</u></i> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited  | 45    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture.  |       |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|------------|-----------------|
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 46    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provision.   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 47    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.5.3.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That standard 3.3.5.3 be amended to read:<br><br><i>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour <u>for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over.</u></i>   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 48    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provision.   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 49    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.26.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of agricultural waste (See subpoint 776.50).   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>776</b>         | Indevin Estates Limited   | 50    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                            | 25.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows:<br><br><i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticultural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</u></i> |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>274</b>         | Institution of Professional Engineers New Zealand (IPENZ)   | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                           | 2.25.2.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the standard (inferred).   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>274</b>         | Institution of Professional Engineers New Zealand (IPENZ)   | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.28.8.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The "recognised professional" should be a chartered professional engineer (inferred).   |       |          |   |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>274</b>         | Institution of Professional Engineers New Zealand (IPENZ)   | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.28.9.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The "recognised professional" should be a chartered professional engineer (inferred).   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>777</b>         | Investavine Limited   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.7.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.</p> <p><i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:</p> <p><i><u>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</u></i></p>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>777</b>         | Investavine Limited   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.7.3   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy:</p> <p><i><u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u></i></p>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>777</b>         | Investavine Limited   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.8.3   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows:</p> <p><i><u>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised <u>maximum</u> daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</u></i></p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following:</p> <p><i><u>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</u></i></p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>777</b>         | Investavine Limited   | 4     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                            | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows:<br><br><i>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i><br><br><i>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i><br><i>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i><br><i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</i><br><i>(ii) <u>the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</u></i><br><i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i><br><i>(iv) <u>the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</u></i><br><i>(v) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i> |       |          |                                    |              |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 1     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 3.1.4:<br><br><i>Policy 3.1.4 <del>Encourage</del> <b>Require</b> iwi to develop iwi management plans that contain:</i>   |       |          |                                    |              |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 2     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 3.1.5:<br><br><i>Policy 3.1.5 <del>Ensure</del> <b>Require</b> iwi management plans are taken into account in resource management decision making processes.</i>  |       |          |                                    |              |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 3     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3.M.2        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 3M.2 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><i>3.M.2 Recognising <b>Requiring</b> statutory acknowledgements</i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.1.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.2.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.3.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.4         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 4.M.4:<br><i>4.M.4 Guidelines</i><br><i>The Council will make extensive use of guidelines to assist resource users to carry out their activities according to best practice for environmental outcomes. Guidelines will be developed in consultation with resource users and groups that represent their interests. The Council will <del>rely on resource</del> <b>support industry good organisations and</b> user groups to implement the guidelines.</i> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 9     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 4.2.1(c):<br><i>Policy 4.2.1 - Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant:</i><br><i>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants <del>operated by the Marlborough District Council;</del></i>   |       |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 778                | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 10    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.1.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.1.1.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 778                | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 11    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.1.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.1.2.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 778                | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 12    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That socio-economic human use values for each Water Resource Unit are added to <i>Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values &amp; Water Quality Classification Standards</i> .  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 778                | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 13    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 5.2.2:<br><br><del>Give priority to protecting</del> <b>Have regard to</b> <i>mauri of freshwater and freshwater flows/levels</i> .  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 778                | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 14    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.3.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 778                | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 15    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 5.2.4:<br><br><b>h) maintain the socio-economic well-being of the local community</b>  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 778                | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 16    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.5.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 778                | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 17    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.2.6:<br><br><del>...24 hour averages (midnight to midnight).</del> <b>Any water abstraction subject to restrictions should be complied with by 9am the following morning.</b> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                    | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated | 18           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.7.                |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated | 19           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.8.                |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated | 20           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.9     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.9.                |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated | 21           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.10    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.10.               |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated | 22           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.11    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.11.               |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated | 23           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.12    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.12.               |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated | 24           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.13    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.13.               |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated | 25           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.14    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.14.               |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated | 26           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.15    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.15.               |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 27    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.16 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.16.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 28    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.17 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.17.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 29    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.18 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.18.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 30    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.19 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.19.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 31    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.20 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.20.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 32    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.21 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.21.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 33    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.22 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 5.2.22:<br><br><i>(c) any downstream effects of a breach in the dam wall</i> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 34    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.23 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.23.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 35    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.24 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.24.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 36    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.25 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 5.2.25:<br><br><i>Where necessary, review the conditions of existing water permits authorising the taking of water within 24 months of the Marlborough Environment Plan (or any subsequent plan changes) becoming operative. <b>In doing this, when determining the timeframe to ensure that relevant environmental flows and levels are complied with, have regard to sunk and future investment.</b></i>        |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 37    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.3.1:<br><br><i>To allocate water in the following order of priority:</i><br><br><i><del>(a) Natural and human use values; then</del></i><br><br><i><del>(b) aquifer recharge; then</del></i><br><br><i><del>(c) (a) domestic, and stock water supply; then and firefighting</del></i><br><br><i><del>(d) municipal water supply; and then</del></i><br><br><i><del>(e) (b) all other takes of water</del></i> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 38    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.2.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 39    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.3.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 40    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.3.4:<br><br><i>... for the purpose of municipal supply.</i><br><br><b><i>Require municipal supplies to implement a low flow management plan once river flows or aquifer flows have dropped beyond the river management flows or minimum aquifer levels.</i></b><br><br>Alternatively, this could be included as an additional policy.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 41    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.5.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 42    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.6.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 43    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.7.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 44    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.8.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 45    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.9  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.9.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 46    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.10.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 47    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 5.3.11:<br><br><i>Have regard to the potential for any <b>new</b> take of water (<b>this excludes resource consent renewals</b>) to adversely....</i> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 48    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.12.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 49    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.13 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.13.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 50    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.3.14:<br><i>(b) not be more than <del>ten</del><b>fifteen</b> years when...</i><br><i>(c) not be more than <del>ten</del><b>fifteen</b> years when...</i> |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 51    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.15.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 52    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.16.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 53    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.1.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 54    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.2.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 55    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.3.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 56    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.4.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 57    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.5.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 58    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.6  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter                           | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.6.                |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated | 59    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.5.1.                |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated | 60    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.5.2.                |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated | 61    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.5.3.                |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated | 62    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.5.4.                |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated | 63    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.5.5.                |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated | 64    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.6.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.6.1.                |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated | 65    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.6.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.6.2.                |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated | 66    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.1 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.1.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 67    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.2.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 68    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.3.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 69    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.7.4:</p> <p><i>Require water permit holders to measure <del>their water take with a pulse emitting meter, to record water take and use with a data logger, and to transfer the recorded water take information by the use of telemetry;</del> <b>record and transfer the information from their water take using a meter and data management system that is capable of recording real time information, and telemetering this to the Marlborough District Council.</b></i></p> <p><i>Alternative methods of measurement.....</i></p> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 70    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.5.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 71    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.6.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 72    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.8 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.8.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 73    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.9 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.9.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                                       | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated                    | 74           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.10    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.7.10.                                  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated                    | 75           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.11    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.11.                                  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated                    | 76           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1.                                   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated                    | 77           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.2.                                   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated                    | 78           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.3.                                   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated                    | 79           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.4     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.4.                                   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated                    | 80           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.5     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.5.                                   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated                    | 81           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.1     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.9.1 - subject to Policy 5.9.3 changes. |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated                    | 82           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.2     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.9.2 - subject to Policy 5.9.3 changes. |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated                    | 83           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.3     | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.9.3.:  |       |          |  |                |                 |
|                    | <b><i>(b) an independent scrutineer will be appointed to oversee the ballot process</i></b>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
|                    | <b><i>(c) the ballot shall be determined by lot</i></b>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
|                    | <del><i>(b) (d) if the water permit....</i></del>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
|                    | <del><i>(e) (e) if the subsequent water permit....</i></del>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 84    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources   | 5.M.3          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method of Implementation 5.M.3.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 85    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources   | 5.M.9          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Method of Implementation 5.M.9:   |       |          |  |                |                 |
|                    | <del><i>Encourage water users to undertake soil moisture monitoring</i></del> <b><i>irrigation scheduling</i></b> <del><i>on irrigated properties so that irrigation occurs to maintain soil moisture levels. This will result in more responsive and efficient use of water.</i></del>                                       |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 86    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)   | Policy 15.1.32 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 15.1.32:   |       |          |  |                |                 |
|                    | <del><i>(iii) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated</i></del> <b><i>by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated</i></b> ; and |       |          |  |                |                 |
|                    | <del><i>(v) the socio-economic impacts of not undertaking the disturbance</i></del>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 87    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)   | 15.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following method be added to Methods of Implementation:  |       |          |  |                |                 |
|                    | <b><i>Work with water users and industry groups and other agencies to develop guidelines for working in riverbeds to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of any activities, and to assist with the preparation of site specific management plans and for the processing of resource consent applications.</i></b>         |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated   | 88    | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |                | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Hearing evidence will be provided of socio-economic human use values for each Water Resource Unit, as additions to Appendix 5.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 89    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.8.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.3.8.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 90    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.9.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.3.9.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 91    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.14.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.3.14.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 92    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.4.1.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.4.1.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 93    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following New Rule will be added to the General Rules:</p> <p><b><i>The replacement of existing take and use resource consents is a restricted activity. Discretion will be limited to the following matters:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>- The rate, volume and timing of the take;</i></b></li> <li><b><i>- The reasonable need for quantities of water sought;</i></b></li> <li><b><i>- Duration of consent;</i></b></li> <li><b><i>- Lapsing of consent;</i></b></li> <li><b><i>- Review of consent conditions;</i></b></li> <li><b><i>- The collection, recording, monitoring and provision of information.</i></b></li> </ul> <p><b><i>In addition for groundwater takes:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>- The effects the take (on its own, or in combination with other takes) has on any other authorised takes (including well interference drawdown effects).</i></b></li> </ul> |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|--------|
| <b>778</b>         | Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated  | 94    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules        | 2.6.3.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change activity status to non-complying.   |       |          |                        |           |        |
| <b>71</b>          | John Jackson   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Stay with the 500m rule. Planners need to consult with main users. Planners need to be more practical with their thinking and do not need to increase cost for all parties, council included.</p> <p>John and Mary-Anne Jackson</p> <p>Residents of Waikawa Bay</p> <p>Vice Commodore Waikawa Boating Club</p> <p>Chairman of Marlborough Berthing and Mooring Association</p> <p>Owner of launch</p> |       |          |                        |           |        |
| <b>71</b>          | John Jackson   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Stay with the 500m rule. Planners need to consult with main users. Planners need to be more practical with their thinking and donot need to increase cost for all parties, council included.</p> <p>John and Mary-Anne Jackson</p> <p>Residents of Waikawa Bay</p> <p>Vice Commodore Waikawa Boating Club</p> <p>Chairman of Marlborough Berthing and MooringAssociation</p> <p>Owner of launch</p>   |       |          |                        |           |        |
| <b>841</b>         | Jacobson Marine Farms Limited  | 1     | All      | All                    |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                        |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>193</b>         | Sue James   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I agree that the water outlet should have a verified water meter at the pump. I do not agree that a datalogger is necessary for domestic users.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>193</b>         | Sue James   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.3.14 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The minimum resource consent term should be 30 years.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>273</b>         | Bev James   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.2.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Provide explanation of how multiple takes, some affected by the policy and others not , taken through the same point/method of take are to be managed (inferred).   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>273</b>         | Bev James   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Objective 5.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the objective (inferred).  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>273</b>         | Bev James   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred).   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>491</b>         | Peter Winston James   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>1. That an early warning system advises property owners restrictions are imminent and will be applied progressively.</p> <p>This will allow farmers/grape growers etc to take measures to protect the health and safety of their animals and crops.</p> <p>2. Council to provide multi well level recordings in order to achieve an accurate over view of water levels in the Northern Springs Sector.</p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>370</b>         | Saville-Smith, Katherine Julie and James, Beverley Lorraine   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Objective 5.5 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>370</b>         | Saville-Smith, Katherine Julie and James, Beverley Lorraine  | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.4         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Method to include the provision of information on monitoring and policing of restrictions on water takes.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>370</b>         | Saville-Smith, Katherine Julie and James, Beverley Lorraine  | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>370</b>         | Saville-Smith, Katherine Julie and James, Beverley Lorraine  | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the explanation for this policy to clarify that the exemption does not apply to water taken for purposes other than domestic or stock, even if water for all uses is taken from the same bore. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>370</b>         | Saville-Smith, Katherine Julie and James, Beverley Lorraine  | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a policy under the heading "Setting of Environmental limits" that requires the Council to review the limits set in Schedule 3 of Appendix 6 as further data becomes available. <i>(Inferred)</i>                   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>370</b>         | Saville-Smith, Katherine Julie and James, Beverley Lorraine  | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>370</b>         | Saville-Smith, Katherine Julie and James, Beverley Lorraine  | 7     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>808</b>         | Kroon, Hanneke and Jansen, Joop   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.10.4 to exclude coastal permits for occupation of areas smaller than 500m2.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>808</b>         | Kroon, Hanneke and Jansen, Joop   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.11        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the proposed pricing regime is set in the MEP.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>808</b>         | Kroon, Hanneke and Jansen, Joop   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy so all monitoring and reports are made public.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>808</b>         | Kroon, Hanneke and Jansen, Joop   | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and gold) are made to the 2nd sentence of the 2nd paragraph of the explanation for Policy 5.10.7:</p> <p><i>In determining who should meet the cost of sustainably managing the coastal marine environment, an allocation of costs needs to occur between beneficiaries. The Council has considered that a contribution towards the costs should be made by ratepayers (<del>25</del><b>51</b>%) as well as those benefitting from the occupation of public space (<del>75</del><b>49</b>%). The Council has also given consideration to anticipated waivers that may be granted and the number and size of the various occupations. From this assessment, a schedule of charges has been derived and is set out in the Council's Annual Plan.</i></p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>808</b>         | Kroon, Hanneke and Jansen, Joop   | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                     |               | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Marlborough Sounds areas.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. We submit that the Landscape overlays cannot be evaluated without the notified coastal marine farming provisions and marine farming zoning maps for coastal Marlborough.</li> <li>2. We submit that as part of the submission process for the Coastal Marine Farming Provisions and Zoning Maps after their notification, another round of submissions has to be allowed for all related parts of the MEP, such as Chapter 6 Natural Character, Chapter 7 Landscape, Chapter 8 Biodiversity and Chapter 13 Use of the Coastal Environment. The whole MEP is interrelated and one part cannot be considered in isolation.</li> <li>3. We submit that large areas of the Marlborough Sounds are zoned as Outstanding Natural Feature, which is clearly done to avoid having to include the adjacent seascape. This is not in keeping with the definitions of Outstanding Natural Landscape and Outstanding Natural Feature.</li> <li>4. We submit that the landscape classification of the Outstanding Natural Features in the Marlborough Sounds should be reviewed. Many of these ONF areas should be classified as Outstanding Natural Landscape and thus include the Seascape that is left out in most places in the Inner Sounds and some locations of the Outer Sounds.</li> <li>5. We submit that the anomalies where a "not ONL water hole" is surrounded by ONFL land or sea area should be reviewed and changed to ONL.</li> <li>6. We submit that more importance should be given to the presence of ecologically significant marine sites in the classification of an area as a ONF as opposed to ONL, as they should also be protected according to the NZ CPS. A seascape is not only the watersurface. Descriptions are: "Landscapes with views of the coast or seas, and coasts and the adjacent marine environment" (Landscape Institute/ IEMA 2013, pl 7) and "An area of sea, coastline and land, as perceived by people, whose character results from the actions and interactions of land with sea, by natural and/ or human factors" (Natural England 2012, p8).</li> <li>7. As a final conclusion we submit that the Outstanding Natural Features and Landscape (including seascape) identification done for the Marlborough Sounds is falling short of what is expected by NZCPS Policy 15 (d) and should be redone completely.</li> </ol> <p>Crail Bay and the Central Pelorus.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. We submit that Bobbery Bay should be added to the ONFL overlay in the MEP including the seascape of this bay.</li> <li>2. We submit that the little Bay Between Hopai Bay and Elie Bay should be classified as ONFL, including the seascape of this bay and added to the ONFL overlay in the MEP.</li> <li>3. We submit that Grant Bay should keep its classification as Outstanding Natural landscape and be added to the ONFL overlay in the MEP plan as such.</li> <li>4. We submit that the ONFL classification of Tawero Point should include the ecologically significant marine site skirting it.</li> </ol> |       |          |                          |               |                 |
| <b>11</b>          | Jarvie Family Trust and T M & M S Raumati   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps              | Zoning Map 93 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We would like the Council to rezone our properties (Secs 1 and 3 SO 428440) Coastal Environment Zone.   |       |          |                          |               |                 |
| <b>302</b>         | Mark Jeffries   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.41.1.     | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | I submit that rules 3.3.41.1 and 3.3.41.2 be deleted.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>302</b>         | Mark Jeffries   | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.41.2.                                 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I submit that rules 3.3.41.1 and 3.3.41.2 be deleted.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>38</b>          | Nicky Jenkins   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike though and bold) -<br><br><i>"From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i>          |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>38</b>          | Nicky Jenkins   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.3.                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike though and bold) -<br><br><i>"From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i> |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>593</b>         | Chang-Seog Jeon   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>593</b>         | Chang-Seog Jeon   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>593</b>         | Chang-Seog Jeon   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>593</b>         | Chang-Seog Jeon   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>593</b>         | Chang-Seog Jeon  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>593</b>         | Chang-Seog Jeon  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>593</b>         | Chang-Seog Jeon  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>593</b>         | Chang-Seog Jeon  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>593</b>         | Chang-Seog Jeon  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>593</b>         | Chang-Seog Jeon  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>814</b>         | Jeong Lye Jeon   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>814</b>         | Jeong Lye Jeon   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>814</b>         | Jeong Lye Jeon   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>814</b>         | Jeong Lye Jeon   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>814</b>         | Jeong Lye Jeon   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>814</b>         | Jeong Lye Jeon   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>814</b>         | Jeong Lye Jeon   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>814</b>         | Jeong Lye Jeon   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>814</b>         | Jeong Lye Jeon   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>814</b>         | Jeong Lye Jeon   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>809</b>         | Jim Jessep   | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include economic development and associated relief.   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>809</b>         | Jim Jessep   | 2     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>809</b>         | Jim Jessep   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Specifically recognise the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay and associated relief.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>809</b>         | Jim Jessep   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Sounds have been altered by social and cultural use and associated relief.   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>809</b>         | Jim Jessep   | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources and associated relief.   |       |          |   |                    |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>809</b>         | Jim Jessep   | 6     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character       | 6.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include appropriate definitions of natural character, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character and associated relief.   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>809</b>         | Jim Jessep   | 7     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | 7.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include appropriate definitions of outstanding features and landscape, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural landscape and features and associated relief.   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>809</b>         | Jim Jessep   | 8     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | 7.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL is not appropriate and should be revised.   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>809</b>         | Jim Jessep   | 9     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief.  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>809</b>         | Jim Jessep   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character       | 6.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and<br>Associated relief. |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>809</b>         | Jim Jessep   | 11    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | 7.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and<br>Associated relief. |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>809</b>         | Jim Jessep   | 12    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and<br>Associated relief. |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>809</b>         | Jim Jessep   | 13    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Landscapes 4                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I request that the MDC undertake a review of these areas and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farms are not causing adverse effects.   |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>809</b>         | Jim Jessep   | 14    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I request that the MDC undertake a review of these areas and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to the natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects.   |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>809</b>         | Jim Jessep   | 15    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I request that the MDC undertake a review of these areas and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to the natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects.   |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>809</b>         | Jim Jessep   | 16    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I request that the MDC undertake a review of these areas and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to the natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects.   |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>809</b>         | Jim Jessep   | 17    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.                         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal water and particularly marine farms and associated relief.   |       |          |  |                             |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b>   | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| <b>809</b>         | Jim Jessep  | 18           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)  | 15.                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.  |              |               |   |                    |                 |
| <b>936</b>         | Michael Jessep  | 1            | Volume 1      | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include economic development and associated relief.  |              |               |   |                    |                 |
| <b>936</b>         | Michael Jessep  | 2            | Volume 1      | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief.   |              |               |   |                    |                 |
| <b>936</b>         | Michael Jessep  | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Specifically recognise the infrastructure uses for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay and associated relief.   |              |               |   |                    |                 |
| <b>936</b>         | Michael Jessep  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Sounds have been altered by social and cultural use and associated relief.  |              |               |   |                    |                 |
| <b>936</b>         | Michael Jessep  | 5            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources and associated relief.  |              |               |   |                    |                 |
| <b>936</b>         | Michael Jessep  | 6            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | 6.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include appropriate definitions of natural character, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character and associated relief.                  |              |               |   |                    |                 |
| <b>936</b>         | Michael Jessep  | 7            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape                             | 7.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include appropriate definitions of outstanding features and landscape, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural landscape and associated relief. |              |               |   |                    |                 |
| <b>936</b>         | Michael Jessep  | 8            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape                             | 7.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL is not appropriate and should be revised.  |              |               |   |                    |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                         | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>936</b>         | Michael Jessep   | 9            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | 8.               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief.  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>936</b>         | Michael Jessep   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                    | 6.               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and<br>Associated relief. |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>936</b>         | Michael Jessep   | 11           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape                            | 7.               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and<br>Associated relief. |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>936</b>         | Michael Jessep   | 12           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | 8.               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and<br>Associated relief. |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>936</b>         | Michael Jessep   | 13           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal waters and particularly marine farms and associated relief.  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>936</b>         | Michael Jessep   | 14           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.   |              |               |  |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>612</b>         | Jet Boating New Zealand Incorporated  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.2.                        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following new activity (bold) is added to 2.2 Permitted Activity:<br><b><i>Rule 2.2.25 Minor excavations of the river bed to construct a giant slalom jet boat course.</i></b> |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>64</b>          | Jet Boating NZ Inc  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.2.                        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | To have the minor excavation of the river bed to form a jet boat giant slalom course be considered a permitted activity.  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>911</b>         | M and S Johns   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8387 in Mikau Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                    |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>911</b>         | M and S Johns   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8387 in Mikau Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                    |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>911</b>         | M and S Johns   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8387 in Mikau Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.            |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>911</b>         | M and S Johns   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8387 in Mikau Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.            |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>513</b>         | Helen Johnston  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>513</b>         | Helen Johnston   | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>513</b>         | Helen Johnston   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>513</b>         | Helen Johnston   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>513</b>         | Helen Johnston   | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>513</b>         | Helen Johnston   | 6     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>513</b>         | Helen Johnston   | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 1                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>513</b>         | Helen Johnston   | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 2                | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |               |        |
| <b>513</b>         | Helen Johnston   | 9     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 3  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |               |        |
| <b>513</b>         | Helen Johnston   | 10    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |               |        |
| <b>513</b>         | Helen Johnston   | 11    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |               |        |
| <b>513</b>         | Helen Johnston   | 12    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 6  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |               |        |
| <b>513</b>         | Helen Johnston   | 13    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 7  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |               |        |
| <b>513</b>         | Helen Johnston   | 14    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 8  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |               |        |
| <b>513</b>         | Helen Johnston   | 15    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 9  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |               |        |
| <b>513</b>         | Helen Johnston   | 16    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 10 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>513</b>         | Helen Johnston   | 17    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Landscapes 11 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>513</b>         | Helen Johnston   | 18    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values   |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>653</b>         | David Edward Johnstone   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.2.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"From <del>9 June 2022</del>, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i>          |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>653</b>         | David Edward Johnstone   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.3.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"From <del>9 June 2022</del>, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i> |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>209</b>         | O'Dwyers Farm Partnership Jones  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Our request is that common sense prevails with the removal of the 100% blanket cut off to springs sector water users.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>212</b>         | O'Dwyers Farm Partnership Jones  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Our request is that common sense prevails with the removal of the 100% blanket cut off to springs sector water users.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>249</b>         | James Jones  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Springs sector water users based on the minimum well levels.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
|                    | Treat all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users equally and consider implementing a rationing restriction (example - restricted all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users to 50% allocation when the monitor wells drop below the set level). This would give all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users the opportunity to prioritise where their reduced volume of allocated water is utilised and help maintain minimum flow levels in Springs. |       |          |   |                |                 |
|                    | Before implementing a blanket restriction further information and knowledge is required of the complex aquifer/springs recharge process. A better understanding of the long term trend of the Wairau Aquifer levels is essential before setting lower limits and cut-off thresholds.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>249</b>         | James Jones  | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.4.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred).  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>249</b>         | James Jones  | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.6.2   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | More data and understanding is required before limits are set. All Wairau Aquifer groundwater users must take responsibility for maintaining minimum aquifer levels/Spring flows, not just Spring sector users.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>249</b>         | James Jones  | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.2   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Make calculations specific to soil type and property location.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>249</b>         | James Jones  | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.8.3   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred).  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>386</b>         | Shirley J Jones  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>467</b>         | Gary Bruce Jones   | 1     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)    | Objective 15.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Wish to:<br>(i) include chimney flue cowling devices such as The FlueCube Chimney Cowl which also eliminates smoke emissions down to the levels required<br>(ii) extend the expiry date for installing a clean burning device to 12 or 18 months from the Plans operative date<br>(iii) provide financial incentive assistance to residents to make the changes required. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>559</b>         | Belinda Jones   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>559</b>         | Belinda Jones   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>559</b>         | Belinda Jones   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>559</b>         | Belinda Jones   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>559</b>         | Belinda Jones   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>559</b>         | Belinda Jones   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.  |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b>                          | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|---|-------------|
| <b>559</b>         | Belinda Jones  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>559</b>         | Belinda Jones  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>559</b>         | Belinda Jones  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>559</b>         | Belinda Jones  | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>654</b>         | David Jones  | 1            | Volume 1      | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>654</b>         | David Jones  | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |   |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>654</b>         | David Jones  | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>654</b>         | David Jones  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>654</b>         | David Jones  | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>654</b>         | David Jones  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>654</b>         | David Jones  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>654</b>         | David Jones  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>654</b>         | David Jones  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>654</b>         | David Jones  | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>704</b>         | Febe Jones   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>704</b>         | Febe Jones   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>704</b>         | Febe Jones   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>704</b>         | Febe Jones   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>704</b>         | Febe Jones   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>704</b>         | Febe Jones   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>704</b>         | Febe Jones   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>704</b>         | Febe Jones   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>704</b>         | Febe Jones   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>704</b>         | Febe Jones   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>731</b>         | Grace Jones  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>731</b>         | Grace Jones  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>731</b>         | Grace Jones  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>731</b>         | Grace Jones  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>731</b>         | Grace Jones  | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>731</b>         | Grace Jones  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>731</b>         | Grace Jones  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>731</b>         | Grace Jones  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>731</b>         | Grace Jones  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>731</b>         | Grace Jones  | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>1168</b>        | Tony Jones   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1168</b>        | Tony Jones   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1168</b>        | Tony Jones   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1168</b>        | Tony Jones   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1168</b>        | Tony Jones   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1168</b>        | Tony Jones   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1168</b>        | Tony Jones   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1168</b>        | Tony Jones   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1168</b>        | Tony Jones  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1168</b>        | Tony Jones  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>304</b>         | Joocy Loocy Partnership   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Rezone land east of Henry Street up to to the Taylor River to Business 1.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen  | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain issue definition and supporting objectives and policies with amendments requested in submission point 2.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>I submit</b> that an enabling policy with regards to the above would further enhance the opportunity to implement integrated management of the Marlborough Sounds marine environment.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen  | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | 5.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies with amendments requested in submissions related to Policy 5.10.4 (submission points #4), Policy 5.10.5 (submission points #5), Policy 5.10.6 (submission points #6) and Policy 5.10.7 (submission points #7). |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen  | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>I submit</b> that there must be consideration <b>in Policy 5.10.4 (inferred)</b> regards the strategic vision of what world class management of the coastal marine zone would be, how this would be implemented and what that would cost. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen   | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>I submit</b> that there must be consideration <b>in Policy 5.10.5 (inferred)</b> regards the strategic vision of what world class management of the coastal marine zone would be, how this would be implemented and what that would cost. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen   | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>I submit</b> that there must be consideration <b>in Policy 5.10.6 (inferred)</b> regards the strategic vision of what world class management of the coastal marine zone would be, how this would be implemented and what that would cost. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen   | 7     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>I submit</b> that there must be consideration <b>in Policy 5.10.7 (inferred)</b> regards the strategic vision of what world class management of the coastal marine zone would be, how this would be implemented and what that would cost. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen   | 8     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | 6.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies for Volume 1 Chapter 6 Natural Character.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen   | 9     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                      | 7.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies for Volume 1 Chapter 7 Landscape.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen   | 10    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity        | 8.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain issue definition and supporting objectives and policies with amendments requested in submissions related to Objective 8.2 (submission point 11) and Policy 8.3.7 (submission point 12).   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen   | 11    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity        | Objective 8.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>I submit</b> that there should be a corresponding policy for Marlborough Sounds marine environment. This policy should also be supported by rules in the Coastal Marine Zone to ease such restoration projects such that, for example, resource consents might not be required for agreed projects that aim to restore benthic habitats or reef environments.</p> <p>This goes further than simply waiving of the resource application fee as suggested in Method of Implementation 8.M.6 Support.</p> |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 12    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity         | Policy 8.3.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>I submit</b> that the rule as worded is too generic and protection methods need to be applied on a site by site basis and address specific activities that present risk to those sites (rather than just fishing activities) are implemented, as recommended by the expert panel.</p>  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 13    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Line 4 Introduction Paragraph 1 if figure is 19%.  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 14    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading <b>Subdivision, use and development activities in the Coastal Environment</b> with amendments requested in submission points related to Volume 1 Use of the Coastal Environment issue and policies.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 15    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13A     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Having this type of analysis ( <i>for matters that are more intrinsic or amenity centric as done for landscape and natural character - inferred</i> ) completed in a collaborative manner outside of a resource consent application process is the best way to provide future certainty as to what is appropriate/inappropriate development.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 16    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 13.2.1(g) provides greater certainty regards adverse effects, these attributes need to be defined, location by location.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 17    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.3   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 18    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.4 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments to Policy 13.2.4 (strikethrough and bold)<br><i>Attributes that <del>may</del> <b>will</b> be considered, <b>where are known to exist</b>, when assessing any effects on coastal amenity value in a particular location include natural character, biodiversity, public access, visual quality, high water quality, recreational opportunities, structures and activities, open space, tranquillity and peacefulness.</i>  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 19    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 13.2.5 with the following amendments (where requested):<br>Make the following amendments (strikethrough and bold): <i>Policy 13.2.5 (c) maintaining <del>or</del> <b>and</b> enhancing areas with indigenous biodiversity value.</i><br><i>Policy 13.2.5 (d) maintaining or enhancing sites or areas of particular value for outdoor recreation. Sites or areas are of particular value for outdoor recreation should these areas be scheduled (inferred).</i><br><i>No change to Policy 13.2.5 (i) clustering together of structures and activities (inferred).</i><br><i>Policy 13.2.5 (m) encouraging appropriate design of new structures and other development in form, colour and positioning that complement, rather than detract from, the visual quality of the location. This should be more than encouraged; it should be non-negotiable.</i> |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 20    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.6 but these values ( <b>coastal amenity, individual and communities values - inferred</b> ) need to be agreed upfront ( <b>e.g., in a Schedule (inferred)</b> ).  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 21    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading <b>Recreational activities</b> with amendments requested in submission point #22.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 22    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13A     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain introductory explanation under Issue 13B.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen  | 23    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Decision requested ( <i>inferred</i> ) Amend policy to provide greater guidance as to how the policy would be applied.  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen  | 24    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Decision requested ( <i>inferred</i> ) Amend policy to provide greater guidance as to how the policy would be applied.  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen  | 25    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy to recognise that depletion of wild fisheries will be, in part, also driven by loss of habitat through different land and sea-based activities. <b>I submit</b> that, in the context of this section of the MEP, those other factors should be acknowledged. |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen  | 26    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13C     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Line 1 Paragraph 2 to read (to be technically correct) <i>Although the number of commercial fishers has decreased over the years, fishers with access to quota for various species still operate from Picton, Havelock and other ports.</i>                   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen  | 27    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading <b>Residential activities</b> with amendments requested in submission related to Policy 13.5.6 (submission point #28).   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen  | 28    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Append reflectivity and colour of building materials to the policy and rules for coastal living zone.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen  | 29    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading <b>Boat moorings and anchoring</b> with amendments requested in submission related to Policy 13.9.4. to (submission point #30).  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen  | 30    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.4 | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | I submit that displacement should be a consideration also for this policy and, as such, appended to the considerations of Policy 13.9.7 – <i>In determining an application for a new consent for a lawfully established existing mooring outside of a Moorings Management Area, the matters in Policies 13.9.1(b) and (c), 13.9.2 and 13.9.4 will be considered. The extent to which the existing mooring is consistent/inconsistent with the direction in these policies and whether the effects of any inconsistencies can be avoided, remedied or mitigated will be a significant factor in determining whether a new consent is granted.</i>          |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen  | 31    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading <b>Coastal structures, reclamations and disturbance to the foreshore and seabed</b> with amendments requested in submissions related to Policy 13.10.5 (submission point #32) and Policy 10.13.23 (submission point #34).  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen  | 32    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Consider adding another assessment criteria in relation to <b>(inferred)</b> whether there is a practical and not altogether inconvenient alternative structure nearby that could serve the same purpose. Note appears to be covered in <b>(inferred)</b> <i>Policy 13.10.12 -Avoid the cumulative effects of jetties on the values of the coastal environment by: (a) giving priority to the sharing of jetties or the development of community jetties; and (b) considering whether there is practical road access to an application site, practical access to another jetty and/or access to existing public launching facilities in the vicinity.</i> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen  | 34    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.23 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Correct the following errors (strikethrough and bold) in <i>Policy 13.10.23: In determining a new consent application for a lawfully-established existing boatshed and slipway, the matters in Policies 13.2.1, 13.10.8, 13.10.19, 13.10.20(a) and (b), <del>13.9.21</del> 13.10.21 and <del>13.9.22</del> 13.10.22 will be considered. The extent to which the existing boatshed and slipway are consistent with the direction in these policies and whether the effects of any inconsistencies can be avoided, remedied or mitigated will be a significant factor in determining whether a new consent is granted.</i>                                  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen  | 35    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading <b>Reclamation and Drainage</b> with amendments requested in submissions related to Policy 13.11.4 (submission point #36).   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen  | 36    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.4  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend apparent contention between Policy 13.11.4 (b) and Policy 13.11.2 (b).<br><br>Policy 13.11.2 (b) states that <b>if</b> alternative land-based sites are available reclamation and drainage shall be avoided whereas the wording of 13.11.4 (b) suggests that even if an alternative land-based site is available reclamation or drainage could still be considered.<br><br><i>Policy 13.11.2 – Reclamation or drainage in the coastal marine area shall be avoided, unless (b) it can be shown there are no alternative land-based sites available (above Mean High Water Springs);</i><br><br><i>Policy 13.11.4 – Where an application is made for resource consent to reclaim or drain the coastal marine area, effects (including cumulative effects) on the following matters will be considered (b) if land-based alternatives are available to the proposed reclamation/drainage, why the coastal marine area location is preferred;</i> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 37    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading <b>Disposal and deposition</b> with amendments requested in submissions related to Policy 13.12.1 (submission point #38).   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 38    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.12.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.12.1 so that the disposal of dredged or other material in the coastal marine area is avoided at all costs given the already compromised nature of the coastal marine areas in terms of settled and suspended sediments ( <b>inferred</b> ).  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 39    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain issue definition and supporting objectives and policies relevant to those under the heading <b>Shipping Activity</b> .  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 40    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading <b>Ports and marinas</b> with amendments requested in submissions related to 13.AER.5 (submission point #41) and 13.AER.16 (submission point #42).  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen  | 41    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include in 13.AER.5 that the public survey (about perspectives of values contributing to amenity values in Marlborough's Coastal environment and how activities and uses may be affecting these values) needs to be repeated at 5 yearly intervals. This allows changes in perception to be tracked and to gain a relative sense as to whether important values are better or worse off than before <b>(inferred)</b>.</p> <p>Include in 13.AER.5 about monitoring complaints (about activities and uses in the coastal environment) increased public awareness of the opportunity to note concerns about different activities and uses in the coastal environment and also liaise with harbourmaster and DoC <b>(inferred)</b>.</p> |       |          |                                   |           |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen  | 42    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.16 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>In addition to MPI, on-going communication should also include other central government agencies, e.g., Ministry for the Environment, in respect of the sustainable management of natural and physical resources as it relates to fishing activities.</p>  |       |          |                                   |           |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen  | 43    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone        | 4.2.1.12. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Standard 4.2.1.12 should require a colour palette rather than be encouraged.</p>   |       |          |                                   |           |                 |
| 404                | Eric Jorgensen  | 44    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone        | 4.3.6.    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | As per the summary recommendations in the paper <i>Mitigating Fine Sediment from Forestry</i> the following should be adopted in the MEP:  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
|                    | (1) Replanting setbacks from the shoreline: 200 m (a precautionary approach should be adopted). <b>Note</b> that Standard 4.3.8.1(c) uses this 200m setback for planting and harvesting where it is much less likely heavy machinery, tracking and hauling logs would occur.           |       |          |                            |           |                 |
|                    | (2) Replanting setback for permanently flowing streams directly coupled to the sea: 5 m for streams less than 3m in width; and 10 m for streams equal to, or greater than, 3m in width.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
|                    | (3) Replanting controls on steep slopes: A mandatory Replanting Management Plan identifying areas at high risk of erosion which require retirement and implementation of buffers, such as gully heads and steep ephemeral gullies. A similar Plan would be required for afforestation. |       |          |                            |           |                 |
|                    | (4) Replanting requirements to reduce the window of vulnerability:   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
|                    | a) Replanting of areas harvested within 12 months of harvest.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
|                    | b) Replanting in excess of 1000 stems/hectare.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
|                    | In addition to replanting controls other recommendations were also made, these were:   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
|                    | (5) Harvest controls: Remove all woody material >100 mm diameter and > 3metres in length from gullies (>5000m2 or 0.5 hectare) as soon as practicable, but no later than 1 month, after harvest.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
|                    | (6) Earthworks requirements:   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
|                    | a) All road design, construction, and maintenance to be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
|                    | b) All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
|                    | These recommendations apply to the Coastal Environment Zone. However, the other applicable zone for forestry is the Rural Environment Zone (Volume 2: Chapter 3) and they should be equally applicable there.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 45    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.42.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include Sunday 9:00am - 4:00pm for hours of operation for home occupation.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 46    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.1.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 47    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.3.1.2.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Include Sunday 9:00am - 4:00pm for hours of operation for home occupation.   |       |          |                        |              |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 48    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain rules as published with amendments requested in submissions related to headings 16.3.9.1 (submission point #49), 16.7.2 (submission point #50), 16.7.3 (submission point #51), 16.7.4 (submission point #52) and 16.7.5 (submission point #53).                               |       |          |                        |              |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 49    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.9.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete standard 16.3.9.1.  |       |          |                        |              |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 50    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.7.2.  |       |          |                        |              |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 51    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.7.3.  |       |          |                        |              |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 52    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.4.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.7.4   |       |          |                        |              |                 |
| <b>404</b>         | Eric Jorgensen   | 53    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>I submit</b> that the rule as worded is too generic and protection methods need to be applied on a site by site basis and address specific activities that present risk to those sites (rather than just fishing activities) are implemented, as recommended by the expert panel. |       |          |                        |              |                 |
| <b>858</b>         | Kevin Peter Judd   | 1     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape            | Policy 7.2.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | I propose that the provision 7.2.2 be adopted in full as stated in the Marlborough Environment Plan.   |       |          |                        |              |                 |
| <b>858</b>         | Kevin Peter Judd   | 2     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape            | Policy 7.2.7 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | I propose that the provision 7.2.7 be adopted in full as stated in the Marlborough Environment Plan.   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>858</b>         | Kevin Peter Judd   | 3     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | I propose that the provision 14.4.12 be adopted in full as stated in the Marlborough Environment Plan. |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>858</b>         | Kevin Peter Judd   | 4     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | I propose that the provision 14.4.13 be adopted in full as stated in the Marlborough Environment Plan. |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>872</b>         | Kimberley Judd   | 1     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.2   | Support |
| Decision Requested | I request the Marlborough Environment Plan provision 7.2.2 be adopted in full.                         |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>872</b>         | Kimberley Judd   | 2     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.7   | Support |
| Decision Requested | I request the Marlborough Environment Plan provision 7.2.7 be adopted in full.                         |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>872</b>         | Kimberley Judd   | 3     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | I request the Marlborough Environment Plan provision 14.4.12 be adopted in full.                       |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>872</b>         | Kimberley Judd   | 4     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | I request the Marlborough Environment Plan provision 14.4.13 be adopted in full.                       |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>937</b>         | Mike Just  | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the provision in full.   |       |          |                                 |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|---------|
| <b>937</b>         | Mike Just  | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment                         | Policy 14.4.13              | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the provision in full.   |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>937</b>         | Mike Just  | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape   | Policy 7.2.2                | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the provision in full.   |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>937</b>         | Mike Just  | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape   | Policy 7.2.7                | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the provision in full.   |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>842</b>         | Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7):<br><i>"Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."</i>          |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>842</b>         | Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8):<br><i>"Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."</i> |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>842</b>         | Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The Fitzroy Bay land and seascape;</li> <li>- The northwestern side of Hallam Cove;</li> <li>- The Camel Point headland and its vicinity; and</li> <li>- The northern extreme of the Tennyson Inlet.</li> </ul> OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>842</b>         | Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The Fitzroy Bay land and seascape;</li> <li>- The northwestern side of Hallam Cove;</li> <li>- The Camel Point headland and its vicinity; and</li> <li>- The northern extreme of the Tennyson Inlet.</li> </ul> OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>842</b>         | Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited   | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the natural character mapping as proposed.  |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>842</b>         | Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited   | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose  |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the overlay map by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Removing the very high natural character overlay from the seascape south of Tawero Point and in Wilson Bay; and</li> <li>- Removing the high natural character overlay at Tapapa Point and in Tawhitinui Bay;</li> </ul> OR <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.</p> |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>842</b>         | Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited   | 7     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the overlay map by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Removing the very high natural character overlay from the seascape south of Tawero Point and in Wilson Bay; and</li> <li>- Removing the high natural character overlay at Tapapa Point and in Tawhitinui Bay;</li> </ul> OR <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.</p> |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>842</b>         | Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited   | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reduce the extent of the ONL mapping in Hallam Cove; <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.</p>   |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>842</b>         | Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited   | 9     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |              | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Reduce the extent of the ONL mapping in Hallam Cove;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>842</b>         | Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited   | 10    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed at Camel Point;<br>AND<br>Remove ONL overlay from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Fitzroy Bay;</li> <li>- The northern extreme of Tennyson Inlet;</li> <li>- Tawero Point; and</li> <li>- Tapapa Point/ Tawhitinui Bay;</li> </ul> OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>842</b>         | Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited   | 11    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |              | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                               | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed at Camel Point;<br>AND<br>Remove ONL overlay from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Fitzroy Bay;</li> <li>- The northern extreme of Tennyson Inlet;</li> <li>- Tawero Point; and</li> <li>- Tapapa Point/ Tawhitinui Bay;</li> </ul> OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>842</b>         | Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited   | 12    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5                            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in Anakoha Bay.   |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>842</b>         | Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited   | 13    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission.  |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>842</b>         | Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited   | 14    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission, in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate.   |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>842</b>         | Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited   | 15    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision                               | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission, in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>842</b>         | Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited   | 16    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission, in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>842</b>         | Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited   | 17    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 65                           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Zoning Map 65, to rezone the commercial wharf at Elaine Bay as Port Zone.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>842</b>         | Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited   | 18    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 103                          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Zoning Map 103, to rezone the commercial wharf at Elaine Bay as Port Zone.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>844</b>         | K and L Morgan Partnership   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3                              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>844</b>         | K and L Morgan Partnership   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Objective 5.8                           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | K & L Morgan submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies.<br><i>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage at all times to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available. If aquifer water is abstracted to storage during the irrigation season the total abstraction for storage and direct irrigation must not exceed the reasonable use demand allocation.</i> |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1316</b>        | Larry Kaberry  | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                     | 7.2.1.5.                                | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1318</b>        | Pat Kaberry   | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                   | 7.2.1.5.                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That standard 7.2.1.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>773</b>         | Iosua Kaisara   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>773</b>         | Iosua Kaisara   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>773</b>         | Iosua Kaisara   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>773</b>         | Iosua Kaisara   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>773</b>         | Iosua Kaisara   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.             |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>773</b>         | Iosua Kaisara   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.  |       |          |   |   |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>773</b>         | Iosua Kaisara   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>773</b>         | Iosua Kaisara   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>773</b>         | Iosua Kaisara   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>773</b>         | Iosua Kaisara   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1169</b>        | Tom Kane  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHW/S."</i><br><i>(Inferred)</i>         |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1169</b>        | Tom Kane  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.3.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |   |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>        | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1286</b>        | Karaka Point and Environs Residents Incorporated  | 1            | Volume 2      | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.5.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the proposed rule be removed from the MEP.</p> <p>In any event that the proposed rule be properly notified to all property owners with riparian rights.</p> |              |               |                       |                  |             |
| <b>502</b>         | Karaka Projects Limited   | 1            | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision        | 24.3.1.2.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 24.3.1.2  |              |               |                       |                  |             |
| <b>502</b>         | Karaka Projects Limited   | 2            | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision        | 24.5.2.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 24.5.2.   |              |               |                       |                  |             |
| <b>502</b>         | Karaka Projects Limited   | 3            | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision        | 24.5.2.          | Oppose      |

| Sub No   | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision                 | Type            |  |                               |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |           |                  |           |                  |           |            |             |
|--|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------|----------|------------------|----------|------------------|----------|------------------|----------|------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| Decision Requested                               | <p>Add a new discretionary activity rule (bold) to Discretionary Activity list 24.5 to 'Protect Large Lots' (as per Rule 27.3.3.2 of the Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan):</p> <p><b>Rule 27.3.3.2 Special Provision to Protect Large Lots</b></p> <p><b>27.3.3.2.1 The Council may consent to a subdivision for the creation of residential lots to protect the integrity of lots in excess of 150 hectares. The creation of such lots must be in accordance with the formula expressed in Table 24.5.X.1).</b></p> <p><b>27.3.3.2.2 Any subdivision undertaken in terms of this rule will render the subdivision potential of the balance area a Non Complying Activity and this status shall be the subject of a consent notice on the title of that portion.</b></p> <p><b>27.3.3.2.2 Subdivisions may be staged in accordance with Assessment Criteria 27.2.4.5.7 and the Council may consider extending the time to give effect to the consent to five years maximum for subdivision proposals of 10 Jots and over. However, in these circumstances, if the consent is not given effect to within the five years provided, a new application will be required for any further subdivision to complete the available lot entitlement prescribed in Rule 27.3.3.2.4.</b></p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th><b>27.3.3.2.4 Subdivision of Lots (hectares)</b></th> <th><b>No of Residential Lots</b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><b>150 - 200</b></td> <td><b>4</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>201 - 250</b></td> <td><b>5</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>251 - 300</b></td> <td><b>6</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>301 - 350</b></td> <td><b>8</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>351 - 400</b></td> <td><b>10</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>401 - 500</b></td> <td><b>12</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>501 - 600</b></td> <td><b>14</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>600</b></td> <td><b>+ 15</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><b>27.3.3.2.4 All residential lots are to comply with Rules 27.2.3.3, 27.2.4, 27.2.5, 27.3.1 and 27.3.2 above.</b></p> |       |          |                            |                           |                 | <b>27.3.3.2.4 Subdivision of Lots (hectares)</b> | <b>No of Residential Lots</b> | <b>150 - 200</b> | <b>4</b> | <b>201 - 250</b> | <b>5</b> | <b>251 - 300</b> | <b>6</b> | <b>301 - 350</b> | <b>8</b> | <b>351 - 400</b> | <b>10</b> | <b>401 - 500</b> | <b>12</b> | <b>501 - 600</b> | <b>14</b> | <b>600</b> | <b>+ 15</b> |
| <b>27.3.3.2.4 Subdivision of Lots (hectares)</b> | <b>No of Residential Lots</b>  |       |          |                            |                           |                 |  |                               |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |           |                  |           |                  |           |            |             |
| <b>150 - 200</b>                                 | <b>4</b>   |       |          |                            |                           |                 |  |                               |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |           |                  |           |                  |           |            |             |
| <b>201 - 250</b>                                 | <b>5</b>   |       |          |                            |                           |                 |  |                               |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |           |                  |           |                  |           |            |             |
| <b>251 - 300</b>                                 | <b>6</b>   |       |          |                            |                           |                 |  |                               |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |           |                  |           |                  |           |            |             |
| <b>301 - 350</b>                                 | <b>8</b>   |       |          |                            |                           |                 |  |                               |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |           |                  |           |                  |           |            |             |
| <b>351 - 400</b>                                 | <b>10</b>  |       |          |                            |                           |                 |  |                               |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |           |                  |           |                  |           |            |             |
| <b>401 - 500</b>                                 | <b>12</b>  |       |          |                            |                           |                 |  |                               |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |           |                  |           |                  |           |            |             |
| <b>501 - 600</b>                                 | <b>14</b>  |       |          |                            |                           |                 |  |                               |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |           |                  |           |                  |           |            |             |
| <b>600</b>                                       | <b>+ 15</b>  |       |          |                            |                           |                 |  |                               |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |           |                  |           |                  |           |            |             |
| <b>502</b>                                       | Karaka Projects Limited  | 4     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision             | 24.3.1.3                  | Support         |  |                               |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |           |                  |           |                  |           |            |             |
| Decision Requested                               | Retain Standard 24.3.1.3   |       |          |                            |                           |                 |  |                               |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |           |                  |           |                  |           |            |             |
| <b>502</b>                                       | Karaka Projects Limited  | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Threatened Environments 3 | Oppose          |  |                               |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |           |                  |           |                  |           |            |             |
| Decision Requested                               | The Submitters seek that Threatened Environments: Indigenous Vegetation Sites Map 3 be amended to exclude NL 12C/224, NL 138/669 and NL37/121 as Threatened Environments: Indigenous Vegetation Sites.   |       |          |                            |                           |                 |  |                               |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |           |                  |           |                  |           |            |             |
| <b>502</b>                                       | Karaka Projects Limited  | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.2.                 | Support in Part |  |                               |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |                  |           |                  |           |                  |           |            |             |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision                   | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 4.3.10.2(e):</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.10.2 - The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.10.3 to 4.3.10.6 (inclusive): (e) where the clearance is on a Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Site and the clearance is within the curtilage <b>and access way</b> of an <b>existing or consented</b> dwelling.</i></p>   |       |          |                            |                             |         |
| <b>502</b>         | Karaka Projects Limited  | 7     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.4.                   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete Standard 4.3.10.4 (strike-through) and add a new standard (bold) to 4.3.6.10 as per the following:</p> <p><del>Standard 4.3.10.4. Clearance of indigenous vegetation within the coastal environment must not include the following habitats/species:</del></p> <p><del>(e) – coastal broadleaved shrubland;</del></p> <p>New Standard: <b>4.3.10.6 Clearance of indigenous vegetation, per Computer Register, must not exceed: c) 0.2 hectares in any 1 year period of coastal broadleaf scrub and shrubland is cleared.</b></p> |       |          |                            |                             |         |
| <b>502</b>         | Karaka Projects Limited  | 8     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.6.                      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.1.6.   |       |          |                            |                             |         |
| <b>502</b>         | Karaka Projects Limited  | 9     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | The Submitters seek that NL 12C/224, NL 138/669 and NL37/121 be excluded from the Symonds Hill Coastal Natural Character area, and that the Coastal Natural Character Map 3 be amended accordingly.  |       |          |                            |                             |         |
| <b>1288</b>        | Karaka Trust   | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.2.1.5.                    | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).<br/> Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation<br/> Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>655</b>         | Dhaneshkar Karunakaran   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.</p>   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>655</b>         | Dhaneshkar Karunakaran   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.</p>   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>655</b>         | Dhaneshkar Karunakaran   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.</p>  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>655</b>         | Dhaneshkar Karunakaran   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.</p>  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>655</b>         | Dhaneshkar Karunakaran   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.</p>   |       |          |   |   |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| <b>655</b>         | Dhaneshkar Karunakaran   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>655</b>         | Dhaneshkar Karunakaran   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.               |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>655</b>         | Dhaneshkar Karunakaran   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.               |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>655</b>         | Dhaneshkar Karunakaran   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.               |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>655</b>         | Dhaneshkar Karunakaran   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.               |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>189</b>         | Paul Kemp  | 1     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                          |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | None provided in submission. <i>(Inferred that the submission relates to the definition of "Meat processing".)</i>   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>189</b>         | Paul Kemp  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.1.31.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | None provided in submission. <i>(Inferred that the submission relates to Volume 2 Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone Rule 3.1.31 as no volume, chapter or rule has been identified in the submission.)</i> |       |          |   |               |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|--------------|-----------------|
| <b>189</b>         | Paul Kemp   | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                               | 3.1.32.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | None provided in submission. ( <i>Inferred that this part of the submission relates to Volume 2 Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone Rule 3.1.32 as no volume, chapter or rule has been identified in the submission.</i> ) |       |          |  |              |                 |
| <b>56</b>          | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents' Association  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources |              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the Sounds Soldier Memorial at Torea Saddle to Appendix 13.   |       |          |  |              |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 1     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                                    | 6.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the analysis and mapping of Natural Character [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |  |              |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 2     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape  | 7.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the analysis and mapping of Landscapes [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |  |              |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 3     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                                    | Policy 6.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 6.1.4 to include and natural character assessment of all Marlborough Sounds coastal areas and include the identification of areas adversely impacted by reversible effects.                                |       |          |  |              |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 4     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                                    | Policy 6.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to clarify that policy 6.2.7 must be applied under policy 6.2.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |  |              |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 5     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                                    | Policy 6.2.3 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Define classification and how it is used for natural character.<br>Amend policy so it applies to all natural character.<br>Amend policy to clarify policy 6.2.7 applies.<br>[ <i>Inferred</i> ]. |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 6     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Appendix 2 to clearly identify natural elements, patterns and processes for preservation and protection in the coastal environment [ <i>inferred</i> ].                                    |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 7     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                                     | Policy 6.2.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Re-word policy to apply to all areas of natural character and to make assessing applicable to all types of natural character [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 8     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                                     | Policy 6.2.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                                     | Policy 6.2.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to make clearer that opportunities for restoration or rehabilitation include declining applications for resource consent renewals.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                                     | Policy 6.2.7 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>The following paragraphs should also be added to meet the requirements of NZCPS 7:<br/> <i>"Acceptable limits of cumulative effects will be determined by reference to the threshold s specified in a particular policy and by reference to best practice and international assessment standards.</i><br/> <i>Where a retraction of consented activities is required to meet acceptable cumulative effect threshold s then this may occur by re-consenting attrition until acceptable levels of cumulative effects are reached or through the application of activity retraction guidelines developed and agreed with stakeholders"</i></p> <p>For clarity, we note that there is no basis for differentiating cumulative environmental effect assessments for new activities over those for renewal applications. This is not least because there are various areas where there have been significant historical failures to consider appropriate thresholds of development or cumulative effects when granting existing consents. It should thus be made clear that policy 6.2.7 applies to the re- consenting of activities in the coastal environment as well as to the consenting of new activities in the coastal environment.</p> |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 11    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 12    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Marine farming has significant adverse effects on coastal landscape values and as such marine farming must be included in paragraph (c) as well.  |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 13    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend policy to include:<br/> The positive identification of areas where coastal marine landscape values are under threat from adverse cumulative effects; and<br/> That for all activities requiring a resource consent in the coastal marine environment, an assessment of cumulative adverse landscape effects be undertaken considering:<br/> (a) the effects of the existing level of activity;<br/> (b) the result of re-consenting or allowing more of a particular effect, whether from the same activity or from other activities causing the same or similar effect; and<br/> (c) the combined effects from all activities in the coastal marine environment in the locality.</p>  |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 14    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.5 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 15    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.8  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete policy or amend to make clear that it only has application in situations where there are existing use rights. |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 16    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 17    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 18    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.3         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include marine farming [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 19    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.4         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 20    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.5         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 21    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.6         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |             |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 22    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | 7.M.7         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 23    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | 7.M.8         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 24    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain objective [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 25    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain objective [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 26    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to make it clear that marine sites not specifically identified by the MEP, but which nonetheless meet the criteria for ecologically significant marine sites, are to be treated under the MEP in the same way as if they had been identified as ecologically significant marine sites under Policy 8.1.2. |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 27    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to include the attainment of knowledge [ <i>inferred</i> ],   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 28    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to include cumulative ecological impact thresholds for regulated activities.  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 29    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.2  | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 30    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The policy should thus be amended by adding the following to the end:<br><i>"and to protecting other areas of indigenous biodiversity from significant adverse effects. "</i>   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 31    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 32    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.12 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | In our view this policy should thus be widened to include:<br><i>"the facilitation or funding of professional advocates and experts to represent the interests of residents and wider public stakeholder groups in Marlborough Sounds planning and public resource consent matters of significance. "</i> |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 33    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 34    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | As such, paragraph (b) of policy 8.3.2 should be corrected to record:<br><i>"avoided if significant and avoided , remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1."</i>   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 35    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.5  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to include:<br><br><i>"alteration to the abundance or composition of natural water column elements including phytoplankton, zooplankton and/or palatable detritus."</i>  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 36    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new policy.</p> <p>We would submit the following policy as being appropriate (based, for a start, on that as used in Chapter 6):<br/> <i>"In assessing cumulative effects of activities on the marine ecosystem consideration shall be given to:</i><br/> <i>(a) the effect of allowing more or of re-consenting the same or similar activity;</i><br/> <i>(b) the result of allowing more or re-consenting a particular effect, whether from the same activity or from other activities causing the same or similar effect; and</i><br/> <i>(c) the combined effects from all activities in the coastal environment in the locality."</i></p> <p>Cumulative effects are relevant in and must be accommodated within all assessments of marine environment ecological effects, including the following policies:<br/> <i>8.1.3 (adequate information on the state of the marine environment); and</i><br/> <i>8.2.1 (means to assist in the protection and enhancement of areas and habitats with indigenous biodiversity value); and</i><br/> <i>8.2.3 (priority to protecting significant marine areas from adverse effects and to protecting all areas of indigenous biodiversity from significant adverse effects) ; and</i><br/> <i>8.2.9 (maintenance, enhancement and restoration of indigenous ecosystems).</i><br/> <i>8.3.1 (avoiding significant adverse coastal environment effects)</i><br/> <i>8.3.2 (significant adverse effects on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity to be avoided).</i></p> <p><i>Acceptable limits of cumulative effects will be determined by reference to the thresholds specified in a particular policy and by reference to best practice and international sustainability and biodiversity preservation and enhancement standards.</i></p> <p><i>Where a retraction of consented activities is required to meet acceptable cumulative effect thresholds then this may occur by re-consenting activities until acceptable levels of cumulative effects are reached or through the application of activity retraction guidelines developed and agreed with stakeholders"</i></p> |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 37    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Extend the policy to include the protection of wider Sounds benthic environment from being affected through fishing activities [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                           |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 38    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity         | Policy 8.3.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to make it clear that it does not apply to marine environment.  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 39    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We submit that it be made clear that policy 13.3.1 applies to areas identified on the balance of evidence as being outstanding areas or areas of significant value irrespective of whether or not they are yet specifically identified as such in the MEP. |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 40    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy 13.2.1 (g) to be reworded to refer to:<br><i>"community perceptions of or expectations about"</i> .   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 41    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We submit that paragraph (c) of Policy 13.2.2 is inappropriate policy and should be deleted.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 42    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 43    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 44    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | As such, we submit that a further paragraph be added to Policy 13.2.5 as follows:<br><br><i>"Recognising that there are adverse visual amenity effects of structures in the coastal marine environment (including cumulative) and ensuring that visual amenity is maintained and enhanced through the setting of guidelines or standards on acceptable levels or degrees of surface area structures within any particular area".</i> |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 45    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 46    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 47    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 48    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 49    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We submit that Policy 13.3.4 be amended and extended to read:<br><i>"Ensure recreational use has priority over commercial activities that require occupation of the coastal marine area in Queen Charlotte Sound, including Tory Channel, and in areas of the Pelorus Sound and Kenepuru Sound with high public use or environmental value."</i>   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 50    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13C     | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 13C [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 51    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 52    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 53    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | As such, we further submit that the following policies be added to this section:<br><i>"Use the coastal water quality programme and other Council initiatives to identify stressors on Marlborough Sounds recreational finfish and shellfish recruitment and stocks"</i><br>And<br><i>"Recognise the very high amenity value of recreational finfish and shellfish stocks when assessing adverse effects of other activities in the coastal marine area"</i> . |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 54    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain objective [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 55    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.6.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 56    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.7.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated | 57           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.7.2    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].                             |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated | 58           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].                             |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated | 59           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.2    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].                             |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated | 60           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.3    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].                             |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated | 61           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.4    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].                             |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated | 62           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.5    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].                             |              |               |                                   |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated              | 63           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.6    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated              | 64           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.7    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated              | 65           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.8    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated              | 66           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.6   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to include extraordinary storm surge events as well as floods. |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated              | 67           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated              | 68           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.2   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 69    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 70    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.15 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain objective [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 71    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 72    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | As such, we submit that the following paragraph be added to Policy 13.15.2:<br><i>"avoiding activities and/or locating structures that may impede on or inhibit regular navigation paths "</i> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 73    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 74    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13I       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Extend Issue 13I to include all enclosed areas of the Marlborough Sounds.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 75    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.16 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Extend Issue 13I to include all enclosed areas of the Marlborough Sounds.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 76    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Issue 13J       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |   |                 |                 |
| <b>868</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 77    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Objective 13.17 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |   |                 |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C by inserting the words: " <i>... and in the case of Commercial forestry activities in the Sounds is doing so.</i> " at the end of the current wording. |       |          |   |                 |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.3 to clearly reference the need to act in a precautionary way in terms of Commercial forestry operations in the Sounds.                             |       |          |   |                 |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.1    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |   |                 |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.2    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |   |                 |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.3    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 4.3.3 to clearly identify commercial forestry operations needing to be subject to the resource consent procedures [ <i>inferred</i> ].                   |       |          |   |                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| 869                | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.4   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 869                | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.5   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.5 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 869                | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.AER.1        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend wording to include a statement that surveys will be both independently prepared and implemented and most importantly those members of the public surveyed have been given in advance adequate information to make informed responses. |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 869                | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 9     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | 13.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of the Coastal Environment Zone [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 869                | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 10    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Issue 13A      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 13A [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 869                | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 11    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Objective 13.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 869                | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 12    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Policy 13.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clarify Policy 13.1.1 by inserting in line 3 after the words "... in areas with..." the phrase ", or in proximity to,...".  |       |          |   |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 13    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.1.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.1.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 14    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Objective 13.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 15    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.2.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.5 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 16    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain water resource quality [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 17    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15F      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We submit the narrative re Issue 15F needs to specifically refer, in this context, to Commercial forestry operations in the CEZ.            |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 18    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the last sentence of Objective 15.4 to specifically refer to limiting soil erosion as well as maintaining and enhancing soil quality. |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 19    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.4.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 20    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.4.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 21    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.4.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 22    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.4.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 23    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We submit that the list of named areas in Policy 15.4.5 be extended to cover the hill and high country of the Marlborough Sounds.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 24    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | 17.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise and address from a policy perspective the real issues a significant increase in heavy logging truck traffic as a consequence of a significant uplift Commercial forestry harvesting activities is and will continue to have on the fragile road network in the CEZ eg Kenepuru Road. |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 25    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Issue 17D     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | It is submitted that the MEP needs to more clearly identify the issue and develop the appropriate policy responses for the CEZ in Issue 17D.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 26    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | 17.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Issue to recognise the impacts of commercial forestry harvesting on the Sounds road network [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 869                | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 27    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Chapter 4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 869                | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 28    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.6.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | This change could be simply achieved by amending Rule 4.1.6 to read " <i>Commercial Forestry replanting more than 300 meters from the Coastal Marine Area</i> " and we submit accordingly.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 869                | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 29    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>If our submission as to a 300m trigger zone is not followed, then we submit the no planting setback be extended to 50 meters (more than one tree length) rather than the current proposed 30 metres.</p> <p>Amend 4.3.6 to make having a Establishment Plan through a Registered Forestry Consultant a requirement.</p> <p>Insert a standard requiring that replanting be carried out within 12 months of harvesting.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 869                | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 30    | Volume 3 | All                        |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Appendix detailing a mandatory establishment plan for Commercial forestry replanting [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 869                | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 31    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Develop standards for Discretionary Commercial forestry activities in the CEZ.</p> <p>That Council develops strategic expertise in coupe harvesting analysis and tactical implementation.</p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 869                | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 32    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                      | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Develop standards for Discretionary Commercial forestry activities in the CEZ. As a minimum the requirements of Chapter 4.3.8 of Volume 2 should be repeated as part of the applicable standard for Discretionary Commercial forestry harvesting activities in the CEZ.  |       |          |  |           |                 |
|                    | That Council develops strategic expertise in coupe harvesting analysis and tactical implementation.  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 34    | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Appendix 22 to be clearly stated as a standard for Discretionary Commercial forestry harvesting activities and one that a Registered Forestry Consultant prepares.   |       |          |  |           |                 |
|                    | Amend Appendix 22 with more focus on the applicant identifying key erosion prone areas such as gully heads and a clear requirement as to how they will then be protected.  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 35    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                   | 4.3.8.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend to make harvesting of commercial forestry within 50 metres of the CMA a prohibited activity.   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 36    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                   | 4.7.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 4.7.1 as follows (strike through):  |       |          |  |           |                 |
|                    | <i>4.7.1 Commercial forestry planting, carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry planting on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, <del>that has not previously been planted in lawfully established commercial, carbon sequestration (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry.</del> [Inferred].</i> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 37    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                   | 4.7.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 4.7.2 as follows (strike through):  |       |          |  |           |                 |
|                    | <i>4.7.2 The harvesting of commercial forestry or woodlot forestry plantings on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, <del>which has not been lawfully established.</del></i>   |       |          |  |           |                 |
|                    | [Inferred].  |       |          |  |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 38    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone                    | 19.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We reserve our position to make oral submissions on this aspect.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 39    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 10.1.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 40    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | 3.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Chapter 3 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 41    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                                | 16.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert an express policy to review and improve waste disposal and recycling opportunities for residents and visitors in the Sounds and other more remote areas.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 42    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                       | 19.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert a clear Policy in Chapter 19 requiring Council to review existing Council infrastructure such as roads in the context of this issue and report as to what measures/ steps need to be taken to either protect it and/or replace it [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated  | 43    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Issue 5J      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the charge to coastal permit holders of moorings, jetties and boat sheds [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 44    | Volume 3 | Appendix 25 Pest Plants                                |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Extend the list of identified plant species in Appendix 25 of Volume 3 of the MEP to include Old Mans Beard ( <i>Clematis vestalba</i> ), Banana Passionfruit ( <i>Passiflova</i> sps) and Gorse ( <i>Ulex europeans</i> ).  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 45    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment                      | Policy 13.4.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy 13.4.2 needs to carefully consider the well known adage of " <i>reinforce success</i> ".  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 46    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity                              | Policy 8.2.12 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy 8.2.12 needs to carefully consider the well known adage of " <i>reinforce success</i> ".  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 47    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees                | 10.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain issues, objectives and policies of Chapter 10 Volume 1.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 48    | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We submit that the 90 year old plus grove of 4 historic Norfolk Pines in the Portage public carpark are designated as notable trees and marked as such in the MEP.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>869</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 49    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees                | 10.AER.2      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We submit that the surveys should be carried out at 7 year intervals not 10.<br><br>We also submit that the wording concerning the ambit of the survey needs to be expanded to make it clear the survey should not only identify the condition of notable trees but also be required to identify any remedial action arising from such survey. |       |          |  |               |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>870</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 1     | Volume 1 | All                             |                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include a Freedom Camping policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>870</b>         | Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated   | 2     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                  | 25.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Improve the ease of use for Chapter 25- Definitions [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>37</b>          | David Kennett  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone          | 16.7.2.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That clause is changed to reduce distance to 500 metres but adds a depth restriction of 20 metres (up from current 5 metres).  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>362</b>         | Stuart Robert Kennington   | 1     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.2   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | I want the Council to retain these policies in the Marlborough Environment Plan in an effort to preserve the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape.                             |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>362</b>         | Stuart Robert Kennington   | 2     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.7   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | I want the Council to retain these policies in the Marlborough Environment Plan in an effort to preserve the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape.                             |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>362</b>         | Stuart Robert Kennington   | 3     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | I want the Council to retain these policies in the Marlborough Environment Plan in an effort to preserve the amenity and rural character values of the Omaka Valley. |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>362</b>         | Stuart Robert Kennington   | 4     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | I want the Council to retain these policies in the Marlborough Environment Plan in an effort to preserve the amenity and rural character values of the Omaka Valley. |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>363</b>         | Angela Marion Kennington   | 1     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.2   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That they retain these policies and ensure they are carried out.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>363</b>         | Angela Marion Kennington   | 2            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That they retain these policies and ensure they are carried out.   |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>363</b>         | Angela Marion Kennington   | 3            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That they retain these policies and ensure they are carried out.   |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>363</b>         | Angela Marion Kennington   | 4            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That they retain these policies and ensure they are carried out.   |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>122</b>         | Gavin Kerr   | 1            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | No immediate decision required   |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>1123</b>        | Shayne Kerr  | 1            | All           | All                             |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>811</b>         | Jo Kerry   | 1            | All           | All                             |                  | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Proposed Plan be amended to include the following policies:</p> <p>a. To adopt a precautionary approach to the management of GMOs by prohibiting the field-testing or release of a GMO.</p> <p>b. To adopt a resource management framework for the management of GMOs that is District specific taking into account environmental, economic and social well-being considerations.</p> <p>c. To review the Proposed Plan provisions relating to GMOs, particularly if there is new information that becomes available on the benefits/adverse effects of a GMO activity.</p> <p>d. To make any applications for the release or introduction of GMOs into the Marlborough region to be publicly notified.</p> <p>I would like to see the Council take a similar approach on creating a policy on the subject of GMOs - as Northland - using their work in this area for guidance would be a good place to start.</p> <p>Web links to media releases are included to support the submission.</p> |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>811</b>         | Jo Kerry  | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | 5.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That:</p> <p>The MEP includes new policy to safeguard our water resource from being drawn and exported out of the region.</p> <p>Industry is charge more for water.</p> <p>More controls around water than presently exist, growth and land development should not be at the expense of the viability of our long-term water security.</p> <p>There is greater diversification in land use.</p> <p>The plan is amended to ensure the water rights of individual are given priority over industry.</p> <p>The plan is amended to allow for the residents of Marlborough to override any decision regarding fluoride that the Nelson Marlborough DHB might make regarding fluoride.</p>  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>871</b>         | Kerseley Vineyard Trust   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>We ask that the existing cut-offs be maintained.</p>   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>871</b>         | Kerseley Vineyard Trust   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended to refer to irrigation water only.</p>  |       |          |   |              |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>871</b>         | Kerseley Vineyard Trust   | 3            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That an additional policy be added stating that resource consent to abstract and use irrigation water includes all reasonable associated machinery and land uses (that is, associated non-irrigation purposes).   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>871</b>         | Kerseley Vineyard Trust   | 4            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | There should be an enabling policy direction for such circumstances.<br><br>In addition, there should be rights for use up to the maximum summer daily rate, at shoulder periods (spring and autumn) even where there is not the normal summer demand, but nevertheless seasonal irrigation is desirable post-harvest or otherwise. |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>95</b>          | John Kershaw  | 1            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.4.12   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Adopt in full.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>95</b>          | John Kershaw  | 2            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.4.13   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Adopt in full   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>95</b>          | John Kershaw  | 3            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape                      | Policy 7.2.7     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Adopt in full   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>95</b>          | John Kershaw  | 4            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape                      | Policy 7.1.3     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Adopt in full   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>95</b>          | John Kershaw  | 5            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape                      | Policy 7.2.2     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Adopt in full   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>95</b>          | John Kershaw  | 6            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape                      | Policy 7.1.1     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Adopt in full   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>619</b>         | Alex Khadzhi  | 1            | All           | All                              |                  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.                                  |       |          |                          |              |                 |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited  | 1     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           |              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include the transportation of trees from the land and the processing of timber on site within the definition of "commercial forestry harvesting". |       |          |                          |              |                 |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Review the rules and standards that apply to commercial forestry and commercial forestry harvesting (inferred).                                   |       |          |                          |              |                 |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited  | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape              | Policy 7.2.9 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Clarify the application of the policy or remove the policy (inferred).  |       |          |                          |              |                 |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.48.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Standards relating to fire risks and preventative measures need to be included.   |       |          |                          |              |                 |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.20.1.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Clarify the standard.   |       |          |                          |              |                 |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited  | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.12.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Clarify the standard.   |       |          |                          |              |                 |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited  | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.11.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Clarify the standard.   |       |          |                          |              |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited   | 8            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.10.       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Clarify the standard.  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited   | 9            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.          | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Clarify whether the standards apply to commercial forestry harvesting (inferred).  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited   | 10           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.18.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Apply this standard to all land use activities in the Rural Environment Zone (inferred).   |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited   | 11           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.17.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Provide more certainty in the standard (inferred).   |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited   | 12           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.14.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standard to enable the use of existing tracks and roads within 8 m of a significant wetland or the coastal marine area (inferred).         |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited   | 13           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Delete the standard (inferred).  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited   | 14           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.12.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Introduce a permitted activity standard enabling the deposition of trees, slash and debris in intermittently flowing or ephemeral rivers (inferred). |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited   | 15           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.9.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Delete standard (inferred).  |              |               |                          |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited  | 16           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.4.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Delete the standard or provide more certainty over the term "proximity" (inferred).                             |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited  | 17           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Make it explicit that standard (a) includes earthworks (inferred).<br>Delete standard (c) (inferred).           |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited  | 18           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.2.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Delete standard (inferred).   |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited  | 19           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.1.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Exclude earthworks and other operations from the requirement for a Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan (inferred). |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited  | 20           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.3.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove the standard (inferred).   |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited  | 21           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Review the need for or review standards f, g, h and j (inferred).   |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited  | 22           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove Douglas Fir and European Larch for the standards (inferred).   |              |               |                          |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited   | 23           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                | 4.2.6.1.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Provide more certainty in the standard (inferred).   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited   | 24           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.2.9.1.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Provide more certainty in the standard (inferred).   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited   | 25           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                | 4.2.2.3.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Include general forestry activities, e.g. chainsaw use, within standard (inferred).  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited   | 26           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.2.3.3.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Include general forestry activities, e.g. chainsaw use, within standard (inferred).  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited   | 27           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                           | 2.33.2.          | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Include the transportation of trees from the land and the processing of timber on site within the definition of "commercial forestry harvesting" (inferred). |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>167</b>         | Killearnan Limited   | 28           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                           | 2.9.7.4.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Make provision for the use of temporary or permanent bridges or the use of fords.  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>296</b>         | Kilravock Trust - Vineyards  | 1            | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Reconsider minimum flow levels that are set outside of subject aquifers, more information and data required.   |              |               |   |                  |             |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| 296                | Kilravock Trust - Vineyards   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | 5.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Consider a wider solution to water allocation by investigating community storage that will keep aquifer's at levels that promote a wide range of land use.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 296                | Kilravock Trust - Vineyards   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.7.2                              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Re visit Irricalc, more science based approach and make the model more adaptable.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 483                | Colin and Lynette King  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.31.2.                                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include in the wording (bold) for Standard 3.3.31.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.31.2. Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit <b>or such biodegradable materials that from time to time is brought onto to the properties for farming purposes.</b></i></p> |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 483                | Colin and Lynette King  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.1.32.                                   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.32  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 483                | Colin and Lynette King  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.1.33.                                   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.33  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 656                | David King  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 656                | David King  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 656                | David King  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>656</b>         | David King   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>656</b>         | David King   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>656</b>         | David King   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>656</b>         | David King   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>656</b>         | David King   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>656</b>         | David King   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>656</b>         | David King   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.        |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1098</b>        | Sandra Ann King   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8204, 8573, 8043 and 8188; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                     |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1098</b>        | Sandra Ann King   | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8260, 8544, 8338 and 8363; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                     |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1098</b>        | Sandra Ann King   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8130 and 8148; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1098</b>        | Sandra Ann King   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8260; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1098</b>        | Sandra Ann King   | 5     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8204, 8573, 8260, 8544, 8338, 8043, 8130, 8148, 8188 and 8363; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1098</b>        | Sandra Ann King   | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 1                | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8204, 8043 and 8188; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1098</b>        | Sandra Ann King   | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8204, 8573, 8260, 8544, 8338, 8188 and 8363; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1098</b>        | Sandra Ann King   | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 2                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8130; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1098</b>        | Sandra Ann King   | 9     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8260, 8130 and 8148; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1098</b>        | Sandra Ann King   | 10    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8204, 8573, 8260, 8544, 8338, 8043, 8130, 8148, 8188 and 8363; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1226</b>        | William Kingi   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.                                     |       |          |   |   |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| <b>1226</b>        | William Kingi  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1226</b>        | William Kingi  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1226</b>        | William Kingi  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1226</b>        | William Kingi  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1226</b>        | William Kingi  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1226</b>        | William Kingi  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1226</b>        | William Kingi  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1226</b>        | William Kingi  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1226</b>        | William Kingi   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                       | Objective 6.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>51</b>          | Kerry Kirk  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.2.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 meters to seaward of MHWS and 500 meters of a marine farm.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>51</b>          | Kerry Kirk  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.3.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 meters to seaward of MHWS and 500 meters of a marine farm.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>268</b>         | Chris Kirk  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain a proposed aquifer minimum for the Rarangi Shallow Aquifer North at well P28w/4331 but would prefer to see this raised to 1.50mamsl. Modify the aquifer minimum for the Rarangi Shallow Aquifer North at well P28w/4349 from 0.25mamsl to 0.5-0.6mamsl.</p> <p>Retain a proposed aquifer minimum for the Rarangi Shallow Aquifer South at well P28w/4331 but would prefer to see this raised to 1.50mamsl. Modify the aquifer minimum for the Rarangi Shallow Aquifer North at well P28w/3668 and/or P28w/3711 from 0.25mamsl to 0.5-0.6mamsl .</p> <p>Retain a proposed aquifer minimums for the Wairau Aquifer South Coastal but would prefer to see this raised to 1.50mamsl.</p> <p>Retain a proposed aquifer minimums for the Wairau Aquifer Central Coastal but would prefer to see this raised to 1.50mamsl.</p> <p>Retain a proposed aquifer minimums for the Wairau Aquifer North Coastal but would prefer to see this raised to 1.50mamsl.</p> <p>These changes take into account climate change/global warming and will help to 'future-proof' these vital water supply.</p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>268</b>         | Chris Kirk  | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 5    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed conductivity levels for water takes, but also add a conductivity level for the Rarangi Shallow Aquifer North (inferred).   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>291</b>         | Chris Kirk   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Change the Appendices to reflect that there ARE effects from Commercial inshore fishing. Begin dialogue with the commercial operators and request 'Code of Practice' documentation and supporting scientific studies to support the MEP 'High Remote Values' status. |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction  | Guiding principles | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 2     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi                      | Policy 3.1.2       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 3     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi                      | 3.M.4              | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources                 | Issue 4B           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources                 | Objective 4.2      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources                 | Policy 4.2.1       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources                 | Policy 4.2.2       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources                 | 4.M.10             | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 9     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 10    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 11    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.19 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 12    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 13    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 14    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Policy 6.1.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 15    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Policy 6.2.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 16    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Policy 6.2.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>Policy 6.2.8 – Require <u>new</u> land use activities to be set back from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area in order to preserve natural character.</i> |       |          |   |               |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 17    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.1.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 18    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>Policy 7.2.1 – Control activities that have the potential to degrade those values contributing to outstanding natural features and landscapes by requiring <u>new</u> activities and <u>new</u> structures to be subject to a comprehensive assessment of effects on landscape values through the resource consent process.</i>   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 19    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 20    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 21    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 22    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 23    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Issue 8A     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert New Policy as follows:<br><i>Policy 8.3.x – Where activities associated with regionally significant infrastructure are proposed to take place in an area, habitat or ecosystem with significant indigenous biodiversity values, any adverse effects on the values of that area, habitat or ecosystem shall be remedied or mitigated, in order to retain the overall qualities and integrity of the area, habitat or ecosystem.</i> |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 24    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as necessary to classify provisions within Chapter 8 in relation to RPS, C, R and/or D.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>          | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited | 25           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.1.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.       |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited | 26           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.1.13    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.       |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited | 27           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Objective 9.2    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.       |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited | 28           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.2.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.       |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited | 29           | Volume 1      | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.7    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.       |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited | 30           | Volume 1      | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Issue 11A        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.       |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited | 31           | Volume 1      | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Objective 11.1   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.       |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited | 32           | Volume 1      | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Policy 11.1.3    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.       |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited | 33           | Volume 1      | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Policy 11.1.6    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.       |              |               |   |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 34    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                | Policy 11.1.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 35    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                | Policy 11.2.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 36    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                | Policy 11.2.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 37    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Issue 12A      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 38    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Policy 12.2.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 39    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Objective 12.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>Objective 12.7 – Reverse sensitivity effects on <del>adjoining residential zones from existing activities within business and industrial zones are avoided.</del></i>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 40    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 41    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>Policy 13.2.3 – To enable periodic reassessment of whether activities and developments are affecting the values of the coastal marine area, to encourage efficient use of a finite resource and in consideration of the dynamic nature of the coastal environment:<br/>(a) lapse periods for coastal permits will be no more than five years; and<br/>(b) the duration of coastal permits granted for <u>occupation</u> activities in the coastal marine area for which limitations on durations are imposed under the Resource Management Act 1991 will generally be limited to a period not exceeding 20 years.</i> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 873                | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 42    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 873                | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 43    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.6   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.2.6 – In determining the extent to which coastal amenity values will be affected by any particular subdivision, use and/or development, the following shall <del>be considered</del> form part of that determination:</i></p> <p><i>(a) individual and communities values about the area subject to application;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the amenity related attributes of the area; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) in regard to the changing nature of the coastal environment, the extent to which amenity values would be so affected by the proposed subdivision, use or development that those values could no longer be maintained or enhanced.</i></p> <p><i>(d) whether the activity is associated with regionally significant infrastructure</i></p> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 873                | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 44    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 873                | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 45    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 873                | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 46    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.15 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.10.15 – Reduce the visual impact of jetties on the coastal environment by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) limiting the width of jetties <u>located outside the Port Zone</u> to two metres; ...</i></p>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 873                | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 47    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 873                | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 48    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.14 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 873                | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 49    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.2  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain policy as notified.<br>Amend Plan Commentary in the event that submission point 156 is accepted. |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 50    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 51    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 52    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 53    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 54    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 55    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 56    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.19        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 57    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 58    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.17.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 59    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.18.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 60    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.18.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 61    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Objective 14.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 62    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.4.3   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 63    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.4.10  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 64    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.9   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 65    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.11  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.11 – When considering any discharge permit application for the discharge of contaminants to water, regard will be had to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the potential adverse effects of the discharge on spiritual and cultural values of Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the extent to which contaminants present in the discharge have been removed or reduced through treatment; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) whether the discharge is of a temporary or short term nature and/or whether the discharge is associated with necessary maintenance <u>or replacement</u> work for any regionally significant infrastructure.</i></p> |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 66    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.19  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 67    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.20 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 68    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 69    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>Policy 15.4.4 – In considering any land use consent application to undertake land disturbance, regard shall be had to: ...<br/>(g) whether the land disturbance is necessary for the operation or maintenance <u>or replacement</u> of regionally significant infrastructure.</i> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 70    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Objective 17.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 71    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Policy 17.3.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 72    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Objective 17.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 73    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Policy 17.4.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>Policy 17.4.1 – Manage the density, scale and location of subdivision and/or activities to maintain the planned function of the <u>existing land transport network</u>.</i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 873                | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 74    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.4.8 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 873                | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 75    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.5.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 17.5.6 – Subdivision and land use activities shall avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the safety of and accessibility to the <del>road</del> land transport network by ensuring:</i></p> <p><i>(a) buildings, vegetation and activities do not reduce clear sight lines for trains and road vehicles at level rail crossings or for vehicles at road intersections;</i></p> <p><i>(b) vegetation planted on land alongside rural roads is set back so that roads are not shaded and subjected to icing in winter;</i></p> <p><i>(c) adequate formal crossing facilities are provided where high levels of pedestrian activity are generated from an activity located adjacent to an arterial road or in a Business or Industrial zone;</i></p> <p><i>(d) activities do not create distractions for any road or rail users, including from glare, inappropriate lighting, smoke, discharges or other distractions; ...</i></p>   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 873                | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 76    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.6.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 17.6.2 – The development, <u>and</u> maintenance <del>and use</del> of the land transport network must be undertaken in a manner that protects natural and physical resources and the health, safety and wellbeing of the community through avoiding, remedying or mitigating:</i></p> <p><i>(a) adverse effects on air and water quality, including from contaminated run-off from roads discharging into water or onto or into land;</i></p> <p><i>(b) effects on places of significance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i></p> <p><i>(c) loss of visual amenity in modifying the landscape;</i></p> <p><i>(d) loss of natural character in the coastal environment, wetlands, lakes, rivers and their margins;</i></p> <p><i>(e) destruction of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</i></p> <p><i>(f) effects of severing communities and/or losing links between parts of settlements; and</i></p> <p><i>(g) adverse effects on local amenities, including from noise and vibration.</i></p> |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 873                | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 77    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | 17.M.14       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><del>New Zealand Railways Corporation</del> <u>KiwiRail Holdings Ltd</u> will be treated as an affected party in respect of any resource consent application for land use activities or subdivisions of land adjacent to the rail line.</p>   |       |          |                   |               |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 78    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Provide certainty as to provisions applying to unzoned land, or clarify zoning of Rail Corridor.   |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 79    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules       | 2.2.11.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>2.2.11. Take and use of water for road, rail or river control construction works up to 50m3 per day per construction site.</i>   |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 80    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules       | 2.2.12.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 81    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules       | 2.3.11.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 82    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules       | 2.2.15.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 83    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules       | 2.3.15.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 84    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules       | 2.3.10.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>2.3.10. Take and use of water for road, rail or river control construction works up to 50m3 per day per construction site.</i><br><i>2.3.10.1. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemeral flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed 5% of river flow at any time.</i><br><i>2.3.10.2. The take must not occur on more than 90 days within any 12 month period.</i><br><i>2.3.10.3. The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</i><br><i>2.3.10.4. Road or river control construction works must be undertaken by, or on behalf of, the Marlborough District Council or the road controlling authority.</i> |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 85    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules       | 2.2.      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Insert new rule as follows:<br><u>[R] 2.2.x Minor damming and diversions of water associated with the maintenance or upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure</u><br><br><u>2.3.x Minor damming and diversions of water associated with the maintenance or upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure</u><br><u>(1) fish passage shall not be impeded as a result of the activity;</u><br><u>(2) any activity in the water shall be kept to a minimum to avoid, as much as practicable, discolouration to the river or lake. Where any sediment release occurs, it will be only temporary;</u><br><u>(3) any bed disturbance shall be kept to the minimum necessary to undertake the activity and shall be returned as near as practicable to its original channel shape, area, depth, or gradient on completion of the activity (with the exception of revegetation);</u><br><u>(4) no fuel storage or machinery refuelling shall occur on any area of the bed;</u><br><u>(5) no contaminants, other than sediment released from the bed, shall be discharged to water during the activity unless allowed by a relevant permitted activity rule or resource consent;</u><br><u>(6) before any equipment, machinery, or operating plant is moved to a new activity site it shall be effectively cleaned to prevent the spread of "pests" or "unwanted organisms" as defined by the Biosecurity Act 1993;</u><br><u>(7) all equipment, machinery, operating plant and debris associated with the bed disturbance activity shall be removed from the site on completion of the activity; and</u><br><u>(8) from the beginning of November until the end of May, there shall be no disturbance of the tidal river habitat up to the spring tide level.</u><br><u>(9) the diversion is carried out completely within a river or lake bed (i.e. no water is diverted outside of the river or lake bed); and</u><br><u>(10) the water is returned to its original course after completion of the activity, no later than one month after the damming and diversion occurs.</u> |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 86    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.1.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 87    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.7.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 88    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.2.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 89    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.2.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 90    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.5.    | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 91    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.5.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 92    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.7.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 93    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.7.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 94    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 95    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.4.4.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 96    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.13.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>2.13.1. General.</i><br><i>2.13.1.1. No refuelling or fuel storage or the storage or placement of any hazardous substance including but not limited to oil, hydraulic fluid or other fluid lubricants must take place within 20m of water.</i><br><i>2.13.1.2. The activity must not cause flooding or erosion of private land.</i><br><i>2.13.1.3. The activity must not be in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland.</i><br><u><i>2.13.1.4. The activity must not be within 20m of regionally significant infrastructure.</i></u> |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 97    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>These activities apply <u>only</u> to roads and railway corridors identified on the zoning maps. <u>Zone provisions for discharges to air do not apply to roads and railway corridors.</u></i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 98    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.21.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert as follows:<br><i>2.21.2. Discharge of contaminants to air from combustion within an internal combustion engine (i.e. internal combustion)</i>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 99    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.16.1.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 100   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.1.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 101   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.16.3.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 102   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.3.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 103   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.16.4.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 104   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.4.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 105   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.16.5.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 106   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.5.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 107   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.32.4.9.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 108   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.32.4.21. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 109   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.32.4.22. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><i>2.32.4.22. Where a new vehicle crossing is to be formed to a national route (as identified in Appendix 17) and the access crosses a railway and there is less than 25m separation between the national route and the railway the sight distance must be measured from a point:</i></p> <p><i>(a) at a height of 1.15m above the proposed surface level of the access;</i></p> <p><i>(b) on the access;</i></p> <p><i>(c) 5m back from the side of the railway furthest from the national route.</i></p> <p><u><i>2.32.4.22A No access shall require a new railway level crossing to be formed.</i></u></p> |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 110   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.33.1.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 111   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.34.12.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |                 |            |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 112          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.38.1.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include rail and rail related activities and assets as a network utility provided for under this Chapter. |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 113          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.38.4.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include rail and rail related activities and assets as a network utility provided for under this Chapter. |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 114          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.38.5.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include rail and rail related activities and assets as a network utility provided for under this Chapter. |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 115          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.39.1.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include rail and rail related activities and assets as a network utility provided for under this Chapter. |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 116          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.16.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 117          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 118          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.18.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 119          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.18.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 120          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.12.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 121   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.6.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>3.3.6.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(a) 100m of any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3, Rural Living or Coastal Living; ...</i><br><u><i>(k) 10m of the rail corridor</i></u>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 122   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.8.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>3.3.8.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(a) 100m of any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3, Rural Living or Coastal Living; ...</i><br><u><i>(g) 10m of the rail corridor.</i></u>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 123   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.17.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 124   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.16.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 125   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>4.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure except a temporary building or structure, unmodified shipping container or an off-river dam (unless any Standards listed below are specified as Standards for those activities). ...</i><br><u><i>4.2.1.17 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</i></u> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 126   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>4.3.6.1. Replanting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(a) 8 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</i><br><i>(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland;</i><br><i>(c) 30 metres of the coastal marine area.</i><br><u><i>(d) 10m of the rail corridor.</i></u>  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 127   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.7.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>4.3.7.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(a) 30m of a formed and sealed public road; ....</i><br><u><i>(f) 10m of the rail corridor.</i></u>   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 128   | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.1.16.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 129   | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.11.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 130   | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>5.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure except a temporary building or structure, or an unmodified shipping container (unless any Standards listed below are specified as Standards for those activities). ...</i><br><u><i>5.2.1.21 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</i></u> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 131   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.2.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>7.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure except a temporary building or structure, or unmodified shipping container (unless any Standards listed below are specified as Standards for those activities)....</i><br><u><i>7.2.1.12 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</i></u>     |       |          |                                  |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 132   | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone           | 9.1.10.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 133   | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone           | 9.3.5.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 134   | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone           | 9.2.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>9.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure. ...</i><br><i>9.2.1.16 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</i>   |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 135   | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone          | 10.1.8.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 136   | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone          | 10.3.5.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 137   | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone          | 10.2.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>10.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure. ...</i><br><i>10.2.1.11 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</i> |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 138   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.31.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 139   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.20.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 140   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.1.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>12.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure except a temporary building or structure, or an unmodified shipping container (unless any Standards listed below are specified as Standards for those activities).</i><br><i>12.2.1.11 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</i> |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 141   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 142   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.2.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 143   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.3.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 144   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 145   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.5.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 146   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.6.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 147   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.2.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 148   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.7.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 149   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.15.  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited                                      | 150   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.21.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited                                      | 151   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.23.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited                                      | 152   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.12.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited                                      | 153   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.24.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited                                      | 154   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.13.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited                                      | 155   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.33.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited                                      | 156   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.22.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited                                      | 157   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.37.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to provide clarity as to application to moving vehicles. |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited                                      | 158   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.1.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |              |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 159   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone           | 13.2.3.3. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 160   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone           | 13.3.6.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as proposed:</p> <p><i>13.3.6. Maintenance, repair or replacement of a building or structure in the coastal marine area <u>except as provided for under 13.2.1.</u></i></p> <p><i>13.3.6.1. In the case of replacement of a building or structure, the original building or structure must have been lawfully established.</i></p> <p><i>13.3.6.2. There must be no increase in the height, size or scale of the building or structure.</i></p> <p><i>13.3.6.3. The effects of the activity occurring in or on the replacement building or structure must be the same intensity and scale as those occurring in or on the building or structure prior to its replacement.</i></p> <p><i>13.3.6.4. There must be no change in the location of the building or structure.</i></p> |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 161   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.1.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 162   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><i>16.3.1. Use of surface coastal water by a ship in the National Transportation Route and Queen Charlotte Sound.</i></p> <p><i>16.3.1.1. A high speed ship must not exceed a ship speed of 15 knots, <u>with the exception of the MV Aratere which must not exceed a ship speed of 19 knots.</u></i></p> <p><i>16.3.1.2. A ship exceeding 500 gross registered tonnes must not exceed a ship speed of 15 knots.</i></p>   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 163   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.4.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><i>16.4.1. Use of surface water by a high speed ship or a ship that exceeds 500 gross registered tonnes, which is travelling at a ship speed exceeding 15 knots in the National Transportation Route and Queen Charlotte Sound for the purposes of undertaking measurements of Wave Energy, including any associated disturbance of the foreshore or seabed. ...</i></p> <p><i>16.4.2. Use of surface water within the National Transportation Route and Queen Charlotte Sound by a high speed ship, or a ship that exceeds 500 gross registered tonnes, which is travelling at a ship speed exceeding 15 knots, including any associated disturbance of the foreshore or seabed. ...</i></p>  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 164   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.4.2.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>16.4.1. Use of surface water by a high speed ship or a ship that exceeds 500 gross registered tonnes, which is travelling at a ship speed exceeding 15 knots in the National Transportation Route <u>and Queen Charlotte Sound</u> for the purposes of undertaking measurements of Wave Energy, including any associated disturbance of the foreshore or seabed. ...</i><br><br><i>16.4.2. Use of surface water within the National Transportation Route <u>and Queen Charlotte Sound</u> by a high speed ship, or a ship that exceeds 500 gross registered tonnes, which is travelling at a ship speed exceeding 15 knots, including any associated disturbance of the foreshore or seabed. ...</i> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 165   | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.1.12.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 166   | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.3.10.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 167   | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.2.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>17.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure. ...</i><br><i><u>17.2.1.7 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</u></i>  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 168   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.9.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 169   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.7.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 170   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>19.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure. ...</i><br><i><u>19.2.1.10 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</u></i>   |       |          |                      |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 171   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>24.3.1.4. The land being subdivided must not have direct access to or from a State Highway <u>or via a level crossing.</u></i> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 172   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 173   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 174   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 175   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 176   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 177   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>Has the same meaning as network utility operator in Section <u>1662</u> of the Act.</i>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 178   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>Has the same meaning as in Section <u>1662</u> of the Act.</i>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 179   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |

| Sub No                                | Submitter  | Point                                     | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |                              |  |  |                                    |                     |   |                               |              |             |                                       |              |             |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Decision Requested                    | Retain as notified   |   |          |                          |           |                 |                              |  |  |                                    |                     |   |                               |              |             |                                       |              |             |
| <b>873</b>                            | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 180                                       | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support         |                              |  |  |                                    |                     |   |                               |              |             |                                       |              |             |
| Decision Requested                    | Retain as notified   |   |          |                          |           |                 |                              |  |  |                                    |                     |   |                               |              |             |                                       |              |             |
| <b>873</b>                            | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 181                                       | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support         |                              |  |  |                                    |                     |   |                               |              |             |                                       |              |             |
| Decision Requested                    | Retain as notified   |   |          |                          |           |                 |                              |  |  |                                    |                     |   |                               |              |             |                                       |              |             |
| <b>873</b>                            | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 182                                       | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support in Part |                              |  |  |                                    |                     |   |                               |              |             |                                       |              |             |
| Decision Requested                    | Rationalise four definitions of "Site" to provide clarity.   |   |          |                          |           |                 |                              |  |  |                                    |                     |   |                               |              |             |                                       |              |             |
| <b>873</b>                            | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 183                                       | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support         |                              |  |  |                                    |                     |   |                               |              |             |                                       |              |             |
| Decision Requested                    | Retain as notified (inferred)  |   |          |                          |           |                 |                              |  |  |                                    |                     |   |                               |              |             |                                       |              |             |
| <b>873</b>                            | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 184                                       | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support         |                              |  |  |                                    |                     |   |                               |              |             |                                       |              |             |
| Decision Requested                    | Retain as notified   |   |          |                          |           |                 |                              |  |  |                                    |                     |   |                               |              |             |                                       |              |             |
| <b>873</b>                            | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 185                                       | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support         |                              |  |  |                                    |                     |   |                               |              |             |                                       |              |             |
| Decision Requested                    | Retain as notified   |   |          |                          |           |                 |                              |  |  |                                    |                     |   |                               |              |             |                                       |              |             |
| <b>873</b>                            | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 186                                       | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.      | Support in Part |                              |  |  |                                    |                     |   |                               |              |             |                                       |              |             |
| Decision Requested                    | <p>Insert new provisions as follows:</p> <p><i>X Sensitive Activities within 100m of a Rail Network – Airborne Noise:</i></p> <p><i>New, relocated and altered sensitive activities shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure the following internal design noise limits shall not be exceeded, and shall take into account future use of the rail corridor, by the addition of 3dB to existing measured or calculated sound levels.</i></p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td><i>Receiving Environment</i></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>(New, relocated or altered)</i></td> <td><i>Laeq, 1 hour</i></td> <td><i>Compliance Distance (no less than)</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Residential – Bedrooms</i></td> <td><i>35 dB</i></td> <td><i>100m</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Residential – Habitable Spaces</i></td> <td><i>40 dB</i></td> <td><i>100m</i></td> </tr> </table> |   |          |                          |           |                 | <i>Receiving Environment</i> |  |  | <i>(New, relocated or altered)</i> | <i>Laeq, 1 hour</i> | <i>Compliance Distance (no less than)</i> | <i>Residential – Bedrooms</i> | <i>35 dB</i> | <i>100m</i> | <i>Residential – Habitable Spaces</i> | <i>40 dB</i> | <i>100m</i> |
| <i>Receiving Environment</i>          |  |   |          |                          |           |                 |                              |  |  |                                    |                     |   |                               |              |             |                                       |              |             |
| <i>(New, relocated or altered)</i>    | <i>Laeq, 1 hour</i>  | <i>Compliance Distance (no less than)</i> |          |                          |           |                 |                              |  |  |                                    |                     |   |                               |              |             |                                       |              |             |
| <i>Residential – Bedrooms</i>         | <i>35 dB</i>   | <i>100m</i>                               |          |                          |           |                 |                              |  |  |                                    |                     |   |                               |              |             |                                       |              |             |
| <i>Residential – Habitable Spaces</i> | <i>40 dB</i>   | <i>100m</i>                               |          |                          |           |                 |                              |  |  |                                    |                     |   |                               |              |             |                                       |              |             |

Teaching spaces

40 dB

100m

All other sensitive activity

building spaces e.g.:

- Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces
- Commercial Spaces

To comply with

satisfactory sound

levels AS/NZS

2107:2000

(nearest specified equivalent)

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Where it is necessary to have windows closed to achieve the acoustic design requirements, an alternative ventilation system shall be provided.

A ventilation system installed shall comply with the following:

- Consist of an air conditioning unit(s) provided that the noise level generated by the unit(s) must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; or
- A system capable of providing at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and at least 5 air changes per hour (ACH) in all other habitable rooms; and
- The noise level generated by the system must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and
- The internal air pressure must be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation; and
- Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal progressive stages up to the high setting.

Y Sensitive Activities within 60m of a Rail Network – Ground-borne Noise: Annoyance

New, relocated, or altered sensitive activities/buildings within 60 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the following levels

of vibration from trains shall not be exceeded based on the procedures specified in the Norwegian Standard NS 8176E: 2nd edition September 2005

Vibration and Shock Measurement of Vibration in Buildings from Land Based Transport and Guidance to Evaluation of its Effects on Human Beings.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Class C criterion: Maximum Weighted Velocity,

Vw, 95 Sensitive activities/ buildings

0.3 mm/s

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Z Sensitive Activities within 20m of a Rail Network – Ground borne Vibration: Building effects

All buildings within 20 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the level of vibration from trains shall not exceed the criteria set out in the British Standard BS 7385-2.:



| Sub No | Submitter                 | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------|---------------------------|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 873    | KiwiRail Holdings Limited | 187   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.      | Support in Part |

Decision Requested

Insert new provisions as follows:

X Sensitive Activities within 100m of a Rail Network – Airborne Noise:

New, relocated and altered sensitive activities shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure the following internal design noise limits shall not be exceeded, and shall take into account future use of the rail corridor, by the addition of 3dB to existing measured or calculated sound levels.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Residential – Bedrooms

Laeq, 1 hour

Compliance Distance (no less than)

35 dB

100m

Residential – Habitable Spaces

40 dB

100m

Teaching spaces

40 dB

100m

All other sensitive activity

building spaces e.g.:

- Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces
- Commercial Spaces

To comply with

satisfactory sound

levels AS/NZS

2107:2000

(nearest specified equivalent)

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Where it is necessary to have windows closed to achieve the acoustic design requirements, an alternative ventilation system shall be provided.

A ventilation system installed shall comply with the following:

- i) Consist of an air conditioning unit(s) provided that the noise level generated by the unit(s) must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; or
- ii) A system capable of providing at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and at least 5 air changes per hour (ACH) in all other habitable rooms; and
- iii) The noise level generated by the system must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and
- iv) The internal air pressure must be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation; and
- v) Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal progressive stages up to the high setting.

Y Sensitive Activities within 60m of a Rail Network – Ground-borne Noise: Annoyance

New, relocated, or altered sensitive activities/buildings within 60 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the following levels of vibration from trains shall not be exceeded based on the procedures specified in the Norwegian Standard NS 8176E: 2nd edition September 2005 Vibration and Shock Measurement of Vibration in Buildings from Land Based Transport and Guidance to Evaluation of its Effects on Human Beings.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)  
0.3 mm/s

Class C criterion:Maximum Weighted Velocity,

Vw,95Sensitive activities/ buildings

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Z Sensitive Activities within 20m of a Rail Network – Ground borne Vibration: Building effects

All buildings within 20 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the level of vibration from trains shall not exceed the criteria set out in the British Standard BS 7385-2:.

|            |                           |     |          |                                  |      |                 |
|------------|---------------------------|-----|----------|----------------------------------|------|-----------------|
| <b>873</b> | KiwiRail Holdings Limited | 188 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.1. | Support in Part |
|------------|---------------------------|-----|----------|----------------------------------|------|-----------------|

Decision Requested

Insert new provisions as follows:

X Sensitive Activities within 100m of a Rail Network – Airborne Noise:

New, relocated and altered sensitive activities shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure the following internal design noise limits shall not be exceeded, and shall take into account future use of the rail corridor, by the addition of 3dB to existing measured or calculated sound levels.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Residential – Bedrooms

Laeq, 1 hour

Compliance Distance (no less than)

35 dB

100m

Residential – Habitable Spaces

40 dB

100m

Teaching spaces

40 dB

100m

All other sensitive activity

building spaces e.g.:

- Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces
- Commercial Spaces

To comply with

satisfactory sound

levels AS/NZS

2107:2000

(nearest specified equivalent)

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Where it is necessary to have windows closed to achieve the acoustic design requirements, an alternative ventilation system shall be provided.

A ventilation system installed shall comply with the following:

- i) Consist of an air conditioning unit(s) provided that the noise level generated by the unit(s) must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; or
- ii) A system capable of providing at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and at least 5 air changes per hour (ACH) in all other habitable rooms; and
- iii) The noise level generated by the system must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and
- iv) The internal air pressure must be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation; and
- v) Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal progressive stages up to the high setting.

Y Sensitive Activities within 60m of a Rail Network – Ground-borne Noise: Annoyance

New, relocated, or altered sensitive activities/buildings within 60 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the following levels of vibration from trains shall not be exceeded based on the procedures specified in the Norwegian Standard NS 8176E: 2nd edition September 2005 Vibration and Shock Measurement of Vibration in Buildings from Land Based Transport and Guidance to Evaluation of its Effects on Human Beings.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Class C criterion:Maximum Weighted Velocity.

Vw, 95 Sensitive activities/ buildings

0.3 mm/s

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Z Sensitive Activities within 20m of a Rail Network – Ground borne Vibration: Building effects

All buildings within 20 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the level of vibration from trains shall not exceed the criteria set out in the British Standard BS 7385-2:.

|            |                           |     |          |                            |      |                 |
|------------|---------------------------|-----|----------|----------------------------|------|-----------------|
| <b>873</b> | KiwiRail Holdings Limited | 189 | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone | 6.1. | Support in Part |
|------------|---------------------------|-----|----------|----------------------------|------|-----------------|

Decision Requested

Insert new provisions as follows:

X Sensitive Activities within 100m of a Rail Network – Airborne Noise:

New, relocated and altered sensitive activities shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure the following internal design noise limits shall not be exceeded, and shall take into account future use of the rail corridor, by the addition of 3dB to existing measured or calculated sound levels.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Laeq, 1 hour

Compliance Distance (no less than)

Residential – Bedrooms

35 dB

100m

Residential – Habitable Spaces

40 dB

100m

Teaching spaces

40 dB

100m

All other sensitive activity

building spaces e.g.:

- Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces
- Commercial Spaces

To comply with

satisfactory sound

levels AS/NZS

2107:2000

(nearest specified equivalent)

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Where it is necessary to have windows closed to achieve the acoustic design requirements, an alternative ventilation system shall be provided.

A ventilation system installed shall comply with the following:

- i) Consist of an air conditioning unit(s) provided that the noise level generated by the unit(s) must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; or
- ii) A system capable of providing at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and at least 5 air changes per hour (ACH) in all other habitable rooms; and
- iii) The noise level generated by the system must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and
- iv) The internal air pressure must be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation; and
- v) Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal progressive stages up to the high setting.

Y Sensitive Activities within 60m of a Rail Network – Ground-borne Noise: Annoyance

New, relocated, or altered sensitive activities/buildings within 60 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the following levels of vibration from trains shall not be exceeded based on the procedures specified in the Norwegian Standard NS 8176E: 2nd edition September 2005

Vibration and Shock Measurement of Vibration in Buildings from Land Based Transport and Guidance to Evaluation of its Effects on Human Beings.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Class C criterion:Maximum Weighted Velocity.

Vw,95Sensitive activities/ buildings

0.3 mm/s

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Z Sensitive Activities within 20m of a Rail Network – Ground borne Vibration: Building effects

All buildings within 20 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the level of vibration from trains shall not exceed the criteria set out in the British Standard BS 7385-2.:

|            |                           |     |          |                       |      |                 |
|------------|---------------------------|-----|----------|-----------------------|------|-----------------|
| <b>873</b> | KiwiRail Holdings Limited | 190 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.1. | Support in Part |
|------------|---------------------------|-----|----------|-----------------------|------|-----------------|

Decision Requested Insert new provisions as follows:

Decision  
Requested

X Sensitive Activities within 100m of a Rail Network – Airborne Noise:

New, relocated and altered sensitive activities shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure the following internal design noise limits shall not be exceeded, and shall take into account future use of the rail corridor, by the addition of 3dB to existing measured or calculated sound levels.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Residential – Bedrooms

Laeq, 1 hour

Compliance Distance (no less than)

35 dB

100m

Residential – Habitable Spaces

40 dB

100m

Teaching spaces

40 dB

100m

All other sensitive activity

building spaces e.g.:

- Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces
- Commercial Spaces

To comply with

satisfactory sound

levels AS/NZS

2107:2000

(nearest specified equivalent)

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Where it is necessary to have windows closed to achieve the acoustic design requirements, an alternative ventilation system shall be provided.

A ventilation system installed shall comply with the following:

- i) Consist of an air conditioning unit(s) provided that the noise level generated by the unit(s) must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; or
- ii) A system capable of providing at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and at least 5 air changes per hour (ACH) in all other habitable rooms; and
- iii) The noise level generated by the system must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and
- iv) The internal air pressure must be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation; and
- v) Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal progressive stages up to the high setting.

Y Sensitive Activities within 60m of a Rail Network – Ground-borne Noise: Annoyance

New, relocated, or altered sensitive activities/buildings within 60 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the following levels of vibration from trains shall not be exceeded based on the procedures specified in the Norwegian Standard NS 8176E: 2nd edition September 2005. Vibration and Shock Measurement of Vibration in Buildings from Land Based Transport and Guidance to Evaluation of its Effects on Human Beings.

Receiving Environment  
(New, relocated or altered)  
0.3 mm/s

Class C criterion:Maximum Weighted Velocity,

Vw,95Sensitive activities/ buildings

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Z Sensitive Activities within 20m of a Rail Network – Ground borne Vibration: Building effects

All buildings within 20 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the level of vibration from trains shall not exceed the criteria set out in the British Standard BS 7385-2:

|            |                           |     |          |                   |      |                 |
|------------|---------------------------|-----|----------|-------------------|------|-----------------|
| <b>873</b> | KiwiRail Holdings Limited | 191 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.1. | Support in Part |
|------------|---------------------------|-----|----------|-------------------|------|-----------------|

Decision Requested

Insert new provisions as follows:

X Sensitive Activities within 100m of a Rail Network – Airborne Noise:

New, relocated and altered sensitive activities shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure the following internal design noise limits shall not be exceeded, and shall take into account future use of the rail corridor, by the addition of 3dB to existing measured or calculated sound levels.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Residential – Bedrooms

Residential – Habitable Spaces

Teaching spaces

All other sensitive activity

L<sub>aeq</sub>, 1 hour

35 dB

40 dB

40 dB

Compliance Distance (no less than)

100m

100m

100m

building spaces e.g.:

- Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces
- Commercial Spaces

To comply with

satisfactory sound

levels AS/NZS

2107:2000

(nearest specified equivalent)

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Where it is necessary to have windows closed to achieve the acoustic design requirements, an alternative ventilation system shall be provided.

A ventilation system installed shall comply with the following:

i) Consist of an air conditioning unit(s) provided that the noise level generated by the unit(s) must not exceed 40dB L<sub>aeq</sub>(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB L<sub>aeq</sub>(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; or

- ii) A system capable of providing at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and at least 5 air changes per hour (ACH) in all other habitable rooms; and
- iii) The noise level generated by the system must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and
- iv) The internal air pressure must be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation; and
- v) Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal progressive stages up to the high setting.

Y Sensitive Activities within 60m of a Rail Network – Ground-borne Noise: Annoyance

New, relocated, or altered sensitive activities/buildings within 60 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the following levels of vibration from trains shall not be exceeded based on the procedures specified in the Norwegian Standard NS 8176E: 2nd edition September 2005 Vibration and Shock Measurement of Vibration in Buildings from Land Based Transport and Guidance to Evaluation of its Effects on Human Beings.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)  
0.3 mm/s

Class C criterion:Maximum Weighted Velocity.

Vw,95Sensitive activities/ buildings

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Z Sensitive Activities within 20m of a Rail Network – Ground borne Vibration: Building effects

All buildings within 20 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the level of vibration from trains shall not exceed the criteria set out in the British Standard BS 7385-2:.

|            |                           |     |          |                    |       |                 |
|------------|---------------------------|-----|----------|--------------------|-------|-----------------|
| <b>873</b> | KiwiRail Holdings Limited | 192 | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.1. | Support in Part |
|------------|---------------------------|-----|----------|--------------------|-------|-----------------|

Decision Requested  
Insert new provisions as follows:

X Sensitive Activities within 100m of a Rail Network – Airborne Noise:

New, relocated and altered sensitive activities shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure the following internal design noise limits shall not be exceeded, and shall take into account future use of the rail corridor, by the addition of 3dB to existing measured or calculated sound levels.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Residential – Bedrooms

Laeq, 1 hour

Compliance Distance (no less than)

35 dB

100m

Residential – Habitable Spaces

40 dB

100m

Teaching spaces

40 dB

100m

All other sensitive activity

building spaces e.g.:

- Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces
- Commercial Spaces

To comply with

satisfactory sound

levels AS/NZS

2107:2000

(nearest specified equivalent)

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Where it is necessary to have windows closed to achieve the acoustic design requirements, an alternative ventilation system shall be provided.

A ventilation system installed shall comply with the following:

- i) Consist of an air conditioning unit(s) provided that the noise level generated by the unit(s) must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; or
- ii) A system capable of providing at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and at least 5 air changes per hour (ACH) in all other habitable rooms; and
- iii) The noise level generated by the system must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and
- iv) The internal air pressure must be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation; and
- v) Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal progressive stages up to the high setting.

Y Sensitive Activities within 60m of a Rail Network – Ground-borne Noise: Annoyance

New, relocated, or altered sensitive activities/buildings within 60 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the following levels of vibration from trains shall not be exceeded based on the procedures specified in the Norwegian Standard NS 8176E: 2nd edition September 2005 Vibration and Shock Measurement of Vibration in Buildings from Land Based Transport and Guidance to Evaluation of its Effects on Human Beings.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Class C criterion:Maximum Weighted Velocity.

Vw, 95 Sensitive activities/ buildings

0.3 mm/s

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Z Sensitive Activities within 20m of a Rail Network – Ground borne Vibration: Building effects

All buildings within 20 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the level of vibration from trains shall not exceed the criteria set out in the British Standard BS 7385-2:.

|                    |  |     |          |              |                               |                 |
|--------------------|--|-----|----------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited                    | 193 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | National Transportation Route | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include Outer Queen Charlotte Sound |     |          |              |                               |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 194   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 218 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>At Wharenui Beach Road and to the north of that road, there is an area of rail corridor that while designated, carries the underlying zonings of Open Space 3 and Rural.</p> <p>The underlying zoning at these sites is requested to be changed to be consistent with the remainder of the rail corridor – e.g. unzoned.</p> |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 195   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 234 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>At Wharenui Beach Road and to the north of that road, there is an area of rail corridor that while designated, carries the underlying zonings of Open Space 3 and Rural.</p> <p>The underlying zoning at these sites is requested to be changed to be consistent with the remainder of the rail corridor – e.g. unzoned.</p> |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 196   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 83  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>The Spring Creek Depot has an underlying zoning of Rural.</p> <p>The underlying zoning at these sites is requested to be changed to be consistent with the remainder of the rail corridor – e.g. unzoned.</p>  |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 197   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 149 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>The Spring Creek Depot has an underlying zoning of Rural.</p> <p>The underlying zoning at these sites is requested to be changed to be consistent with the remainder of the rail corridor – e.g. unzoned.</p>  |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 198   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 31  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>North of the Elevation in Picton, a section of the corridor carries Rural as the underlying zoning.</p> <p>The underlying zoning at these sites is requested to be changed to be consistent with the remainder of the rail corridor – e.g. unzoned.</p>  |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 199   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 138 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>North of the Elevation in Picton, a section of the corridor carries Rural as the underlying zoning.</p> <p>The underlying zoning at these sites is requested to be changed to be consistent with the remainder of the rail corridor – e.g. unzoned.</p>  |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited   | 200   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 37  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point               | Volume   | Chapter                                  | Provision                       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|---------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (inferred)   |                     |  |  |                                 |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 201                 | Volume 4   | Zoning Maps                              | Zoning Map 138                  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred)   |                     |  |  |                                 |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 202                 | Volume 3   | Appendix 12 Determination of Wave Energy |                                 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |                     |  |  |                                 |                 |
| <b>873</b>         | KiwiRail Holdings Limited  | 203                 | Volume 3   | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land  |                                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows"  |                     |  |  |                                 |                 |
|                    | <i><del>New Zealand Railways Corporation KiwiRail Holdings Ltd</del></i>   |                     |  |  |                                 |                 |
|                    | ID No.<br>K1   | Map No.<br>Numerous | Site Description<br>Picton Terminal and Main North <u>Line</u> Railway | Legal Description<br>Railway Land        | Designation<br>Railway Purposes |                 |
|                    | Explanation:<br>Railway Purposes :<br>The operation, enhancement and maintenance of the railway network through the Marlborough District to support rail passenger services and freight logistics. The rail corridor consists of the Main North Line.<br>New Zealand |                     |  |  |                                 |                 |
| <b>847</b>         | KJB Marine Farms Limited   | 1                   | Volume 4   | Overlay Maps                             | Coastal Natural Character 1     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8201 in Camel Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |                     |  |  |                                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| <b>847</b>         | KJB Marine Farms Limited   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8201 in Camel Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>847</b>         | KJB Marine Farms Limited   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8201 in Camel Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>847</b>         | KJB Marine Farms Limited   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8201 in Camel Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1269</b>        | KMS Mining Limited   | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.7.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new permitted activity:<br><br><u><i>2.7.0. Small-scale suction dredging where engines are no more than 7 kilowatts power.</i></u>   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1269</b>        | KMS Mining Limited   | 2     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.9.         | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add new standards to support new permitted activity:<br><b><u>2.9.0. Small-scale suction dredging where engines are no more than 7 kilowatts power.</u></b><br><u>2.9.0.1 (a) The internal diameter of the nozzle does not exceed 150mm; and</u><br><u>(b) The mining activity is not carried out within 20 metres of any structure which has foundations in the river bed, or any ford or pipeline; and</u><br><u>(c) The activity does not cause any flooding or erosion; and</u><br><u>(d) No refuelling is carried out while the dredge is within the wet bed of the river; and</u><br><u>(e) The area dredged lies within the wet bed of the river, and no material is removed from within or under the banks of the river; and</u><br><u>(f) No suction dredge is operated within 50 metres of another dredge; and</u><br><u>(g) No explosives or earthmoving machinery apart from the dredge is used to move material in the river bed; and</u><br><u>(h) Any rocks moved to allow suction dredging to occur are returned as close as possible to the site from which they were removed; and</u><br><u>(i) There is no conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of the water body beyond a distance of 100 metres downstream of the point of discharge; and</u><br><u>(j) No lawful take of water is adversely affected as a result of the bed disturbance; and</u><br><u>(k) No dredging is to take place between the dates 1st May and 30th September to protect fish spawning; and</u><br><u>(l) Dredging is only to be carried out between the times of 7.00am and 7.00pm on any day with noise levels not exceeding 85dBL.</u> |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>812</b>         | Jungmin Ko   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>812</b>         | Jungmin Ko   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>812</b>         | Jungmin Ko   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>812</b>         | Jungmin Ko   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>812</b>         | Jungmin Ko   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>812</b>         | Jungmin Ko   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>812</b>         | Jungmin Ko   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>812</b>         | Jungmin Ko   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>812</b>         | Jungmin Ko   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>812</b>         | Jungmin Ko   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>412</b>         | Kotare Vineyard  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels               | Schedule 3                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>874</b>         | KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited   | 1     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.                         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | In the absence of clarity, delete Marine Mammal (Dolphin) Map 18.  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>874</b>         | KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7):<br><i>"Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."</i>          |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>874</b>         | KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited   | 3     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8):<br><i>"Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."</i> |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>874</b>         | KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed mapping in respect of:<br>- Inner Admiralty Bay; and<br><br>- Port Ligar;<br><br>AND<br><br>Remove the natural character overlay from Horseshoe Bay and Beatrix Bay;<br><br>OR<br><br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>874</b>         | KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited   | 5     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed mapping in respect of:<br>- Inner Admiralty Bay; and<br><br>- Port Ligar;<br><br>AND<br><br>Remove the natural character overlay from Horseshoe Bay and Beatrix Bay;<br><br>OR<br><br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>874</b>         | KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited   | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the natural character mapping as proposed in respect of Anakoha Bay, save for reducing the extent of the high natural character overlay on the northeastern headland;</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Remove the natural character overlay from Orchard Bay and Beatrix Bay;</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.</p>  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>874</b>         | KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited  | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the natural character mapping as proposed for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Rams Head, Tawhitinui Reach, Middle Pelorus Sound; and</li> <li>- The eastern side of Crail Bay.</li> </ul> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend the overlay mapping by removing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the high natural character overlay in Kauauroa Bay;</li> <li>- The very high and outstanding natural character in Fairy Bay;</li> <li>- The high natural character overlay in South East Bay;</li> <li>- The high natural character overlay on the point between Hopai Bay and Grant Bay; and</li> <li>- The high natural character overlay in Kaiuma Bay.</li> </ul> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.</p> |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>874</b>         | KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited  | 8     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the natural character mapping as proposed for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Rams Head, Tawhitinui Reach, Middle Pelorus Sound; and</li> <li>- The eastern side of Crail Bay.</li> </ul> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend the overlay mapping by removing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the high natural character overlay in Kauauroa Bay;</li> <li>- The very high and outstanding natural character in Fairy Bay;</li> <li>- The high natural character overlay in South East Bay;</li> <li>- The high natural character overlay on the point between Hopai Bay and Grant Bay; and</li> <li>- The high natural character overlay in Kaiuma Bay.</li> </ul> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.</p> |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>874</b>         | KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited  | 9     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the natural character mapping as proposed in Waitaria Bay and Fish Bay.  |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>874</b>         | KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited  | 10    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1                | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed for inner Admiralty Bay;<br>AND<br>Remove the ONL overlay from:<br>- The southeastern entrance to French Pass; and<br>- Port Ligar.<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>874</b>         | KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited   | 11    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed for inner Admiralty Bay;<br>AND<br>Remove the ONL overlay from:<br>- The southeastern entrance to French Pass; and<br>- Port Ligar.<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>874</b>         | KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited   | 12    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 2 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove the ONL overlay from:<br>- Orchard Bay; and<br>- The northeastern headland of Anakoha Bay.<br><br>OR<br><br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>874</b>         | KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited  | 13    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the ONL overlay from:<br>- Orchard Bay; and<br>- The northeastern headland of Anakoha Bay.<br><br>OR<br><br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>874</b>         | KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited  | 14    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the ONL mapping as proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In Beatrix Bay;</li> <li>- At Rams Head, Tawhitinui Reach, Middle Pelorus Sound;</li> <li>- In South East Bay; and</li> <li>- In Crail Bay;</li> </ul> <p>AND</p> <p>Remove ONL overlay from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Horseshoe Bay;</li> <li>- Kauauroa Bay;</li> <li>- Grant Bay;</li> <li>- Fairy Bay; and</li> <li>- Kaiuma Bay;</li> </ul> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 1, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.</p> |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>874</b>         | KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited   | 15    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |           | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                               | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In Beatrix Bay;</li> <li>- At Rams Head, Tawhitinui Reach, Middle Pelorus Sound;</li> <li>- In South East Bay; and</li> <li>- In Crail Bay;</li> </ul> AND<br>Remove ONL overlay from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Horseshoe Bay;</li> <li>- Kauauroa Bay;</li> <li>- Grant Bay;</li> <li>- Fairy Bay; and</li> <li>- Kaiuma Bay;</li> </ul> OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 1, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>874</b>         | KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited  | 16    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5                            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping proposed in Beatrix Bay, Waitaria Bay and Fish Bay;<br>AND<br>Reduce the extent of the ONL overlay at the northeastern headland of Anakoha Bay (as above).   |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>874</b>         | KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited  | 17    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 5 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                                | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|--|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that existing marine farms do not adversely affect Piripaua Reef.   |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>874</b>         | KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited  | 18    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that existing marine farms do not adversely affect:<br>- The reef extending from the headland in Grants Bay, or Blue maomao (site 3.15);<br>- The horse mussel beds in Crail Bay (site 3.16). |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>874</b>         | KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited  | 19    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The MEP should be amended, so that a strict avoidance approach is not adopted in respect of the mapped area in Admiralty Bay, consistent with the proposed changes to the policies at Chapter 8, as set out in the MFA submission.  |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>190</b>         | Kurt Flowerday Family Trust   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 1                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | We would like to see our property be included in the Urban Residential 3 Zone.  |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>1061</b>        | Kurt Flowerday Family Trust   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 1                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That 6 Blicks Road (Property Number 138093 Lot 2 DP 613) is rezoned from Rural Environment Zone to Urban Residential 3 Zone.  |       |          |              |  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| <b>1117</b>        | Steven Guy La Plante   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.                                   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."          |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1117</b>        | Steven Guy La Plante   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.3.                                   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm." |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>379</b>         | LA Smith and BJ Green Partnership  | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.4.13                            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. ( <i>Inferred</i> )   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>379</b>         | LA Smith and BJ Green Partnership  | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.4.12                            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.4.12 (b) as follows (bold and strike out) - " <del>limited</del> <b>allow</b> building on ridgelines".   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>753</b>         | Hope Lagden  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>753</b>         | Hope Lagden  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>753</b>         | Hope Lagden  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>753</b>         | Hope Lagden  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>753</b>         | Hope Lagden  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>753</b>         | Hope Lagden  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>753</b>         | Hope Lagden  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>753</b>         | Hope Lagden  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>753</b>         | Hope Lagden  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>753</b>         | Hope Lagden  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>107</b>         | Peter Lamb   | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>107</b>         | Peter Lamb   | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all Provisions in Full   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>107</b>         | Peter Lamb   | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.2   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>107</b>         | Peter Lamb   | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.7   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>904</b>         | Land Vision Limited  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.21.1.      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.21.1:<br><br><i>Standard 3.3.21.1 The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river, <b>excluding intermittent rivers</b>, of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i>   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>904</b>         | Land Vision Limited  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.28.1.      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the following (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.28.1:<br><br><i><del>Standard 3.3.28.1 The discharge must not occur into or onto a Soil Sensitive Area.</del></i>  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>904</b>         | Land Vision Limited  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.28.3.      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.28.3:<br><br><i>Standard 3.3.28.3 A high rate discharge system must not be used to discharge onto land with an average slope of 7° or greater, <del>and the slope must not exceed 11.3° (1:5) at any point.</del></i><br><br>The submission states "The decision we seek from Council is to change Rule 3.3.28.3. to exclude the upper limit but subject to performance standards." The submission does not include what performance standards that Standard 3.3.28.3 would be subject to. |       |          |                                 |                |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>           | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>904</b>         | Land Vision Limited        | 4            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.1.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.1.  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>904</b>         | Land Vision Limited        | 5            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.2.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.2.  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>904</b>         | Land Vision Limited        | 6            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.4.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.4.  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>904</b>         | Land Vision Limited        | 7            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.5.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.5.  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>904</b>         | Land Vision Limited        | 8            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.6.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.6.  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>904</b>         | Land Vision Limited        | 9            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.7.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.7.  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>904</b>         | Land Vision Limited        | 10           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.8.  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>904</b>         | Land Vision Limited        | 11           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.9.  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>904</b>         | Land Vision Limited        | 12           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.10. |              |               |                          |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|---------|
| 904                | Land Vision Limited   | 13    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.11.  |       |          |                          |            |         |
| 904                | Land Vision Limited   | 14    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following definition of <i>Impeded Drainage</i> is included is included in the MEP <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><b><i>Impeded Drainage means soils that have either i) between the 30cm and 60cm of the soil surface, but not within 15cm of the base of the A horizon, 50% or more low chroma mottles on cut faces or ped faces or ii) that have within 15cm of the base of the A horizon or within 30 cm of the mineral soil surface, 2% or more redox segregations or &lt;50% low chroma colours on cut faces or ped faces.</i></b></p> |       |          |                          |            |         |
| 904                | Land Vision Limited   | 15    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of <i>High rate discharge system</i> <b>(inferred)</b> .  |       |          |                          |            |         |
| 904                | Land Vision Limited   | 16    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.4.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the application limit of 200 kg N/ha/yr is increased (note that no alternative limit is provided in the submission) as a controlled activity provided that the landowner can prove:</p> <p>(i) it is required for crop growth,</p> <p>(ii) not more than say 100 units are applied at any one time, and</p> <p>(iii) they can demonstrate the losses out the bottom are limited (by use of Overseer or lysimeters) <b>(inferred)</b>.</p>   |       |          |                          |            |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 904                | Land Vision Limited   | 17    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.2   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.8.2(c) and (f):</p> <p><i>3.3.8.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(c) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake, <b>except for plantings used for stream bank erosion control and riparian plantings;</b></i></p> <p><i>(e) 200m of the coastal marine area;</i></p> <p><i>(f) <del>Steep Erosion-Prone Land, unless replanting harvested woodlot forest lawfully established.</del></i></p> <p>If (f) cannot be omitted then a better definition of <i>Steep Erosion Prone Land</i> needs to be identified - see submission point 904.18.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 904                | Land Vision Limited   | 18    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>If <i>Standard 3.3.8.2. Planting must not be in, or within: (f) Steep Erosion-Prone Land, unless replanting harvested woodlot forest lawfully established</i> cannot be omitted, then a better definition of <i>Steep Erosion Prone Land</i> needs to be identified that is not based on a map generated from 1:50,000 scale map. This definition needs to be opened for discussion. The submission does not include amendments to be made to the definition of <i>Steep Erosion-Prone Land</i>.</p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 904                | Land Vision Limited   | 19    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.13.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.13.1. On all slopes greater than 20°, cultivation must be parallel to the contour of the land; except that up to 15% of the cultivated area may be cultivated at an angle to the contour <b>a suitable riparian zone with adequate vegetation cover to prevent sediment runoff into the waterways must be in place.</b></i></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 904                | Land Vision Limited   | 21    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.13.5 (<b>inferred</b>):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.13.5 On completion of the cultivation, a suitable vegetative cover that will mitigate soil loss, must be restored on the site so that, within 24 months <del>the amount of bare ground is to be no more than 20%</del> <b>the level of vegetation cover is at least equal to the vegetation cover</b> prior to the cultivation taking place.</i></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>294</b>         | Landcorp  | 1     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  |                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clarify the definition of intensively farmed animals to specifically exclude extensively farmed animals that may be mustered at infrequent times of the year and cross rivers as part of the mustering process. |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>294</b>         | Landcorp  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                                | 3.7.4.                      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | support 3.7.4 with the addition of the following words "with the exception of mustering, droving and processing of cattle through yards"  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>294</b>         | Landcorp  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                                | 3.7.5.                      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Support 3.7.5 with the addition of the following words "with the exception of mustering, droving and processing of cattle through yards"  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>294</b>         | Landcorp  | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape   | Policy 7.2.10               | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provisions as proposed with the description widened to wilding conifers  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>33</b>          | Russell Lane  | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment                         | Policy 14.4.12              | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy.  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>33</b>          | Russell Lane  | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment                         | Policy 14.4.13              | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy.  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>815</b>         | Jonathan Large  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8355; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>815</b>         | Jonathan Large  | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8355; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>815</b>         | Jonathan Large  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Landscapes 4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8355; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>815</b>         | Jonathan Large  | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values   |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8355; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>489</b>         | Larges Rose Nursery   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | No setting of groundwater take restrictions for the Spring Creek Motor Camp ( <i>inferred</i> ).  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>357</b>         | Trudie Lasham   | 1     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment         | Policy 13.5.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to require the prioritisation of roading infrastructure to meet the needs of the existing Rarangi residents, particularly relative to evacuations in the event of a tsunami warning. ( <i>Inferred</i> ) |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>357</b>         | Trudie Lasham   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the minimum level limit for the Rarangi Shallow Freshwater Management Unit to raise the level at which restrictions would apply. ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>357</b>         | Trudie Lasham   | 3     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the allocation limit for the Rarangi Shallow Freshwater Management Unit to reduce the allocation. ( <i>Inferred</i> )   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>357</b>         | Trudie Lasham   | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.37.       | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| Decision Requested | Delete provision.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>357</b>         | Trudie Lasham   | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.1.36.                                   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete provision.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>357</b>         | Trudie Lasham   | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.28.                                   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standards under heading 3.3.28, which apply to Rule 3.1.28.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>357</b>         | Trudie Lasham   | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.26.                                   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standards under heading 3.3.26, which apply to Rule 3.1.26.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>357</b>         | Trudie Lasham   | 8     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 79                             | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the zoning as proposed.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>270</b>         | Cameron Lawes   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.4                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove coastal occupancy charges for jetties (inferred).  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>658</b>         | Dan Lawrence  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>658</b>         | Dan Lawrence  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| <b>658</b>         | Dan Lawrence   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>658</b>         | Dan Lawrence   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>658</b>         | Dan Lawrence   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>658</b>         | Dan Lawrence   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>658</b>         | Dan Lawrence   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>658</b>         | Dan Lawrence   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>658</b>         | Dan Lawrence   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>658</b>         | Dan Lawrence   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.                    |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>135</b>         | Allister Leach  | 1     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone        | 5.3.19.1.                                 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 15.2.2 and Rule 15.3.19.1 and focus on education, compliant dry wood sales and replacement with low emission log burners only as required, not with the date of 9 June 2017 as the requirement. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>135</b>         | Allister Leach  | 2     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)  | Policy 15.2.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 15.2.2 and Rule 15.3.19.1 and focus on education, compliant dry wood sales and replacement with low emission log burners only as required, not with the date of 9 June 2017 as the requirement. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>734</b>         | Gail Learmonth  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.                         |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>734</b>         | Gail Learmonth  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>734</b>         | Gail Learmonth  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>734</b>         | Gail Learmonth  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>734</b>         | Gail Learmonth  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>734</b>         | Gail Learmonth   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>734</b>         | Gail Learmonth   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>734</b>         | Gail Learmonth   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>734</b>         | Gail Learmonth   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>734</b>         | Gail Learmonth   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1279</b>        | William Frank Waterhouse Leckie  | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                   | 7.2.1.5.      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).<br>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation<br>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:   |       |          |   |   |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>81</b>          | Paul Lee  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>81</b>          | Paul Lee  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.3.                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>560</b>         | Brian Lee   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>560</b>         | Brian Lee   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>560</b>         | Brian Lee   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>560</b>         | Brian Lee  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>560</b>         | Brian Lee  | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>560</b>         | Brian Lee  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>560</b>         | Brian Lee  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>560</b>         | Brian Lee  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>560</b>         | Brian Lee  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>560</b>         | Brian Lee  | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|--------|
| 905                | Lee Street Residents   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land |              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Flood hazard Level 3 is overstated in the residential properties.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. We seek to have the hazard level reviewed and reduced to Level 1.</li> <li>2. To create a more appropriate and better boundary between floodway, recreation and residential properties by relocating the floodway stopbank to outside the residential properties. This would then allow the Designated Land boundary to be outside the residential properties.</li> </ol>   |       |          |   |              |        |
| 906                | Legacy Fishing Limited   | 1     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | Policy 8.3.7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 8.3.7 be rewritten to clarify that commercial potting, long lining, drop lining and set netting do not constitute bottom impacting methods.</p> <p>That all references as to what constitutes 'seabed disturbance' be positively defined so as not to impact on industry operators fishing by potting, long lining, drop lining, set netting methods, hand harvest or any other methods employed by the fishing industry.</p> <p>Should bottom impacting fishing methods be considered to include prohibition of potting, long lining, drop lining, set netting methods and hand harvest then I have identified the following sites of significance as identified in the MEP will cause a particular problem for my business and also for the sustainability of some surrounding fisheries:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Glasgow Island (Sites of Significance Map 14)</li> <li>b. West Head Site - Tory Channel (Sites of Significance Maps 11 and 15)</li> <li>c. The Brothers and Awash Rock (Sites of Significance Map 11)</li> <li>d. Cape Campbell (Sites of Significance Map 16)</li> <li>e. Marine Mammal whale and Marine Mammal Dolphin (Sites of Significance Maps 17 and 18)</li> </ol> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If any of the areas listed above are prohibited to the use of potting, long lining, drop lining or set netting fishing methods this will affect our ability to fish these areas and will create areas of closed fishery for the target species. This in turn will move fishing effort into neighboring areas within the fishery, impacting on the sustainable balance of the remaining fishery.</li> <li>• In creating this situation, the Council may well, even if inadvertently, upset the sustainable biodiversity of the species populating the surrounding closed areas. Any change made to the space allocated to a fishery, such as by closing a portion of productive area within that fishery, will impact on the management and performance of that fishery. This is because existing commercial, recreational and customary catch allocations are set on many years of scientific reporting and monitoring taken across the full fishing area.</li> <li>• If the Council's decision is that the rocky outcrops listed in the 129 Sites of Significance should be protected from all trawling and dredging I recommend these Sites be kept open to potting, long lining, drop lining or set netting fishing methods as these methods do not have an adverse bottom impact effect, nor do they adversely affect biodiversity values. However, the impact of closing many of these areas will have an adverse effect on the sustainability of the remaining fishery and so on biodiversity values of balanced and managed fish populations.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |              |        |
| 906                | Legacy Fishing Limited   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.5.      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That Rule 16.7.5 be rewritten to clarify that commercial potting, long lining, drop lining and set netting do not constitute bottom impacting methods.</p> <p>That all references as to what constitutes 'seabed disturbance' be positively defined so as not to impact on industry operators fishing by potting, long lining, drop lining, set netting methods, hand harvest or any other methods employed by the fishing industry.</p> <p>Should bottom impacting fishing methods be considered to include prohibition of potting, long lining, drop lining, set netting methods and hand harvest then I have identified the following sites of significance as identified in the MEP will cause a particular problem for my business and also for the sustainability of some surrounding fisheries:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Glasgow Island (Sites of Significance Map 14)</li> <li>b. West Head Site - Tory Channel (Sites of Significance Maps 11 and 15)</li> <li>c. The Brothers and Awash Rock (Sites of Significance Map 11)</li> <li>d. Cape Campbell (Sites of Significance Map 16)</li> <li>e. Marine Mammal whale and Marine Mammal Dolphin (Sites of Significance Maps 17 and 18)</li> </ol> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If any of the areas listed above are prohibited to the use of potting, long lining, drop lining or set netting fishing methods this will affect our ability to fish these areas and will create areas of closed fishery for the target species. This in turn will move fishing effort into neighboring areas within the fishery, impacting on the sustainable balance of the remaining fishery.</li> <li>• In creating this situation, the Council may well, even if inadvertently, upset the sustainable biodiversity of the species populating the surrounding closed areas. Any change made to the space allocated to a fishery, such as by closing a portion of productive area within that fishery, will impact on the management and performance of that fishery. This is because existing commercial, recreational and customary catch allocations are set on many years of scientific reporting and monitoring taken across the full fishing area.</li> <li>• If the Council's decision is that the rocky outcrops listed in the 129 Sites of Significance should be protected from all trawling and dredging I recommend these Sites be kept open to potting, long lining, drop lining or set netting fishing methods as these methods do not have an adverse bottom impact effect, nor do they adversely affect biodiversity values. However, the impact of closing many of these areas will have an adverse effect on the sustainability of the remaining fishery and so on biodiversity values of balanced and managed fish populations.</li> </ul> |       |          |                                   |           |        |
| <b>906</b>         | Legacy Fishing Limited  | 3     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That this issue is rewritten as set out in the submission of 'the fishing industry submitters' to reflect the appropriate roles of the Council in relation to fishing - i.e., to achieve integrated management and to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on fisheries resources.</p> <p>That this clause be extended to be more balanced and to also include a reference to the importance of the commercial fishing industry and commercial fishing exporters to the local economies, employment and communities of Picton, Port Underwood, French Pass, D'Urville Island and Ward. In order to acknowledge the economic contribution of this significant industry and to balance the incorrect messaging perpetuated throughout this clause and document that the importance of fishing is limited to its role as a "recreational pursuit."</p>   |       |          |                                   |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 906                | Legacy Fishing Limited  | 4     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That Council should also remove itself from a direct advocacy role in this area.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 906                | Legacy Fishing Limited  | 5     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 13.4.1:<br><i>Policy 13.4.1 Support and advocate for intensive management of recreational and commercial fishing within the enclosed waters of the Marlborough Sounds.</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 906                | Legacy Fishing Limited  | 6     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 13.4.2 is amended to make it clear that Council support will only be given to fishery groups that can satisfactorily prove a Marlborough Province led, multi stakeholder mandate focused on achieving integrated management in line with the legal obligations of the Council.<br><br>If Council chooses to leave this clause in the MEP as it stands then it should define the definition of sustainability to which it is willing to fund community groups to work towards. If the definition is the same as the Ministry of Primary Industry scientific definition (Bmsy - biomass that can support harvest of the maximum sustainable yield) then the Council does not need to use ratepayer funds to fund community groups to work towards something already funded and managed at Government level. |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 906                | Legacy Fishing Limited  | 7     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 13.1.1 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 906                | Legacy Fishing Limited  | 8     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 13.2.1 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 906                | Legacy Fishing Limited  | 9     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                | Policy 11.1.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 11.1.7 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                         | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>906</b>         | Legacy Fishing Limited  | 10           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.8.2    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 13.8.2 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>906</b>         | Legacy Fishing Limited  | 11           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.10.5   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 13.10.5 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>906</b>         | Legacy Fishing Limited  | 12           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.11.4   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 13.11.4 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>906</b>         | Legacy Fishing Limited  | 13           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.16.3   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 13.16.3 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>906</b>         | Legacy Fishing Limited  | 14           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.18.7   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 13.18.7 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>906</b>         | Legacy Fishing Limited  | 15           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the impacts of degraded water quality (including water with elevated sediment loadings) on fisheries and fisheries habitat are explicitly considered in relevant policies in Chapter 15 Resource Quality (Water), as recommended by the fishing industry submitters.   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>906</b>         | Legacy Fishing Limited  | 16           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.10.14  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 13.10.14 requires amendment in order to provide for the continued operation of established fishing businesses.<br>Specific to my business are the wharf at Te Awaiti Bay, Tory Channel which is used as a point of commercial load and unload of fishing equipment and therefore is essential for the continued operation of my fishing business. |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>906</b>         | Legacy Fishing Limited  | 17           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.10.16  | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That Policy 13.10.16 requires amendment in order to provide for the continued operation of established fishing businesses. Specific to my business are the wharf at Te Awaiti Bay, Tory Channel which is used as a point of commercial load and unload of fishing equipment and therefore is essential for the continued operation of my fishing business. |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>906</b>         | Legacy Fishing Limited   | 18    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.19 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 13.10.19 requires amendment in order to provide for the continued operation of established fishing businesses. Specific to my business are the wharf at Te Awaiti Bay, Tory Channel which is used as a point of commercial load and unload of fishing equipment and therefore is essential for the continued operation of my fishing business. |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>906</b>         | Legacy Fishing Limited   | 19    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                       | Zoning Map 17   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Map 17 Marine Mammal (Whale) is deleted from the MEP at this time.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>906</b>         | Legacy Fishing Limited   | 20    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                       | Zoning Map 18   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Map 18 Marine Mammal (Dolphin) is deleted from the MEP at this time.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>125</b>         | Fiona Leov   | 1     | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone        | 6.              | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provisions (inferred).  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>125</b>         | Fiona Leov   | 2     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                    | 24.             | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provisions (inferred).  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>126</b>         | Mike Leov  | 1     | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone        | 6.              | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provisions (inferred).  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>126</b>         | Mike Leov  | 2     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                    | 24.             | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provisions (inferred).  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>320</b>         | Graham Leov  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                   | 2.9.9.          | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the definition of River in Chapter 25 be altered to read "River has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act, except for the purposes of 2.9.9 (including provisions 2.9.9.1, 2.9.9.2 and 2.9.9.3) and for the purposes of 3.3.21 (including 3.3.21.1, 3.3.21.2 and 3.3.21.3) where river means a river whose bed has an average width of 3 metres or more.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>320</b>         | Graham Leov  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of River in Chapter 25 be altered to read "River has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act, except for the purposes of 3.3.21 (including 3.3.21.1, 3.3.21.2 and 3.3.21.3) and for the purposes of 2.9.9 (including provisions 2.9.9.1, 2.9.9.2 and 2.9.9.3) where river means a river whose bed has an average width of 3 metres or more.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>320</b>         | Graham Leov  | 3     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of River in Chapter 25 be altered to read "River has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act, except for the purposes of 2.9.9 (including provisions 2.9.9.1, 2.9.9.2 and 2.9.9.3) and for the purposes of 3.3.21 (including 3.3.21.1, 3.3.21.2 and 3.3.21.3) where river means a river whose bed has an average width of 3 metres or more.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>326</b>         | Steven and Sarah Leov  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.9.9.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>We recommend removing the term river from the listed policies and replacing it with Fonterra's definition of an active bed. This is a standard that dairy farmers have been working with for many years. It means animals are excluded from all major rivers and streams but allows farmers to continue to use their land productively. This is a definition that supports sustainability and allows primary production to continue to operate as per Objective 14.1 "Rural environments are maintained as a resource for primary production activities, enabling these activities to continue contributing to economic well being whilst ensuring the adverse effects of these activities are appropriately managed."</p> <p>Livestock entering onto, <del>or passing across</del> <u>an active bed</u> of a <del>river</del> <u>waterway</u>.</p> <p>Definition of <i>active bed</i>:</p> <p>"The bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse that is permanently flowing and where the bed is more than a metre wide, permanently un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</p> <p>We also recommend extending the period of time allowed for compliance with <b>2.9.9, 3.3.21 and 4.3.20.1</b> to <u>9 June 2022</u>. This is to allow farmers to recover from the financial pressure put on their businesses during recent years when the milk price has been below the cost of production. While fencing materials may seem an insignificant cost, the accumulation of these materials plus concrete and culverts for river crossings, the necessary consents for these crossings and the labour required to complete the work will make compliance a significant expense.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>326</b>         | Steven and Sarah Leov  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>We recommend removing the term river from the listed policies and replacing it with Fonterra's definition of an active bed. This is a standard that dairy farmers have been working with for many years. It means animals are excluded from all major rivers and streams but allows farmers to continue to use their land productively. This is a definition that supports sustainability and allows primary production to continue to operate as per Objective 14.1 "Rural environments are maintained as a resource for primary production activities, enabling these activities to continue contributing to economic well being whilst ensuring the adverse effects of these activities are appropriately managed."</p> <p>Livestock entering onto, <del>or passing across</del> <u>an active bed</u> of a <del>river</del> <u>waterway</u>.</p> <p>Definition of <i>active bed</i>:</p> <p>"The bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse that is permanently flowing and where the bed is more than a metre wide, permanently un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</p> <p>We also recommend extending the period of time allowed for compliance with <b>2.9.9, 3.3.21 and 4.3.20.1</b> to <u>9 June 2022</u>. This is to allow farmers to recover from the financial pressure put on their businesses during recent years when the milk price has been below the cost of production. While fencing materials may seem an insignificant cost, the accumulation of these materials plus concrete and culverts for river crossings, the necessary consents for these crossings and the labour required to complete the work will make compliance a significant expense.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>326</b>         | Steven and Sarah Leov  | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>We recommend removing the term river from the listed policies and replacing it with Fonterra's definition of an active bed. This is a standard that dairy farmers have been working with for many years. It means animals are excluded from all major rivers and streams but allows farmers to continue to use their land productively. This is a definition that supports sustainability and allows primary production to continue to operate as per Objective 14.1 "Rural environments are maintained as a resource for primary production activities, enabling these activities to continue contributing to economic well being whilst ensuring the adverse effects of these activities are appropriately managed."</p> <p>Livestock entering onto, <del>or passing across</del> <u>an active bed</u> of a <del>river</del> <u>waterway</u>.</p> <p>Definition of <i>active bed</i>:</p> <p>"The bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse that is permanently flowing and where the bed is more than a metre wide, permanently un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</p> <p>We also recommend extending the period of time allowed for compliance with <b>2.9.9, 3.3.21 and 4.3.20.1</b> to <u>9 June 2022</u>. This is to allow farmers to recover from the financial pressure put on their businesses during recent years when the milk price has been below the cost of production. While fencing materials may seem an insignificant cost, the accumulation of these materials plus concrete and culverts for river crossings, the necessary consents for these crossings and the labour required to complete the work will make compliance a significant expense.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>326</b>         | Steven and Sarah Leov  | 4     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.11.4.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>We recommend the removal of both 2.11.4 and 2.11.5. The appropriate application of policies 2.9.9, 3.3.21 and 4.3.20.1 should render them unnecessary for day to day farming practice.<br/>Farmers must be able to move their animals without restriction in extenuating circumstances and must be trusted to do so responsibly.</p> <p><del>2.11.4 From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river.</del></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>326</b>         | Steven and Sarah Leov   | 5     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.11.5.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>We recommend the removal of both 2.11.4 and 2.11.5. The appropriate application of policies 2.9.9, 3.3.21 and 4.3.20.1 should render them unnecessary for day to day farming practice.<br/>Farmers must be able to move their animals without restriction in extenuating circumstances and must be trusted to do so responsibly.</p> <p><del>2.11.5 From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river.</del></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>326</b>         | Steven and Sarah Leov   | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>We recommend bringing compliance dates for major infrastructure upgrades into line with the proposed compliance date for prohibited activities. That is, <u>9 June 2022</u>. This will allow farmers to work towards all major infrastructure changes required over a period of time that is more likely to be financially sustainable.</p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>326</b>         | Steven and Sarah Leov   | 7     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>We recommend re-writing the definition of Meat Processing to explicitly exclude the slaughter of animals on farm for home consumption.</p> <p>means the use of land and buildings for the yarding and slaughtering of animals; the associated processing of meat including by-product and co-product processing; rendering; fish and shellfish processing; fellmongery, tanning, casing and pelt processing; and the associated chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of meat and associated products. It does not include the slaughter of animals on farm for home consumption.</p> <p>Alternatively, MDC could explicitly exclude the slaughter of animals on farm for home consumption from Heavy Industrial Activity.</p> <p>means activities that process raw materials to finished products; materials that have generally been processed at least once; meat processing (<u>excluding the slaughter of animals on farm for home consumption</u>); heavy fabrication; making and assembling parts that are, in themselves, large and heavy.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>326</b>         | Steven and Sarah Leov   | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | We recommend removing policy 3.3.13.1 all together as it poses too big a health and safety risk.<br><del>On all slopes greater than 20° cultivation must be parallel to the contour of the land; except that up to 15% of the cultivated area may be cultivated at an angle to the contour.</del>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>326</b>         | Steven and Sarah Leov  | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.13.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Definition of <i>active bed</i> :<br>"The bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse that is permanently flowing and where the bed is more than a metre wide, permanently un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material."<br>We also recommend the 8m buffer zone be reduced to 5m for cultivation of slopes above 10 degrees. We believe a 5m buffer zone will be sufficient to retain clarity of waterways while allowing more effective use of productive land.<br><del>On all slopes greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m 5m of a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing),</del> and Active Bed, lake or coastal marine area. |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>340</b>         | B L and C F Leov Bulford   | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.9.9.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Must not involve intensively farmed <u>adult dairy livestock</u> .<br>We suggest that there needs to be some distinction between ages of cattle recognised, as young stock have a much smaller impact on the environment than aged cows.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>340</b>         | B L and C F Leov Bulford   | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Must not involve intensively farmed <u>adult dairy livestock</u> .<br>We suggest that there needs to be some distinction between ages of cattle recognised, as young stock have a much smaller impact on the environment than aged cows.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>340</b>         | B L and C F Leov Bulford   | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Must not involve intensively farmed <u>adult dairy livestock</u> .<br>We suggest that there needs to be some distinction between ages of cattle recognised, as young stock have a much smaller impact on the environment than aged cows.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>340</b>         | B L and C F Leov Bulford   | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.28.11.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | With a minimum of <del>3 months</del> <u>2 months</u> storage.<br>We believe that 60 days would be a far more appropriate timeframe.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>340</b>         | B L and C F Leov Bulford   | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.6.1.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The following species must not be planted <del>European larch (-Larix deciduas)</del> .<br>It would be better to look at each area and research the history. Have a policy for each area as Marlborough has many differing climatic areas.<br><br>Note that the "Name of policy or rule" included in the hard copy submission document for rule 3.3.6.1 is referred to as "Woodlot forestry planting". |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>340</b>         | B L and C F Leov Bulford   | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.8.1.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The following species must not be planted <del>European larch (-Larix deciduas)</del> .<br>It would be better to look at each area and research the history. Have a policy for each area as Marlborough has many differing climatic areas.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>340</b>         | B L and C F Leov Bulford   | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.10.1.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The following species must not be planted <del>European larch (-Larix deciduas)</del> .<br>It would be better to look at each area and research the history. Have a policy for each area as Marlborough has many differing climatic areas.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>340</b>         | B L and C F Leov Bulford   | 8     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                   | 25.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | that are, in themselves, large and heavy. Excludes homekill  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>340</b>         | B L and C F Leov Bulford   | 9     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                   | 25.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Storage of meat and associated products <u>excluding homekill</u> .  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>907</b>         | Levide Capital Limited   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>The submission does not provide a clear <i>Decision Requested</i> for Objective 5.3. There are general comments about adding policies and rules, which have been included under Objective 5.3. It is <b><i>inferred</i></b> that these are relevant to this objective.</p> <p>Introduce policies (and rules) to provide for a grandfathering provision to recognise contracts for the full period of the water allocation consent; and which provides for further renewals taking into account commitments and dependence of particular users and industries.</p> <p>Introduce policies/rules/methods relating to water conservation measures and supply integration where feasible to maximise resource use efficiency.</p> <p>Add policies and rules stating that new Industrial subdivisions in water restricted areas (i.e. Riverlands), should be supported and encouraged to supply potable and nonpotable (grey or river water) water connections in order to reduce the use of limited potable water for activities such as watering landscapes, washing down trucks etc.).</p> <p>Add policies and rules which reward sustainable and wise use of Municipal water. Rewards could include giving responsible water users priority to Municipal water in the event that there are water restrictions due to availability issues. This type of tangible incentive would encourage businesses to invest in more expensive water conservation practices on their properties.</p> <p>Ensure that in managing the freshwater resource, the Council properly separates its RMA functions from its service delivery functions, and that the allocations of water take and water use is based on RMA principles and appropriate objectives and policies.</p> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>907</b>         | Levide Capital Limited   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.3.1 and introduce other policies where necessary to recognise the status and importance of existing water allocations, and relative importance of permanent crops such as viticulture and horticulture.</p> <p><i>Policy 5.3.1 – To allocate water in the following order of priority:</i></p> <p><i>(a) natural and human use values; then</i></p> <p><i>(b) aquifer recharge; then</i></p> <p><i>(c) domestic and stock water supply; then</i></p> <p><i>(d) municipal water supply; <del>and</del> then</i></p> <p><b><i>(e) permanent crops such as viticulture and horticulture; and then</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(ef) all other takes of water.</i></b></p>   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>907</b>         | Levide Capital Limited   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.3.4 to include specific reference to the Riverlands Irrigation Scheme; being by definition a "municipal water supply" and a protected class of water user, in addition to residential, commercial and industrial activities.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>907</b>         | Levide Capital Limited   | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the wording of Policy 5.3.6 is updated such that it specifically mentions the allocation of municipal water supply to users on a first come, first serve basis. Create new Policies and Rules if required to implement this policy.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>907</b>         | Levide Capital Limited   | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.11 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.11 to confirm that existing water use allocation is not affected by the proposed allocation model; these should apply only to new applications.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>907</b>         | Levide Capital Limited   | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The submission does not provide a clear <i>Decision Requested</i> for Objective 5.4. There are general comments about adding policies and rules, which have been included under Objective 5.4. It is <b><i>inferred</i></b> that these are relevant to this objective.</p> <p>Introduce policies (and rules) to provide for a grandfathering provision to recognise contracts for the full period of the water allocation consent; and which provides for further renewals taking into account commitments and dependence of particular users and industries.</p> <p>Introduce policies/rules/methods relating to water conservation measures and supply integration where feasible to maximise resource use efficiency.</p> <p>Add policies and rules stating that new Industrial subdivisions in water restricted areas (i.e. Riverlands), should be supported and encouraged to supply potable and nonpotable (grey or river water) water connections in order to reduce the use of limited potable water for activities such as watering landscapes, washing down trucks etc.).</p> <p>Add policies and rules which reward sustainable and wise use of Municipal water. rewards could include giving responsible water users priority to Municipal water in the event that there are water restrictions due to availability issues. This type of tangible incentive would encourage businesses to invest in more expensive water conservation practices on their properties.</p> <p>Ensure that in managing the freshwater resource, the Council properly separates its RMA functions from its service delivery functions, and that the allocations of water take and water use is based on RMA principles and appropriate objectives and policies.</p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 907                | Levide Capital Limited   | 7     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.1.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 907                | Levide Capital Limited   | 8     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.3.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 907                | Levide Capital Limited   | 9     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.4.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 907                | Levide Capital Limited   | 10    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.5.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 907                | Levide Capital Limited   | 11    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The submission does not provide a clear <i>Decision Requested</i> for Objective 5.7. There are general comments about adding policies and rules, which have been included under Objective 5.7. It is <b><i>inferred</i></b> that these are relevant to this objective.</p> <p>Introduce policies (and rules) to provide for a grandfathering provision to recognise contracts for the full period of the water allocation consent; and which provides for further renewals taking into account commitments and dependence of particular users and industries.</p> <p>Introduce policies/rules/methods relating to water conservation measures and supply integration where feasible to maximise resource use efficiency.</p> <p>Add policies and rules stating that new Industrial subdivisions in water restricted areas (i.e. Riverlands), should be supported and encouraged to supply potable and nonpotable (grey or river water) water connections in order to reduce the use of limited potable water for activities such as watering landscapes, washing down trucks etc.).</p> <p>Add policies and rules which reward sustainable and wise use of Municipal water. rewards could include giving responsible water users priority to Municipal water in the event that there are water restrictions due to availability issues. This type of tangible incentive would encourage businesses to invest in more expensive water conservation practices on their properties.</p> <p>Ensure that in managing the freshwater resource, the Council properly separates its RMA functions from its service delivery functions, and that the allocations of water take and water use is based on RMA principles and appropriate objectives and policies.</p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 907                | Levide Capital Limited   | 12    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.5  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter            | Provision      | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------|----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.7.5 to remove the unnecessary confusion and possible ambiguity arising from the separate reference to "municipal" water use in addition to other activities, but with the definition of 'municipal supply' including all of these activities when the supply is administered by Council.                                       |       |          |                    |                |        |
| <b>907</b>         | Levide Capital Limited  | 13    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Objective 11.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | A review of all objectives policies and rules that may impact future land use and create, amend or delete the objectives, policies and rules such that when viewed holistically the objectives, policies and rules apply restrictions, if any, proportional to the risks to life and property associated with the identified natural hazards. |       |          |                    |                |        |
| <b>907</b>         | Levide Capital Limited  | 14    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.17 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The MEP should identify the land that lies over the Dillons Point Formation so that suitable planning rules can be applied to mitigate potential adverse effects of development on this land.   |       |          |                    |                |        |
| <b>907</b>         | Levide Capital Limited  | 15    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.1  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following are mapped on the Soil Sensitive Area Overlay:</p> <p>The Dillons Point Formation and any other liquefaction prone soils in Marlborough should be identified and mapped as Soil Sensitive Area Overlay - Liquefaction.</p> <p>Soils subject to Slope Failure in a seismic event should be identified and mapped in a Soil Sensitive Area Overlay - Seismic Slope Failure.</p> <p>Ground shaking potential in a seismic event should be identified and mapped in a Soil Sensitive Area Overlay - Ground Shaking.</p> <p>Known Faults should be identified and mapped in a Soil Sensitive Area Overlay - Known Faults.</p> <p>Tsunami risk areas should be identified and mapped in a Soil Sensitive Area Overlay - Tsunami.</p> <p>New policies and rules be written such that any land identified in the Soil Sensitive Areas - Loess, Liquefaction, Seismic Slope Failure, Ground Shaking be suitable for subdivision and development if a comprehensive geotechnical report, carried out by a geotechnical expert accredited by the Council deems the land to be suitable.</p> <p>Policies such as <i>Policy 11.1.19 - Control the erection and placement of structures within areas prone to tunnel gully erosion</i> and <i>Policy 7.1.7.21 - Locate new structures and works to: (a) avoid them being damaged from the adverse effects of land instability; and (b) avoid any increase in the adverse effects of slope instability that the structure or work may cause</i>, should be replicated for areas identified in the new overlays created for seismic risk potential.</p> |       |          |                       |                |         |
| <b>907</b>         | Levide Capital Limited  | 16    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards    | Issue 11A      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That new objectives and policies are included in order to encourage land owners to mitigate the effects of tunnel gully erosion. This should include Council drafting best practice guidelines for the construction of new swales or cutoff drains etc. and have this information disseminated to all property owners Council has identified.</p> <p>That new objectives and policies are included to ensure that the continued operation of existing vineyards as well as the creation of new vineyards remains a permitted activity on loess soil.</p>   |       |          |                       |                |         |
| <b>907</b>         | Levide Capital Limited  | 17    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Objective 12.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 12.4.  |       |          |                       |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 907                | Levide Capital Limited   | 18    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments           | Policy 12.4.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.4.1.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 907                | Levide Capital Limited   | 19    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments           | Objective 12.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 12.5.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 907                | Levide Capital Limited   | 20    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments           | Policy 12.5.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.5.6, and the explanation to this policy, in particular paragraph 2.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 907                | Levide Capital Limited   | 21    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments           | Policy 12.8.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.8.1.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 907                | Levide Capital Limited   | 22    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments           | Policy 12.8.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.8.2.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 907                | Levide Capital Limited   | 23    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments           | Policy 12.8.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.8.3.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 907                | Levide Capital Limited   | 24    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.5.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 907                | Levide Capital Limited   | 25    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.5.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the last sentence of the explanation of Policy 14.5.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 14.5.1 – Identify areas within rural environments where residential activity is appropriate.</i></p> <p><i>A number of locations within rural environments have been determined as appropriate for residential activity. These include areas zoned as Rural Living, the Coastal Living Zone (which recognises the need and demand that exists for residential activity in Marlborough's coastal environment), the Urban Residential Zone at Marlborough Ridge and along the western periphery of Blenheim, where there is a transition from urban to rural space. These areas <del>have been recognised historically as providing</del> <b>provide a</b> rural lifestyle on a range of allotment sizes in a range of locations.</i></p> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>907</b>         | Levide Capital Limited   | 26           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.5.2    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.5.2.  |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>907</b>         | Levide Capital Limited   | 27           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.5.5    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.5.5.  |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>907</b>         | Levide Capital Limited   | 28           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.5.6    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.5.6.  |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>907</b>         | Levide Capital Limited   | 29           | Volume 1      | 12 Urban Environments           | Policy 12.5.1    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 12.5.1 so that it also provides for multi-level apartments above businesses.</p> <p>Review and amend all pertinent objectives, policies and rules within Chapter 12 (Urban Environments) so as to encourage rather than discourage multi-level apartment style living in the Blenheim CBD.</p> |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>907</b>         | Levide Capital Limited   | 30           | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps                     | Zoning Map 160   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Apply an Industrial 2 zoning to part of the submitter's site adjoining the existing Industrial 2 Zone, as shown in APPENDIX A (attached to submission).  |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision              | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 907                | Levide Capital Limited   | 31    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps     | Zoning Map 172         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>INDUSTRIAL ZONING</p> <p>Apply an Industrial 2 zoning to part of the Submitter's site adjoining the existing Industrial 2 Zone, as shown in APPENDIX A (attached to submission).</p> <p>RURAL LIVING ZONING</p> <p>Apply the Rural Living Zone to part of the Submitter's site as shown in APPENDIX B (attached); or alternatively;</p> <p>Include that part of the Submitter's site shown in Appendix B in Volume 3 Appendix 16 register of scheduled sites to provide specifically for a defined location and/or density and layout of rural living sites and activities.</p>   |       |          |                 |                        |                 |
| 907                | Levide Capital Limited   | 32    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps    | Landscapes 9           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape overlay to exclude those areas of the Submitter's property that are not visible to a wide viewing where such areas are currently included.</p>   |       |          |                 |                        |                 |
| 907                | Levide Capital Limited   | 33    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps    | Soil Sensitive Area 13 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Amend the Soil Sensitive Area Overlay applying to the Submitter's sites to remove the areas identified as not meeting the criteria for a soil sensitive area in the ENGEO report.</li> <li>2. Apply rules to encourage landowners to mitigate the effects of tunnel gully erosion. This should include Council drafting best practice standards which should be followed in the creation of new swales or cutoff drains and have this information disseminated to all property owners Council has identified.</li> <li>3. The maintenance of existing swales and cutoff drains be a permitted activity when meeting the prescribed (best practice) standards.</li> <li>4. Excavation and such remedial actions as may be required to repair or remove Tunnel Gully Erosion on slopes less than 25 degrees be a permitted activity.</li> <li>5. Create new rules to ensure the continued operation of vineyards and the creation of new vineyards remain a permitted activity on loess soil.</li> </ol> |       |          |                 |                        |                 |
| 907                | Levide Capital Limited   | 34    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.                   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That <i>2.2 Permitted Activity Rules</i> are amended to include the take and use of water consented for viticulture and horticulture prior to the date of notification of the Proposed Marlborough Environment Plan.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>907</b>         | Levide Capital Limited  | 35    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone                       | 9.2.1.5.                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 9.2.1.5:</p> <p><i>Standard 9.2.1.5 The height of a building or a structure must not exceed <del>120</del><b>20</b>m.</i></p> <p>That a new standard is included for an additional height for plant room and like structures of a further 3m over a maximum of 10% of the floor area of the building.</p> <p>Otherwise amend, delete or add additional rules to enable multi-level apartment style dwellings in the CBD, and in particular, ensure their economic viability is not held back through unnecessarily restrictive height restrictions.</p> |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>907</b>         | Levide Capital Limited  | 36    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone                       | 9.2.1.6.                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 9.2.1.6:</p> <p><i>Standard 9.2.1.6. A building must only differ by one storey in height from immediately neighbouring buildings, unless additional storeys are set back from the front boundary by at least 3m.</i></p>   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>907</b>         | Levide Capital Limited  | 37    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments                   | 12.                                       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Based on the submission above, no decision requested is included for Objectives 12.6 and 12.8 and Policies 11.1.2.1 and 12.6.3.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>618</b>         | Brad Lewis  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>618</b>         | Brad Lewis  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>618</b>         | Brad Lewis   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>618</b>         | Brad Lewis   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>618</b>         | Brad Lewis   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>618</b>         | Brad Lewis   | 6            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>618</b>         | Brad Lewis   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>618</b>         | Brad Lewis   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>618</b>         | Brad Lewis   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>618</b>         | Brad Lewis   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision            | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                          |                      |        |
| <b>129</b>         | Rebecca Light   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps             | Flood Hazard Area 24 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Prior to imposing the overlays and the associated compliance costs, increased insurance premiums, reduced property values, and social perceptions of the community, the Committee should consider the following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A visit the community and look at the overlays on the ground. Specifically look at the edges of the overlays and check if simple justification for resource consent triggers can be identified in the actual contours of the land. Check if accurate triggers are present and confirm the overlays are not prone to sweeping generalisations.</li> <li>• A review the references in the section 32 reports to understand the level of consultation undertaken and the generic nature of this outdated consultation. That a thorough MDC report be prepared and circulated for the residents to review.</li> <li>• Look at the interaction between the overlays, what is the difference between Level 1, Level 2 and extreme explained to the community.</li> <li>• That the MDC report consider a range of methods to improve flood protection including inspection and maintenance of stop banks, increased pumping capacity.</li> <li>• Following this that decisions be made in a transparent manner including pre circulation of information a community meeting and discussion of the options.</li> <li>• Overlays should reflect ground levels and calculated catchment risk.</li> </ul> <p>Until this background work is complete I request that the Council continue with the rules and maps of the WARMP.</p> |       |          |                          |                      |        |
| <b>129</b>         | Rebecca Light   | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.15.            | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|--------|---------|-----------|------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Prior to imposing the overlays and the associated compliance costs, increased insurance premiums, reduced property values, and social perceptions of the community, the Committee should consider the following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A visit the community and look at the overlays on the ground. Specifically look at the edges of the overlays and check if simple justification for resource consent triggers can be identified in the actual contours of the land. Check if accurate triggers are present and confirm the overlays are not prone to sweeping generalisations.</li> <li>• A review the references in the section 32 reports to understand the level of consultation undertaken and the generic nature of this outdated consultation. That a thorough MDC report be prepared and circulated for the residents to review.</li> <li>• Look at the interaction between the overlays, what is the difference between Level 1, Level 2 and extreme explained to the community.</li> <li>• That the MDC report consider a range of methods to improve flood protection including inspection and maintenance of stop banks, increased pumping capacity.</li> <li>• Following this that decisions be made in a transparent manner including pre circulation of information a community meeting and discussion of the options.</li> <li>• Overlays should reflect ground levels and calculated catchment risk.</li> </ul> <p>Until this background work is complete I request that the Council continue with the rules and maps of the WARMP.</p> |       |        |         |           |      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| 129                | Rebecca Light   | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Prior to imposing the overlays and the associated compliance costs, increased insurance premiums, reduced property values, and social perceptions of the community, the Committee should consider the following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A visit the community and look at the overlays on the ground. Specifically look at the edges of the overlays and check if simple justification for resource consent triggers can be identified in the actual contours of the land. Check if accurate triggers are present and confirm the overlays are not prone to sweeping generalisations.</li> <li>• A review the references in the section 32 reports to understand the level of consultation undertaken and the generic nature of this outdated consultation. That a thorough MDC report be prepared and circulated for the residents to review.</li> <li>• Look at the interaction between the overlays, what is the difference between Level 1, Level 2 and extreme explained to the community.</li> <li>• That the MDC report consider a range of methods to improve flood protection including inspection and maintenance of stop banks, increased pumping capacity.</li> <li>• Following this that decisions be made in a transparent manner including pre circulation of information a community meeting and discussion of the options.</li> <li>• Overlays should reflect ground levels and calculated catchment risk.</li> </ul> <p>Until this background work is complete I request that the Council continue with the rules and maps of the WARMP.</p> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 129                | Rebecca Light   | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.4. | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|--------|---------|-----------|------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Prior to imposing the overlays and the associated compliance costs, increased insurance premiums, reduced property values, and social perceptions of the community, the Committee should consider the following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A visit the community and look at the overlays on the ground. Specifically look at the edges of the overlays and check if simple justification for resource consent triggers can be identified in the actual contours of the land. Check if accurate triggers are present and confirm the overlays are not prone to sweeping generalisations.</li> <li>• A review the references in the section 32 reports to understand the level of consultation undertaken and the generic nature of this outdated consultation. That a thorough MDC report be prepared and circulated for the residents to review.</li> <li>• Look at the interaction between the overlays, what is the difference between Level 1, Level 2 and extreme explained to the community.</li> <li>• That the MDC report consider a range of methods to improve flood protection including inspection and maintenance of stop banks, increased pumping capacity.</li> <li>• Following this that decisions be made in a transparent manner including pre circulation of information a community meeting and discussion of the options.</li> <li>• Overlays should reflect ground levels and calculated catchment risk.</li> </ul> <p>Until this background work is complete I request that the Council continue with the rules and maps of the WARMP.</p> |       |        |         |           |      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>129</b>         | Rebecca Light   | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.30.8.                                 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Prior to imposing the overlays and the associated compliance costs, increased insurance premiums, reduced property values, and social perceptions of the community, the Committee should consider the following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A visit the community and look at the overlays on the ground. Specifically look at the edges of the overlays and check if simple justification for resource consent triggers can be identified in the actual contours of the land. Check if accurate triggers are present and confirm the overlays are not prone to sweeping generalisations.</li> <li>• A review the references in the section 32 reports to understand the level of consultation undertaken and the generic nature of this outdated consultation. That a thorough MDC report be prepared and circulated for the residents to review.</li> <li>• Look at the interaction between the overlays, what is the difference between Level 1, Level 2 and extreme explained to the community.</li> <li>• That the MDC report consider a range of methods to improve flood protection including inspection and maintenance of stop banks, increased pumping capacity.</li> <li>• Following this that decisions be made in a transparent manner including pre circulation of information a community meeting and discussion of the options.</li> <li>• Overlays should reflect ground levels and calculated catchment risk.</li> </ul> <p>Until this background work is complete I request that the Council continue with the rules and maps of the WARMP.</p> |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>888</b>         | Pang Lily   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>888</b>         | Pang Lily   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>888</b>         | Pang Lily   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>888</b>         | Pang Lily   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>888</b>         | Pang Lily  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>888</b>         | Pang Lily  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>888</b>         | Pang Lily  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>888</b>         | Pang Lily  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>888</b>         | Pang Lily  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>888</b>         | Pang Lily  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>1130</b>        | Sook Peng Lim  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1130</b>        | Sook Peng Lim  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1130</b>        | Sook Peng Lim  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1130</b>        | Sook Peng Lim  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1130</b>        | Sook Peng Lim  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1130</b>        | Sook Peng Lim  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1130</b>        | Sook Peng Lim  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1130</b>        | Sook Peng Lim  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision                                 | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1130</b>        | Sook Peng Lim  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                       | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1130</b>        | Sook Peng Lim  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                       | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>124</b>         | Russell Lindsay  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3                                | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the minimum flows as proposed.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1170</b>        | Tama Lindsay   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                              | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1170</b>        | Tama Lindsay   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1170</b>        | Tama Lindsay   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1170</b>        | Tama Lindsay   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose  |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1170</b>        | Tama Lindsay   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1170</b>        | Tama Lindsay   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1170</b>        | Tama Lindsay   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1170</b>        | Tama Lindsay   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1170</b>        | Tama Lindsay   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1170</b>        | Tama Lindsay   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>136</b>         | Clayton & Wendy Lindstrom  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 7  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Change our land from Rural to Residential.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>620</b>         | Brook Lines   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>620</b>         | Brook Lines   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>620</b>         | Brook Lines   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>620</b>         | Brook Lines   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>620</b>         | Brook Lines   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.             |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>620</b>         | Brook Lines   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.  |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>620</b>         | Brook Lines  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>620</b>         | Brook Lines  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>620</b>         | Brook Lines  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>620</b>         | Brook Lines  | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>908</b>         | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited   | 1            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.1    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.1 and supporting policies, subject to any consequential amendments required as a result of Lion's other submissions and relief.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>908</b>         | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited   | 2            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.2?   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.2 and supporting policies, subject to any consequential amendments required as a result of Lion's other submissions and relief.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>908</b>         | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited   | 3            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.3    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.3 and supporting policies, other than Policy 5.3.7, which should be deleted.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>908</b>         | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited   | 4            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.3.7.   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>908</b>         | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited   | 5            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.4 and supporting policies, subject to any consequential amendments required as a result of Lion's other submissions and relief.       |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>908</b>         | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited   | 6            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.5    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 5.5 and the supporting policies to provide a more appropriate and considered method for reducing over-allocation over time.              |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>908</b>         | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited   | 7            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.6    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 5.6 and supporting policies to provide a more appropriate and considered method for managing significant adverse effects on river flows. |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>908</b>         | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited   | 8            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 5.7 and supporting policies to provide more appropriate and considered method for allocating water for given uses.                       |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>908</b>         | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited   | 9            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 5.8 and supporting policies to provide a more appropriate and considered method for providing reasonable availability.                   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>908</b>         | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited   | 10           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.9    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objectives 5.9 and supporting policies, subject to any consequential amendments required as a result of Lion's other submissions and relief.      |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>908</b>         | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited   | 11           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Objective 14.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.1 as notified.   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>908</b>         | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited   | 12           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.1.1    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.1 as notified.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 908                | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited  | 13    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.4 as notified.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 908                | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited  | 14    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Lion proposes an amendment to Policy 14.4.4 as follows:</p> <p><i>Ensure subdivision in rural areas:</i></p> <p><i>(a) does not lead to a pattern of land uses that will adversely affect rural character and/or amenity values; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) creates allotments of sufficient size for rural activities to predominate in rural areas; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) avoids reverse sensitivity effects on existing land uses.</i></p> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 908                | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited  | 15    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.10 as notified.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 908                | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited  | 16    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.5 as notified.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 908                | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited  | 17    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.5.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.5.3 as notified.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 908                | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited  | 18    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                 | 2.1.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provisions, subject to any consequential amendments required as a result of Lion's other submissions and relief.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 908                | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited  | 19    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                 | 2.2.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provisions, subject to any consequential amendments required as a result of Lion's other submissions and relief.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 908                | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited  | 20    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.2.1.1.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.2.1.1 as notified.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>908</b>         | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited   | 21    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                   | 24.3.1.2.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provisions of Table 24.3.1.2 as they relate to subdivision within Rural Environment zone in the Omaka Valley Area.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>908</b>         | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited   | 22    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                      | Zoning Map 159 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the zoning of the area shown in the map attached to this submission as Schedule 2 as notified.<br><br><i>[Schedule 2 map zone has been inferred as Zoning Map 159 and 171].</i>   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>908</b>         | Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited   | 23    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                      | Zoning Map 171 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the zoning of the area shown in the map attached to this submission as Schedule 2 as notified.<br><br><i>[Schedule 2 map zone has been inferred as Zoning Map 159 and 171].</i>   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>255</b>         | Warwick Lissaman   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.20  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Subject to the definition of intermittently flowing being amended, retain the policy (inferred).   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>255</b>         | Warwick Lissaman   | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.23.2.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standard so that it reads: "Loose bulk fertiliser must be stored on an impermeable bunded surface, and covered at all times. Bagged product must be stored in original transport packaging and covered at all times.". |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>255</b>         | Warwick Lissaman   | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.19.1.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend definition of "intermittently flowing" as requested elsewhere in the submission.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>255</b>         | Warwick Lissaman   | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.14.4.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Excavation on soil sensitive areas identified as loess is restricted by conditions as to scale, development of land management plans to identify best management practices.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>255</b>         | Warwick Lissaman  | 5            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.11.3.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include a list of plant species classified as indigenous vegetation in threatened environments.   |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>255</b>         | Warwick Lissaman  | 6            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.6.2.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete 3.3.6.2(b).  |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>255</b>         | Warwick Lissaman  | 7            | Volume 1      | 19 Climate Change               | Policy 19.1.5    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete 19.1.5(b).   |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>255</b>         | Warwick Lissaman  | 8            | Volume 1      | 18 Energy                       | Policy 18.1.4    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove "resource consent applications"; and...'local'; or make it clear that the policy does not need to apply to controlled and all discretionary activities, as well as permitted activities. |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>255</b>         | Warwick Lissaman  | 9            | Volume 1      | 16 Waste                        | Policy 16.1.2    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred).   |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>255</b>         | Warwick Lissaman  | 10           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14.M.6           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Seeks further detail on methods to respond to pest incursions (inferred).   |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>255</b>         | Warwick Lissaman  | 11           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14.M.5           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include "...developing best practice guidelines in conjunction with community groups..." within the method.   |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>255</b>         | Warwick Lissaman  | 12           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14.M.4           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Pests of national and regional importance are identified.   |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 255                | Warwick Lissaman   | 13    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.2.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to read: "...the Marlborough District Council <u>in collaboration with community groups</u> will use a range of..."   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 255                | Warwick Lissaman   | 14    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.2.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred).  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 255                | Warwick Lissaman   | 15    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.2.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Edit to read: A strategic approach will be developed, <u>in conjunction with landowners and recognising economic impact and resources community bring to the collective table</u> , and maintained to manage the containment/eradication of pests impacting on Marlborough's primary production sector in rural environments.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 255                | Warwick Lissaman   | 16    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Objective 14.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the objective (inferred).   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 255                | Warwick Lissaman   | 17    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Objective 14.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the objective (inferred).   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 255                | Warwick Lissaman   | 18    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Issue 14A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reword the third paragraph of the explanation that currently reads "Land use, subdivision and development activities...can have adverse effects on a range of..." to "Land use, subdivision and development activities...can both enhance and have adverse effects..."   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 255                | Warwick Lissaman   | 19    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | 14.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reword the 7th paragraph of the Introduction that currently reads "In Marlborough's high country...means pastoralism is likely to remain the major land use activity of the future" to read "...means pastoral agriculture is likely to remain..." and add the following text:<br><br>"and should be enabled to remain. In the absence of sheep farming in Marlborough's high country , cattle and deer would be the only livestock options, or forestry; all three have huge ramifications on environmental footprint on the freshwater resource, both in terms of catchment yield and water resource unit values." |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 255                | Warwick Lissaman   | 20    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.3   | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Include an exception for properties and existing schemes that cross FMU boundaries.  |       |          |   |           |        |
| <b>255</b>         | Warwick Lissaman   | 21    | Volume 3 | All   |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include a new appendix is required that identifies the rivers that are ephemeral and other rivers as defined under Section 2 of the RMA.   |       |          |   |           |        |
| <b>255</b>         | Warwick Lissaman   | 22    | Volume 3 | Appendix 25 Pest Plants                         |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include Chilean Needles grass in the appendix.   |       |          |   |           |        |
| <b>255</b>         | Warwick Lissaman   | 23    | Volume 3 | Appendix 24 Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Clarify the intent of the Appendix (inferred).   |       |          |   |           |        |
| <b>255</b>         | Warwick Lissaman   | 24    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                                  | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reword the definition of "offal pit" to read:</p> <p>"means a hole <u>greater than 3m deep</u>, excavated on a rural property to be used on an ongoing basis for the purpose of disposing of offal or dead animals generated on that property."</p>   |       |          |   |           |        |
| <b>255</b>         | Warwick Lissaman   | 25    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                                  | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reword the definition of "Intermittently flowing" to read:</p> <p>"Means a wetland, lake, river, or reach of river that exists or flows for weeks, or months each year <u>to the extent that the waterbody bed is prevented from grassing over each year.</u>"</p>  |       |          |   |           |        |
| <b>255</b>         | Warwick Lissaman   | 26    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                                  | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reword the definition of "indigenous vegetation" to:</p> <p>"means naturally occurring <u>woody</u> vegetation, regardless of height, where the plant species are indigenous to the district" or</p> <p>"means naturally occurring vegetation, regardless of height, where the plant species are indigenous to the district. <u>Refer to Appendix XYZ for list of plants for each specific sub region.</u>"</p> |       |          |   |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| <b>255</b>         | Warwick Lissaman   | 27    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.32.3.     | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Clarification of why the standard is required along with a definition of offal pit.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>255</b>         | Warwick Lissaman   | 28    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.23.4.     | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove standard and utilise farm management plans (inferred).  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>9</b>           | Ryan Lock  | 1     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                          | 24.3.1.2.     | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Any review of allotment/subdivision size should be set the size at a lot less than 7500 square metres.<br>Introduce a controlled activity standard for properties with reticulated sewerage of normal town-size. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>561</b>         | Bruce Lock   | 1     | All      | All                                     |               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe  | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |               |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                 | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>      | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                | 4            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                     |                  |             |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                | 5            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                     |                  |             |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                | 6            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                     |                  |             |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                | 7            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.1.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                     |                  |             |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                | 8            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.2.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                     |                  |             |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                | 9            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.2.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                     |                  |             |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                | 10           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.2.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                     |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 11    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | Policy 8.3.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - " <i>Within an identified ecologically significant marine site, <b>except Ecologically Significant Marine Site 9.1</b>, fishing activities using techniques that disturb the seabed must be avoided.</i> "<br><br>(Inferred) |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 12    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Objective 9.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Objective is not clear in the Submission.</i>  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 13    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 14    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 15    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 16    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 17    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 18    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Objective 9.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Objective is not clear in the Submission.</i>  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 19    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.2.1  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 20    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Policy 9.2.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 21    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | 9.M.9          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Method as follows (bold) - "The Council <b>and coastal landowners</b> will liaise with the Department of Conservation to identify areas along Marlborough's coastline where the use of vehicles on the foreshore and seabed is not appropriate.</p> <p>The Council will liaise with the Department of Conservation <b>and coastal landowners</b> to assess the need for additional or upgraded public facilities for areas identified in Policy 9.1.1 as having a high degree of importance for public access."</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 22    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 23    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 24    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 25    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 26    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Objective 14.1 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 27    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 28    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i> |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 29    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 30    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 31    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 32    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.8 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 33    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.9 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 34    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 35    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 36    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 37    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 38    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 39    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.11 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 40    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.5.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 41    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.5.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 42    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.5.4  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 43    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy subject to the amendment sought to the definition of " <i>Intensively farmed livestock</i> " <i>(see separate submission)</i> .<br><i>(Inferred)</i>              |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 44    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 45    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 46    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.1.1.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 47    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.2.17.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule; and<br>Add a new Standard to this Rule as follows - " <i>The catchment in which the water is being dammed must not exceed 100 hectares.</i> "<br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 48    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.5.1.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 49    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.5.2.         | Support         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 50    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.5.3.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 51    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.5.4.    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Rule is not clear in the Submission.</i> |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 52    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.4.    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 53    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.4.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 54    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.4.2.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 55    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.4.3.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 56    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.4.4.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 57    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.4.5.  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe  | 58    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of " <i>Ephemeral</i> ".   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe  | 59    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.9.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule subject to the amendment sought to the definition of " <i>Intensively farmed livestock</i> " <i>(see separate submission)</i> .<br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe  | 60    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe  | 61    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.2.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe  | 62    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.3.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe  | 63    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of " <i>River</i> ".   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe  | 64    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of " <i>Intensively farmed livestock</i> " as follows (strike out) -<br>"means:<br>(a) <del>cattle or deer grazed on irrigated land or contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops;</del><br>(b) dairy cattle;<br>(c) farmed pigs. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 65    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.10.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule subject to the amendment sought to the definition of " <i>Intensively farmed livestock</i> " ( <i>see separate submission</i> ).<br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 66    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.10.2.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 67    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.11.4.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule subject to the amendment sought to the definition of " <i>Intensively farmed livestock</i> " ( <i>see separate submission</i> ).<br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 68    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.11.5.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule subject to the amendment sought to the definition of " <i>Intensively farmed livestock</i> " ( <i>see separate submission</i> ).<br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 69    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.6.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 70    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1.  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 71    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 72    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.3.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 73    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of " <i>Commercial Forestry Planting</i> ".                           |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 74    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of " <i>Carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent)</i> ". |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 75    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.8.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 76    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 77    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.2   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 78    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.3.  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter                                    | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>           |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                            | 79    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of "Woodlot forestry". |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                            | 80    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.13.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>               |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                            | 81    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>           |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                            | 82    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.14.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>               |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                            | 83    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>           |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                            | 84    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>           |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                            | 85    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>           |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                            | 86    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.6. | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>               |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                                | 87    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>               |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                                | 88    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of " <i>Cultivation</i> ". |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                                | 89    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>               |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                                | 90    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>               |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                                | 91    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>               |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                                | 92    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>               |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                                | 93    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>               |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                                | 94    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.6. | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter                                       | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>              |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                               | 95    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.7.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>              |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                               | 96    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.8.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>              |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                               | 97    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.10. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>              |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                               | 98    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.9.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>              |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                               | 99    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.11. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>              |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                               | 100   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.12. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>              |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                               | 101   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of " <i>Excavation</i> ". |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe                               | 102   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.21.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule subject to the amendment sought to the definition of " <i>Intensively farmed livestock</i> " (see separate submission).<br>(Inferred) |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 103   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. (Inferred)   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 104   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. (Inferred)   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 105   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. (Inferred)   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 106   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. (Inferred)   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 107   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. (Inferred)   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 108   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. (Inferred)   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 109   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. (Inferred)   |       |          |                          |           |         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 110   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.4. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 111   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.5. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 112   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.6. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 113   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.44.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Support subject to amendment to Standard 3.2.1.1 <i>(see separate Submission)</i> .   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 114   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <b><i>No more than one residential dwelling must be constructed or sited per Computer Register, unless the Computer Register is 40 hectares or greater in area, then no more than two residential dwellings must be constructed or sited per Computer Register.</i></b> "<br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 115   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.48.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 116   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.45.1. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 117   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of " <i>Worker accommodation</i> ".   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 118   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of " <i>Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area</i> ".  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 119   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 120   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.11.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 121   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.4.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule and replace with a Controlled Activity Rule as follows -<br>" <b><i>Intensively farmed livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river.</i></b><br><b><i>Standards and Terms</i></b><br>- <b><i>Crossings must be infrequent</i></b> ( <i>Submitter did not specify frequency</i> );<br>- <b><i>Crossings must be in particular circumstances</i></b> ( <i>Submitter did not define particular circumstances</i> );<br>- <b><i>Crossings must be to enable a continuation of farm operations.</i></b><br>( <i>Inferred</i> ) |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe   | 122   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.5.    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                       | Provision                                | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule and replace with a Controlled Activity Rule as follows -<br><p><b>"Intensively farmed livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river.</b></p> <p><b><u>Standards and Terms</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Crossings must be infrequent</b> (Submitter did not specify frequency);</li> <li>- <b>Crossings must be in particular circumstances</b> (Submitter did not define particular circumstances);</li> <li>- <b>Crossings must be to enable a continuation of farm operations."</b></li> </ul> <p>(Inferred)</p> |       |          |                               |  |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe  | 123   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone        | 16.7.5.                                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (bold) - "Fishing activity that uses a technique that disturbs the seabed within any Ecologically Significant Marine Sites, except <b>Ecologically Significant Marine Site 9.1</b> , Croiselles Harbour Entrance – No. 1.2 and Tennyson Inlet – No. 3.9."<br><p>(Inferred)</p>   |       |          |                               |  |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe  | 124   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone          | 19.1.3.                                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | To the landholders generally it is of concerned that freedom campers, if allowed to camp anywhere in the open space 3 zone in an unrestricted manner.<br><p>(Specific decision requested on this Rule is not clear in the Submission.)</p>   |       |          |                               |  |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe  | 125   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                | 25.                                      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of " <i>Meat processing</i> " as follows (bold) - " <i>means the use of land and buildings for the yarding and slaughtering of animals; the associated processing of meat including by-product and co-product processing; rendering; fish and shellfish processing; fellmongery, tanning, casing and pelt processing; and the associated chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of meat and associated products. <b>This definition excludes any land and buildings used for private home kill activities.</b></i> "                               |       |          |                               |  |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe  | 126   | Volume 3 | Appendix 17 Roading Hierarchy | 3.                                       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add " <b>Ward Beach Road</b> " to the list of Secondary Arterials.   |       |          |                               |  |                 |
| 454                | Kevin Francis Loe  | 127   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                  | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 16 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Overlay. (Inferred)  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 128   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.3.16.1.     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>No more than 5,000m<sup>3</sup> <b>20,000m<sup>3</sup></b> of water is dammed at any time.</i> " |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 129   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.20 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. (Inferred)   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 130   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.21 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. (Inferred)   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 131   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.22 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. (Inferred)   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 132   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective. (Inferred)  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 133   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. (Inferred)   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 134   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. (Inferred)   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 135   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe  | 136   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources  | Policy 5.8.4   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>The annual volume of water taken for storage shall not exceed a volume equivalent to the authorised rate of take for irrigation purposes for two irrigation seasons for the property or properties to be served by the stored water, <b>unless storing water in a remote location</b> (not defined by Submitter) <b>where the annual volume of water taken for storage shall not exceed a volume equivalent to the authorised rate of take for irrigation purposes for two</b> (alternative not specified by Submitter) <b>irrigation seasons for the property or properties to be served by the stored water.</b></i> "<br><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe  | 137   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Objective 14.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add an additional policy under this Objective as follows - " <b>Recognise that subdivision creating an allotment smaller than 20 hectares may be a more efficient use of the Rural Environment Zone in certain situations and that consent may be granted for this.</b> "<br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe  | 138   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe  | 139   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                   | 2.7.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Permitted Activity as follows - " <b>Clearance of flood debris from in, on, over or under the bed of a river.</b> " <i>(Inferred)</i><br><br>Add new Standards to the new Permitted Activity above as follows - <i>(Details of new Standards not provide by Submitter)</i><br><br>Add new Policies relating to the new Permitted Activity above as follows - <i>(Details of new Policies, and the appropriate Objective and Issue to relate them to, not provide by Submitter).</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe  | 143   | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                 | Policy 17.3.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe  | 144   | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                 | Policy 17.3.2  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 145   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Standard is not clear in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 146   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We seek that any use of the word 'prohibited' (related to ONFLs) be limited to use only where absolute protection is agreed by all parties engaged in responsible stewardship and is essential to achieve a desired outcome.<br><i>(Specific decision requested on this Standard is not clear in the Submission.)</i> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 147   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.8.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We seek that any use of the word 'prohibited' (related to ONFLs) be limited to use only where absolute protection is agreed by all parties engaged in responsible stewardship and is essential to achieve a desired outcome.<br><i>(Specific decision requested on this Standard is not clear in the Submission.)</i> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 148   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.8.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We seek that any use of the word 'prohibited' (related to ONFLs) be limited to use only where absolute protection is agreed by all parties engaged in responsible stewardship and is essential to achieve a desired outcome.<br><i>(Specific decision requested on this Rule is not clear in the Submission.)</i>     |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 149   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We seek that any use of the word 'prohibited' (related to ONFLs) be limited to use only where absolute protection is agreed by all parties engaged in responsible stewardship and is essential to achieve a desired outcome.<br><i>(Specific decision requested on this Rule is not clear in the Submission.)</i>     |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 150   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | We seek that any use of the word 'prohibited' (related to ONFLs) be limited to use only where absolute protection is agreed by all parties engaged in responsible stewardship and is essential to achieve a desired outcome.<br><i>(Specific decision requested on this Rule is not clear in the Submission.)</i> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 151   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.2.1.13.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We seek that any use of the word 'prohibited' (related to ONFLs) be limited to use only where absolute protection is agreed by all parties engaged in responsible stewardship and is essential to achieve a desired outcome.<br><i>(Specific decision requested on this Rule is not clear in the Submission.)</i> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>454</b>         | Kevin Francis Loe   | 152   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.2.1.13.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We seek that any use of the word 'prohibited' (related to ONFLs) be limited to use only where absolute protection is agreed by all parties engaged in responsible stewardship and is essential to achieve a desired outcome.<br><i>(Specific decision requested on this Rule is not clear in the Submission.)</i> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited  | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred)  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (inferred)  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (inferred)  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited  | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred)  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited  | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (inferred)  |       |          |   |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>               | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 6            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 7            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 8            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 9            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 10           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 11           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.9     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 12           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 13           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 14           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>               | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 15           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 16           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 17           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified (Inferred)  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 18           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 19           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 20           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 21           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 22           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 23           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>               | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 24           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 25           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 26           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 51         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 27           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 28           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 29           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 30           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.3            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 31           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.1.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 32           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.1.7    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>               | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 33           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.10   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 34           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.2   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 35           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 36           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.2    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 37           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.3    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (inferred) |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 38           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 39           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                 | 2.1.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 40           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                 | 2.2.5.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 41           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                 | 2.3.5.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                 |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>               | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 42           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.4.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 43           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.5.2.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 44           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 45           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.5.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 46           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.7.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 47           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.13.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 48           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.14.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 49           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.17.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (inferred) |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 50           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                          |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>               | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 51           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.23.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 52           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.25.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 53           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.26.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 54           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.33.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 55           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.34.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 56           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.39.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 57           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.4.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 58           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.4.2.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 59           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.6.2.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited         | 60           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2     | Support     |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.<br>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate irrigation water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.<br>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:<br><u>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</u>   |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited  | 61    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3   | Support |
| Decision Requested | That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy:<br><u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u>   |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited  | 62    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3   | Support |
| Decision Requested | That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows:<br><u>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</u><br><br>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following:<br><u>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</u> |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited  | 63    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Objective 14.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Redraft the objective to read:<br><br>Activities that are not related to primary production are <u>only located within rural environments if they are appropriate for that environment.</u>   |       |          |                                  |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 64    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.3.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain, with an additional clause (e):<br><br><i>The extent to which the proposed activity is likely to have reverse sensitivity effects on primary production activities.</i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 65    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Objective 14.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Redraft the objective to read:<br><br><i>Residential activity takes place <u>only</u> within appropriate locations and limits within rural environments.</i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 66    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows:<br><br><i>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i><br><br><i>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i><br><i>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i><br><i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</i><br><i>(ii) the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</i><br><i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i><br><i>(iv) <u>in the case of water supply intakes and associated structures in a river bed, the practical viability of alternative methods of abstracting water:</u></i><br><i>(v) <u>the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from</u> such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</i><br><i>(vi) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i> |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 67    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.3         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to add the following bullet point<br><br><i><u>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines.</u></i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 68    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.24        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to add the following bullet point<br><br><i><u>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of activities in, on, under or over river beds: to assist in the preparation of site specific management plans and for the processing of resource consent applications.</u></i> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 69    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Redraft the objective to read:<br><br><i><u>Where necessary, reduce the potential for nuisance and health effects from the discharge of contaminants into air.</u></i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 70    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Redraft the policy to reflect the following amendment:<br><br><i><u>Manage the use of agrichemicals to avoid spray drift <u>as far as practicable.</u></u></i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 71    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.5.3.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That standard 3.3.5.3 be amended to read:<br><br><i><u>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour <b>for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over.</b></u></i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 72    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.13.2.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.2, be amended to read:<br>3.3.13.2 <i>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</i>                                    |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 73    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.13.3.      | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.3 be amended to read:<br>3.3.13.3 <u>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 74    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone             | 13.3.13.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.4 be amended to read:<br>3.3.13.4 <u>Cultivation must not be in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland, except where the wetland is fenced in accordance with the wetland boundaries mapped in the Plan, in which case cultivation may occur up to the fenced boundary or where the land slopes away from Significant Wetland in which case cultivation must not be within 1m of the Significant Wetland.</u>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 75    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly.<br><u>3.3.13.1 On land which slopes away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 metres of the waterbody.</u>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 76    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.26.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred)   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 77    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred)   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 78    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Agricultural Liquid as notified.  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 79    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows:<br><br><i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and</u> harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticulural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i> |       |          |                          |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 80    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (inferred)   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 81    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 2    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred)   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 82    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 83    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | 5.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Longfield submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies.<br><i><u>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available.</u></i> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 84    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)    | Issue 15F     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Longfield submit that the MEP should include as a method the ongoing commitment of Council toward the further refining of the Soils Sensitive Areas and boundaries.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 85    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Issue 5I      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete provision in its entirety.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 86    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Objective 5.9 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete provision in its entirety.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 909                | Longfield Farm Limited   | 87    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.9.1  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Delete provision in its entirety.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited   | 88    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.2  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete provision in its entirety.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited   | 89    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.3  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete provision in its entirety.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited   | 90    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.3         | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete method in its entirety.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>909</b>         | Longfield Farm Limited   | 91    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.4.2.        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the rule be deleted, or alternately amend so that vineyards, wineries and associated retail are clearly excluded.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>338</b>         | Gwyneth Lowe   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | 1. Re-allocation of irrigation permits to ensure water levels stay at original/natural levels to retain habitat and aesthetic values on all waterways.<br>2. Strict monitoring of bores to ensure the above. |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>338</b>         | Gwyneth Lowe   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | 1. Re-allocation of irrigation permits to ensure water levels stay at original/natural levels to retain habitat and aesthetic values on all waterways.<br>2. Strict monitoring of bores to ensure the above. |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>338</b>         | Gwyneth Lowe   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5C      | Support |
| Decision Requested | 1. Re-allocation of irrigation permits to ensure water levels stay at original/natural levels to retain habitat and aesthetic values on all waterways.<br>2. Strict monitoring of bores to ensure the above. |       |          |                                  |               |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>338</b>         | Gwyneth Lowe  | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |               | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>1. Re-allocation of irrigation permits to ensure water levels stay at original/natural levels to retain habitat and aesthetic values on all waterways (<i>Blenheim Springs</i>).</p> <p>2. Strict monitoring of bores to ensure the above.</p>   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>338</b>         | Gwyneth Lowe  | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources   | Policy 5.6.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>1. Re-allocation of irrigation permits to ensure water levels stay at original/natural levels to retain habitat and aesthetic values on all waterways.</p> <p>2. Strict monitoring of bores to ensure the above.</p>   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>338</b>         | Gwyneth Lowe  | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources   | Objective 5.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>1. Re-allocation of irrigation permits to ensure water levels stay at original/natural levels to retain habitat and aesthetic values on all waterways.</p> <p>2. Strict monitoring of bores to ensure the above.</p>   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>910</b>         | Lower Waihopai Irrigation Company   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources   | Policy 5.7.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.<br/> <i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:<br/> <u><i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i></u></p> |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>910</b>         | Lower Waihopai Irrigation Company   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources   | Policy 5.8.3  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision                                 | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows:<br/> <i>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</i></p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following:<br/> <i>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</i></p> |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>910</b>         | Lower Waihopai Irrigation Company  | 3     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 6 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>562</b>         | Brendon Lucas  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                              | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>562</b>         | Brendon Lucas  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>562</b>         | Brendon Lucas  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>562</b>         | Brendon Lucas  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>562</b>         | Brendon Lucas  | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>562</b>         | Brendon Lucas  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>562</b>         | Brendon Lucas  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>562</b>         | Brendon Lucas  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>562</b>         | Brendon Lucas  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>562</b>         | Brendon Lucas  | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>934</b>         | M J H and R L Davison Family Trust  | 1     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                                       | 19.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Introduction. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Introduction.)</i>  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>934</b>         | M J H and R L Davison Family Trust  | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the reference to "high remote values" from the property at 243 Renners Road.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>685</b>         | Elizabeth Ann MacDonald   | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment                         | Policy 14.4.12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the proposals in the draft plan be implemented -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Prevent inappropriate levels of quarrying, industrial and forestry development/expansion within the Omaka Valley and thereby prevent increased traffic, soil erosion, noise and other adverse environmental effects.</li> <li>2. Protect the valley's safe roading network to facilitate cycle tourism of vineyards and wineries.</li> <li>3. Limit (prevent) ridgeline building to preserve he visual aspects.</li> <li>4. Control the planting of exotic forest and require that, to prevent the spread of wilding pines, only sterile stock be used.</li> </ol> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>685</b>         | Elizabeth Ann MacDonald   | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment                         | Policy 14.4.13 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the proposals in the draft plan be implemented -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Prevent inappropriate levels of quarrying, industrial and forestry development/expansion within the Omaka Valley and thereby prevent increased traffic, soil erosion, noise and other adverse environmental effects.</li> <li>2. Protect the valley's safe roading network to facilitate cycle tourism of vineyards and wineries.</li> <li>3. Limit (prevent) ridgeline building to preserve he visual aspects.</li> <li>4. Control the planting of exotic forest and require that, to prevent the spread of wilding pines, only sterile stock be used.</li> </ol> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>685</b>         | Elizabeth Ann MacDonald   | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape   | Policy 7.2.2   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the proposals in the draft plan be implemented -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Prevent inappropriate levels of quarrying, industrial and forestry development/expansion within the Omaka Valley and thereby prevent increased traffic, soil erosion, noise and other adverse environmental effects.</li> <li>2. Protect the valley's safe roading network to facilitate cycle tourism of vineyards and wineries.</li> <li>3. Limit (prevent) ridgeline building to preserve he visual aspects.</li> <li>4. Control the planting of exotic forest and require that, to prevent the spread of wilding pines, only sterile stock be used.</li> </ol> |       |          |   |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| 685                | Elizabeth Ann MacDonald  | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.7   | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the proposals in the draft plan be implemented -<br>1. Prevent inappropriate levels of quarrying, industrial and forestry development/expansion within the Omaka Valley and thereby prevent increased traffic, soil erosion, noise and other adverse environmental effects.<br>2. Protect the valley's safe roading network to facilitate cycle tourism of vineyards and wineries.<br>3. Limit (prevent) ridgeline building to preserve the visual aspects.<br>4. Control the planting of exotic forest and require that, to prevent the spread of wilding pines, only sterile stock be used. |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| 774                | Ian Murray and Elizabeth Ann MacDonald   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone          | 16.7.2.        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>4000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| 774                | Ian Murray and Elizabeth Ann MacDonald   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone          | 16.7.3.        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>4000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| 939                | Murray MacDonald   | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Council recognises the special nature of the Omaka and Wairau Valleys, and that they should be preserved for future generations to enjoy and appreciate.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| 939                | Murray MacDonald   | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Council recognises the special nature of the Omaka and Wairau Valleys, and that they should be preserved for future generations to enjoy and appreciate.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| 939                | Murray MacDonald   | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.2   | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Council recognises the special nature of the Omaka and Wairau Valleys, and that they should be preserved for future generations to enjoy and appreciate.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| 939                | Murray MacDonald   | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.7   | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | That Council recognises the special nature of the Omaka and Wairau Valleys and the Marlborough Sounds, and that they should be preserved for future generations to enjoy and appreciate.     |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1058</b>        | Ross MacDonald   | 1     | All      | All   |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>579</b>         | Craig and Sherald MacDonnell   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8415 in Opihi Bay, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>579</b>         | Craig and Sherald MacDonnell   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8415 in Opihi Bay, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>579</b>         | Craig and Sherald MacDonnell   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8415 in Opihi Bay, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>579</b>         | Craig and Sherald MacDonnell   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8415 in Opihi Bay, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1072</b>        | Rob MacGibbon  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background  | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1072</b>        | Rob MacGibbon  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1072</b>        | Rob MacGibbon  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1072</b>        | Rob MacGibbon  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1072</b>        | Rob MacGibbon  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1072</b>        | Rob MacGibbon  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1072</b>        | Rob MacGibbon  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>1072</b>        | Rob MacGibbon  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources                | Objective 4.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1072</b>        | Rob MacGibbon  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                                    | Issue 6A      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1072</b>        | Rob MacGibbon  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                                    | Objective 6.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>254</b>         | Scott MacKenzie  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels              | Schedule 3    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That all Wairau River surface water takes are considered equal(both below and above The Narrows) and therefore have the same minimum flowlevel cut off of 8m <sup>3</sup> /s at Barnett's Bank. This is effectively the currentsituation, however it is noted that a portion of consents do have a conditionrelating to the Wash bridge flow which has never been implemented. With thecurrent management flow of 8m <sup>3</sup> /s for fullrestriction for all Wairau River users, it has shown that thereare no adverse effects on instream values. Whereas any changes will have asignificant negative economic and social impact on those consent holderscurrently above The Narrows. |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>531</b>         | Alastair MacKenzie   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Sounds Soldiers' Memorial is granted Heritage Resource status and is included in Appendix 13 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels              | Schedule 3    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the flow restriction on Class A water for the Wairau River (above the narrows) FMU to be fully restricted at 8m <sup>3</sup> /s according to the Barnetts Bank monitoring site, and delete the restriction at Dip Flat for class A water in the same FMU.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie  | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources                       | Policy 5.8.3  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.3 as an appropriate and efficient use of water.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.4.4   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.4 and establish an efficient and simplistic system for enabling this to occur.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie   | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.4.5   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.5 and establish an efficient and simplistic system for enabling this to occur.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie   | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.7.8   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.7.8 be deleted.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie   | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.7.9   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.79 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie   | 7     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.7.10  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.10 with confirmation that water can be used from storage dams between 1st January and 30th April [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie   | 8     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.7.11  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.11 with confirmation that water can be used from storage dams between 1st January and 30th April [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie   | 9     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.6.3.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.6.3 with confirmation that water can be used from storage dams between 1st January and 30th April [ <i>inferred</i> ].    |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie   | 10    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.3.16.1.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed provision relating to dam size.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie   | 11    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following:<br><i>1. The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i><br><i>2. After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie  | 12    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.9.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following:<br><i>1. The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i><br><i>2. After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i><br><br>That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie  | 13    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.10.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following:<br><i>1. The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i><br><i>2. After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i><br><br>That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie  | 14    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.4.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></li> <li>2. <i>After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i></li> </ol> <p>That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie  | 15    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.11.5.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></li> <li>2. <i>After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i></li> </ol> <p>That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie  | 16    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.21.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></li> <li>2. <i>After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i></li> </ol> <p>That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie  | 17    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.1.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></li> <li>2. <i>After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i></li> </ol> <p>That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie  | 18    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.4.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></li> <li>2. <i>After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i></li> </ol> <p>That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie  | 19    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.5.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></li> <li>2. <i>After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i></li> </ol> <p>That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie  | 20    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the definition of intensively farmed livestock be amended as follows:<br><i>Intensively farmed livestock means:</i><br><b>a) cattle or deer grazed on irrigated land or contained for breakfeeding of winter crops;</b><br>b) dairy cattle;<br>c) farmed pigs.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie   | 21    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                          | 25.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of meat processing be amended as follows:<br><i>Meat Processing - Means the use of land and buildings for the yarding and slaughtering of animals; the associated processing of meat including by-product and co-product processing; rendering; fish and shellfish processing; fellmongery, tanning, casing and pelt processing; and the associated chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of meat and associated products. <b><u>This definition excludes any land and buildings used for private home kill activities.</u></b></i> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie   | 22    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.1.6.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the standards for planting of commercial forestry and planting woodlots does not include an exclusion for Douglas Fir or Corsican pine.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie   | 23    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.1.8.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the standards for planting of commercial forestry and planting woodlots does not include an exclusion for Douglas Fir or Corsican pine.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie   | 24    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.16.1.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete standard 3.3.16.1 as it is not Council's role to manage the commercial or otherwise nature of clean fill, but rather the ensure adverse effects arising from clean fill activities are no more than minor.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie   | 25    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                          | 25.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the definition of non-commercial clean fill as it is unnecessary.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie   | 26    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |   |               |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter                                 | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                           | 27    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                           | 28    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                           | 29    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.11 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                           | 30    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.13 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                           | 31    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.15 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                           | 32    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.24 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.24 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                           | 33    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.25 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.25 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                           | 34    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone        | 5.3.1.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                           | 35    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.3  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter                                | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                          | 36    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.6 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                          | 37    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.7 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                          | 38    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.8 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                          | 39    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                          | 40    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                          | 41    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.6 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                          | 42    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                          | 43    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter                                  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                            | 44    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.8.3   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                            | 45    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.8.4   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                            | 46    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Objective 14.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                            | 47    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                            | 48    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.8 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                            | 49    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                            | 50    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.1.1.         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.1.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].     |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                            | 51    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.2.17.        | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter                               | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.17 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                         | 52    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.5.1.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.5.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                         | 53    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.5.3.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.5.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                         | 54    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.5.4.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.5.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                         | 55    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.7.4.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.7.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                         | 56    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.10.2.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.10.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                         | 57    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.3.6.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.3.6 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                         | 58    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.13.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.13 [ <i>inferred</i> ]. |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie                         | 59    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.16.   | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision                                 | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.16 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie   | 60    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.23.                                   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.23 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie   | 61    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.6.11.                                   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.6.11 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1124</b>        | Steve MacKenzie   | 62    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 6 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1063</b>        | Riley George Barnes MacPherson  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                              | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1063</b>        | Riley George Barnes MacPherson  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1063</b>        | Riley George Barnes MacPherson  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| <b>1063</b>        | Riley George Barnes MacPherson   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1063</b>        | Riley George Barnes MacPherson   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1063</b>        | Riley George Barnes MacPherson   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1063</b>        | Riley George Barnes MacPherson   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1063</b>        | Riley George Barnes MacPherson   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1063</b>        | Riley George Barnes MacPherson   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1063</b>        | Riley George Barnes MacPherson   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>1171</b>        | Tim Madden   | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8532; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1171</b>        | Tim Madden   | 2            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8457; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1171</b>        | Tim Madden   | 3            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8532 and 8457; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                        |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1171</b>        | Tim Madden   | 4            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8532; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.          |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1171</b>        | Tim Madden   | 5            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8457; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.          |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1171</b>        | Tim Madden   | 6            | Volume 3      | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8532 and 8457; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>855</b>         | Kyra Madsen  | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8439; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>855</b>         | Kyra Madsen   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8439; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>855</b>         | Kyra Madsen   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8439; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>855</b>         | Kyra Madsen   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8439; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>940</b>         | Michelle Madsen   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8439; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>940</b>         | Michelle Madsen   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8439; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>940</b>         | Michelle Madsen   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8439; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>940</b>         | Michelle Madsen   | 4            | Volume 3      | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8439; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1125</b>        | Scott Madsen  | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8333; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1125</b>        | Scott Madsen  | 2            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8628; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1125</b>        | Scott Madsen  | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8302; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1125</b>        | Scott Madsen  | 4            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8333, 8628 and 8302; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.         |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1125</b>        | Scott Madsen  | 5            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8333; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1125</b>        | Scott Madsen  | 6            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8628; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1125</b>        | Scott Madsen   | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8302; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1125</b>        | Scott Madsen   | 8     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8333, 8628 and 8302; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>957</b>         | Madsen Marine Limited  | 1     | All      | All                                     |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>219</b>         | Francis Maher  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 171 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Suitable parts of the property described above be zoned RURAL LIVING ZONE.<br><br>That the MDC work towards a plan for the future with the owners of the Maxwell Pass property for the best outcome for the property and the people of Marlborough.         |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>506</b>         | Mainland Residential Homes Limited   | 1     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone        | 5.2.1.2.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.<br>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>506</b>         | Mainland Residential Homes Limited   | 2     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                          | 24.3.1.3       | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.<br>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>506</b>         | Mainland Residential Homes Limited   | 3     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                   | 24.3.1.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.<br>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>506</b>         | Mainland Residential Homes Limited   | 4     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.11. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.<br>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>506</b>         | Mainland Residential Homes Limited   | 5     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.7.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.<br>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>506</b>         | Mainland Residential Homes Limited   | 6     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.6.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.<br>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>506</b>         | Mainland Residential Homes Limited   | 7     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.3.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.<br>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. |       |          |                                  |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>660</b>         | Daniel Manson  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>660</b>         | Daniel Manson  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>660</b>         | Daniel Manson  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>660</b>         | Daniel Manson  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>660</b>         | Daniel Manson  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>660</b>         | Daniel Manson  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>660</b>         | Daniel Manson  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>660</b>         | Daniel Manson  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>660</b>         | Daniel Manson  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>660</b>         | Daniel Manson  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>856</b>         | Karen Mant   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>856</b>         | Karen Mant   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>856</b>         | Karen Mant   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>856</b>         | Karen Mant   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>856</b>         | Karen Mant   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>856</b>         | Karen Mant   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>856</b>         | Karen Mant   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>856</b>         | Karen Mant   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>856</b>         | Karen Mant   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>856</b>         | Karen Mant   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>493</b>         | Karen Marchant   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.5.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.7.5   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>493</b>         | Karen Marchant   | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.2.1.11.     | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 4.2.1.11:<br>4.2.1.11. On land within any Marlborough Sounds Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape:<br><br>(a) a building or structure must not exceed <del>40</del> <b>50</b> m <sup>2</sup> ;   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>493</b>         | Karen Marchant  | 3     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Outstanding Coastal Natural Character on page App 2-29 - blocks 11, 9 and 12<br>Include land on southern side of Port Gore to the sea, the ridge and eastern side of it between Puzzle peak and Cape Lambert (and back to Hunia), the eastern side of the Alligator headland, all the waters of Waitui Bay and Port Gore except Melville Cove, all of East Bay and northern Arapawa Island as Outstanding Natural Character. Melville Cove should be "very high". |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>493</b>         | Karen Marchant  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include land on southern side of Port Gore to the sea, the ridge and eastern side of it between Puzzle peak and Cape Lambert (and back to Hunia), the eastern side of the Alligator headland, all the waters of Waitui Bay and Port Gore except Melville Cove all of East Bay and northern Arapawa Island as Outstanding Natural Character. Melville Cove should be "very high".  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>493</b>         | Karen Marchant  | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include land on southern side of Port Gore to the sea, the ridge and eastern side of it between Puzzle peak and Cape Lambert (and back to Hunia), the eastern side of the Alligator headland, all the waters of Waitui Bay and Port Gore except Melville Cove, all of East Bay and northern Arapawa Island as Outstanding Natural Character. Melville Cove should be "very high".   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>493</b>         | Karen Marchant  | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include all the waters of East Bay as ONFL.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b>                          | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|---|-------------|
| <b>493</b>         | Karen Marchant  | 7            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Steep Erosion Prone Land 1                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Do proper on site assessment and redraw overlay.  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>493</b>         | Karen Marchant  | 8            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Steep Erosion Prone Land 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Do proper on site assessment and redraw overlay.  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>67</b>          | Anne Maret  | 1            | Volume 2      | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.                                   | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>67</b>          | Anne Maret  | 2            | Volume 2      | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.3.                                   | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>661</b>         | Denis Marfell   | 1            | Volume 1      | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>661</b>         | Denis Marfell   | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>661</b>         | Denis Marfell   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>661</b>         | Denis Marfell   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose      |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>661</b>         | Denis Marfell  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>661</b>         | Denis Marfell  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>661</b>         | Denis Marfell  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>661</b>         | Denis Marfell  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>661</b>         | Denis Marfell  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>661</b>         | Denis Marfell  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b>                          | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|---|-------------|
| <b>941</b>         | Marion Marfell   | 1            | Volume 1      | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>941</b>         | Marion Marfell   | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>941</b>         | Marion Marfell   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>941</b>         | Marion Marfell   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>941</b>         | Marion Marfell   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |   | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>941</b>         | Marion Marfell   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |   | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>941</b>         | Marion Marfell   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>941</b>         | Marion Marfell   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |                     |                             |        |
| <b>941</b>         | Marion Marfell   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A                    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |                     |                             |        |
| <b>941</b>         | Marion Marfell   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |                     |                             |        |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps        | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8452; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |                     |                             |        |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited   | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps        | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8297; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |                     |                             |        |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps        | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8397; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |                     |                             |        |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps        | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8399; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |                     |                             |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 5            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8402; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 6            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8403; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 7            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8404; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 8            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8420; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 9            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8425; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 10           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8435; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 11           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8194; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 12           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8181; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 13           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8083; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 14           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8287; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 15           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8144; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 16           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8447; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 17           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8449; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 18           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8455; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 19           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8529; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 20           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8553; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 21           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8559; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 22           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8264; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited   | 23           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8263; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited   | 24           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8248; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited   | 25           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8248; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited   | 26           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8193; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited   | 27           | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8452, 8297, 8397, 8399, 8402, 8403, 8404, 8420, 8425, 8435, 8194, 8081, 8083, 8287, 8144, 8447, 8449, 8455, 8529, 8553, 8559, 8264, 8263, 8248, 8193; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited   | 28           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8452; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited   | 29           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8297; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 30    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8397; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 31    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8399; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 32    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8402; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 33    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8403; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 34    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8404; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 35    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8420; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |              |        |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 36           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 5     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8425; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 37           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 5     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8435; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 38           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 4     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8194; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 39           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8181; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 40           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8083; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 41           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 4     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8287; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 42           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 5     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8144; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 43           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 5     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8447; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 44           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 5     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8449; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 45           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 5     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8455; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 46           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 4     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8529; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 47           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 4     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8553; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 48           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 5     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8553; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 49           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 4     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8559; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 50           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 5     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8559; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 51           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 4     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8264 or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 52           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 5     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8264; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 53           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 4     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8263; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b>   | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|--------------------|-------------|
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 54           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8263; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                    |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 55           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8248; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                    |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 56           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8248; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                    |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 57           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8193; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                    |             |
| <b>958</b>         | Marine Farm Management Limited  | 58           | Volume 3      | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8452, 8297, 8397, 8399, 8402, 8403, 8404, 8420, 8425, 8435, 8194, 8081, 8083, 8287, 8144, 8447, 8449, 8455, 8529, 8553, 8559, 8264, 8263, 8248, 8193; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                    |             |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 1            | Volume 1      | 1 Introduction                          | 1.                 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | The Council should re-evaluate the various alternatives in accordance with s 32, having particular regard to quantified benefits and costs and associated commentary. In the event that the s 32 evaluation reveals significant alternatives that have not been appropriately considered, it may be necessary to re-notify aspects of the proposed MEP. |              |               |   |                    |             |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 2            | Volume 1      | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision          | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|--------------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>There is a statement under "A healthy Marlborough economy requires a healthy environment" that it is not the role of the MEP to directly address economic matters. That is incorrect. The definition in s2(1) of the RMA of "environment" includes the economic conditions that affect people and communities. Sustainable management includes enabling people to provide for their economic and social wellbeing (s 5(2) RMA).</p> <p>The guiding principles seem to ignore the fact that the farming, viticulture, aquaculture, forestry and tourism sectors all rely on resource use.</p> <p>It is an omission not to include a guiding principle to promote economic development. The approach taken does not reflect the RMA, and does not reflect the views of the Marlborough community.</p> <p>A guiding principle to that effect should be added, along with consequential changes to the commentary.</p> |       |          |                |                    |         |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 3     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>The guiding principles should recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses. Its values include economic values. A set of guiding principles that make no reference to that has failed to properly capture the needs of Marlborough.</p> <p>The guiding principles should be amended to reflect this.</p> <p>The clique "jewel in the crown" should be deleted, and replaced with something more reflective of Marlborough and the discussion above.</p>   |       |          |                |                    |         |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 4     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Support the guiding principle "Providing the community with a streamlined and simplified resource management framework to make it easier for resource users and other interested parties to use."</p> <p>Submit that this philosophy should extend to the application of the MEP provisions, not simply to integrating the regional policy statement with the regional coastal, regional and district plan provisions.</p>   |       |          |                |                    |         |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 5     | Volume 1 | 2 Background   | 2.                 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>The Council should re-evaluate the various alternatives in accordance with s 32, having particular regard to quantified benefits and costs and associated commentary.</p>  |       |          |                |                    |         |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 6     | Volume 1 | 2 Background   | 2.                 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Avoid should have only one meaning. Where a different meaning is preferred, this should be clear from the specific provision.</p>  |       |          |                |                    |         |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 7     | Volume 1 | 2 Background   | 2.                 | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Oppose the approach where "protect" can be interpreted in a number of different ways. This makes the MEP unclear.<br>A single meaning should be adopted, consistent with the Supreme Court's approach in <i>New Zealand King Salmon</i> .  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 8     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | 3.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The economic interests of iwi should be expressly recognised.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 9     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 4A.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 10    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay (Tennyson Inlet), Oyster Bay (Port Underwood) and Okiwi Bay (Croisilles Harbour) be specifically recognised in policy 4.2.1.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 11    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | a) Add new Issue 4D - Recognise that the choice whether or not to use natural and physical resources has consequences;<br>b) Add new Objective 4.4 - Recognise that limiting development has a trade-off; and<br>c) Add new Policy 4.4.1 - Identify the consequences of not allowing development in terms of:<br>(i) Substitution<br>(ii) Adverse effects from other alternative activities in the area; and<br>(iii) Loss of environmental, economic and social benefits. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 12    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>There needs to be express reference to related servicing and processing industries in objective 4.1. The tourism and primary production sectors cannot operate in the absence of related services.</p> <p>The commentary of objective 4.1 should refer to aquaculture's need for water space, and high quality water. Recognition should be given to the fact that different water space and site characteristics are necessary for different forms of aquaculture. For example, cool fast flowing water is required for salmon farming, whereas access to high nutrient laden water is necessary for effectively farming mussels. Logistical considerations are important for all types of aquaculture.</p> <p>a) Amend objective 4.1 to include express reference to related servicing and processing industries; and</p> <p>b) Amend commentary as suggested.</p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 13    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support, so long as new objective 4.3A is added (see separate submission). Alternatively, Objective 4.3 should be amended to reflect the fact that social and cultural uses are part of the character of the Marlborough Sounds.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 14    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert new Objective 4.3A - Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Marlborough Sounds have been altered by cultural and social use and those uses have become part of the character of the Marlborough Sounds and do not detract from it.</p> <p>Note - New policy 4.3.6 should be added to support this Objective. Industry supports policies 4.3.1 - 4.3.5, provided this proposed objective and policy are added (as outlined below).</p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 15    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new Policy 4.1.1A to recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 16    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 4.1.2 to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Enable sustainable use <b>and development</b> of natural resources in the Marlborough environment."</i></p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 17    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert new Policy 4.1.2A - allow for experimentation and innovation where there are sufficient controls to appropriately manage adverse effects.  |       |          |   |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 18           | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new Policy 4.1.2B - Allow for development where it will achieve a net improvement in sustainability or efficiency by:<br>a) Offsetting effects;<br>b) Compensating for effects; or<br>c) Substituting one use for another. |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 19           | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 20           | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay (Tennyson Inlet), Oyster Bay (Port Underwood) and Okiwi Bay (Croisilles Harbour) be specifically recognised in policy 4.2.1.                                |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 21           | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.1     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy subject to a new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 being added (see separate submissions).   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 22           | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.2     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy subject to a new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 being added (see separate submissions).   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 23           | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.3     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy subject to a new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 being added (see separate submissions).   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 24           | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.4     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy subject to a new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 being added (see separate submissions).   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 25           | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.5     | Support         |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy subject to a new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 being added (see separate submissions). |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 26    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | New Policy 4.3.6 should be added to give effect to proposed new Objective 4.3A.                        |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 27    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.1         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support Method.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 28    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.2         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support Method.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 29    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.3         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support Method.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 30    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.4         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support Method.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 31    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support Method.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 32    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.AER.1       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support the Anticipated Environmental Result.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 33    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.            | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Submit that, in order to manage biosecurity threats, the deliberate introduction of exotic or introduced plants into the coastal marine area should require a resource consent (as per rule 35.5 in the current Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan (MSRMP)). |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
|                    | A policy should be added to this effect.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 34    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.10 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows (strike through and bold) -  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
|                    | <i>"<del>Equitable</del> <b>Efficient</b> and sustainable allocation of public space within Marlborough's coastal marine area."</i>   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
|                    | And, in the last sentence of the explanation to the Objective amend as follows (strike through and bold) -  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
|                    | <i>"...<del>avoids conflicts</del> <b>manages conflicts between users</b>..."</i>   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 35    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The commentary to Policy 5.10.1 should note sections 124A, 124B and 124C of the RMA, as well as sections 165ZH, 165ZI and 165ZJ.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 36    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Support the first sentence (default method) of Policy 5.10.2, but delete the second sentence (alternative regime). An alternative regime could be referred to in the commentary.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 37    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through) -  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
|                    | <i>"Where a right to occupy the coastal marine area is sought, the area of exclusive occupation should be minimised to that <del>necessary and</del> reasonable to undertake the activity, having regard to the public interest."</i>                                 |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 38    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
|                    | b) Appropriate provision I made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
|                    | c) The formula for determining charges is written in to the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013).                    |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 39    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The MFA provisionally supports policy 5.10.5 if the following relief if granted:<br>a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;<br>b) Appropriate provision I made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and<br>c) The formula for determining charges is written in to the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013). |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 40    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | The MFA provisionally supports policy 5.10.6 if the following relief if granted:<br>a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;<br>b) Appropriate provision I made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and<br>c) The formula for determining charges is written in to the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013). |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 41    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The MFA provisionally supports policy 5.10.7 if the following relief if granted:<br>a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;<br>b) Appropriate provision I made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and<br>c) The formula for determining charges is written in to the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013). |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 42    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>a) The MFA is represented on the oversight body to an extent commensurate with the levy on the industry;</p> <p>b) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;</p> <p>c) Appropriate provision is made for the aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP);</p> <p>d) The formula for determining charges is written into the MEP, rather than the Council's annual Plan. the level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by Executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013); and</p> <p>e) Amend Policy 5.10.8 to read "... will be used on the following in accordance with a research priority strategy to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area. The research priority strategy will be determined in conjunction with the Marlborough District Council, central government, science providers, industry, and the community."</p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 43    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.10        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Consequential amendments are made to the methods of implementation where needed, as a result of the submissions in relation to Issue 5J and Policies 5.10.1 - 5.10.8.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 44    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Issue 6A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Issue as follows -</p> <p><i>"Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the <del>degradation</del> <b>modification</b> of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins."</i></p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 45    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Objective 6.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new sentence to the Objective as follows -</p> <p><i>"Establish the extent of acceptable modification."</i></p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 46    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>a) Delete policy 6.1.1 and replace with "Natural character is natural, physical and biological processes, and how those processes are perceived"; or</p> <p>b) 6.1.1(b) - delete "and landscapes (including seascapes)." This is a confusing use of terminology in the context of the natural character policies; and</p> <p>c) 6.1.1(e) - Amend to read "biological processes and biological patterns." (As compared with perceptual patterns); and</p> <p>d) Include in the discussion a record that the intent of this policy is to provide for a biological definition of natural character, overlaid with perceptions of biology.</p> |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 47    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the seaward extent of the coastal natural character mapping be reduced to snorkelling or recreational diving depth, and the maps amended to reflect this (or relief securing same outcome). This approach is supported by the commentary in Natural Character of the Marlborough Coast (Boffa Miskell, 2014) at Appendix 6, page 316.</p>   |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 48    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy to read as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"Determine the degree of natural character in both the coastal marine and coastal terrestrial components of the coastal environment <del>by assessing:</del></i><br/> <del>(a) the degree of human induced modification on abiotic systems and landforms, marine and terrestrial biotic systems and experiential qualities; and</del><br/> <del>(b) natural character at a range of scales."</del></p>  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 49    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>a) Delete 6.1.4, as this is addressed by Policy 6.1.3;</p> <p>b) Define "natural character" as per submission on 6.1.1; and</p> <p>c) Define "outstanding" as per submission on Vol 2, Chapter 25, Definitions</p>   |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 50    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add new Policy as follows –</p> <p><b><i>"Identify the biological characteristics and the values inherent in the perception of those biological characteristics for each area mapped under Policy 6.1.3."</i></b></p>  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 51    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.1 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete the Policy and replace as follows -<br>New Policy 6.2.1 - In the coastal environment:<br><i>"a) Avoid adverse effects of subdivision use, and development on the characteristics and qualities which make up the outstanding values of areas of outstanding natural character.</i><br><i>b) Where (a) does not apply, avoid significant adverse effects and void, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision use and development on natural character. Methods which may achieve this include:</i><br><i>(i) Ensuring the location, intensity, scale and form of subdivision and built development is appropriate having regard to natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines, headlands, peninsulas, dune systems, reefs and freshwater bodies and their margins; and</i><br><i>(ii) In areas of high natural character, minimising to the extent practicable indigenous vegetation clearance and modification (including earthworks/ disturbance, structures, discharges and extraction of water) to natural wetlands, the beds of lakes, rivers and the coastal marine area and their margins; and</i><br><i>(iii) Encouraging any new subdivision and built development to consolidate within and around existing settlements or where natural character has already been compromised."</i> |       |          |                     |              |        |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 52    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Policy and replace as follows -<br>New Policy 6.2.2 -<br><i>"Outside the coastal environment avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects (including cumulative adverse effects) of subdivision, use and development on the characteristics and qualities of the natural character of freshwater bodies. A method which may achieve this includes minimising indigenous vegetation clearance and modification (including earthworks / disturbance and structures) to natural wetlands, the beds of lakes, rivers and their margins."</i>  |       |          |                     |              |        |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 53    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Policies 6.2.1 - 6.2.3 and replace with:<br><br>New Policy 6.2.3 -<br><br><i>"When considering whether there are any adverse effects on the characteristics and qualities of the natural character values in terms of 6.2.1(a), whether there are any significant adverse effects and the scale of any adverse effects in terms of 6.2.1(b) and 6.2.2, and in determining the character, intensity and scale of the adverse effects:</i><br><br><i>a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;</i><br><br><i>b) Recognise that many areas contain on-going use and development that:</i><br><br><i>(i) Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established</i><br><br><i>(ii) May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;</i><br><br><i>c) Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative adverse effects from minor or transitory adverse effects;</i><br><br><i>d) Have regard to any restoration and enhancement on the characteristics and qualities of that area of natural character;</i><br><br><i>e) Recognise it may be appropriate to offset significant residual adverse effects on natural character to result in no net loss and preferably a net character gain. a natural character offset should be developed in a manner consistent with the principles contained in Policy 6.2.6;</i><br><br><i>f) Recognise that where adverse effects cannot be practicable avoided, adverse effects could be minimised; and</i><br><br><i>g) Acknowledge that a future adverse effect may be avoided where the effect is temporary and is authorised for a finite term."</i> |       |          |                     |              |         |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 54    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 6.2.3.   |       |          |                     |              |         |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 55    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.4 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete policy 6.2.4.   |       |          |                     |              |         |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 56    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                     |              |         |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 57    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.6 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 58    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Replace the Policy to read as follows -<br><br><b>"Recognition should be given to the extent of cumulative effects from existing modifications in the environment."</b>   |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 59    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to read as follows (bold) -<br><br><b>"Encourage and support private landowners, community groups, businesses and others in their efforts to restore the natural character of the coastal environment, wetlands, lakes and rivers."</b>  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 60    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new 6.M.2A – <b>"Natural Character Assessment Method."</b> New Appendix 2A should be included in the MEP, setting out a detailed method to encourage consistency of approach between landscape architects.  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 61    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Anticipated environmental results - focus is on retaining natural character. This is consistent with the wording in the issues and objectives (focus on maintenance/preservation). Supports approach where existing activities are allowed.<br><br>Support, on the basis that natural character can be retained while allowing for existing activities, including existing aquaculture. |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 62    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | General opposition to use of the word "degree" throughout policies, if that is intended to refer to the scale outstanding - very low. The focus should be on the magnitude of the change, not whether the classification is affected.<br><br>Amend the natural character policies to make it clear that "degree" refers to the magnitude of change, not the classification.             |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 63    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.           | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Alternative submission:</p> <p>Delete Natural Character chapter 6 altogether. Reference to natural character can be made in the indigenous biodiversity chapter (biophysical elements) and in the landscape chapter (experiential elements).</p> <p>Or consider whether all three topics (landscape, natural character and indigenous biodiversity) could be dealt with under one category "Natural Heritage," which is the approach taken in the proposed Bay of Plenty Regional Coastal Plan and the Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016).</p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 64    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Objective 7.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Objective as follows (strike through) -<br/> <i>"Identify Marlborough's outstanding natural features and landscapes <del>and landscapes with high amenity value.</del>"</i></p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 65    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Objective 7.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Objective as follows (strike through) -<br/> <i>"Protect outstanding natural features and landscapes from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and maintain <del>and enhance landscapes with high amenity value.</del>"</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 66    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Policy 7.1.1. (inferred)</p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 67    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>a) Amend policy 7.1.2 - by deleting the word "significant" and only using the visual catchment approach (I.e. a bay, reach or valley approach); and</p> <p>b) Delete Map 2 from Vol 3, Appendix 1 and replace with a map that reflects the visual catchment approach.</p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 68    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>a) Amend policy 7.1.2 - by deleting the word "significant" and only using the visual catchment approach (I.e. a bay, reach or valley approach); and</p> <p>b) Delete Map 2 from Vol 3, Appendix 1 and replace with a map that reflects the visual catchment approach.</p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 69    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Objective 7.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>a) Add new Policy 7.1.2A - "Define boundaries of a feature as a coherent land and sea type"; and</p> <p>b) Map those features and describe their values in Vol 3, Appendix 1.</p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 70    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>a) Add new Policy 7.1.2A - "Define boundaries of a feature as a coherent land and sea type"; and</p> <p>b) Map those features and describe their values in Vol 3, Appendix 1.</p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 71    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.1.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>a) 7.1.3(b) - delete reference to "high"; and</p> <p>b) Delete subparagraph 7.1.3(c).</p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 72    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.1.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Support the identification of outstanding natural landscapes (ONL), but oppose the methodology in the MEP.</p> <p>Delete reference to "high" amenity values;</p> <p>Delete "where those values are more sensitive to change"; and</p> <p>In relation to Policy 7.1.4(b), Appendix 1, Volume 3 tends to describe or characterise. Very few values are identified. The entirety of Appendix 1 needs to be re-written, so that it is consistent with the definition in 7.1.1. This methodological flaw has resulted in incorrect mapping.</p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 73    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Support the identification of outstanding natural landscapes (ONL), but oppose the methodology in the MEP.</p> <p>Delete reference to "high" amenity values;</p> <p>Delete "where those values are more sensitive to change"; and</p> <p>In relation to Policy 7.1.4(b), Appendix 1, Volume 3 tends to describe or characterise. Very few values are identified. The entirety of Appendix 1 needs to be re-written, so that it is consistent with the definition in 7.1.1. This methodological flaw has resulted in incorrect mapping.</p> |       |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|--------|
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 74    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.1.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy.   |       |          |   |              |        |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 75    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | a) Delete Policy 7.2.3 - amenity should not be in the landscape policies chapter; and<br>b) Delete Map 4 at Vol 3, Appendix 1, page 32.  |       |          |   |              |        |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 76    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | a) Delete Policy 7.2.3 - amenity should not be in the landscape policies chapter; and<br>b) Delete Map 4 at Vol 3, Appendix 1, page 32.  |       |          |   |              |        |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 77    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy.   |       |          |   |              |        |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 78    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete 7.2.1 7.2.4 and 7.2.5 and replace with: New Policy 7.2.5 - in the Coastal Environment:<br><br>a) Avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development on the characteristics and qualities which make up the outstanding values of areas of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes.<br><br>b) Where a) does not apply, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on natural features and natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:<br><br>(i) Ensuring the location, intensity, scale and form of subdivision and built development is appropriate having regard to natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns ridgelines, headlands, peninsulas, dune systems, reefs and freshwater bodies and their margins; and<br><br>(ii) Encouraging any new subdivision and built development to consolidate within and around existing settlements or where natural landscape has already been compromised.<br><br>New Policy 7.2.5A - Outside the coastal environment avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects (including cumulative adverse effects) of subdivision, use and development on the characteristics and qualities of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:<br><br>a) In outstanding natural landscapes, requiring that the location and intensity of subdivision, use and built development is appropriate having regard to, natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines and freshwater bodies and their margins; and |       |          |   |              |        |

b) In outstanding natural features, requiring that the scale and intensity of earthworks and built development is appropriate taking into account the scale, form and vulnerability to modification of the feature.

New Policy 7.2.5B - When considering whether there are any adverse effects on the characteristics and qualities of the natural features and landscape values in terms of 7.2.5(a), whether there are any significant adverse effects and the scale of any adverse effects in terms of 7.2.5(b) and 7.2.5A, and in determining the character, intensity and scale of the adverse effects:

- a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;
- b) Recognise that many areas contain on-going use and development that:
  - (i) Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established
  - (ii) May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;
- c) Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative adverse effects from minor or transitory adverse effects;
- d) Have regard to any restoration and enhancement of the characteristics and qualities of that area of natural features and/or natural landscape;
- e) Recognise it may be appropriate to offset significant residual adverse effects on a landscape or feature to result in no net loss or preferably a net landscape gain;
- f) Recognise that where adverse effects cannot be practicably avoided, adverse effects could be minimised; and
- g) Acknowledge that a future adverse effect may be avoided where the effect is temporary and is authorised for a finite term.

|            |   |    |          |             |              |        |
|------------|---|----|----------|-------------|--------------|--------|
| <b>426</b> | Marine Farming Association Incorporated | 79 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4 | Oppose |
|------------|---|----|----------|-------------|--------------|--------|

|                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete 7.2.1 7.2.4 and 7.2.5 and replace with: New Policy 7.2.5 - in the Coastal Environment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development on the characteristics and qualities which make up the outstanding values of areas of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes.</li> <li>b) Where a) does not apply, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on natural features and natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Ensuring the location, intensity, scale and form of subdivision and built development is appropriate having regard to natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns ridgelines, headlands, peninsulas, dune systems, reefs and freshwater bodies and their margins; and</li> <li>(ii) Encouraging any new subdivision and built development to consolidate within and around existing settlements or where natural landscape has already been compromised.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>New Policy 7.2.5A - Outside the coastal environment avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects (including cumulative adverse effects) of subdivision, use and development on the characteristics and qualities of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:</p> |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

- a) In outstanding natural landscapes, requiring that the location and intensity of subdivision, use and built development is appropriate having regard to, natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines and freshwater bodies and their margins; and
- b) In outstanding natural features, requiring that the scale and intensity of earthworks and built development is appropriate taking into account the scale, form and vulnerability to modification of the feature.

New Policy 7.2.5B - When considering whether there are any adverse effects on the characteristics and qualities of the natural features and landscape values in terms of 7.2.5(a), whether there are any significant adverse effects and the scale of any adverse effects in terms of 7.2.5(b) and 7.2.5A, and in determining the character, intensity and scale of the adverse effects:

- a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;
- b) Recognise that many areas contain on-going use and development that:
  - (i) Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established
  - (ii) May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;
- c) Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative adverse effects from minor or transitory adverse effects;
- d) Have regard to any restoration and enhancement of the characteristics and qualities of that area of natural features and/or natural landscape;
- e) Recognise it may be appropriate to offset significant residual adverse effects on a landscape or feature to result in no net loss or preferably a net landscape gain;
- f) Recognise that where adverse effects cannot be practicably avoided, adverse effects could be minimised; and
- g) Acknowledge that a future adverse effect may be avoided where the effect is temporary and is authorised for a finite term.

|            |   |    |          |             |              |        |
|------------|---|----|----------|-------------|--------------|--------|
| <b>426</b> | Marine Farming Association Incorporated | 80 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.5 | Oppose |
|------------|---|----|----------|-------------|--------------|--------|

|                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete 7.2.1 7.2.4 and 7.2.5 and replace with: New Policy 7.2.5 - in the Coastal Environment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development on the characteristics and qualities which make up the outstanding values of areas of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes.</li> <li>b) Where a) does not apply, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on natural features and natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Ensuring the location, intensity, scale and form of subdivision and built development is appropriate having regard to natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns ridgelines, headlands, peninsulas, dune systems, reefs and freshwater bodies and their margins; and</li> <li>(ii) Encouraging any new subdivision and built development to consolidate within and around existing settlements or where natural landscape has already been compromised.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>New Policy 7.2.5A - Outside the coastal environment avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects (including</p> |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|

cumulative adverse effects) of subdivision, use and development on the characteristics and qualities of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:

- a) In outstanding natural landscapes, requiring that the location and intensity of subdivision, use and built development is appropriate having regard to, natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines and freshwater bodies and their margins; and
- b) In outstanding natural features, requiring that the scale and intensity of earthworks and built development is appropriate taking into account the scale, form and vulnerability to modification of the feature.

New Policy 7.2.5B - When considering whether there are any adverse effects on the characteristics and qualities of the natural features and landscape values in terms of 7.2.5(a), whether there are any significant adverse effects and the scale of any adverse effects in terms of 7.2.5(b) and 7.2.5A, and in determining the character, intensity and scale of the adverse effects:

- a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;
- b) Recognise that many areas contain on-going use and development that:
  - (i) Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established
  - (ii) May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;
- c) Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative adverse effects from minor or transitory adverse effects;
- d) Have regard to any restoration and enhancement of the characteristics and qualities of that area of natural features and/or natural landscape;
- e) Recognise it may be appropriate to offset significant residual adverse effects on a landscape or feature to result in no net loss or preferably a net landscape gain;
- f) Recognise that where adverse effects cannot be practicably avoided, adverse effects could be minimised; and
- g) Acknowledge that a future adverse effect may be avoided where the effect is temporary and is authorised for a finite term.

|                    |   |    |          |             |              |                 |
|--------------------|---|----|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 81 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy 7.2.6 by adding 7.2.6(d) - "aquaculture activities where the method and effects of farming are reversible."  |    |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 82 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Amend Policy 7.2.7(a)(ii) by adding after "to the foreshore" - ",excluding barges used for aquaculture." (NB. These are not covered by the workers' accommodation in the definition of Dwelling, as that applies only to land-based farming); and</li> <li>b) Remove reference to amenity.</li> </ul> |    |          |             |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|---------|
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 83    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy if the following relief is provided:<br>a) Reference to amenity is deleted; and<br>b) Specific recognition is given to aquaculture in this context, as an existing primary production activity. Explanation currently focuses on land use.  |       |          |             |               |         |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 84    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.9  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 7.2.9.   |       |          |             |               |         |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 85    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.10. (inferred)   |       |          |             |               |         |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 86    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.12 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 7.2.12.  |       |          |             |               |         |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 87    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | All reference to amenity should be removed from Chapter 7.   |       |          |             |               |         |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 88    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.            | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add new 7.M.3A – “Landscape Assessment Method.” New Appendix 1A should be included in the MEP at volume 3, setting out a detailed method to encourage consistency of approach between landscape architects.  |       |          |             |               |         |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 89    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.            | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support the anticipated environmental result - landscapes are protected from degradation (rather than enhanced).<br>a) Policies, issues and objectives should be consistent with this intended outcome; and<br>b) Recognition that landscape is not degraded by allowing for the continuation of existing activities, such as aquaculture. |       |          |             |               |         |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 90    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.            | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The s 32 analysis should be redone to take account of consenting costs, using publicly available information where possible. The NZIER reports commissioned by the MFA should be referenced. Where existing marine farms are at risk, the cost of loss of farming space should be acknowledged.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 91    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Issue 8A      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The MEP should better address the concepts of "avoid" and risk.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 92    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend objective 8.1 to read "Marlborough's remaining areas of significant indigenous biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments are protected."   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 93    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support objective 8.2, provided other relief sought in respect of chapter 8 is granted.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 94    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Adopt approach taken in the proposed Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016) at Appendix 5, pages 175 - 178.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 95    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Adopt approach taken in the proposed Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016) at Appendix 5, pages 175 - 178.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 96    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>a) Rewrite Policy 8.1.3 to read "Recognise that increased information is an intrinsic good. Where there is uncertainty and real risk of a significant adverse effect, use adaptive management techniques to address that risk."; and</p> <p>b) Add to the commentary the importance of Council partnering with industry to increase knowledge.</p> |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 97    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy 8.2.1 should be amended to refer to "resource users", not simply landowners.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 98           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.2     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | a) Amend Policy 8.2.2 to refer to "resource users", in addition to landowners;<br>b) Add "encourage and promote the protection, restoration and re-establishment of areas of indigenous biodiversity"; and<br>c) As a result: delete Policies 8.2.10, 8.2.11 and 8.2.12. |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 99           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.10    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | a) Amend Policy 8.2.2 to refer to "resource users", in addition to landowners;<br>b) Add "encourage and promote the protection, restoration and re-establishment of areas of indigenous biodiversity"; and<br>c) As a result: delete Policies 8.2.10, 8.2.11 and 8.2.12. |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 100          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.11    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | a) Amend Policy 8.2.2 to refer to "resource users", in addition to landowners;<br>b) Add "encourage and promote the protection, restoration and re-establishment of areas of indigenous biodiversity"; and<br>c) As a result: delete Policies 8.2.10, 8.2.11 and 8.2.12. |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 101          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.12    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | a) Amend Policy 8.2.2 to refer to "resource users", in addition to landowners;<br>b) Add "encourage and promote the protection, restoration and re-establishment of areas of indigenous biodiversity"; and<br>c) As a result: delete Policies 8.2.10, 8.2.11 and 8.2.12. |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 102          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.3     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | a) Delete Policy 8.2.3; or<br>b) Amend to expressly limit this policy to the terrestrial environment.  |              |               |                           |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 103          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.               | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Add new Policy 8.2.3A - "Work with marine resource users and develop partnerships to protect, maintain and restore significant marine habitats."<br>Note that this will require a consequential addition to 8.M.11 Partnership/Liaison method of implementation. |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 104          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.11           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Add new Policy 8.2.3A - "Work with marine resource users and develop partnerships to protect, maintain and restore significant marine habitats."<br>Note that this will require a consequential addition to 8.M.11 Partnership/Liaison method of implementation. |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 105          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.5     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | a) Delete Policy 8.2.5; or<br>b) Amend to expressly limit the policy to the terrestrial environment.   |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 106          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.7     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to say "will be developed and maintained in partnership with MPI and affected industries and communities".   |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 107          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.8     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 8.2.8.   |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 108          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 8.2.9.   |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 109          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.1     | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete 8.3.1 and 8.3.2 and replace with:</p> <p>New Policy 8.3.1 - In the coastal environment, avoid adverse effects, and outside the coastal environment avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of subdivision, use and development so they are no more than minor on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Indigenous taxa that are listed as threatened or at risk in the New Zealand Threat Classification System lists;</li> <li>(b) Areas of indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna, that are significant using the assessment criteria in Appendix 3; and</li> <li>(c) Areas set aside for full or partial protection of indigenous biodiversity under other legislation.</li> </ul> <p>New Policy 8.3.2 - In the coastal environment, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy, or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation;</li> <li>(b) Habitats of indigenous species that are important for recreational, commercial, traditional or cultural purposes; and</li> <li>(c) Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including estuaries, lagoons, coastal wetlands, inter-tidal zones, rocky reef systems, coastal and headwater streams, floodplains, margins of the coastal marine area and freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas and saltmarsh.</li> </ul> <p>New Policy 8.3.2A - Outside the coastal environment and where Policy 8.3.1 does not apply, avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of subdivision, use and development so they are not significant on any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation;</li> <li>(b) Habitats of indigenous species that are important for recreational, commercial, traditional or cultural purposes; and</li> <li>(c) Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including wetlands, headwater streams, floodplains and margins of freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas.</li> </ul> <p>New Policy 8.3.2B - For the purposes of Policies 8.3.1, 8.3.2 and 8.3.2A, when considering whether there are any adverse effects and/or any significant adverse effects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;</li> <li>(b) Recognise that many areas contain ongoing use and development that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established</li> <li>(ii) May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;</li> </ul> </li> <li>(c) Recognise that where the effects are or may be irreversible, then they are likely to be more than minor;</li> <li>(d) Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative effects from minor or transitory effects;</li> <li>(e) Have regard to any restoration and enhancement of the areas and species listed in Policies 8.3.1 and 8.3.2; and</li> <li>(f) Have regard to any technical or operational requirements.</li> </ul> <p>New Policy 8.3.2C - For the purpose of Policy 8.3.2A, if adverse effects cannot be reasonably avoided, remedied or mitigated then it may be appropriate to consider the next steps in the mitigation hierarchy i.e. biodiversity offsetting, followed by environmental biodiversity compensation, as set out in Policy 8.3.8.</p> |       |          |                           |              |        |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 110   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete 8.3.1 and 8.3.2 and replace with:</p> <p>New Policy 8.3.1 - In the coastal environment, avoid adverse effects, and outside the coastal environment avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of subdivision, use and development so they are no more than minor on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Indigenous taxa that are listed as threatened or at risk in the New Zealand Threat Classification System lists;</li> <li>(b) Areas of indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna, that are significant using the assessment criteria in Appendix 3; and</li> <li>(c) Areas set aside for full or partial protection of indigenous biodiversity under other legislation.</li> </ul> <p>New Policy 8.3.2 - In the coastal environment, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy, or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation;</li> <li>(b) Habitats of indigenous species that are important for recreational, commercial, traditional or cultural purposes; and</li> <li>(c) Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including estuaries, lagoons, coastal wetlands, inter-tidal zones, rocky reef systems, coastal and headwater streams, floodplains, margins of the coastal marine area and freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas and saltmarsh.</li> </ul> <p>New Policy 8.3.2A - Outside the coastal environment and where Policy 8.3.1 does not apply, avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of subdivision, use and development so they are not significant on any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation;</li> <li>(b) Habitats of indigenous species that are important for recreational, commercial, traditional or cultural purposes; and</li> <li>(c) Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including wetlands, headwater streams, floodplains and margins of freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas.</li> </ul> <p>New Policy 8.3.2B - For the purposes of Policies 8.3.1, 8.3.2 and 8.3.2A, when considering whether there are any adverse effects and/or any significant adverse effects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;</li> <li>(b) Recognise that many areas contain ongoing use and development that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established</li> <li>(ii) May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;</li> </ul> </li> <li>(c) Recognise that where the effects are or may be irreversible, then they are likely to be more than minor;</li> <li>(d) Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative effects from minor or transitory effects;</li> <li>(e) Have regard to any restoration and enhancement of the areas and species listed in Policies 8.3.1 and 8.3.2; and</li> <li>(f) Have regard to any technical or operational requirements.</li> </ul> <p>New Policy 8.3.2C - For the purpose of Policy 8.3.2A, if adverse effects cannot be reasonably avoided, remedied or mitigated then it may be appropriate to consider the next steps in the mitigation hierarchy i.e. biodiversity offsetting, followed by environmental biodiversity compensation, as set out in Policy 8.3.8.</p> |       |          |                           |              |        |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 111   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Delete Policy 8.3.5; or</li> <li>(b) Replace with a far shorter list containing more targeted effects.</li> </ul>  |       |          |                           |              |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|---------|
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 112   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.8 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 8.3.8 and replace with the following:<br>Where a biodiversity offset is proposed, the following criteria will apply [taken from Chapter M, Appendix 8 proposed AUP Independent Hearing Panel's Recommendations]:<br>(a) Restoration, enhancement and protection actions will only be considered a biodiversity offset where it is used to offset the significant residual effects of activities after the adverse effects have been avoided, remedied or mitigated.<br>(b) Restoration, enhancement and protection actions undertaken as a biodiversity offset are demonstrably additional to what otherwise would occur, including that they are additional to any avoidance, remediation or mitigation undertaken in relation to the adverse effects of the activity.<br>(c) Offset actions should be undertaken close to the location of development, where this will result in the best ecological outcome.<br>(d) The values to be lost through the activity to which the offset applies are counterbalanced by the proposed offsetting activity, which is at least commensurate with the adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity. Where possible the overall result should be no net loss, and preferably a net gain in ecological values.<br>(e) The offset is applied so that the ecological values being achieved through the offset are the same or similar to those being lost. |       |          |                           |              |         |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 113   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.           | Support |
| Decision Requested | Annotate provisions in the entire chapter with RPS / C / R / D.   |       |          |                           |              |         |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 114   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.           | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy –<br><i>"Risk of an effect occurring will be considered appropriate if one or a combination of the following criteria can be met:</i><br>(a) <i>The effects of an activity are likely to be reversible;</i><br>(b) <i>Adverse effects are likely to be reversible before they reach a significant level;</i><br>(c) <i>The normal state of the environment can be adequately defined;</i><br>(d) <i>The development could occur on a staged basis; and/or</i><br>(e) <i>The temporal and spacial scale does not impact on the full range of the species or relevant habitat or area."</i>  |       |          |                           |              |         |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 115   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.           | Support |
| Decision Requested | Consequential amendments may be necessary to the Methods of Implementation and Anticipated Environmental Results in light of MFA submissions.   |       |          |                           |              |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 116   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Policy 9.1.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy 9.1.5 should specifically state that the existing aquaculture industry does not impede public access to and along the coast. Support Policy 9.1.13, so long as the proposed change to 9.1.5 is made. |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 117   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Policy 9.1.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 9.1.7 to read "...and launching ramps (for example, at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay) that make a significant contribution..."  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 118   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Objective 9.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert new Policy 9.1.14A to state that the 2005 MNZ Guidelines do not need to be considered in the Marlborough Sounds context.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 119   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Policy 9.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | An additional sub-section (h) should be added to policy 9.2.1 to allow access to and along the coastal marine area to be restricted to manage threats to biosecurity.                                       |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 120   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Policy 9.2.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 9.2.2. Subsection (a) should be replaced with "the constraint in reasonable".  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 121   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Policy 9.3.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy 9.3.2(d) should be amended to read "recognising the value of open space in the coastal marine area..."   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 122   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13H     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 13H. (inferred)  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 123   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13J     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 13J. (inferred)  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| 426                | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 124   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13K     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 13K to read "...and maintenance of existing ports at Picton, Havelock, Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay, port landing areas at Okiwi Bay and existing marinas at Picton, Waikawa and Havelock." |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 125   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this provision.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 126   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.2. (inferred)   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 127   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.10 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Objective 13.10 and associated policies should expressly exclude aquaculture.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 128   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.14 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.14. (inferred)  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 129   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.15 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.15. (inferred)  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 130   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.17 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.17. (inferred)  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 131   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.18 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete the word "minimises" and replace with "takes reasonable steps to minimise."  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 132   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this provision.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 133          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this provision.   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 134          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete 13.2.1 in its entirety (duplication); or<br>13.2.1(a) - either:<br>(a) Delete entire sub-paragraph; or<br>(b) Delete "the characteristics and qualities that contribute to", or substitute "values" for reference to "characteristics and qualities."; and<br>13.2.1(g) remove reference to "individual and". |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 135          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.2. (inferred)   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 136          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.3    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.2.3(b) to read "will generally be granted for a minimum period of 20 years."   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 137          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.4    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Put a full-stop after "in a particular location". Delete the rest of the policy.   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 138          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete policy 13.2.5.  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 139          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.6    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | In conjunction with amendment suggested to policy 13.2.4, delete this policy.  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 140          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.1    | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add new sub-section (g) "may give rise to potential reverse sensitivity issues".  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 141   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add "excluding Tory Channel and East Bay" (NB. Delete "including Tory Channel").  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 142   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Add new Policy 13.5.10 - "Protect aquaculture from reserve sensitivity effects arising from residential activity and subdivision for residential purposes in the Coastal Environment" |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 143   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new Method of Implementation 13.M.11A - Add a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 144   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Add new Method of Implementation 13.M.17A - Create a new marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 145   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.7.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy 13.7.2 should be amended to expressly record that barges used in aquaculture are excluded from the ambit of the policy.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 146   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Replace the word "necessary" in policy 13.10.3 with "reasonable."   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 147   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.6 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete "the landscape and".   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 148          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.10  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Sub-section (c) should read "where consent to authorise an existing structure is refused or any appeals have been exhausted."   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 149          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.11  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | New sub-section (d) should be added "whether the new, altered or extended jetty may give rise to potential reverse sensitivity issues, and how that could be avoided."          |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 150          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.20  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | New sub-section (d) should be added "whether the new or extended boatshed and/or slipway may give rise to potential reverse sensitivity issues, and how that could be avoided." |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 151          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.12.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy 13.12.1(a) to read "where the dredged or other material is derived from the land, no reasonable and practicable alternatives are available on land."               |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 152          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.2    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 13.12.2.  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 153          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.4   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.4. (inferred)   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 154          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the word "significant" before "adverse effect".   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 155          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.2   | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The National Transportation Route overlay in volume 4 MEP should be redrafted to exclude the side bays.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 156   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.14.2. (inferred)  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 157   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend to read "of ships transiting this route are appropriately managed."  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 158   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | (a) 13.15.2(a) - delete "unimpeded by structures";<br>(b) 13.15.2(b) - commercial shipping routes is not a clear definition. "Avoiding" should be changed to "appropriately managing";<br>(c) 13.15.2(c) - "avoiding" should be changed to "appropriately managing"; and<br>(d) 13.15.2(d) - Amend to read "are not significantly affected by activities or structures..."   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 159   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.15.3. (inferred)  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 160   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or<br>(b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules). |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 161   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or<br>(b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules). |       |          |                                   |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 162          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.3   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>(b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p> |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 163          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.4   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>(b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p> |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 164          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.5   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>(b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p> |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 165          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.6   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>(b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p> |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 166          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.7   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>(b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p> |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 167          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.8   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or<br>(b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules). |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 168   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or<br>(b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules). |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 169   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or<br>(b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules). |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 170   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or<br>(b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules). |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 171   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.18.1 to read - "Ensure any substantial change to the intensity, character..."<br>The commentary should reference Policy 9 (Ports) of the NZCPS. Policy 6(2)(c) NZCPS is not, by itself, enough to override the avoidance policies in the NZCPS.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 172   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.2  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.18.2 to read "do not inappropriately affect water, air or soil quality..."  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 173   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.3. (inferred)   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 174   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.18.4 to read "Inappropriate environmental effects from activities..."   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 175   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.5, (inferred)   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 176   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.7 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 13.18.7.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 177   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support the comment that "This chapter does not contain provisions managing marine farming." However, this should be reworded to say "This chapter does not apply to marine farming or structures and activities associated with marine farming." |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 178   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Make consequential amendments where amenity policies are changed.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 179   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Any relevant consequential changes to methods of implementation 13.M.19 - 13.M.23.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 180   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.            | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Consequential changes should be made to methods of implementation 13.M.24 - 13.M.27 and to the anticipated environmental results in light of MFA submissions.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 181   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 15A. (inferred)  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 182   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | (a) Add new Policy 15.1.19A - "Avoid the discharge of human sewage to land where it may contaminate coastal water within the marine farm protection overlay, or areas used for fishing or shellfish gathering";<br>(b) Add new Policy 15.1.19B – "Require any accidental discharge to be notified to the Marlborough District Council immediately. The Marlborough District Council will then advise potential affected persons"; and<br>(c) Add new Method of Implementation 15.M.15A - Create a new marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm. |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 183   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.3.5(a) to read "into air from industrial, trade or primary production premises or industrial, trade or primary production processes that have..."   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 184   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.4.3. (inferred)  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 185   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.4.4. (inferred)  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 186   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the water quality provisions in the MEP so far as they protect the quality of coastal water for shellfish gathering. ( <i>Inferred</i> )   |       |          |  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 187   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Objective 19.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend objective 19.1 to read "...effects on the environment arising from climate change and ocean acidification."  |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 188   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 19.1.2 to read "...potential effects of climate change and ocean acidification..."  |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 189   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 19.1.3 to read "Enable primary industries to adapt to the effects of climate change and ocean acidification."   |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 190   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend chapter 19 title to read "Climate Change and Ocean Acidification."   |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 191   | All      | All               |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Oppose the title "Marlborough Environment Plan." Change the title to "The Sustainable Management Plan for Marlborough."  |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 192   | All      | All               |                | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Enable primary production in Marlborough, as set out in the submission of Federated Farmers of New Zealand, save for where the submission or intended outcomes are inconsistent with the MFA's submission. |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 193   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.16.4.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.16.4. (inferred)   |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 194   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.16.5.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.16.5. (inferred)   |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 195   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.17.4.        | Support         |



| Sub No   | Submitter  | Point  | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
|--|--|--|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|----------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|
| Decision Requested   | Retain Rule 2.17.4. (inferred)   |  |          |                                   |               |                 |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| <b>426</b>   | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 196  | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                   | 2.34.10.      | Support in Part |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| Decision Requested   | Amend rule 2.34.10 to read "Sign required for, or established by statute, rule, regulation or resource consent."   |  |          |                                   |               |                 |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| <b>426</b>   | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 197  | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                      | 13.1.         | Support         |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| Decision Requested   | Retain Rules in Section 13.1. (inferred)   |  |          |                                   |               |                 |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| <b>426</b>   | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 198  | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.3 | Support in Part |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| Decision Requested   | <p>The standard implies that noise measurement could be taken at the noise source, rather than at the notional boundary of a property. The standard is marginally more restrictive than the current limits under the operative MSRMP, even allowing for the different measurement.</p> <p>(a) Amend standard 13.2.3.1 to read:<br/>           "For port operations in Picton and Shakespeare Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th>Location</th> <th>Day-night<br/>(Long term)</th> <th>Night-time<br/>(Short term)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>At any point on land at, or beyond,<br/>the Inner Noise Control Boundary.</td> <td>65 Ldn (5 days)<br/>68 Ldn (1day)</td> <td>60 dB LAeq (9 hours)<br/>65 LAeq (15 min)<br/>85 dB LAFMax";</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>(b) Amend standard 13.2.3.2 to include the following noise limits:<br/>           "For port operations in Havelock, Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th>Location</th> <th>Day-night<br/>(Long term)</th> <th>Night-time<br/>(Short term)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>At any point on land at, or beyond,<br/>the Outer Noise Control Boundary.</td> <td>55 Ldn (5 days)<br/>58 Ldn (1day)</td> <td>50 dB LAeq (9 hours)<br/>55 LAeq (15 min)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> |  |          |                                   |               |                 | Location | Day-night<br>(Long term) | Night-time<br>(Short term) | At any point on land at, or beyond,<br>the Inner Noise Control Boundary. | 65 Ldn (5 days)<br>68 Ldn (1day) | 60 dB LAeq (9 hours)<br>65 LAeq (15 min)<br>85 dB LAFMax"; | Location | Day-night<br>(Long term) | Night-time<br>(Short term) | At any point on land at, or beyond,<br>the Outer Noise Control Boundary. | 55 Ldn (5 days)<br>58 Ldn (1day) | 50 dB LAeq (9 hours)<br>55 LAeq (15 min) |
| Location   | Day-night<br>(Long term)   | Night-time<br>(Short term)                                 |          |                                   |               |                 |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| At any point on land at, or beyond,<br>the Inner Noise Control Boundary. | 65 Ldn (5 days)<br>68 Ldn (1day)   | 60 dB LAeq (9 hours)<br>65 LAeq (15 min)<br>85 dB LAFMax"; |          |                                   |               |                 |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| Location   | Day-night<br>(Long term)   | Night-time<br>(Short term)                                 |          |                                   |               |                 |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| At any point on land at, or beyond,<br>the Outer Noise Control Boundary. | 55 Ldn (5 days)<br>58 Ldn (1day)   | 50 dB LAeq (9 hours)<br>55 LAeq (15 min)                   |          |                                   |               |                 |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| <b>426</b>   | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 199  | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                      | 13.3.4.1.     | Support in Part |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| Decision Requested   | Amend standard 13.2.4.1 to read "...at the port in Picton, Shakespeare Bay, Havelock, Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay are adequately insulated from port noise."   |  |          |                                   |               |                 |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| <b>426</b>   | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 200  | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                      | 13.3.21.      | Support in Part |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |
| Decision Requested   | Amend standard 13.3.21 to read - "Oil spill dispersants must be used by a person described by Section 467 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994 or a person authorised by the Harbour Master."  |  |          |                                   |               |                 |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |          |                          |                            |  |                                  |  |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 201          | Volume 2      | 13 Port Zone   | 13.3.4.2.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standard 13.3.4.2 to read "All anti-foul or bio-foul waste, coating waste or other contaminant removed must be captured upon removal. The waste must be stored for disposal in a covered container located in a roofed area."   |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 202          | Volume 2      | 13 Port Zone   | 13.3.10.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Standard 13.3.10 should be amended:</p> <p>(a) So that it is clear that it only applies to monitoring equipment in the coastal marine area;</p> <p>(b) To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (13.3.10.1);</p> <p>(c) To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (13.3.10.2); and</p> <p>(d) To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (13.3.10.5).</p> |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 203          | Volume 2      | 13 Port Zone   | 13.4.4.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 13.4.4. (inferred)  |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 204          | Volume 2      | 13 Port Zone   | 13.6.4.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 13.6.4 be deleting "From 9 June 2022".   |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 205          | Volume 2      | 13 Port Zone   | 13.6.5.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 13.6.5 be deleting "From 9 June 2022".   |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 206          | Volume 2      | 13 Port Zone   | 13.6.6.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 13.6.6. (inferred)  |              |               |                |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 207   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.6.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rules in Section 13.6. (inferred)  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 208   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.1.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rules under Section 14.1. (inferred)   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 209   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.2.3.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standard 14.2.3.1 to read:<br>"An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at or within the notional boundary of dwellings as they exist at 9 June 2016 outside the Port Landing Area Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:<br>7.00 am to 10.00 pm 55 dB LAeq<br>10.00 pm to 7.00 am 45 dB LAeq 75 dB LAFmax"   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 210   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standard 14.3.1.2 to read - "There must be no more than a minor increase in the height, size or scale of the building or structure being replaced."   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 211   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.5.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Standard 14.3.5. should be amended:<br>(a) To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (14.3.5.1);<br>(b) To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (14.3.5.2); and<br>(c) To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (14.3.5.5). |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 212   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.11.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standard 14.3.11.1 to read - "Oil spill dispersants must be used by a person described by Section 467 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994 or a person authorised by the Harbour Master."   |       |          |                           |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 213   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.5.4.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 14.5.4 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 214   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.5.5.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 14.5.4 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 215   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.5.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rules in Section 14.5. (inferred)  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 216   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.1.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rules in Section 15.1. (inferred)  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 217   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.1.21.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to read "Use of a marine recreation group clubroom".  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 218   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.2.3.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>(a) Amend 15.2.3.1 to read:<br/>           "An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of the Marina Zone does not exceed the following limits:<br/>           7.00 am to 10.00 pm 60 dB LAeq<br/>           10.00 pm to 7.00 am 45 dB LAeq 75 dB LAFmax"; and</p> <p>(b) Amend 15.2.3.2 to include the following noise limits:<br/>           7.00 am to 10.00 pm 55 dB LAeq<br/>           10.00 pm to 7.00 am 45 dB LAeq 75 dB LAFmax."</p> |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 219   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.3.9.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the following Standards under Heading 15.3.9:  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
|                    | (a) To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (15.3.9.1);  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
|                    | (b) To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (15.3.9.2); and  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
|                    | (c) To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from the background sedimentation. (15.3.9.5)   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 220   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.3.19.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standard 15.3.19.1 to read - "Oil spill dispersants must be used by a person described by Section 467 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994 or a person authorised by the Harbour Master."  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 221   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.7.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 15.7 - Prohibited activities. (inferred)   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 222   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.7.4.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 15.7.4 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 223   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.7.5.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 15.7.5 by deleting 9 June 2022".  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 224   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rules under Section 16.1 - Permitted Activities. (inferred)   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 225   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | (a) Add new Permitted Activity 16.1.24 - "Restoration of shellfish reefs in the Marlborough Sounds and associated activities in appropriate areas"; and  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
|                    | (b) Consequential changes should be made to the Permitted Activity Standards at 16.2, allowing for restoration to be achieved using a variety of techniques, including, but not limited to, depositing natural fibre substrate, placing waste shell or old mooring blocks on the seabed, or undertaking other activities to kick start reef development. |       |          |                        |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 226   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend 16.2.1.4 to read "Take practical steps to minimise or eliminate contaminants released from equipment being used for the activity." This recognises that a motor may be needed to drive equipment, which will run off petrol or diesel.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 227   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2.3.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | (a) Amend standard 16.2.3.1 to read:<br>"An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at or within the notional boundary of any dwelling existing at 9 June 2016 does not exceed the following noise limits:<br>7.00 am to 10.00 pm 50 dB LAeq<br>10.00 pm to 7.00 am 40 dB LAeq 75 dB LAFmax"; and<br>(b) Add new 16.2.3.2(d) "noise ordinarily generated by commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting ships."                               |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 228   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 16.3.2.1, so it expressly does not apply to any ship or barge used in aquaculture.  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 229   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.9.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 16.3.9; or<br>Policy 16.3.9 should be amended:<br>(a) To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 7 months in any calendar year (16.3.9.1); and<br>(b) To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (16.3.9.2); and<br>(c) To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (16.3.9.5). |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 230   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.16.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Standard 16.3.16.1 should be amended so that it does not apply to the take and use of coastal water for the ordinary operation of vessels.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 231   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.6.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 16.7.6 accordingly and add a new permitted activity rule to 16.1 to secure this relief.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 232          | Volume 2      | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.1.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 16.7.1. (inferred)  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 233          | Volume 2      | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 16.7.2 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 234          | Volume 2      | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.3.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 16.7.2 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 235          | Volume 2      | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.4.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 16.7.4. (inferred)  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 236          | Volume 2      | 25 Definitions                          | 25.              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of "Non-consumptive uses" to read "...For example, fishing, swimming and cooling of vessels."  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 237          | Volume 2      | 25 Definitions                          | 25.              | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Add definition of "Primary Production" to read "All forms of agriculture, horticulture, silviculture and aquaculture, whether on land or on sea, and includes the processing, preparation for market and sale of those products." |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 238          | Volume 2      | 25 Definitions                          | 25.              | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Chapter 25 - Definitions. (inferred)   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 239          | Volume 2      | 25 Definitions                          | 25.              | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Add definition of "Outstanding" to read "Obviously exceptional, notable, eminent."  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 240          | Volume 3      | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | (a) The entirety of Appendix 1 needs to be re-written, so that it is consistent with the definition in Policy 7.1.1 and the requirement in Policy 7.1.4;<br>(b) This potential methodological flaw has resulted in incorrect mapping, meaning the landscape overlay maps should be redrafted accordingly; and<br>(c) Where existing marine farms are present, there should be an express statement that those farms do not affect landscape values. This reflects the approach taken in Northland, the Bay of Plenty and Auckland.  |       |          |  |           |         |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 241   | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values                          |           | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | (a) The entirety of Appendix 2 needs to be re-written, so that it is consistent with the definition in Policy 6.1.1 and the requirement in Policy 6.1.4;<br>(b) This potential methodological flaw has resulted in incorrect mapping, meaning the coastal natural character overlay maps should be redrafted accordingly; and<br>(c) Where existing marine farms are present, there should be an express statement that those farms do not affect natural character values. This reflects the approach taken in Northland, the Bay of Plenty and Auckland.  |       |          |  |           |         |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 242   | Volume 3 | Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance                                |           | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | A note should be added at the beginning of Appendix 3 stating "These criteria are intended to be applied by suitably qualified and experienced ecologists." (This is modelled off the approach in the Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016), at Appendix 5); and<br><br>(a) Delete Appendix 3 and replace with approach taken in the proposed Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016) at Appendix 5, pages 175 – 178;<br>(b) The MEP should clearly distinguish between areas of national significance and areas of regional significance; and<br>(c) A cascading approach to managing effects on these different areas should be included in the Chapter 8 Policies, consistent with Policy 11 of the NZCPS, rather than a straight avoidance approach (this is reflected in the submissions in respect of the Policies in Chapter 8). |       |          |  |           |         |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 243   | Volume 3 | Appendix 4 Determining Significant Adverse Effects                               |           | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | (a) Delete Appendix 4; or<br>(b) Use appropriate quantitative measure to define significance.   |       |          |  |           |         |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 244   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                             | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Water Quality Classification of SG for all coastal water in respect of the value of food gathering (page 5-17). Support the interpretation of the temperature, dissolved oxygen and suitability of fish for human consumption standards/parameters for SG classification on pages 5-21 and 5-22.   |       |          |                                     |                             |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 245   | Volume 3 | Appendix 7 Scheme Plan Requirements |                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | (a) Add new item 24 under "Other Relevant Site Details" - "The location of any relevant marine farm protection overlay"; and<br>(b) Under heading "Sewerage" add - "Any subdivision of land within the marine farm protection overlay must assess the potential for contamination of coastal water."  |       |          |                                     |                             |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 246   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                        | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The 2014 Natural Character of the Marlborough Coast study does not separate characteristics from values. It uses a different set of definitions than that contained in the MEP at Policy 6.1.1. Adoption of a different methodology means that the maps contained in the MEP (derived from the 2014 Study) are inconsistent with the policy approach in the MEP.<br>The Coastal Natural Character maps (1-5) and/or the policies in the MEP need to be redrafted accordingly.       |       |          |                                     |                             |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 247   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                        | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Redraft the Coastal Natural Character maps (1-5) to show a reduction in the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character areas.   |       |          |                                     |                             |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 248   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                        | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Support the natural character mapping in respect of Waihinau Bay.<br>Oppose the mapping of the waters of Fitzroy Bay as high natural character and the surrounding land as very high natural character.<br>(a) Amend the natural character mapping in those locations where the mapping is opposed; or<br>(b) If Fitzroy Bay rating is correct, the MEP should expressly recognise that the presence of marine farming does not affect the values that lead to that classification. |       |          |                                     |                             |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 249   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                        | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Support the mapping of the seascape in Beatrix Bay and Anakoha Bay has not having high, very high or outstanding natural character.<br>Oppose the mapping of the land in Beatrix Bay and the western headland of Anakoha Bay as having high natural character.<br>(a) Amend the natural character mapping in those locations where the mapping is opposed; or<br>(b) The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.  |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 250   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The MFA notes that Natural Character Map 3 does not in correspond with the Natural Character Index. Squally Cove is not included in the map.<br>Support the natural character mapping at the head of Crail Bay.<br>Oppose the mapping of the land on the southern side of Whakitenga Bay has having high natural character (according to the online overlay map).<br>(a) Amend the natural character mapping in those locations where the mapping is opposed; or<br>(b) The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification. |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 251   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Support the natural character mapping in respect of Clova Bay and Whangatoetoe Bay, Port Underwood.<br>Oppose the mapping of the headlands between Beatrix Bay and Waimaru Bay as having high natural character.<br>(a) Amend the natural character mapping in those locations where the mapping is opposed; or<br>(b) The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.  |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 252   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The entirety of Appendix 1 needs to be re-written, so that it is consistent with the definition in Policy 7.1.1. This potential methodological flaw has resulted in incorrect mapping. The landscape maps (1-11) should be amended accordingly.   |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 253   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Submit that there is insufficient justification for the seaward extent of the outstanding natural landscape (ONL) extending so far offshore into Cook Strait.<br>Reduce the seaward extent of the ONL.  |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 254   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1                | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                               | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Oppose the mapping of Fitzroy Bay and half of Waihinau Bay as areas of outstanding natural landscape.<br>(a) Amend the mapping of the ONL; or<br>(b) The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.   |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 255   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4                            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Support the mapping of Squally Cove, Crail Bay and Clova Bay.<br>Oppose the mapping of Fitzroy Bay and the headland between Beatrix Bay and Waimaru Bay as areas of outstanding natural landscape.<br>(a) Amend the mapping of the ONL at the locations where it is opposed; or<br>(b) The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.                                 |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 256   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5                            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Support the mapping of Anakoha Bay, inner Beatrix Bay and Clova Bay.<br>Oppose the mapping of the headland between Beatrix Bay and Waimaru Bay, and Whangatoetoe Bay (Port Underwood) as areas of outstanding natural landscape.<br>(a) Amend the mapping of the ONL at the locations where that is opposed; or<br>(b) The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification. |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 257   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.   |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 258   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.   |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 259   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 3 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                               | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere. |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 260   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere. |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 261   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere. |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 262   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere. |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 263   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere. |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 264   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere. |       |          |              |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b>                         | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------|--|-------------|
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 265          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere. |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 266          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 10 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere. |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 267          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 11 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere. |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 268          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 12 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere. |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 269          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 13 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere. |              |               |                |  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b>                         | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|--|-------------|
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 270          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.  |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 271          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 15 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.  |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 272          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 16 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.  |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 273          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | The map should be redrafted to be consistent with the text of the Davidson 2011 Significant Marine Sites report, or it should be expressly recognised that marine farms do not have an adverse effect on whales.  |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 274          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | <p>The MEP should be amended:</p> <p>(a) So that a strict avoidance approach is not adopted in respect of these sites, consistent with the proposed changes to the policies at Chapter 8;</p> <p>(b) To specify which species of dolphin are relevant to each of the mapped areas; and</p> <p>(c) Maps should be updated in light of recent population research: <a href="http://www.stuff.co.nz/environment/82818673/research-shows-hectors-dolphin-population-bigger-than-previously-realised">http://www.stuff.co.nz/environment/82818673/research-shows-hectors-dolphin-population-bigger-than-previously-realised</a>.</p> |              |               |                |  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b>                        | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------|---|-----------------|
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 275          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission, in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate. |              |               |                |   |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 276          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission, in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate. |              |               |                |   |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 277          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission, in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate. |              |               |                |   |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 278          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely affect the gannet colony at the Waimaru Peninsula.   |              |               |                |   |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated  | 279          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The MEP should expressly recognise that the spat catching site in Clova Bay does not adversely affect the estuarine fringe and subtidal habitat inshore of the farm.                                 |              |               |                |   |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b>                         | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|--|-----------------|
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 280          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 12 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove any area used for navigation and Havelock Port from mapped site 3.20. The effect of the mapping and proposed rule 16.13.16 is to require all boats using the channel to have a resource consent to take coastal water.   |              |               |                |  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 281          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely affect the red algae bed in Cutters Bay.  |              |               |                |  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 282          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | National Transportation Route            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The National Transportation Route in Tory Channel and Queen Charlotte Sound should not be mapped to extend into all of the side bays. The National Transportation Route map should show the route as being confined to the main part of Tory Channel and Queen Charlotte Sound. |              |               |                |  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 283          | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps    | Zoning Map 64                            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | (a) Amend zoning map 64 to zone the commercial wharf and the boatramp at Okiwi Bay as Port Landing Area Zone; and<br>(b) Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.  |              |               |                |  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 284          | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps    | Zoning Map 111                           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | (a) Amend zoning map 111 to zone the commercial wharf and the boatramp at Okiwi Bay as Port Landing Area Zone; and<br>(b) Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.   |              |               |                |  |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 285          | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps    | Zoning Map 65                            | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | (a) Amend zoning maps 65 to zone the commercial wharf at Elaine Bay as Port Zone;<br>(b) Expand the size of the zone to include more of the CMA and the adjacent road; and<br>(c) Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.                       |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 286   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 103              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | (a) Amend zoning map 103 to zone the commercial wharf at Elaine Bay as Port Zone;<br>(b) Expand the size of the zone to include more of the CMA and the adjacent road; and<br>(c) Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.                       |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 287   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 77               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | (a) Amend zoning map 77 to zone the commercial wharf at Oyster Bay as Port Zone;<br>(b) Expand the size of the zone to include more of the CMA, the Open Space Zone, and the adjacent road; and<br>(c) Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.  |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 288   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 139              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | (a) Amend zoning map 139 to zone the commercial wharf at Oyster Bay as Port Zone;<br>(b) Expand the size of the zone to include more of the CMA, the Open Space Zone, and the adjacent road; and<br>(c) Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP. |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 289   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Redraft the Coastal Natural Character maps (1-5) to show a reduction in the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character areas.   |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 290   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Redraft the Coastal Natural Character maps (1-5) to show a reduction in the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character areas.   |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 291   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Redraft the Coastal Natural Character maps (1-5) to show a reduction in the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character areas. |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>426</b>         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated   | 292   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Redraft the Coastal Natural Character maps (1-5) to show a reduction in the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character areas. |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>474</b>         | Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated  | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.2.1   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>474</b>         | Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated  | 2     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 18               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The runway protection area should be shaped like a contour rather than a thin strip. Amend the Plan accordingly (annex attached).                     |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>474</b>         | Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated  | 3     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 19               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The runway protection area should be shaped like a contour rather than a thin strip. Amend the Plan accordingly (annex attached).                     |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>474</b>         | Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated  | 4     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 24               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The runway protection area should be shaped like a contour rather than a thin strip. Amend the Plan accordingly (annex attached).                     |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>474</b>         | Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated  | 5     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 25               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The runway protection area should be shaped like a contour rather than a thin strip. Amend the Plan accordingly (annex attached).                     |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>474</b>         | Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated  | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.7.13.                     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Rule 3.7.13 should refer the runway protection area. Amend the Plan accordingly.  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>474</b>         | Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated  | 7     | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone                         | 23.1.12.                    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add an appropriate standard to activities relying on Rule 23.1.12. The submission does not indicate what the appropriate standard should be.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>474</b>         | Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated  | 8     | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                       | 17.M.2         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert into the Plan the annexed (attached to submission) contour (or similar) with the red area being labelled Air Noise Boundary and the green area being labelled the Air Noise Notification Area/Outer Control Boundary.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>474</b>         | Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated  | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.2.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b><i>Inferred that the new rule is included in Volume 2 Chapter 3 Section 3.2 Permitted Activities.</i></b><br>Add a new rule that noise sensitive activities within the Noise Control Boundary should be prohibited unless specifically addressed elsewhere.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>474</b>         | Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated  | 10    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                       | Objective 17.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b><i>Inferred that the new policy is included in Volume 1 Chapter 17 Objective 17.2.</i></b><br><b><i>A new policy should require the education of people who live and work within the Air Noise Notification Area/Outer Control Boundary through notations on LIMs and at other relevant opportunities to ensure that those people who purchase or live within the Air Noise Notification Area/Outer Control Boundary are aware that over time a greater level of noise will be experienced in that area. Further consequential amendments to the Plan may be required.</i></b> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>474</b>         | Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated  | 11    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.2.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b><i>Inferred that the new rule is included in Volume 2 Chapter 3 Section 3.2 Permitted Activities.</i></b><br><b><i>Add a new rule that requires the creation of new titles within the Air Noise Notification Area/Outer Control Boundary (including the Colonial land and the MDC subdivision at Taylor Pass) must trigger a requirement to place covenants on the land consistent with that required of the Colonial land in resource consent decision Colonial Vineyards Limited v Marlborough District Council (2014] NZEnvC 55.</i></b>                                    |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>474</b>         | Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated  | 12    | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land |                | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 14 pages 4 and 5.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>959</b>         | Marlborough Aquaculture Limited   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | (a) Defer dealing with these matters until the aquaculture provisions have been notified.<br>(b) Recognise existing levels of activity and modification and allow those not to be threatened by an overly broad brush and an overstated assessment of the relevant values. Reassess and modify the classifications of outstanding and high for the identified areas.  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>959</b>         | Marlborough Aquaculture Limited   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | (a) Defer dealing with these matters until the aquaculture provisions have been notified.<br>(b) Recognise existing levels of activity and modification and allow those not to be threatened by an overly broad brush and an overstated assessment of the relevant values. Reassess and modify the classifications of outstanding and high for the identified areas.  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>959</b>         | Marlborough Aquaculture Limited   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | (a) Defer dealing with these matters until the aquaculture provisions have been notified.<br>(b) Recognise existing levels of activity and modification and allow those not to be threatened by an overly broad brush and an overstated assessment of the relevant values. Reassess and modify the classifications of outstanding and high for the identified areas.<br><br>(c) Modify the maps in Volume 4 to recognise the appropriate changes. |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>959</b>         | Marlborough Aquaculture Limited   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 1                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | (a) Defer dealing with these matters until the aquaculture provisions have been notified.<br>(b) Recognise existing levels of activity and modification and allow those not to be threatened by an overly broad brush and an overstated assessment of the relevant values. Reassess and modify the classifications of outstanding and high for the identified areas.<br><br>(c) Modify the maps in Volume 4 to recognise the appropriate changes. |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>960</b>         | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated  | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources                        | Policy 5.10.4               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>960</b>         | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated  | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources                        | Policy 5.10.7               | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to the explanation of Policy 5.10.7:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.10.7 – The manner in which the level of coastal occupancy charges has been determined is as follows:</i></p> <p><i>In deciding how to set charges, the Council has used as its starting point the actual expenditure considered necessary to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area <b>in as much as it may be affected by the identified effects of coastal occupiers</b>. The budgeted expenditure for this is described year to year in the Council's Annual Plan for the Environmental Science and Monitoring Group, Environmental Policy Group and Environmental Compliance and Education Group.</i></p> <p><i>In determining who should meet the cost of sustainably managing the coastal marine environment, an allocation of costs needs to occur between beneficiaries. The Council has considered that a contribution towards the costs should be made by ratepayers (25%) as well as those benefiting from the occupation of public space (75%). <b>The balance of funding required to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area in the wider sense will be sourced from elsewhere</b>. The Council has also given consideration to anticipated waivers that may be granted and the number and size of the various occupations. From this assessment, a schedule of charges has been derived and is set out in the Council's Annual Plan.</i></p> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>960</b>         | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources  | Policy 5.10.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.10.8:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.10.8 - Any coastal occupancy charges collected will <del>be used on</del> <b>contribute towards</b> the following to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area:</i></p>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>960</b>         | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated   | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources  | Policy 5.10.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new policy (bold) is included in this section of the MEP that states the following or similar. Ideally such a policy would be located below the existing Policy 5.10.1:</p> <p><b>Policy 5.10.X Recognition that there are inherent rights of a coastal permit holder over the use of the coastal structure(s) that occupy coastal space.</b></p>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>960</b>         | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated   | 5     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.6.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                                       | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                         | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>960</b>         | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated | 6            | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.6.1    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.6.1.                                  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>960</b>         | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated | 7            | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.7.1    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.7.1.                                  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>960</b>         | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated | 8            | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.8.1    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.8.1.                                  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>960</b>         | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated | 9            | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.8.2    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.8.2.                                  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>960</b>         | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated | 10           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.8.3    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.8.3.                                  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>960</b>         | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated | 11           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Objective 13.9   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.9.                                 |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>960</b>         | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated | 12           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.18   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>In the event that Policy 15.1.18 is intended to deal with land-based discharges of sewage to coastal water, MBMA seeks that the following amendment (bold) is made to the policy for clarification:<br/> <i>Policy 15.1.18 Avoid the discharge of untreated human sewage <b>from land based sources</b> to waterbodies or coastal waters.</i></p> <p>In the event that Policy 15.1.18 is intended to deal also with discharges of sewage from ships, MBMA seeks the following amendment to the policy:<br/> <i>Policy 15.1.18 Avoid the discharge of untreated human sewage to waterbodies or coastal waters <b>where it may render coastal water unsuitable for contact recreation, food gathering or aquaculture.</b></i></p> |       |          |  |                |        |
| <b>960</b>         | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated   | 13    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.19 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 15.1.19:<br/> <i><del>Policy 15.1.19 – Progressively work toward eliminating the discharge of human sewage to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure.</del></i></p>  |       |          |  |                |        |
| <b>960</b>         | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated   | 14    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.20 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 15.1.20:<br/> <i><del>Policy 15.1.20 – Except for Grade A or Grade B treated sewage, control the discharge of human sewage from ships in the Marlborough Sounds.</del></i></p>  |       |          |  |                |        |
| <b>960</b>         | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated   | 15    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                 | 16.1.          | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the 16.1 Permitted Activities list includes the following, if the 1000m rule is to be retained:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 1000m or greater distance from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 1000m or greater distance from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 1000m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm or from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> </ul> <p>That the 16.1 Permitted Activities list includes the following, if the status quo of the MarPol 500m distance is retained:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 500m or greater distance from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 500m or greater distance from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm or from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> </ul> |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>960</b>         | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated   | 16    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) are made to Rule 16.7.2 (<b><i>inferred</i></b>):</p> <p><del>Rule 16.7.2. From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</del></p>   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>960</b>         | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated   | 17    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) are made to Rule 16.7.3 (<b><i>inferred</i></b>):</p> <p><del>16.7.3. From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</del></p>   |       |          |                        |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|--------|
| 960                | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated  | 18    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new prohibited activity rules (bold) are included under 16.7 Prohibited Activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li><b><i>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li><b><i>The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li><b><i>The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm or MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> </ul> |       |          |                        |           |        |
| 960                | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated  | 19    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.4.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Rule 16.7.4:</p> <p><i>Rule 16.7.4 Discharge of treated or untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area <b>from land-based sources</b>, except for the discharge of treated human sewage from regionally significant infrastructure.</i></p>   |       |          |                        |           |        |
| 960                | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated  | 20    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.7.4.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) are made to Rule 15.7.4:</p> <p><del><i>Rule 15.7.4. From 6 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</i></del></p>  |       |          |                        |           |        |
| 960                | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated  | 21    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.7.5.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 15.7.5:</p> <p><del><i>Rule 15.7.5 From 6 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</i></del></p>   |       |          |                        |           |        |
| 960                | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated  | 22    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.7.     | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following new prohibited activity rules (bold) are included under 15.7 Prohibited Activities:  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li><b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li><b>The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li><b>The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm or MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> </ul> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>960</b>         | Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated  | 23    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone                   | 15.7.6.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Rule 15.7.6:   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
|                    | <i>Rule 15.7.6 Discharge of treated or untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area <b>from land-based sources.</b></i>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.1  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce                                   | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce                                   | 7     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce                                   | 8     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.2         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce                                   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | 6.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce                                   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.6  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce                                   | 11    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.6  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce                                   | 12    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce                                   | 13    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Objective 6.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | ADD: New policy 6.2.10 Promote the integration of subdivision, use or development with the protection, enhancement or establishment of natural features, landscape, vegetation and open space. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 14    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | ADD: New policy 6.2.11- Ensure that any development also takes into account the welfare and wellbeing of the community   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 15    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | 7.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 16    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.8  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 17    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | Policy 8.2.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 18    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | Policy 8.2.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 19    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | 8.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 20    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | 9.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 21    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.           | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 22    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.11 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 23    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 24    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                      | 11.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support Chapter 11 as notified. (Inferred)   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 25    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments                   | 12.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 26    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments                   | Policy 12.1.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <u>Add</u> (m) Retirement villages, worker accommodation, medium low rise density housing  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 27    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments                   | 12.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new Issue 12D- The Marlborough District is recognised as an area with an aging population growth, and a desirable place to retire to and live. Meeting the needs of the elderly and supporting their well-being needs to be considered to allow the integration and assimilation in both terms of housing and support services.                            |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 28    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments                   | 12.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new Issue 12E- There is an increasing reliance on seasonal workers and migrants to provide the work force in the Marlborough District. This will be across the vineyards, wineries, home and elderly person care. Accommodation in non-traditional or conventional housing needs to be evaluated to be able to assimilate this into the Urban Environment. |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 29    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments                   | 12.            | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add new Issue 12F - The provision of affordable housing in Marlborough needs to be addressed through Council and private developers, together with Central Govt incentives for infrastructure.  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 30    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | 12.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new Issue 12B - A loss in the vitality, viability and/or identity of Marlborough's business environments may result either where inappropriate activities are located within these environments or where fragmentation of business area occurs (no comment)   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 31    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The negative emphasis of this chapter like many others in this plan is discouraging and does not reflect the desire of the community to develop within reasonable guidelines and resource restrictions.<br><br>We recommend a full review and rewrite of this Chapter to ensure balance and proactive language is used to ensure participation. |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 32    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Issue 14B     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend to:</b> To encourage innovative development and integrated management of effects between subdivision and land use which results in better environmental outcomes than more conventional or traditional subdivision, use or development.  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 33    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.4.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 14.4.2 (c).   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 34    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.4.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 14.4.3.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 35    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.4.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 14.4.4.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 36    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.4.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 14.4.5.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 37    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.6  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 14.4.6.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 38    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.10 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 14.4.10.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 39    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.11 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 14.4.11.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 40    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Issue 14B      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new objective 14.5 By allowing greater intensity of subdivision or development in the Rural zone where this is offset by protection, restoration, enhancement or establishment of natural features, vegetation and open spaces, where they significantly contribute to the natural environment values, coastal environment and amenity. |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 41    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Issue 14B      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new objective 14.6 By providing for more intensive and innovative site specific subdivision where this results in better environmental outcomes.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 42    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Issue 14B      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new objective 14.7 By avoiding, remedying or mitigating the adverse effects of subdivision (including ribbon development) on the natural environment values of the rural area.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 43    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Issue 14B      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new Objective 14.8 By requiring all subdivision to contribute to the retention of rural character and amenity   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 44    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Issue 14B      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new objective 14.9 By promoting the integration of subdivision, use or development with the protection, enhancement or establishment of natural features, vegetation and open space   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 45    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Issue 14B     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new objective 14.10 To ensure that the servicing of new subdivision and development does not adversely affect the environment, in particular sensitive receiving environments.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 46    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | 14.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new Issue 14D – To recognise that farming, forestry, mineral extraction and processing, renewable energy generation, industrial and commercial activities and network utilities that enable people and communities to provide for their social, economic and cultural wellbeing. |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 47    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | 14.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new Issue 14E – To provide for a range of activities in the Rural zone which are located, designed and operated in such a way to avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse effects on existing land use in the vicinity.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 48    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | 14.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new Issue 14F - Recognition that the growth of vineyards and /or other agricultural activities rely predominantly on seasonal workers. As such seasonal worker accommodation needs to be provided where it can be integrated into the rural character.                           |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 49    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.5.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend: by removing “in remote locations”   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 50    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 51    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 52    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 53    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.5 | Support         |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 54    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 55    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.5         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 56    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 57    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.16 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 58    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.17 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· For those permits already in place should this policy not be used to require the consent holder to commence monitoring the effects of the discharge?</li> <li>· Any new discharge permit applications to continue discharging the contaminants, should then be able to provide monitoring records on the effects with the application, rather than starting the monitoring process from time of application being granted.</li> </ul> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 59    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.18 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred)   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 60    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.19 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |  |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                         | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce                                   | 61           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.21   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission. |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce                                   | 62           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.22   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission. |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce                                   | 63           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission. |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce                                   | 64           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.6           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission. |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce                                   | 65           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.9           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission. |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce                                   | 66           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.25   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission. |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce                                   | 67           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.26   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission. |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce                                   | 68           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.30   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission. |              |               |  |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                         | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 69           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.33   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 70           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.34   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 71           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.18          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred)   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 72           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.19          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Provide rates relief and the provision of plant material and fencing at low cost to landowners for fencing of waterways by farmland owners. (Inferred) |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 73           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.20          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Provide method to collate information to be able to impose rules and policies on landowners. (inferred)  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 74           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.21          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 75           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.              | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |              |               |  |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|---------|
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 76    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Provide incentives to enable it affordable for homeowners to make the transition.                                    |       |          |  |               |         |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Rates relief</li> <li>o Provision of heating sources at low cost</li> </ul> |       |          |  |               |         |
|                    | (inferred)   |       |          |  |               |         |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 77    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Provide incentives to enable it affordable for homeowners to make the transition.                                    |       |          |  |               |         |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Rates relief</li> <li>o Provision of heating sources at low cost</li> </ul> |       |          |  |               |         |
|                    | (Inferred)   |       |          |  |               |         |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 78    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.30       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred)   |       |          |  |               |         |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 79    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.37       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred)   |       |          |  |               |         |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 80    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |  |               |         |
| <b>961</b>         | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 81    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |  |               |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 961                | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 82    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.39       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (inferred)  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 961                | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 83    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.40       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (inferred)  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 961                | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 84    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.43       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 961                | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 85    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | 16.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 961                | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 86    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Issue 17A     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><u>ADD</u>: <u>New Objective 17.3</u></p> <p>Recognise that the Blenheim airport is a central component in urban and regional economic development. The airport will play a role in the regions "connectedness" much as the road transport network did previously. Development within industrial and airport zone needs to be encouraged to ensure ready access to global markets.</p> |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 961                | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce   | 87    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Policy 17.2.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete: Policy 17.2.2</p> <p>REWORD</p> <p>Noise effects of aircraft should be reasonable, in the context of the neighbourhood surrounding the Blenheim Airport, and should not exceed levels at, or outside, the Air Noise and Outer Control Boundary projected for the year 2026.</p>  |       |          |  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 961                | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 88    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Issue 17B     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><u>ADD</u> New policy 17.2.4</p> <p>The potential exposure of communities to Airport noise should be managed to ensure that a reasonable balance is achieved between the operational needs of the Blenheim Airport, over time, and the amenities and well-being of the community.</p> |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 961                | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 89    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Issue 17B     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><u>ADD</u> New Policy 17.2.5</p> <p>The operational area of the Blenheim airport should be recognised and considered as an important community resource.</p>  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 961                | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 90    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Issue 17B     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><u>ADD</u> new Policy 17.3.2</p> <p>Under Local routes in the table add "Cycle Ways"</p>  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 961                | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 91    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Issue 17E     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>ADD: new Objective 17.6 – Ensure that there has been sufficient traffic planning and modelling to determine a good hierarchy of roads.</p>  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 961                | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 92    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Issue 17E     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>ADD: new Objective 17.7 - Ensure that there is strong interface between all land use development and all transport infrastructure and activities.</p>   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 961                | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 93    | Volume 1 | 18 Energy         | 18.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.</p>   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 961                | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 94    | Volume 1 | 18 Energy         | Policy 18.1.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.</p>   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 961                | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 95    | Volume 1 | 18 Energy         | 18.M.2        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain as notified. (inferred)</p>  |       |          |                   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------|--------|
| 961                | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 96    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                               | 19.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |   |           |        |
| 961                | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 97    | Volume 3 | Appendix 24 Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove Appendix 24.  |       |          |   |           |        |
| 961                | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 98    | Volume 4 | All   |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.  |       |          |   |           |        |
| 961                | Marlborough Chamber of Commerce  | 99    | All      | All   |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Marlborough District Council to withdraw this version of the plan; to work on the balance required to ensure support from those involved in the region. Without their support the region is destined to be held back by over bureaucratic environmental pressure supported by the language of negativity expressed in areas this document. |       |          |   |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                        | 3.3.40.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 3.3.40.1 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2</del> "  |       |          |   |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                        | 3.3.41.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 3.3.41.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</del> ".   |       |          |   |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                      | 4.2.2.3.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 4.2.2.3(b) - " <del>(b) any fixed motors or equipment, frost fans or gas guns, milling or processing forestry activities, static irrigation pumps; motorbikes that are being used for recreational purposes.</del> "   |       |          |   |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.2.3.3.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 3.2.3.3(c) - " <del>(c) any fixed motors or equipment, frost fans or gas guns, milling or processing forestry activities, static irrigation pumps; motorbikes that are being used for recreational purposes.</del> " |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.41.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 3.3.41.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2</del> "  |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.40.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 4.2.40.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</del> "  |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 7     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.39.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 4.3.39.1 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "   |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 8     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.40.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 4.3.40.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "   |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 9     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.17.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 5.3.17.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</del> "  |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 10    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.17.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 5.3.17.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "   |       |          |                                  |           |        |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 11    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.18.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 5.3.18.1 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "                              |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 12    | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone       | 6.3.10.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 6.3.10.1 - " <i>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</i> " |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 13    | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone       | 6.3.10.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 6.3.10.2 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "                              |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 14    | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone       | 6.3.11.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 6.3.11.1 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "                              |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 15    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.3.15.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 7.3.15.1 - " <i>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</i> " |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 16    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.3.15.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 7.3.15.2 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "                              |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 17    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.3.16.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 7.3.16.1 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "                              |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 18    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone              | 8.3.17.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 8.3.17.1 - " <i>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</i> " |       |          |                                  |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|-----------|--------|
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 19    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.17.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 8.3.17.2 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "                              |       |          |                     |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 20    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.18.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 8.3.18.1 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "                              |       |          |                     |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 21    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone   | 9.3.8.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 9.3.8.1 - " <i>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 - Schedule 1.</i> "  |       |          |                     |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 22    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone   | 9.3.8.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 9.3.8.2 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "                               |       |          |                     |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 23    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone   | 9.3.9.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 9.3.9.1 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "                               |       |          |                     |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 24    | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone  | 10.3.8.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 10.3.8.1 - " <i>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</i> " |       |          |                     |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 25    | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone  | 10.3.8.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 10.3.8.2 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "                              |       |          |                     |           |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 26    | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone  | 10.3.9.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 10.3.9.1 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "                              |       |          |                     |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|------------|--------|
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 27    | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone          | 11.3.6.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 11.3.6.1 - " <i>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</i> "  |       |          |                             |            |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 28    | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone          | 11.3.6.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 11.3.6.2 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "                               |       |          |                             |            |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 29    | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone          | 11.3.7.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 11.3.7.1 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "                               |       |          |                             |            |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 30    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.12.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 12.3.12.1 - " <i>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</i> " |       |          |                             |            |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 31    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.12.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 12.3.12.2 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "                              |       |          |                             |            |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 32    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.13.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 12.3.13.1 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "                              |       |          |                             |            |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 33    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                | 13.3.28.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 13.3.28.1 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "                              |       |          |                             |            |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 34    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                | 13.3.29.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 13.3.29.1 - " <i>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</i> " |       |          |                             |            |        |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 35    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                | 13.3.29.2. | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 13.3.29.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "  |       |          |                        |            |        |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 36    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.18.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 16.3.18.1 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "  |       |          |                        |            |        |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 37    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.19.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 16.3.19.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</del> "   |       |          |                        |            |        |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 38    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.19.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 16.3.19.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "  |       |          |                        |            |        |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 39    | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone   | 20.3.8.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 20.3.8.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</del> "  |       |          |                        |            |        |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 40    | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone   | 20.3.8.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 20.3.8.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "   |       |          |                        |            |        |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 41    | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone       | 21.2.2.3.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 21.2.2.3(b) - " <del>(b) a fixed motor or equipment, frost fan or gas gun, milling or processing forestry activity, static irrigation pump; or motorbike that is being used for recreational purposes.</del> " |       |          |                        |            |        |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 42    | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone   | 20.3.9.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 20.3.9.1 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "   |       |          |                        |            |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 43    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend policy 5.3.15 as follows (in bold) - "<i>Require land use consent for the planting of new commercial forestry <b>or carbon sequestration forestry (non-permanent)</b> in flow sensitive areas.</i>";</p> <p>and amend the associated explanation (last para) as follows (in bold) - "<i>The policy does not apply to existing commercial forestry <b>or carbon sequestration forestry (non-permanent)</b>, or the replanting of that forest following harvest, as the effects of this forestry on water yield are part of the existing environment.</i>"</p>  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 44    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the explanation associated with Policy 5.3.16 (last sentence, last para) as follows (in bold) - "<i>Any reduction in flow shall be measured against the seven day mean annual low flow at 9 June 2016, being the date of notification of the MEP, and any assessment of cumulative effects should only consider commercial forestry <b>or carbon sequestration forestry (non-permanent)</b> established after 9 June 2016.</i>"</p>   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 45    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>In Policy 5.3.9, change the heading for column 2 in the table as follows (strike through and bold) - "<i>Take of <del>surface</del> water, <b>except from the Wairau Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit, Riverlands Freshwater Management Unit, Brancott Freshwater Management Unit, Benmorven Freshwater Management Unit, Omaka Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit or Southern Springs Freshwater Management Unit.</b></i>";</p> <p>and change heading for column 3 as follows - "<i>Take of <del>ground</del>water <b>from the Wairau Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit, Riverlands Freshwater Management Unit, Brancott Freshwater Management Unit, Benmorven Freshwater Management Unit, Omaka Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit or Southern Springs Freshwater Management Unit.</b></i>"</p> |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 46    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 5.4.5(c) as follows (bold) - "<i>the take is not from the Brancott Freshwater Management Unit, Benmorven Freshwater Management Unit, <b>Omaka Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit</b> or the Riverlands Freshwater Management Unit;</i>"</p>  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 47    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.1         | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend para 5 of 5.M.1 as follows (strike through) - "<i>Prohibit the taking, use, damming or diversion of water where those activities would adversely affect the significant values of <del>outstanding</del> water bodies.</i>"</p>   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 48    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.22.1.1.     | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 2.22.1.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> " |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 49    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.22.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 3.3.22.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> " |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 50    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.21.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 4.3.21.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> " |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 51    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.13.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 5.3.13.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> " |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 52    | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone       | 6.3.8.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 6.3.8.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "  |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 53    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.3.11.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 7.3.11.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> " |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 54    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone              | 8.3.12.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 8.3.12.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> " |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 55    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone                | 9.3.6.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 9.3.6.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "  |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 56    | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone               | 10.3.6.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 10.3.6.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> " |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 57    | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone               | 11.3.5.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 11.3.5.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> " |       |          |                                  |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|------------|---------|
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 58    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.21.1. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 12.3.21.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "   |       |          |                             |            |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 59    | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone        | 17.3.7.1.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 17.3.7.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "  |       |          |                             |            |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 60    | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone        | 18.3.8.1.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 18.3.8.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "  |       |          |                             |            |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 61    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone        | 19.3.15.1. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 19.3.15.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "   |       |          |                             |            |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 62    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone             | 23.3.4.1.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 23.3.4.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "  |       |          |                             |            |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 63    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules             | 2.14.      | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add new Heading for "<b>Sediment removal.</b>"; and a new set of standards as follows -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>The removal must be necessary for maintaining the drainage carrying capacity of the drainage channel, or for the stability of the banks of the channel banks.</b></li> <li>• <b>Excavators must operate from the bank of the drainage channel where possible.</b></li> <li>• <b>The removal must not be carried out in water greater than 2m average depth.</b></li> <li>• <b>The sediment removed must be retained on adjacent drainage channel banks for a period not less than 12 hours to provide opportunity for fish and animals to re-enter the drainage channel.</b></li> <li>• <b>The removal must not be carried out in a tidal reach between 1 February and 30 April in any year.</b></li> <li>• <b>The removal must not limit fish passage.</b></li> <li>• <b>Any discharge of sediment into water associated with the removal must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a change in colour of the receiving water of more than 5 Munsell units or a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.</b></li> </ul> |       |          |                             |            |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|---------|
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 64    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.12.     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Permitted Activity within the Drainage Channel Network rules under 2.12 for " <b><i>Sediment removal.</i></b> "   |       |          |  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 65    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        |           | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add the following Permitted Activity rule under 2.1 - " <b><i>Use of water from the Barnes Dam on a tributary of the Waitohi Stream by the Marlborough District Council for municipal supply purposes.</i></b> "  |       |          |  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 66    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.AER.9  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete the second Indicator associated with 15.AER.9 as follows - " <del>The state of Marlborough's soil resource is reported on an annual basis.</del> "   |       |          |  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 67    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.AER.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Delete the first bullet point of the Indicator associated with 15.AER.7 as follows (strike through) - " <del>Ambient monitoring of air pollutants throughout Marlborough, including: → background concentrations of PM10 in Picton are established;</del> "       |       |          |  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 68    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.AER.5  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete the second Indicator for 15.AER.5 as follows - " <del>The average winter concentration of PM10 at Middle Renwick Road is 27 mg/m3 or less.</del> "   |       |          |  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 69    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.AER.5  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete the first Indicator for 15.AER.5 as follows - " <del>The average winter concentration of PM10 at Redwoodtown is 37 mg/m3 or less.</del> "  |       |          |  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 70    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.AER.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Indicator associated with 15.AER.1 (although partly an amendment of deleted part of previous indicator) as follows - " <b><i>Increase in the number of catchment enhancement plans developed and implemented for waterbodies deemed degraded.</i></b> " |       |          |  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 71    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | 13.AER.9  | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new Indicator associated with 13.AER.9 as follows - " <b>Monitor erosion of coastal areas caused by ships.</b> "   |       |          |                                   |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 72    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Indicator associated with 13.AER.9 as follows - " <b>No adverse change to the shoreline or benthic communities as a result of waves from the shipping activity.</b> "  |       |          |                                   |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 73    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity         | 8.AER.2   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Indicator associated with 8.AER.2 as follows - " <b>Measured against baseline monitoring programmes established for ecologically significant marine sites in 2015/2016, there is no loss of values over the life of the MEP.</b> "   |       |          |                                   |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 74    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                       | 7.AER.1   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Indicator associated with 7.AER.1 as follows - " <b>Number of programmes in the community to control wilding pines.</b> "  |       |          |                                   |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 75    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                       | 7.AER.1   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 5th indicator associated with 7.AER.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>The area of land vegetated by wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds decreases</del> <b>does not increase.</b> "  |       |          |                                   |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 76    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                   | 2.12.11.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 2.12.11 as follows - " <del>Discharge of an agrichemical to water for the control of aquatic vegetation.</del> "   |       |          |                                   |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 77    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | 9.AER.1   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Indicators as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>The areas identified as having a high priority for enhanced public access have an improved level of access as measured against a 2011</del> <b>2016</b> baseline."; and " <del>The number of esplanade reserves/strips available for access purposes is increased as measured against a baseline of esplanade reserves/strips available for access existing as in 2011</del> <b>2016.</b> " |       |          |                                   |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 78    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity         | 8.AER.3   | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Indicator for 8.AER.3 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>Measured against a baseline monitoring programme established for wetlands in 2010</del> <b>2016</b> , there is no loss in the overall area of wetlands in Marlborough."                          |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 79    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources |            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Add the following new Anticipated Environmental Result - " <b>No occurrence of sea water intrusion into aquifers.</b> "; and the following associated new Indicator " <b>Conductivity levels as measured by Council's sentinel wells.</b> "                                    |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 80    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone                  | 23.3.14.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 23.3.14.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</del> "   |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 81    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone                  | 23.3.14.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 23.3.14.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "  |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 82    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone                  | 23.3.15.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 23.3.15.1 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "  |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 83    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                   | 25.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete definition - " <del>Setback – has the same meaning as “yard”:</del> "   |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 84    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                   | 25.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition for Solid waste as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>means waste that has a moisture content of more</del> <b>less</b> than or equal to 75% and exhibits the properties of a solid, e.g. it can be stacked and hold a definite angle of repose." |       |          |                                  |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision                               | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 85    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Waihopai River C class allocation limit in Schedule 1 (page 6-3) is amended from " <del>241,920</del> " to " <b>271,000</b> ".   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 86    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The Are Are Freshwater Management Unit allocation limit in Schedule 1 (page 6-1) is amended from " <del>43,200</del> " to " <b>4,320</b> ".  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 87    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 8                            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Change the zoning of Lot 1 DP 8533 (PN401154) from Urban Residential 2 to Urban Residential 1.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 88    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.1.2 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836. |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 89    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.1.4 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836. |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 90    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.1.5 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836. |       |          |   |   |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                               | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|---|---------|
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 91    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.2.6 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836.  |       |          |              |   |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 92    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.2.13 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836. |       |          |              |   |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 93    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.2.15 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836. |       |          |              |   |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 94    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.2.15 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836. |       |          |              |   |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 95    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 219                          | Support |
| Decision Requested | Rezone parts of property number 182692 on Ward Beach Road from Open Space 3 Zone to Rural Environment Zone.   |       |          |              |   |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 96    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 7 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision                               | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|---|---------|
| Decision Requested | Add Ecologically Significant Marine Site no. 1.9 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836.                     |       |          |                                 |   |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 97    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                    | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.2.12 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836. |       |          |                                 |   |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 98    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                    | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.2.12 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836. |       |          |                                 |   |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 99    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                    | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.2.20 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836. |       |          |                                 |   |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 100   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                    | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.2.18 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836. |       |          |                                 |   |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 101   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14.AER.7                                | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision       | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | The following amendment is requested to the Indicator for 14.AER.7 (strike through and bold) - " <i>The <b>extent and distribution</b> status of pest numbers and location(s) is reported.</i> "   |       |          |   |                 |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 102   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)    | Objective 15.1a | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 15.1a(b) to read as follows (bold) - " <i>(b) water quality at beaches <b>and in rivers</b> is suitable for contact recreation;</i> ".   |       |          |   |                 |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 103   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |                 | Support |
| Decision Requested | In Schedule 1, Appendix 6 amend the Wairau River Freshwater Management Unit description as follows (bold)- " <i>Wairau River upstream of the Hamilton River confluence, <b>and including the Hamilton River</b></i> ".                                   |       |          |   |                 |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 104   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                          | 21.3.9.6.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 21.3.9.6 as follows (strike through) - " <i>The removal must not be carried out in a tidal reach between 1 February and 30 April, <del>and 1 August and 30 November</del> in any year.</i> "  |       |          |   |                 |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 105   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                           | 2.14.8.3.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.14.8.3 as follows (strike through) - " <i>The removal and control must not be carried out in a tidal reach between 1 February and 30 April, <del>and 1 August and 30 November</del> in any year.</i> "                                  |       |          |   |                 |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 106   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                           | 2.14.7.2.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.14.7.2 as follows (strike through) - " <i>The removal and control must not be carried out in a tidal reach between 1 February and 30 April, <del>and 1 August and 30 November</del> in any year.</i> "                                  |       |          |   |                 |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 107   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                           | 2.8.1.6.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.8.1.6 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>An activity within the wetted area of a riverbed must not be carried out in a tidal reach between 1 February and 30 April, <del>and 1 August and 30 November</del> in any year.</i> " |       |          |   |                 |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision                    | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------|
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 108   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.12.                      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amendment of rule 2.2.12 is requested as follows (bold) - " <i>Take of water <b>by a network utility</b> for dewatering of a trench.</i> "   |       |          |                 |                              |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 109   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.14.                        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete the following text under heading 2.14 - " <i>Unless expressly limited elsewhere by rule a in the Marlborough Environment Plan (the Plan), the following activities shall be permitted without resource consent where they comply with the standards:</i> "  |       |          |                 |                              |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 110   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.14.1.                      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Heading 2.14.1 as follows (bold) - " <i>Rock, <b>concrete block</b> or gabion structural bank protection works.</i> "  |       |          |                 |                              |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 111   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.12.1.                      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.12.1 as follows (bold) - " <i>Rock, <b>concrete block</b> or gabion structural bank protection works.</i> "   |       |          |                 |                              |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 112   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps    | Freshwater Management Unit 1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the northern boundary of the Omaka River Freshwater Management Unit be re-examined to ensure that the Freshwater Management Unit accurately reflects the influence of the Omaka River but also the Wairau Aquifer on groundwater levels in the northern part of the Freshwater Management Unit. Amendments to the Freshwater Management Unit boundary and therefore and environmental flow limits in Appendix 6 may need to be made as a result of this work. |       |          |                 |                              |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 113   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps     | Zoning Map 55                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Change the zoning of right of way between property number 253837 and property number 529645 that runs from the Opaoa River to Hardings Road from Rural Environment Zone to unzoned road reserve.   |       |          |                 |                              |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 114   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps     | Zoning Map 9                 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Change the zoning of Seymour Square on Lot 1 DP 6917 from Open Space 1 Zone to Open Space 2 Zone.  |       |          |                 |                              |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter          | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------|--------------|---------|
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 115   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps      | Zoning Map 4 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Change the Clearwater Reserve on Lot 33 DP 372968 (PN530180) from Urban Residential 2 Zone to Open Space 1 Zone.  |       |          |                  |              |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 116   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions   | 25.          | Support |
| Decision Requested | Change the definition of " <del>Wastewater</del> " to be the definition of " <b>Human effluent</b> ", and change the definition as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"in relation to on-site wastewater management systems, means <del>wastewater</del> <b>human effluent</b> originating from household or personal activities including toilets, urinals, kitchens, bathrooms (including shower, washbasins, bath, spa bath but not spa) and laundries. Includes such wastewater flows from facilities serving staff, employees, residents, students, guests in institutional, commercial and industrial establishments, but excludes commercial and industrial wastes, large scale laundry activities and any stormwater flows."</i> |       |          |                  |              |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 117   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions   | 25.          | Support |
| Decision Requested | Addition of the following definition for " <b>Grade A treated sewerage</b> " as follows - " <b>Grade A treated sewerage has the same meaning as the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998</b> ".  |       |          |                  |              |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 118   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions   | 25.          | Support |
| Decision Requested | Addition of the following definition for " <b>Grade B treated sewerage</b> " as follows - " <b>Grade B treated sewerage has the same meaning as the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998</b> ".  |       |          |                  |              |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 119   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone | 21.4.4.      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 21.4.4 as follows (bold) " <i>Any discharge of contaminants into or onto land, or to air <b>by any person</b> not provided for as a Permitted Activity.</i> "  |       |          |                  |              |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 120   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone | 21.4.3.      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 21.4.3 as follows (bold) " <i>Any use of the bed of a lake or river <b>by any person</b> not provided for as a Permitted Activity.</i> "   |       |          |                  |              |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 121   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone | 21.4.2.      | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 21.4.2 as follows (bold) " <i>Any use of land <b>by any person</b> not provided for as a Permitted Activity.</i> "   |       |          |  |            |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 122   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                       | 21.3.11.1. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 21.3.11.1 as follows- " <del><i>Crack willow must not be planted on any floodway, except for the Wairau River downstream of the Wye River confluence.</i></del> "   |       |          |  |            |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 123   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.AER.1   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the eight indicator for 15.AER.1 as follows (strike through) - " <del><i>Water quality which was degraded is enhanced so that the waterbodies can support natural and human use values. Catchment enhancement plans are developed and implemented.</i></del> "  |       |          |  |            |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 124   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                       | 21.3.15.2. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 21.3.15.2 - " <del><i>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 and the use and discharge of the substance is in accordance with all conditions of the approval.</i></del> "  |       |          |  |            |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 125   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                       | 21.3.2.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Heading 21.3.2 as follows (bold) - " <i>Rock, <b>concrete block</b> or gabion structural bank protection works.</i> "   |       |          |  |            |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 126   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                       | 21.1.2.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 21.1.2 as follows (bold) - " <i>Rock, <b>concrete block</b> or gabion structural bank protection works.</i> "  |       |          |  |            |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 127   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone                   | 18.2.2.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | The amendment to Standard 18.2.2.1 requested is as follows (bold) - " <i>This standard does not apply to sirens and call out sirens associated with the activities of the New Zealand Fire Service, <b>or noise associated with recreational events or special events provided the noise does not exceed a level of 60 dBA Leq between the hours of 11.00 pm and 9.00 am at the boundary of any property zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3.</b></i> " |       |          |  |            |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 128   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone                         | 15.5.4.1.  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Add " <b>M2643</b> " to the table in Standard 15.5.4.1.   |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 129   | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone         | 9.2.1.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | The amendment to Standard 9.2.1.11 is requested is as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>A building or structure in the Business 1 Zone in Blenheim must landscape 10% of the <del>site</del> <b>road frontage</b> with permanent plantings of grasses (except lawn grasses), shrubs and trees or any combination thereof, unless the land adjoins a Landscape Exclusion Street in Appendix 18.</i> " |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 130   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules           | 2.16.7.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | The addition of the following Standard for Rule 2.16.7 is requested - " <b><i>The discharge must not be into a Significant Wetland.</i></b> "   |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 131   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules           | 2.16.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | The addition of the following Standard for Rule 2.16.1 is requested - " <b><i>The discharge must not be into a Significant Wetland.</i></b> "   |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 132   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules           | 2.16.3.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | The addition of the following Standard for Rule 2.16.3 is requested - " <b><i>The discharge must not be into a Significant Wetland.</i></b> "   |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 133   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules           | 2.38.2.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amendment to Rule 2.38.2 is requested as follows (bold) - " <i>Telecommunication <b>or electricity</b> line or cable over the bed of a lake or river.</i> "   |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 134   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.2     | Support |
| Decision Requested | The addition of the following sentence at the end of the paragraph in 8.M.2 is requested - " <b><i>This includes clearance of indigenous vegetation in areas that have 20 percent or less remaining in indigenous cover, as identified in the Threatened Environments Overlay Maps.</i></b> "   |       |          |                           |           |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 135   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                          | 16.AER.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | The requested amendment to the second Indicator associated with 16.AER.3 is as follows (bold) - " <i>There is no major non-compliance with permitted activity rules or discharge permit conditions for dairy shed effluent and winery wastewater discharges <b>that cause significant adverse effects</b> in any year.</i> "  |       |          |                                   |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 136   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | 14.M.7    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amendments to 14.M.7 are requested as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>14.M.7 Council works - The Council has historically maintained the <b>Drainage Channel Network</b> <del>drainage network</del> on the Wairau Plain in a hydraulically efficient state to ensure primary production activities can continue to occur. The works involved include the following: (a) establishing a range of acceptable water levels for the drains and small rivers that make up the Council administered <b>Drainage Channel Network</b> <del>drainage network</del>; (b) as necessary, removing aquatic vegetation and sediment from the drains and small rivers to achieve the acceptable water levels; and (c) where necessary, installing and using pumps to assist with the removal of excess water. The determination of acceptable water levels for each of the drains and small rivers allows for more efficient control of water levels. This will effectively provide triggers for active intervention and in doing so minimise the cost of drainage maintenance work. The use of acceptable water levels will also provide criteria for determining when further intervention such as pumping is required to control water levels. The drains and small rivers that make up the <b>Drainage Channel Network</b> <del>drainage network</del> also provide habitat for indigenous flora and fauna and provide opportunities for the development of ecological corridors. The development and use of triggers for drain maintenance will help to mitigate the impact of the works on the habitat that the <b>Drainage Channel Network</b> <del>drainage network</del> provides. It may also be appropriate to undertake drain maintenance works in a certain manner to further mitigate any adverse effect on habitat values; see Chapter 8 - Indigenous Biodiversity for further details.</i> " |       |          |                                   |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 137   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | The amendment of this Indicator for 13.AER.13 is requested as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i><del>Few</del> <b>A decrease in the number of</b> resource consents <del>are</del> required for port and marina related activities.</i> "  |       |          |                                   |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 138   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | The amendment of the Indicator for 13.AER.9 is requested as follows (strike through) - " <i>A five yearly assessment is carried out to determine the need to undertake monitoring specified in Policy 13.16.4 and the monitoring method (13.M.4922) set out for water transportation.</i> "   |       |          |                                   |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 139   | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                | 11.M.7    | Support |
| Decision Requested | That a new paragraph be added between the existing first and second paragraphs of 11.M.7 as follows - " <i><b>The Council may utilise the emergency provisions provided under Section 330 of the RMA to respond to foreseeable or actual hazard events in order to achieve Objective 11.1.</b></i> "  |       |          |                                   |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 140   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity         | 8.AER.2   | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | An amendment is sought to the second Indicator for 8.AER.2 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Baseline monitoring programmes established <del>in 2010</del> for a representative sample of terrestrial, river and wetland <b>sites</b> and <del>in 2014/15</del> for ecologically significant marine site shows no loss of these <b>indigenous biodiversity</b> values over the life of the MEP.</i> " |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 141   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |           | Support |
| Decision Requested | An additional allocation limit is requested under the Riverlands Freshwater Management Unit for " <b>Municipal Supply</b> " of " <b>2,079,900</b> " and, by association, a reduction in the Riverlands Freshwater Management Unit allocation limit from " <del>4,234,000</del> " to " <b>2,154,100</b> ".   |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 142   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |           | Support |
| Decision Requested | An additional allocation limit is requested under the Wairau Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit for " <b>Municipal Supply</b> " of " <b>17,789,500</b> " and, by association, a reduction in the Wairau Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit allocation limit from " <del>73,006,000</del> " to " <b>55,216,500</b> ".  |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 143   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                            | 25.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | The amendment of the definition for run off requested is as follows (strike through) - " <i>means water moving over the ground surface <del>and into a river, lake or the sea.</del></i> "  |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 144   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                            | 25.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add definition of LAE as follows - " <b>LAE - sound exposure level. Ten times the logarithm to the base 10 of the ration of the A-frequency-weighted sound exposure to the square of the reference value.</b> "   |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 145   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                            | 25.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | The amendment requested to the definition of dairy farm effluent is as follows (bold) - " <i>Dairy farm effluent means all dairy effluent and contaminated washwater generated on the site of the farm dairy and associated yard areas. This includes machine washwater, pit washings, faecal matter, and washwater deposited on hard stand areas <b>and sealed feed pads.</b></i> "                            |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 146   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                            | 25.       | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | This submission seeks to amend the definition of agricultural solid waste as follows (bold) - " <i>Agricultural solid waste means organic agricultural waste that has a moisture content of less than or equal to 75% and exhibits the properties of a solid, e.g., it can be stacked and hold a definite angle of repose. <b>For the purposes of the Plan, if any waste does not meet the definition of agricultural liquid waste it is treated as agricultural solid waste.</b></i> " |       |          |                 |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 147   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | This submission seeks to amend the definition of agricultural liquid waste as follows (bold) - " <i>Agricultural liquid waste means agricultural waste that has a moisture content more than or equal to 95%. <b>For the purposes of the Plan, if any waste does not meet the definition of agricultural liquid waste it is treated as agricultural solid waste.</b></i> "  |       |          |                 |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 148   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | The amendment requested is as follows (bold) - " <i>Community activity means the use of land and buildings for the purpose of supporting the health, <b>safety</b>, welfare, education, culture and spiritual well-being of the community including not for profit childcare facilities, active and passive recreation.</i> "   |       |          |                 |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 149   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | It is requested that the definition for " <i>Municipal water supply</i> " is amended as follows (bold) - " <i>means any water supply, <b>other than a supply exclusively providing an irrigation water</b>, owned, managed or administered by the Marlborough District Council.</i> "   |       |          |                 |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 150   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | The addition of a definition for commercial cleanfill is requested as follows - " <i><b>Commercial cleanfill</b></i> " - " <i><b>Commercial clean fill - means the placing or dumping of fill that involves remuneration paid, or any other form of consideration provided, to the contractor or person(s) bringing the fill into the site.</b></i> "   |       |          |                 |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 151   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | The deletion of the definition for non-commercial cleanfill, as follows, is requested. " <i><del>Non-commercial clean fill means the placing or dumping of fill that does not involve remuneration paid, or any other form of consideration provided, to the contractor or person(s) bringing the fill into the site, whether or not consideration provided to the owner is the sole reason for the placing or dumping of the fill.</del></i> "   |       |          |                 |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 152   | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.2.2.1. | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amendments requested to Standard 23.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Noise from a source other than an aircraft movement, aircraft engine testing, or a national or international gliding event, must comply with the following noise limits measured at or within the boundary of any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3, or at or within the notional boundary of any noise sensitive activity on any land zoned Rural Environment:</i> <p><b>7.00 am to 10.00 pm 50 dBA LAeq</b></p> <p><b>10.00 pm to 7.00 am 40 dBA LAeq 70dB LAFmax</b></p> <p><del>Monday to Saturday 7.00 am to 6.00 pm 55dBA LAeq</del></p> <p><del>Monday to Saturday 6.00 pm to 10.00 pm 50dBA LAeq</del></p> <p><del>Monday to Sunday 10.00 pm to 7.00 am 45dBA LAeq</del></p> <p><del>Monday to Sunday 10.00 pm to 7.00 am 70dBA Lmax</del>"</p>  |       |          |                        |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 153   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone   |           | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add new heading under 19.3 as follows - " <b><i>Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of any river.</i></b> " and standards as follows - <b>1. "The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of livestock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river." 2. " After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river, measured as follows: (a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale; (b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site; (c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%." 3. "After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not result in a change in concentration of following: (a) daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter); (b) dissolved reactive phosphorus; (c) dissolved inorganic nitrogen; (d) Escherichia coli (E. coli)."</b> |       |          |                        |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 154   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone   |           | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Permitted Activity rule under 19.1 to as follows - " <b><i>Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of any river.</i></b> "  |       |          |                        |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 155   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone |           | Support |
| Decision Requested | The addition of the following Prohibited Activity rule is requested - " <b><i>Rafting of logs as a means of transportation through the Coastal Marine Zone.</i></b> "   |       |          |                        |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 156   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.4.   | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | The amendment requested is as follows (bold) - "16.7.4. - Discharge of treated or untreated human sewage <b>from land based activities</b> into the coastal marine area, except for the discharge of treated human sewage from regionally significant infrastructure."  |       |          |  |                |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 157   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.19 | Support |
| Decision Requested | The amendment requested is as follows (bold) - "Policy 15.1.19 – Progressively work toward eliminating the discharge of human sewage <b>from land based activities</b> to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure."                                 |       |          |  |                |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 158   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.AER.2       | Support |
| Decision Requested | To provide greater clarity to Plan users, an amendment is requested to the Indicator for 15.AER.2 as follows (bold) - "With the exception of regionally significant infrastructure, there are no discharges of human sewage <b>from land-based activities</b> into the coastal waters of the Marlborough Sounds." |       |          |  |                |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 159   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.AER.1       | Support |
| Decision Requested | An amendment is requested to the Indicator for 15.AER.1 as follows (bold) - "Stormwater Management Area Plans are developed <b>and implemented</b> for all stormwater catchments that discharge into waterbodies and coastal waters with degraded water quality."   |       |          |  |                |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 160   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | 14.AER.3       | Support |
| Decision Requested | A new Indicator for 14.AER.3 is requested as follows - " <b>Land use change to alternative land uses as recorded in the Land Cover Database and resource consents.</b> "  |       |          |  |                |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 161   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.AER.1       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Indicator for 15.AER.1 as follows - " <b>The number of complaints about unlawful discharges of contaminants to water or discharges to land in circumstances where contaminants may reach water.</b> "   |       |          |  |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 162   | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments            | Policy 12.9.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | The amendment requested to Policy 12.9.2 is as follows (bold) – " <i>Ensure that in an area with public water supply and/or sewerage infrastructure <b>or stormwater management</b>, subdivision and development activities only occur where they will not exceed the current or planned capacity of that public infrastructure or compromise its ability to service any activities permitted by rules within a relevant urban environment zone.</i> " |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 163   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity        | 8.M.10        | Support |
| Decision Requested | The amendment to 8.M.10 requested is as follows (bold) - " <i>The Council will undertake planting of riparian margins <b>and other land</b> with indigenous species on land owned or administered by the Council where appropriate.</i> "  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 164   | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone                  | 23.1.13.      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 23.1.13 as follows - " <i><b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b></i> "  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 165   | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.1.8.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 22.1.8 as follows - " <i><b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b></i> "   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 166   | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.1.7.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 22.1.7 as follows - " <i><b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b></i> "   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 167   | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone             | 20.1.5.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 20.1.5 as follows - " <i><b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b></i> "   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 168   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone             | 19.1.8.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 19.1.8 as follows - " <i><b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b></i> "   |       |          |                                  |               |         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 169   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone      | 19.1.7.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 19.1.17 as follows - " <b>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> " |       |          |                           |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 170   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone      | 18.1.10.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 18.1.10 as follows - " <b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "    |       |          |                           |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 171   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone      | 18.1.9.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 18.1.9 as follows - " <b>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "  |       |          |                           |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 172   | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone      | 17.1.7.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 17.1.7 as follows - " <b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "     |       |          |                           |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 173   | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone      | 17.1.6.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 17.1.6 as follows - " <b>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "  |       |          |                           |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 174   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.1.27.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 15.1.27 as follows - " <b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "    |       |          |                           |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 175   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.1.26.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 15.1.26 as follows - " <b>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> " |       |          |                           |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 176   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.1.14.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 14.1.14 as follows - " <b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "    |       |          |                           |           |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 177   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone   | 14.1.13.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 14.1.13 as follows - " <b>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "            |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 178   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                | 13.1.29.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 13.1.29 as follows - " <b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "               |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 179   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                | 13.1.28.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 13.1.28 as follows - " <b>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "            |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 180   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.29.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 12.1.29 as follows - " <b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> " |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 181   | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone          | 11.1.3.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 11.1.3 as follows - " <b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "  |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 182   | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone          | 10.1.7.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 10.1.7 as follows - " <b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "  |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 183   | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone           | 9.1.9.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 9.1.9 as follows - " <b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "   |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 184   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone         | 8.1.12.   | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 8.1.12 as follows - " <b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> " |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 185   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.1.11.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 7.1.11 as follows - " <b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> " |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 186   | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone       | 6.1.8.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 6.1.8 as follows - " <b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "  |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 187   | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.1.15.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 5.1.15 as follows - " <b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> " |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 188   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.1.15.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 4.1.15 as follows - " <b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "               |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 189   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.1.13.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 4.1.13 as follows - " <b>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "            |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 190   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.16.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 3.1.16 as follows - " <b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "               |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 191   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.14.   | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Rule 3.1.14 as follows - " <b>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "  |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 192   | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.2.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 5.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property</b> at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</i> "                           |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 193   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.2.2.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 4.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property zoned Coastal Environment</b> at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</i> " |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 194   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.2.3.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 3.2.3.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property zoned Rural Environment</b> at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</i> "   |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 195   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.2.3.3.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 3.2.3.3(b) as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>(a) mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of agricultural, <del>or horticultural</del> <b>or forestry</b> activities occurring in the Rural Environment Zone;</i> "              |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 196   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.35.1.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.35.1.1 as follows (bold) - " <i>The signage must relate to or be associated with a service, product or event available or occurring on the site on which the sign is located, <b>except for signs subject to Rule 2.34.11.</b></i> "                 |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 197   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.2.2.3.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 4.2.2.3(a) as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>(a) mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of agricultural, <del>or horticultural</del> <b>or forestry</b> activities occurring in the Coastal Environment Zone;</i> "            |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 198   | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.6.  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 5.2.1.6 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b>On a site, no</b> <del>no</del> <i>part of a building must exceed a height equal to the recession plane angle determined by the application of the Recession Plane and Height Controls in Appendix 26. The recession plane angle must be measured from a starting point 2m above ground level at the property boundary.</i> "   |       |          |                                |              |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 199   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                | 2.14.7.4.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.14.7.4 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b>For drainage channels with a width greater than 2m, the</b> <del>The</del> <i>cutting must not be carried out over more than 90% of the channel width by leaving an uncut strip on each side of the channel.</i> "   |       |          |                                |              |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 200   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                | 2.8.2.2.     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.8.2.2 as follows (strike through) - " <i>All cut or felled vegetation that exceeds 100mm in diameter at any point must be removed from the bed of the lake or river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing).</i> "  |       |          |                                |              |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 201   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend point Policy 9.1.3(a) as follows (bold) - " <i>Policy 9.1.3 – Where public access is enhanced in priority locations, steps shall be taken to ensure this does not result in:</i><br><i>(a) adverse effects on the wider environment of that location, <b>where necessary</b>, from littering, unsanitary disposal of human waste or damage to vegetation; or</i> "   |       |          |                                |              |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 202   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.3.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend paragraph 1 of the explanation to Policy 9.3.1 as follows (bold) - " <i>Accessibility and distribution of open spaces and recreational facilities around the District is important. An equitable distribution <b>to reflect the needs of the local community</b> is important in achieving convenience of access to open space and recreational opportunities, recognising the particular role or function of the open space or recreational facility in meeting the differing needs of the community.</i> " |       |          |                                |              |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 203   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | 8.AER.5      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the second Indicator for 8.AER.5 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>The number of private properties over which ecological assessments to determine if there are ecosystems, habitats or areas present with significant indigenous biodiversity value; <b>continues to</b> increases (albeit at a low level) <b>even though</b> as the active SNA survey has been completed. Any increase in properties surveyed is most likely to arise through resource consent processes.</i> "                  |       |          |                                |              |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 204   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.2.1.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under heading 7.2.1 – " <b>A habitable structure or accessory building must have a fire safety setback of at least 100m from any existing commercial forestry or carbon sequestration forestry on any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "  |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 205   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone             | 19.4.2.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Immediately preceding, and in relation to, Rule 19.4.2 replace <del>{D}</del> with <b>[R, D]</b> .   |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 206   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                   |           | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of vegetation clearance as follows (bold) - " <i>Vegetation clearance means the cutting, destruction or the removal of all forms of vegetation including indigenous and exotic plant vegetation by cutting, burning, cultivation, crushing, spraying or chemical treatment. <b>For clarity, it does not mean commercial forestry harvesting, carbon sequestration (non-permanent) forestry harvesting and woodlot forestry harvesting.</b></i> "  |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 207   | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone       | 6.1.      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Permitted Activity rule under section 6.1 and a new heading under section 6.3 – " <b>Discharge of contaminants to air within the Blenheim Airshed from outdoor burning exclusively for the cooking or smoking of food for non-commercial purposes.</b> " And add two new standards under the new heading in section 6.3 as follows - Standard 1 – " <b>The appliance must only burn fuels approved for use in the appliance.</b> " and Standard 2 – " <b>The appliance must be operated so that all reasonable steps are taken to minimise the amount of smoke discharged.</b> " |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 208   | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone       | 6.2.2.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 6.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>The activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property</b> at the <del>Zone boundary or within the Zone.</del></i> "  |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 209   | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone       | 6.2.1.4.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 6.2.1.4 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b>On a site, no <del>no</del> part of a building must exceed a height equal to the recession plane angle determined by the application of the Recession Plane and Height Controls in Appendix 26. The recession plane angle must be measured from a starting point 2m above ground level at the property boundary.</b> "  |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 210   | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.1.      | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new Permitted Activity rule under section 5.1 and a new heading under section 5.3 – “ <b>Discharge of contaminants to air within the Blenheim Airshed from outdoor burning exclusively for the cooking or smoking of food for non-commercial purposes.</b> ” And add two new standards under the new heading in section 5.3 as follows - Standard 1 – “ <b>The appliance must only burn fuels approved for use in the appliance.</b> ” and Standard 2 – “ <b>The appliance must be operated so that all reasonable steps are taken to minimise the amount of smoke discharged.</b> ”                            |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 211   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone    | 3.3.6.2.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 3.3.6.2. as follows (bold) –<br>“Planting must not be in, or within:<br>(a) ....<br>(g) an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site, <b>unless replanting harvested commercial forest lawfully established;</b> ”   |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 212   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone    | 3.3.1.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 3.3.1.1 as follows (bold) - “ <b>The farming must not include a dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, this includes the expansion of an existing dairy farm where there is an increase in the area or intensity of the farming operation resulting in an additional area of dairy shed.</b> ”  |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 213   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules             | 2.17.2.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.17.2.4 as follows (strike through and bold) –<br>“ <b>At least one week before commencing the application, the</b> <del>The</del> <i>applicant must notify in writing details of the location, timing and agrichemical to be used in the application to:</i><br>(a) every person taking water for domestic supply within 1km downstream of the proposed discharge;<br>(b) every holder of a resource consent for the taking of water for water supply purposes within 1km downstream of the proposed discharge; <del>at least one week before commencing the application.</del><br>(c) the Council.” |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 214   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules             | 2.12.10.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add the following standard to Rule 2.12.10 - “ <b>The application must be carried out in accordance with Sections 5.3 and 5.5 of NZS 8409:2004 Safe Use of Agricultural Compounds and Plant Protection Products – Management of Agrichemicals.</b> ”  |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 215   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.   | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Delete heading immediately above 12.2.2.1 as follows - " <b><del>Standards for the Industrial 1 Zone only:</del></b> ", and delete heading immediately above 12.2.2.3 as follows - " <b><del>Standards for the Industrial 2 Zone only:</del></b> " and delete heading immediately above 12.2.2.4 as follows - " <b><del>Standards for both Industrial 1 and 2 Zone:</del></b> " and delete Standard 12.2.2.3 as follows - " <b><del>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at the zone boundary or within the zone: At any time 75 dBA LAeq 85dB LAFmax.</del></b> " |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 216   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 12.2.2.4 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b><del>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within any adjacent land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3, or within the notional boundary of a dwelling on any property zoned Rural Living, Coastal Living or Rural Environment in any adjacent zone (except Industrial 1 or 2 Zones).</del></b> "   |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 217   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 12.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b><del>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within the boundary of any other property zoned Industrial 1 or Industrial 2 at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</del></b> "  |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 218   | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone          | 11.2.2.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 11.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b><del>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within the boundary of any other property zoned Business 1, Business 2 or Business 3 at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</del></b> "  |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 219   | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone          | 10.2.2.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 10.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b><del>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within the boundary of any other property zoned Business 1, Business 2 or Business 3 at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</del></b> "  |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 220   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone         | 8.2.2.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 8.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b><del>The activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within the boundary of any other property at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</del></b> "   |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 221   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone         | 8.2.1.4.  | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 8.2.1.4 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b><i>On a site, the</i></b> <del>The minimum setbacks from property boundaries must be:</del> "  |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 222   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone       | 8.2.1.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under heading 8.2.1 – " <b><i>A habitable structure or accessory building must have a fire safety setback of at least 100m from any existing commercial forestry or carbon sequestration forestry on any adjacent land under different ownership.</i></b> "  |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 223   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone     | 7.2.2.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 7.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>The activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits</del> <b><i>at or within the boundary of any other property at the Zone boundary or within the Zone:</i></b> "   |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 224   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone    | 16.2.3.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 16.2.3.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>The An activity must</del> <b><i>not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within the boundary of any other property be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</i></b> "  |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 225   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.2.3.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>Amend Standard 15.2.3.1 as follows -</del> " <b><i>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within the boundary of any other property zoned Marina be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Zone does not exceed the following noise limits.</i></b> " |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 226   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.2.3.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 14.2.3.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>An activity must</del> <b><i>not cause noise that exceeds the following noise limits at or within the boundary of any other property be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Landing Area Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</i></b> "                        |       |          |                           |           |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 227   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under heading 12.2.1 as follows - " <b>At least 10% of the road frontage must be landscaped with permanent plantings of grasses (except lawn grasses), shrubs and trees or any combination thereof.</b> "  |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 228   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone            | 21.3.9.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 21.3.9.2 as follows (bold) - " <i>Gravel or sediment removal must not be carried out more than once in any 12 month period <b>in any reach</b> of any floodway.</i> "  |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 229   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone            | 21.3.1.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 21.3.1.2 as follows (bold) - " <i>Works must be undertaken outside of the wet part of the riverbed, <b>where possible.</b></i> "   |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 230   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone            | 21.2.2.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 21.2.2.3(a) as follows (strike through) - " <i>The following activities are excluded from having to comply with the noise limits: (a) mobile machinery used for a limited duration <del>as part of farming activity occurring in the Floodway Zone.</del></i> "          |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 231   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone            | 21.3.5.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend heading 21.3.5 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b><del>Replacement or M</del>aintenance of a culvert or floodgate.</b> "   |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 232   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone            | 21.1.5.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 21.1.5 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b><del>Replacement or M</del>aintenance of a culvert or floodgate.</b> "  |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 233   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone            | 21.2.2.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 21.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>The <del>An</del> activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any a zone other than Floodway Zone</b> <del>at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</del></i> " |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 234   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone        | 19.2.2.1. | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 19.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>The An</del> activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property</b> at the zone boundary or within the zone."    |       |          |                            |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 235   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone       | 18.2.2.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 18.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>The An</del> activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property</b> at the zone boundary or within the zone."    |       |          |                            |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 236   | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone       | 17.2.2.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 17.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>The An</del> activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property</b> at the zone boundary or within the zone."    |       |          |                            |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 237   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone             | 15.2.3.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 15.2.3.2 as follows (bold) - "An activity undertaken within the Marina Zone must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured <b>at or</b> within an Urban Residential 2 or Open Space 1 Zone does not exceed the following limits:" |       |          |                            |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 238   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               |           | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove the Steep Erosion-Prone Land Overlay from any property zoned Urban Residential 2.   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 239   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone           | 21.3.10.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 21.3.10 as follows (bold) - "Gravel and sediment stockpiling, <b>and gravel processing facilities</b> , within a dry part of a riverbed."   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 240   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone           | 21.1.10.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 21.1.10 as follows (bold) - "Gravel and sediment stockpiling, <b>and gravel processing facilities</b> , within a dry part of a riverbed."   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 241   | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone | 6.3.5.5.  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 6.3.5.5 as follows (bold) - " <i>There must be no excavation in excess of 10m<sup>3</sup> within a Groundwater Protection Area, <b>unless the excavation is to establish a foundation for a building permitted in this zone.</b></i> "   |       |          |                                  |            |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 242   | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.10.6.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 5.3.10.6 as follows (bold) - " <i>There must be no excavation in excess of 10m<sup>3</sup> within a Groundwater Protection Area, <b>unless the excavation is to establish a foundation for a building permitted in this zone.</b></i> "  |       |          |                                  |            |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 243   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.20.3.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 4.3.20.3 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not result in <b>the water quality of the river exceeding the</b> <del>a change in concentration of following:</del> (a) <del>daily average 2mg/l carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</del> (b) <del>dissolved reactive phosphorus;</del>(c) <del>dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</del> (d) <b>260 Escherichia coli (E. coli)/100ml.</b></i> " |       |          |                                  |            |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 244   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.21.3.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 3.3.21.3 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not result in <b>the water quality of the river exceeding the</b> <del>a change in concentration of following:</del> (a) <del>daily average 2mg/l carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</del> (b) <del>dissolved reactive phosphorus;</del>(c) <del>dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</del> (d) <b>260 Escherichia coli (E. coli)/100ml.</b></i> " |       |          |                                  |            |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 245   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.9.9.3.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.9.9.3 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not result in <b>the water quality of the river exceeding the</b> <del>a change in concentration of following:</del> (a) <del>daily average 2mg/l carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</del> (b) <del>dissolved reactive phosphorus;</del>(c) <del>dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</del> (d) <b>260 Escherichia coli (E. coli)/100ml.</b></i> "  |       |          |                                  |            |         |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 246   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                 | 21.3.14.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 21.3.14.2 as follows (bold) - " <i>Vegetation greater than 100mm in diameter must be removed from a riverbed wider than 3m, <b>except in the Floodway Zone in the Upper Wairau River.</b></i> "  |       |          |                                  |            |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|------------|-----------------|
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 247   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                          | 21.3.12.3. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 21.3.12.3 as follows (bold) - " <i>The excavator must not enter flowing water, <b>where possible.</b></i> "   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 248   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                          | 21.3.12.1. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 21.3.12.1 as follows (bold) - " <i>Cutting must not be carried out more than once in any 12 month period on any river reach, except that the Lower Opaoa River may be cut up to four times per year <b>and the Taylor River may be cut up to two times per year.</b></i> "  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 249   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend the table row for the Taylor River in Schedule 3 on p 6-6 of Appendix 6 as follows (strike through) - " <i>Taylor River (below Doctors Creek confluence).</i> "  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 250   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new table row for in Schedule 1 on p 6-2 of Appendix 6 as follows (bold) - Freshwater Management Unit (FMU) column " <b>Opaoa (below the confluence of the Opaoa and Taylor Rivers)</b> "; Class column " <i>n/a</i> "; Allocation column - " <b>24,000</b> "; Allocation column " <i>n/a</i> ".  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 251   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend the table row for the Opaoa Freshwater Management Unit in Schedule 1 on p 6-2 of Appendix 6 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Opaoa (from below O'Dwyers Mills and Ford Road to the confluence of the Opaoa and Taylor Rivers).</i> "<br>And, amend the allocation in table column 3 in the row for the Opaoa Freshwater Management Unit in Schedule 1 on p 6-2 from " <del>25,000</del> " to " <b>1000</b> ". |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 252   | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone                         | 9.2.2.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 9.2.2.1 as follows - " <i>The <del>An</del> activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at <b>or within the boundary of any other property zoned Business 1, Business 2 or Business 3 at the zone boundary or within the zone.</b></i> "  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 253   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                           | 2.3.12.3.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.3.12.3 as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <i>The instantaneous rate of the take must not exceed <del>20</del>/s <b>100</b>/s.</i> "   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 254   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                           | 2.3.22.3.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.3.22.3 as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <i>The instantaneous rate of the take must not exceed <del>100</del>/s <b>20</b>/s.</i> "   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 255   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 35 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | For the parts of the following properties that are zoned Business 1, change the zoning to Open Space 2 - Pt Sec 1244, Sec 1260 & Sec 1258 TN of Picton, Lot 4 DP 3342.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 256   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 37 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | For the parts of the following properties that are zoned Open Space 2, change the zoning to Business 1 - Secs 1180 & 1181 TN of Picton, Lots 1, 2 & 3 DP 7913, Pt Lot 3 DP 1682, Lot 4 DP 3342 and Lot 1 DP 1972.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 257   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the table row for the Taylor River FMU in Schedule 3 of Appendix 6 as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>Class column "A"; Minimum Flow column - " <i>Minimum of 1.000m<sup>3</sup>/s at Hutcheson Street</i> "; Monitoring Site column " <i>Hutcheson Street</i> "; Management Flow column " <del>Minimum of</del> <b>Fully restricted below</b> 1.000m <sup>3</sup> /s".<br>Class column " <b>C</b> "; Minimum Flow column - " <b>Minimum of 0.300m<sup>3</sup>/s at Borough Weir</b> "; Monitoring Site column " <b>Borough Weir</b> "; Management Flow column " <b>Fully restricted below 0.300m<sup>3</sup>/s</b> ". |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 258   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision                    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the table row for the Opaoa Freshwater Management Unit in Schedule 3 on p 6-5 of Appendix 6 to add the following line -<br>FMU column " <b>Opaoa (below Mills and Road to the confluence of the Opaoa and Taylor Rivers)</b> "; Class column " <b>n/a</b> "; Minimum flow column " <b>Minimum of 0.500m3/s at Opaoa River immediately below the confluence of the Opaoa and Taylor Rivers</b> "; Monitoring Site column " <b>Hutcheson Street</b> "; Management Flow column " <b>Fully restricted below 1.000m3/s</b> ". |       |          |   |                              |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 259   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the table row for the Opaoa Freshwater Management Unit in Schedule 3 on p 6-5 of Appendix 6 as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <b>Opaoa (from <del>below O'Dwyers Mills and Ford Road</del> to the confluence of the Opaoa and Taylor Rivers)</b> "; and replace " <b>A</b> " with " <b>n/a</b> ".  |       |          |   |                              |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 260   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Freshwater Management Unit 2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundary between Flaxbourne (Central) FMU and Flaxbourne (Lower) FMU as shown by the heavy black line on the map.  |       |          |   |                              |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 261   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the A allocation for the Flaxbourne - Central FMU from 495 to 275 and amend the A allocation for the Flaxbourne - Lower FMU from 1850 to 2070.   |       |          |   |                              |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 262   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                            | 24.3.1.2.                    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend " <b>Rural Living</b> " under zone column of table to read as follows (bold) -<br>" <b>Rural Living (except land within the Brancott or Benmorven Freshwater Management Units)</b> "   |       |          |   |                              |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 263   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.3.9                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the phrase " <b>1 July 1972 to 30 June 2014</b> " referred to in the row headed "Method of determination" to read as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <b>1 July 1972 to <del>30 June 2014</del> to the most recent year ending 30 June</b> ".  |       |          |   |                              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 264   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 21 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Wither Stream and minor tributary - Upstream co-ordinates 1679383 5399654; Downstream co-ordinates 1680442 5401558.</i></b>  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 265   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 22 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Wither Stream and minor tributary - Upstream co-ordinates 1679383 5399654; Downstream co-ordinates 1680442 5401558.</i></b>  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 266   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 27 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Wither Stream and minor tributary - Upstream co-ordinates 1679383 5399654; Downstream co-ordinates 1680442 5401558;</i></b><br><b><i>Reservoir Stream (to Fyffes Street Drain, to SW and to Wither Stream Drain) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680044 5398965; Downstream co-ordinates 1680002 5400741.</i></b> |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 267   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 28 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Sutherlands Stream (to Sutherlands Stream Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680579 5399683; Downstream co-ordinates 1680346 5400495;</i></b><br><b><i>Dungys Gully (to Mapps Stream) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680736 5400391; Downstream co-ordinates 1681036 5401296.</i></b>                       |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 268   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 29 | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Mapps Stream (West) to Mapps Stream - Upstream co-ordinates 1681505 5399730; Downstream co-ordinates 1681176 5400586;</i></b><br><b><i>Mapps Stream (East) to Mapps Stream - Upstream co-ordinates 1681718 5399991; Downstream co-ordinates 1681221 5400690;</i></b><br><b><i>Simmons Gully to Mapps Waterway Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1681954 5399868; Downstream co-ordinates 1681605 5400872.</i></b> |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 269   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 31 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Elevation Stream (alongside SH1 (Wairau Road) near Angle Street) - Upstream co-ordinates 1683309 5427245; Downstream co-ordinates 1683443 5427377.</i></b>  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 270   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 33 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Kent Street Drain (Scotland Street to Waitohi Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1683267 5427874; Downstream co-ordinates 1683760 5428387;</i></b><br><b><i>Elevation Stream (alongside SH1 (Wairau Road) near Angle Street) - Upstream co-ordinates 1683309 5427245; Downstream co-ordinates 1683443 5427377.</i></b>  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 271   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 34 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Kent Street Drain (Scotland Street to Waitohi Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1683267 5427874; Downstream co-ordinates 1683760 5428387.</i></b>  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 272   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 37 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Hampden Street Drain and tributary (above Leicester Street to Picton Marina) - Upstream co-ordinates 1685469 5429619; Downstream co-ordinates 1684911 5428783.</i></b>  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 273   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 38 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Milton Street Drain (above Milton Street to Stormwater Service) - Upstream co-ordinates 1685474 5429034; Downstream co-ordinates 1685312 5429200;</i></b><br><b><i>Hampden Street Drain and tributary (above Leicester Street to Picton Marina) - Upstream co-ordinates 1685469 5429619; Downstream co-ordinates 1684911 5428783.</i></b>   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 274   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 40 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Edes Drain to Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1687322 5430483; Downstream co-ordinates 1687257 5430944;</i></b><br><b><i>Waimarama Street Drain to Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1687202 5430569; Downstream co-ordinates 1687123 5430925;</i></b><br><b><i>Turner Street Drain to Waikawa Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1686928 5430329; Downstream co-ordinates 1686881 5430758;</i></b><br><b><i>Waikawa Stream to Waikawa Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1686444 5430134; Downstream co-ordinates 1686548 5430382;</i></b><br><b><i>Endeavour Stream and tributary (Admiralty Place to Marina) - Upstream co-ordinates 1685843 5430030; Downstream co-ordinates 1686895 5430903.</i></b> |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 275   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 41 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Edes Drain to Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1687322 5430483; Downstream co-ordinates 1687257 5430944;</i></b><br><br><b><i>Waimarama Street Drain to Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1687202 5430569; Downstream co-ordinates 1687123 5430925;</i></b><br><br><b><i>Turner Street Drain to Waikawa Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1686928 5430329; Downstream co-ordinates 1686881 5430758;</i></b><br><br><b><i>Waikawa Stream to Waikawa Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1686444 5430134; Downstream co-ordinates 1686548 5430382;</i></b><br><br><b><i>Endeavour Stream and tributary (Admiralty Place to Marina) - Upstream co-ordinates 1685843 5430030; Downstream co-ordinates 1686895 5430903.</i></b> |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 276   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 42 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Arthur Street Drain to Stormwater Service - Upstream co-ordinates 1687911 5430898; Downstream co-ordinates 1687830 5431101.</i></b>   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 277   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 47 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Graham River to Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1690126 5429841; Downstream co-ordinates 1689959 5430960.</i></b>   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 278   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 57 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Takorika Stream (Township extent to Havelock Marina) - Upstream co-ordinates 1663902 5429845; Downstream co-ordinates 1664472 5430015;</i></b><br><br><b><i>Wilson Street Drain (Township extent to Coast) - Upstream co-ordinates 1664167 5429042; Downstream co-ordinates 1664432 5429385;</i></b><br><br><b><i>Kaituna Place Stream (Township extent to Coast) - Upstream co-ordinates 1664280 5428924; Downstream co-ordinates 1664693 5429117.</i></b>   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 279   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 64 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Kaimiko to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1654824 5448443; Downstream co-ordinates 1655021 5448798;</i></b><br><b><i>Trailer Park Drain (tributary of Kaimiko Stream) - Upstream co-ordinates 1655090 5448574; Downstream co-ordinates 1655016 5448751;</i></b><br><b><i>Harbour View Road Drain (alongside Harbour View Road to Okiwi Bay) - Upstream co-ordinates 1655399 5448558; Downstream co-ordinates 1655402 5448652;</i></b><br><b><i>Okiwi Crescent Stream (Right of Way to Okiwi Bay) - Upstream co-ordinates 1655528 548424; Downstream co-ordinates 1655521 5448680;</i></b><br><b><i>Ruataniwha Stream (Croisilles-French Pass Road to Okiwi Bay) - Upstream co-ordinates 1655818 5448348; Downstream co-ordinates 1655727 5448718;</i></b><br><b><i>Field Terrace Stream (Tributary of Ruataniwha Stream) - Upstream co-ordinates 1655605 5448247; Downstream co-ordinates 1655770 5448449.</i></b> |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 280   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 72 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Te Awa Stream to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1676831 5431968; Downstream co-ordinates 1676830 5431508;</i></b><br><b><i>Tirimoana Stream to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1675928 5431167; Downstream co-ordinates 1676257 5430978;</i></b><br><b><i>Anakiwa Road Stream to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1675988 5430878; Downstream co-ordinates 1676158 5430853.</i></b>   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 281   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 74 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Ngakuta Stream (West) to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1680258 5429935; Downstream co-ordinates 1680747 5430488;</i></b><br><b><i>Ngakuta Stream (East) to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1680787 5429907; Downstream co-ordinates 1680882 5430477.</i></b>  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 283   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 86 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Golf Course Creek (50m above New Renwick Road to Golf Course Creek) - Upstream co-ordinates 1673467 5402025; Downstream co-ordinates 1674252 5402198.</i></b>   |       |          |             |                |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 284   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 111 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Kaimiko to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1654824 5448443; Downstream co-ordinates 1655021 5448798;</i></b><br><b><i>Trailer Park Drain (tributary of Kaimiko Stream) - Upstream co-ordinates 1655090 5448574; Downstream co-ordinates 1655016 5448751;</i></b><br><b><i>Harbour View Road Drain (alongside Harbour View Road to Okiwi Bay) - Upstream co-ordinates 1655399 5448558; Downstream co-ordinates 1655402 5448652;</i></b><br><b><i>Okiwi Crescent Stream (Right of Way to Okiwi Bay) - Upstream co-ordinates 1655528 548424; Downstream co-ordinates 1655521 5448680;</i></b><br><b><i>Ruataniwha Stream (Croisilles-French Pass Road to Okiwi Bay) - Upstream co-ordinates 1655818 5448348; Downstream co-ordinates 1655727 5448718;</i></b><br><b><i>Field Terrace Stream (Tributary of Ruataniwha Stream) - Upstream co-ordinates 1655605 5448247; Downstream co-ordinates 1655770 5448449.</i></b> |       |          |             |                |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 285   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 125 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Te Awa Stream to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1676831 5431968; Downstream co-ordinates 1676830 5431508;</i></b><br><b><i>Anakiwa Road Stream to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1675988 5430878; Downstream co-ordinates 1676158 5430853.</i></b>  |       |          |             |                |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 286   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 127 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Arthur Street Drain to Stormwater Service - Upstream co-ordinates 1687911 5430898; Downstream co-ordinates 1687830 5431101.</i></b>   |       |          |             |                |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 287   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 135 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Takorika Stream (Township extent to Havelock Marina) - Upstream co-ordinates 1663902 5429845; Downstream co-ordinates 1664472 5430015;</i></b><br><b><i>Wilson Street Drain (Township extent to Coast) - Upstream co-ordinates 1664167 5429042; Downstream co-ordinates 1664432 5429385;</i></b><br><b><i>Kaituna Place Stream (Township extent to Coast) - Upstream co-ordinates 1664280 5428924; Downstream co-ordinates 1664693 5429117.</i></b>  |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 288   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 137 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Tirimoana Stream to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1675928 5431167; Downstream co-ordinates 1676257 5430978;</i></b><br><b><i>Anakiwa Road Stream to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1675988 5430878; Downstream co-ordinates 1676158 5430853;</i></b><br><b><i>Koromiko Stream (225m above SH1 to Tuamarina confluence) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680090 5421515; Downstream co-ordinates 1679577 5421466;</i></b><br><b><i>Tuamarina River and tributary (Lindens Road to Tuamarina Floodway Zone (plus Koromiko and tributary)) - Upstream co-ordinates 1681129 5425870; Downstream co-ordinates 1680398 5414583.</i></b> |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 289   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 138 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|--------|---------|-----------|------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following to the Floodway Zone -</p> <p><b><i>Ngakuta Stream (West) to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1680258 5429935; Downstream co-ordinates 1680747 5430488;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Ngakuta Stream (East) to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1680787 5429907; Downstream co-ordinates 1680882 5430477;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Milton Street Drain (above Milton Street to Stormwater Service) - Upstream co-ordinates 1685474 5429034; Downstream co-ordinates 1685312 5429200;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Hampden Street Drain and tributary (above Leicester Street to Picton Marina) - Upstream co-ordinates 1685469 5429619; Downstream co-ordinates 1684911 5428783;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Kent Street Drain (Scotland Street to Waitohi Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1683267 5427874; Downstream co-ordinates 1683760 5428387;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Elevation Stream (alongside SH1 (Wairau Road) near Angle Street) - Upstream co-ordinates 1683309 5427245; Downstream co-ordinates 1683443 5427377;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Koromiko Stream (225m above SH1 to Tuamarina confluence) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680090 5421515; Downstream co-ordinates 1679577 5421466;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Tuamarina River and tributary (Lindens Road to Tuamarina Floodway Zone (plus Koromiko and tributary)) - Upstream co-ordinates 1681129 5425870; Downstream co-ordinates 1680398 5414583.</i></b></p> |       |        |         |           |      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 290   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 139 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following to the Floodway Zone -</p> <p><b><i>Graham River to Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1690126 5429841; Downstream co-ordinates 1689959 5430960;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Arthur Street Drain to Stormwater Service - Upstream co-ordinates 1687911 5430898; Downstream co-ordinates 1687830 5431101;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Edes Drain to Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1687322 5430483; Downstream co-ordinates 1687257 5430944;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Waimarama Street Drain to Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1687202 5430569; Downstream co-ordinates 1687123 5430925;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Turner Street Drain to Waikawa Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1686928 5430329; Downstream co-ordinates 1686881 5430758;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Waikawa Stream to Waikawa Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1686444 5430134; Downstream co-ordinates 1686548 5430382;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Endeavour Stream and tributary (Admiralty Place to Marina) - Upstream co-ordinates 1685843 5430030; Downstream co-ordinates 1686895 5430903.</i></b></p> |       |          |             |                |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 291   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 146 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following to the Floodway Zone -</p> <p><b><i>Ohinemahuta (Onamalutu) River (Nutmeg Creek to Wairau Floodway Zone and Ohinemahuta Overflow) - Upstream co-ordinates 1657432 5409803; Downstream co-ordinates 1666199 5407819.</i></b></p>   |       |          |             |                |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 292   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 147 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following to the Floodway Zone -</p> <p><b><i>Are Are Creek (Leslies Road to Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1664111 5413249; Downstream co-ordinates 1668980 5409028;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Ohinemahuta (Onamalutu) River (Nutmeg Creek to Wairau Floodway Zone and Ohinemahuta Overflow) - Upstream co-ordinates 1657432 5409803; Downstream co-ordinates 1666199 5407819.</i></b></p>   |       |          |             |                |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 293   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 148 | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Waikakaho River (to Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1671132 5418045; Downstream co-ordinates 1675483 5411667.</i></b>   |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 294   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 149 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Tuamarina River and tributary (Lindens Road to Tuamarina Floodway Zone (plus Koromiko and tributary)) - Upstream co-ordinates 1681129 5425870; Downstream co-ordinates 1680398 5414583;</i></b><br><b><i>Waikakaho River (to Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1671132 5418045; Downstream co-ordinates 1675483 5411667.</i></b>  |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 295   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 154 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Timms Creek (75m above Northbank Road to Upper Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1642871 5400647; Downstream co-ordinates 1643110 5400510.</i></b>  |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 296   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 155 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Bartletts Creek (100m above Northbank Road to Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1649733 5403518; Downstream co-ordinates 1650650 5403236;</i></b><br><b><i>Timms Creek (75m above Northbank Road to Upper Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1642871 5400647; Downstream co-ordinates 1643110 5400510;</i></b><br><b><i>Pine Valley Stream (600m above Northbank Road to Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1645132 5402338; Downstream co-ordinates 1646369 5401797.</i></b> |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 297   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 156 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following to the Floodway Zone -</p> <p><b><i>Bartletts Creek (100m above Northbank Road to Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1649733 5403518; Downstream co-ordinates 1650650 5403236;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Ohinemahuta (Onamalutu) River (Nutmeg Creek to Wairau Floodway Zone and Ohinemahuta Overflow) - Upstream co-ordinates 1657432 5409803; Downstream co-ordinates 1666199 5407819.</i></b></p>  |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 298   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 157 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following to the Floodway Zone -</p> <p><b><i>Are Are Creek (Leslies Road to Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1664111 5413249; Downstream co-ordinates 1668980 5409028;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Ohinemahuta (Onamalutu) River (Nutmeg Creek to Wairau Floodway Zone and Ohinemahuta Overflow) - Upstream co-ordinates 1657432 5409803; Downstream co-ordinates 1666199 5407819;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Langley Dale Stream (50m above Northbank Road to Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1661474 5406425; Downstream co-ordinates 1661709 5406442.</i></b></p> |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 299   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 158 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following to the Floodway Zone -</p> <p><b><i>Golf Course Creek (50m above New Renwick Road to Golf Course Creek) - Upstream co-ordinates 1673467 5402025; Downstream co-ordinates 1674252 5402198;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Are Are Creek (Leslies Road to Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1664111 5413249; Downstream co-ordinates 1668980 5409028;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Lamberts Creek (to Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1666082 5409583; Downstream co-ordinates 1667541 5408262;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Ruakanakana (Gibson) Creek and all tributaries (west to east to Opaoa Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1661496 5402816; Downstream co-ordinates 1670975 5405486;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Ohinemahuta (Onamalutu) River (Nutmeg Creek to Wairau Floodway Zone and Ohinemahuta Overflow) - Upstream co-ordinates 1657432 5409803; Downstream co-ordinates 1666199 5407819;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>School Creek (SH63 to Ruakanakana (Gibsons) Creek) - Upstream co-ordinates 1669064 5403839; Downstream co-ordinates 1669880 5405335;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Terrace Creek (3A Nelson Place to Ruakanakana (Gibsons) Creek) - Upstream co-ordinates 1668646 5404498; Downstream co-ordinates 1669223 5404928.</i></b></p> |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council  | 300   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 159 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following to the Floodway Zone -</p> <p><b><i>Doctors Creek to Taylor Confluence - Upstream co-ordinates 1675581 5401858; Downstream co-ordinates 1678423 5403568;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Golf Course Creek (50m above New Renwick Road to Golf Course Creek) - Upstream co-ordinates 1673467 5402025; Downstream co-ordinates 1674252 5402198;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Wither Stream and minor tributary - Upstream co-ordinates 1679383 5399654; Downstream co-ordinates 1680442 5401558;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Reservoir Stream (to Fyffes Street Drain, to SW and to Wither Stream Drain) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680044 5398965; Downstream co-ordinates 1680002 5400741;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Sutherlands Stream (to Sutherlands Stream Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680579 5399683; Downstream co-ordinates 1680346 5400495;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Dungys Gully (to Mapps Stream) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680736 5400391; Downstream co-ordinates 1681036 5401296.</i></b></p>  |       |          |             |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 301   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 160 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following to the Floodway Zone -</p> <p><b><i>Mapps Stream (West) to Mapps Stream - Upstream co-ordinates 1681505 5399730; Downstream co-ordinates 1681176 5400586;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Mapps Stream (East) to Mapps Stream - Upstream co-ordinates 1681718 5399991; Downstream co-ordinates 1681221 5400690;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Simmons Gully to Mapps Waterway Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1681954 5399868; Downstream co-ordinates 1681605 5400872;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Cathcarts Gully to Mapps Waterway Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1682024 5400427; Downstream co-ordinates 1681882 5401132;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>McCormacks Gully to Mapps Waterway Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1682239 5400777; Downstream co-ordinates 1682049 5401215;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Eastern Boundary Gully/Airfields Drain to Mapps Waterway Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1682249 5400432; Downstream co-ordinates 1683165 5401390;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Snake Gully to Mapps Waterway Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1682987 5400523; Downstream co-ordinates 1683222 5401416;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Hidden Valley Channel to Mapps Waterway Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1683707 5401057; Downstream co-ordinates 1683537 5401671;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Ryans Waterway to Riverlands Co-op Drain Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1683800 5400794; Downstream co-ordinates 1684180 5401476;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Fifteen Valley Stream to Fifteen Valley Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1684640 5400235; Downstream co-ordinates 1685270 5400367;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Sixteen Valley Stream to Riverlands Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1686021 5399475; Downstream co-ordinates 1686548 5400157.</i></b></p> |       |          |             |                |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 302   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 165 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following to the Floodway Zone -</p> <p><b><i>Wye River (500m above SH63 to Wairau River) - Upstream co-ordinates 1626867 5390234; Downstream co-ordinates 1627559 5392329.</i></b></p>  |       |          |             |                |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council  | 303   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 166 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Top Valley Stream (180m above Northbank Road to Upper Waiau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1638662 5398786; Downstream co-ordinates 1639132 5398825;</i></b><br><b><i>Powells Island Channel (approx. 4.0km length of breakout channel) - Upstream co-ordinates 1638162 5397752; Downstream co-ordinates 1641524 5399174;</i></b><br><b><i>Tiresh Channel (approx. 1.8km length of breakout channel) - Upstream co-ordinates 1634100 5395290; Downstream co-ordinates 1635613 5396169.</i></b> |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 304   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 167 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Powells Island Channel (approx. 4.0km length of breakout channel) - Upstream co-ordinates 1638162 5397752; Downstream co-ordinates 1641524 5399174.</i></b>  |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 305   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 171 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Taylor River above Dam - Upstream co-ordinates 1677800 5396262; Downstream co-ordinates 1677703 5397324;</i></b><br><b><i>Rifle Range Creek to Taylor Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1679878 5398274; Downstream co-ordinates 1679093 5399807.</i></b>  |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 306   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 172 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Wither Stream and minor tributary - Upstream co-ordinates 1679383 5399654; Downstream co-ordinates 1680442 5401558;</i></b><br><b><i>Reservoir Stream (to Fyffes Street Drain, to SW and to Wither Stream Drain) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680044 5398965; Downstream co-ordinates 1680002 5400741;</i></b><br><b><i>Sutherlands Stream (to Sutherlands Stream Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680579 5399683; Downstream co-ordinates 1680346 5400495;</i></b><br><b><i>Dungys Gully (to Mapps Stream) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680736 5400391; Downstream co-ordinates 1681036 5401296;</i></b><br><b><i>Mapps Stream (West) to Mapps Stream - Upstream co-ordinates 1681505 5399730; Downstream co-ordinates 1681176 5400586;</i></b><br><b><i>Mapps Stream (East) to Mapps Stream - Upstream co-ordinates 1681718 5399991; Downstream co-ordinates 1681221 5400690;</i></b><br><b><i>Fifteen Valley Stream to Fifteen Valley Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1684640 5400235; Downstream co-ordinates 1685270 5400367;</i></b><br><b><i>Sixteen Valley Stream to Riverlands Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1686021 5399475; Downstream co-ordinates 1686548 5400157;</i></b><br><b><i>Seventeen Valley Stream to Vernon Lagoon - Upstream co-ordinates 1687034 5399608; Downstream co-ordinates 1687368 5400292.</i></b> |       |          |             |                |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 307   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 180 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Waihopai River (above Benhopai Dam to above Maori Ford Bridge) - Upstream co-ordinates 1645512 5387062; Downstream co-ordinates 1647572 5387308.</i></b>   |       |          |             |                |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 308   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 181 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Waihopai River (above Benhopai Dam to above Maori Ford Bridge) - Upstream co-ordinates 1645512 5387062; Downstream co-ordinates 1647572 5387308.</i></b>   |       |          |             |                |                 |
| 91                 | Marlborough District Council   | 309   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 186 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision                    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Starborough Creek (above Foster Street to Awatere River) - Upstream co-ordinates 1689021 5385928; Downstream co-ordinates 1690319 5387459.</i></b>   |       |          |   |                              |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 310   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 58                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the Floodway Zone -<br><b><i>Starborough Creek (above Foster Street to Awatere River) - Upstream co-ordinates 1689021 5385928; Downstream co-ordinates 1690319 5387459.</i></b>   |       |          |   |                              |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 311   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                           |                              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the introductory statement under the heading "Activity In, On, Over or Under the Bed of a Lake or River" on page 2-11 as follows (bold) -<br><b><i>"Activities in, on, over or under the beds of lakes and rivers do not cover the taking, use, damming or diversion of water controlled under Section 14 of the RMA. <b>These rules do not apply to the Floodway Zone.</b>"</i></b> |       |          |   |                              |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 312   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the table row for the Opaoa Freshwater Management Unit in Schedule 1 on p 6-2 of Appendix 6 as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><b><i>"Opaoa (above <del>O'Dwyers</del> <b>Mills and Ford</b> Road)."</i></b>  |       |          |   |                              |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 313   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the table row for the Roses Overflow Freshwater Management Unit in Schedule 1 on p 6-3 of Appendix 6 as follows (bold) -<br><b><i>"Roses Overflow (<b>below control weir</b>)."</i></b>  |       |          |   |                              |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 314   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Freshwater Management Unit 2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision                    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The boundaries of the Lower and Upper Pelorus Freshwater Management Units need to be adjusted to correct a mapping error (see attachment - black line is new proposed boundary). The amended boundaries will be consistent with the description of the boundaries in Appendix 6.   |       |          |                |                              |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 315   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps   | Freshwater Management Unit 2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundaries of the Boundary Freshwater Management Unit to reflect the following (see attachment - black line is new proposed boundary) -<br><br><i>"The north-eastern extent of the Boundary Creek FMU was intended to include all areas where groundwater takes were likely to cause stream depletion from the spring derived streams such as Excell Stream, Huddleston Stream, and Mill Creek. However the northern boundary was placed too far north, and captured a number of takes which are closer to the Wairau River, and more correctly placed in the Wairau River FMU. The boundary between the two FMUs has been redefined slightly south, generally following the main terrace, below which the Wairau river is the predominant influence. At the same time slight corrections have been made on the southern edge of the Mill Creek catchment to better reflect the hydrology of this area."</i> |       |          |                |                              |                 |
| <b>91</b>          | Marlborough District Council   | 316   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps   | Steep Erosion Prone Land 3   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | A slope factor has not been applied to the mapping of the Steep Erosion Prone Land therefore Class 8 and 7e land that is not on a slope but is unproductive land, e.g. estuaries have been inappropriately picked up. Two examples are attached, it is requested that these and similar features are removed from the Steep Erosion Prone Land Overlay.  |       |          |                |                              |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that any regulation, including any permitted activity standards are in line with the guiding principle of scale.  |       |          |                |                              |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 2     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that any regulation, including any permitted activity standards are in line with the guiding principle of scale of regional alignment.  |       |          |                |                              |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 3     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles           | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Ensure that any regulation, including any permitted activity standards are in line with the guiding principle of scale minimal intervention in the exercise of property rights. Make plantation forestry activities controlled activities.  |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 4     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain guiding principle <b>It is important that people live and work in locations and in situations that have a minimal risk of being adversely affected by a hazard event.</b>  |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 5     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The regulation for plantation forestry be removed from the Plan.  |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 6     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Working with others to sustainably manage Marlborough's natural and physical resources | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that any regulation for plantation forests are an appropriate mix of regulatory and non regulatory controls.   |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Issue 4A with the following amendments.</p> <p>The ecosystem services benefits of commercial forestry should also be recognised.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Habitat</li> <li>• Recreational access</li> <li>• Reducing flooding</li> <li>• Carbon sequestration</li> <li>• Other non-wood values</li> </ul> |       |          |   |  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Ensure equity of regulation in line with the guiding principle of scale.<br>Insert commentary about the minimal use of water by plantation forests. |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 11           | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | The resultant regulation should be in alignment with the policy.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 12           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Issue 5B         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The word "take" should be defined to not include water used by growing trees.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 13           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Issue 5C         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5C.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 14           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.3    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.3.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 15           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.1     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.1.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 16           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.2     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.2.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                                     | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated | 17           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.3.                                 |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated | 18           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain issue 5.3.4.                                  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated | 19           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.5.                                 |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated | 20           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.6.                                 |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated | 21           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.7.                                 |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated | 22           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.8.                                 |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated | 23           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.9     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.9.                                 |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated | 24           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.10.                                |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated                   | 25           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.11    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.11.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated                   | 26           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.12.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated                   | 27           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.13    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.13.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated                   | 28           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.14.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated                   | 29           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove this policy.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated                   | 30           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove this policy.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated                   | 31           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Equally state that hill country is best suited to commercial forestry. |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated                   | 32           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.1    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Ensure that there is equitable allocation of the water resource, recognising passive and abstractive use.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 33    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.18 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Provide for short term and minor diversions as permitted activities.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 34    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.19 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Provide for consideration of the duration of the activity.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 35    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.20 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Enable minor and small scale in-stream damming.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 36    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5C      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include recognition of plantation forests lack of abstractive use of water, therefore does not rely on water in comparison to other primary industries. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 37    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that plantation afforestation is not controlled as a relief to provide water to be made available for abstractive use.                           |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 38    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete any provisions which regulate plantation afforestation or replanting in flow sensitive sites.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 39    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete any provisions which regulate plantation afforestation or replanting in flow sensitive sites.                                  |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 40    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Only apply the objective to identified rivers.  |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 41    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.2  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that the coastal environment reflects truly respects that natural character of the coastal environment.                        |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 42    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.3  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Clarify the assessment criteria.  |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 43    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.5  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Limitations to only apply to a set of identified rivers – not all rivers. Clarify what “adjacent to” means or delete it.              |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 44    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Limitations to only apply to a set of identified rivers - not <u>all</u> rivers.  |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 45    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Review the riparian natural character overlay and ensure provision is made for the appropriate use of natural and physical resources. |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 46    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Review the riparian natural character overlay and ensure provision is made for the appropriate use of natural and physical resources. |       |          |                     |              |        |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 47    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Review the riparian natural character overlay and ensure provision is made for appropriate use of natural and physical resources.     |       |          |                     |              |        |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 48    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this policy.   |       |          |                     |              |        |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 49    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the policy or ensure it only has limited application where appropriate.  |       |          |                     |              |        |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 50    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Ensure equity of setbacks dependant on adverse effects and provide justification for any lineal setback control.                      |       |          |                     |              |        |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 51    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.M.1        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Ensure equity and clarity of the method and regulation.   |       |          |                     |              |        |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 52    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.1.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Pragmatic reassessment of the Marlborough Sounds ONL's.   |       |          |                     |              |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 53           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape    | Policy 7.2.1     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Ensure provision is provided for working rural environments, which do change.                                 |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 54           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape    | Policy 7.2.3     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete provisions in relation to plantation forest.   |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 55           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape    | Policy 7.2.4     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Review Appendix 1 to ensure it can be meaningfully applied.   |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 56           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape    | Policy 7.2.5     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Clarify the policy and its interpretation (in light of the word "avoids" definition in Section 2 of the MEP). |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 57           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape    | Policy 7.2.7     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy and ensure legally established plantation forest is not captured by the policy.             |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 58           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape    | Policy 7.2.8     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Ensure equality for all primary land use.   |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 59           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape    | Policy 7.2.9     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This policy is deleted.   |              |               |                |                  |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 60           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.10    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Ensure planning controls are warranted, based on risk analysis.                                |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 61           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape               | 7.M.3            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Ensure equity and clarity of the method and regulation.  |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 62           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape               | 7.M.8            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Develop meaningful incentives to drive land use change.  |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 63           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Issue 8A         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise the role plantation forests have in maintaining indigenous biodiversity.             |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 64           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise that these areas, while significant, may be affected legitimate adjacent activities. |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 65           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise that these areas, while significant, may be affected legitimate adjacent activities. |              |               |                           |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 66           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove any identified wetlands that have not been verified and included without landowner consultation. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 67           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.2     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove any identified wetlands that have not been verified and included without landowner consultation. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 68           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.3     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that this policy is restricted to specific and identified sites.                                 |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 69           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Delete this policy.   |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 70           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Reword the policy so that it is restricted to specific and identified sites                             |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 71           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.5     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Reword the policy so that it is restricted to specific and identified sites.                            |              |               |                           |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                 | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 72           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | 8.M.4            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Reword the policy so that it is restricted to specific and identified sites.                       |              |               |                                |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 73           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.5     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Ensure public safety is provided for.  |              |               |                                |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 74           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.2.1     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that policy 9.1.15 is linked to this policy.  |              |               |                                |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 75           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.3.2     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Insert a clear requirement of organiser(s) being responsible for their effects e.g. fire risk etc. |              |               |                                |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 76           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.4.2     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that fire risk is adequately considered and provided for.                                   |              |               |                                |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 77           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.4.4     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a further consideration – fire danger and risk both on-site and to adjacent property/people.   |              |               |                                |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 78    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                     | Policy 11.1.21 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Widen the policy to include the impacts of other natural events and hazards.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 79    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Objective 14.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reword the objective to ensure it is meaningful.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 80    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.3.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The risks of fire to property including plantation forest and life need to be considered and provided for in the assessment of adverse effects. |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 81    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.4.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clarity that roads are not included.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 82    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Actively remove animals from water catchments.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 83    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Actively remove animals from water catchments.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 84    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete the word 'occasionally' from Natural Process text (first word of paragraph 2).   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 85    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Include use of non-regulatory methods.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 86    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Stakeholders need to be involved in this process.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 87    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Stakeholders need to be involved in this process.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 88    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.3   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Stakeholders need to be involved in this process.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 89    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.5   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Clear statement of involvement of landowners and other stakeholders.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 90    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.25  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Working with landowners is a positive approach and could result in no requirement to control resource use. This outcome should be provided for – not just a regulation outcome. |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 91    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.27  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clarification of promote v rules.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 92    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.29  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Make this a controlled activity if consent is required.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 93    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.31 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Allow short term and/or minor discharges.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 94    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Munsell scale. Allow minor and/or short term discharges where appropriate. Define proximity. Provide clarity in consideration of existing levels. If there is high suspended sediment a bit more won't matter or enough is enough? |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 95    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.16        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Define use of "potential" and how is this identified.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 96    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Air quality monitoring of ground concentrations is undertaken at the notional boundary of the nearest dwelling.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 97    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Provide clear statement as to 'dust' being in or out.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 98    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clarification required for dust. Silent in the use of shelterbelts or physical barriers.  |       |          |  |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                         | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 99           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.6    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Provide clear statement as to 'dust' being in or out.  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 100          | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.2    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | It should be avoided except for in designated tracks, roads, skids, culverts or where required for built infrastructure.   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 101          | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.3    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | A controlled activity rule would deliver the required outcomes. Allow controlled activity status. Need to promote use of shelterbelts or minimise their removal. |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 102          | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.4    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Provide for a controlled activity or limited discretionary activity.   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 103          | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.6    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Provide for machinery use in erosion control as a controlled or limited discretionary activity.  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 104          | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.38          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Provide for machinery use in erosion control as a controlled or limited discretionary activity.  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 105          | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.39          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Note that forest land should not be penalised for low fertiliser use should there be a land use change.  |              |               |  |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                         | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 106          | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.45          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Monitor all land users to obtain information.  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 107          | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.5.4    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Clarify as to what is/is not significant.  |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 108          | Volume 1      | 16 Waste                               | Issue 16A        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Provide policies or methods to address issue on private and public land.                                 |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 109          | Volume 1      | 17 Transportation                      | Objective 17.4   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | There could be some better clarity as to implementing the objectives.                                    |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 110          | Volume 1      | 17 Transportation                      | Policy 17.4.1    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Re-classify public roads to forest boundaries as arterial roads in the 5 year before and during harvest. |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 111          | Volume 1      | 17 Transportation                      | Policy 17.5.6    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Setbacks will be considered on merits relative to activity and will be permitted where possible.         |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 112          | Volume 1      | 17 Transportation                      | Issue 17E        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | No decision requested.   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 113          | Volume 1      | 17 Transportation                      | Policy 17.6.1    | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Reclassify local roads to forest boundaries to arterial in the 5yr before and during harvest.   |       |          |                   |                |         |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 114   | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.6.2  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Rewrite to provide greater clarity.   |       |          |                   |                |         |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 115   | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | 17.M.7         | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Provide clarity of intention.   |       |          |                   |                |         |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 116   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Objective 19.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (inferred)  |       |          |                   |                |         |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 117   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. (Inferred)  |       |          |                   |                |         |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 118   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions    | 25.            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition to include management as an activity.  |       |          |                   |                |         |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 119   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions    | 25.            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition to include maintenance of infrastructure post harvest, by including the following (or words with similar effect):<br><b>d) maintenance of infrastructure post harvest.</b> |       |          |                   |                |         |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 120   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions    | 25.            | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete the words:<br><del>but does not include the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land.</del>  |       |          |                |           |        |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 121   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the reference to replanting in the definition.  |       |          |                |           |        |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 122   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the second sentence of the definition as follows (or words with similar effect):<br><b>Includes the planting, management and preparation of land for planting, including excavation.</b>   |       |          |                |           |        |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 123   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the last sentence of the definition:<br><del>This definition only pertains to the Coastal Environment Zone.</del>   |       |          |                |           |        |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 124   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of excavation by including (or words to similar effect):<br>This definition does not pertain to Commercial Forestry Harvesting.   |       |          |                |           |        |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 125   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the definition of slash and replace it with the following (or words with similar effect):<br><b>Slash: means wood waste (slovens, cull logs, uprooted stumps, broken trees, chunks, branches and tops) greater than 100 mm in diameter and 1 metre in length, resulting from the activities of vegetation removal (including commercial harvesting) and earthworks.</b> |       |          |                |           |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated                         | 126          | Volume 2      | 25 Definitions  | 25.              | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Delete the definition.   |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated                         | 127          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.7.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain this rule.  |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated                         | 128          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.7.2.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain this rule.  |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated                         | 129          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.7.3.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain this rule.  |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated                         | 130          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.7.5.           | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Extend the rule for it to also apply to intermittently flowing watercourses. |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated                         | 131          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.7.7.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain this rule.  |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated                         | 132          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.7.9.           | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend in accordance with Land and Water Forum recommendation.                |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated                         | 133          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.           | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend in accordance with Land and Water Forum recommendation.  |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 134   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include new rules as permitted activities for the installation and use of bridges in, on or over a river.  |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 135   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include new rules as permitted activities for the installation and use of bridges in, on, under or over an ephemeral watercourse and intermittently flowing watercourse when there is no surface flow.   |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 136   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.4.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the reference to the Munsell Scale as the measure to record a change in colour.<br>Rewrite the rule, ensuring that the methods of measurement is useable and meaningful.<br><br>This applies to all other references to the Munsell scale in the MEP. |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 137   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.3.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this rule and replace it with the following (or words to similar effect):<br><b>The best practical method must be adopted to mitigate the significant effects of the discharge of dust.</b>   |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 138   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.7.4.  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Either:<br/>Delete the requirement for the culvert to be no greater than 8 metres in length</p> <p>Or<br/>Rewrite the rule as follows (or with words of similar effect):<br/><b>The total length of the culvert must not exceed 15m, except for a culvert passing beneath a State Highway where the total length of the culvert must not exceed 20m.</b></p>                         |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 139   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.10.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Establish controlled activity rules for minor non-compliance, where the effects of the activity are known and the impacts are minor. For example the length of a culvert pipe.</p> <p>Only default non-compliance of permitted activity standards to full discretionary if the effects are unknown, difficult to quantify or when there is a risk of significant adverse effect.</p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 140   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain clauses 2.17.1.1 – 2.17.1.4 and:<br/>Remove from clause 217.1.5 a) the reference to the Munsell Scale as the measure to record a change in hue and rewrite clause 217.1.5 a) ensuring that the methods of measurement are useable and meaningful.</p>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 141   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.22.1.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the standard to state:<br/>The application must not result in the agrichemical being deposited <b>on in water</b> in a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network.</p>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 142   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.21.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include a new permitted activity rule for the discharge of dust to air and the standard for the discharge being (or words to similar effect):<br>The best practical method must be adopted to mitigate the significant effects of the discharge of dust.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 143   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.33.2.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the words:<br><i>but does not include the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land from the definition of Commercial Forestry Harvesting, (refer submission point 962.120)</i><br>AND<br>Delete rule 2.33.2.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 144   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.6.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule set 3.3.6 for Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) to align with the Proposed Forestry NSE. i.e. "Front-load" the Plan provisions that control to Afforestation, making the subsequent harvest of the plantation, and the supporting earthworks a Permitted Activity.<br><br>Amend rule set 3.3.6 to afford permitted activity (PA) status for Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) based on the terms and conditions and exceptions in the proposed Forestry NES; ie default out of PA into Controlled activity status (ie a consenting regime) when the effects on the environment are judged significantly adverse. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 145   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.7.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule set 3.3.7 to make Commercial forestry harvesting a permitted activity, on all but land zoned Red or Dark Orange in the updated Erosion Susceptibility Classification (ESC) mapping produced by LandCare Research for the proposed Forestry NES.<br><br>On all other land (Green, Yellow & Orange zoned in the ESC) make Commercial forestry harvesting a permitted activity subject to the preparation of a Harvest Plan and an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan as per Rule 3.3.7.1.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 146          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.7.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.2.1.7<br>Create an ability to vary easily where adjoining property is also commercial forestry.   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 147          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3.3.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause b) to also include forestry activities.  |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 148          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.2.1.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend this standard to apply equally to forestry land and operations.   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 149          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Separate the activities of planting and replanting for all applicable rules.  |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 150          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete clause a) from standard 3.3.6.1<br>A general restriction on other species may impact on erosion control and stability plantings – including MDC own requirements on the Wither Hills.<br><br>Amend the definition of Commercial forestry Planting in Section 25 (Definitions) to exclude replant. (refer submission point 962.121) |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 151          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.         | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Delete clause (c) and replace it with (or with words of similar effect):<br><i>Planting must not occur where vegetation could shade a formed and sealed public road between 10 am and 2 pm on the shortest day of the year and icing is likely to occur, except where topography already causes shading.</i> |       |          |                          |           |         |
|                    | Include a new rule for other vegetation planting in the Rural Environment and Coastal Environment to equally protect formed and sealed public roads from shading effects of vegetation.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 152   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete clause (d) and replace it with the setbacks as specified in the NES-PF  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 153   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete clause (e) and replace it with the setbacks as specified in the NES-PF  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 154   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete clause (f) and replace it with the setbacks as specified in the NES-PF  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 155   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause (g) to not apply to the replanting of an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site.   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 156   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete clause (g)  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 157   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain this standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 158   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the notification standard as follows (or with words of similar effect):<br/> Notification must be given to council:<br/> (a) at least 10 and no more than 60 working days prior to planned commencement of harvesting, or<br/> (b) a minimum of 2 days before commencement of harvesting required for salvage operations, or<br/> (c) annually in the case of ongoing harvesting operations.<br/> The Harvest Plan must be made available to the council on request, at least 20 working days before operations start, or provided annually on written agreement with the council.</p> <p>The notification requirement can be waived, in writing by the council. The council may also at their discretion reduce the notice period upon request of the Forest Manager.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 159   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.2.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete the notification period from this standard and amend it to read (or with words of similar effect):<br/> <b>The council must be notified of any significant change to the Harvest Plan within 10 working days of it occurring. As guidance, a significant change would be in the order of the relocation of a landing or road more than 100 metres from its planned location, or a change in harvesting technique that will result in trees entering a permanently flowing river.</b></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 160   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the following from clause a):<br/> <i>8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016</i></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 161   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause a) to state (or with words of similar effect):<br><i>8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation except for the direct approaches to permitted activity or consented stream crossings);</i>  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 162   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause b) to read (or with words of similar effect):<br><b>8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</b><br><br>Include any identified significant wetlands only if they meet the criteria for significance with on-site verification. |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 163   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause b) to read (or with words of similar effect):<br>30m of the Coastal Marine area, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016;   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 164   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.5.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Review this clause to align it with the provisions of the NES-PF.  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 165   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.6.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Review this clause to align it with the provisions of the NES-PF.<br>Provide for maintenance excavation as a permitted activity on all slopes/land classes.  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 166   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.7.  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Rewrite this standard as follows (or with words with similar effect):<br>Design and construct batters to be stable.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 167   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.8.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain this clause.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 168   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.9.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain this standard.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 169   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain this standard.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 170   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain this standard.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 171   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.2.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete this clause and replace it with (or words to similar effect):<br><b>Trees and slash must:</b><br><b>(a) be removed from within a river wherever practicable (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</b> |       |          |                          |           |         |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 172   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.12. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete this standard   |       |          |                          |           |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 173   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.12. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the clause to state (or with words of similar effect):<br><i>Trees and slash must:</i><br><i>(c) be stored on stable ground</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 174   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.12. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Reword this clause to make it clear and meaningful as, if the intent is to ensure slash is stable, that is good practice.<br><br>Suggested wording (or words with similar effect):<br><i>(d) Slash piles are to be stable.</i>                   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 175   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new commercial forestry harvesting standard which states (or words to similar effect):<br><i>Trees and slash may be deposited into and remain in ephemeral and intermittent (when not flowing) rivers.</i>                              |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 176   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Limit the rule to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks, and provide an exclusion to the standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used for all purposes. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 177   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Limit the rule to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 178   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Limit the rule to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 179   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Limit the rule to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks,<br>AND<br>delete the reference to soil debris and the word "tree".  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 180   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Reword the clause as follows (or with words of similar effect):<br>Council will be notified, preferably 2 working days prior to the use of machinery, but will accept notification on the day of use. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 181   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.14. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Provide an exclusion to the standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 182   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.17. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the reference to the Munsell Scale as the measure to record a change in hue,<br>AND<br>Rewrite clause (a) ensuring that the methods of measurement are useable and meaningful                  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 183   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.17. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Reword clause (b) as follows (or with words to similar effect):<br><br><b>(b) A change of less than 40% in visual clarity</b>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 184   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.18. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clearly show that there will not be any self incrimination and make applicable to all lands and land uses.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 185   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.19. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete "all" from harvesting tracks and allow tracks identified for replant access to remain subject to 3.3.7.20.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 186   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 187   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.20.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Requirement for stability and water & sediment control to remain effective after completion of land disturbance - very open and subjective. <i>(The submission does not include a decision requested.)</i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 188   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 189   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Treat in the same manner as 2.22.1.2 Air Application for Agrichemical.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated   | 190   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.48.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Ensure event operator has fire controls in place. Speaks with forest neighbour.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 191   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.2.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include forestry activities.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 192   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.6.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 193   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 194   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.19.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>962</b>         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 195   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Re-write Rule 4.3.7.1 so that it applies only to species known to spread rapidly in Coastal environment, that also are likely to be planted there. Apply the rule to all land uses. |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 196   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                | 4.3.13.10.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Review the available science to decide what an acceptable temporary discoloration from acceptable construction and forestry practices should be, bearing in mind the greatly reduced sediment transport for most of the forest rotation, and re-write Rule 4. 3.13.10 to reflect this.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 197   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.10.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 962                | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated  | 198   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.7.17.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 390                | Marlborough Hort  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 963                | Marlborough Kindergarten Association  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                           | 2.32.1.1.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the row in the table for "Educational facility" as it relates to Early Childhood Education as follows (strike through) -<br>" <i>Early Childhood Educational or day-care facility – 1 per 10 children the facility is designed to accommodate, plus 1 per FTE employee, plus 1 drop-off space per 5 children the facility is designed to accommodate.</i> " |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 232                | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the Marlborough Lines Limited 33kV Network to the Zoning Maps <i>(specific Zoning Maps not identified in Submission but a map of Network attached).</i><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 232                | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 2     | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone                      | 19.3.2.       | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under this heading as follows -<br><b>"Planting must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>                             |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited  | 3     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.3.9.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under this heading as follows -<br><b>"Planting must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>                             |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited  | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.9.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under this heading as follows -<br><b>"Planting must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>                             |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.10.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under this heading as follows -<br><b>"Planting must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>                             |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited  | 6     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.3.7.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add (g) to the Standard as follows -<br><i>"Planting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(a) .....</i><br><b>(g) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 232                | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 7     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add (f) to the Standard as follows -<br>" <i>Planting must not be in, or within:</i><br>(a) .....<br><b>(f) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit.</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 232                | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.8.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add (g) to the Standard as follows -<br>" <i>Planting must not be in, or within:</i><br>(a) .....<br><b>(g) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit.</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 232                | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 9     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add (d) to the Standard as follows -<br>" <i>Planting must not be in, or within:</i><br>(a) .....<br><b>(d) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit.</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 232                | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.6.2.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                      | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add (k) to the Standard as follows -<br><i>"Planting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(a) .....</i><br><b><i>(k) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</i></b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 11    | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add an additional matter to be addressed in the Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan as follows -<br><b><i>"Provide written approval from Marlborough Lines Limited if there any harvesting proposed where distribution circuits are present."</i></b><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 12    | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone             | 22.3.9.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the Standard 22.3.8.1) as follows -<br><b><i>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</i></b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>               |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 13    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone                         | 19.3.4.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the Standard 19.3.3.1) as follows -<br><b><i>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</i></b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>               |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 14    | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone                         | 18.3.4.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the Standard 18.3.3.1) as follows -<br><b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 15    | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone      | 17.3.3.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the Standard 17.3.2.1) as follows -<br><b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 16    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.3.18.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under this heading as follows -<br><b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 17    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.10.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under this heading as follows -<br><b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 18    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.3.19.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the Standard 13.3.20.1) as follows -<br><b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 19    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone     | 7.3.8.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the Standard 7.3.7.1) as follows -<br><b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>     |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 20    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the Standard 4.3.10.1) as follows -<br><b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>    |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 21    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.12.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the to Standard 3.3.11.1) as follows -<br><b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 22    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.3.8.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add (d) to the Standard as follows -<br><i>"Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(a) .....</i><br><b>(d) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 23    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.1.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add (d) to the Standard as follows -<br><i>"Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(a) .....</i><br><b><i>(d) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</i></b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>           |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 24    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.9.1.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add (d) to the Standard as follows -<br><i>"Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(a) .....</i><br><b><i>(d) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</i></b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>           |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 25    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.7.3.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add (d) to the Standard as follows -<br><i>"Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(a) .....</i><br><b><i>(d) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</i></b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>           |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 26    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards               | Objective 11.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy or method under this Objective requiring consultation to be undertaken with the Network owner (MLL) before undertaking any new planting within 40m of a MLL distribution circuit.<br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 27    | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.3.8.2.      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add (d) to the Standard as follows -<br><b>"Vegetation clearance when undertaking maintenance of existing infrastructure by a an electricity network utility operator."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited  | 28    | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone       | 18.3.3.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add (d) to the Standard as follows -<br><b>"Vegetation clearance when undertaking maintenance of existing infrastructure by a an electricity network utility operator."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited  | 29    | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone       | 17.3.2.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add (d) to the Standard as follows -<br><b>"Vegetation clearance when undertaking maintenance of existing infrastructure by a an electricity network utility operator."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited  | 30    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone       | 19.3.3.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add (e) to the Standard as follows -<br><b>"Vegetation clearance when undertaking maintenance of existing infrastructure by a an electricity network utility operator."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited  | 31    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.3.7.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add (f) to the Standard as follows -<br><b>"Vegetation clearance when undertaking maintenance of existing infrastructure by a an electricity network utility operator."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited  | 32    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add (f) to the Standard as follows -<br><b>"Vegetation clearance when undertaking maintenance of existing infrastructure by a an electricity network utility operator."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited  | 33    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.11.2.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add (f) to the Standard as follows -<br><b>"Vegetation clearance when undertaking maintenance of existing infrastructure by a an electricity network utility operator."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited  | 34    | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land |                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following Designated Land to the table for Marlborough Lines Limited in Appendix 14 -<br>ID No. - <b>(Old ref 168)</b><br>Map No. - <b>159</b><br>Site Description - <b>287 Hammerichs Rd, Blenheim</b><br>Legal Description - <b>Lot 1 DP 2323</b><br>Designation - <b>Substation</b> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited  | 35    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 135 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add Designated Land number E29 to the Map.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited  | 36    | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land |                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the following rows in the table of Designated Land for Marlborough Lines Limited in the Appendix to provide more detail. The specific detail is described in the Submission. Rows - E5, E6, E7, E9, E11, E12, E13, E14, E17, E18, E19, E20, E22, E24, E26, E29, E30, E31, E33.           |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited  | 37    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                          | 25.            | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a definition for " <b>Maintenance, as it relates to electricity network utility infrastructure</b> " as follows -<br><br>" <b>has the same meaning as in Section 23(3) of the Electricity Act 1992.</b> "<br><br>(Inferred)   |       |          |                             |            |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 38    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions              | 25.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of Minor Upgrading as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <i>means an increase in the carrying capacity, efficiency or security of electricity (for the purpose of utilities) lines, telecommunication lines and radio communication facilities, using the existing support structures or structures of a similar scale and character, and includes:</i><br><i>(a) The addition of circuits and conductors;</i><br><i>(b) The re-conductoring of the line with higher capacity conductors;</i><br><i>(c) The re-sagging of conductors;</i><br><i>(d) The addition of longer or more efficient insulators;</i><br><i>(e) The addition of earthwires which may contain telecommunication lines, earthpeaks and lightning rods;</i><br><i>(f) Foundation works associated with the minor upgrading.</i><br>Minor upgrading does not include an increase in the voltage of the line unless the line was originally constructed to operate at the higher voltage but has been operating at a reduced voltage ( <b>this does not apply to line upgrades up to 110kv.</b> )" |       |          |                             |            |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 39    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions              | 25.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of NZECP34:2001 as follows (bold) -<br><br>" <i>means the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances. <b>Where there are references to NZECP34:2001 in the Plan, this should be read to include any subsequent amendments to NZECP34:2001.</b></i> "<br><br>(Inferred)  |       |          |                             |            |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 40    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.19.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) –<br><br>" <i>The filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 <b>and Figure 1</b> of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001).</i> "  |       |          |                             |            |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 41    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone       | 7.3.10.5.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) –<br><i>" The filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 <b>and Figure 1</b> of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 42    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.14.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) –<br><i>" The filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 <b>and Figure 1</b> of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>232</b>         | Marlborough Lines Limited   | 43    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.15.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) –<br><i>" The filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 <b>and Figure 1</b> of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>267</b>         | Marlborough Motor-Cycle Club Inc  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.2.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That a Permitted Activity be added under Provision 2.2 for the taking of water for dust control.<br><br>We suggest an allowance of 50 cubic metres per site per day for up to 50 days per year would be sufficient for the Club, most construction sites, haul roads and the like. Larger-scale construction operations would still need a water permit for large volume and/or longer duration takes.<br><br>We anticipate that conditions to be added under Provision 2.3 would include aspects such as a maximum proportion of take of surface flow and restrictions in resource areas that are over-allocated or under restriction. It may also be desirable for records to be kept and for those to be provided to Council upon request. |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>237</b>         | Marlborough Mountain Bike Club  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.14.4. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Rule 3.3.14.4 to read: Excavation within a soil sensitive area identified as loess soils is permitted on slopes up to 20 degrees provided the new track or trail is built to New Zealand recognised standards.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| <b>237</b>         | Marlborough Mountain Bike Club  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.14.11.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained."   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>964</b>         | Marlborough Oysters Limited   | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include economic development and associated relief.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>964</b>         | Marlborough Oysters Limited   | 2     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief.   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>964</b>         | Marlborough Oysters Limited   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Specifically recognise the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay and associated relief.   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>964</b>         | Marlborough Oysters Limited   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Sounds have been altered by social and cultural use and associated relief.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>964</b>         | Marlborough Oysters Limited   | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing users of natural and physical resources and associated relief.   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>964</b>         | Marlborough Oysters Limited   | 6     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | 6.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include appropriate definitions of natural character, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character and associated relief.                              |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>964</b>         | Marlborough Oysters Limited   | 7     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | 7.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include appropriate definitions of outstanding features and landscape, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use an development in areas of natural landscape and features and associated relief. |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>964</b>         | Marlborough Oysters Limited   | 8     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | 7.                 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL is not appropriate and should be revised.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>964</b>         | Marlborough Oysters Limited  | 9     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.                          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use an appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief.   |       |          |                           |                             |                 |
| <b>964</b>         | Marlborough Oysters Limited  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character       | 6.                          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and<br>Associated relief. |       |          |                           |                             |                 |
| <b>964</b>         | Marlborough Oysters Limited  | 11    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | 7.                          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and<br>Associated relief. |       |          |                           |                             |                 |
| <b>964</b>         | Marlborough Oysters Limited  | 12    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.                          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and<br>Associated relief. |       |          |                           |                             |                 |
| <b>964</b>         | Marlborough Oysters Limited  | 13    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps              | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I request that the MDC undertake a review of these areas and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farms are not causing adverse effects.   |       |          |                           |                             |                 |
| <b>964</b>         | Marlborough Oysters Limited  | 14    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps              | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision                               | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the coastal natural character overlay over Squally Cove.  |       |          |  |   |                 |
| <b>964</b>         | Marlborough Oysters Limited  | 15    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Landscapes 4                            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the landscape overlay over Squally Cove.  |       |          |  |   |                 |
| <b>964</b>         | Marlborough Oysters Limited  | 16    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Ecologically Significant Marine Sites overlay over Squally Cove.  |       |          |  |   |                 |
| <b>964</b>         | Marlborough Oysters Limited  | 17    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.                                     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal waters and particularly marine farms and associated relief.  |       |          |  |   |                 |
| <b>964</b>         | Marlborough Oysters Limited  | 18    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.                                     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.   |       |          |  |   |                 |
| <b>965</b>         | Marlborough Recreational Fishers Association   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                 | 16.7.5.                                 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Rule 16.7.5:<br><br><i>Rule 16.7.5. Fishing activity <del>Dredging, trawling or anchoring, or any other non-destructive fishing method</del> that uses a technique that disturbs the seabed within any Ecologically Significant Marine Sites, except Croiselles Harbour Entrance – No. 1.2 and Tennyson Inlet – No. 3.9.</i> |       |          |  |   |                 |
| <b>965</b>         | Marlborough Recreational Fishers Association   | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.6.1.                                | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.6.1:<br><i>Standard 4.3.6.1. Replanting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(a) <del>8</del> <b>10</b> metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake <b>permanently flowing water course more than 3 metres in width and 20 metres for those greater than 3 metres in width</b>;</i><br><i>(b) 8 metres of a Significant Wetland;</i><br><i>(c) <del>200</del> <b>200</b> metres of the coastal marine area.</i> |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>965</b>         | Marlborough Recreational Fishers Association   | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.2.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Planting on steep slopes. MRFA would support a mandatory Replanting and Afforestation Management Plan which would identify areas at high risk of erosion and require steps to mitigate that risk.  |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>965</b>         | Marlborough Recreational Fishers Association   | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.9.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following addition (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.8.9:<br><i>Standard 4.3.8.9. Trees, slash and soil debris must:</i><br><b><i>(e) be removed within one month.</i></b>   |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>965</b>         | Marlborough Recreational Fishers Association   | 5     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.8.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.11.8:<br><i>Standard 4.3.11.8 On completion of a vegetation clearance, a suitable vegetative cover that will mitigate soil loss, is to be restored on the site so that, within <del>24</del> <b>12</b> months the amount of bare ground is to be no more than 20% greater than prior to the vegetation clearance taking place.</i>  |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>965</b>         | Marlborough Recreational Fishers Association   | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.10. | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following addition is made to Standard 4.3.11.10:<br><i>Standard 4.3.11.10 Woody material greater than 100mm in diameter and soil debris must:</i><br><b><i>(e) be removed within 1 month.</i></b>  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>965</b>         | Marlborough Recreational Fishers Association   | 7     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                | 4.3.12.5.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.12.5:<br><i>Standard 4.3.12.5. On completion of cultivation, a suitable vegetative cover that will mitigate soil loss, must be restored on the site so that, within <del>24</del> <b>12</b> months the amount of bare ground is to be no more than 20% greater than prior to the cultivation taking place.</i>  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>966</b>         | Marlborough Research Centre Trust  | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.<br><i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i><br><br>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:<br><i><u>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</u></i> |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>966</b>         | Marlborough Research Centre Trust  | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy:<br><i>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</i>  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>966</b>         | Marlborough Research Centre Trust  | 3     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3   | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>966</b>         | Marlborough Research Centre Trust   | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.8                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | MRC submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies.<br><i>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage at all times to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available. If aquifer water is abstracted to storage during the irrigation season the total abstraction for storage and direct irrigation must not exceed the reasonable use demand allocation.</i>         |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>967</b>         | Marlborough Roads   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the criteria for determining whether an issue is regionally significant within Chapter 2 Background.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>967</b>         | Marlborough Roads   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 4B issue statement.<br>Amend the Issue 4B reason as follows:<br><i>The ability to <u>operate</u>, maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure without significant constraint is important in this respect.</i>   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>967</b>         | Marlborough Roads   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 as follows:<br><i>Efficient, effective, safe <u>and resilient</u> operation of regionally significant infrastructure.</i><br><i>The community relies on the considerable infrastructure that has been developed to protect and support the population <u>and economy</u>. It is essential for the social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community that this critical infrastructure continues to operate efficiently, effectively and safely on an ongoing basis. This includes the ability to <u>operate</u>, maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure.</i> |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>967</b>         | Marlborough Roads   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 4.2.1 as follows:</p> <p><i>Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative <u>or authorised as a permitted activity, resource consent, or notice of requirement</u>, as regionally significant:</i></p> <p>...</p> <p><i>(k) <del>district</del> roading network;</i></p> <p>...</p> <p>Add a definition for road network as follows:</p> <p><u><i>Means all local roads and State Highways</i></u></p> <p>Add a definition for local road as follows:</p> <p><u><i>Means a road for which Council has financial responsibility for.</i></u></p> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>967</b>         | Marlborough Roads   | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 4.2.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>Protect regionally significant infrastructure from the adverse effects of other activities, <u>including reverse sensitivity and cumulative effects.</u></i></p>  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>967</b>         | Marlborough Roads   | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.9          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Method 4.M.9 as follows:</p> <p><i>Rules will be used to enable activities associated with the maintenance, <u>operation</u>, alteration, minor upgrading and replacement of regionally significant infrastructure. Standards will specify the extent of works involved with any of these activities. Rules will be used to control the proximity of land uses in river beds that could have adverse effects on regionally significant infrastructure. This includes development within the National Grid corridor.</i></p> <p>...</p>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>967</b>         | Marlborough Roads   | 7     | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.M.2         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Method 10.M.2 as follows:</p> <p><i><del>District and regional</del> rules will be used to ensure that identified heritage resources and/or notable trees are appropriately protected...</i></p>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>967</b>         | Marlborough Roads   | 8     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Policy 13.10.5 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.5.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>967</b>         | Marlborough Roads  | 9     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert an additional permitted activity water take pursuant to Rule 2.2 as follows:<br><u>Rule 2.2X Take and use water for the purpose of dust suppression on gravel roads up to 20m<sup>3</sup> per water body per day.</u>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>967</b>         | Marlborough Roads  | 10    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert an additional standard within Rule 2.3 as follows:<br><u>Take and use water for the purpose of dust suppression on gravel roads up to 20m<sup>3</sup> per day.</u><br><u>2.3.X.X Where the take is from a river, except an ephemerally flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed 5% of river flow at any time.</u><br><u>2.3.X.X The take must not occur on more than 90 days within any 12 month period.</u><br><u>2.3.X.X The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</u><br><u>2.3.X.X Dust suppression on gravel roads must be undertaken by, or on behalf of the Marlborough District Council or the road controlling authority.</u> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>967</b>         | Marlborough Roads  | 11    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.21.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert an additional permitted activity rule within Chapter 2 General Rules as follows:<br><u>Rule 2.21.2 Application of dust suppressant substances (excluding waste oil) to gravel road surfaces for the purpose of dust suppression.</u>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>967</b>         | Marlborough Roads  | 12    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                         | 2.22.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert an additional standard within Chapter 2 General Rules as follows:</p> <p><i>Standards that apply to specific permitted activities as follows:</i></p> <p><i>Rule 2.22.2 Application of dust suppressant substances (excluding waste oil) to gravel road surfaces for the purposes of dust suppression.</i></p> <p><i>2.22.2.1 If the dust suppressant is a hazardous substance or if the water or dust suppressant contains hazardous substances it shall be licensed for use as a dust suppressant under the provisions of the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act (1996).</i></p> <p><i>2.22.2.2 The dust suppressant substance shall not be applied at a rate or in weather conditions that result in ponding or surface run-off of contaminants into surface water.</i></p> <p><i>2.22.2.3 The application of dust suppressants must be undertaken by, or on behalf of, Marlborough District Council or the road controlling authority.</i></p> |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>967</b>         | Marlborough Roads  | 13    | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land |           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 14: Schedule of Designated Land in respect of Marlborough District Council - Roads, and the New Zealand Transport Agency designations.   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>968</b>         | Marlborough Violence Intervention Project  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                         | 2.34.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Implementation of rules prohibiting signs containing specified content, district wide.   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>968</b>         | Marlborough Violence Intervention Project  | 2     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                         | 2.35.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Implementation of rules prohibiting signs containing specified content, district wide.   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>968</b>         | Marlborough Violence Intervention Project  | 3     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                         | 2.36.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Implementation of rules prohibiting signs containing specified content, district wide.   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>968</b>         | Marlborough Violence Intervention Project  | 4     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                         | 2.37.     | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Implementation of rules prohibiting signs containing specified content, district wide.</p> <p>That <i>Prohibited Activities</i> is included under the heading <i>Signage</i> for the following activity:</p> <p><b><i>Prohibited Activities</i></b></p> <p><b><i>A sign displaying content that:</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(a) Is discriminatory or advocates discrimination based on one or more of the prohibited grounds of discrimination in the Human Rights Act 1993;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(b) Is objectionable within the meaning within the meaning of the Films, Videos and Publication's Classification Act 1993;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(c) Incites or counsels any person to commit any offence;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(d) Is sexually explicit, lewd.</i></b></p> |       |          |                            |               |                 |
| <b>137</b>         | Tim Marshall  | 1     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>I would like to see the definition of "woodlot forestry harvesting" altered to reflect minimalist <b>non commercial</b> activity so that small scale thinning for private use ie firewood within the coastal zone is a permitted activity. The proposed plan as it stands is written for commercial forestry and doesn't take into account private use scenario's.</p> <p>This may entail adding exceptions to <b>4.3.8.1 (a)(b)(c)</b> so for example <b>4.3.8.1</b> might read "Harvesting must not be in or within:</p> <p><b>(c)</b> 200m of the coastal marine area (unless the silvicultural treatment is thinning for woodlot health / maintenance or for non commercial use ie firewood for personal use)</p>  |       |          |                            |               |                 |
| <b>137</b>         | Tim Marshall  | 2     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                | Zoning Map 57 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Please de-classify the W363 wetlands either side of my property from "<b>significant wetland</b>" to "<b>coastal marine zone</b>"</p>  |       |          |                            |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>564</b>         | Belinda Materoa  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>564</b>         | Belinda Materoa  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>564</b>         | Belinda Materoa  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>564</b>         | Belinda Materoa  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>564</b>         | Belinda Materoa  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>564</b>         | Belinda Materoa  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>564</b>         | Belinda Materoa  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>564</b>         | Belinda Materoa  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>564</b>         | Belinda Materoa  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>564</b>         | Belinda Materoa  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1172</b>        | Tyler Materoa  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1172</b>        | Tyler Materoa  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1172</b>        | Tyler Materoa  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1172</b>        | Tyler Materoa  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1172</b>        | Tyler Materoa  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1172</b>        | Tyler Materoa  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1172</b>        | Tyler Materoa  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1172</b>        | Tyler Materoa  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1172</b>        | Tyler Materoa  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1172</b>        | Tyler Materoa  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>565</b>         | Brent Mathews  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>565</b>         | Brent Mathews  | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>565</b>         | Brent Mathews  | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>565</b>         | Brent Mathews  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>565</b>         | Brent Mathews  | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>565</b>         | Brent Mathews  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>565</b>         | Brent Mathews  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>565</b>         | Brent Mathews  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>565</b>         | Brent Mathews  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>565</b>         | Brent Mathews  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>705</b>         | Fay Mathews  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>705</b>         | Fay Mathews  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>705</b>         | Fay Mathews  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>705</b>         | Fay Mathews  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>705</b>         | Fay Mathews  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>705</b>         | Fay Mathews  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>705</b>         | Fay Mathews  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>705</b>         | Fay Mathews  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>705</b>         | Fay Mathews  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>705</b>         | Fay Mathews  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>816</b>         | Janine Merie Mayson  | 1     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | I seek ratification, by council, of the draft Policy 7.2.2 in its entirety and unchanged.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>816</b>         | Janine Merie Mayson  | 2     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | I seek ratification, by council, of the draft Policy 7.2.7 in its entirety and unchanged.  |       |          |   |               |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>816</b>         | Janine Merie Mayson   | 3            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | I seek ratification, by council, of the draft Policy 14.4.12 in its entirety and unchanged.                                       |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>816</b>         | Janine Merie Mayson   | 4            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | I seek ratification, by council, of the draft Policy 14.4.13 in its entirety and unchanged.                                       |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>153</b>         | Glenis & Ian McAlpine   | 1            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full.   |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>153</b>         | Glenis & Ian McAlpine   | 2            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions  |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>153</b>         | Glenis & Ian McAlpine   | 3            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full.   |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>153</b>         | Glenis & Ian McAlpine   | 4            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full.   |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>594</b>         | Corinne McBride   | 1            | Volume 2      | 16 Coastal Marine Zone          | 16.7.5.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites. Protection of rare and endangered habitats and marine life. |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>594</b>         | Corinne McBride   | 2            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone      | 4.3.6.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.   |              |               |                                 |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>594</b>         | Corinne McBride   | 3            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>594</b>         | Corinne McBride   | 4            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>594</b>         | Corinne McBride   | 5            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>594</b>         | Corinne McBride   | 6            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>594</b>         | Corinne McBride   | 7            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>594</b>         | Corinne McBride   | 8            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>594</b>         | Corinne McBride   | 9            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>594</b>         | Corinne McBride   | 10           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>594</b>         | Corinne McBride   | 11           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>594</b>         | Corinne McBride   | 12           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>594</b>         | Corinne McBride   | 13           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>594</b>         | Corinne McBride   | 14           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>594</b>         | Corinne McBride   | 15           | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps                |                  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>594</b>         | Corinne McBride   | 16           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>594</b>         | Corinne McBride   | 17           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>594</b>         | Corinne McBride   | 18           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.31.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.                                     |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                         | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>594</b>         | Corinne McBride   | 19           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.30.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>594</b>         | Corinne McBride   | 20           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I support the maintenance of these values and SEEK that a policy be adopted that regular testing be carried out for herbicides, pesticides, fungicides, insecticides and other chemicals such as copper chromium arsenic used to threat vineyard posts. This testing should be paid for by industries using these chemicals rather than ratepayers. |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>662</b>         | Donald McBride  | 1            | Volume 2      | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                 | 16.7.5.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>662</b>         | Donald McBride  | 2            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.6.1.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>662</b>         | Donald McBride  | 3            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.6.3.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>662</b>         | Donald McBride  | 4            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.6.4.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>662</b>         | Donald McBride  | 5            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.21.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>662</b>         | Donald McBride  | 6            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.20.          | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.                           |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>662</b>         | Donald McBride  | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>662</b>         | Donald McBride  | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>662</b>         | Donald McBride  | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>662</b>         | Donald McBride  | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>662</b>         | Donald McBride  | 11    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>662</b>         | Donald McBride  | 12    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>662</b>         | Donald McBride  | 13    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>662</b>         | Donald McBride  | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |  |   |                 |
| <b>662</b>         | Donald McBride  | 15    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                            |   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.   |       |          |  |   |                 |
| <b>662</b>         | Donald McBride  | 16    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.11.                                   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.   |       |          |  |   |                 |
| <b>662</b>         | Donald McBride  | 17    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.10.                                   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.   |       |          |  |   |                 |
| <b>662</b>         | Donald McBride  | 18    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.31.                                   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.   |       |          |  |   |                 |
| <b>662</b>         | Donald McBride  | 19    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.30.                                   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.   |       |          |  |   |                 |
| <b>662</b>         | Donald McBride  | 20    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a                           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I support the maintenance of these values and SEEK that a policy be adopted that regular testing be carried out for herbicides, pesticides, fungicides, insecticides and other chemicals such as copper chromium arsenic used to threat vineyard posts. This testing should be paid for by industries using these chemicals rather than ratepayers. |       |          |  |   |                 |
| <b>641</b>         | Dan McCall  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                           | Identifying regionally significant issues | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to recognise that aquaculture is a regionally significant sector in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>641</b>         | Dan McCall   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - " <i>The social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community <b>and regionally significant sectors</b> are at risk if community infrastructure is not able to operate efficiently, effectively and safely.</i> "<br>(Inferred) |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>641</b>         | Dan McCall   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - " <i>Efficient, effective and safe operation of regionally significant infrastructure <b>and regionally significant sectors.</b></i> "<br>(Inferred)   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>641</b>         | Dan McCall   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) –<br><i>"Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant:</i><br><i>(a) reticulated sewerage systems (including the pipe network, treatment plants and associated infrastructure) operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i><br><i>(b) reticulated community stormwater networks;</i><br><i>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i><br><i>(d) regional landfill, transfer stations and the resource recovery centre;</i><br><i>(e) National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower NZ Limited);</i><br><i>(f) local electricity supply network owned and operated by Marlborough Lines;</i><br><i>(g) facilities for the generation of electricity, where the electricity generated is supplied to the National Grid or the local electricity supply network (including infrastructure for the transmission of the electricity into the National Grid or local electricity supply network);</i><br><i>(h) strategic telecommunications facilities, as defined in Section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001, and strategic radiocommunication facilities, as defined in Section 2(1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989;</i><br><i>(i) Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko Airports;</i><br><i>(j) main trunk railway line;</i><br><i>(k) district roading network;</i><br><i>(l) Port of Picton and Havelock Harbour;</i><br><i>(m) Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas;</i><br><i>(n) RNZAF Base at Woodbourne; and</i><br><i>(o) Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain; and</i><br><b>(p) areas of significant aquaculture (areas not specified in Submission).</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>641</b>         | Dan McCall   | 5     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | 13.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Policy as follows - " <b>Ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.</b> "<br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>641</b>         | Dan McCall   | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - " <b>The use and development of natural and physical resources in the Marlborough Sounds has the potential to detract from the character and intrinsic values of this unique and iconic environment. However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture are recognised and provided for.</b> "<br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>641</b>         | Dan McCall   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - " <i>The maintenance and enhancement of the visual, ecological and physical qualities that contribute to the character of the Marlborough Sounds, <b>while recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture.</b></i> "<br>(Inferred)  |       |          |                        |               |                 |
| <b>641</b>         | Dan McCall  | 8     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character    | Issue 6A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Issue as follows - " <i>Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins. <b>However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming are recognised and provided for.</b></i> "<br>(Inferred)     |       |          |                        |               |                 |
| <b>641</b>         | Dan McCall  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character    | Objective 6.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows - " <i>Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins. <b>However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming are recognised and provided for.</b></i> "<br>(Inferred) |       |          |                        |               |                 |
| <b>586</b>         | Christopher Fenton McCallum   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."   |       |          |                        |               |                 |
| <b>586</b>         | Christopher Fenton McCallum   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."  |       |          |                        |               |                 |
| <b>775</b>         | Imelda McCarthy   | 1     | All      | All                    |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |                        |               |                 |
| <b>1118</b>        | Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps           | Landscapes 1  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                                | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|--|--------|
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan to recongise the presence of aquaculture is the area and that there is no impact of the farms on Landscape features.    |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>1118</b>        | Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan to recongise the presence of aquaculture is the area and that there is no impact of the farms on Natural Character.     |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>1118</b>        | Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan to recongise the presence of aquaculture is the area and that there is no impact of the farms on Dolphins.              |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>1118</b>        | Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan to reflect the presence of aquaculture as present in the area as they are culturally and economically significant.      |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>1118</b>        | Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy  | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan to reflect the presence of aquaculture as present in the area as they are culturally and economically significant.      |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>1118</b>        | Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy  | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan to reflect the presence of aquaculture as present in the area as they are culturally and economically significant.      |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>1126</b>        | Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan to reflect the presence of aquaculture as they are present in the area and are culturally and economically significant. |       |          |              |  |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b>                          | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|---|-------------|
| <b>1126</b>        | Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy  | 2            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 1               | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan to reflect the presence of aquaculture as they are present in the area and are culturally and economically significant.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>1126</b>        | Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy  | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan to reflect the presence of aquaculture as they are present in the area and are culturally and economically significant.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>663</b>         | Dion McCauley   | 1            | Volume 1      | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>663</b>         | Dion McCauley   | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>663</b>         | Dion McCauley   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>663</b>         | Dion McCauley   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |              |               |   |   |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| <b>663</b>         | Dion McCauley  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>663</b>         | Dion McCauley  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>663</b>         | Dion McCauley  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>663</b>         | Dion McCauley  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>663</b>         | Dion McCauley  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>663</b>         | Dion McCauley  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b>                          | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|---|-------------|
| <b>885</b>         | Les McClung  | 1            | Volume 1      | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>885</b>         | Les McClung  | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>885</b>         | Les McClung  | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>885</b>         | Les McClung  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>885</b>         | Les McClung  | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |   | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>885</b>         | Les McClung  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |   | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>885</b>         | Les McClung  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>885</b>         | Les McClung  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>885</b>         | Les McClung  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>885</b>         | Les McClung  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>32</b>          | Kathryn McConnell  | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.4.12                            | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>32</b>          | Kathryn McConnell  | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.4.13                            | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>817</b>         | Jemma McCowan  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>817</b>         | Jemma McCowan  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>817</b>         | Jemma McCowan  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose  |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>817</b>         | Jemma McCowan  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>817</b>         | Jemma McCowan  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>817</b>         | Jemma McCowan  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>817</b>         | Jemma McCowan  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>817</b>         | Jemma McCowan  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>817</b>         | Jemma McCowan  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>817</b>         | Jemma McCowan  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>985</b>         | Niki McCulloch   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>985</b>         | Niki McCulloch   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>985</b>         | Niki McCulloch   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>985</b>         | Niki McCulloch   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>985</b>         | Niki McCulloch   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>985</b>         | Niki McCulloch   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>985</b>         | Niki McCulloch   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>985</b>         | Niki McCulloch   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>985</b>         | Niki McCulloch   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>985</b>         | Niki McCulloch   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>54</b>          | John McCully   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I propose that the Marlborough District Council leave the current rules and regulations, i.e. not within 500 meters, in place.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>706</b>         | Forrest McDougall  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."          |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>706</b>         | Forrest McDougall  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.3.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm." |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>259</b>         | Bill McEwan  | 1     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                       | 19.           | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | <b>The decisions I seek from Council are:</b>   |       |          |   |   |        |
|                    | 1. Replace Section 19 with 'Section 1' and place it at the beginning of Vol !   |       |          |   |   |        |
|                    | 2. Issue19A on page 1. Replace the heading "Climate change has the potential to affect..." with "Climate change will affect..."   |       |          |   |   |        |
|                    | 3. In the 6 paragraphs following the above heading insert "will" in place of "could"and "may".  |       |          |   |   |        |
|                    | 4. Issue19B (page 5): Replace the heading "Climate change could affect..." with "Climate change will affect..."   |       |          |   |   |        |
|                    | 5. Create a MDC permanent full time Climate Change Advisor and establish a Climate Change Advisory Group as outlined in the Climate Karanga Marlborough submission.                   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>886</b>         | Linda McGee   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>886</b>         | Linda McGee   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>886</b>         | Linda McGee   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>886</b>         | Linda McGee  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>886</b>         | Linda McGee  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>886</b>         | Linda McGee  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>886</b>         | Linda McGee  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>886</b>         | Linda McGee  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>886</b>         | Linda McGee  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>886</b>         | Linda McGee  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>818</b>         | John Andrew McGregor   | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>316</b>         | McGuinness Institute   | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | To meet with the committee.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>737</b>         | Gareth McIlroy   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>737</b>         | Gareth McIlroy   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>737</b>         | Gareth McIlroy   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>737</b>         | Gareth McIlroy   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>737</b>         | Gareth McIlroy   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>737</b>         | Gareth McIlroy   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>737</b>         | Gareth McIlroy   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>737</b>         | Gareth McIlroy   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>737</b>         | Gareth McIlroy   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>737</b>         | Gareth McIlroy   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>595</b>         | Clayton McIntyre   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>595</b>         | Clayton McIntyre   | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>595</b>         | Clayton McIntyre   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>595</b>         | Clayton McIntyre   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>595</b>         | Clayton McIntyre   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>595</b>         | Clayton McIntyre   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>595</b>         | Clayton McIntyre   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>595</b>         | Clayton McIntyre   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>595</b>         | Clayton McIntyre   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>595</b>         | Clayton McIntyre   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>819</b>         | Jason McKay  | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>477</b>         | John Malcolm McKee   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>477</b>         | John Malcolm McKee   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - " <i>The social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community <b>and regionally significant sectors</b> are at risk if community infrastructure is not able to operate efficiently, effectively and safely.</i> "<br><br>(Inferred) |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>477</b>         | John Malcolm McKee   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - "Efficient, effective and safe operation of regionally significant infrastructure <b>and regionally significant sectors.</b> "<br><br>(Inferred)   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>477</b>         | John Malcolm McKee   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) –</p> <p><i>"Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant:</i></p> <p><i>(a) reticulated sewerage systems (including the pipe network, treatment plants and associated infrastructure) operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i></p> <p><i>(b) reticulated community stormwater networks;</i></p> <p><i>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i></p> <p><i>(d) regional landfill, transfer stations and the resource recovery centre;</i></p> <p><i>(e) National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower NZ Limited);</i></p> <p><i>(f) local electricity supply network owned and operated by Marlborough Lines;</i></p> <p><i>(g) facilities for the generation of electricity, where the electricity generated is supplied to the National Grid or the local electricity supply network (including infrastructure for the transmission of the electricity into the National Grid or local electricity supply network);</i></p> <p><i>(h) strategic telecommunications facilities, as defined in Section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001, and strategic radiocommunication facilities, as defined in Section 2(1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989;</i></p> <p><i>(i) Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko Airports;</i></p> <p><i>(j) main trunk railway line;</i></p> <p><i>(k) district roading network;</i></p> <p><i>(l) Port of Picton and Havelock Harbour;</i></p> <p><i>(m) Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas;</i></p> <p><i>(n) RNZAF Base at Woodbourne; <b>and</b></i></p> <p><i>(o) Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain; <b>and</b></i></p> <p><b><i>(p) areas of significant aquaculture and wine development (areas not specified in Submission).</i></b>"</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>477</b>         | John Malcolm McKee   | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - <i>"The use and development of natural and physical resources in the Marlborough Sounds has the potential to detract from the character and intrinsic values of this unique and iconic environment. <b>However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming are recognised and provided for.</b>"</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>   |       |          |   |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| 477                | John Malcolm McKee  | 6     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | 13.                                       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Policy as follows - " <b>Ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.</b> "<br><br>(Inferred)  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 477                | John Malcolm McKee  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - " <i>The maintenance and enhancement of the visual, ecological and physical qualities that contribute to the character of the Marlborough Sounds, <b>while recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.</b></i> "<br><br>(Inferred)                  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 477                | John Malcolm McKee  | 8     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Issue as follows - " <i>Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins. <b>However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming are recognised and provided for.</b></i> "<br><br>(Inferred) |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 477                | John Malcolm McKee  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - " <i>Establish the degree of natural character in the coastal environment, and in lakes and rivers and their margins, <b>while recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.</b></i> "<br><br>(Inferred)  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 664                | Dellae McKenzie   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>664</b>         | Dellae McKenzie  | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>664</b>         | Dellae McKenzie  | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>664</b>         | Dellae McKenzie  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>664</b>         | Dellae McKenzie  | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>664</b>         | Dellae McKenzie  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>664</b>         | Dellae McKenzie  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>664</b>         | Dellae McKenzie  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>664</b>         | Dellae McKenzie  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>664</b>         | Dellae McKenzie   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Objective 6.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>214</b>         | Bruce Mclauchlan  | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Water Rights do not become a tradable asset  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>187</b>         | Ronald Fredric McLean   | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.4.12 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | To allow building on the ridge but make sheds etc dug into the hill and colour etc so it is not as visible also plan trees to hide it.<br><b>Inferred that submission relates to Volume 2 Rule 3.2.1.13(a) as no reference to rule number included in submission.</b> |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>187</b>         | Ronald Fredric McLean   | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.4.13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | To allow building on the ridge but make sheds etc dug into the hill and colour etc so it is not as visible also plan trees to hide it.<br><b>Inferred that submission relates to Volume 2 Rule 3.2.1.14(a) as no reference to rule number included in submission.</b> |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>598</b>         | Carol Raewyn McLean   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.5.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>598</b>         | Carol Raewyn McLean   | 2            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.                     |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>598</b>         | Carol Raewyn McLean   | 3            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>598</b>         | Carol Raewyn McLean   | 4            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>598</b>         | Carol Raewyn McLean   | 5            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>598</b>         | Carol Raewyn McLean   | 6            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>598</b>         | Carol Raewyn McLean   | 7            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>598</b>         | Carol Raewyn McLean   | 8            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>598</b>         | Carol Raewyn McLean   | 9            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>598</b>         | Carol Raewyn McLean   | 10           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>598</b>         | Carol Raewyn McLean   | 11           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>598</b>         | Carol Raewyn McLean   | 12           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>598</b>         | Carol Raewyn McLean   | 13           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>598</b>         | Carol Raewyn McLean   | 14           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>598</b>         | Carol Raewyn McLean   | 15           | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps                |                  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>598</b>         | Carol Raewyn McLean   | 16           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>598</b>         | Carol Raewyn McLean   | 17           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| <b>598</b>         | Carol Raewyn McLean   | 18    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.31.                                   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>598</b>         | Carol Raewyn McLean   | 19    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.3.30.                                   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>393</b>         | Barry and Lila McLeod   | 1     | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone                    | 19.1.16.                                  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 19.1.16 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>665</b>         | Dorothy McManaway   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>665</b>         | Dorothy McManaway   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>665</b>         | Dorothy McManaway   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>665</b>         | Dorothy McManaway   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>665</b>         | Dorothy McManaway   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose  |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>665</b>         | Dorothy McManaway  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>665</b>         | Dorothy McManaway  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>665</b>         | Dorothy McManaway  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>665</b>         | Dorothy McManaway  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>665</b>         | Dorothy McManaway  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>556</b>         | Brad Joseph McNeill  | 1     | All      | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |               |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>472</b>         | ME Taylor Limited   | 1            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>472</b>         | ME Taylor Limited   | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.1   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>472</b>         | ME Taylor Limited   | 3            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.15    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I seek for individual property owners to have the opportunity for Afforestation up to 10% of their property with a maximum limit of 15 ha.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>472</b>         | ME Taylor Limited   | 4            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.8    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.8  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>472</b>         | ME Taylor Limited   | 5            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.8.2     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.2   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>472</b>         | ME Taylor Limited   | 6            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.8.3     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.3   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>472</b>         | ME Taylor Limited   | 7            | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.1.1     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I seek clarification as to what is considered close proximity to Ward as it relates to the Flaxbourne River some distance up-stream of SH 1 Bridge.<br><br>Public access would alienate fire risk, stock disturbance and general safety issues. |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>472</b>         | ME Taylor Limited   | 8            | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.1.4     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 9.1.4   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>472</b>         | ME Taylor Limited   | 9            | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.1.10    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I seek clarification as it relates to <i>Policy 9.1.1 – The following areas are identified as having a high degree of importance for public access and the Marlborough District Council will as a priority focus on enhancing access to and within these areas: (b) high priority waterbodies for public access on the Wairau Plain and in close proximity to Picton, Waikawa, Havelock, Renwick, Seddon, Ward and Okiwi Bay</i>; - Risks include fires, animals, electric fences and general safety issues.</li> <li>I seek clarification as does this relate to rivers which only flow intermittently.</li> <li>I seek clarification as to who is liable for damages caused by fire and its control, animal disturbance and general safety issues.</li> </ul> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>472</b>         | ME Taylor Limited  | 10    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                     | Policy 11.1.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I seek to be able to carry out regular River Channel clearing, where the Channel becomes restricted by the excess growth of young willows and other vegetation. This seriously effects the river to be able to flow freely in times of flooding causing major damage and also a high risk as a large wall of water builds up before suddenly bursting thru causing further havoc downstream.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>472</b>         | ME Taylor Limited  | 11    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                     | Policy 11.1.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.6   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>472</b>         | ME Taylor Limited  | 12    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Objective 14.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.1  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>472</b>         | ME Taylor Limited  | 13    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.1   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>472</b>         | ME Taylor Limited  | 14    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Objective 14.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.2  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>472</b>         | ME Taylor Limited  | 15    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Objective 14.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.3  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>472</b>         | ME Taylor Limited  | 16    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I seek to be able to cross cattle which are not farmed intensively across a river bed as part of a sound management rotational grazing process.  |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 472                | ME Taylor Limited   | 17    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.7 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I seek clarification as to what this may entail or what activities may be restricted.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 472                | ME Taylor Limited   | 18    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I seek to be able to use these products in the winter period when there are no leaves on grapes.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 472                | ME Taylor Limited   | 19    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Policy 17.3.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I seek for Ward Beach Road/Seddon Street as Secondary Arterial route.<br>I seek to create an Awatere Area Local A Roads to include tar-sealed roads where there is no Rural Delivery (i.e. Ward Mail Area ) including Kaka Road, Ward Street, Carroll Street, Duncan Street, Grassmere Road and other tar-sealed roads with more than three residences. |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 472                | ME Taylor Limited   | 20    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Policy 17.3.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 17.3.3  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 472                | ME Taylor Limited   | 21    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.3.16.1.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I seek to be able to build dams larger than 5000 m3   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 472                | ME Taylor Limited   | 22    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.7.9.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I seek to be able to cross cattle which are not farmed intensively across a river bed as part of a sound management rotational grazing process.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 472                | ME Taylor Limited   | 23    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.9.9.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I seek to be able to cross cattle which are not farmed intensively across a river bed as part of a sound management rotational grazing process.   |       |          |  |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>472</b>         | ME Taylor Limited   | 24           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.11.4.                     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I seek clarification of 'Intensively farmed livestock'.   |              |               |                 |                             |                 |
| <b>472</b>         | ME Taylor Limited   | 25           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.11.5.                     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I seek clarification of 'Intensively farmed livestock'.   |              |               |                 |                             |                 |
| <b>472</b>         | ME Taylor Limited   | 26           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.8.2.                      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I seek to be able to carry out regular River Channel clearing, where the Channel becomes restricted by the excess growth of young willows and other vegetation. This seriously effects the river to be able to flow freely in times of flooding causing major damage and also a high risk as a large wall of water builds up before suddenly bursting through causing further havoc dpwnstream. |              |               |                 |                             |                 |
| <b>472</b>         | ME Taylor Limited   | 27           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.5.                    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I seek to be able to carry out regular River Channel clearing, where the Channel becomes restricted by the excess growth of young willows and other vegetation. This seriously effects the river to be able to flow freely in times of flooding causing major damage and also a high risk as a large wall of water builds up before suddenly bursting through causing further havoc dpwnstream. |              |               |                 |                             |                 |
| <b>820</b>         | Jeffrey Meachen   | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps    | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8217; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |                 |                             |                 |
| <b>820</b>         | Jeffrey Meachen   | 2            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps    | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8026; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |                 |                             |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| <b>820</b>         | Jeffrey Meachen   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8038; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>820</b>         | Jeffrey Meachen   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8217, 8026 and 8038; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>820</b>         | Jeffrey Meachen   | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8217; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                 |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>820</b>         | Jeffrey Meachen   | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 1                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8026; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                 |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>820</b>         | Jeffrey Meachen   | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 1                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8038; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                 |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>820</b>         | Jeffrey Meachen   | 8     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8217, 8026 and 8038; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>854</b>         | Kathleen Mary Mead  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>854</b>         | Kathleen Mary Mead  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8500 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>854</b>         | Kathleen Mary Mead  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>854</b>         | Kathleen Mary Mead  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>854</b>         | Kathleen Mary Mead  | 5     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8574, 8500 and 8590 in Forsyth Bay, and 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>854</b>         | Kathleen Mary Mead  | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                    |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>854</b>         | Kathleen Mary Mead  | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 2                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8500 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                    |       |          |   |                             |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>854</b>         | Kathleen Mary Mead   | 8            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>854</b>         | Kathleen Mary Mead   | 9            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>854</b>         | Kathleen Mary Mead   | 10           | Volume 3      | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8574, 8500 and 8590 in Forsyth Bay and 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt  | 1            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 4A  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt  | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt  | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.1  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.2  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt  | 5            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.3  |              |               |   |                  |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>      | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt       | 6            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.1            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 4.M.1          |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt       | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.2            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 4.M.2          |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt       | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.3            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 4.M.3          |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt       | 9            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.4            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 4.M.4          |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt       | 10           | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.5            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 4.M.5          |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt       | 11           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Objective 14.1   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.1 |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt       | 12           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.1.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.1  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt       | 13           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.1.4    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.4  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt       | 16           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Issue 5H         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5H       |              |               |   |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>     | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt      | 17           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.8 |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt      | 18           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.20    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.20 |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt      | 19           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt      | 20           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.2  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt      | 21           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.3  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt      | 22           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.4  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt      | 23           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.5  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt      | 24           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.6            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.M.6         |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt      | 25           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.5  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>     | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt      | 26           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character       | Policy 6.2.9     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.9  |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt      | 27           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.12    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.12 |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt      | 28           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.6            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.6         |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt      | 29           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Issue 8A         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 8A      |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt      | 30           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules           | 2.2.17.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.17   |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt      | 31           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules           | 2.3.16.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.3.16   |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt      | 32           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules           | 2.6.4.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.6.4    |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt      | 33           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules           | 2.6.5.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.6.5    |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt      | 34           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules           | 2.7.4.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.7.4    |              |               |                           |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>    | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt     | 35           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.11.1.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.11.1  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt     | 36           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.11.2.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.11.2  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt     | 37           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.7.9.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.7.9   |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt     | 38           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.21.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.21  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt     | 39           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.1   |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt     | 40           | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone     | 19.1.10.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 19.1.10 |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt     | 41           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.12.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.12  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt     | 42           | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone     | 19.1.6.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 19.1.6  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt     | 43           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.13.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.13  |              |               |                          |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt   | 44           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone  | 3.1.11.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.11  |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt   | 45           | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone      | 19.1.5.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 19.1.5  |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt   | 46           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone  | 3.1.14.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.14  |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt   | 47           | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone      | 19.1.7.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 19.1.7  |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt   | 48           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone  | 3.1.21.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.21  |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt   | 49           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone  | 3.1.22.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.22  |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt   | 50           | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone      | 19.1.17.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 19.1.17   |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt   | 51           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Insert notations for each provision in Chapter 8 to identify whether the relevant provision is in the Regional Policy Statement, Regional Coastal Plan, Regional Plan or District Plan. |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt   | 52           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.2     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include policy recognition that a partnership approach will be undertaken with landowners for areas that are wetlands, together with a regulatory regime.                               |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt   | 53           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following changes (strikethrough, bold) to Policy 8.3.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 8.3.2 - Where subdivision, use or development <del>that</del> requires resource consent, <b>occurs within areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value, significant</b> the adverse effects on <del>those</del> areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value shall be:</i></p> <p><i>(a) avoided where it is a significant site in the context of Policy 8.1.1; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) avoided, remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1.</i></p> <p>This policy sets up a hierarchy for decision makers to use when assessing the effects of subdivision, use or development activities on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value. For those sites identified as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1, it is important that <b>significant adverse effects are avoided</b>. This recognises that there are few significant sites remaining on private land, especially in southern Marlborough. Where sites have not been identified as significant through Policy 8.1.1, decision makers can also consider remediation or mitigation options to address adverse effects.</p> |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 456                | George Mehlhopt  | 54    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | Policy 8.3.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy so that offsetting may be considered on a case by case basis in areas with significant biodiversity values.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 456                | George Mehlhopt  | 55    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | Issue 8A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The inclusion of policy recognition of the potential positive benefits to indigenous biodiversity from subdivision, use and development.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 456                | George Mehlhopt  | 56    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy and/or explanation to recognise that food gathering will not be appropriate in all wetlands.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 456                | George Mehlhopt  | 57    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.8.1.5.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 2.8.1.5  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 456                | George Mehlhopt  | 58    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.9.4.2.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete or amend this standard so that dams of 5000m3 are exempt from this requirement.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 456                | George Mehlhopt  | 59    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.12.7.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend rule and/or definition of vegetation clearance to ensure that:</p> <p>routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</p>  |       |          |  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 456                | George Mehlhopt  | 60    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standard to ensure that test pits and other investigatory works prior to the construction of a dam or other project are not captured by this standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 456                | George Mehlhopt  | 61    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 456                | George Mehlhopt  | 62    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 456                | George Mehlhopt  | 63    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.19.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete or amend this standard so that dams of 5000m3 are exempt from this requirement.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 456                | George Mehlhopt  | 64    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone     | 19.3.2.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standard as follows (bold):<br><i>19.3.2.4 Only indigenous species must be planted in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland. <b>Where the Significant Wetland is fenced, other species may be planted up to the fence boundary.</b></i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 456                | George Mehlhopt  | 67    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend definition to ensure that maintenance of existing farm tracks, accessways, fences and other structures are not captured, together with test pits and other investigation works for proposed dam or other projects.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 456                | George Mehlhopt  | 68    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of intensively farmed stock to ensure that short term breakfeeding during winter when there is low growth or in times of drought is not captured by this definition.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 456                | George Mehlhopt  | 69    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision            | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend definition of vegetation clearance to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |                      |         |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt  | 71    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.19.              | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.19   |       |          |   |                      |         |
| <b>456</b>         | George Mehlhopt  | 72    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone                      | 19.3.5.3.            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>                               |       |          |   |                      |         |
| <b>434</b>         | Michael Patrick Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Flood Hazard Area 15 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove the Floodway zoning from the property located at 8 Market Street, Picton.   |       |          |   |                      |         |
| <b>434</b>         | Michael Patrick Limited  | 2     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 138       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the property at 6 Market Street, Picton be rezoned to Industrial 1; or alternatively, that 8 Market Street is exempt from those additional restrictions that apply where an Industrial 1 zoned property adjoins an Urban Residential 2 zone, as contained in Rule 12.2 Standards for Permitted Activities.                                    |       |          |   |                      |         |
| <b>168</b>         | Georges Michel   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3           | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove the 100% blanket cut off to Spring Creek sector water users.  |       |          |   |                      |         |
| <b>970</b>         | Middlehurst Station Limited  | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Objective 4.1        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision as notified.  |       |          |   |                      |         |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision as notified   |       |          |   |               |         |
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited  | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision as notified   |       |          |   |               |         |
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited  | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.7.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.</p> <p><i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate irrigation water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:</p> <p><u><i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i></u></p> |       |          |   |               |         |
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited  | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.7.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy:</p> <p><u><i>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</i></u></p>  |       |          |   |               |         |
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited  | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision as notified. (inferred)   |       |          |   |               |         |
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited  | 7     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision as notified. (inferred)   |       |          |   |               |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                         | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>970</b>         | Middlehurst Station Limited              | 8            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.7    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision as notified. (inferred) |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>970</b>         | Middlehurst Station Limited              | 9            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.2   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision as notified. (inferred) |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>970</b>         | Middlehurst Station Limited              | 10           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision as notified. (inferred) |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>970</b>         | Middlehurst Station Limited              | 11           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.2    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision as notified. (inferred) |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>970</b>         | Middlehurst Station Limited              | 12           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.3    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision as notified. (inferred) |              |               |                                 |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited   | 13    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i></p> <p><i>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i></p> <p><i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(iv) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</i></p> <p><i>(v) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i></p> |       |          |  |                |         |
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited   | 14    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.1.1.         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision as notified. (inferred)  |       |          |  |                |         |
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited   | 15    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.1.1.         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision as notified. (inferred)  |       |          |  |                |         |
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited   | 16    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.1.13.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision as notified. (inferred)  |       |          |  |                |         |
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited   | 17    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.1.14.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision as notified. (inferred)  |       |          |  |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|------------|-----------------|
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited  | 18    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.31.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision as notified. (inferred)   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited  | 19    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision as notified. (inferred)   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited  | 20    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.1.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of Farming - refer submission point 970.21.   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited  | 21    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                            | 25.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the definition of farming be amended as follows:</p> <p>Farming means a land based activity, having as its primary purpose the commercial production and sale of any livestock or vegetative matter. Farming does not include intensive farming, forestry, and in the case of vegetative matter, does not include the processing of farm produce beyond cutting, cleaning, grading, chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of produce grown on the farming unit. <u>For clarity farming includes the slaughtering and processing of animals for personal consumption but not for sale purposes.</u></p> |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited  | 22    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.21.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of Intensively farmed livestock - refer submission point 970.24.  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited  | 23    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.21.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of Intensively farmed livestock - refer submission point 970.24.  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited  | 24    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                            | 25.        | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the definition of intensively farmed livestock be amended as follows:<br><i>Intensively farmed livestock means</i><br><i>(a) cattle or deer grazed contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops;</i><br><i>(a) dairy cattle on properties with milking platforms;</i><br><i>(b) farmed pigs.</i><br><i>For clarity intensively farmed livestock does not cover:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>the grazing of dairy cattle on properties without milking platforms except if (a) above applies or</i></li> <li><i>livestock entering or passing across a river from an extensively grazed area to an intensive break-fed grazed area.</i></li> </ul> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited   | 25    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.2 be amended to read:<br><i>3.3.13.2 On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</i>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited   | 26    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone             | 13.3.13.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.2 be amended to read:<br><i>3.3.13.3 On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</i>   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited   | 27    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.4.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | No specific amendment provided in submission.   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited   | 28    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly.<br><i>3.3.13.1 On land which slopes down and away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 metres of the waterbody.</i>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 970                | Middlehurst Station Limited   | 29    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.    | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete standard 3.3.31.1. (Refer submission point 970.30.)<br>That the MDC commit to providing a bulk funded monthly or bi-monthly rubbish collection service for isolated rural Marlborough properties.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>970</b>         | Middlehurst Station Limited  | 30    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Standard 3.3.31.1 be deleted in entirety and the remaining standards be re-numbered accordingly.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>53</b>          | Neil Middleton   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone   | 16.7.2.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>159</b>         | Richard Middleton  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone   | 16.7.2.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Recommendation</b></p> <p>The limits applying to discharge of sewerage in the coastal marine zone are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clause 16.7.2 reads that in the Coastal Marine Zone discharge of sewage may only take place at a distance at least 500 metres to seaward of MHWS.</li> </ul> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>971</b>         | Mike Edridge Contracting and Civil Contractors NZ  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.7.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That management of the extraction of gravel from the Marlborough river systems continues as per the current Plan (Wairau/Awatere Resource Management Plan 27.1.8.1 Permitted Activities - River Control Works <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>971</b>         | Mike Edridge Contracting and Civil Contractors NZ  | 2     | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone         | 21.1.8.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>It is not clear what the decision requested is for Rule 21.1.8 but it is inferred that the Submitter wants the same rule added to the Riverbed Activity rules in Chapter 2.</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>857</b>         | Kowhai Millan  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>857</b>         | Kowhai Millan  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>857</b>         | Kowhai Millan  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>857</b>         | Kowhai Millan  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>857</b>         | Kowhai Millan  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>857</b>         | Kowhai Millan  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>857</b>         | Kowhai Millan  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>857</b>         | Kowhai Millan  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>857</b>         | Kowhai Millan  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character        | Issue 6A      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>857</b>         | Kowhai Millan  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character        | Objective 6.1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>532</b>         | Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.  |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>532</b>         | Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen   | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.  |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>532</b>         | Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen   | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.  |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>532</b>         | Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen   | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.  |       |          |                            |               |         |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>532</b>         | Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen                          | 5            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.                           |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>532</b>         | Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen                          | 6            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.                           |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>532</b>         | Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen                          | 7            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>532</b>         | Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen                          | 8            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>532</b>         | Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen                          | 9            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>532</b>         | Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen                          | 10           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>532</b>         | Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen                          | 11           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>532</b>         | Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen                          | 12           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>532</b>         | Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen  | 13           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>532</b>         | Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen  | 14           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>532</b>         | Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen  | 15           | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps                |                  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>532</b>         | Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen  | 16           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>532</b>         | Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen  | 17           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>532</b>         | Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen  | 18           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.31.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>532</b>         | Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen  | 19           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>972</b>         | Millen Associates Limited   | 1            | Volume 2      | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Support this but proposed rule does not go far enough. Should be clear in stopping dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites. |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>972</b>         | Millen Associates Limited   | 2            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.           | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Support the requirement for resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds that is greater than 5 hectares. This needs to be defined in the plan.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>972</b>         | Millen Associates Limited   | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Support the requirement for resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds that is greater than 5 hectares. This needs to be defined in the plan.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>972</b>         | Millen Associates Limited   | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.7.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I don't support the requirement for Commercial Harvesting Plan without there being a requirement for other agricultural land uses to also have effective management plans for their activities, for example dairy farming that can have significant effects on water quality. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>972</b>         | Millen Associates Limited   | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Support excluding stock from waterways and that there needs to be commitment by MDC to enforce this rule so that it is effective.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>972</b>         | Millen Associates Limited   | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Support excluding stock from waterways and that there needs to be commitment by MDC to enforce this rule so that it is effective.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>972</b>         | Millen Associates Limited   | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>972</b>         | Millen Associates Limited   | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>972</b>         | Millen Associates Limited   | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>972</b>         | Millen Associates Limited   | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11. | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.                       |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>972</b>         | Millen Associates Limited   | 11    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.                       |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>972</b>         | Millen Associates Limited   | 12    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.                       |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>972</b>         | Millen Associates Limited   | 13    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.                       |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>972</b>         | Millen Associates Limited   | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.                       |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>972</b>         | Millen Associates Limited   | 15    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                |            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support the mapping and protection of significant wetlands.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>972</b>         | Millen Associates Limited   | 16    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support the new rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environment. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>972</b>         | Millen Associates Limited   | 17    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support the new rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environment. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>972</b>         | Millen Associates Limited   | 18    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.31.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | I support this rule provided that MDC support the rural community in education and an effective recycling programme for large, difficult to dispose of material e.g. old farm machinery; broken CCA treated posts; large quantities of plastics and other non degradable rubbish! |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>972</b>         | Millen Associates Limited   | 19    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I support this rule provided that MDC support the rural community in education and an effective recycling programme for large, difficult to dispose of material e.g. old farm machinery; broken CCA treated posts; large quantities of plastics and other non degradable rubbish! |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>22</b>          | David Miller  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.6.2.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The clause/s be amended to read " Planting must not be,or within, 50m of the coastal marine area"   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>24</b>          | David Miller  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.7.9.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend 3.3.7.9 to read " All trees must be felled away from a river( -----etc ) ,lake or significant wetland .<br>I suspect clause 3.3.7.10 will act to virtually enforce my suggested change in any event.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>25</b>          | David Miller  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 3.3.7.17. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I believe there should be conditions placed on methods of positive sediment control in the form of detention dams , filter systems or other appropriate measures to arrest the deposition of sediments into receiving waters in sensitive areas.                                  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>123</b>         | Don Miller  | 1     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The decision I seek from Council is   |       |          |                        |                |                 |
|                    | 1. Change the provisions in Volume 2: Chapter 4 Coastal Environment Zone. Section 4.3.6.1, to incorporate the recommendations in the December 2015 Ulrich Report "Mitigating Fine Sediment from Forestry in Coastal Waters of the Marlborough Sounds". MDC Technical Report No: 15-009. and to consider my additions to those recommendations as outlined in my submission. |       |          |                        |                |                 |
|                    | 2. Consider my comments in relation to Carbon Sequestration Forests   |       |          |                        |                |                 |
|                    | 43 Consider the cessation of all production forestry in the Coastal Environment Zone that threatens the ecosystems of the waters of The Marlborough Sounds, as the desirable <b>long term goal</b> of the MEP.  |       |          |                        |                |                 |
| <b>238</b>         | Don Miller  | 1     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards     | Policy 11.1.21 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Strengthen the Land Instability Policy 11.1.21 and 11.M.9, Geotechnical Reporting Standards to reflect the points I have made in my submission  |       |          |                        |                |                 |
| <b>238</b>         | Don Miller  | 2     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards     | 11.M.9         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Strengthen the Land Instability Policy 11.1.21 and 11.M.9, Geotechnical Reporting Standards to reflect the points I have made in my submission.   |       |          |                        |                |                 |
| <b>241</b>         | Don Miller  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The decision I seek from Council is that Rule 16.7.5 be amended to include the two points I have made above, as suggested in Research, survey and monitoring report number 824  |       |          |                        |                |                 |
|                    | I also seek that concepts such as artificial reef structures be discussed in relation to the enhancement and protection of significant marines sites.   |       |          |                        |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>244</b>         | Don Miller  | 1            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The decision I seek from Council is to see provision 4.3.10 retained but to have an addition provision providing for appropriate exemptions for pest monitoring and control activities. |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>245</b>         | Don Miller  | 1            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The decision I seek from Council is that Intensively Farmed Livestock be defined as livestock on land that is Intensively Farmed  |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>250</b>         | Don Miller  | 1            | Volume 1      | 19 Climate Change          | Policy 19.1.2    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | The MDC must make the actions of Policy 19.1.2 of the utmost importance now to overcome past misconceptions of the impacts of climate change  |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>973</b>         | Ministry for Primary Industries   | 1            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | Policy 8.3.7     | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>MPI would like to work with MDC to ensure that we can jointly pursue a more integrated approach to biodiversity protection, and to protect areas from the impacts of fishing under the Fisheries Act. MPI already has various projects underway (including within the Marlborough Sounds) looking at fishing activities that adversely impact the benthos.</p> <p>MPI therefore asks that MDC conduct additional section 32 analysis on proposed Rule 16.7.5 to address the matters raised in this submission.</p> <p>MPI asks that MDC, pending outcome of the additional Section 32 analysis, either:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Does not proceed with Rule 16.7.5 (and associated policies at this time), or</li> <li>2. Includes a new policy for Rule 16.7.5, as follows:</li> </ol> <p><i>Within 5 years of the Regional Coastal Plan component of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative undertake a review of the effectiveness of other mechanisms (including other legislative regimes) for achieving sustainable management of ecologically significant marine sites.</i></p> <p>MPI would prefer to work with MDC to protect areas from the impacts of fishing under the Fisheries Act. Alternatively, and if a new Marine Protected Areas Act is passed by Parliament, Council objectives in future could potentially be achieved under that legislative framework . Protected areas created in this way would contribute to a representative MPA network and New Zealand's commitments for marine protection under the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD).</p> <p>The Fisheries Act provides a range of tools to achieve a balance between use and sustainability, and decisions on dealing with environmental impacts must be made while regarding relevant costs, benefits and risks. It ensures that potential environmental impacts of fishing are weighed up against benefits derived from fishing, leading to good fisheries management decisions.</p> <p>Aligning the different pieces of legislation will avoid duplication and result in an integrated, efficient and strategic way to manage marine protection in New Zealand.</p> <p>At a regional level, MPI would prefer to work in partnership with respective authorities to understand key environmental issues and to manage the impacts of fishing utilising the Fisheries Act.</p> |       |          |                        |           |        |
| <b>973</b>         | Ministry for Primary Industries  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5.   | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>MPI would like to work with MDC to ensure that we can jointly pursue a more integrated approach to biodiversity protection, and to protect areas from the impacts of fishing under the Fisheries Act. MPI already has various projects underway (including within the Marlborough Sounds) looking at fishing activities that adversely impact the benthos.</p> <p>MPI therefore asks that MDC conduct additional section 32 analysis on proposed Rule 16.7.5 to address the matters raised in this submission.</p> <p>MPI asks that MDC, pending outcome of the additional Section 32 analysis, either:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Does not proceed with Rule 16.7.5 (and associated policies at this time), or</li> <li>2. Includes a new policy for Rule 16.7.5, as follows:</li> </ol> <p><i>Within 5 years of the Regional Coastal Plan component of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative undertake a review of the effectiveness of other mechanisms (including other legislative regimes) for achieving sustainable management of ecologically significant marine sites.</i></p> <p>MPI would prefer to work with MDC to protect areas from the impacts of fishing under the Fisheries Act. Alternatively, and if a new Marine Protected Areas Act is passed by Parliament, Council objectives in future could potentially be achieved under that legislative framework . Protected areas created in this way would contribute to a representative MPA network and New Zealand's commitments for marine protection under the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD).</p> <p>The Fisheries Act provides a range of tools to achieve a balance between use and sustainability, and decisions on dealing with environmental impacts must be made while regarding relevant costs, benefits and risks. It ensures that potential environmental impacts of fishing are weighed up against benefits derived from fishing, leading to good fisheries management decisions.</p> <p>Aligning the different pieces of legislation will avoid duplication and result in an integrated, efficient and strategic way to manage marine protection in New Zealand.</p> <p>At a regional level, MPI would prefer to work in partnership with respective authorities to understand key environmental issues and to manage the impacts of fishing utilising the Fisheries Act.</p> |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>973</b>         | Ministry for Primary Industries  | 3     | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone   | 13.3.4.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>In-water cleaning of vessel hulls and structures is an important tool for both routine maintenance of early stage biofouling and emergency management of significant biosecurity risk (when using approved recapture technologies and suppliers). It is recognised under the Guidelines that the acceptability of in-water cleaning risk is dependent on factors such as vessel type, level and type of fouling (whether it is established in the location), and whether the capture of biofouling discharge will be achieved to an acceptable extent.</p> <p>MPI therefore requests that Marlborough District Council amend the provisions relating to the removal of biofouling to include provisions for in-water cleaning, with standards that take into consideration the guidance in the Australia and New Zealand Anti-fouling and In-water Cleaning Guidelines, specifically relating to the level, type and origin of fouling (including restricting in-water cleaning of vessels that have become fouled whilst overseas) and the method of in-water cleaning.</p>  |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>973</b>         | Ministry for Primary Industries  | 4     | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.3.   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>In-water cleaning of vessel hulls and structures is an important tool for both routine maintenance of early stage biofouling and emergency management of significant biosecurity risk (when using approved recapture technologies and suppliers). It is recognised under the Guidelines that the acceptability of in-water cleaning risk is dependent on factors such as vessel type, level and type of fouling (whether it is established in the location), and whether the capture of biofouling discharge will be achieved to an acceptable extent.</p> <p>MPI therefore requests that Marlborough District Council amend the provisions relating to the removal of biofouling to include provisions for in-water cleaning, with standards that take into consideration the guidance in the Australia and New Zealand Anti-fouling and In-water Cleaning Guidelines, specifically relating to the level, type and origin of fouling (including restricting in-water cleaning of vessels that have become fouled whilst overseas) and the method of in-water cleaning.</p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>973</b>         | Ministry for Primary Industries   | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>1. MPI recommends that the rule is updated to make it explicit that resource consent is not required for replanting existing forests where these exist within one of the afforestation flow sensitive sites. This could be done by inserting a new definition for afforestation which includes new commercial, woodlot and farm plantings but does not include replanting of forests established before the commencement date of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</p> <p>2. MPI recommends that Policy 5.3.15 be clarified by indicating whether the Council would consider that the threshold has been reached in these sensitive areas through a small number of applications for larger- scale commercial forestry (i.e. whole property planting), or whether they will be looking to have only a proportion of each property planted (i.e. woodlot planting).</p>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>973</b>         | Ministry for Primary Industries   | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>1. MPI recommends that Policy 5.3.16 be clarified by citing the supporting council research on the threshold calculations and indicating what the threshold would translate to (in forestry planting) for the respective sensitive areas. This information could be placed in the Policy or in one of the meta data fields for the map layer.</p> <p>2. MPI recommends that Policy 5.3.16 be clarified by indicating whether the Council would consider that the threshold has been reached in these sensitive areas through a small number of applications for larger- scale commercial forestry (i.e. whole property planting), or whether they will be looking to have only a proportion of each property planted (i.e. woodlot planting).</p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>973</b>         | Ministry for Primary Industries   | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.11.5.     | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>1. MPI seeks changes to the environment plan (section 3.3.11) that recognizes sustainable indigenous forest management as an activity distinct from vegetation clearance resulting in total forest removal.</p> <p>2. MPI seeks clarity on the interpretation of the vegetation clearance area rule as it pertains to the harvesting of single trees and/or groups of trees from intact indigenous forest. This will assist landowners in more accurately identifying what forest management activities, including indigenous timber harvesting under approvals issued pursuant to Part 3A of the Forests Act 1949, require a discretionary resource consent. The current rules and in fact the proposed rule do not provide clear guidance.</p> <p>3. MPI seeks a review of the amended rule for indigenous forest clearance over 6 metres in height as it applies to the Marlborough Sounds area, which by Council's own admission (Policy 8.3.2), does not have extent of habitat loss evidenced in southern Marlborough.</p> <p>4. Sustainable indigenous forest management should be permitted on forests that lie outside those areas recognised as Significant Natural Areas.</p> |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>973</b>         | Ministry for Primary Industries   | 8     | Volume 2 | All                            |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | To consider future implementation of audited Self-management programmes in the Proposed Marlborough Environment Plan.   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>974</b>         | Ministry of Education   | 1     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.9  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy 9.1.9.   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>974</b>         | Ministry of Education   | 2     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.3.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy 9.3.7.   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>974</b>         | Ministry of Education   | 3     | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation              | Policy 17.3.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy 17.3.2.  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>974</b>         | Ministry of Education   | 4     | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation              | Policy 17.5.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy 17.5.2.  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>974</b>         | Ministry of Education   | 5     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments          | Policy 12.3.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy 12.3.2.  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>974</b>         | Ministry of Education   | 6     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments          | Policy 12.3.5 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Support Policy 12.3.5.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 974                | Ministry of Education  | 7     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments                  | Policy 12.7.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy 12.7.1.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 974                | Ministry of Education  | 8     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy 15.3.4.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 974                | Ministry of Education  | 9     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.1.3 to recognise educational and community facilities serving the rural community.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 974                | Ministry of Education  | 10    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.3.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.3.1 to recognise educational and community facilities serving the rural community.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 974                | Ministry of Education  | 11    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.3.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.3.2<br>Amend to recognise educational and community facilities serving the rural community have a functional need to be located in the rural zone. |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 974                | Ministry of Education  | 12    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.5.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy 14.5.1.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 974                | Ministry of Education  | 13    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.5.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy 14.52.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 974                | Ministry of Education  | 14    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.32.1.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rule as follows:<br><b>Early Childhood Educational or day-care facility – 1 per 11 children, + 1 per FTE employee.</b>                                   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 974                | Ministry of Education  | 15    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.1.          | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new clause to the permitted rule, as follows<br><b>Early Childhood/Daycare facilities for up to and including 10 children.</b> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>974</b>         | Ministry of Education  | 16    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new clause to the permitted rule, as follows<br><b>Early Childhood/Daycare facilities for up to and including 10 children.</b> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>974</b>         | Ministry of Education  | 17    | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone | 6.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new clause to the permitted rule, as follows<br><b>Early Childhood/Daycare facilities for up to and including 10 children.</b> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>974</b>         | Ministry of Education  | 18    | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone | 6.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new clause to the permitted rule, as follows<br><b>Early Childhood/Daycare facilities for up to and including 10 children.</b> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>974</b>         | Ministry of Education  | 19    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new clause to the permitted rule, as follows<br><b>Early Childhood/Daycare facilities for up to and including 10 children.</b> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>974</b>         | Ministry of Education  | 20    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new clause to the permitted rule, as follows<br><b>Early Childhood/Daycare facilities for up to and including 10 children.</b> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>974</b>         | Ministry of Education  | 21    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.28.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support Rule 2.28.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>974</b>         | Ministry of Education  | 22    | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend – Remove Section 229 Omaka District from the designated area as shown on the Planning Map 14 under Annexure 2 (of the Ministry of Education submission).   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>974</b>         | Ministry of Education  | 23    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                          | 25.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new definition of Early Childhood/Daycare facilities as follows:<br><br><b>Land or buildings used for the care during the day of pre-school aged children other than those residing on the site.</b> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 1     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Objective 7.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 2     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.1.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 7.1.2  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.1.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 7.1.3  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.1.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 7.1.4  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 5     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.1.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.1.5  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 6     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.1  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter            | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------|----------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.1  |       |          |             |              |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 7     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.2  |       |          |             |              |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 8     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.3  |       |          |             |              |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 9     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.4  |       |          |             |              |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 10    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.5  |       |          |             |              |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 11    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.6  |       |          |             |              |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 12    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.7  |       |          |             |              |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 13    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.8  |       |          |             |              |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 14    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.9 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter            | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.9  |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 15    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.10 |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 16    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.11 |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 17    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.12 |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 18    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 8.1 |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 19    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.1.1  |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 20    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.1.2  |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 21    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.1.3  |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 22    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1  | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter            | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.1  |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 23    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.2  |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 24    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.3  |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 25    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.4  |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 26    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.5  |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 27    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.6  |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 28    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.7  |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 29    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.8  |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 30    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter            | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.9  |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 31    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.10 |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 32    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.11 |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 33    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.12 |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 34    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.13 |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 35    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.1  |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 36    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.2  |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 37    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.3  |       |          |                           |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 38    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.4  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter            | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.4  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 39    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | Policy 8.3.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.5  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 40    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | Policy 8.3.6  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.6  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 41    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | Policy 8.3.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.7  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 42    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | Policy 8.3.8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.8  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 43    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 10.1.1 |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 44    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 10.1.2 |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 45    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 10.1.3 |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 46    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.4 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter             | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-------|----------|---|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 10.1.4  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 47    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 10.1.5  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 48    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.6  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 10.1.6  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 49    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 10.1.7  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 50    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 10.1.8  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 51    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 10.1.9  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 52    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 10.1.10 |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 53    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 10.1.11 |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 54    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.2.1  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter            | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 10.2.1 |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 55    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 10.2.2 |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 56    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.2.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 10.2.3 |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 57    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 10.2.4 |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 58    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Policy 11.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.1 |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 59    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Policy 11.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.2 |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 60    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Policy 11.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.3 |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 61    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Policy 11.1.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.4 |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 62    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Policy 11.1.5 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter             | Point | Volume   | Chapter            | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-------|----------|--------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.5  |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 63    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.6  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.6  |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 64    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.7  |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 65    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.8  |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 66    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.9  |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 67    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.10 |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 68    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.11 |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 69    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.12 |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 70    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.13 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter             | Point | Volume   | Chapter            | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-------|----------|--------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.13 |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 71    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.14 |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 72    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.15 |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 73    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.16 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.16 |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 74    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.17 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.17 |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 75    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.18 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.18 |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 76    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.19 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.19 |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 77    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.20 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.20 |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 78    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.21 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter             | Point | Volume   | Chapter            | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-------|----------|--------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.21 |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 79    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.22 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.22 |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 80    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.2.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.2.1  |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 81    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.2.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.2.2  |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 82    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.2.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.2.3  |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 83    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.2.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.2.4  |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 84    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.2.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.2.5  |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 85    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.2.6  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.2.6  |       |          |                    |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 86    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.2.7  | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter            | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.2.7 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 87    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.1.1 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 88    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.1.2 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 89    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.1 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 90    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.2 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 91    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.3 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 92    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.4 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 93    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.5 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 94    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.6 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter            | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.6 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 95    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.4.1 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 96    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.4.2 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 97    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.1 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 98    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.2 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 99    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.3 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 100   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.4 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 101   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.5 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 102   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.6 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter            | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.6 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 103   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.7 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 104   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.8 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 105   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.9 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 106   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.9.1 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 107   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.9.2 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 108   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.9.3 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 109   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.9.4 |       |          |                                   |               |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell | 110   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.5 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter             | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.9.5  |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 111   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.6  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.9.6  |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 112   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.9.7  |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 113   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.9.8. |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 114   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.1 |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 115   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.2 |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 116   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.3 |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 117   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.4 |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 118   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.5 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter              | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type    |
|--------------------|------------------------|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.5  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 119   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.6  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.6  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 120   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.7  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 121   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.8  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 122   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.9  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 123   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.10 |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 124   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.11 |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 125   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.12 |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 126   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.13 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter              | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type    |
|--------------------|------------------------|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.13 |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 127   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.14 |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 128   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.15 |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 129   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.16 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.16 |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 130   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.17 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.17 |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 131   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.18 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.18 |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 132   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.19 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.19 |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 133   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.20 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.20 |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell   | 134   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.21 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter               | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision        | Type    |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.21  |       |          |                                   |                  |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell    | 135   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.22  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.22  |       |          |                                   |                  |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell    | 136   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.23  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.23  |       |          |                                   |                  |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell    | 137   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.24  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.24  |       |          |                                   |                  |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell    | 138   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.25  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.25  |       |          |                                   |                  |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell    | 139   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.26  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.26  |       |          |                                   |                  |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell    | 140   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.27  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.27  |       |          |                                   |                  |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell    | 141   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.12a | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.12a |       |          |                                   |                  |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell    | 142   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.12b | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter               | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.12b |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell    | 143   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.12.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.12.1   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell    | 144   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.12.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.12.2   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell    | 145   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.1   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell    | 146   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.2   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell    | 147   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.3   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell    | 148   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.4   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell    | 149   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.5   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell    | 150   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.6 | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter             | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.6 |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 151   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.7 |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 152   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.8 |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 153   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.16.1 |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 154   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.9 |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 155   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.16.1 |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 156   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.16.2 |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 157   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.16.3 |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 158   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.4 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.16.4   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 159   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.16.5   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 160   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.16.6   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 162   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Policy 14.4.12 – The Omaka Valley is characterised by the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) low, broad ridges, parts of which have been identified as having high amenity value and are included in the mapped Wairau Dry Hills Landscape;</li> <li>(b) limited building on ridgelines;</li> <li>(c) open character due to a lack of tall vegetation within the valley;</li> <li>(d) meandering watercourse patterns and topographical variation in the upper valley;</li> <li>(e) viticulture is a dominant land use;</li> <li>(f) with the exception of times around grape harvest, it is generally a low volume traffic environment;</li> <li>(g) lack of through roads;</li> <li>(h) a mix of land uses towards the lower valley where a more domesticated rural character is evident;</li> </ul> |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 164   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.13 – The Omaka Valley has been recognised as having specific amenity and rural character values that are to be maintained and enhanced as follows:<br><br>(a) enabling primary production activities as provided for in the underlying Rural Environment Zone;<br><br>(b) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry, to enable an assessment of this activity on the confined nature of the valleys in the Omaka Valley Area;<br><br>(c) including the ridgelines along the valleys within the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape;<br><br>(d) avoiding development in the form of buildings on the ridgelines surrounding the valleys;<br><br>(e) reducing the potential for ‘industrialisation’ within the Omaka Valley Area through controls on the height and scale of buildings associated with primary production activities;<br><br>(f) other than as provided for in Policy 14.3.1 and Policy 14.5.4, other activities not related to primary production in the Omaka Valley Area are to be avoided;<br><br>(g) maintaining a low volume traffic environment to maintain a peaceful and quiet environment within the Omaka Valley Area; and<br><br>(h) avoiding subdivision below eight hectares to help retain primary production options and a sense of openness within the Omaka Valley Area. |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 165   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.23 – Avoid the discharge of animal effluent to fresh waterbodies and stock disturbance of river beds to the extent necessary to meet the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1, by:<br><br>(a) preventing the direct discharge of collected animal effluent to water; and<br><br>(b) avoiding the access of intensively farmed stock to rivers.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 166   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.4.5  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>364</b>         | Ian Balfour Mitchell  | 167   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.6  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.4.6 – Manage the erosion risk associated with loess soil by:<br>(a) continuing to maintain the Wither Hills Soil Conservation Reserve;<br>(b) controlling the discharge of liquid waste onto or into loess soils; and<br>(c) controlling the excavation of loess soil on slopes. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>887</b>         | Lauren Mitchell   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>887</b>         | Lauren Mitchell   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>887</b>         | Lauren Mitchell   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>887</b>         | Lauren Mitchell   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>887</b>         | Lauren Mitchell   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>887</b>         | Lauren Mitchell   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>887</b>         | Lauren Mitchell  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>887</b>         | Lauren Mitchell  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>887</b>         | Lauren Mitchell  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>887</b>         | Lauren Mitchell  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>942</b>         | Marie Mitchell   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>942</b>         | Marie Mitchell   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>942</b>         | Marie Mitchell   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>942</b>         | Marie Mitchell   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>942</b>         | Marie Mitchell   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>942</b>         | Marie Mitchell   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>942</b>         | Marie Mitchell   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>942</b>         | Marie Mitchell   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>942</b>         | Marie Mitchell   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>942</b>         | Marie Mitchell   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>884</b>         | Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>884</b>         | Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>884</b>         | Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>884</b>         | Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>884</b>         | Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>884</b>         | Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>884</b>         | Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>884</b>         | Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Objective 4.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>884</b>         | Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                       | Issue 6A      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>884</b>         | Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                       | Objective 6.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1313</b>        | Francis Monopoli  | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                     | 7.2.1.5.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (inferred).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>395</b>         | Moore Family Trust  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|--------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit so that restrictions are staged. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |  |                    |                 |
| <b>367</b>         | Nigel and Christine Morrison  | 1     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.27.7.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.7  |       |          |  |                    |                 |
| <b>367</b>         | Nigel and Christine Morrison  | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.20.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 4.3.20   |       |          |  |                    |                 |
| <b>367</b>         | Nigel and Christine Morrison  | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.31.5.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We would like to see this part of the rule deleted and just have the rule as " <i>the pit must be designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff at all times</i> ". We definitely support it as a permitted activity.   |       |          |  |                    |                 |
| <b>367</b>         | Nigel and Christine Morrison  | 4     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.8.2.3.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | We would like to see this amended to say that in these circumstances, once the flooding has receded then it is permitted to enter to creek for the sole purpose of removing the particular hazard.  |       |          |  |                    |                 |
| <b>43</b>          | Tony Mortiboy   | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                         | Guiding principles | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete guiding principles. (inferred)   |       |          |  |                    |                 |
| <b>43</b>          | Tony Mortiboy   | 2     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15E          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Commence monitoring air quality in Picton. (inferred)   |       |          |  |                    |                 |
| <b>43</b>          | Tony Mortiboy   | 3     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                         | 1.                 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Open the MEP with a statement such as:</p> <p>"Marlborough's citizens live in a fragile environment. while it presently has the country's highest annual sunshine hours, it's main town, Blenheim, sits on a major earthquake faultline and was historically known as Beavertown because, located in the bottom of a large river valley, it was prone to severe and regular flooding.</p> <p>In projecting Marlborough's future, Council believes that it is the Marlborough Sounds and tourism - not grape growing, land or fish farming, or forestry - which best uses our natural resources. Properly managed, tourism produces minimal environmental damage and provides best employment and income prospects for future generations of our citizens. "</p>  |       |          |                        |           |        |
| <b>43</b>          | Tony Mortiboy   | 4     | All      | All                    |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Establish a review board consisting of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Marlborough's most senior agency after Council itself.</li> <li>• Serviced by a non-Council volunteer administrator.</li> <li>• Tasked with reviewing annually the environmental plan.</li> <li>• Empowered to register it's views directly with the Mayor and Chief Executive.</li> </ul> <p>It's membership should be voluntary and drawn from permanent residents and could include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The highest polling unsuccessful candidate at the most recent Council election.</li> <li>• A senior graduate structural engineer with current career experience of Marlborough or , if retired, within the last five years.</li> <li>• A primary or secondary school teacher within five years of retirement.</li> <li>• A senior tourism industry chief executive residing in Marlborough.</li> <li>• A representative from youth leadership training organisations (e.g., Outward Bound).</li> </ul> |       |          |                        |           |        |
| <b>68</b>          | Belinda Moss  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the current rule: Sewage may be discharged in the Coastal Marine Zone at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.</p> <p>Amend the rule to stipulate that sewage from boats be macerated to reduce visual pollution and increase the speed of natural decomposition.</p>  |       |          |                        |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>68</b>          | Belinda Moss   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone            | 16.7.3.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the current rule: Sewage may be discharged in the Coastal Marine Zone at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.</p> <p>Amend the rule to stipulate that sewage from boats be macerated to reduce visual pollution and increase the speed of natural decomposition.</p>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>13</b>          | Rob Mounsey  | 1     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>In accordance with Council's policy and objectives I proposed that a Marlborough Sounds Land Management Plan be established. The reclassification of the Marlborough Sounds land together with Council's obligations under Part XII of the RMA 1991 would redefine permitted uses. Detailed management plans for each permitted and other land based activities would be established. This would bring about current and up-to-date management practices to commence the path to sustainability and deal with sedimentation issues.</p> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>515</b>         | Mt Zion Charitable Trust   | 1     | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                          | 16.M.20        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete 16.M.20.</p>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>515</b>         | Mt Zion Charitable Trust   | 2     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                       | Zoning Map 106 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Change Open Space 3 Zoning for Sec 7 SO 448 to.... (Submitter did not specify alternative zone).</p> <p><i>(Relevant property unclear as cannot identify land with the legal description Sec 7 SO 448.)</i></p>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>515</b>         | Mt Zion Charitable Trust   | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone        | 4.6.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><i>Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission, particularly as the provision that the Submission relates to has not been identified by the Submitter.</i></p>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 515                | Mt Zion Charitable Trust  | 4     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                   | 25.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of "Heavy Industrial Activity" as follows (bold) - <i>means activities that process raw materials to finished products; materials that have generally been processed at least once; meat processing; heavy fabrication; making and assembling parts that are, in themselves, large and heavy. <b>For clarity, in this context meat processing does not include home kill for private purposes.</b></i><br><br>(Inferred) |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 515                | Mt Zion Charitable Trust  | 5     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                   | 25.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a definition for "Farm Quarry". (Inferred)  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 515                | Mt Zion Charitable Trust  | 6     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                      | Zoning Map 106 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Change land zoned Coastal Environment back to Rural 1 Zone and land zoned Coastal Living back to Sounds Residential Zone.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 515                | Mt Zion Charitable Trust  | 7     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                | 19.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Chapter 19.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 515                | Mt Zion Charitable Trust  | 8     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. (Inferred)   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 515                | Mt Zion Charitable Trust  | 9     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.1.1.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. (Inferred)   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 515                | Mt Zion Charitable Trust  | 10    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.2.1.1.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>"No more than one residential dwelling must be constructed or sited per <del>Computer Register</del> <b>Unit Title.</b> "<br><br>(Inferred)  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 515                | Mt Zion Charitable Trust  | 11    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.5.           | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete all Prohibited Activities (Rules 7.5.1 to 7.5.6).                |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>515</b>         | Mt Zion Charitable Trust  | 12    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.4.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete all Discretionary Activities (Rules 7.4.1 to 7.4.7).             |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>515</b>         | Mt Zion Charitable Trust  | 13    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.3.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete all the standards that apply to specific permitted activities.   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>515</b>         | Mt Zion Charitable Trust  | 14    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.2.1.9.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>515</b>         | Mt Zion Charitable Trust  | 15    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete all Prohibited Activities (Rules 4.7.1 to 4.7.9).                |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>515</b>         | Mt Zion Charitable Trust  | 16    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete all Discretionary Activities (Rules 4.6.1 to 4.6.13).            |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>515</b>         | Mt Zion Charitable Trust  | 17    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete all Restricted Discretionary Activities (Rules 4.5.1 and 4.5.2). |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>515</b>         | Mt Zion Charitable Trust  | 18    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.14.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.  |       |          |                            |           |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b>           | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>515</b>         | Mt Zion Charitable Trust   | 19           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.5.                     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.   |              |               |                          |                            |                 |
| <b>515</b>         | Mt Zion Charitable Trust   | 20           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.4.                     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.   |              |               |                          |                            |                 |
| <b>515</b>         | Mt Zion Charitable Trust   | 21           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.4.                     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.   |              |               |                          |                            |                 |
| <b>515</b>         | Mt Zion Charitable Trust   | 22           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.6.                     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.   |              |               |                          |                            |                 |
| <b>515</b>         | Mt Zion Charitable Trust   | 23           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.3.                     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.   |              |               |                          |                            |                 |
| <b>515</b>         | Mt Zion Charitable Trust   | 24           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.6.                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |              |               |                          |                            |                 |
| <b>515</b>         | Mt Zion Charitable Trust   | 25           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps             | Steep Erosion Prone Land 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the Steep Erosion Prone Land overlay from this Map.                 |              |               |                          |                            |                 |
| <b>515</b>         | Mt Zion Charitable Trust   | 26           | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps              | Zoning Map 5               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Remove the Outstanding Natural Feature an Landscape overlay from this Map. |              |               |                          |                            |                 |
| <b>515</b>         | Mt Zion Charitable Trust   | 27           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps             | Landscapes 4               | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove the Outstanding Natural Feature an Landscape overlay from this Map.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>515</b>         | Mt Zion Charitable Trust   | 28    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                     | Landscapes 2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Remove the Outstanding Natural Feature an Landscape overlay from this Map.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>975</b>         | Mufaletta Limited  | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Mufaletta Limited submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies.<br><u><i>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available.</i></u> |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1021</b>        | Phil Muir  | 1     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments            | Objective 12.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ).   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1021</b>        | Phil Muir  | 2     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments            | Policy 12.1.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ).   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1021</b>        | Phil Muir  | 3     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments            | Policy 12.1.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ).   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1021</b>        | Phil Muir  | 4     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments            | Objective 12.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ).   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1021</b>        | Phil Muir  | 5     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments            | Policy 12.2.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ).   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1021</b>        | Phil Muir  | 6     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments            | Policy 12.2.2  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 ( <i>inferred</i> ).                                       |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| <b>1021</b>        | Phil Muir   | 7     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments            | Policy 12.2.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 ( <i>inferred</i> ).                                       |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| <b>1021</b>        | Phil Muir   | 8     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments            | Policy 12.2.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 ( <i>inferred</i> ).                                       |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| <b>1021</b>        | Phil Muir   | 9     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments            | Policy 12.2.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 ( <i>inferred</i> ).                                       |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| <b>1021</b>        | Phil Muir   | 10    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.1.1.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is for Rule 5.1.1.  |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| <b>1021</b>        | Phil Muir   | 11    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.9.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the rules and standards relating to outdoor amenity be amended to reflect the actual demand for sections in this zone, with smaller outdoor amenity areas and dimensions provided. |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| <b>1021</b>        | Phil Muir   | 12    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.10.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the rules and standards relating to outdoor amenity be amended to reflect the actual demand for sections in this zone, with smaller outdoor amenity areas and dimensions provided. |       |          |                                  |               |        |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision            | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------|
| <b>1021</b>        | Phil Muir   | 13    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.11.            | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the rules and standards relating to the location of garages be amended to reflect the actual demand for sections in this zone.</p> <p>That standards restricting the location of garages within a property be removed to enable the individual landowners the discretion to place garages within their property (subject to appropriate setback rules).</p> |       |          |                                  |                      |        |
| <b>1021</b>        | Phil Muir   | 14    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.10.4.            | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is for Rule 5.3.10.4.   |       |          |                                  |                      |        |
| <b>1021</b>        | Phil Muir   | 15    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.10.5.            | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete standard 5.3.10.5, relating to the filling and excavation of sites not occurring on slopes of greater than 10 degrees.   |       |          |                                  |                      |        |
| <b>1021</b>        | Phil Muir   | 16    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.4.1.               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Provide a restricted discretionary activity status for minor non-compliance's relating to potential amenity effects, including non-compliance s with outdoor amenity, building locations and excavation and filling of residential sites.   |       |          |                                  |                      |        |
| <b>1021</b>        | Phil Muir   | 17    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                   | 24.3.1.2.            | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the minimum allotment size for the Urban 2 Zone in Blenheim be reduced to 400m2, as a controlled activity.</p> <p>That objectives and policies be amended to reflect the intent of this change. The submission does not identify the relevant objectives and policies.</p>  |       |          |                                  |                      |        |
| <b>1021</b>        | Phil Muir   | 18    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                   | 24.3.1.3             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the minimum width of accessways for one residential unit be reduced to 3m, as a controlled activity.</p> <p>That objectives and policies be amended to reflect the intent of this change. The submission does not identify the relevant objectives and policies.</p>  |       |          |                                  |                      |        |
| <b>34</b>          | Dion and Rosalind Mundy   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                     | Flood Hazard Area 24 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | The decision I seek from the Council is to review flood hazard risk levels and explain why the flood risk has increased or remove the changes to the flood risk plan for the Tuamarina West area. If the flood risk can be proven to be substantially higher the plan should include how to decrease this risk and outline the resources that would be needed to do this. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1073</b>        | Robert Murdoch  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1073</b>        | Robert Murdoch  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1073</b>        | Robert Murdoch  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1073</b>        | Robert Murdoch  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1073</b>        | Robert Murdoch  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1073</b>        | Robert Murdoch  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1073</b>        | Robert Murdoch  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1073</b>        | Robert Murdoch   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1073</b>        | Robert Murdoch   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                       | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1073</b>        | Robert Murdoch   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                       | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>417</b>         | Patrick John Murphy  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>226</b>         | Murphy Horticulture  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Springs sector water users. There are significantly better options available to protect water than a 100% cut-off. Nelson has a significantly better system that includes stepped reductions in water use rather than the sledge hammer approach of 100% cut-off. This would allow the people of Marlborough to manage their water use in times of drought to ensure their businesses can survive</p> <p>Water users west of the 3 proposed areas use significantly more water per hectare than the 3 proposed area's so need to be included in any water protection measures. A better understanding of how water restrictions on certain areas will affect the aquifer is needed. We also need a better understanding of long term trends of the aquifer levels before setting lower limits and cut-off thresholds.</p> |       |          |                       |           |        |
| <b>215</b>         | Musco Seafoods Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps          |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Overall, we oppose the new overlays as notified until we are able to consider them alongside the provisions that relate to marine farming.</p> <p>We wish to be heard in support of these submissions.</p>   |       |          |                       |           |        |
| <b>1287</b>        | William P Musgrove  | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.5.  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).<br>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation<br>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:   |       |          |   |   |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>943</b>         | Martina Naplawa   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>943</b>         | Martina Naplawa   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>943</b>         | Martina Naplawa   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>943</b>         | Martina Naplawa   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>943</b>         | Martina Naplawa   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>943</b>         | Martina Naplawa  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>943</b>         | Martina Naplawa  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>943</b>         | Martina Naplawa  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>943</b>         | Martina Naplawa  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>943</b>         | Martina Naplawa  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>596</b>         | Corina Naus  | 1     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Maintain the Amenity Values of the Wairau Dry Hills by adopting the Plan as drafted.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>596</b>         | Corina Naus  | 2     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.7  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Maintain the Amenity Values of the Wairau Dry Hills by adopting the Plan as drafted.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>596</b>         | Corina Naus   | 3     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Maintain the special character of the Omaka Valley by adopting the Plan as drafted.   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>596</b>         | Corina Naus   | 4     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Maintain the special character of the Omaka Valley by adopting the Plan as drafted.   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>944</b>         | Michael Naus  | 1     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.2   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Maintain the Amenity Values of the Wairau Dry Hills by adopting the Plan as drafted.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>944</b>         | Michael Naus  | 2     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.7   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Maintain the Amenity Values of the Wairau Dry Hills by adopting the Plan as drafted.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>944</b>         | Michael Naus  | 3     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Maintain the special character of the Omaka Valley by adopting the Plan as drafted.   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>944</b>         | Michael Naus  | 4     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Maintain the special character of the Omaka Valley by adopting the Plan as drafted.   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>331</b>         | Phillip Geoffrey Neal   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.5.1.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | I would like to see the MDC reduce the minimum limit to 100 metres ( <i>between a category A &amp; B bird scaring device and a boundary</i> ), the same distance as a Category A device has to be from a public road. |       |          |                                 |                |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>331</b>         | Phillip Geoffrey Neal  | 2            | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |                  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Keep the status quo - I support the council in the minimum flow levels for water take in all aquifers. |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>331</b>         | Phillip Geoffrey Neal  | 3            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.5.4     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Provide scientific proof and fact to back up their case that the Wairau aquifer is over allocated.     |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>331</b>         | Phillip Geoffrey Neal  | 4            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.5.3     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Provide scientific proof and fact to back up their case that the Wairau aquifer is over allocated.     |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>331</b>         | Phillip Geoffrey Neal  | 5            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.5.2     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Provide scientific proof and fact to back up their case that the Wairau aquifer is over allocated.     |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>331</b>         | Phillip Geoffrey Neal  | 6            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.5.1     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Provide scientific proof and fact to back up their case that the Wairau aquifer is over allocated.     |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>44</b>          | Lynda Neame  | 1            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity                 | 8.               | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision              | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>An additional objective added to the Biodiversity Chapter such as the one that was in the 1994 RPS</p> <p>New</p> <p>Objective 8.x "The integrity of freshwater habitats and natural species diversity be maintained or enhanced".</p> <p>A new policy under the new objective</p> <p>Policy 8.x.1 "Avoid habitat disruption from activities occurring within wetland, lake or river ecosystems"</p> |       |          |  |                        |                 |
| <b>45</b>          | Lynda Neame   | 1     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Objective 15.1a (f) be amended with the words coastal waters being removed.</p> <p>(f) they support healthy ecosystems.</p>  |       |          |  |                        |                 |
| <b>45</b>          | Lynda Neame   | 2     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.14         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove the word wetlands from 15.1.14 (c) or reduce the mixing zone to 10m</p>   |       |          |  |                        |                 |
| <b>330</b>         | Malcolm and Helen Neame   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Soil Sensitive Area 20 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | We ask that you review this decision and be more precise about the areas affected.<br><i>(Infer referencing Soil Sensitive Areas identified as Loess Soils on submitters property)</i> |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1227</b>        | Warwick Neame  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1227</b>        | Warwick Neame  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1227</b>        | Warwick Neame  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1227</b>        | Warwick Neame  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1227</b>        | Warwick Neame  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.              |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1227</b>        | Warwick Neame  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1227</b>        | Warwick Neame  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1227</b>        | Warwick Neame  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1227</b>        | Warwick Neame  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1227</b>        | Warwick Neame  | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>651</b>         | David James Nelson   | 1            | Volume 2      | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.          | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | To keep the status quo as the dilution rate of pollution in the Sounds is a lot higher than in other parts of the country. We are talking 40 - 60 metres of water with a good tidal flow.  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>651</b>         | David James Nelson   | 2            | Volume 2      | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.3.          | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | To keep the status quo as the dilution rate of pollution in the Sounds is a lot higher than in other parts of the country. We are talking 40 - 60 metres of water with a good tidal flow.  |              |               |   |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|--------|
| <b>875</b>         | Liz Ann Nelson  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Leave the rules as they are as there is no evidence of pollution in the Sounds due to emptying of holding tanks. The dilution rate in the sounds is huge due to the depth (30 - 60 metres) and tidal flow in the Sounds.  |       |          |                        |           |        |
| <b>875</b>         | Liz Ann Nelson  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Leave the rules as they are as there is no evidence of pollution in the Sounds due to emptying of holding tanks. The dilution rate in the sounds is huge due to the depth (30 - 60 metres) and tidal flow in the Sounds.  |       |          |                        |           |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 1     | All      | All                    |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Review all activities that are identified as full discretionary activities and establish which can be controlled activities.</p> <p>Establish a controlled activity level with clear, focussed matters for control.</p> <p>The controlled activities would include, but not be limited to, the following activities (where they do not meet permitted activity standards):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Commercial forest harvesting</li> <li>• Woodlot forest harvesting</li> <li>• Non-indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Cultivation</li> <li>• Excavation</li> <li>• Land disturbance to create and maintain a firebreak</li> <li>• Application of agrichemical into or onto land</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser into or onto land</li> <li>• Discharge of contaminants to air from burning for the purposes of vegetation clearance</li> <li>• Forestry planting</li> <li>• Installation and use of culverts</li> <li>• Installation and use of fords</li> <li>• Installation and use of minor bridges</li> </ul> <p>If there remains any justification for discretion in granting consent, ensure that the activity can be assessed as restricted discretionary activity in preference to full discretionary activities. Full discretionary consents should only be required where the adverse effects are significant.</p> |       |          |                        |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|--------|
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 2     | All      | All            |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the MEP to include the following for all controlled activities (or with words of similar effect):<br><i>Applications may, at the discretion of the Council, be considered without notification and without the need to obtain the written approval of affected persons in accordance with section 94(1A) of the Act. Applications may however be notified if special circumstances exist in terms of section 94(5) of the Act.</i>               |       |          |                |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 3     | All      | All            |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the MEP to include the following for all restricted discretionary activities (or with words of similar effect):<br><i>Applications may, at the discretion of the Council, be considered without notification and without the need to obtain the written approval of affected persons in accordance with section 94(1A) of the Act. Applications may however be notified if special circumstances exist in terms of section 94(5) of the Act.</i> |       |          |                |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 4     | Volume 2 | All            |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Suspend all rules with regards to commercial forestry in the MEP.<br>Default forestry activities to the rules in the Wairau-Awatere and Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plans until the proposed NES-PF is enacted.   |       |          |                |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 5     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Definition of Commercial forestry.<br>Change all references to commercial forestry (plantation forests) to Plantation Forestry (plantation forests).   |       |          |                |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 6     | Volume 2 | All            |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Default forestry activities to the rules in the Wairau-Awatere and Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plans until the proposed NES-PF is enacted.  |       |          |                |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 7     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add in a definition of Plantation forestry as follows (or with words of similar effect):<br>Growing trees and removing them from the land, to produce timber and/or fibre, or where the land cover is principally timber tree species. Forest has a corresponding meaning. It includes:   |       |          |                |           |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Accessory land preparation</li> <li>• Accessory tracking or roads, landings or other accessory earthworks</li> <li>• Clearing understorey</li> <li>• Harvesting trees (including de-limbing, trimming, cutting to length, and sorting and grading of felled trees</li> <li>• recovery of windfall and other fallen trees</li> <li>• Planting trees</li> <li>• Replanting trees</li> <li>• Tree alteration</li> <li>• Replanting trees</li> <li>• Thinning trees</li> <li>• Accessory vegetation removal</li> </ul> |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 8     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of Commercial forest harvesting to include management as an activity.  |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 9     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition to also state (or with words of similar effect):<br>The activity of plantation forest harvesting (the land disturbance activities) is provided for by regional function rules.   |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 10    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of Commercial forest harvesting to include maintenance of infrastructure post harvest, by including the following (or words with similar effect):<br>d) maintenance of infrastructure post harvest.  |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 11    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete the following words from the definition of Commercial Forest harvesting (strike through) -<br><br><i>" means the felling and removal from the land of trees, for the purposes of commercial forestry, and includes:<br/> (a) excavation or filling, or both, to prepare the land for harvesting (for example, skid, forestry road or forestry track construction or maintenance);<br/> (b) de-limbing, trimming, cutting to length, and sorting and grading of felled trees;<br/> (c) recovery of windfall and other fallen trees;<br/> but does not include the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land."</i> |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 12    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Definition of Commercial forest planting. Amend the definition as follows (or words with similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>" means indigenous or exotic tree species deliberately established for wood production. Includes the planting, <del>management and replanting,</del> <b>management of trees,</b> and <del>the preparation of land for planting,</del> <b>including excavation and land disturbance."</b></i>   |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 13    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Definition of Commercial forest replanting.<br><br>Delete the last sentence of the definition:<br>This definition only pertains to the Coastal Environment Zone.<br>Ensure the activity of replanting a commercial forest is a permitted activity throughout the region.  |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 14    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of excavation to read as follows (or words to similar effect) (bold) -<br><br><i>" means to dig out <b>and infill</b> soil or natural material from the ground such that the surface contour of the land is permanently altered."</i>  |       |          |                |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 15    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of " <i>Slash</i> " as follows (or words with similar effect) (bold) -<br><br>" <i>includes means wood waste (slovens, branches, tops, chunks, cull logs, uprooted stumps, slovens, broken trees) and other waste wood, greater than 100mm in diameter at any point and 1 metre in length, resulting from the activities of vegetation removal (including commercial harvesting) and earthworks.</i> " |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 16    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the definition of Soil debris.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 17    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Definition of Slope.<br><br>Replace 50 m with 200m for slope measurement within a rural or coastal environment zone.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 18    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.1.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain this Rule.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 19    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.2.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain this Rule.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 20    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.4.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain this Rule, but add permitted activity standards for the height of the dam and the volume of impounded water.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 21    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.7.5.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Extend the Rule for it to also apply to intermittently flowing watercourses.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 22    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.7.7.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend this Rule to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br>"Culvert installation <b>and use in, on, under or over the bed of a river.</b> "   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 23    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.7.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include new rules as permitted activities for the installation and use of bridges in, on or over a river.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 24    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.7.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include new rules as permitted activities, for the installation and use of bridges and fords in, on, under or over an ephemeral watercourse and intermittently flowing watercourse when there is no surface flow.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 25    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.8.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the reference to the Munsell Scale as the measure to record a change in colour.<br>Rewrite the rule to read (or with words of similar effect):<br>Any discharge of sediment into water must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month. |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 26    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the reference to the Munsell Scale as the measure to record a change in colour.<br>Rewrite the rule to read (or with words of similar effect):<br>Any discharge of sediment into water must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month. |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 27    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.      | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete the reference to the Munsell Scale as the measure to record a change in colour.<br>Rewrite the rule to read (or with words of similar effect):<br>Any discharge of sediment into water must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.                        |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 28    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.3.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend this Standard as follows (or words to similar effect) (bold) -<br><i>"The best practical method must be adopted to <del>avoid</del> <b>mitigate the discharge of dust to be no more than minor</b> beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i>   |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 29    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.1.4.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Standard.   |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 30    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.7.4.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Either:<br>Delete the requirement for the culvert to be no greater than 8 metres in length<br>Or<br>Rewrite the Standard as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"The total length of the culvert must not exceed <del>8m</del> <b>12m</b>, except for a culvert passing beneath a State Highway where the total length of the culvert must not exceed 20m."</i> |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 31    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.7.5.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend this Standard as follows (or words to similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"The culvert installation must be designed and implemented to ensure there is no <b>more than minor</b> erosion or scour <del>downstream of</del> <b>at</b> the culvert <b>outlet.</b>"</i>   |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 32    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.10.     | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Establish Controlled Activity rules for minor non-compliance with Permitted Activity standards, where the effects of the activity are known and the impacts are minor. For example, the length of a culvert pipe.</p> <p>Only default non-compliance of Permitted Activity standards to full discretionary if the effects are unknown, difficult to quantify or when there is a risk of significant adverse effect.</p> <p>Establish a Controlled Activity level with clear, focussed matters for control.</p> <p>The controlled activities would include, but not be limited to, the following activities (where they do not meet permitted activity standards):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Commercial forest harvesting</li> <li>• Woodlot forest harvesting</li> <li>• Non-indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Cultivation</li> <li>• Excavation</li> <li>• Land disturbance to create and maintain a firebreak</li> <li>• Application of agrichemical into or onto land</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser into or onto land</li> <li>• Discharge of contaminants to air from burning for the purposes of vegetation clearance</li> <li>• Forestry planting</li> <li>• Installation and use of culverts</li> <li>• Installation and use of fords</li> <li>• Installation and use of minor bridges</li> </ul> <p>The matters for control could include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The natural clarity of a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The entry of woody organic material into a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The restoration of vegetation on any excavation site.</li> </ul> <p>Stream crossings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the timing of riverbed disturbance</li> <li>• capacity to convey flow</li> <li>• fish passage</li> </ul> |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 33    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.16.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new permitted activity as follows (or with words of similar effect):</p> <p><b>"Discharge of contaminants to water, or to land where it may enter water."</b></p> <p>and have the standards as per 2.17.1.1 – 2.17.1.3.</p>   |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 34    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.22.1.2. | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard to state as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"The application must not result in the agrichemical being deposited <b>in water</b> in <del>on</del> a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network <del>that contains water.</del>"</i>  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 35    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.21.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include a new Permitted Aactivity rule for the discharge of dust to air and the standard for the discharge being (or words to similar effect) -<br><b><i>"The best practical method must be adopted to mitigate the discharge of dust to be no more than minor beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i></b> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 36    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.33.2.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 37    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Insert Plantation Forestry as a permitted district activity.  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 38    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete all provisions that apply to commercial forest harvesting.<br>Provide for the commercial forest harvesting activities, where relevant, as specific rules and standards under land disturbance. Insert industry specific rules, only where there is no alignment with the land disturbance rules.   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 39    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3.3.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend (b) of this Standard as follows (bold) -<br><i>"(b) mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of agricultural, <b>forestry</b> or horticultural activities occurring in the Rural Environment Zone;"</i>   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 40    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.2.1.  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend this Standard as follows (bold) -<br><br>" <i>The airstrip or helipad must be integral to the use of the land on which the airstrip or helipad is located for farming <b>or forestry land and operations.</b></i> "  |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 41    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.6.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Separate the activities of planting and replanting for all applicable rules.   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 42    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.6.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) from the Standard.  |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 43    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.6.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend (c) of this Standard as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br>"Planting must not be in, or within:<br><br>(c) <del>30m of</del> <b>an area where vegetation could shade a formed and sealed public road between 10 am and 2 pm on the shortest day of the year and icing is likely to occur, except where topography already causes shading;</b> "  |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 44    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include a new rule for other vegetation planting in the Rural Environment and Coastal Environment to equally protect formed and sealed public roads from shading effects of vegetation.<br>(i.e.; or with words of similar effect) -<br><b>"Planting must not occur where vegetation could shade a formed and sealed public road between 10 am and 2 pm on the shortest day of the year and icing is likely to occur, except where topography already causes shading."</b> |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 45    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Include a new rule for other vegetation planting in the Rural Environment and Coastal Environment to equally protect formed and sealed public roads from shading effects of vegetation.<br>(i.e.; or with words of similar effect):<br><b>"Planting must not occur where vegetation could shade a formed and sealed public road between 10 am and 2 pm on the shortest day of the year and icing is likely to occur, except where topography already causes shading."</b>   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 46    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend (d) of the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>"Plantings must not be in, or within:<br><del>(d) 8 m of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</del><br><br><b>within 5 metres of-</b><br><br><b>(i) a perennial river or stream with a bank full channel width less than 3 metres;</b><br><b>within 10 metres of-</b><br><b>(i) a perennial river or stream with a bank full channel width of 3 metres or more</b><br><b>(ii) a lake larger than 0.25 ha;</b><br><b>(iii) an outstanding freshwater body (as defined in the NPS-FM) or surface water bodies subject to a water conservation order."</b> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 47    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend (e) in the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>"Plantings must not be in, or within:<br><del>(e) 8 m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification</del> <b>5 metres of a wetland larger than 0.25 ha or within 10 metres of an outstanding freshwater body (as defined in the NPS-FM) or surface water bodies subject to a water conservation order."</b>   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 48    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend (f) of the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>"Plantings must not be in, or within:<br><del>(f) 200m</del> <b>30m of the coastal marine area;"</b>  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 49    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend (g) of the Standards as follows (bold) -<br>" <i>(g) an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site, <b>unless replanting harvested commercial forest lawfully established</b>;</i> "<br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 50    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete (g) of the Standard as follows -<br>" <del>(g) an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site;</del> "  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 51    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain (h) of the Standard, but ensure that it aligns with the proposed NES-PF.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 52    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.3.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 53    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>Notification must be given to Council not more than 60 working days and not less than 20 working days before planned harvesting commences. Notification must include a Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan that addresses all of the matters set out in Appendix 22.</del><br><b>Notification must be given to council:</b><br><b>(a) at least 20 and no more than 60 working days prior to planned commencement of harvesting, or</b><br><b>(b) a minimum of 2 days before commencement of harvesting required for salvage operations, or</b><br><b>(c) annually in the case of ongoing harvesting operations.</b><br><b>The Harvest Plan must be made available to the council on request, at least 20 working days before operations start, or provided annually on written agreement with the council.</b><br><b>The notification requirement can be waived, in writing by the council. The council may also at their discretion reduce the notice period upon request of the Forest Manager.</b> " |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 54    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.2.  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><del>"Any material change to the Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan must be notified to Council at least 20 working days before the change is implemented. The council must be notified of any significant change to the Harvest Plan within 10 working days of it occurring, whenever practicable. As guidance, a significant change would be in the order of: the relocation of a landing or road more than 100 metres from its original planned location, or a change in harvesting technique that would potentially result in an increase in adverse environmental effect."</del> <b>The council must be notified of any significant change to the Harvest Plan within 10 working days of it occurring, whenever practicable. As guidance, a significant change would be in the order of: the relocation of a landing or road more than 100 metres from its original planned location, or a change in harvesting technique that would potentially result in an increase in adverse environmental effect."</b> |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 55    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (a) of this Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><del>"(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);"</del><br>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.   |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 56    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend (a) of this Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br>"(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation <b>except for the direct approaches to permitted activity or consented stream crossings</b> );"<br>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.  |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 57    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend (b) of this Standard to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br>" <b>(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);"</b><br>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.<br>Delete significant wetlands:<br>W972-Storeys Creek, W92-Langley Dale, W1368 & W1369-Bartletts, W87 & W779-Pine Valley, W777-Top Valley, W203-Glengyle, W989-Arnotts, W377-Denckers<br>Include any of the above identified significant wetlands only if they meet the criteria for significance with on-site verification.  |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 58    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps              | Zoning Map 158 | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision      | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete significant wetland W972-Storeys Creek.<br>Include the above identified significant wetland only if it meets the criteria for significance with on-site verification. |       |          |             |                |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 59    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 157 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete significant wetland W92-Langley Dale.<br>Include the above identified significant wetland only if it meets the criteria for significance with on-site verification.   |       |          |             |                |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 60    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 156 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete significant wetland W1368 - Bartletts.<br>Include the above identified significant wetland only if it meets the criteria for significance with on-site verification.  |       |          |             |                |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 61    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 156 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete significant wetland W1369-Bartletts.<br>Include the above identified significant wetland only if it meets the criteria for significance with on-site verification.    |       |          |             |                |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 62    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 155 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete significant wetland W87- Pine Valley.<br>Include the above identified significant wetland only if it meets the criteria for significance with on-site verification.   |       |          |             |                |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 63    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 155 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete significant wetland W779 - Pine Valley.<br>Include the above identified significant wetland only if it meets the criteria for significance with on-site verification. |       |          |             |                |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 64    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 166 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete significant wetland W777 - Top Valley.<br>Include the above identified significant wetland only if it meets the criteria for significance with on-site verification.  |       |          |             |                |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision      | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|----------------|--------|
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 65    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps              | Zoning Map 165 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete significant wetland W203 - Glengyle.<br>Include the above identified significant wetland only if it meets the criteria for significance with on-site verification.   |       |          |                          |                |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 66    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps              | Zoning Map 134 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete significant wetland W989 - Arnotts.<br>Include the above identified significant wetland only if it meets the criteria for significance with on-site verification.  |       |          |                          |                |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 67    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps              | Zoning Map 121 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete significant wetland W377-Denckers.<br>Include the above identified significant wetland only if it meets the criteria for significance with on-site verification.   |       |          |                          |                |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 68    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend (c) of the Standard to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>(c) 200m</del> <b>30m</b> of the coastal marine area, <b>except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016.</b> "<br>Any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF. |       |          |                          |                |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 69    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.4.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Standard.   |       |          |                          |                |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 70    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.5.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Review this Standard to align it with the provisions of the proposed NES-PF.  |       |          |                          |                |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 71    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.6.       | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Review this Standard to align it with the provisions of the proposed NES-PF.<br>Provide for maintenance excavation as a Permitted Activity on all slopes/land classes.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 72    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.7.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Rewrite this Standard as follows (or with words with similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Batters and filled areas must be designed and constructed to ensure they are stable and remain effective after completion of harvesting <b>be at low risk of instability.</b>"</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 73    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.8.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (c) of the Standard to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"(c) such that the areas, roads, tracks and sites are <b>stable at low risk of instability.</b>"</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 74    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.9.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard as a land disturbance rule.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 75    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.10. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard as a land disturbance rule.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 76    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.11. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard as a land disturbance rule.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 77    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.12. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (or words to similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><b>"Felled trees, and slash and soil debris</b> must:<br>(a) <del>not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river</del> <b>be removed from within a river wherever practicable and safe</b> (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;" |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 78    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.12. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete (b) of this Standard as follows -<br><br>" <i>(b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (except an ephemeral river), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</i> "  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 79    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.12. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Trees, slash and soil debris</i> <b>Slash</b> must:<br><i>(c) be stored on stable ground</i> <b>with low risk of instability.</b> "  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 80    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.12. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend (d) of the Standard to read as follows (or words with similar effect):<br><del>"(d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or</del> <b>when accumulated, be managed to present low risk of</b> instability of the land."  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 81    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new standard which states (or words to similar effect):<br><i>Trees and slash may be deposited into and remain in ephemeral and intermittent (when not flowing) rivers.</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 82    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks, and provide an exclusion to the standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used for all purposes and that all traffic can access stream crossings via a direct approach through this area.<br>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 83    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks.<br>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 84    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks.<br>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 85    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks; and<br>Amend (c) of the Standard as follows (strike through) -<br><i>"(c) <del>tree slash or soil debris</del> must be removed from the river or lake so as to comply with other Standards for commercial forestry harvesting."</i><br>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 86    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing) or lake except where:<br/>(a) access is essential to assisting in the directional felling of trees away from the river or lake;<br/>(b) crossing the bed of a river to enable access;<br/>(c) tree slash or soil debris must be removed from the river or lake so as to comply with other Standards for commercial forestry harvesting.<br/>In all cases, the Council must be notified <del>at least 2 working days prior to the use of the machinery</del> <b>as soon as practicable if the activity will result in discolouration of the river.</b>"</i><br>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 87    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.14. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Provide an exclusion to the Standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used.<br>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 88    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Review this Standard to align it with the provisions of the proposed NES-PF.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 89    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.15. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (or with words to similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Trees <del>must be fully suspended when being pulled across a river (except</del> <b>may be dragged through the bed of</b> an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing) – if the intermittent river commences to flow during harvesting, harvesting may continue until its conclusion."</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 90    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.16. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain this Standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 91    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.17. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 92    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.18. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 93    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.19. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Within 30 days after they are no longer required to be used for harvesting, all harvesting tracks must be recovered so that the contour of the land is restored as closely as practicable to that before the harvesting <del>or associated land disturbance,</del> <b>or the tracks are to have industry appropriate water controls installed if they are intended for further use.</b></i><br><br><b><i>If this cannot be achieved within 30 days due to weather or soil conditions, this must be reported to Council and a new timeframe will be established.</i></b> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 94    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.20. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 95    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.3. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain this Standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 96    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.6. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard to state (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><b>"Except for trees felled in accordance with 3.3.12., no tree or log <del>must</del> may be dragged through the bed of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake or Significant Wetland or through the coastal marine area."</b><br>(Inferred)   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 97    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend this Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br>"Vegetation must not be removed by fire or mechanical means within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river <b>when not flowing</b> , or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake <del>or the coastal marine area</del> , <b>except where plantation forest trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016.</b> "<br>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 98    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.3. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend this Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br>"Vegetation clearance must not be in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification <b>except where plantation forest trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016.</b> "<br>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 99    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.4. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Standard.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 100   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following clause to this Standard or make it a new standard for vegetation clearance (or with words of similar effect):<br><i>Notwithstanding 3.3.12.5, where trees are leaning over a river, lake, significant wetland or coastal marine area, they must be felled in accordance with industry safety practices.</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 101   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.7. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of vegetation clearance, and provide an exclusion to the standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used for all purposes and that all traffic can access stream crossings via a direct approach through this area.<br>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.  |       |          |                          |            |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 102   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.10. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (or words to similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><br><del>"Woody material greater than 100mm in diameter and soil debris</del> <b>Cleared vegetation that meets the definition of slash</b> must:<br>(a) <del>not be left within 8m of, or deposited in,</del> <b>be removed from within</b> a river <b>wherever practicable and safe</b> (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;<br><del>(b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (except an ephemeral river), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</del><br>(c) be stored on stable ground <b>with low risk of instability</b> ;<br><del>(d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion of</del> <b>when accumulated, be managed to present low risk of instability of the land.</b> " |       |          |                          |            |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 103   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.11  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Standard.  |       |          |                          |            |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 104   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.6.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Standard.  |       |          |                          |            |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 105   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.14.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br><b>"Excavation (including filling)."</b><br>And/or amend the definition of Excavation (see separate submission).  |       |          |                          |            |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 106   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Review this Standard to align it with the provisions of the proposed NES-PF.<br>Provide for maintenance excavation as a permitted activity on all slopes/land classes.   |       |          |                          |            |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 107   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.3.  | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend clauses (a) and (b) to state (or with words of similar effect) as follows (bold) -<br><i>"(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area, <b>except for the direct approaches to permitted activity or consented stream crossings</b>);</i><br><i>(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification, <b>except for the direct approaches to permitted activity or consented stream crossings</b>);</i> Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF. |       |          |                          |            |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 108   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.4.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend this Standard to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br><i>"The excavation must not occur on a slope greater than 7.5° if the activity is within a Soil Sensitive Area identified as loess soils, <b>unless the excavation is for plantation forestry infrastructure or other engineered roading infrastructure.</b>"</i>   |       |          |                          |            |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 109   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.9.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of excavation, and provide an exclusion to the standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used for all purposes and that all traffic can access stream crossings via a direct approach through this area, and that direct approaches to stream crossings can be constructed.<br>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.   |       |          |                          |            |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 110   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.10. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend this Standard as follows (or with words with similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Batters must be designed and constructed to <del>ensure they are stable and remain effective after completion of the excavation</del> <b>be at low risk of instability.</b>"</i>   |       |          |                          |            |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 111   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.11. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Standard.  |       |          |                          |            |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 112   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.12. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Standard.  |       |          |                          |            |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 113   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.20.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 114   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br><i>"The application must not result in the agrichemical being deposited in or on a river (<b>except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing</b>), lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network that contains water."</i>  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 115   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br><i>"The application must not result in fertiliser being deposited in or on a river (<b>except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing</b>), lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network that contains water."</i>  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 116   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.48.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Permitted Activity standards to provide for fire risk to the rural environment. Tasman District Council has recently held hearings in association with this issue.<br>Refer to the direction taken by the Tasman District Council, as follows:<br><i>An event which is advertised for general public admission meets the following conditions:</i><br><i>(iv) should the event be located in the high fire risk area (as shown on the TRMP planning maps) &amp; occurs between 1 October and 30 April, a fire preparedness plan is provided to the Rural Fire Authority for management in terms of its powers under the Forest Rural Fire Act 1977, &amp; adjacent landowners fourteen working days before the activity commences, which provides sufficient detail to satisfy the purposes for which it is required including:</i><br><i>(a) the location, time &amp; duration of the event and the number of people expected to attend the event;</i><br><i>(b) risk reduction measures including: briefing information for participants; management of spark hazardous activities (including smoking, lighting of fires &amp; barbecues); length &amp; dryness of grass; &amp; a cancellation procedure for the event if the Build Up Index (BUI) of the nearest remote access weather station forecasts or has a BUI reading of 80 or more, or a Fire Weather Index forecasts or reads 24 or higher;</i><br><i>(c) fire readiness measures including water and equipment for firefighting; number of people on site trained in firefighting to NZQA or NZ Fire Service TAPS module standards; location of safe site areas; an evacuation plan with a stay/go procedure &amp; at least two escape routes to safe areas; a plan of how emergency services will access the site; an emergency notification process for organisers &amp; attendees &amp; a tested communication plan for phone or radio for communication with emergency services.</i> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 117   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.4.      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Provide for commercial forestry planting and commercial forest harvesting to be Controlled Activities if the Permitted Activity standards cannot be met. Establish a Controlled Activity level with clear, focussed matters for control.</p> <p>The Controlled Activities would include, but not be limited to, the following activities (where they do not meet Permitted Activity standards):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Commercial forest harvesting</li> <li>• Woodlot forest harvesting</li> <li>• Non-indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Cultivation</li> <li>• Excavation</li> <li>• Land disturbance to create and maintain a firebreak</li> <li>• Application of agrichemical into or onto land</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser into or onto land</li> <li>• Discharge of contaminants to air from burning for the purposes of vegetation clearance</li> <li>• Forestry planting</li> <li>• Installation and use of culverts</li> <li>• Installation and use of fords</li> <li>• Installation and use of minor bridges</li> </ul> <p>The matters for control could include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The natural clarity of a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The entry of woody organic material into a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The restoration of vegetation on any excavation site.</li> </ul> <p>Stream crossings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the timing of riverbed disturbance</li> <li>• capacity to convey flow</li> <li>• fish passage</li> </ul> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 118   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.5.1.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Provide for excavation to be a Controlled Activity if the Permitted Activity standards cannot be met. Establish a Controlled Activity level with clear, focussed matters for control.</p> <p>The matters for control could include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The natural clarity of a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The entry of woody organic material into a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The restoration of vegetation on any excavation site.</li> </ul>   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 119   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.1.    | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Provide for commercial forestry planting and commercial forest harvesting to be Controlled Activities if the Permitted Activity standards cannot be met. Establish a Controlled Activity level with clear, focussed matters for control.</p> <p>The Controlled Activities would include, but not be limited to, the following activities (where they do not meet Permitted Activity standards):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Commercial forest harvesting</li> <li>• Woodlot forest harvesting</li> <li>• Non-indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Cultivation</li> <li>• Excavation</li> <li>• Land disturbance to create and maintain a firebreak</li> <li>• Application of agrichemical into or onto land</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser into or onto land</li> <li>• Discharge of contaminants to air from burning for the purposes of vegetation clearance</li> <li>• Forestry planting</li> <li>• Installation and use of culverts</li> <li>• Installation and use of fords</li> <li>• Installation and use of minor bridges</li> </ul> <p>The matters for control could include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The natural clarity of a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The entry of woody organic material into a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The restoration of vegetation on any excavation site.</li> </ul> <p>Stream crossings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the timing of riverbed disturbance</li> <li>• capacity to convey flow</li> <li>• fish passage</li> </ul> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 120   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.3.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow for this activity as a Permitted or Controlled Activity in alignment with (commercial forestry) land disturbance rules.</p>   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 121   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.14.   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) from this Rule as follows (strike through) -<br><br><i>"Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of any of the following materials:<br/> <del>(a) wood having a moisture content of more than 25% dry weight;</del>"</i><br><br>And, allow for the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of wood having a moisture content of more than 25% dry weight as a Restricted Discretionary Activity. Insert the following matter for discretion (or with words of similar effect): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Climatic conditions</li> </ul> <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 122   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Insert Plantation Forestry as a permitted district activity.   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 123   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Provide for commercial forestry harvesting as a Permitted Activity.  |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 124   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.2.3.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend (a) of the Standard as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"(a) mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of agricultural, <b>forestry</b> or horticultural activities occurring in the Coastal Environment Zone;"</i>   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 125   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.6.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Standard and replace it with the following (or words to similar effect) (bold) -<br><i>"The best practicable method must be adopted to <del>avoid</del> <b>mitigate the discharge of dust to be no more than minor</b> beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i>  |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 126   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Provide for commercial forestry harvesting as a Permitted Activity.  |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 127   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Provide for commercial forestry harvesting as a Permitted Activity.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 128   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.2.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend this Standard as follows (bold) -<br><br>" <i>The airstrip or helipad must be integral to the use of the land on which the airstrip or helipad is located for farming <b>or forestry land and operations.</b></i> "  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 129   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Standard or replace it with evidence based setbacks as provided by the proposed NES-PF.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 130   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.2.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Standard.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 131   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.2. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain this Standard.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 132   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard to state (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <b><i>Except for trees felled in accordance with 4.3.11., no tree or log <del>must</del> may be dragged through the bed of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake or Significant Wetland or through the coastal marine area.</i></b> " |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 133   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend this Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Vegetation must not be removed by fire or mechanical means within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river <b>when not flowing</b>, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake <del>or the coastal marine area</del>, <b>except where plantation forest trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016.</b>"</i><br>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 134   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.3.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend this Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br><i>"Vegetation clearance must not be in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland <b>except where plantation forest trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016.</b>"</i><br>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 135   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.4.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Standard.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 136   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.5.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following clause to this Standard or make it a new standard for vegetation clearance (or with words of similar effect):<br><i>Notwithstanding 4.3.11.5, where trees are leaning over a river, lake, significant wetland or coastal marine area, they must be felled in accordance with industry safety practices.</i>   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 137   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.7.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of vegetation clearance, and provide an exclusion to the standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used for all purposes and that all traffic can access stream crossings via a direct approach through this area.<br>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 138   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.10. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (or words to similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><br><del>"Woody material greater than 100mm in diameter and soil debris</del> <b>Cleared vegetation that meets the definition of slash</b> must:<br>(a) <del>not be left within 8m of, or deposited in,</del> <b>be removed from within</b> a river <b>wherever practicable and safe</b> (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;<br><del>(b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (except an ephemeral river), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</del><br>(c) be stored on stable ground <b>with low risk of instability</b> ;<br><del>(d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or</del> <b>when accumulated, be managed to present low risk of instability of the land.</b> " |       |          |                            |            |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 139   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.11. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Standard.  |       |          |                            |            |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 140   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.13.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br>" <b>Excavation (including filling).</b> "<br>And/or amend the definition of Excavation (see separate submission).  |       |          |                            |            |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 141   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Review this clause to align it with the provisions of the proposed NES-PF.   |       |          |                            |            |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 142   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Review this clause to align it with the provisions of the proposed NES-PF.<br>Provide for maintenance excavation as a Permitted Activity on all slopes/land classes.   |       |          |                            |            |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 143   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.3.  | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend clauses (a) and (b) to state (or with words of similar effect) as follows (bold) -<br><i>"(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area, <b>except for the direct approaches to permitted activity or consented stream crossings</b>);</i><br><i>(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland, <b>except for the direct approaches to permitted activity or consented stream crossings</b>);"</i><br>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF. |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 144   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.7.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of excavation, and provide an exclusion to the standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used for all purposes and that all traffic can access stream crossings via a direct approach through this area, and that direct approaches to stream crossings can be constructed.<br>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.  |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 145   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.8.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend this Standard as follows (or with words with similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Batters must be designed and constructed to <del>ensure they are stable and remain effective after completion of the excavation</del> <b>be at low risk of instability.</b>"</i>  |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 146   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.9.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Standard.   |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 147   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.10. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Standard.   |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 148   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.19.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Standard.   |       |          |                            |            |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 149   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.21.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br><i>" The application must not result in the agrichemical being deposited in or on a river (<b>except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing</b>), lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel that contains water."</i>   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 150   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br><i>" The application must not result in fertiliser being deposited in or on a river (<b>except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing</b>), lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel that contains water."</i><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 151   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.46.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Permitted Activity standards to provide for fire risk to the coastal environment.<br>Refer to the direction taken by the Tasman District Council, as follows:<br><i>An event which is advertised for general public admission meets the following conditions:</i><br><i>(iv) should the event be located in the high fire risk area as shown on the TRMP planning maps &amp; occurs between 1 October and 30 April, a fire preparedness plan is provided to the Rural Fire Authority for management in terms of its powers under the Forest Rural Fire Act 1977 &amp; adjacent landowners fourteen working days before the activity commences, which provides sufficient detail to satisfy the purposes for which it is required including:</i><br><i>(a) the location, time &amp; duration of the event and the number of people expected to attend the event;</i><br><i>(b) risk reduction measures including: briefing information for participants; management of spark hazardous activities (including smoking, lighting of fires &amp; barbeques); length &amp; dryness of grass; &amp; a cancellation procedure for the event if the Build Up Index (BUI) of the nearest remote access weather station forecasts or has a BUI reading of 80 or more, or a Fire Weather Index forecasts or reads 24 or higher;</i><br><i>(c) fire readiness measures including water and equipment for firefighting; number of people on site trained in firefighting to NZQA or NZ Fire Service TAPS module standards; location of safe site areas; an evacuation plan with a stay/go procedure &amp; at least two escape routes to safe areas; a plan of how emergency services will access the site; an emergency notification process for organisers &amp; attendees &amp; a tested communication plan for phone or radio for communication with emergency services.</i> |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 152   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.4.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Provide for commercial forestry replanting to be a Controlled Activity if the Permitted Activity standards cannot be met.<br>The matters for control could include, but not be limited to, the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The natural clarity of a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> </ul>  |       |          |                            |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 153   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5.2.             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Rule.<br>Replace it with a rule (preferably a permitted or controlled activity rule) that fairly reflects the impacts of all land use activities associated with excavation , not one based on an arbitrary decision as to which types of land use the rule would apply to.  |       |          |                            |                    |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 154   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Provide for commercial forestry harvesting as a Permitted Activity.  |       |          |                            |                    |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 155   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.2.             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Rule.<br>Provide for this activity as a Restricted Discretionary Activity, with the discretion restricted to the following, including but not limited to, matters (or with words of similar effect):   |       |          |                            |                    |                 |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The natural clarity of a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>The entry of woody organic material into a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>The restoration of vegetation on any excavation site.</li> </ul>  |       |          |                            |                    |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 156   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.9.             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Allow for this activity as a Restricted Discretionary Activity.<br>Insert the following matter for discretion (or with words of similar effect):   |       |          |                            |                    |                 |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Climatic conditions</li> </ul>  |       |          |                            |                    |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 157   | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction             | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Review the Permitted Activity standards and provide for Controlled Activities to ensure the MEP aligns with this principle.  |       |          |                            |                    |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 158   | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction             | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Review the rationale and justification for having separate commercial forestry rules as compared to general activity rules. Delete the specific commercial forestry rules and re-instate the rules for land disturbance as per the previous plans as a measure to manage forestry operations before the proposed NES-PF is passed into law (April 2017). |       |          |                            |                    |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--|-----------------|
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 159   | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Review the rationale and justification for having separate commercial forestry rules as compared to general activity rules. Delete the specific commercial forestry rules and re-instate the rules for land disturbance as per the previous plans as a measure to manage forestry operations before the proposed NES-PF is passed into law (April 2017).   |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 160   | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Ensure the MEP provides for controls on the siting of houses and essential infrastructure below steep land, with natural hazards being a key driver.   |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 161   | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Revise this section of the MEP to fairly reflect the history of consultation.  |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 162   | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Working with others to sustainably manage Marlborough's natural and physical resources | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the manner in which commercial forestry is treated in the MEP to ensure that there is equity between land users.   |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 163   | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend this paragraph as follows (or with words with similar effect) (bold) -<br><i>"The value of <b>the tall vegetation (eg areas of the conservation estate, which makes up 45% of Marlborough's land area, and commercial forests – 8%)</b> should not be underestimated. For example, the use of the Queen Charlotte Track, part of which occurs in the conservation estate, adds approximately \$10 million to the Marlborough economy annually. There are other ecosystem services provided by <b>the commercial forestry and the conservation estate</b> that, although not quantified in a monetary sense, contribute to social wellbeing, such as reducing flood risk, sustaining whitebait catches and other fish and game, <b>providing habitat, recreational opportunities and carbon sequestration.</b>"</i> |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 164   | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Revisit the manner in which commercial forestry is treated in the MEP to ensure that there is equity between land users where the environmental effects of the activity are the same or less than other permitted activities (eg excavation and stock access to riverbeds / setbacks).           |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 165   | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Greater recognition needs to be given to the difference between the inner and outer Sounds areas based on land use, and regulation for commercial forestry needs to be reviewed and amended in light of this.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 166   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | 5.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the reference to viticulture – it infers that the council has picked this industry as being more important than others.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 167   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Delete the provisions in the MEP controlling commercial forest planting and replanting in an afforestation flow sensitive site.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 168   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.18 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Revisit this Policy and Permitted Activity rules to provide for short-term, minor diversions of water as Permitted Activities.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 169   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.19 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rules for diversion that are captured by the full discretionary consent activity to be restricted discretionary consents (higher limit) or controlled activities (at the lower limit) with the matters of discretion/control as provided by this policy and the addition of timeframe. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 170   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.20 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend this Policy to provide a wider context of the purposes of dams.  |       |          |   |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 171          | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5C         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the provisions in the MEP controlling commercial forest planting and replanting in an afforestation flow sensitive site.   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 172          | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that this Policy does not have any impact on the establishment and replanting of plantation forests in the region.   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 173          | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Policy and associated rules.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 174          | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that this Policy does not have any impact on the establishment and replanting of commercial forests in the region.   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 175          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character              | Objective 6.1    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Limit this Objective (and resultant policies) to only selected rivers, lakes and the coastal environment.<br>Replace "establish the degree of natural character" with "identify areas of high natural character" (or with words of similar effect). |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 176          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.2     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Rewrite the Policy to provide certainty as to when management will be applied and ensure that it will not be applied to existing commercial forest,<br>OR<br>Amend the Coastal Environment Zone to exclude NFL's commercial forestry blocks.        |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 177          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.3     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Exclude existing commercial forests from the coastal terrestrial zones and accurately reflect the detail of the rankings in Appendix 2.   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 178          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.5     | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Reword the Policy to provide certainty as to extent (replace "adjacent") and focus on a list of rivers (rather than all watercourses).  |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 179   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend this Objective to apply to rivers that have natural character, not all rivers, as well as the coastal environment and lakes.  |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 180   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reword the policy explanation to reflect how "avoid" is to be applied to rivers with high or very high natural character values where they are part of a working rural environment. Avoid in this context should still provide for access, crossings and minor adverse effects associated with these uses and adjacent land use activities. |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 181   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete all references to freshwater bodies from this Policy and policy explanation.   |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 182   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.4  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Review the policy direction to enable non-regulatory methods to be used primarily, and only use regulatory methods where the adverse effects will be significant and long term.   |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 183   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.6  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend this policy to read (or with words of similar effect):<br><i>In assessing the appropriateness of subdivision, use or development in coastal or freshwater environments with Outstanding Natural Features or Landscapes, regard shall be given to the potential to enhance natural character in the affected area.</i>                 |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 184   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.7  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Policy.  |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 185   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.8  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Ensure equity, based on the adverse effects of the land use, for all setbacks in the region.<br>Rules also need to be consistent with Policy 8.2.9(d).<br>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.   |       |          |                     |              |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 186   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.M.1        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Ensure equity, based on the adverse effects of the land use, for all setbacks and levels of regulation in the region.<br>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.  |       |          |                     |              |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 187   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.1.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Either:<br>Remove commercial forests from the High Amenity Value Landscape - Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape.<br>Or<br>Provide for the change in landscape as a normal function of this land use.  |       |          |                     |              |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 188   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This Policy will not apply to commercial forests within the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape.   |       |          |                     |              |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 189   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.2.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br><i>"Control activities that have the potential to degrade the amenity values that contribute to those areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape not identified as being an outstanding natural feature and landscape by:</i><br><br><i>(a) using a non-regulatory approach as the means of maintaining and enhancing landscape values in areas of this landscape zoned as Coastal Living;</i><br><i>(b) setting standards/conditions that are consistent with the existing landscape values and that will require greater assessment where proposed activities and structures exceed those standards; and</i><br><i>(c) requiring <b>Controlled Activity</b> resource consent for commercial forestry activities <b>and Restricted Discretionary resource consent for new commercial forestry activities.</b>"</i> |       |          |                     |              |        |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|---------|
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 190   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete the application of this policy for commercial forestry.  |       |          |             |               |         |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 191   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Policy.   |       |          |             |               |         |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 192   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain this Policy.   |       |          |             |               |         |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 193   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.9  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Policy.   |       |          |             |               |         |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 194   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.10 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that the rules that are developed from this Policy apply to all land uses and areas of the region, not just in relation to forestry type planting. Employ the Wilding Risk Calculator rather than have a blanket ban on particular tree species.   |       |          |             |               |         |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 195   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.3         | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete commercial forestry and the planting of certain species of exotic tree from the list of activities to be regulated.  |       |          |             |               |         |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 196   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.4         | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete any reference to forestry in this Method, as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"The Council will provide guidelines to help landowners and resource users to avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse visual effects of development on landscape values. Guidelines for <del>forest harvest activities</del> and new structures will be priorities for development. These guidelines are intended to encourage landowners and resource users to consider landscape qualities when using or developing natural and physical resources. This may result in improved recognition of the landscape within which the resource use or development is proposed to occur and therefore improved <del>(harvest or structure)</del> design from a landscape perspective. In this way, the guidelines will assist with the implementation of the regulatory methods and are complimentary to these methods.</i><br><b><i>It is not proposed to develop guidelines for forestry as the NESPF will become the eminent regulation for the industry.</i></b> " |       |          |             |               |         |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 197   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.8         | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision      | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete paragraph 3 as follows -<br><br><i>"Provide the community with information on effective control practices for wilding pines:"</i>   |       |          |                           |                |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 198   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend this Policy to require that on-site verification is a key component of the identification sites, areas and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values.   |       |          |                           |                |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 199   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.2   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• W203</li> <li>• W777</li> <li>• W87</li> <li>• W779</li> <li>• W1369</li> <li>• W1368</li> <li>• W92</li> <li>• W989</li> <li>• W972</li> <li>• W377</li> </ul> (Refer submission points 990.200 to 900.209) |       |          |                           |                |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 200   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps               | Zoning Map 165 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the following SNA wetland from the MEP:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• W203</li> </ul>   |       |          |                           |                |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 201   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps               | Zoning Map 166 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the following SNA wetland from the MEP:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• W777</li> </ul>   |       |          |                           |                |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 202   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps               | Zoning Map 155 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision      | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>W87</li> </ul>   |       |          |             |                |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 203   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 155 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>W779</li> </ul>  |       |          |             |                |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 204   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 156 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>W1369</li> </ul> |       |          |             |                |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 205   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 156 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>W1368</li> </ul> |       |          |             |                |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 206   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 157 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>W92</li> </ul>   |       |          |             |                |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 207   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 134 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>W989</li> </ul>  |       |          |             |                |        |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 208   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 158 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>W972</li> </ul>  |       |          |             |                |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 209          | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps               | Zoning Map 121   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>W377</li> </ul>  |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 210          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.3     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy, so that not only will be priority be given to the protection, maintenance and restoration of habitats, ecosystems and areas that have significant indigenous biodiversity values, but also to those at threat from surrounding land use. |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 211          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Policy.<br>OR<br>Review and rewrite this Policy to be a policy. The assessment criteria should be attached as matters for control or discretion for resource consent applications.   |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 212          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.5     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Review and rewrite this Policy to be a policy. The assessment criteria should be attached as matters for control or discretion for resource consent applications.  |              |               |                           |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 213   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | 8.M.4         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Method to reflect that identified significant sites are validated on-site and not through an aerial photo based identification project. <i>(Inferred)</i></p> <p>Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• W203</li> <li>• W777</li> <li>• W87</li> <li>• W779</li> <li>• W1369</li> <li>• W1368</li> <li>• W92</li> <li>• W989</li> <li>• W972</li> <li>• W377</li> </ul> <p><i>This relief sought has been set out in sub-points 990.200 to 900.209.</i></p> |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 214   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.15 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend this Policy to read as follows (or with words with similar effect) (bold) -<br/> <i>"Recognise the benefits of the presence of unformed legal road as a means to enhance access to and along waterbodies (including the coast) and to public land <b>where public safety can be maintained.</b>"</i></p>  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 215   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.3.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a further clause (e) as follows (or with words of similar effect):<br/> <b><i>"(e) recognising and managing the risks to the wider environment/community from temporary events, such as wild fire."</i></b></p>   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 216   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.4.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the policy explanation to include adverse effects such as wild fire, fly tipping and trespass.</p>  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 217   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.4.4  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete this Policy and insert its matters for assessment, as well as:<br>1. The type of activity<br>2. The time of the year it takes place<br>3. The scale of the event<br>as assessment criteria for a Restricted Discretionary Activity.<br>Refer to the direction taken by the Tasman District Council, as follows:<br><i>An event which is advertised for general public admission meets the following conditions:</i><br>(iiv) <i>should the event be located in the high fire risk area as shown on the TRMP planning maps &amp; occurs between 1 October and 30 April, a fire preparedness plan is provided to the Rural Fire Authority for management in terms of its powers under the Forest Rural Fire Act 1977 &amp; adjacent landowners fourteen working days before the activity commences, which provides sufficient detail to satisfy the purposes for which it is required including:</i><br>(a) <i>the location, time &amp; duration of the event and the number of people expected to attend the event;</i><br>(b) <i>risk reduction measures including: briefing information for participants; management of spark hazardous activities (including smoking, lighting of fires &amp; barbecues); length &amp; dryness of grass; &amp; a cancellation procedure for the event if the Build Up Index (BUI) of the nearest remote access weather station forecasts or has a BUI reading of 80 or more, or a Fire Weather Index forecasts or reads 24 or higher;</i><br>(c) <i>fire readiness measures including water and equipment for firefighting; number of people on site trained in firefighting to NZQA or NZ Fire Service TAPS module standards; location of safe site areas; an evacuation plan with a stay/go procedure &amp; at least two escape routes to safe areas; a plan of how emergency services will access the site; an emergency notification process for organisers &amp; attendees &amp; a tested communication plan for phone or radio for communication with emergency services.</i> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 218   | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                | Policy 11.1.21 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to explicitly state the following (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br><i>"Locate new structures and works to:</i><br>(a) <i>avoid them being damaged from the adverse effects of land instability <b>both from within and external to the site</b>; and</i><br>(b) <i>avoid any increase in the adverse effects of slope instability that the structure or work may cause."</i>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 219   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend this Policy to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br><i>"The strategic importance of areas of the Marlborough Sounds as a transportation route for inter-island <b>&amp; international</b> shipping will be recognised as a 'National Transportation Route'."</i><br><br>And, amend the first sentence of the explanation to the Policy to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br><i>"The use of areas within the Marlborough Sounds for shipping provides a particularly important transport link between the North and South Islands <b>and internationally</b>."</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 220   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.3 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend this (a) of the Policy to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br><i>"Ensure the following existing ports, marinas and community/commercial Jetties/landing areas continue to provide links between land transport modes and water transport to the Marlborough Sounds and beyond:<br/> (a) ports of Picton (<b>including the port at Shakespeare Bay</b>) and Havelock;"</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 221   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 222   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend paragraph 3 of the introduction as follows ((or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br><i>"The deep water port of Picton, which includes Shakespeare Bay, plays a critical national role in the transportation of people and goods between the North and South Islands <b>and internationally</b>. The passage of vehicles and people through the port is closely related to the economic activity of the town's commercial and accommodation activities. Picton is an export/import port that acts as a base for commercial fishing vessels, marine farming and fishing activities. It provides facilities that enable people to access the Marlborough Sounds. <b>Shakespeare Bay is Marlborough's hub for the export of forestry products – predominantly logs, but also lumber</b>. Recently it has also become a popular port of call for cruise ships."</i> |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 223   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain this Policy and Port zoning.  |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 224   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain this Policy.  |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 225   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain this Policy.  |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited   | 226   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Objective 14.3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows (strike through) -<br><i>"Activities that are <del>not</del> related to primary production are appropriate to be located within rural environments."</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|--------|
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 227   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.3.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the explanation to the Policy to state as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Some activities, while not relying on the primary production potential of rural resources, are nonetheless closely linked with the rural environment. This includes outdoor recreation that frequently takes place in rural environments, whether organised through clubs or informally by individuals. Additionally, there are occasionally events of a limited duration that can occur within rural environments. Some flexibility is needed for the operation of these activities as they can provide for an important element in the economic and social wellbeing of the community. In both cases permitted activity standards will establish a framework to enable these activities to occur <b>while managing the risks associated with the activities.</b>"</i></p> <p>Also refer to the direction taken by the Tasman District Council, which has recently held hearings in association with this issue.</p> |       |          |  |               |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 228   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.4.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy so that intent is clear and does not require interpretation.</p> <p>Amend the Permitted Activity standard so that it aligns with the policy.</p>   |       |          |  |               |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 229   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the paragraph 2 to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"The contribution that these uses and values make to the community's social and economic wellbeing and to public health means that maintaining the quality of water in Marlborough's coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers is essential. <del>Any reduction</del> <b>Reduction</b> in water quality is therefore a significant issue in Marlborough."</i></p>   |       |          |  |               |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 230   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the first paragraph of the explanation for the Issue as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"The good state of water quality in Marlborough's coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers makes them more vulnerable to point source and non-point source discharges. <del>Any deterioration</del> <b>Deterioration</b> in water quality would have dramatic implications for Marlborough's social, economic and cultural wellbeing, as good water quality is essential for a wide range of consumptive and non-consumptive uses. A reduction in water quality could also adversely affect freshwater and marine habitats. The main threats to water quality in Marlborough are described below."</i></p>  |       |          |  |               |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 231   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A     | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the first and second paragraphs under the heading " <i>Natural processes</i> " as follows -<br><i>"In the context of the above, it is also important to note that natural processes may influence water quality. For example, groundwater quality often reflects the mineralogy of the aquifer it originated from, especially if the groundwater has high residence time. This means that some Marlborough groundwaters have high levels of naturally occurring contaminants such as salt, iron and arsenic. There is also potential for bacteria from the faeces of feral animals (e.g. goats, pigs and possums) and other wildlife to contaminate fresh and coastal waters.</i><br><br><del>Occasionally,</del> <i>Natural processes will result in sediment reaching both fresh and coastal water, particularly during rainfall events. This affects the clarity and turbidity of water and the resulting <del>dirty</del> <b>discoloured</b> waters can have an impact on freshwater and marine life."</i> |       |          |  |                 |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 232   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Review the Issue. If the issue is non-point source discharges, then make this clear. If it is not an issue of no-point source discharges, then delete this sentence and review the provisions that apply to the non-issue.   |       |          |  |                 |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 233   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the first paragraph of the explanation to the Objective to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) -<br><i>"Marlborough's coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers contain a diverse range of natural and human use values and are used extensively by the community. The existing water quality in the majority of our waterbodies is sufficient to support these values, but it is important that no <b>long term</b> degradation of water quality is allowed to occur. In addition....."</i>   |       |          |  |                 |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 234   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the explanation for this Policy to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"This policy establishes a minimum expectation of water quality in Marlborough's rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters. The policy will be primarily implemented through the application of water quality classifications, against which the impact of point source discharges on water quality can be assessed in the preparation of permitted activity rules and the consideration of resource consent applications. The use of "potential" in the criteria reflects a community expectation that contact recreation and/or food gathering should <del>always</del> <b>generally</b> be able to be undertaken safely in coastal waters, rivers, lakes and wetlands. This policy assists to give effect to Policy A1, CA2 and D1 of the NPSFM and Policy 8 of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (NZCPS)."</i>  |       |          |  |                 |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited   | 235   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.3   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this Policy.  |       |          |  |                 |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 236   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain this Policy.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 237   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.25 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the final paragraph of the explanation for the Policy to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"In time <del>and as signalled in Policy 15.1.3</del>, the Council <del>will</del> <b>may</b> establish cumulative contaminant limits to assist with the effective management of the adverse effects of all discharges to freshwater within a catchment. These limits will be established as regional rules and will establish a maximum amount of resource use within a catchment for water quality outcomes. <b>If the adoption of good management practices provides sufficient management of non-point source discharges, regulation may not be required.</b>"</i>     |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 238   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.27 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to apply to all of the Marlborough region.<br>Amend the Policy description to provide commentary on the effectiveness of riparian planting and the need for a practical planned approach to any planting to ensure that unintended adverse consequences are avoided.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 239   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.29 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Rewrite the Policy to fairly reflect the issues and ensure equity of application for all land uses.<br>Any rules resulting from this Policy should either be a Permitted Activity (subject to performance standards) or a Controlled Activity.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 240   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.31 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the explanation to the Policy to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) -<br><i>"Sections 12 and 13 of the RMA regulate the activity of disturbing the seabed and the bed of lakes and rivers, respectively. This disturbance usually releases sediment into water, effectively a nonpoint source discharge of contaminants. To ensure integrated management of the effects of bed disturbance, this policy signals that any water quality effects caused by such a discharge also need to be managed. <b>It is also accepted that some short term and minor discharges may occur in conjunction with an activity that aims to avoid further or sustained bed disturbance.</b>"</i><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 241   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Rewrite the Policy to ensure: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. No interpretation is required</li> <li>2. Any clarity standards are meaningful and measurable</li> <li>3. Acknowledgement and acceptance of short term and/or minor bed disturbances</li> <li>4. Any rules resulting from this Policy should either be a Permitted Activity (subject to performance standards) or a Controlled Activity.</li> </ul>   |       |          |  |               |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 242   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.16       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the second paragraph of the explanation to the Method to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br><i>"Apply permitted activity standards to require rural land uses, with the potential to adversely affect water quality through non-point source discharges, to be setback from rivers, lakes, significant wetlands and coastal waters. <b>The required setbacks will be commensurate with the effects of the activity.</b>"</i>  |       |          |  |               |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 243   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reword this Policy to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br><i>"Control emissions from <b>newly consented (post 9 June 2016) large scale fuel burning devices outside the Blenheim airshed &amp; approve discharge permit applications, where the discharge will not be dangerous or noxious, or cause an offensive or objectionable effect beyond the boundary of the site(s) from where the discharge originates. Control emissions from existing (prior to 9 June 2016) large scale fuel burning devices outside the Blenheim Airshed &amp; approve discharge permit applications, where the discharge will not be dangerous or noxious, or cause an offensive or objectionable effect at the notional boundary of the nearest dwelling.</b>"</i> |       |          |  |               |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 244   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend this Policy to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br><i>"Encourage land management practices that:</i><br><i>(a) maintain soil structure by:</i><br><i>(i) avoiding or remedying soil compaction (<b>unless it is required for infrastructure stability</b>);</i><br><i>(ii) avoiding the loss of soil organic matter; and</i><br><i>(iii) avoiding or remedying the effects of increased sodium levels;</i><br><i>(b) maintain nutrients at appropriate levels; and</i><br><i>(c) retain topsoil in situ."</i>  |       |          |  |               |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 245   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Rewrite the Policy to fairly address the issues and ensure equity of application for all land uses.<br>Any rules resulting from this Policy should either be a Permitted Activity (subject to performance standards) or a Controlled Activity.  |       |          |  |               |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|--------|
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 246   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Any rules resulting from this Policy should either be a Permitted Activity (subject to performance standards) or a Controlled Activity. The rainfall event return period should be stated and practical. Direction should be provided to determine how close a land use needs to be to any fresh waterbody or coastal water in the application of this Policy.  |       |          |  |               |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 247   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reword the Policy to provide clear guidance and expand it to require significant focus / regulation on the eroding hill country around Blenheim and the Weld Pass. Any rules resulting from this Policy should either be a Permitted Activity (subject to performance standards) or a Controlled Activity.  |       |          |  |               |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 248   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.39       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the first paragraph under the Method to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"To work with <del>established</del> rural industry groups to develop and implement sustainable land management programmes. The initial focus will be on viticulture, pastoral farming (especially dairy and beef farming), arable farming and forestry, and <del>may</del> <b>will</b> extend to other rural activities if the need arises."</i><br>And, amend the third paragraph under the Method to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"<del>Farm</del> <b>Sustainable land</b> management plans may assist rural property owners to identify appropriate responses to soil erosion issues on their land. The Council will help to develop such plans if requested."</i> |       |          |  |               |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 249   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.45       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the second paragraph under Method 15.M.45 as follows (strike through) -<br><i>"Undertake monitoring of the effect of specific land disturbance activities and land use changes on the soil resource. This can be implemented through monitoring required as a condition of resource consent or through state of the environment monitoring. <del>Monitoring the effects of forest harvest activities in the coastal environment of the Marlborough Sounds is a priority.</del>"</i><br>And, amend the description of the Method to focus on monitoring the effects of land use in the highly erodible loess soils in the Wither Hills and Weld Pass area.   |       |          |  |               |        |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 250   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.5.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the explanation to the Policy as follows (strike through) -<br><br><i>"The Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 (HSNO) states the minimum controls for the use, storage, transportation and disposal of all hazardous substances throughout New Zealand. Although the Council is able to impose additional and/or more stringent requirements, it is satisfied that the requirements imposed by HSNO regulations are sufficient to minimise the potential for inadvertent release of hazardous substances into the environment.</i><br><br><i>Exceptions to this policy include:</i><br><i>(a) the use and storage of hazardous substances in groundwater protection areas and on river beds, due to the vulnerability of the aquifers and rivers to contamination; and</i><br><i>(b) the discharge of hazardous waste to land or water.</i><br><br><i>In these circumstances, the Council will use its powers under the RMA to impose controls more stringent than the HSNO regulations."</i> |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 251   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.5.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the explanation to the Policy to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br><br><i>"A majority of the sites on the Register are identified as potentially contaminated and are included on the basis of HAIL. However, the risk of human health effects or adverse effects on the environment is unclear. For this reason, the Council will <b>engage and work with affected parties to progressively screen those sites on the Register to determine the likely risk that the contaminants pose to human health and/or the surrounding environment. The degree of risk and the reasons will be recorded on the Register and shared with the affected parties.</b>"</i>   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 252   | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | Issue 16A     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Develop policies and methods to address the issue of illegal dumping of solid waste – whether it is on public or private land.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 253   | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Policy 17.4.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the explanation to the Policy as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -<br><br><i>"A major method in the MEP for managing the efficiency of the road network is through identification of a road's function, which is established by the road hierarchy. It is important that subdivision or activities that generate traffic (whether on land or in the coastal marine area) are managed so that their location, density and/or scale does not impair the function of a particular road. <b>The functions of the roads (and hierarchy) must equally provide for expected rural/coastal industry transport needs.</b> Management will occur through district rules that describe where there is a need to consider the impacts of activities on the function of a road through <del>the</del> <b>a Controlled Activity</b> resource consent process."</i>   |       |          |  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 254   | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.5.6 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"Subdivision and land use activities shall avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the safety of and accessibility to the road network by ensuring:</i></p> <p><i>(a) buildings, vegetation and activities do not reduce clear sight lines for trains and road vehicles at level rail crossings or for vehicles at road intersections;</i></p> <p><i>(b) vegetation planted on land alongside rural roads is set back so that roads are not shaded and subjected to icing in winter;</i></p> <p><i>(c) adequate formal crossing facilities are provided where high levels of pedestrian activity are generated from an activity located adjacent to an arterial road or in a Business or Industrial zone;</i></p> <p><i>(d) activities do not create distractions for any road or rail users, including from glare, inappropriate lighting, smoke, <del>discharges</del> or other distractions;</i></p> <p><i>(e) vehicle crossing places and entrances from roads are constructed and maintained to standards appropriate to the circumstances of traffic volume, pedestrian and cycle movement and local traffic speed; and</i></p> <p><i>(f) new urban subdivisions and developments incorporate facilities for nonmotorised transport users, including:</i></p> <p><i>(i) footpaths or access ways intended to be used by both cyclists and pedestrians and their separation for safety reasons where practicable;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) provision for cycle traffic within road carriageways in such a way that lane width, design and surface finish are adequate to safely accommodate both motorised vehicles and cycles; and</i></p> <p><i>(iii) pedestrian access routes connecting residential areas, schools, shopping centres, recreation reserves and public transport collection points and terminals where appropriate."</i></p> <p>And, clarify what is mean by "<i>other distractions</i>" in clause (d).</p> <p>Amend the setback from roads for vegetation planting rule to align with the policy direction.</p> <p>Any rules resulting from this policy should either be a Permitted Activity (subject to performance standards) or a Controlled Activity.</p> |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 255   | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.6.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (or with words with similar effect) (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Maintain amenity values in rural and urban areas by encouraging the use of national and arterial routes by high volumes of traffic and heavy vehicles and discouraging high volume and heavy traffic use of collector routes and local routes, <b>when they do not need to use these roads to access their freight/produce</b>, particularly where these pass through residential areas."</i></p> <p>And, amend the explanation to the Policy as follows (or with words with similar effect) (bold) -</p> <p><i>"The current state of vehicle technology in New Zealand means that noise and vehicle emissions can be expected from the operation of vehicles on roads. There is little the MEP can do to modify those conditions. However, the Council can control the extent of these effects by adopting a road hierarchy, which encourages higher volumes of traffic and heavy traffic movements on certain routes and discourages them on others. An exception is made for some primary production activities, which need to use collector and local routes to transport produce to processing facilities, <b>ports or customers</b>."</i></p>  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 990                | Nelson Forests Limited  | 256   | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.6.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Delete (a) of the Policy as follows (strike through) -<br>" <del>(a) adverse effects on air and water quality, including from contaminated run-off from roads discharging into water or onto or into land;</del> " (Inferred)<br>And, amend the explanation to the Policy to state as follows (or with words with similar effect) (bold) -<br>" <i>It is important that where new roads or extensions or upgrading of existing roads are proposed that the effects identified in this policy are avoided, remedied or mitigated, <b>and that the improved safety and wellbeing for communities when roads are upgraded is recognised.</b></i> " |       |          |                   |                |         |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 257   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Objective 19.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain this Objective.  |       |          |                   |                |         |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 258   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Objective 19.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain this Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                   |                |         |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 259   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Insert the following policy (or words with similar effect) into the Climate Change Section -<br>" <b>Establishing both plantation forests and carbon sequestration forests is a matter of national priority and will be supported by rules and other methods in the MEP.</b> "  |       |          |                   |                |         |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 260   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps       |                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Significant Wetlands:<br>W972-Storeys Creek, W92-Langley Dale, W1368 & W1369-Bartletts, W87 & W779-Pine Valley, W777-Top Valley, W203-Glengyle, W989-Arnotts, W377-Denckers.<br><i>This subpoint has been covered in subpoints 990.200 to 990.209.</i>   |       |          |                   |                |         |
| <b>990</b>         | Nelson Forests Limited  | 261   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps       |                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Coastal Environment Zone to exclude NFL's plantation forestry blocks.   |       |          |                   |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|--|-----------------|
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 1     | All      | All            |  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Please see attached submission.   |       |          |                |  |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 2     | Volume 1 | All            |  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | It is considered that the MEP would be more workable and user-friendly through merging and simplifying a large number of objectives and policies which seek overlapping outcomes, both within and between chapters. In achieving this, the style of the earlier part of Chapter 15 (relating to water) whereby Objectives are grouped together with a suite of policies below to collectively achieve those aims may be a good option. This section of the chapter avoided duplication and was found to be well set out and easy to follow.   |       |          |                |  |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 3     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Provide definitions for the different terms used for water supplies which are consistent with other existing legislation.   |       |          |                |  |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 4     | Volume 1 | 2 Background   | Integrated management of the Marlborough environment | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the wording of the definition of integrated management to the following:<br/> <i>'Integrated management is an active process of managing the use, development and protection of natural and physical resources as a whole and recognises the following:</i><br/> ...<br/> <i>(d) That natural and physical resources cannot be managed without having regard to the social, economic, and cultural <b>and health and safety</b> interests of the community.</i><br/> ...'</p> <p>Amend the first sentence of the explanation to the following:<br/> <i>'The social, economic and cultural wellbeing <b>and health and safety</b> of our community relies on the use, development and protection of our land, water, air, soil, mineral and energy resources, plants and animals and structures.'</i></p> |       |          |                |  |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--|-----------------|
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 5     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Working with others to sustainably manage Marlborough's natural and physical resources | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Nelson Marlborough District Health Board Public Health Service is identified under the 'Statutory agencies' section.   |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the explanation to Issue 4B recognises healthcare services and facilities as regionally significant infrastructure. That a definition is included in the MEP for 'healthcare services and facilities' which provides for physical and mental health facilities and services including, but not necessarily limited to, the Wairau Hospital, emergency services, general practices, and community support services.   |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the wording of the explanation to Objective 4.2 is amended to the following:<br/> <i>'... This includes the ability to <b>operate</b>, maintain, upgrade, and replace <b>and expand</b> existing infrastructure.'</i></p> <p>That an objective is included which recognises the importance of the establishment of regionally significant infrastructure.</p> <p>That policies are provided which give effect to Objective 4.2 in relation to existing regionally significant infrastructure (including the above recommended amendments) and the above recommended objective relating to the establishment of regionally significant infrastructure.</p> |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 4.2.1 so that 'healthcare services and facilities' is explicitly listed and provided for, as defined in point 5. above.  |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 9     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the wording of the explanation to Policy 4.2.2 to the following:<br>'The effective and efficient operation of regionally significant infrastructure can be protected by avoiding the establishment of incompatible activities in locations where reverse sensitivity effects may arise close proximity to the infrastructure in the first place.'   |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 10    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | 5.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the chapter is renamed so that it explicitly relates to the allocation of freshwater resources and coastal space (or occupation).   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 11    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the second paragraph of the introduction recognises that the allocation of public resources is integral to the health and safety of people and communities, including the provision of an example for the reader.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 12    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the wording of the explanation to Objective 5.2 to the following:<br>'The natural and human use values supported by Marlborough's freshwater bodies are important to retain given their contribution to the social, economic and cultural wellbeing and health and safety of the community.'   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 13    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the consumptive uses and order of priority for water allocation are reviewed and that municipal water supply is given a higher priority which recognises its importance as a critical use and provides consistency with Policy 5.3.4 of the MEP.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 14    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Consider expanding Policy 5.3.4 to also include networked community water supplies not administered by the MDC.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 15    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the wording of Policy 5.3.11 so that it provides for reverse sensitivity effects on other activities including the effects of a water take on existing lawful point source discharges and the ability to maintain adequate assimilative capacity within receiving waters to ensure adverse effects on people's safety or the environment do not arise. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 16    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space   | Policy 9.3.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the explanation to Policy 9.3.7 to recognise the importance of walking and cycling linkages in supporting connected neighbourhoods and communities, active transport options, and reducing vehicle and fossil fuel usage.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 17    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.3.9   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments ( strike through bold and bold) are made to Policy 9.3.9:</p> <p><i>Policy 9.3.9 – In assessing the impacts of subdivision or development through resource consent applications, consideration shall be given to the need for public open space and recreation areas to provide for:</i></p> <p><i>(a) additional neighbourhood parks needed as a result of additional residential and visitor accommodation across Marlborough;</i></p> <p><i>(b) additional open space necessary for visual relief and plantings amongst the built environment; <del>and</del></i></p> <p><i>(c) the development of neighbourhood parks and open space areas that are <b>safe</b>, useable and enjoyable <b>and</b></i></p> <p><b><i>(d) the development of walking and cycling linkages to support connected neighbourhoods and communities, active transport options, and recreational opportunities.</i></b></p> |       |          |                                |                |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 18    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments          | Objective 12.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 12.1 to recognise and provide for compatible and appropriately managed mixed use developments within residential zones.  |       |          |                                |                |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 19    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments          | Policy 12.1.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Re-consider the potential policy outcomes of not providing for infill development, smaller lot sizes and greater density in Urban Residential 2 Zone (in addition to providing for intensification development) in relation to addressing Issue 12A of the MEP (providing for flexibility in the size and type of dwelling options available including in relation to an aging population and increase in single person households) and providing for consolidated development (e.g. Policy 17.6.3)  |       |          |                                |                |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 20    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments          | Policy 12.2.1  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the wording of Policy 12.2.1 to the following:<br><i>'The character and amenity of residential areas within Marlborough's urban environments will be maintained and enhanced by:</i><br>(a) <i>providing for a range of areas with different residential densities and lot sizes, including for infill, greenfield and large lot developments</i><br>(b) <i>ensuring there are residential areas within walkable distance to community, social and business facilities</i><br>(c) <i>providing for sufficient <b>open spaces and parks</b> that are <b>equitably distributed</b>, and integrated, <b>accessible and safe, and vary in size, form and their use including through incorporating diverse aspects such as streets, walkways, vegetation and views</b> <del>open spaces and parks to meet people's recreational needs</del></i><br>(d) <i><b>providing for walking and cycling linkages to support connected neighbourhoods and communities, active transport options, and recreational opportunities</b></i><br>(e) <i>higher standards of <b>urban design that positively contributes to public space amenity and safety</b>, visual interest and <b>amenity and activity</b></i><br>(f) <i>ensuring people's health and wellbeing through good building design, including energy efficiency and the provision of natural light; and</i><br>(g) <i>effective and efficient use of existing and new infrastructure networks.</i> |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 21    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.3.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 12.3.3 to recognise and provide for compatible and appropriately managed mixed use developments within all residential areas.  |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 22    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.4.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 12.4.2 to recognise residential living as an accepted activity within the central business areas of Blenheim and Picton.   |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 23    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.6.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Provide separate policies for amenity outcomes sought for business and industrial zones and amend the wording of Policy 12.6.2 to the following:<br><i>'Development <del>of activities in business or industrial</del> zones will provide good amenity outcomes through the following:</i><br>(a) <i>ensuring people's health and wellbeing are maintained and enhanced through good building design</i><br>(b) <i>requiring a high standard <b>of design that positively contributes to amenity, public safety and</b> visual interest and <del>amenity qualities</del> (noise levels, minimal dust and odour, privacy, overall volumes of traffic movements, building bulk and density and access to daylight, <b>street lighting and visibility</b>)</i><br>(c) <i><b>ensuring a layout that allows easy access for people of all ages and abilities, is convenient and safe for pedestrians and cyclists, and includes connections within the development and/or with surrounding areas and services</b></i><br>(d) <i>providing planting on road reserve</i><br>(e) <i>requiring integration of landscaping on individual allotments to soften the appearance of buildings fronting the road in areas outside of the streets identified in Appendix 18.</i>  |       |          |                       |               |                 |
|                    | That the permitted activity standards for commercial activity (rules 9.3.1 and 10.3.1.1), and large format retail (rule 11.3.1) reflect the above changes   |       |          |                       |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 24    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the wording of Policy 14.1.2 to the following:<br>'Subdivision <b>location, density and P</b> parcel size in rural environments shall ensure there is adequate choice for primary production and avoids the fragmentation of land for primary productive use.'  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 25    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.1.4 to address potential adverse effects on the health and safety of people and the ability of adjacent landowners to enjoy their land.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 26    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Review the volume of water required to be of a drinking water standard to ensure that it is both reasonable and feasible.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 27    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.24 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include a policy that requires operators to appropriately locate, design, construct and manage treatment and/or spill response facilities (where appropriate) for hazardous substances.<br><br>That the same or similar policy is required in relation to preventing or mitigating the contamination of soils (for instances where activities do not occur on impervious surfaces where stormwater contamination is of more concern). |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 28    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.11        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Method 15.M.11 is amended to include the Nelson Marlborough District Health Board as one of the parties to liaise with in determining uses and values of waterbodies.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 29    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.32 to include a criterion for considering the effects of sedimentation on the aquatic/marine environments and associated social, cultural and economic values of areas not identified within Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 or Appendix 2 of the MEP.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 30    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.2.2  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the wording of Policy 15.2.3 to the following:<br>'Require all new <b>and replacement</b> multi-fuel <b>and wood</b> burning appliances to comply with the National Environmental Standards for Air Quality design standard for wood burning appliances'  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 31    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the wording of Policy 15.2.3 to the following:<br>'Require all new and replacement multi-fuel and wood burning appliances to comply with the National Environmental Standards for Air Quality design standard for wood burning appliances'  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 32    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the wording of Policy 15.3.5 to the following:<br>'Manage the discharge of contaminants to air not specifically provided for in Policies 15.2.1 to 15.2.3 or 15.3.1 to 15.3.4 by:<br>...<br>(c) avoiding or mitigating adverse effects on any other values <b>including as a result of cross media effects between air and other receiving environments</b> . |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 33    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.30       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Method 15.M.30 so that the community is well informed about how to operate their wood burners correctly to ensure more efficient heating and less pollution. This includes burning the right wood (dry, seasoned hardwood; no chemical treatment or rubbish) the right way (hot and not smouldering fire, not overloading the appliance).                     |       |          |  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 34    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste          | Policy 16.2.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the wording of Policy 16.2.6 to the following:<br/> <i>'In deciding whether to grant resource consent for any discharge of solid waste to land and the need to impose consent conditions to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects, decision makers need to determine whether there will be:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>(a) soil or groundwater contamination from the accumulation <b>or leaching</b> of heavy metals <del>and other</del>, hazardous substances <b>or other contaminants</b></i></li> <li><i>(b) contamination of waterbodies through runoff of sediment or leachate</i></li> <li><i>(c) erosion, land instability and/or run-off of sediment into waterbodies due to land disturbance activities associated with the activity</i></li> <li><i>(d) <b>adverse effects on public health or amenity</b></i></li> <li><i>(e) <del>reduced amenity values due to disposal of unauthorised material resulting in odours, rubbish accumulation and vermin</del></i></li> <li><i>(f) adverse effects to the mauri of ecosystems, waahi tapu sites and other sites of cultural significance by discharges of sediment or leachate onto or into land.</i></li> </ul> |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 35    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.6.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 17.6.3 to reflect other measures in reducing vehicle and fossil fuel usage, including through incorporating the intent of Policy 17.6.4.<br/> Suggested amendment:<br/> <i>'Mitigate the adverse effects of vehicle and fossil fuel usage where practicable <b>and foster improved community health by:</b></i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>(a) reducing potential travel times <del>to and from home, work community and business places</del>, through consolidated development of Marlborough's towns</i></li> <li><i><b>(b) providing active transport routes such as walkways and cycleways;</b></i></li> <li><i><b>(c) providing an integrated public transport, walking and cycling network</b></i></li> <li><i><b>(d) providing walkable and connected neighbourhoods and communities; and</b></i></li> <li><i><b>(e) promoting local economies for food, produce and other production.</b></i></li> </ul>   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 36    | Volume 1 | 18 Energy         | Policy 18.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the explanation to Policy 18.2.1 is amended to recognise the importance of retrofitting insulation into existing housing stock in terms of increasing energy efficiency (alongside behavioural change).</p> <p>That a non-regulatory method is included whereby MDC will consider supporting programmes that retrofit insulation into existing homes and/or other initiatives aimed at improving thermal efficiency and home heating.</p>  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 37    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Introduction to Chapter 19 recognises that climate change is the biggest global health threat of the 21st century and that MDC encourages action being taken towards decreasing carbon emissions.</p>  |       |          |                   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 38    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change          | Issue 19A     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the explanation to Issue 19A is expanded to recognise other serious public health effects such injury or stress related mental health effects from extreme weather events (e.g. floods, droughts or fires) and changing insect born disease patterns such as certain mosquito species becoming established.  |       |          |                            |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 39    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change          | Policy 19.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the explanation to Objective 19.1.1 is expanded to include that MDC will record and report on the carbon emissions of its own activities and encourage other local businesses and organisations to do the same. Also that current activities that MDC undertakes, such as its role in planting trees, be recognised.   |       |          |                            |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 40    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.16.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards<br><br>That all other permitted activity standards for filling of land with clean fill also contain the same criteria as above (including 4.3.15, 13.3.18, 14.3.9, 15.3.17, 17.3.5, 18.3.6, 19.3.6 and 22.3.7). |       |          |                            |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 41    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards   |       |          |                            |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 42    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone               | 13.3.18.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards   |       |          |                            |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 43    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone  | 14.3.9.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards   |       |          |                            |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 44    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone             | 15.3.17.      | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards            |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 45    | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone             | 17.3.5.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards            |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 46    | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone             | 18.3.6.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards            |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 47    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone             | 19.3.6.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards            |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 48    | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.3.7.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards            |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 49    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.1.9.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That MDC and NMH discuss and explore the options and merits of expanding the provisions of Appendix 16 of the MEP including by providing a specific zone and associated provisions for the Wairau Hospital and associated facilities/services. |       |          |                                  |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 50    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.1.13.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 5.2.2.1 includes the following advice note:<br><b><i>"Exception: This noise limit does not apply to activities of the Wairau Hospital and/or other medical emergency services including, but not limited to, sirens and the operation of helicopters."</i></b>  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 51    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments            | Policy 12.2.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br>Replace "noise levels with "sound levels"<br>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan. |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 52    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments            | Objective 12.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Allow the provision.<br>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 53    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments            | Policy 12.3.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Allow the provision.<br>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 54    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments            | Objective 12.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Allow the provision..<br>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 55    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments            | Policy 12.6.2  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br>Replace 'noise levels with 'sound levels'<br>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.                  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 56    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Policy 12.6.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br>At sub-clause (c)replace 'noise levels with 'sound levels'<br>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan. |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 57    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Policy 12.6.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Allow the provision.<br>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 58    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Objective 12.7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Allow the provision.<br>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 59    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Policy 12.7.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Allow the provision..<br>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 60    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.1  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert "unreasonable or" before "excessive."<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 61    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.3   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Delete "public" where used in the two occurrences of "public nuisance."<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 62    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.6   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Replace in sub-clause (b) "background noise levels" with "background sound levels," or in the alternative delete the word "levels".<br/><br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 63    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.18 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision..<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 64    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.3  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Amend sub-clause (a) by insertion of "unless dwellings are located, oriented and designed to be adequately acoustically isolated from such zones"</p> <p>Amend the first sentence of the explanation to the Policy with, "Generation of noise arising from the operation of ports, port landing areas, and marinas has the potential to have adverse noise effects upon the health and amenity values of people and communities in the vicinity."</p> <p>Amend the second sentence of the explanation to the Policy by replacing "potential for noise to be an issue for" with "potential for adverse noise effects upon".</p> <p>Amend the third sentence of the explanation to the Policy by inserting after "protecting", "health and"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 65    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Replace 'noise levels with 'sound levels'</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 66    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Objective 14.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 67    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.1.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provisions.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 68    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.1.8  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provisions.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 69    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.9  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provisions.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 70    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Issue 14B      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision..</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 71    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision..</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 72    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.5.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Replace in sub-clause (c) "background noise levels" with "background sound levels," or in the alternative delete the word "levels".</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 73    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation               | Issue 17B      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 74    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation               | Objective 17.2 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Allow the provision.<br>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 75    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.2.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Allow the provision.<br>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 76    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.2.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Allow the provision.<br>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 77    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br>Replace "maximum acceptable levels of aircraft noise exposure", with, "appropriate noise limits"<br>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan. |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 78    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | 17.M.5        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Allow the provision.<br>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.  |       |          |                   |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 79           | Volume 1      | 17 Transportation | Issue 17E        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision.<br/> Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |              |               |                   |                  |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 80           | Volume 1      | 17 Transportation | Objective 17.6   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision..<br/> Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |              |               |                   |                  |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 81           | Volume 1      | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.6.1    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision.<br/> Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |              |               |                   |                  |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 82           | Volume 1      | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.6.2    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision.<br/> Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |              |               |                   |                  |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 83           | Volume 1      | 18 Energy         | 18.M.3           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision.<br/> Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |              |               |                   |                  |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 84           | Volume 2      | 25 Definitions    | 25.              | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Replace “a frequency weighted” with A-Frequency-weighted”</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 85    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision. if NZS 6809:2010 is incorporated into the plan, otherwise delete the definition of L10 Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 86    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Replace the definition with</p> <p>“means the day-night average sound level over a 24 hour period obtained after the addition of 10 decibels to sound levels at night, where night is 10.00 pm to 7.00 am the following day.”</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>                    |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 87    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Replace the term “LAEQ (Leq) with “LAeq (LAeq)”</p> <p>Replace in the text the term “(dBA Leq)” with “(dB LAeq)” which is the commonest usage (and the correct usage) in the plan. AND CONSEQUENTIALLY AMEND THROUGHOUT THE PLAN WHERE REQUIRED.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 88    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Replace the term "LMAX (LAMAX)" with "LAFmax (LAFmax)" note the first term incorporates subscript text and the alternate term in brackets does not.<br/>           Insert ", F-time-weighted" before "sound level"<br/>           Delete "(dBA Lmax) "</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 89    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision.<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 90    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision..<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 91    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision.<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 92    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.<br/>           Add "or (LAE)" after "(SEL)"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 93    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/> Add new definition<br/> “Wind turbine” a device used to extract kinetic energy from the wind for electrical generation and includes any wind farm, but excludes “small wind turbines” as described in section 1.6 of NZS6808:2010 Acoustics - Wind Farm Noise.<br/> Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 94    | Volume 2 | All                      |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: AND CONSEQUENTIALLY AMEND THROUGHOUT VOLUMES 2 AND 3 OF THE PLAN<br/> Replace in all rules occurrences of the term “dBA LAeq” with “(dB LAeq)”<br/> Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 95    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.9.10.1. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision.<br/> Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 96    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.42.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/> Substitute LAeq for L10 metric, delete L95 noise limits. Note the assessment location should “at any point within the notional boundary of..”<br/> Replace “DBA with dBA” replace (except where dB LAeq is to be adopted based on other submissions.)<br/> Other details should be as submitted by NZ Defence Force.<br/> Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 97    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3.1.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 3.2.3.1, insert at the beginning, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,<br/>           In 3.2.3.1, Replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point within the Zone"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 98    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.2.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 5.2.2.1 insert at the beginning, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,<br/>           In 5.2.2.1 Replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point within the Zone"</p> <p>In all sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>                                     |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 99    | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone       | 6.2.2.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 6.2.2.1 insert at the beginning, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,<br/>           In 6.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>In all sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 100   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.2.2.1.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 7.2.2.1 , replace “at the Zone boundary or within the Zone” with “at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone”</p> <p>In all sections, replace “dBA LAeq” with “dB LAeq” here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 101   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.2.2.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 8.2.2.1, Replace “at the Zone boundary or within the Zone” with “at any point within the Zone”</p> <p>In all sections, replace “dBA LAeq” with “dB LAeq” here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>                                      |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 102   | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone   | 9.2.2.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 9.2.2.1 replace “at the Zone boundary or within the Zone” with “at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone”</p> <p>Replace “dBA LAeq” with “dB LAeq” here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>                    |       |          |                     |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>     | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 103          | Volume 2      | 9 Business 1 Zone  | 9.2.2.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 9.2.2.2. replace "at the boundary of, or within" with "at any point within"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |              |               |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 104          | Volume 2      | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.2.2.1.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 10.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |              |               |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 105          | Volume 2      | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.2.2.2.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 10.2.2.2 replace "at the boundary of, or within" with "at any point within"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |              |               |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 106          | Volume 2      | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.2.2.1.        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 11.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>        |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 107   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 12.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>        |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 108   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 12.2.2.2. replace "at the boundary with, " with "on another site within the Zone " and remove the comma after last occurrence of "within"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 109   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 12.2.2.3 replace “at the Zone boundary or within the Zone” with “at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone”</p> <p>Replace “dBA LAeq” with “dB LAeq” here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>    |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 110   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 12.2.2.4 replace “at or within” with “at any point within” and replace “within the notional” with, “at any point within the notional”</p> <p>Replace “dBA LAeq” with “dB LAeq” here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 111   | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone        | 17.2.2.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 17.2.2.1 replace “at the Zone boundary or within the Zone” with “at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone”</p> <p>Replace “dBA LAeq” with “dB LAeq” here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>    |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 112   | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone        | 17.2.2.2. | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>In all sections replace “dBA LAeq” with “dB LAeq” here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.<br/> Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 113   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.2.2.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>In 18.2.2.1 replace “at the Zone boundary or within the Zone” with “at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone”</p> <p>Replace “dBA LAeq” with “dB LAeq” here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.<br/> Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 114   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.2.2.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>In all sections, replace “dBA LAeq” with “dB LAeq” here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.<br/> Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                      |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 115   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone             | 19.2.2.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 19.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 116   | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone             | 20.2.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 20.2.1.1, replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 117   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                 | 21.2.2.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 22.2.2.2. replace "at the boundary ", with "on another site within the Zone" and remove the comma after last occurrence of "within".</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 118   | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.2.2.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 22.2.2.1. replace "at the Zone boundary ", with "outside the Zone"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>              |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 119   | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.2.2.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 22.2.2.2. replace "when measured at or", with "when assessed"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>                   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 120   | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone                  | 23.2.2.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 23.2.2.1 replace both occurrences of "at or within" with " at any point within"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 121   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.2.3.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>In 3.2.3.1,insert at the beginning, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,"</p> <p>Replace "at or within" and "within the" with "at any point within"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 122   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.2.3.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Add "in Rule 3.2.3.2 after "noise limits"</p> <p>Replace in 3.2.3.3 (a) and 5.2.2.1 "the New Zealand Fire Service" with "emergency services."</p> <p>Replace in (b) "recreational" with "primary industries."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>                        |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 123   | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.2.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Add "in Rule 3.2.3.2 after "noise limits"</p> <p>Replace in 3.2.3.3 (a) and 5.2.2.1 "the New Zealand Fire Service" with "emergency services."</p> <p>Replace in (b) "recreational" with "primary industries."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>                        |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 124   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.2.3.4.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Add sub-headings for two sub-clauses" Electrical generators" and "Wind turbines."<br/>           Replace 3.2.3.3 with<br/>           "3.2.3.3<br/>           (a) Electrical generators Noise emissions from any generator used for electricity generation must be operated so that noise emissions at any point within the notional boundary of any dwelling in any zone must not at any time exceed 55 dB LAeq(15 min) when measured and assessed in accordance with Rule 3.2.3.5.<br/>           (b) Wind turbines Wind turbine sound must be measured and assessed in accordance with NZS 6808:2010 Acoustics - Wind Farm Noise and the noise at any point within the notional boundary of any residential<br/>           Dwelling must not exceed 40 dB LA90(10min) or the background sound level LA90(10 min) plus 5dB, whichever is higher."<br/>           Consequentially add a new definition to the plan as submitted above in 0<br/>           "Wind turbine" a device used to extract kinetic energy from the wind for electrical generation and includes any wind farm.<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 125   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.2.3.5.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of clause 3.2.3.5. "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 126   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.2.3.6.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision..<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 127   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.2.4.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend (3.2.4) as follows:. AND ELSEWHERE IN THE PLAN in 4.2.3. and 8.2.3.<br/>           Amend section headings to "Noise sensitive activity and frost fans"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 128   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.3.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend (3.2.4) as follows:. AND ELSEWHERE IN THE PLAN in 4.2.3. and 8.2.3.<br/> Amend section headings to "Noise sensitive activity and frost fans"<br/> Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 129   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.2.3.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend (3.2.4) as follows:. AND ELSEWHERE IN THE PLAN in 4.2.3. and 8.2.3.<br/> Amend section headings to "Noise sensitive activity and frost fans"<br/> Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 130   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.2.4.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.<br/> Replace "ISO 717.1:2004" with "ISO 717.1:2013"<br/> Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 131   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.3.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.<br/> Replace "ISO 717.1:2004" with "ISO 717.1:2013"<br/> Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 132   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.2.3.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.<br/> Replace "ISO 717.1:2004" with "ISO 717.1:2013"<br/> Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 133   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.2.5.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Either define "acoustic insulation" as including "acoustic isolation" OR (preferred decision) in 3.2.5.1.and 3.2.5.2. 23.2.3.1. 23.2.3.2.replace all instances of "insulation to" with "isolation of" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"<br/>           In 3.2.6.1. , 3.2.6.2, 23.2.5.1 and 23.2.5.2.replace all instances of "insulation installed" with "isolation" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"<br/>           Here as elsewhere in the plan replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 134   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.6.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Either define "acoustic insulation" as including "acoustic isolation" OR (preferred decision) in 3.2.5.1.and 3.2.5.2. 23.2.3.1. 23.2.3.2.replace all instances of "insulation to" with "isolation of" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"<br/>           In 3.2.6.1. , 3.2.6.2, 23.2.5.1 and 23.2.5.2.replace all instances of "insulation installed" with "isolation" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"<br/>           Here as elsewhere in the plan replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 135   | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone          | 23.2.3.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Either define "acoustic insulation" as including "acoustic isolation" OR (preferred decision) in 3.2.5.1.and 3.2.5.2. 23.2.3.1. 23.2.3.2.replace all instances of "insulation to" with "isolation of" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"<br/>           In 3.2.6.1. , 3.2.6.2, 23.2.5.1 and 23.2.5.2.replace all instances of "insulation installed" with "isolation" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"<br/>           Here as elsewhere in the plan replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 136   | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone          | 23.2.3.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Either define "acoustic insulation" as including "acoustic isolation" OR (preferred decision) in 3.2.5.1.and 3.2.5.2. 23.2.3.1. 23.2.3.2.replace all instances of "insulation to" with "isolation of" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"</p> <p>In 3.2.6.1. , 3.2.6.2, 23.2.5.1 and 23.2.5.2.replace all instances of "insulation installed" with "isolation" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"</p> <p>Here as elsewhere in the plan replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 137   | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.2.5.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Either define "acoustic insulation" as including "acoustic isolation" OR (preferred decision) in 3.2.5.1.and 3.2.5.2. 23.2.3.1. 23.2.3.2.replace all instances of "insulation to" with "isolation of" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"</p> <p>In 3.2.6.1. , 3.2.6.2, 23.2.5.1 and 23.2.5.2.replace all instances of "insulation installed" with "isolation" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"</p> <p>Here as elsewhere in the plan replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 138   | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.2.5.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Either define "acoustic insulation" as including "acoustic isolation" OR (preferred decision) in 3.2.5.1.and 3.2.5.2. 23.2.3.1. 23.2.3.2.replace all instances of "insulation to" with "isolation of" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"</p> <p>In 3.2.6.1. , 3.2.6.2, 23.2.5.1 and 23.2.5.2.replace all instances of "insulation installed" with "isolation" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"</p> <p>Here as elsewhere in the plan replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 139          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.5.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Replace "measured at or within" with "at any point within"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 140          | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.5.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Replace "measured at or within" with "at any point within"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 141          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.4.1.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision..<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 142          | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.4.1.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision..<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 143          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.2.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision..<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 144          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.13.          | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Allow the provision..<br>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 145   | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone            | 23.5.3.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Allow the provision..<br>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 146   | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone            | 23.5.4.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Allow the provision..<br>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 147   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.2.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br>In 4.2.2.1. and 16.2.3.1 insert at the beginning, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,"<br>In 4.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at point beyond the Zone"<br>In 16.2.3.1. replace "measured at the boundary of, or within" with "assessed at any point outside the Zone, or on another site within the Zone"<br>In 4.2.2.1, .2 and .4 replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.<br>Add additional sub-clause " Except as provided in Rule 3.2.3.2"<br>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan. |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 148   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.2.3.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 4.2.2.1. and 16.2.3.1 insert at the beginning, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,"</p> <p>In 4.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at point beyond the Zone"</p> <p>In 16.2.3.1. replace "measured at the boundary of, or within" with "assessed at any point outside the Zone, or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>In 4.2.2.1, .2 and .4 replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Add additional sub-clause " Except as provided in Rule 3.2.3.2"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 149   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.2.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 4.2.2.2. insert at the beginning, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,"</p> <p>Replace "at or within" and "within the" with "at any point within"</p> <p>In 4.2.2.2 replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 150   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.2.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Replace in (b) "recreational" with "primary industries"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 151   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.2.4.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Add sub-headings for two sub-clauses" Electrical generators" and "Wind turbines."<br/>           Replace 3.2.3.3 with<br/>           "3.2.3.3<br/>           (a) Electrical generators Noise emissions from any generator used for electricity generation must be operated so that noise emissions at any point within the notional boundary of any dwelling in any zone must not at any time exceed 55 dB LAeq(15 min) when measured and assessed in accordance with Rule 3.2.3.5.<br/>           (b) Wind turbines Wind turbine sound must be measured and assessed in accordance with NZS 6808:2010 Acoustics - Wind Farm Noise and the noise at any point within the notional boundary of any residential<br/>           Dwelling must not exceed 40 dB LA90(10min) or the background sound level LA90(10 min) plus 5dB, whichever is higher."<br/>           Consequentially add a new definition to the plan as submitted above in 0<br/>           "Wind turbine" a device used to extract kinetic energy from the wind for electrical generation and includes any wind farm.<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 152   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.2.2.5.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of clause 3.2.3.5. "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 153   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.2.2.6.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision..<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 154   | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.2.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 155   | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.2.3.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 156   | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone | 6.2.2.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 157   | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone | 6.2.2.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 158   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.2.2.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 159   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.2.2.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 160   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.2.2.2.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 161   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.2.2.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 162   | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone   | 9.2.2.4.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 163   | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone   | 9.2.2.5.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 164   | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone  | 10.2.2.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 165   | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone  | 10.2.2.5. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 166   | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone          | 11.2.2.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 167   | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone          | 11.2.2.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 168   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 169   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 170   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                | 13.2.3.5. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 171   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.2.3.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 172   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.2.3.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 173   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.2.3.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 174   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.2.3.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 175   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone    | 16.2.3.3. | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 176   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2.3.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 177   | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone   | 17.2.2.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 178   | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone   | 17.2.2.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 179   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone   | 18.2.2.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 180   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone   | 18.2.2.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 181   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.2.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 182   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.2.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 183   | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.2.1.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 184   | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.2.1.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 185   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone     | 21.2.2.4. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 186   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                 | 21.2.2.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 187   | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.2.2.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 188   | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.2.2.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 189   | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone                  | 23.2.2.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 190   | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone                  | 23.2.2.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 191   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           Delete "Exception" and substitute "Note"<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 192   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                | 13.2.3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 13.2.3.1 and 13.2.3.2 replace in both instances "when measured at the boundary of, or within," with "from", and replace "at, or beyond," with "at any point beyond"<br/>           In 13.2.3.4. replace "NZS 6802:2008 Acoustics – Environmental Noise" with . NZS 6809:1999 Acoustics Port noise management and land use planning provided references therein to NZS6801:1999 shall be taken as references to NZS 6801:2008."<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 193   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                | 13.2.3.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 13.2.3.1 and 13.2.3.2 replace in both instances "when measured at the boundary of, or within," with "from", and replace "at, or beyond," with "at any point beyond"<br/>           In 13.2.3.4. replace "NZS 6802:2008 Acoustics – Environmental Noise" with . NZS 6809:1999 Acoustics Port noise management and land use planning provided references therein to NZS6801:1999 shall be taken as references to NZS 6801:2008."<br/>           Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 194   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                | 13.2.3.4. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 13.2.3.1 and 13.2.3.2 replace in both instances “when measured at the boundary of, or within,” with “from”, and replace “at, or beyond,” with “at any point beyond”</p> <p>In 13.2.3.4. replace “NZS 6802:2008 Acoustics – Environmental Noise” with . NZS 6809:1999 Acoustics Port noise management and land use planning provided references therein to NZS6801:1999 shall be taken as references to NZS 6801:2008.”</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 195   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone    | 13.2.4.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Replace “adequately insulated” with “adequately acoustically isolated”</p> <p>In 13.2.4.2.replace “insulation “ with “acoustic isolation”</p> <p>In 16.2.3.1. replace “or within” with “at any point outside”</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 196   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone    | 13.2.4.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Replace “adequately insulated” with “adequately acoustically isolated”</p> <p>In 13.2.4.2.replace “insulation “ with “acoustic isolation”</p> <p>In 16.2.3.1. replace “or within” with “at any point outside”</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 197   | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.3.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In sub-clause (a) replace in “Measured at” with “assessed at any point within”</p> <p>In sub-clause (d) replace “noise levels at” with “noise limits at any point within”</p> <p>Replace “noise levels with “noise limits”</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 198   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision  | 24.3.1.7. | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision                  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |                |                            |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 199   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.4.1.13.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 24.4.1.13. Replace "(a)" with "Adequate acoustical isolation of dwellings from noise sources.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |       |          |                |                            |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 200   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps   | Noise Control Boundaries 1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision..</p> <p>Noting thickness of lines may cause uncertainty and care should be taken in the representation of the lines to follow cadastral boundaries on smaller lot sizes where possible.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                |                            |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 201   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps   | Noise Control Boundaries 2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision..</p> <p>Noting thickness of lines may cause uncertainty and care should be taken in the representation of the lines to follow cadastral boundaries on smaller lot sizes where possible.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |                |                            |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 202   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps   | Noise Control Boundaries 3 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision..</p> <p>Noting thickness of lines may cause uncertainty and care should be taken in the representation of the lines to follow cadastral boundaries on smaller lot sizes where possible.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |   |                            |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 203   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Noise Control Boundaries 4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision..</p> <p>Noting thickness of lines may cause uncertainty and care should be taken in the representation of the lines to follow cadastral boundaries on smaller lot sizes where possible.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |   |                            |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 204   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Noise Control Boundaries 5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision.</p> <p>Noting thickness of lines may cause uncertainty and care should be taken in the representation of the lines to follow cadastral boundaries on smaller lot sizes where possible.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>  |       |          |   |                            |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board   | 205   | Volume 3 | Appendix 16 Specifically Identified Activites/Areas | 1.2.5.1                    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>In 1.2.5.1 and 1.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 insert at the beginning of each sub-clause , "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,</p> <p>In 1.2.5.11.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 replace "at the scheduled site boundary with "at any point outside the scheduled site boundary the Zone" and delete "or within the scheduled site"</p> <p>In both sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |   |                            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 206   | Volume 3 | Appendix 16 Specifically Identified Activites/Areas | 1.2.5.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 1.2.5.1 and 1.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 insert at the beginning of each sub-clause , "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,</p> <p>In 1.2.5.11.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 replace "at the scheduled site boundary with "at any point outside the scheduled site boundary the Zone" and delete "or within the scheduled site"</p> <p>In both sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 207   | Volume 3 | Appendix 16 Specifically Identified Activites/Areas | 1.2.5.3   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 1.2.5.1 and 1.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 insert at the beginning of each sub-clause , "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,</p> <p>In 1.2.5.11.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 replace "at the scheduled site boundary with "at any point outside the scheduled site boundary the Zone" and delete "or within the scheduled site" In both sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>        |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 208   | Volume 3 | Appendix 16 Specifically Identified Activites/Areas | 1.2.5.4   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 1.2.5.1 and 1.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 insert at the beginning of each sub-clause , "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,</p> <p>In 1.2.5.11.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 replace "at the scheduled site boundary with "at any point outside the scheduled site boundary the Zone" and delete "or within the scheduled site"</p> <p>In both sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 280                | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 209   | Volume 3 | Appendix 16 Specifically Identified Activites/Areas | 3.2.5.1   | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 1.2.5.1 and 1.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 insert at the beginning of each sub-clause , "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,<br/>           In 1.2.5.11.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 replace "at the scheduled site boundary with "at any point outside the scheduled site boundary the Zone" and delete "or within the scheduled site"<br/>           In both sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 210   | Volume 3 | Appendix 16 Specifically Identified Activites/Areas | 3.2.5.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In 1.2.5.1 and 1.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 insert at the beginning of each sub-clause , "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,<br/>           In 1.2.5.11.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 replace "at the scheduled site boundary with "at any point outside the scheduled site boundary the Zone" and delete "or within the scheduled site"<br/>           In both sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 211   | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land             |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:<br/>           In all sections, replace "Leq (number in decibels) dBA" with "(number in decibels) dB LAeq" where number in decibels is the numerical value of the noise limits stated in each section<br/>           After the heading "Conditions" on page 14-16, and before "Rahotia Microwave ..etc"<br/>           add a new clause as follows<br/>           "In the conditions listed below noise must be measured in accordance with NZS 6801:2008 Acoustics – Measurement of Environmental Sound, and assessed in accordance with NZS 6802:2008 Acoustics – Environmental Noise.<br/>           In all sections delete "at a notional boundary 20m from the façade of any dwelling, or the site boundary, whichever is the closer to the dwelling:" with "at any point within the notional dwelling of a dwelling outside the scheduled area"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p> |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 212   | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone                                  | 11.2.2.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | In 11.2.2.2 replace "at the boundary of, or within" with "at any point within".   |       |          |                |           |                 |
|                    | Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
|                    | Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>280</b>         | Nelson Marlborough District Health Board  | 213   | Volume 3 | All            |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: AND CONSEQUENTIALLY AMEND THROUGHOUT VOLUMES 2 AND 3 OF THE PLAN<br>Replace in all rules occurrences of the term "dBA LAeq" with "(dB LAeq)"  |       |          |                |           |                 |
|                    | Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 1     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition to enable planting for the purposes of environmental enhancement to occur.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 2     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amalgamate the definitions to allow for excavation and filling of land as a single definition.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 3     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amalgamate the definitions to allow for excavation and filling of land as a single definition.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 4     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition with amendment to include all cattle on low-land farms (excluding high country farmed cattle) not just cattle on irrigated land or contained for break-feeding of winter feed crops in recognition that all cattle farmed on lowland areas have the same impacts, particularly when entering onto or passing across the bed of a river. |       |          |                |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 5            | Volume 2      | 25 Definitions | 25.              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to amend the definition of intensive farming to remove (e) land based aquaculture from the definition and to specifically exclude the fish farm operated by Ormond Aquaculture Ltd on Keith Coleman Lane as effects from land based aquaculture activities are most appropriately addressed through discharge to water consents.   |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 6            | Volume 2      | 25 Definitions | 25.              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of Passive Recreation to include outdoor recreation, and to better reflect the nature of these activities that require minimal facilities or development and as a result, have negligible impact on the surrounding environment.   |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 7            | Volume 2      | 25 Definitions | 25.              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of wetland to remove the wording "but this does not include these areas where they are entirely man made" and amend definition to ensure that improved pasture/crop areas are considered as wetlands.  |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 8            | Volume 2      | 25 Definitions | 25.              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to ensure that all remaining wetlands in the Marlborough Region be identified as significant wetlands given their global rarity and to recognise the diverse, complex and productive nature of these ecosystems.<br>In particular, Fish and Game also seek to ensure that Lake Elterwater is recognised as a significant wetland due to its local significance as game bird and waterfowl habitat. |              |               |                |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 9            | Volume 1      | 1 Introduction | 1.               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Sections 6 and 7 should be either removed altogether or quoted in full.   |              |               |                |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 10    | Volume 1 | 2 Background                              | How to use the MEP | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>This section of the Plan needs to be amended to better reflect the full range of policy settings and stances available under the RMA, from protection through to enabling. The RMA is not only about enabling. While Section 5 of the RMA enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being this enabling is undertaken in the context of sustaining the potential of natural and physical resources, safeguarding the life-supporting capacity of air, water, soil and ecosystems and avoiding, remedying or mitigating any adverse effects on the environment. For freshwater matters, an environmental bottom line approach applies, in order to give effect to the National Policy Statement on Freshwater Management 2014</p> <p>Clarification should be made that the "Sections 12, 13, 14 and 15" referred to are in fact sections of the Resource Management Act and not sections of the PMEP.</p> |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 11    | Volume 1 | 2 Background                              | How to use the MEP | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the description of what it means to "avoid" adverse effects to reflect the meaning of "not allow" or "prevent the occurrence of" clarified through the King Salmon Supreme Court decision.</p> <p>Consequently, those policies using the term 'avoid' should be amended and interpreted to reflect this same meaning and not remediation or mitigation as suggested in the existing explanation.</p>  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 12    | Volume 1 | 2 Background                              | How to use the MEP | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the description of what it means to "protect" to reflect the meaning that the adverse effects to be avoided, and what is inappropriate should be assessed with reference to what is being 'protected' clarified through the King Salmon Supreme Court decision. Consequently, those policies using the term 'protect' should be amended and interpreted to reflect this same meaning and not in the "number of ways" as the Plan suggests.</p>  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 13    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Clarify the relationship between water resource availability and the allocation limits set to ensure that limits set are actually within a realistic standard and align with the requirements of the draft National Environmental Standard on Flow Setting (2008). Introduce new objectives, policies, and rules to underpin freshwater management, environmental flow and level setting, and surface and groundwater allocation in the Marlborough Region.</p>   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 14    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Issue 4A           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the issue to reflect the importance of the "sustainable management" of "natural and physical resources".</p>  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 15    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Objective 4.1      | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove the objective as currently worded and replace it with something that provides clear guidance on how success of the primary production and tourism sectors will be measured.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 16    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the policy in its entirety.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 17    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to amend the policy to better reflect the intent of the explanation or include an additional separate policy as currently there is disconnect between the policy and its explanation.<br>Fish and Game also seek that the wording of the policy be updated to refer to both natural and physical resources |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 18    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendment to ensure that both natural and physical resources are maintained or enhanced.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 19    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 20    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 21    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 22    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |   |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 23           | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.3     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 24           | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.4     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 25           | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.5     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 26           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | 5.               | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend the introduction to better reflect Objective B1 of the NPSFM and the protection of the habitat of trout and salmon and safeguarding the life-supporting capacity of water and ecosystems as required in section 5 of the RMA. |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 27           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.1    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 28           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.1.1     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game support the policy in its direction to establish freshwater management units but seeks amendment to provide greater clarity of the relationship between freshwater management units and water resource units.         |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 29           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.1.2     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 30           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Issue 5B         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 31           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.2    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 32    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments that clarify the natural and human use values of freshwater management units and the relationship between freshwater management units and water resource units.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 33    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 34    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments that ensure that take, use, damming or diversion of water is prohibited from all waterbodies identified as having at least high natural character.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 35    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the policy with amendments that apply a consistent approach to the setting of environmental flows/levels which takes into account the values of the particular waterbodies as well as the desire to protect the specific attributes identified in Policy 5.2.4.</p> <p>Amend Policy 5.2.4 as follows (additions underlined):</p> <p>(b) protect or enhance instream habitat and ecology, <u>including the habitat of trout and salmon</u></p> <p>(c) maintain <u>or enhance</u> fish passage and fish spawning grounds;</p> <p>(e) maintain water quality <u>and enhance it where this has been degraded</u>;</p> <p>(g) maintain <u>or enhance the following values</u>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amenity values</li> </ul> <p><u>Recreational values</u></p> <p><u>Riparian vegetation</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Public access to and along the margins of waterways</u></li> </ul> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 36    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments that amend Policy 5.2.5 to replace the use of the term prevent with avoid.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 37    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.6 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek that 24-hour averaging is replaced with "on an instantaneous basis by way of a hydrological model."<br>The use of 24-hour daily flow averaging to assess when irrigation restrictions are triggered is problematic due to fluctuations in flow, sometimes large, over a 24 hour period, due to natural variance, abstraction and/or hydro generation. This is particularly problematic during periods of low flow and when large volumes of water have been allocated for abstraction. Using a 24 hour average flow can enable abstractive use to manipulate flows substantially below the minimum for significant periods of time.<br>An instantaneous minimum flow can be implemented as a synthetic flow at particular points on the river through the adoption of a hydrological model that filters out the effect of fluctuating inputs into the main stem Wairau from the Branch River hydro scheme, taking into account transit time, inputs from higher catchment recorders, and the existing recorder. The rules for this model should be written into the MEP by way of an Appendix, to ensure clarity, transparency, and consistency for all users. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 38    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 39    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.8  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the policy and replace it with a policy that ensures that limits cannot be changed without a plan change through the First Schedule process.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 40    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Having regard to adverse effects does not provide any protection or mitigation to waterbodies from the instantaneous rate of take. The policy does not provide sufficient direction for decision makers. It also potentially sets up two classes of river, permanently flowing rivers and those that are ephemeral, and creates the risk of a more lax environmental management regime for those rivers.<br>Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that avoid adverse effects on any waterbody from an instantaneous rate of take.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 41    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The policy takes into account the connectivity of waterbodies and the contribution that intermittently flowing rivers make to hydrology in other waterbodies. However, the policy requires amendment to ensure that the values of the intermittently flowing rivers are also recognised and protected. Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that ensure that the values of the intermittently flowing rivers are recognised and protected.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 42    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.11 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 43    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.13 | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek that the intent of this policy be more clearly outlined to clearly explain how the limit will be set to maintain biodiversity and the values identified for the FMU. The policy needs to be amended in a manner that splits the policy to deal with the setting of limits and the avoidance of over allocation.                                   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 44    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.14 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed, or where studies indicate a higher or lower (than that proposed in the NES) percentage allocation is necessary to preserve values, this should instead be adopted.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 45    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.15 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments that provide clarity on the situations where it is "identified as necessary" that the flow variability of rivers be protected. Where flow sharing is identified as appropriate, the plan needs to signal how this will be implemented.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 46    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.16 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Greater specificity is required in the policy about how the takes will be proportionately reduced. Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that specify the hydrological parameters that govern them, how takes will be proportionately reduced, and that the policy be applied to both permitted takes and those granted through resource consents. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 47    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.17 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 48    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to include a new policy which states that the measurement of the flow or level of a Freshwater Management Unit is undertaken at the monitoring site specified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 6   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 49    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.18 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to amend the policy to make it clear how the adverse effects from the diversion of water are to be addressed through the resource consent process and to ensure that the requirements of the RMA are met to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the environment.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 50           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.19    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that ensure it sets out the how diversions will be managed to meet the objective, meets the requirements of an effective policy and not as matters of discretion.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 51           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.20    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 52           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.21    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments that remove the wording "have regard to the matters in (a) to (c) when considering any resource consent application to continue damming water" as this wording is unnecessary in the policy.<br>Fish and Game also seek that the maintenance of water quality downstream of the dam is specifically considered by decision makers and that this be included in the policy. |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 53           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.22    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that ensure it clearly states how the objective is going to be met, meets the requirements of an effective policy and not as matters of discretion.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 54           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.23    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 55           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.24    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 56           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.25    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that remove the "where necessary" at the beginning and provide greater certainty to decision makers and plan users when a review of the conditions of water permits will be carried out.   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 57           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to amend the Objective to refer to the sustainable management of freshwater resources and ensure that access to freshwater is only enabled where the FMU is sustainably managed to align with the purpose of the RMA.      |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 58    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to amend the policy to clearly explain the natural and human use values relating to Freshwater Management Units in Appendix 6 and the relationship between these values and the Freshwater Management Units in Appendix 6. |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 59    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 60    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the policy in its entirety due to the provisions for water allocation over and above those allocations provided for in Schedule 1 of the Plan.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 61    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to remove the policy in its entirety as municipal water takes, as with all other water takes, need to be managed within sustainable limits.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 62    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the policy in its entirety due to the provision in the policy to enable the take and use of water without appropriate consideration of cumulative effects.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 63    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 64    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 65    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.9 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to remove the policy in its entirety and replace it with a policy that ensures both instantaneous take and specific allocation amounts are considered in achieving policies relating to maximum instantaneous rate of takes being a percentage of flow, as well as protection for the ecological values of waterbodies.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 66    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to remove the policy in its entirety and replace it with a policy that ensures both instantaneous take and specific allocation amounts are considered in achieving policies relating to maximum instantaneous rate of takes being a percentage of flow, as well as protection for the ecological values of waterbodies.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 67    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that reword the policy to remove "have regard to" and provide greater direction to plan users and decision makers and ensure it meets the principles of sound policy drafting.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 68    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to remove the policy and replace it with one that implements shorter duration water permits and catchment expiry dates to take into account the cumulative impacts of water take. Alternatively, ensure that all water permits contain a review clause under Section 128 of the RMA which enables the Council to review consents, on a catchment or regional basis at a common date in the future.<br>To ensure cumulative adverse effects can be addressed, common catchment expiry and review conditions are needed for each catchment to allow consents to be reviewed. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 69    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to add a new policy that implements common catchment expiry and review conditions for each catchment to ensure consents can be reviewed and cumulative adverse effects appropriately managed.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 70    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 71    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that reword the policy to remove "have regard to" to provide greater direction to plan users and decision makers.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 72    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5D      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to retain the issue with amendments that ensure that it reflects the environmental effects of over-allocation of water  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 73    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to retain the objective with amendments to ensure that it refers specifically to use of water within the limits set.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 74    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 75    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to ensure that any water taken is used for the use authorised and not wasted.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 76    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 77    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to remove this policy until such time as the Council has sufficient information on which to make informed decision on water allocation and robust techniques in place to accurately monitor actual water takes. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 78    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to remove this Policy until such time as the Council has sufficient information on which to make informed decision on water allocation and robust techniques in place to accurately monitor actual water takes. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 79           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.6     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments to ensure that the community are provided with information on the daily water use of all water resources and not only those that are fully allocated.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 80           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5E         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 81           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.5    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments that provide a specific timeframe for eliminating the over allocation of water and that over allocation is phased out by 2030.   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 82           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.1     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 83           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.2     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 84           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.3     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments that provide stronger direction around the diversion of water and to ensure that no new permits for the diversion of water will be granted in over-allocated water resources similar to the wording of policy 5.5.2..  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 85           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.4     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments that clarify the policy applies to water takes with consents prior to 9 June 2016 and that reference is made to the reasonable use policy sought by Fish and Game.<br>The policy also needs to be amended to reflect the total water allocated from the catchment by 2030. |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 86           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.5     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments that ensure that the total of all water allocated does not exceed the limit set by 2030.                   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 87    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5F      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 88    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments that ensure that it reflects that the taking of groundwater does not cause limits to be breached.          |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 89    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.6.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy but amend the titles of tables in Appendix 5 to assist plan users in identifying surface water and aquifer dominated FMUs. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 90    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.6.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 91    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5G      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 92    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 93    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 94    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Replace the policy with a more thorough policy, one reasonable to achieve the same intent as Policy 5-12 of the One Plan .</p> <p>The amount of water taken by resource users must be reasonable and justifiable for the intended use. In addition, the following specific measures for ensuring reasonable and justifiable use of water must be taken into account when considering consent applications to take water for irrigation, public water supply, animal drinking water, dairy shed wash down or industrial use, and during reviews of consent conditions for these activities.</p> <p>(a) For irrigation, resource consent applications must be required to meet a reasonable use test in relation to the maximum daily rate of abstraction, the irrigation return period and the seasonal or annual volume of the proposed take. When making decisions on the reasonableness of the rate and volume of take sought, the Regional Council must:</p> <p>(i) consider land use, crop water use requirements, on-site physical factors such as soil water-holding capacity, and climatic factors such as rainfall variability and potential evapo-transpiration lower application efficiency), or on the basis of a higher efficiency where an application is for an irrigation system with a higher efficiency</p> <p>(iii) link actual irrigation use to soil moisture measurements or daily soil moisture budgets in consent conditions.</p> <p>(b) For domestic use, animal drinking water and dairy shed wash down water, reasonable needs must be calculated as:</p> <p>(i) up to 300 litres per person per day for domestic needs</p> <p>(ii) up to 70 litres per animal per day for drinking water</p> <p>(iii) up to 70 litres per animal per day for dairy shed wash down.</p> <p>(c) For industrial uses, water allocation must be calculated where possible in accordance with best management practices for water efficiency for that particular industry.</p> <p>(d) For public water supplies, the following must generally be considered to be reasonable:</p> <p>(i) an allocation of 300 litres per person per day for domestic needs, plus</p> <p>(ii) an allocation for commercial use equal to 20% of the total allocation for domestic needs, plus</p> <p>(iii) an allocation for industrial use calculated, where possible, in accordance with best management practices for water efficiency for that particular industry, plus</p> <p>(iv) an allocation necessary for hospitals, other facilities providing medical treatment, marae, schools or other education facilities, New Zealand Defence Force facilities or correction facilities, plus</p> <p>(v) an allocation necessary for public amenity and recreational facilities such as gardens, parks, sports fields and swimming pools, plus</p> <p>(vi) an allocation necessary to cater for the reasonable needs of animals or agricultural uses that are supplied by the public water supply system, plus</p> <p>(vii) an allocation necessary to cater for growth, where urban growth of the municipality is provided for in an operative district plan for the area and is reasonably forecast, plus (viii) an allocation for leakage equal to 15% of the total of (i) to (vii) above.</p> <p>(e) When making decisions on consent applications where the existing allocation for a public water supply exceeds the allocation determined in accordance with (d)(i) to (d)(vi) above:</p> <p>(i) consideration must be given to imposing a timeframe within which it is reasonably practicable for the existing allocation to be reduced to the determined amount, or</p> <p>(ii) if (i) is not imposed, an alternative allocation must be determined based on the particular social and economic circumstances of the community serviced by the public water supply and the actual and potential effects of the abstraction on the relevant Schedule B Values for the reach of river or its bed affected by the take.</p> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 95    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3 | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments that remove the words "except where the applicant can demonstrate that they require more water based on property specific information"   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 96    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments that introduce a minimum efficiency standard for irrigation applications of at least 80% efficiency.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 97    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 98    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5H      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 99    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 100   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 101   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments that ensure that the appropriate timing of takes for storage is reflected in the policy including the waterbody being above median flow, and that the take is no more than 20% of the flow at that time and that the take does not cause a lowering of or below median flow. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 102   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that the take of water is not beyond the limits set.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 103          | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.4     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that the take of water is not beyond the limits set.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 104          | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.5     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to provide greater clarity around the Council's desired method for accounting for water storage.   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 105          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character              | Issue 6A         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the issue with amendments to recognise the natural character of wetlands together with the natural character of the coastal environment, lakes, rivers and their margins.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 106          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character              | Objective 6.1    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the objective with amendments to recognise the natural character of wetlands together with the natural character of the coastal environment, lakes, rivers and their margins.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 107          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.1     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (e) to include ecological, biological, and morphological processes and patterns   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 108          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.5     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to ensure that natural character is determined by firstly identification of the elements, patterns and processes that exist to contribute to natural character in wetlands, lakes and rivers and then establish the degree to which these have been modified by human activity.                            |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 109          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.6     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that wetlands with high and very high natural character are also identified.<br>Include an additional policy in the plan to recognise the natural character of wetlands, lakes and rivers and their margins that have natural character values considered to be less than high. |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>      | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 110          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.2    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the objective with amendments to recognise the natural character of wetlands together with the natural character of the coastal environment, lakes, rivers and their margins.  |              |               |                     |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 111          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.1     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to avoid the adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on natural character of wetlands, lakes, rivers and their margins.  |              |               |                     |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 112          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to give effect to Section 6(a) of the Act to ensure that the natural character of all wetlands, lakes rivers and their margins be preserved and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. |              |               |                     |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 113          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.4     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to give effect to Section 6(a) of the Act to ensure that the natural character of all wetlands, lakes rivers and their margins be preserved and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. |              |               |                     |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 114          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to give effect to Section 6(a) of the Act to ensure that the natural character of all wetlands, lakes rivers and their margins be preserved and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. |              |               |                     |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 115          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.6     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to include restoration together with enhancement of natural character.   |              |               |                     |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 116          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.7     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |              |               |                     |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 117          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.8     | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 118   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character       | Policy 6.2.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to include enhancement, together with restoration.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 119   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Chapter 8 – Indigenous Biodiversity to recognise the different responsibilities of the Council under Section 6(c) and 30(1)(ga) of the Resource Management Act.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 120   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Issue 8A      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 121   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 122   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 123   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments that remove the reference to “significant indigenous biodiversity value” and refer instead to “significant biodiversity value including indigenous biodiversity” and to recognise that all wetlands have significant biodiversity value and to remove “(g) sustainability” and provide clearer guidance on what the criteria are and how they will be applied such as those identified in Table F2(a) of the Horizons One Plan. |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 124   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Plan to identify all wetland areas as significant.<br>Policy 8.1.2 – Sites in the coastal marine area and natural wetlands assessed as having significant biodiversity, including indigenous biodiversity, value will be specifically identified in the Marlborough Environment Plan.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 125          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.2     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the policy in its entirety  |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 126          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.3     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to provide clarity around how protection, maintenance and restoration will be achieved.   |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 127          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.4     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy 8.2.4 – Priority will be given to the re-establishment of biodiversity, including indigenous biodiversity, in Marlborough’s lowland environments.   |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 128          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.6     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 129          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments that remove the reference to “indigenous biodiversity” and refer instead to “biodiversity value including indigenous biodiversity” to ensure that biodiversity value of all freshwater species is recognised.            |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 130          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.11    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 8.2.11 with amendments to remove reference to “indigenous vegetation” and instead refer to “vegetation, including indigenous vegetation” to recognise the role of all vegetation in the promotion of vegetation corridors along waterbodies. |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 131          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.4     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 8.3.4 – Improve the management of drainage channel maintenance activities to mitigate the adverse effects from these activities on the habitats of freshwater species, including indigenous freshwater species                                |              |               |                           |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 132          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy that ensures the protection of significant areas.  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 133   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | Policy 8.3.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seeks amendments to the policy to ensure: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the policy suggested above to protect significant areas is directly cross referenced; and</li> <li>• That the policy provides a stronger hierarchy whereby significant adverse effects are avoided altogether and that mitigate and remediation is only considered where avoidance of other effects is not possible.</li> </ul> |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 134   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | Policy 8.3.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 135   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | Policy 8.3.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments that ensure the policy aligns with the principles for biodiversity offsetting outlined by the Business and Biodiversity Offsets Programme.  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 136   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Issue 9A      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 137   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Objective 9.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 138   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 139   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                                |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                 | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 140          | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.4     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |              |               |                                |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 141          | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.6     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |              |               |                                |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 142          | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.9     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy 9.1.9 – Enhance public access through:<br>(a) development of appropriately designed networks for cycling and walking in both rural and urban areas; and<br>(b) facilitating public access and recreational use of Marlborough District Council owned or administered land.<br>c) Consult with stakeholders on proposals for the development of such networks. |              |               |                                |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 143          | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.10    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed.  |              |               |                                |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 144          | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.11    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments to (b) to include “rivers used for angling” after coastal marine area or wording to similar effect.  |              |               |                                |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 145          | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.13    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek that the policy be amended to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• there is no reduction in public access to rivers unless this is unavoidable</li> <li>• the criteria are amended to reflect its application to areas adjacent to rivers and not just to the riverbed</li> </ul>  |              |               |                                |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 146          | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.14    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that where existing public access is to be lost, that alternative access must be provided.   |              |               |                                |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 147          | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space  | Policy 9.1.15    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 148          | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space  | Policy 9.1.16    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove policy as it does not relate to resource management or the RMA.   |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 149          | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space  | Policy 9.1.17    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove policy as it does relate to resource management or the RMA.   |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 150          | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space  | Objective 9.2    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the objective to ensure it provides clear direction that public access to the coast and lakes and rivers is maintained   |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 151          | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space  | Policy 9.2.1     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendment to remove "(g) in other exceptional circumstances sufficient to justify the restriction, notwithstanding the national importance of maintaining that access." |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 152          | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space  | Policy 9.2.2     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 153          | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 154          | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.4    | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to give effect to s.7(h) of the RMA, to include reference to all human and natural values of waterbodies and to ensure that implementation considers all types of rules, not just permitted activities.<br>Policy 14.1.4 – Manage primary production activities to ensure they are carried out sustainably through the implementation of policies and methods (including rules establishing standards for permitted activities) to address potential adverse effects on:<br>(a) the life supporting capacity of soils, water, air and ecosystems;<br>(b) natural character of rivers, wetlands and lakes;<br>(c) water quality and water availability;<br>(d) areas with landscape significance;<br>(e) areas with significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna;<br>(e1) the habitat of trout and salmon;<br>(f) the values of the coastal environment as set out in Issue 13A of Chapter 13 - Use of the Coastal Environment; or<br>(g) the safe and efficient operation of the land transport network and Marlborough's airports. |       |          |  |           |         |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 155   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek that the plan manage freshwater to achieve the water quality standards in Appendix 5, Schedule 2 for all Freshwater Management Units and incorporating the relevant changes to Schedule 2 set out in the submission below.  |       |          |  |           |         |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 156   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek that the Plan identify all the waterbodies that do not achieve the water quality standards contained in Appendix 5, Schedule 2 and seek to improve the water quality within these waterbodies to achieve the water quality standards in Schedule 2 by 2030.   |       |          |  |           |         |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 157   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek objectives and policies in the plan that manage land use and discharges in waterbodies identified as not meeting the water quality standards in Appendix 5, Schedule 2 to ensure water quality standards are achieved in all freshwater bodies by 2030.   |       |          |  |           |         |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 158   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek rules in the plan that manage land use and discharges in waterbodies identified as not meeting the water quality standards in Appendix 5, Schedule 2 to ensure water quality standards are achieved in all freshwater bodies by 2030.   |       |          |  |           |         |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 159   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 160   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15B       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 161   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15B       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Table 15.1 with amendment to include the Para Wetland.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 162   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15B       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 163   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15C       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 164   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to ensure that all coastal and fresh water quality is maintained, and where necessary restored and enhanced to enable primary contact recreation, fishing and the intrinsic values of ecosystems.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 165   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1b | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed where relief sought for Objective 15.1b is granted while ensuring that this is achieved by 2030  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 166   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new objective to ensure that the maintenance or enhancement of freshwater quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual average concentration of dissolved reactive phosphorus must be <0.015mg/l when the river flow is at or below the 20th flow exceedance percentile and ensure that this is achieved by 2030 |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 167   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.             | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new objective to ensure that the maintenance or enhancement of freshwater quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the maximum cover of visible river bed by deposited fine sediment concentration is less than 20% and ensure that this is achieved by 2030 |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 168   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reinstate Objective 5.1.10 from the Operative Regional Policy Statement or an objective with wording to similar effect that ensures the integrity of freshwater habitats and natural species diversity are maintained or enhanced.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 169   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1c | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed where relief sought for Objective 15.1b is granted while ensuring that this is achieved by 2030   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 170   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1d | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendment to ensure it applies to each waterbody and not to Freshwater Management Units and ensure that this is achieved by 2030  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 171   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1e | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments to replace the <540 per 100ml to <260 per 100ml to ensure all waterbodies are swimmable and ensure that this is achieved by 2030.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 172   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments to remove the reference to "potential for contact recreation" and refer simply to contact recreation. Amend (d) to refer to "wetland ecosystems" rather than "aquatic ecosystems" to reflect the diverse nature of wetlands.               |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 173   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clarify the relationship between Water Resource Units and Freshwater Management Units so that it is clear how the two data sets relate to each other.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 174   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.3   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments to reflect that water quality standards are set as in-stream limits and these limits are to be achieved, through the implementation of rules by 2030. |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 175   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that the objectives are achieved within the stated 10-year timeframe for Murphys Creek and within a 5-year timeframe for Mill Creek.        |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 176   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that the objectives are achieved within the stated 10-year timeframe and within a 5-year timeframe for Kaituna River.                       |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 177   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that the objectives are achieved within a 5-year timeframe.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 178   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that the objectives are achieved within the stated timeframes.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 179   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to accurately reflect the requirements of s.70 of the RMA to ensure that the discharges do not result in the emission of objectionable odour.                          |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 180   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that only the best practicable option is adopted.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 181   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.11 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments to reinforce the need for water discharges for contaminants to achieve freshwater objective and standards in Appendix 6.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 182   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.12 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments that ensure that discharge permits for contaminants are only granted where the criteria stated in the policy are met.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 183   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.14 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 184   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.15 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendment to ensure that stormwater discharges are not excluded.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 185   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments to reflect that is applies only to existing discharges seeking new consent and that they are required to comply with Policy 15.1.15 for improvement over time to meet standards. The policy needs to reflect that no new consents will be granted and that consents will not be granted where no improvements are being made. |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 186   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.17 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that all existing discharge permits are reviewed where conditions requiring monitoring are not already included.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 187   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.18 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 188   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.21 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove this policy in its entirety.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 189   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.22 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove the policy in its entirety   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 190   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments to ensure all intensively farmed livestock access to rivers, lakes and wetlands is avoided  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 191   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.27 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 192   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.28 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the policy in its entirety   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 193   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.29 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (a) to include the avoidance or remediation of the effects of increased sediment runoff to fresh waterbodies.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 194   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.30 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments that ensure the policies applies to all land use activities that effect water quality or include a new policy in the Plan to this effect. |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 195   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.31 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 196   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments to provide direction on how effects will be managed rather than a list of matters for consideration.                                      |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 197   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.33 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 198   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.34 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy with amendments that specify appropriate limits for dairy farming, including maximum nitrogen leaching standards, management practices to avoid loss of phosphorus and sediment and faecal contamination.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 199   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Fish and Game seek to include policies in the Plan to ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The allocation status of freshwater management units are defined to identify each freshwater management unit as under-allocated, fully-allocated or over-allocated and use the Council's state of the environment monitoring information to determine those waterbodies that do not currently meet the water quality standards in Appendix 5, Schedule 2 and work toward restoring ecosystem health in those waterbodies by 2030.</li> <li>Farming activities comply with a sustainable nitrogen leaching rate which is based on allocating the total allowable load of nitrogen for the sub catchment, freshwater management zone or catchment to the land on the basis of either a 'flat' per hectare allocation of nitrogen leaching or a nitrogen leaching allowance per hectare based on an allocation on a land use capability class basis, or some other methodology which achieves the efficient use of natural resources</li> <li>Require farms to comply with specified management practices which minimise or reduce the loss of nitrogen, phosphorous, sediment and faecal contamination, including, but not limited to, the requirement to seal effluent ponds and to practice deferred irrigation, good management practices for the application of fertiliser and other nutrient sources, including setbacks from waterbodies, permanent fencing and planting of riparian margins, good management practices for earthworks and cultivation including setbacks from waterbodies to avoid or minimise sediment run off to water,</li> <li>Nutrient budgets are prepared annually by a person who has completed both the "Intermediate" and the "Advanced" courses in "Sustainable Nutrient Management in New Zealand Agriculture" conducted by Massey University, and provided to the regional council. The information shall be provided in an electronic format compatible with regional councils information systems and may include but shall not be limited to the following reports from Overseer or their equivalent if an alternative model is used (must be accredited for use by the regional council): Nutrient Budget, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Summary, Nitrogen Overview</li> <li>Exclude all livestock from rivers, lakes and wetlands, and to culvert or bridge all regular stock crossings</li> <li>Include a prohibited activity for new or intensified (a use that increases loss of nitrogen or phosphorus) use of production in sub catchments that are currently over allocated for nitrogen or phosphorus</li> <li>Provide for trading of nitrogen or phosphorus loss rates between production land uses or properties in the same sub catchment so long as the water quality standards in Appendix 5 Schedule 2 not breached at any point within that sub catchment, or Freshwater management unit</li> <li>Ensure that the rules include both land use and ancillary discharge provisions (section 9 and section 15 RMA)</li> <li>Ensure that rules do not breach s70 RMA and apply sound planning principals</li> </ul> <p>Ensure that those activities and land uses which are contributing the most to the over allocation bear the majority of the cost of reducing the over allocation (adopt the polluter pays principal)</p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 200   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.             | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Plan to remove the unnecessary duplication of activities by simply listing the activities and their associated standards once.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 201   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to include a schedule of farm practices, similar to that included as Schedule 24 of the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan, and to include rules which require compliance with the schedule for nutrient management, irrigation management, grazing of intensively farmed stock, cultivation and collected animal effluent.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 202   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to include a schedule outlining requirements for Farm Environment Management Plans similar to Schedule 7 of the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 203   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek that the Plan apply the allocation of nutrients principles from Beef and Lamb NZ (attached as an appendix to this submission) as policies and rules when considering the allocation of nutrients for farming activities.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 204   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted activities contained in Section 2.2 to ensure that these activities cease when the particular Freshwater Management Unit is experiencing low flows. Alternatively Fish and Game seek that the permitted take amounts are reduced overall and that during low flows, the permitted amounts are further reduced and all permitted takes cease during extreme low flows, which will direct people toward storing water at appropriate times. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 205   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards of this activity as outlined below (see submission on 2.3.1)  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 206   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.1.    | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers. Fish and Game seek to include conditions on permitted takes for domestic needs that require: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A restriction on the maximum number of takes in water resource units</li> <li>• Stopping water takes at extreme low flows</li> <li>• Each permitted activity take location and amount of take is reported to the Council to ensure that the Council can understand and monitor the extent of cumulative effects from permitted domestic takes.</li> </ul> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 207   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 208   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.2.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 209   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.3.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 210   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.3.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 211   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.4.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted activity standards to include a maximum volume limit of 30m3.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 212   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.4.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted activity standards to include a maximum volume limit of 30m3.<br>Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 213   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.5.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 214   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.5.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 215   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.6.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 216   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.6.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 217   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.7.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the permitted activity and make further take from the Wairau Aquifer subject to resource consent.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 218   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.7.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the permitted activity and make further take from the Wairau Aquifer subject to resource consent.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 219   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.8.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the permitted activity with amendments that introduce standards that require the use of stored water before water is taken and used directly from waterbodies as other standards that ensure the ecological health of fresh waterbodies. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 220   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.9.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 221   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.8.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the standards with amendments to ensure that standard 2.3.8.6 refers to the relevant professional standards for calibration.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 222   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.10.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed with amendments to the standards as sought below.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 223   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.9.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the standards with amendment to ensure that bore testing is not carried out more than once every calendar year.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 224   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.11.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Oppose the permitted activity as notified and require that resource consent as a discretionary activity be required to ensure that the activity complies with the relevant allocation limits and minimum flows and to prioritise the use of stored water first. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 225   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.11.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Oppose the permitted activity as notified and require that resource consent as a discretionary activity be required to ensure that the activity complies with the relevant allocation limits and minimum flows and to prioritise the use of stored water first. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 226   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.12.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clarification over the need for specific provisions for such a permitted activity is required, particularly in relation to the provision for temporary trenches for the purposed of the installation or maintenance of infrastructure.                          |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 227   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.11.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Clarification over the need for specific provisions for such a permitted activity is required, particularly in relation to the provision for temporary trenches for the purposed of the installation or maintenance of infrastructure.                          |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 228   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.14.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.              |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 229   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.1.3.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.              |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 230   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.15.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Oppose the activity as notified given the significant lack of standards to address the potential adverse environmental effects of the activity.               |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 231   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.14.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Oppose the activity as notified given the significant lack of standards to address the potential adverse environmental effects of the activity.               |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 232   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.16.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Oppose the permitted activity until additional standards regarding the management of environmental effects and compliance with water take limits are included |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 233   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.15.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Oppose the permitted activity until additional standards regarding the management of environmental effects and compliance with water take limits are included |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 234   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.17.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The storage capacity under standard 2.3.16.1 for out of stream storage and on constructed dams is too small and needs to be increased.                        |       |          |                 |           |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 235          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.3.16.          | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | The storage capacity under standard 2.3.16.1 for out of stream storage and on constructed dams is too small and needs to be increased.  |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 236          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.               | Support     |
| Decision Requested | To protect scarce wetland resources and avoid adverse effects on wetlands from the drainage and diversion of water in rivers, Fish and Game seek a new standard to apply to all activities involving the diversion or discharge of water to ensure that the diversion or discharge does not result in the lowering of water levels in any wetland.  |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 237          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.2.18.          | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | The standards associated with the permitted activity for the operation of the drainage channel network do little to ensure that the potential environmental effects of the activity are appropriately addressed.<br>In particular, Fish and Game seek that the setback of the diversion from a significant wetland needs to be increased.<br>Amend the permitted activity to include additional standards that ensure the proposed activity considers potential effects on the environment and increase the setback distance from significant wetlands under standard 2.3.17.1. |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 238          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.3.17.          | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted activity to include additional standards that ensure the proposed activity considers potential effects on the environment particularly the summer hydrological regime of Para Wetland, and increase the setback distance from significant wetlands under standard 2.3.17.1.   |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 239          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.2.19.          | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted activity to include additional standards that ensure the proposed activity considers potential effects on the environment and increase the setback distance from significant wetlands under standard 2.3.18.1.  |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 240          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.3.18.          | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted activity to include additional standards that ensure the proposed activity considers potential effects on the environment and increase the setback distance from significant wetlands under standard 2.3.18.1.  |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 241          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.2.20.          | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove the permitted activity, or amend the activity to include rules to ensure adverse effects on the environment are appropriately managed.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 242   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.19.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the permitted activity, or amend the activity to include rules to ensure adverse effects on the environment are appropriately managed, such as being tied back to the agreed sustainable flow regime (SFR) for the Wairau at Tuamarina recorder. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 243   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.21.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the permitted activity, or amend the activity to include rules to ensure adverse effects on the environment are appropriately managed, such as being tied back to the agreed sustainable flow regime (SFR) for the Wairau at Tuamarina recorder  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 244   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Permitted activity xxx diversion of no more than 50 l/s into Para Wetland for the purposes of wetland restoration.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 245   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.20.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the permitted activity, or amend the activity to include rules to ensure adverse effects on the environment are appropriately managed.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 246   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.22.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the permitted activity, or amend the activity to include rules to ensure adverse effects on the environment are appropriately managed.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 247   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.21.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the permitted activity, or amend the activity to include rules to ensure adverse effects on the environment are appropriately managed.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 248   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.24.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>No details provided in terms of decision requested</i>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 249   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.23.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to include reference in the standards to the Council's code of practice for river works, together with additional consideration of the summer hydrological regime of Para Wetland, and river geomorphology when considering the diversion of water to avoid future habitat and biodiversity loss and ensure the holistic consideration of river management. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 250   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.4.1.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to include reference in the standards to the Council's code of practice for river works, together with additional consideration of the summer hydrological regime of Para Wetland, and river geomorphology when considering the diversion of water to avoid future habitat and biodiversity loss and ensure the holistic consideration of river management. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 251   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.5.1.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 252   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.5.2.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 253   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.5.3.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 254   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.5.4.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 255   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.5.5.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 256   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.1.    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the prohibited activity to ensure that it includes the water takes below minimum flows and that the exclusion provided at (b) cease to have effect by 2030.<br>Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 257   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek that the prohibited activity be replaced with an activity that prohibits all water takes from Freshwater Management Units for use in another Freshwater Management Unit.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 258   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.3.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 259   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.4.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek the reinstatement of the prohibited activity rule (rule 27.1.2.5 of the Wairau Awatere Resource Management Plan) in relation to the taking of water from Lake Elterwater or amendment to the plan with the same effect as the relief sought. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 260   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.5.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the rule with amendments to include the Kaituna and Rai Rivers and their tributaries to ensure that damming of water is a prohibited activity in these waterways also.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 261   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Remove the exception provided under 2.9.1.2 for culverts and bridges.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 262   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Remove the exception provided under 2.9.1.2 for culverts and bridges.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 263   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.2.    | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Replace the permitted activity with a full discretionary activity.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 264   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.2.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Replace the permitted activity with a full discretionary activity.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 265   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.3.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the permitted activity standard as proposed and replace it with a full discretionary activity status or additional permitted activity standards that ensure the effects of the activity can be fully considered  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 266   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.3.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the permitted activity standard as proposed and replace it with a full discretionary activity status or additional permitted activity standards that ensure the effects of the activity can be fully considered.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 267   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.4.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Remove the permitted activity standard as proposed and replace it with a full discretionary activity status or additional permitted activity standards that ensure the effects of the activity can be fully considered  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 268   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.4.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Remove the permitted activity standard as proposed and replace it with a full discretionary activity status or additional permitted activity standards that ensure the effects of the activity can be fully considered  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 269   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.5.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Remove the permitted activity standard as proposed and replace it with a full discretionary activity status or additional permitted activity standards that ensure the effects of the activity can be fully considered such as including a maximum size of the structure and limitations on when the structure can be constructed.<br>Fish and Game seek that this applies to all structures except those permitted under 2.7.6 (as amended through this submission) below. |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 270          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.9.5.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Remove the permitted activity standard as proposed and replace it with a full discretionary activity status or additional permitted activity standards that ensure the effects of the activity can be fully considered.   |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 271          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.7.6.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted activity to enable the construction or placement of permanent maimai and whitebait standards as a permitted activity.   |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 272          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.9.5.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete the permitted activity standards under 2.9.6.5   |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 273          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.7.7.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the permitted activity with additional restriction on the maximum culvert diameter or maximum size of the river of catchment to recognise the use of a culvert is not always appropriate.  |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 274          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.9.7.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the permitted activity with additional restriction on the maximum culvert diameter or maximum size of the river of catchment to recognise the use of a culvert is not always appropriate.  |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 275          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.7.9.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the permitted activity   |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 276          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the standards with amendment to ensure that intensively farmed livestock entering into or passing across the bed of a river does not result in conspicuous pugging.<br>Fish and Game have previously sought amendment to the definition of Intensively Farmed Livestock to include all cattle farmed on lowland areas. |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 277          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.7.10.          | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 278   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.10.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 279   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the standard with amendments to ensure that a 20m setback is applied from any location in the bed of a river.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 280   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.2.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 281   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.3.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 282   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.4.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 283   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.5.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include a new standard applicable to all activities that restricts activities from occurring during trout spawning season in trout spawning rivers from June 1 – September 1. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 284   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.10.1.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 285   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.10.2.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove and replace with a non-complying activity to provide for dams that are not provided for as a permitted activity or prohibited activity in the plan.                    |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 286   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.1.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the rule with amendments to include the Kaituna and Rai rivers and their tributaries in the prohibited activity rule. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 287   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.2.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 288   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.3.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 289   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.4.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 290   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.5.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 291   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.16.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 292   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 293   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.16.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 294   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 295          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.16.3.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.   |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 296          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.17.3.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.   |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 297          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.16.6.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standards for permitted activity to provide standards that ensure the environment is protected and adverse effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated.<br>Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met. |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 298          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.17.6.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standards for permitted activity to provide standards that ensure the environment is protected and adverse effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated.<br>Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met. |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 299          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.16.7.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.   |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 300          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.17.11.7.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.   |              |               |                 |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 301          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.16.8.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standards for permitted activity to provide standards that ensure the environment is protected and adverse effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated.<br>Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met. |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 302          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.17.8.1.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standards for permitted activity to provide standards that ensure the environment is protected and adverse effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated.<br>Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met. |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 303          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.16.9.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standards for permitted activity to provide standards that ensure the environment is protected and adverse effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated.<br>Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met. |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 304          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.17.9.1.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standards for permitted activity to provide standards that ensure the environment is protected and adverse effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated.<br>Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met. |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 305          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.17.11.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 306          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.17.11.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 307          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.13.          | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 308   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.14.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the permitted activity for excavation with amendment to allow additional excavation at the Ormond Aquaculture Ltd site at Keith Coleman Lane of 1000m3.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 309   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.16.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definitions of excavation and fill, filling and fill material are combined together to provide one term that covers all aspects of cut and fill activities. Particularly support 3.3.16.8 regarding filling within 8m of a significant wetland or 30m of a water resource unit with a natural state classification – the intent of this provision should be retained through the amendment suggested above.                        |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 310   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.21.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 311   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 312   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the permitted activity with amendments to the standards to ensure that fertiliser application be undertaken in accordance with the Code of Practice for Nutrient Management.<br>Support standards which state the maximum for nitrogen loading and that no fertiliser will be deposited in waterbodies.<br>Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 313   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.24.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 314          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.25.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 315          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.26.          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove permitted activity rule for this activity and replace it with a discretionary activity rule.   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 316          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.27.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.                              |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 317          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.28.          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove permitted activity rule for this activity and replace it with a discretionary activity rule.   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 318          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.30.          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek that the permitted activity standards be amended to require compliance with AS/NZS 1547:2012 On-site domestic wastewater management. |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 319          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.31.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 320          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.32.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 321          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.33.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the rule and standards with amendment to require the sealing of the bottom of any pit, stack or agricultural waste stockpile.                    |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 322          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.34.          | Support         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 323   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.3.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 324   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.8.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 325   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Create an additional prohibited activity or activities to ensure the protection of wetlands by ensuring no livestock, including intensively farmed livestock (with the definition amended as suggested in the submission above) will have access to significant wetlands (as amended to include all wetlands as identified in the submission above) and no grazing or cropping is undertaken within any wetland area. |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 326   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.4.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 327   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.5.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 328   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.8.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 329   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.9.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 330   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.10.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 331   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.11.   | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 332   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the recreation values for each water resource unit to recognise the contact recreation as a recreation value.  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 333   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 334   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 335   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Appendix 5 to ensure the natural character values of all water resource units are identified and stated in the Appendix.                                     |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 336   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Plan to ensure all braided rivers including Wairau, Awatere, Clarence, Branch and Acheron are classified as having significant natural character values. |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 337   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Plan to acknowledge that all waterbodies provide invertebrate habitat and state those where the provision for invertebrate habitat is particularly significant.  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 338   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to ensure that the water quality values and flow and allocation limits for all freshwater bodies in the Region are clearly identified and aligned.<br>An option to achieve this could be to require, for each of the Water Resource Units identified in Appendix 5, to have specified quantity allocations for water takes and minimum flows and levels or water takes be specified. This will alleviate current confusion over the relationship between the identified Water Resource Units and the Freshwater Management Units and ensure that each freshwater body in the Region has specific water quantity and water quality targets clearly identified and will ensure that the identification of these areas on the Planning Maps directly reflects the areas. |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 339   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it with "Regionally significant brown trout fishery (both Acheron and Alma)".  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 340   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add Recreation values that recognises the waterfowl hunting that occurs in the tidal zone.   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 341   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it with "Locally significant brown trout fishery".   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 342   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it with "Locally significant brown trout fishery".  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 343   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Fish Habitat values to reflect this importance of this area for Brown and Rainbow Trout spawning as well as habitat. Amend the Recreation values to remove "highly valued trout fishery" and replace it with "Regionally significant brown and rainbow trout fisheries" to better reflect the nature of the values of the area. |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 344   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Recreation values to remove "fishing" and replace it with "regionally significant brown trout and salmon fisheries".  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 345   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Fish Habitat values are amended to recognise the importance of the Goulter River for Salmon spawning. The Recreation values are amended to recognise the Goulter River as a designated back-country fishery.  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 346   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Update the Recreation values to remove the generic values description 'fishing' and replace it with "locally significant brown trout fishery" to better reflect the nature of the values of the area.   |       |          |  |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 347          | Volume 3      | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add Recreation values that reflect the importance of the water resource unit for waterfowl hunting within the tidal zone.   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 348          | Volume 3      | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Update the Recreation values to remove the generic values description 'fishing' and replace it with "locally significant brown trout fishery" to better reflect the nature of the values of the area. |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 349          | Volume 3      | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it to recognise the importance of the area as a locally significant brown and rainbow trout fishery.                    |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 350          | Volume 3      | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it with "locally significant brown trout fishery"   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 351          | Volume 3      | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it with "locally significant brown trout fishery"   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 352          | Volume 3      | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |                  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it to recognise the regional significance of the area as a brown and rainbow trout fishery as well as adding the words "regionally significant" in front of 'gamebird hunting'. |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 353   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it to recognise the regional significance of the area as a brown and rainbow trout fishery.   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 354   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it to recognise the regional significance of the area as a brown and rainbow trout fishery.   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 355   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it with "locally significant brown trout fishery"   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 356   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it to recognise the regional significance of the area as a brown fishery. Also, amend the recreation values to remove the term "shooting" and replace it with "hunting".        |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 357   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it to recognise the local significance of the area as a brown fishery.                                     |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 358   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Recreation values to recognise the regional significance of the area for game bird hunting within Para Wetland and the local significance of the area for brown trout fishing. |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 359   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Recreation values to recognise that the area is a "locally significant brown trout fishery".   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 360   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Recreation values to recognise that the area is a "locally significant brown trout fishery".   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 361   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Recreation values to recognise the regional significance of the area for gamebird hunting.   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 362   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it to recognise the national significance of the area as a salmon and brown trout fishery.                 |       |          |  |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 363   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic "fishing" term and replace it with "locally significant brown trout fishery".  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 364   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 365   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 366   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Temperature parameters to require the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Maximum daily average temperature must not exceed 19oC</li> <li>• Shall not exceed 25oC</li> </ul> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 367   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Dissolved Oxygen as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Saturation &gt;80%</li> <li>• 9mg/L @ 11oC</li> <li>• 6-8mg/L @ 20oC</li> </ul>  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 368   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the parameters for MCI to require a value of =120.   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 369   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | All waterbodies in the Region need to be within a defined and/or described Freshwater Management Unit. The Plan needs to be amended to ensure that there are no FMU's that are not specifically defined on either the Freshwater Management Unit Maps or described in the Schedules or both to ensure that the NPSFM is appropriately given effect to. |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 370   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to ensure that all minimum flows and allocation volumes are measured at the same point(s) in each Freshwater Management Unit and Water Resource Unit.   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 371   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The mapping and schedules of Freshwater Management Units on the District Plan maps need to align to represent the same geographical areas and ensure that each freshwater body is only represented in one Freshwater Management Unit.  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 372   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Fish and Game seek to amend Appendix 6 for each FMU so that it clearly identifies which monitoring site or sites are used and what allocation limit applies to each FMU to ensure that the relationship of allocations between rivers and their tributaries is clear, and the relationship between the allocations of different tributaries are clear. |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 373   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Provide further explanation over the intended application of allocation limits in Schedule 1 of Appendix 6.  |       |          |   |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|------------|-----------------|
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 374   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend quantity allocations for water takes as follows:</p> <p>For Freshwater Management Units dominated by streams and rivers with flows less than or equal to 5m<sup>3</sup>/s, an allocation limit of 30% of MALF as calculated by the Council or the total allocation from the catchment, less any resource consents surrendered, lapsed, cancelled or not replaced.</p> <p>For Freshwater Management Units dominated by streams and rivers with mean flows greater than 5m<sup>3</sup>/s, an allocation limit of 50% of MALF as calculated by the Council or the total allocation from the catchment, less any resource consents surrendered, lapsed, cancelled or not replaced or where studies indicate a higher or lower (than that proposed in the NES) percentage allocation is necessary to preserve values, this should instead be adopted.</p> <p>For Freshwater Management Units dominated by shallow, coastal aquifers, an allocation limit of 15% of the average annual recharge as calculated by the Council or the total allocation from the catchment, less any resource consents surrendered, lapsed, cancelled or not replaced.</p> <p>For Freshwater Management Units dominated by other aquifers, an allocation limit of 35% of the average annual recharge as calculated by the Council or the total allocation from the catchment, less any resource consents surrendered, lapsed, cancelled or not replaced.</p> |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 375   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Fish and Game seek, as an alternative allocation mechanism, flow sharing between the river and out of stream uses ensuring that 20% of the instantaneous flow is allocated at any one time or one for one flow sharing and applied to all Freshwater Management Units.</p>  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 376   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Schedule 1 of Appendix 6 to include instantaneous rate of take for all allocations rather than using a volume-based method for allocation.</p>  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 377   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Awatere Freshwater Management Unit</p> <p>Reduce allocation limits to ensure a total allocation of no greater than 30% of MALF.</p>   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 378   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Kaituna Freshwater Management Unit<br>Remove the additional proposed 8,640m <sup>3</sup> /day Class B allocation in the Kaituna FMU.<br>Remove controlled short-term irrigation consents from the allocation limits for Class A in the Kaituna FMU to reduce the Class A allocation to no greater than 20% of MALF.                              |       |          |   |            |        |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 379   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Opouri Freshwater Management Unit<br>MALF data is required for this FMU.<br>Remove the additional proposed 17,280m <sup>3</sup> /day Class B allocation in the Opouri FMU and replace the flows with a minimum flow of 80% of MALF.  |       |          |   |            |        |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 380   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Pelorus (Lower) freshwater Management Unit<br>MALF data required for this FMU.<br>Remove temporary (emergency) water permits from the allocation limits for Class A in the Pelorus (Lower) FMU to reduce it to less than 20% of MALF.<br>Remove the additional proposed 45,000m <sup>3</sup> /day Class B allocation in the Pelorus (Lower) FMU. |       |          |   |            |        |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 381   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the allocation volume to align with the draft NES to reduce it to less than 30% of MALF.<br>Remove controlled short-term irrigation consents from the allocation limits for Class A in the Rai FMU.  |       |          |   |            |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 382          | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Ronga Freshwater Management Unit<br>MALF data required for this FMU.<br>Remove the additional proposed 8,460m <sup>3</sup> /day Class B allocation in the Ronga FMU.                      |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 383          | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Tunakino Freshwater Management Unit<br>MALF data is required for this FMU.<br>Remove the additional proposed 8,460m <sup>3</sup> /day Class B allocation in the Tunakino FMU.             |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 384          | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Tuamarina Freshwater Management Unit<br>Remove all water allocation for the Tuamarina FMU until more information on in-stream flows and MALF are available to enable accurate management. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 385          | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Waihopai Freshwater Management Unit<br>Amend the allocation volumes to align with the draft NES to reduce it to less than 30% of MALF.  |              |               |   |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|------------|-----------------|
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 386   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Wairau River Freshwater Management Unit (downstream of the Hamilton River confluence)<br>Amend the allocation for the Wairau River FMU to reflect the existing rule in the WARMP or either of the options identified for the FMU in the Council commissioned Cawthron Report to reduce it to less than 50% of MALF or where studies indicate a higher or lower (than that proposed in the NES) percentage allocation is necessary to preserve values, this should instead be adopted. |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 387   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the possibility for future allocation of the Wairau Aquifer FMU through the freeing up of unused existing allocations. By reducing the allocation limit to the total of what is actually used on existing consents rather than what is allocated.  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 388   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The minimum flows need to be amended to ensure the following:<br>For Freshwater Management Units dominated by streams and rivers with mean flows less than or equal to 5m <sup>3</sup> /s, a minimum flow of 90% of the naturalised seven day mean annual low flow (MALF7).<br>For Freshwater Management Units dominated by streams and rivers with mean flows greater than 5m <sup>3</sup> /s, a minimum flow of 80% of naturalised MALF7 as calculated by the Council.              |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 389   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Undertake in-stream flow assessments and/or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 80% of naturalised MALF7 within the Awatere FMU.   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 390   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Kaituna Freshwater Management Unit<br>Undertake in-stream flow assessments and/or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 90% of naturalised 7 day MALF.   |       |          |   |            |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 391          | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Opouri Freshwater Management Unit<br>Undertake in-stream flow assessments and/or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 90% of naturalised MALF7 which is a minimum flow for the Opouri FMU.          |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 392          | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clarification required over the identification and naming of the Pelorus FMU.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 393          | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Pelorus Freshwater Management Unit<br>Undertake in-stream flow assessments and/or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 80% of naturalised MALF7 which is a minimum flow for within the Pelorus FMU. |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 394          | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Rai (total including Opouri, Tunakino and Ronga FMUs) Freshwater Unit<br>Undertake in-stream flow assessments and /or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 80% of MALF of naturalised MALF7.        |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 395          | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Ronga Freshwater Management Unit<br>Undertake in-stream flow assessments and/or replace the flows with those that fall in line with the draft national environment standards for the Ronga FMU.         |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 396          | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3       | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Tunakino Freshwater Management Unit<br>Undertaken in-stream flow assessments or replace the flows with those that fall in line with the draft national environment standards for the Tunakino FMU.   |       |          |   |            |        |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 397   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Tuamarina Freshwater Management Unit<br>Undertake in-stream flow and wetland hydrology assessment or increase the present minimum flow at Para Road to 90% of the naturalised 7-day MALF. Implementation of a rationing/roster system to achieve a higher minimum flow and one for one flow sharing is needed for this FMU.                                      |       |          |   |            |        |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 398   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Waihopai Freshwater Management Unit<br>Undertake an in-stream flow needs assessment for this FMU.<br>All flows for this FMU needs to reflect the new Wairau Sustainable Flow Regime or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 80% of MALF.   |       |          |   |            |        |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 399   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Wairau River Freshwater Management Unit (downstream of the Hamilton river confluence)<br>Fish and Game seek that the new approach to flow modelling (net rate of energy intake modelling NREI) be commissioned to inform the management for minimum flow and allocation setting to be made or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 90% of naturalised MALF7. |       |          |   |            |        |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 400   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Spring Creek Freshwater Management Unit<br>Council undertake suitable ecological assessment to accurately determine minimum flows for the Spring Creek FMU or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 90% of MALF.  |       |          |   |            |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|------------|-----------------|
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 401   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Omaka Freshwater Management Unit</p> <p>Council undertake suitable ecological assessment to accurately determine minimum flows for the Omaka River FMU or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 80% of MALF.</p>   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 402   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Taylor River Freshwater Management Unit</p> <p>Council undertake suitable ecological assessment to accurately determine minimum flows for the Taylor River FMU or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 90% of MALF.</p>   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 403   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Opaoa River Freshwater Management Unit (below O'Dwyer's Road)</p> <p>Council undertake suitable ecological assessment to accurately determine minimum flows for the Opaoa River FMU or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 80% of MALF.</p>  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 404   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Branch River</p> <p>Fish and Game seek higher minimum and management flow levels for the Branch River. Currently the minimum flow is 0.700m<sup>3</sup>/s at State Highway 63 Road Bridge and Management flows – fully restricted below 1.200m<sup>3</sup>/s . These flows are insufficient to support fish passage and therefore need to be increased to retain 80% of naturalised MALF7. Fish and Game support the monitoring location at the State highway bridge.</p> |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 509                | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 405   | Volume 3 | Appendix 25 Pest Plants                   |            | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the Appendix with amendments to include the following plant pest species: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hawthorn</li> <li>• Briar rose</li> <li>• Pampass</li> <li>• Yellow flag iris</li> <li>• Alders</li> <li>• Poplars</li> <li>• Wattles</li> <li>• Wilding conifers</li> <li>• Wilding kiwifruit</li> <li>• Banana passionvine</li> </ul> |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 406   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Objective 9.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Given the lack of direction in the Plan, Fish and Game seek consider a new Conservation Zone to be included in the Plan which allows for the recognition of significant values of these areas and enables recreational use of these areas while ensuring that their values are protected and where possible enhanced.   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 407   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Objective 9.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Given the lack of direction in the Plan, Fish and Game seek consider a new Conservation Zone to be included in the Plan which allows for the recognition of significant values of these areas and enables recreational use of these areas while ensuring that their values are protected and where possible enhanced.   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 408   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | 9.M.1         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The Plan describes the four different open space zones at the end of Chapter 9 where the "Methods of implementation" outline the characteristics of the different zoning. This description is vital to understand the different zonings and needs to be placed more prominently in the Plan to better assist plan users.  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 409   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone           | 19.1.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | There is no provision for signage in the Open Space 3 zone where land is privately owned. Provision is needed in the plan to enable signage to be erected for directional and educational purposes within all areas of the Open Space 3 zone.   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 410   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Objective 9.3 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Provide objectives and policies relevant to Open Space 3 that recognise the character and values held in these areas and to ensure the protection of these areas from adverse effects from activities.  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 411   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Objective 9.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Provide objectives and policies relevant to Open Space 3 that recognise the character and values held in these areas and to ensure the protection of these areas from adverse effects from activities.  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 412   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone           | 19.2.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Plan needs to be amended to specifically provide for the erection of buildings and structures in the Open Space 3 Zone as a permitted activity. Amend standard 19.2.1.3 to exempt structures within 8m of a river, lake or significant wetland associated with game hunting and structures that are erected to provide information and shelter in Open Space 3 areas as well as benches and picnic tables associated with the use of public open space. |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 413   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone           | 19.2.2.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the noise provisions of the Open Space 3 Zone, and any other relevant Zone where game hunting activities are undertaken.  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 414   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone           | 19.2.3.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 415   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone           | 19.2.4.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 416   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone           | 19.2.5.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 417   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone           | 19.2.6.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove Rule 19.2.6 and associated standards in their entirety.  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 418   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone           | 19.1.1.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain rule as proposed.<br>Amend the definition of Passive Recreation to better reflect the nature of these activities that require minimal facilities or development and as a result, have negligible impact on the surrounding environment.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 419   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.1.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 420   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standard 19.3.1.1 to provide an exemption from game hunting activities.<br>Amend standard 19.3.1.3 to exempt structures associated with game hunting from requiring removal following the end of the event.<br>Amend 19.3.1.4 to exempt activities at the Para Wetland from gaining approval from the Road Controlling Authority. |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 421   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.3.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to require campers within Open Space 3 Zone to be self-contained where no waste facilities are available.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 422   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.4.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 423   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.2.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the standards with amendment, which permits the planting of weeping willow and pin oak trees at the Para Wetland in accordance with the approved management plan.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 424   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.5.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game  | 425   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.3.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed  |       |          |                      |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>       | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 426          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.6.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 427          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.4.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend 19.3.4.1 to ensure that vegetation is not removed by fire within 8m of a Significant wetland.<br>Retain 19.3.4.5 and 19.3.4.6 as proposed  |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 428          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.7.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 429          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.5.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Support 19.3.5.1 and 19.3.5.2 as proposed.<br>Amend standard 19.3.5.3(b) to provide for small scale excavation for the purpose of maintenance and upgrading of access tracks in the Para Wetland as a permitted activity.<br>Amend standard 19.3.5.12 to provide an exemption for Fish and Game management of the Para Wetland using wheeled or tracked machinery.<br>Retain 19.3.5.15 as proposed |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 430          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.8.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definitions of excavation and fill, filling and fill material are combined together to provide one term that covers all aspects of cut and fill activities.  |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 431          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.6.1.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend provision relating to filling to reflect the relief sought above to both, combine cut and fill into one activity and to provide for this activity for tracks in the Para Wetland.  |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 432          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.6.15.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend provision relating to filling to reflect the relief sought above to both, combine cut and fill into one activity and to provide for this activity for tracks in the Para Wetland.  |              |               |                      |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>       | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 433          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.10.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove Farming from a permitted activity within the Open Space 3 Zone.   |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 434          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.8.1.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove Farming from a permitted activity within the Open Space 3 Zone.   |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 435          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.16.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend ( <i>details on amendment required not included in submission</i> )  |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 436          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.14.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the standards with amendment to include a 20m setback from all freshwater bodies to ensure their protection from the adverse effects on the application of vertebrate toxic agents onto land. |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 437          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.17.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.   |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 438          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.15.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.   |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 439          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.18.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.   |              |               |                      |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>       | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 440          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.16.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met. |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 441          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.19.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met. |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 442          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met. |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 443          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.20.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove activity 19.1.20 from the permitted activities for Open Space 3.  |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 444          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.21.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove activity 19.1.21 from the permitted activities for Open Space 3.  |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 445          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.22.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove activity 19.1.22 from the permitted activities for Open Space 3.  |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 446          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.23.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove activity 19.1.23 from the permitted activities for Open Space 3.  |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 447          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.24.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove activity 19.1.24 from the permitted activities for Open Space 3.  |              |               |                      |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>       | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 448          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.25.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Remove activity 19.1.25 from the permitted activities for Open Space 3.                    |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 449          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.4.1.          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission. |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 450          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.4.2.          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission. |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 451          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.4.3.          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission. |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 452          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.5.1.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 453          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.5.2.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 454          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.5.3.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>509</b>         | Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game   | 455          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.5.4.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed   |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>991</b>         | New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch                                  | 1            | Volume 2      | 25 Definitions       | 25.              | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend the definition to read:</b></p> <p><i>(a) cattle or deer <del>grazed on irrigated land or</del> contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops.</i></p> <p>While grazing on irrigated land may result in livestock being intensively farmed, the requirement to prevent access to a riverbed could be incorporated in consent conditions for water takes used for irrigation.</p>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 991                | New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch  | 2     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 15.1.23(b) 1 to read:</b></p> <p><i>(b) avoiding the access of intensively farmed stock to rivers <u>unless the access is for the purposes of actively moving the farmed stock across the river;</u></i></p>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 991                | New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch  | 3     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.9.9.1.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Rule 2.9.9.1 to read:</b></p> <p><i>2.9.9.1. The entering onto <del>or passing across</del> the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></p> <p>For clarity this amendment assumes that the definition of intensively farmed livestock is the same or similar to the relief sought in this submission. It is also noted that in a literal sense this wording still prevents deer crossing rivers as in order to do so they must "enter onto the bed". NZDFA - Marlborough therefore request that consideration be given to more precise wording that allows actively managed deer movement across rivers.</p>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 991                | New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.21.1.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Rule 3.3.21.1 to read:</b></p> <p><i>3.3.21.1. The entering onto <del>or passing across</del> the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></p> <p>For clarity this amendment assumes that the definition of intensively farmed livestock is the same or similar to the relief sought in this submission. It is also noted that in a literal sense this wording still prevents deer crossing rivers as in order to do so they must "enter onto the bed". NZDFA - Marlborough therefore request that consideration be given to more precise wording that allows actively managed deer movement across rivers.</p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 991                | New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch  | 5     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.20.1.      | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision          | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|--------------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Rule 4.3.20.1 to read:</b></p> <p><i>4.3.20.1. The entering onto <del>or passing across</del> the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></p> <p>For clarity this amendment assumes that the definition of intensively farmed livestock is the same or similar to the relief sought in this submission. It is also noted that in a literal sense this wording still prevents deer crossing rivers as in order to do so they must "enter onto the bed". NZDFA - Marlborough therefore request that consideration be given to more precise wording that allows actively managed deer movement across rivers.</p> |       |          |  |                    |         |
| 991                | New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch  | 6     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.11.5.            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Delete Rule 2.11.5:</b></p> <p><i>From June 9 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to pass across the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river</i></p>   |       |          |  |                    |         |
| 991                | New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch  | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.7.5.             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Delete Rule 3.7.5:</b></p> <p><i>From June 9 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to pass across the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river</i></p>  |       |          |  |                    |         |
| 991                | New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch  | 8     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.7.5.             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Delete Rule 4.7.5:</b></p> <p><i>From June 9 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to pass across the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river</i></p>  |       |          |  |                    |         |
| 991                | New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch  | 9     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                         | Guiding principles | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>NZDFA-Marlborough in conjunction with Deer Industry New Zealand would welcome an ongoing partnership with Marlborough District Council to utilise industry information such as "The New Zealand Deer Farmers' Landcare Manual 2012", key practices identified in the Deer Industry Focus Farms Sustainable Farming Fund project (2006-2009) and industry expertise in order to more clearly identify appropriate good management practices for deer farming in Marlborough.</p>   |       |          |  |                    |         |
| 991                | New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch  | 10    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1      | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision          | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That food production and animal welfare values are included and that the policy is reworded to allow a more collaborative approach to determining priority values and management approaches.<br><br>This requested amendment implicitly acknowledges the farming community as stakeholders in the values setting process. |       |          |   |                    |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | How to use the MEP | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend this section to ensure the description of the RMA terms discussed in this section adequately relates to its use across the MEP, and the use and interpretation of 'avoid' is consistent with recent case law.   |       |          |   |                    |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B           | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 4B as notified.  |       |          |   |                    |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.2 as notified.   |       |          |   |                    |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1       | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the policy to provide for future facilities.</p> <p>Suggested amendments to wording are provided below (text struck through and underlined):</p> <p><i>Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, <del>either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative</del>, as regionally significant:</i></p> <p><i>(a) reticulated sewerage systems (including the pipe network, treatment plants and associated infrastructure) operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i></p> <p><i>(b) reticulated community stormwater networks;</i></p> <p><i>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i></p> <p><i>(d) regional landfill, transfer stations and the resource recovery centre;</i></p> <p><i>(e) National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower NZ Limited);</i></p> <p><i>(f) local electricity supply network owned and operated by Marlborough Lines;</i></p> <p><i>(g) facilities for the generation of electricity, where the electricity generated is supplied to the National Grid or the local electricity supply network (including infrastructure for the transmission of the electricity into the National Grid or local electricity supply network);</i></p> <p><i>(h) strategic telecommunications facilities, as defined in Section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001, and strategic radiocommunications facilities, as defined in Section 2(1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989;</i></p> <p><i>(i) Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko Airports;</i></p> <p><i>(j) main truck railway line;</i></p> <p><i>(k) district roading network</i></p> <p><i>(l) Port of Picton and Havelock Harbour;</i></p> <p><i>(m) Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas;</i></p> <p><i>(n) RNZAF Base at Woodbourne <u>and other defence facilities</u>; and</i></p> <p><i>(o) Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain.</i></p> |       |          |   |              |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.2.2 as notified.  |       |          |   |              |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force   | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.8         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method 4.M.8 as notified.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force   | 7     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.11 as notified.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force   | 8     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.1  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend this policy to separate the policy into intrinsic values and aquifer recharge; and consumptive uses.<br>And<br>Insert appropriate recognition for regionally significant infrastructure (including defence facilities). Suggested amended wording is below -<br><br><i>"... municipal and regionally significant infrastructure water supplies ..."</i> |       |          |   |               |         |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force   | 9     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.11 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to also include limits on the total daily or annual take to appropriate mitigate the potential effects.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force   | 10    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.12 as notified.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force   | 11    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.13 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Insert either a definition of 'full penetration', or guidance on the interpretation of this term to provide clarity for plan users. If this definition varies across different locations, then different definitions should be inserted.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force   | 12    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.14 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend this policy to better acknowledge the importance of providing longer consent durations - and therefore surety of supply - for NZDF activities and water takes.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force   | 13    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.5.1  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Request clarification and confirmation of the status of allocations within the Omaka River FMU. NZDF would be happy to further discuss these matters with Council prior to the Plan hearings.   |       |          |   |               |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 14    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space         | Objective 9.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 9.2 as notified.  |       |          |  |                |         |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 15    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.8  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend provisions to improve clarity and direction in relation to stormwater discharges district wide, including to land.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 16    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | Issue 16B      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend provisions to improve clarity and direction in relation to stormwater discharges district wide, including to land.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 17    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.30 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Policy 15.1.30 as notified.</p> <p><i>[The submitter opposed the Groundwater Protection Areas]</i></p> <p>Insert Groundwater Protection Area around the bores at Base Woodbourne into the MEP, with the location and extent of the proposed Protection Zones independently peer reviewed.</p> <p>NZDF is willing to discuss these areas with Council to ensure they are appropriate.</p> |       |          |  |                |         |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 18    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.15        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method 15.M.15 as notified.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 19    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.21 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the provisions to better provide for stormwater from various areas within the district, including from the Airport Zone.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 20    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.32 as notified.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 21    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.2  | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 15.3.2 or amend it to make it clear that the ambient air quality standards in the NESAQ are not to be used as assessment criteria for individual discharges.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 22    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.3.3 as notified.  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 23    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.3.5 as notified.  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 24    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.4.4 as notified.  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 25    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Issue 17A      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 17A as notified.  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 26    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Issue 17B      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 17B as notified.  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 27    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Objective 17.2 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend to refer to both civilian and military airports, with suggest amended wording as follows (insertions underlined):<br><br><i>"A balance is achieved between the operational needs of Marlborough's <u>civilian and military</u> airports and the amenities and wellbeing of the community."</i> |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 28    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Policy 17.2.1  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend to refer to both civilian and military airports, with suggested amended wording as follows (underlined):<br><br><i>"Provide for the operational needs of <u>civilian and military</u> airports by the protection of air corridors through restrictions on height and land use."</i>            |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 29    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | 17.M.4         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method 17.M.4 as notified.  |       |          |  |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|--------|
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 30    | Volume 1 | All             |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert table of contents to outline each of the issues in the Chapter, potentially with a summary of objectives and policies under each.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Structure each chapter in Volume 1 as per Chapter 3 where all issues, all objectives, all policies and all methods have been grouped.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Renumber the objectives and policies so that they relate to the associated issue; e.g. Issue 17C -&gt; Objective 17C.1...</p> |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 31    | Volume 1 | All             |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Group methods in each sub section or objective/policy clearly;</p> <p>Or</p> <p>List all methods under a specific 'Methods' heading at the end of each chapter.</p>   |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 32    | All      | All             |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert a detailed Table of Contents, including page numbers, to assist with wayfinding throughout the MEP and between the volumes.</p>  |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 33    | All      | All             |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert labelling throughout the MEP.</p>  |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 34    | Volume 2 | All             |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the rule layout to list the activity-specific standards directly under the rule that they relate to. This is consistent with the way standards are set out for controlled and discretionary activities.</p>   |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 35    | Volume 2 | All             |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the rule structure to provide for all regional rules within the General Chapter.</p>  |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 36    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend wording and structure of rules to clearly separate the groundwater and surface water provisions.</p>  |       |          |                 |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|--------|
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force   | 37    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Insert an explanation note or introduction and guidance on these rules, or insert an additional Appendix which explains these provisions.   |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force   | 38    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Suggest amending this sentence to state " <i>These activities apply <u>only</u> to roads and railway corridors identified on the zoning maps</i> ".   |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force   | 39    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.1.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Request requesting an amendment to rule reference from 2.4.1 to 2.5.1. As per our point above, this section would benefit from some explanation paragraphs.   |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force   | 40    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Request new permitted activity rules be inserted in the MEP to provide for the construction of bores in all zones, including the Airport, Industrial 1 and Urban Residential 2 zones, as a permitted activity.  |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force   | 41    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.10.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend to allow a 14 day timeframe over a period of 90 days;</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Insert a new permitted activity rule to provide for the taking of water for well development purposes, including surging and removal of fine material from the well.</p> <p>Suggested permitted activity standards are:</p> <p><i>(a) The instantaneous rate of the take must not exceed 100 l/s; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</i></p> |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force   | 42    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.9.    | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend to allow a 14 day timeframe over a period of 90 days;<br>AND<br>Insert a new permitted activity rule to provide for the taking of water for well development purposes, including surging and removal of fine material from the well.<br>Suggested permitted activity standards are:<br><i>(a) The instantaneous rate of the take must not exceed 100 l/s; and</i><br><i>(b) The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</i>  |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 43    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Insert a permitted activity rule and standards to provide for the taking, use and discharge to land of water associated with temporary military training activities.<br><br>Suggested wording is as follows:<br><br><i>Permitted activity rule: The take, use and discharge to land of surface water for the reasonable use of water treatment unites operated by the New Zealand Defence Force, up to 5% of the rive flow at any time.</i><br><br><i>Associated permitted activity standards:</i><br><br><i>1. The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</i><br><br><i>2. The take must not occur for more than ten consecutive days.</i> |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 44    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.3.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. Suggest this rule is cross referenced to the new rule providing for the use of water treatment units as requested in submission point 43.   |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 45    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.3.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified. Suggest this rule is cross referenced to the new rule providing for the use of water treatment units as requested in submission point 43.   |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 46    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Insert a rule to provide for temporary bridges and launch areas as a permitted activity, with suggested wording as follows:<br><i>Construction or placement of a temporary bridge in, on or over the bed of a lake or river in association with temporary military training activities.</i><br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- No more than 2m<sup>3</sup> of riverbed must be disturbed.</li> <li>- The structure must not be located in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland.</li> <li>- The construction or placement must comply with all the permitted activity land disturbance rules for the Zone in which the activity is taking place.</li> </ul> |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 47    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.4.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new rule to provide for temporary dams as a permitted activity, subject to standards, as requested below:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The temporary dam must not intersect groundwater;</li> <li>- The temporary dam must not be located in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland;</li> <li>- The temporary dam must not be built within 500m upstream of a dwelling, formed public road or designated rail infrastructure; and</li> <li>- The dam must be constructed to enable dismantling at the completion of each use.</li> </ul>   |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 48    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.4.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new rule to provide for temporary dams as a permitted activity, subject to standards, as requested below:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The temporary dam must not intersect groundwater;</li> <li>- The temporary dam must not be located in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland;</li> <li>- The temporary dam must not be built within 500m upstream of a dwelling, formed public road or designated rail infrastructure; and</li> <li>- The dam must be constructed to enable dismantling at the completion of each use.</li> </ul>   |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 49    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.3.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted activity standards under 2.17.3 to enable the discharge of stormwater to surface water from Airport Zoned land. Suggested amendments to the wording are (bold and underlined):<br><br><i>2.17.3.3. For stormwater sourced from land zoned Rural Living <u>and Airport</u>, the maximum discharge must not exceed 50l/s.</i><br><br>Standard 2.17.3.5 requires the use of interceptor systems where hazardous substances are stored and no changes are required to this standard.  |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 50    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Insert a new rule to provide for the discharge of stormwater to land, with suggested wording as follows:<br><br><i>General Rules - Permitted Activities - New Rule: Discharge of stormwater to land</i><br><br><i>Standards:</i><br><br>- the discharge is not from, onto or into contaminated land and<br><br>- the discharge shall not cause or exacerbate the flooding of any other property.<br><br>Note: The above wording is based on a similar permitted activity rule in the proposed Natural Resources Plan for Wellington. |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 51    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Replace the introductory sentence to state:<br><br><i>Temporary Military Training Activities are not required to comply with the requirements of any other part of the Plan except the provisions for earthworks and permanent structures, and any relevant regional rules.</i>  |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 52    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.41.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.41.1 as notified.  |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 53    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.42.1.1. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Permitted Activity Standard 2.42.1.1 in its entirety.   |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 54    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.42.1.2. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Permitted Activity Standard 2.42.1.2 in its entirety.   |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 55    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.42.1.3. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 2.42.1.3 and replace with the noise standards developed by NZDF specifically for TMTA activities, attached as <b>Attachment A</b> to this submission.  |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 56    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.42.1.4  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 2.24.1.4.  |       |          |                 |           |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 57    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules             | 2.43.2.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend to Restricted Discretionary status for temporary military training activities that cannot meet the permitted activity standards.   |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 58    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone    | 3.1.35.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standard to include NZDF, as suggested below (addition underlined):<br><br><i>Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service, <u>The New Zealand Defence Force</u> or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities.</i><br><br>We suggest NZDF submit on all duplications of this rule in the MEP requesting the above amendment be made to this rule across the zones in which it is located, to ensure consistency. |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 59    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone    | 3.3.35.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standard to include NZDF, as suggested below (addition underlined):<br><br><i>Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service, <u>The New Zealand Defence Force</u> or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities.</i><br><br>We suggest NZDF submit on all duplications of this rule in the MEP requesting the above amendment be made to this rule across the zones in which it is located, to ensure consistency. |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 60    | Volume 2 | All                         |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove duplication between provisions by providing for all regional rules in the general chapter.  |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 61    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone    | 3.2.5.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.2.5 as notified.   |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 62    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.11.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule to specify NZDF in the standards. Suggested amended wording is as follows (underlined):<br><br><i>Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service, the <u>New Zealand Defence Force</u> or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities.</i>  |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 63    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.3.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend rule to specify NZDF in the standards. Suggested amended wording is as follows (underlined):<br><i>Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service, the <u>New Zealand Defence Force</u> or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities.</i> |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 64    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.1.32.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule to specify NZDF in the standards. Suggested amended wording is as follows (underlined):<br><i>Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service, <u>the New Zealand Defence Force</u> or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities.</i> |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 65    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2.3.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend this rule by adding TMTA to the list of activities excluded from this rule, and instead requiring TMTA comply with the NZDF noise standards requested for insertion in General Rules Standard 2.42.1.3, as requested in submission point 55 above.  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 66    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2.3.3. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 16.2.3.3 as notified.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 67    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.1.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend standard to provide for NZDF activities, including but not limited to clarifying relevance across other areas of the Marlborough Sounds.  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 68    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.6.   | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert a new rule for the in-water cleaning of ships in the MEP. Suggested wording is provided below, based on a similar rule in the proposed Natural Resources Regional Plan for Wellington:</p> <p><i>In-Water bio-foul cleaning - permitted activity</i></p> <p><i>The discharge of contaminants and biological material into coastal water from in-water cleaning of biofouling from a vessel, moveable structure or navigation aid, in the Coastal Marine Zone, is a permitted activity provided the following conditions are met:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the anti-foul coating on the vessel, moveable structure or navigation aid shall not have exceeded its planned service life as specified by the manufacturer, and</i></p> <p><i>(b) the cleaning method shall be undertaken in accordance with the coating manufacturer's recommendations, and</i></p> <p><i>(c) the cleaning of microfouling and goose barnacles of international origin shall be removed using a gently, non-abrasive cleaning technique, and</i></p> <p><i>(d) the cleaning or treatment method shall capture any biological material released into the water column greater than 50µm in diameter, with any captured cleaning debris disposed on land, and</i></p> <p><i>(e) any captured cleaning debris is appropriately disposed of, and</i></p> <p><i>(f) if suspected harmful or unusual aquatic species are found, the vessel owner or operator shall take the following steps:</i></p> <p><i>(i) any cleaning activities shall cease immediately, and</i></p> <p><i>(ii) the Harbourmaster shall be notified within five working days, and</i></p> <p><i>(iii) the cleaning may not recommence until notified by the Council to do so.</i></p> |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 69    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.2.3.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 23.2.3 as notified.   |       |          |                 |           |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 70    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.1.20.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend rule to specify NZDF as undertaking these activities. Suggested amended wording is as follows (underlined):</p> <p><i>Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service, the New Zealand Defence Force or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities.</i></p> <p>And, insert the following clause:</p> <p><i>(...) controlled outdoor burning or deflagration of unwanted public and military ammunitions, munitions and pyrotechnics undertaken by the NZ Defence Force.</i></p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 71    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.3.7.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend rule to specify NZDF as undertaking these activities. Suggested amended wording is as follows (underlined):</p> <p><i>Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service, the New Zealand Defence Force or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities.</i></p> <p>And, insert the following clause:</p> <p><i>(...) controlled outdoor burning or deflagration of unwanted public and military ammunitions, munitions and pyrotechnics undertaken by the NZ Defence Force.</i></p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 72    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a rule in the MEP to manage discharges of heated air so that they do not prejudice flight safety on any aircraft flight path.</p>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 73    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert a rule to the same effect as Rule 44.1.5.1.8 from the operative Plan, into the MEP:</p> <p><i>The discharge of contaminants into air from:</i></p> <p><i>b) premises used for the servicing of aircraft, motor vehicles, including fuselage, body and engine repairs, panel beating, fibreglassing and painting carried out in a booth enclosure that has been designed to contain any omission of paint overspray.;</i></p> <p><i>...."</i></p>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force  | 74    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.2.7.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Redraft Rule 23.2.7.1 so that it is consistent with Ministry for the Environment guidance on the recommended form of consent conditions.</p>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 75           | Volume 2      | 23 Airport Zone | 23.2.8.1.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Redraft Rule 23.2.8.1 so that it is consistent with Ministry for the Environment guidance on the recommended form of consent conditions.              |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 76           | Volume 2      | 23 Airport Zone | 23.2.9.1.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Redraft Rule 23.2.9.1 so that it is consistent with Ministry for the Environment guidance on the recommended form of consent conditions.              |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 77           | Volume 2      | 23 Airport Zone | 23.2.10.1.       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 23.2.10.1 to refer to there being no noxious or dangerous effects of the discharge to air, or other relief that achieves the same outcome. |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 78           | Volume 2      | 23 Airport Zone | 23.2.10.3.       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 23.2.10.3 or relate it to some environmental effect.  |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 79           | Volume 2      | 23 Airport Zone | 23.3.8.          | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Re-draft the rule framework so that it is technically correct and not more onerous than the provisions of the operative plan.                         |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 80           | Volume 2      | 23 Airport Zone | 23.3.8.1.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Clarify and amend this preamble to assist with readability.   |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 81           | Volume 2      | 23 Airport Zone | 23.3.8.2.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 23.3.8.2 to simplify provisions.   |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 82           | Volume 2      | 23 Airport Zone | 23.3.8.3.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 23.3.8.3 to clarify.   |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 83           | Volume 2      | 23 Airport Zone | 23.3.8.7.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 23.3.8.7 to better apply an effects based approach.  |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 84           | Volume 2      | 23 Airport Zone | 23.3.9.          | Oppose      |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Re-draft the rule framework so that it is technically correct and not more onerous than the provisions of the operative plan.                         |       |          |                 |            |        |
|                    | And   |       |          |                 |            |        |
|                    | Provide an exemption for electricity generation.  |       |          |                 |            |        |
|                    | And   |       |          |                 |            |        |
|                    | Provide an exemption for discharges to air from aircraft engine maintenance and testing.  |       |          |                 |            |        |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 85    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.3.9.5.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 23.3.9.5.   |       |          |                 |            |        |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 86    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.3.10.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 23.3.10.4 to refer to there being no noxious or dangerous effects of the discharge to air, or other relief that achieves the same outcome. |       |          |                 |            |        |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 87    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.3.11.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 23.3.11.2.  |       |          |                 |            |        |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 88    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.3.12.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 23.3.12.6.  |       |          |                 |            |        |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 89    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.3.13.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 23.3.13.4.  |       |          |                 |            |        |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 90    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.5.1.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 23.5.1 to replace "burning" with "outdoor burning or burning in a small-scale heating appliance";  |       |          |                 |            |        |
|                    | And   |       |          |                 |            |        |
|                    | Include the phrase "unless permitted by rule 23.3.7".   |       |          |                 |            |        |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force   | 91    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.        | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Insert a new rule in Chapter 23 to provide for burning green waste, with suggested wording as follows:<br><br><i>Permitted activity: Discharge of contaminants to air arising from burning in the open.</i><br><br><i>Standards:</i><br><br><i>- Only material generated on the same property or a property under the same ownership can be burned.</i>  |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 92    | Volume 2 | All                                     |           | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the MEP to identify/mark all words that are defined in Chapter 25 by an asterisk, italicised text or similar at each appearance in the MEP.  |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 93    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                          | 25.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Either add a new definition to Chapter 25 which lists the facilities fro Policy 4.2.1<br><br>OR<br><br>Add definition to Chapter 25 that directs the reader to Policy 4.2.1 where the facilities are listed.   |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 94    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                          | 25.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Insert a definition in the MEP. NZDF's suggested wording is as follows:<br><br><i>"When existing activities are affected by newer uses establishing that may have sensitivity to, and subsequently complain about, the effects of the existing activities; and seek to limit the ability of the existing activities to continue. Common examples are new residential development establishing next to farming or industrial operations, which can lead to the new residents complaining about noise, odour or other nuisance effects from those established activities".</i> |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 95    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                          | 25.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Retain definition as notified, with the exception of fixing an error by removing an extra 'the' as follows:<br><br><i>"means a temporary training activity undertaken for <del>the</del> defence purposes in accordance with the Defence Act 1990".</i>  |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 96    | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land |           | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified, with the exception of a typographical error in section (a) of the Explanation A2, where the bearing reads 86o00 where in fact it should read 86°00 (the degree symbol amended to superscript).   |       |          |   |           |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 97           | Volume 3      | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land   |                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the designations as currently provided for.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 98           | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend these provisions to correct errors.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 99           | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>This table requires further investigation and review to confirm the content is correct.</p> <p>NZDF has assumed that "fully restricted" means all water takes are stopped. NZDF suggests that instead, rationing occurs when the Tyntesfield Gorge is below 0.067m<sup>3</sup>/s (67L/s), rather than restrictions being placed at this level.</p> <p>NZDF is happy to discuss these matters with Council prior to a hearing.</p> |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 100          | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 85    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the underlying Airport and Urban Residential 2 zoning at Base Woodbourne.</p> <p>NZDF wishes to further discuss the proposed Industrial zoning with Council.</p>   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 101          | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 86    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the underlying Airport and Urban Residential 2 zoning at Base Woodbourne.</p> <p>NZDF wishes to further discuss the proposed Industrial zoning with Council.</p>   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>992</b>         | New Zealand Defence Force  | 102          | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 158   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the underlying Airport and Urban Residential 2 zoning at Base Woodbourne.</p> <p>NZDF wishes to further discuss the proposed Industrial zoning with Council.</p>   |              |               |   |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force   | 103   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Insert Groundwater Protection Areas regarding the bores operating at Base Woodbourne.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 992                | New Zealand Defence Force   | 104   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                         | 2.16.3.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the permitted activity standards under 2.17.3 to enable the discharge of stormwater to surface water from Airport Zoned land. Suggested amendments to the wording are: (bold and underlined):</p> <p><i>2.17.3.3. For stormwater sourced from land zoned Rural Living <b><u>and Airport,</u></b> the maximum discharge must not exceed 50l/s/</i></p> <p>Standard 2.17.3.5 requires the use of interceptor systems where hazardous substances are stored and no changed are required to this standard.</p>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the explanatory text to Issue 4B to include the following additional paragraph:</p> <p><b><i>“Emergency services are essential to the on-going health, safety and wellbeing of the Marlborough community. It is therefore important that emergency services are able to operate, upgrade and develop efficiently and effectively in a manner that responds to community needs without unnecessary constraints.”</i></b></p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Objective and Policies that respond to Issue 4B to as follows:</p> <p>“[RPS, R, C, D]</p> <p><b><u>Objective 4.x Efficient and effective development and operation of emergency services</u></b></p> <p><i>“Support and protect the health, safety and wellbeing of the Marlborough community by enabling efficient and effective emergency services’.</i></p> <p><b><u>Policy 4.x.1 Recognise the essential nature of emergency services by:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(a) providing for the development and on-going use of emergency service facilities;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(b) requiring adequate property access for emergency vehicles and appropriate access to, and supplies of, firefighting water; and</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(c) enabling emergency services training activities.</u></b></p> <p><i>The policy recognises that emergency service facilities; emergency services training; and the need for adequate access and water supply for emergency response purposes is essential for the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities. The policy provides for emergency services, and associated activities, throughout Marlborough.”</i></p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.9         | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the first paragraph of Method 4.M.9 as follows (bold):<br/> <i>"Rules will be used to enable activities associated with the maintenance, alteration, minor upgrading and replacement of regionally significant infrastructure. <b>Rules will also be used to enable emergency services activities and facilities.</b> Standards will specify the extent of works involved with any of these activities."</i></p>  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br/> <i>"With the exception of water taken for domestic needs, <b>firefighting purposes</b> or animal drinking water, prevent the taking of water authorised by resource consent when flows and/or levels in a Freshwater Management Unit are at or below a management flow and/or level set as part of an environmental flow and/or level set in accordance with Policy 5.2.4."</i><br/>           And, amend the explanation to the Policy as follows (bold) -<br/> <i>"Water taken for domestic needs, <b>firefighting purposes (including training and emergencies)</b> or animal drinking water is exempt from the policy given the contribution they make to sustaining the community."</i></p> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 5.3.1 as follows (bold) -<br/> <i>"Policy 5.3.1 – To allocate water in the following order of priority:<br/>           (a) natural and human use values; then<br/>           (b) aquifer recharge; then<br/>           (c) domestic, <b>firefighting</b> and stock water supply; then<br/>           (d) municipal water supply; and then<br/>           (e) all other takes of water."</i></p>   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Policy 5.3.5 as notified.</p>   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 7     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space   | Policy 9.2.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Policy 9.2.1, clause (e) as proposed.</p>   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 8     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards    | Objective 11.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 11.1 as notified.   |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 9     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards    | Policy 11.1.22 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.22 as notified.   |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 10    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.3.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 12.3.2 as follows (bold) -<br/> <b>"Policy 12.3.2 - Provide for appropriate community-based facilities <i>and emergency service facilities</i> to locate within residential environments where they meet a community need and are in keeping with the expected residential character and amenity values for Urban Residential Zones.</b></p> <p>And, amend the first sentence of the explanation to the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -<br/> Emergency service facilities and <del>community-based activities, including both community facilities (e.g. health, education and spiritual) and recreational activities (e.g. playgrounds)</del> play an important role in providing for the day-to-day needs of residents."</p> |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 11    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.9.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.9.1 as notified.  |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 12    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.9.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.9.2 as notified.  |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 13    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.9.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.9.7 as notified.  |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 14    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | 12.M.8         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method 12.M.8 as notified.  |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 15    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | 12.M.9         | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the first sentence of the Method 12.M.9 as follows (bold) -<br><i>" Rules of the MEP require the providers of water (<b>including the New Zealand Fire Service in relation to firefighting water supply and access</b>), sewerage, stormwater, roading, electricity and telecommunication services to confirm the proposed arrangements for providing the infrastructure to new urban subdivisions."</i>  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 16    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.1 as notified.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 17    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.1.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy and the explanation to the Policy as follows (bold) -<br><i>" Policy 14.1.5 – Require rural subdivisions to provide a minimum of two cubic metres of drinkable water per new allotment, per day <b>and adequate firefighting water supply</b>.<br/> The Provisions of the MEP enable a dwelling house to be established on rural properties (including those in the coastal environment) as a permitted activity. This reasonably creates an expectation that there will be sufficient water of adequate quality on the property to provide for an individual's reasonable domestic needs <b>and for firefighting purposes</b>. Those <b>domestic</b> needs including drinking, other household uses, garden water and other incidental uses around the household. To ensure that these needs are able to be met, the policy requires any application for a subdivision creating a new allotment(s) where no reticulated water supply is available to demonstrate that each allotment has access to at least two cubic metres of drinkable water per day and is able to provide adequate water supply for firefighting purposes. The policy does not specify the source of the water or the method of provision, providing the flexibility (through the Code of Practice) to determine arrangements appropriate to the circumstances."</i> |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 18    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                   | 2.2.8.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.2.8 as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>" Take, <del>and</del> use <b>and damming</b> of water for fire-fighting purposes <b>and firefighting training (when undertaken by the New Zealand Fire Service or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting activities)</b>."</i>  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 19    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                   | 2.6.4.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the exemptions in Rule 2.6.4 as follows (bold) -<br><i>" This rule does not apply to a take, use, damming or diversion of water lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016, including the take and use of water for an individual's reasonable domestic needs and the take and use of water for the reasonable drinking water needs of an individual's animals <b>and the take, use and damming of water for firefighting purposes permitted by Rule 2.2.8.</b>"</i>   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 20    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                   | 2.16.1.       | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.16.1 and accompanying Standard 2.17.1 as notified.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 21    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.32.4.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the vehicle crossing width and height Standards in 2.32.4 as notified.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 22    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.33.2.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 2.33.2 in its entirety.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 23    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.41.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the section of the General Rules that provide for "<i>Temporary Military Training Activity</i>" as follows (strike through and bold) -<br/> "<i>Temporary Military Training <b>and Emergency Management and Training Activities</b></i>"</p> <p>Amend Permitted Activity 2.41.1 as follows (strike through and bold) -<br/> "<i>Temporary military training <b>and emergency management and training activities</b></i>."</p> <p>Add a Permitted Activity as follows (bold) -<br/> "<b>[R]</b><br/> <b><i>Discharge of contaminants to land from the use of firefighting foam for emergency response training purposes.</i></b>"</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 24    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.35.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 31.1.35 as notified. (inferred)   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 25    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.51.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 3.1.51 as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <i>Emergency Service <b>Facilities</b> <del>Facility Activities of the New Zealand Fire Service on Lot 1 DP 5102 (Wairau Valley Fire Station).</del></i> "  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 26    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.7.  | Support         |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.2.1.7 as notified.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 27    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.2.3.3.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain clause 3.2.3.3(a) in Standard 3.2.3 as notified.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 28    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.2.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add new Standards in 3.2 to as follows:<br><b>" 3.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b><br><b>3.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b><br><b>3.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."</b> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 29    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.35.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 3.3.35.2 to include the following (bold) -<br><b>" If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August <i>during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day.</i>"</b>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 30    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.34.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.1.34 as notified.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 31    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.6.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.2.1.6 as notified.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 32    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.      | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standards in 4.2 to include a further standard as follows:<br><b>" 4.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b><br><b>4.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b><br><b>4.2.x.2 Where a building has road access and is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8).</b><br><b>4.2.x.3 Where road access to the building and water supply is not available a fire sprinkler system must be provided."</b> |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 33    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.34.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.34 as notified.   |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 34    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.4.      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Controlled Activity rule as follows:<br><b>" [D]</b><br><b>4.4.x Emergency Service Facility</b><br><b>Matters over which the Council has reserved control:</b><br><b>4.4.x.1 The design and appearance of the facility.</b><br><b>4.4.x.2 The functional and operational requirements of emergency services.</b><br><b>4.4.x.3 The design of vehicle parking and access."</b>   |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 35    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Controlled Activity rule as follows:<br><b>" 5.x Controlled Activities</b><br><b>Application must be made for a Controlled Activity for the following:</b><br><b>[D]</b><br><b>5.x.x Emergency Service Facility (including activities in 5.1.30 that do not meet the Standards in 5.2)</b><br><b>Matters over which the Council has reserved control:</b><br><b>5.x.x.1 The design and appearance of the facility.</b><br><b>5.x.x.2 The functional and operational requirements of emergency services.</b><br><b>5.x.x.3 The design of vehicle parking and access."</b>  |       |          |                                  |           |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 36    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.1.21.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain</b> Rule 5.1.21 as notified.   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 37    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.1.30.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 5.1.30 as notified.  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 38    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause 5.2.2.1 in Standard 5.2.2 as follows:<br><i>" This standard does not <b>apply</b> to sirens and call out sirens associated with the activities of the New Zealand Fire Service."</i>  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 39    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standards in 5.2 to include a further standard as follows:<br><b><i>" 5.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting<br/> 5.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.<br/> 5.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."</i></b> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 40    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.16.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard to include the following:<br><b><i>" If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August <b>during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day.</b>"</i></b>  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 41    | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone       | 6.1.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 6.1 Permitted Activities to include the following:<br><b><i>"[D]<br/> 6.1.x Emergency Service Facility"</i></b>  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 42    | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone       | 6.1.      | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 6.1 to include the following:<br><b>" 6.1.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for training people to put out fires."</b><br>As a consequence, Amend 6.1 'Standards that apply to specific permitted activities' to include the following:<br><b>" 6.1.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials training people to put out fires.</b><br><b>6.1.x.1. The Council must be notified at least 5 working days prior to the burning activity commencing.</b><br><b>6.1.x.2. If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day.</b><br><b>6.1.x.3. Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities."</b> |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 43    | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone | 6.2.2.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause 6.2.2.1 in Standard 6.2.2 to include the following:<br><b>" This standard does not apply to sirens and call out sirens associated with the activities of the New Zealand Fire Service."</b>   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 44    | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone | 6.2.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standards in 6.2 to include a further standard as follows:<br><b>" 6.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b><br><b>6.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b><br><b>6.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."</b>  |       |          |                            |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|--------|
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 45    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new Controlled Activity rule as follows:</p> <p><b>" 7.x Controlled Activities</b><br/> <b>Application must be made for a Controlled Activity for the following:</b><br/> <b>[D]</b><br/> <b>7.x.x Emergency Service Facility</b><br/> <b>Matters over which the Council has reserved control:</b><br/> <b>7.x.x.1 The design and appearance of the facility.</b><br/> <b>7.x.x.2 The functional and operational requirements of emergency services.</b><br/> <b>7.x.x.3 The design of vehicle parking and access."</b></p>   |       |          |                       |           |        |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 46    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.1.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 7.1 to include the following:</p> <p><b>" 7.1.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for training people to put out fires."</b></p> <p>As a consequence, Amend 7.3 'Standards that apply to specific permitted activities' to include the following:</p> <p><b>" 7.3.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials training people to put out fires.</b><br/> <b>7.3.x.1. The Council must be notified at least 5 working days prior to the burning activity commencing.</b><br/> <b>7.3.x.2. Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities."</b></p>                                       |       |          |                       |           |        |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 47    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standards in 7.2 to include a further standard as follows:</p> <p><b>" 7.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b><br/> <b>7.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b><br/> <b>7.2.x.2 Where a building has road access and is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8).</b><br/> <b>7.2.x.3 Where road access to the building and water supply is not available a fire sprinkler system must be provided."</b></p> |       |          |                       |           |        |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 48    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone   | 8.        | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new Controlled Activity rule as follows:<br><b>" 8.x Controlled Activities</b><br><b>Application must be made for a Controlled Activity for the following:</b><br><b>[D]</b><br><b>8.x.x Emergency Service Facility</b><br><b>Matters over which the Council has reserved control:</b><br><b>8.x.x.1 The design and appearance of the facility.</b><br><b>8.x.x.2 The functional and operational requirements of emergency services.</b><br><b>8.x.x.3 The design of vehicle parking and access."</b>   |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 49    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.1.16.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 8.1.16 as notified.   |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 50    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.2.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standards in 8.2 to include a further standard as follows:<br><b>" 8.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b><br><b>8.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b><br><b>8.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."</b> |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 51    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.15.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 8.3.15 to include the following (bold) -<br><br><b>"If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August <i>during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day.</i>"?</b>   |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 52    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone   | 9.1.6.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend</b> Rule 9.1.6 as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><del>"Emergency service <b>Facility</b> activities of the New Zealand Fire Service on Sec 2 SO 443127 and Sec 7 SO 7431 (Blenheim Fire Station) and Lot 1 DP 9780 and Pt Sec 254 and 261 TN of Picton (Picton Fire Station)."</del>   |       |          |                     |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter            | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 53    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone  | 9.1.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 9.1 to include the following:<br/> <b>" 9.1.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for training people to put out fires."</b></p> <p>As a consequence, Amend 9.3 'Standards that apply to specific permitted activities' to include the following:<br/> <b>" 9.3.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials training people to put out fires.</b><br/> <b>9.3.x.1. The Council must be notified at least 5 working days prior to the burning activity commencing.</b><br/> <b>9.3.x.2. If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</b><br/> <b>9.3.x.3. Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities."</b></p> |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 54    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone  | 9.2.2.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain clause 9.2.2.3 in Standard 9.2.2 as notified.   |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 55    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone  | 9.2.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standards in 9.2 to include a further standard as follows:<br/> <b>" 9.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b><br/> <b>9.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b><br/> <b>9.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."</b></p>   |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 56    | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.1.5.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 10.1.5 as follows (strike through and bold) -?<br/> <del>" Rule 10.1.5. Emergency service <b>Facility</b> activities of the New Zealand Fire Service on Sec 2 SO 443127 and Sec 7 SO 7431 (Blenheim Fire Station) and Lot 1 DP 9780 and Pt Sec 254 and 261 TN of Picton (Picton Fire Station)."</del>?"</p>  |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 57    | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.1.     | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 10.1 to include the following:<br><b>" 10.1.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for training people to put out fires."</b><br>As a consequence, Amend 10.3 'Standards that apply to specific permitted activities' to include the following:<br><b>" 10.3.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials training people to put out fires.</b><br><b>10.3.x.1. The Council must be notified at least 5 working days prior to the burning activity commencing.</b><br><b>10.3.x.2. If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</b><br><b>10.3.x.3. Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities."</b> |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 58    | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone          | 10.2.2.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain clause 10.2.2.3 in Standard 10.2.2 as notified.   |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 59    | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone          | 10.2.     | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standards in 10.2 to include a further standard as follows:<br>"10.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting<br>10.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.<br>10.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."  |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 60    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.13.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 12.1.13 as notified.   |       |          |                             |           |         |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 61    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.33.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 12.1.33 as notified.   |       |          |                             |           |         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 62    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments       | Policy 12.2.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 12.2.2 to include the following clause:<br><b>" 12.2.2.x. This standard does not apply to sirens and call out sirens associated with the activities of the New Zealand Fire Service."</b>  |       |          |                             |               |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 63    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standards in 12.2 to include a further standard as follows:<br><b>" 12.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b><br><b>12.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b><br><b>12.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."</b> |       |          |                             |               |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 64    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.3.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 12.3.3 to include the following:<br><b>" If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August <i>during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day.</i>"</b>   |       |          |                             |               |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 65    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                | 13.1.33.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 13.1.33 as notified.  |       |          |                             |               |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 66    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                | 13.1.34.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 13.1.34 as notified.  |       |          |                             |               |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 67    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                | 13.3.22.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 13.3.22 as notified.  |       |          |                             |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                     | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 68           | Volume 2      | 13 Port Zone              | 13.3.23.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 13.3.23 as notified. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 69           | Volume 2      | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.1.17.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 14.1.17 as notified.     |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 70           | Volume 2      | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.12.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 14.3.12 as notified. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 71           | Volume 2      | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.1.30.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 15.1.30 as notified.     |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 72           | Volume 2      | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.1.32.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 15.1.32 as notified.     |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 73           | Volume 2      | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.3.20.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 15.3.20 as notified. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 74           | Volume 2      | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.3.22.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 15.3.22 as notified. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 75           | Volume 2      | 16 Coastal Marine Zone    | 16.1.19.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.1.19 as notified.     |              |               |                           |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 76    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.20.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.1.20 as notified.  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 77    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.16.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 16.3.16 as notified.  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 78    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.17.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 16.3.17 as notified.  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 79    | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone   | 18.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new Controlled Activity rule as follows:</p> <p><b>" 18.x Controlled Activities</b></p> <p><b>Application must be made for a Controlled Activity for the following:</b></p> <p><b>[D]</b></p> <p><b>18.x.x Emergency Service Facility (including activities in 18.1.8 that do not meet the Standards in 18.2)</b></p> <p><b>Matters over which the Council has reserved control:</b></p> <p><b>18.x.x.1 The design and appearance of the facility.</b></p> <p><b>18.x.x.2 The functional and operational requirements of emergency services.</b></p> <p><b>18.x.x.3 The design of vehicle parking and access."</b></p> |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 80    | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone   | 18.1.8.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 18.1.8 as notified.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 81    | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone   | 18.2.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain clause 18.2.2.1 in Standard 18.2.2 as notified.  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 82    | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone   | 18.2.     | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standards in 18.2 to include a further standard as follows:<br><b>" 18.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b><br><b>18.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b><br><b>18.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."</b> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 83    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.11.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 19.1.11 as notified.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 84    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.1.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 19.2.1.4 as follows (bold) -<br><b>" A habitable structure or accessory building must have a fire safety setback of at least 100m from any existing commercial forestry or carbon sequestration forestry on any adjacent land under different ownership."</b>  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 85    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.9.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 19.3.9 to include the following (bold) -?<br><b>" If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day."</b>   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 86    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone      | 23.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Permitted Activity as follows:<br><b>" 23.x Permitted Activities</b><br><b>[D]</b><br><b>23.1.x Emergency Service Facility"</b>   |       |          |                      |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 87    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.1.21.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 23.1.21 as notified.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 88    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.3.7.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 23.3.7 to include the following (bold) -<br><br><i>"If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August <b>during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day.</b>"</i>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 89    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision  | 24.1.14.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 23.3.7 to include the following (bold) -<br><br><i>"If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August <b>during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day.</b>"</i>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 90    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision  | 24.3.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause 24.3.1.1 in Rule 24.3.1 as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"The subdivision must comply with Rules 24.1.1, 24.1.4, 24.1.7, <del>and</del> <b>24.1.10 and 24.1.14.</b>"</i>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 91    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision  | 24.3.1.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the notes that accompany the Table in Standard 24.3.1.3 to include the following:?<br><br><i>"Where a building platform is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)." ?</i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 92    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision  | 24.3.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause 24.3.1.26 as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Provision of water for firefighting <b>in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b>"</i>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 993                | New Zealand Fire Service Commission   | 93    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of 'emergency service' as follows (bold) -<br><i>"means an organization that is essential to the community's <b>initial</b> response to an emergency incident or hazard event."</i> |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>993</b>         | New Zealand Fire Service Commission  | 94    | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land |              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove designation B16 from schedule in Appendix 14 of the MEP and from Planning Maps 58 and 186.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>994</b>         | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group  | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.4 | Support in Part |

Decision  
Requested

Add to these policies so they apply more broadly to include all structures in waterways. Add to these policies with respect to consent renewal and delaying the legal effect of the rules to allow time to remediate the in-stream structures:

**Policy (a):** To assess the need to provide for the passage of fish at existing structures when renewing consents or when setting priorities for remedial or enforcement action, by taking into account:

- (a) quantity of habitat upstream of the barrier;
- (b) whether the stream is continuously flowing or ephemeral, and the extent to which the barrier affects fish passage at a range of stream flows;
- (c) significance and quality of the habitat, including presence of threatened species or effects of predator species on indigenous species;
- (d) proximity of barrier to the sea;
- (e) costs associated with any works required to provide fish passage at a site or several sites on the same river and including any likely adverse effects of the retrofit on adjacent landowners and any adverse effects on hydraulic efficiency;
- (f) proximity and effects of other fish barriers, including natural barriers in the same stream;
- (g) whether the structure is still used or the time until any programmed replacement;
- (h) whether there are alternative methods of providing for the passage of fish.

**Policy (b):** To delay the legal effect of the rules regulating culverts, fords and tidal flood gates existing as at [plan notification date] until five years from the operative date and to:

- (a) require resource consents or
- (b) take enforcement action for structures that do not provide for fish passage at that time unless:
  - (i) the structure has been assessed against policy (a) as not requiring provision of fish passage or
  - (ii) a plan is prepared which includes:
    - (-) a description of the works required to provide for fish passage;
    - (-) a target completion date for the required work.
- (e) the works have been completed by the specified date.

| <b>Sub No</b> | <b>Submitter</b>                        | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|---------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>994</b>    | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group | 2            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.21    | Support in Part |



Decision Requested

Add to these policies so they apply more broadly to include all structures in waterways. Add to these policies with respect to consent renewal and delaying the legal effect of the rules to allow time to remediate the in-stream structures:

**Policy (a):** To assess the need to provide for the passage of fish at existing structures when renewing consents or when setting priorities for remedial or enforcement action, by taking into account:

- (a) quantity of habitat upstream of the barrier;
- (b) whether the stream is continuously flowing or ephemeral, and the extent to which the barrier affects fish passage at a range of stream flows;
- (c) significance and quality of the habitat, including presence of threatened species or effects of predator species on indigenous species;
- (d) proximity of barrier to the sea;
- (e) costs associated with any works required to provide fish passage at a site or several sites on the same river and including any likely adverse effects of the retrofit on adjacent landowners and any adverse effects on hydraulic efficiency;
- (f) proximity and effects of other fish barriers, including natural barriers in the same stream;
- (g) whether the structure is still used or the time until any programmed replacement;
- (h) whether there are alternative methods of providing for the passage of fish.

**Policy (b):** To delay the legal effect of the rules regulating culverts, fords and tidal flood gates existing as at [plan notification date] until five years from the operative date and to:

- (a) require resource consents or
- (b) take enforcement action for structures that do not provide for fish passage at that time unless:
  - (i) the structure has been assessed against policy (a) as not requiring provision of fish passage or
  - (ii) a plan is prepared which includes:
    - (-) a description of the works required to provide for fish passage;
    - (-) a target completion date for the required work.
- (e) the works have been completed by the specified date.

| <b>Sub No</b> | <b>Submitter</b>                        | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|---------------|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>994</b>    | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group | 3            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.5     | Support in Part |

Decision  
Requested

Add to these policies so they apply more broadly to include all structures in waterways. Add to these policies with respect to consent renewal and delaying the legal effect of the rules to allow time to remediate the in-stream structures:

**Policy (a):** To assess the need to provide for the passage of fish at existing structures when renewing consents or when setting priorities for remedial or enforcement action, by taking into account:

- (a) quantity of habitat upstream of the barrier;
- (b) whether the stream is continuously flowing or ephemeral, and the extent to which the barrier affects fish passage at a range of stream flows;
- (c) significance and quality of the habitat, including presence of threatened species or effects of predator species on indigenous species;
- (d) proximity of barrier to the sea;
- (e) costs associated with any works required to provide fish passage at a site or several sites on the same river and including any likely adverse effects of the retrofit on adjacent landowners and any adverse effects on hydraulic efficiency;
- (f) proximity and effects of other fish barriers, including natural barriers in the same stream;
- (g) whether the structure is still used or the time until any programmed replacement;
- (h) whether there are alternative methods of providing for the passage of fish.

**Policy (b):** To delay the legal effect of the rules regulating culverts, fords and tidal flood gates existing as at [plan notification date] until five years from the operative date and to:

- (a) require resource consents or
- (b) take enforcement action for structures that do not provide for fish passage at that time unless:
  - (i) the structure has been assessed against policy (a) as not requiring provision of fish passage or
  - (ii) a plan is prepared which includes:
    - (-) a description of the works required to provide for fish passage;
    - (-) a target completion date for the required work.
- (e) the works have been completed by the specified date.

| <b>Sub No</b> | <b>Submitter</b>                        | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>        | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|---------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>994</b>    | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group | 4            | Volume 1      | 12 Urban Environments | 12.              | Support in Part |

Decision Requested

Add to these policies so they apply more broadly to include all structures in waterways. Add to these policies with respect to consent renewal and delaying the legal effect of the rules to allow time to remediate the in-stream structures:

**Policy (a):** To assess the need to provide for the passage of fish at existing structures when renewing consents or when setting priorities for remedial or enforcement action, by taking into account:

- (a) quantity of habitat upstream of the barrier;
- (b) whether the stream is continuously flowing or ephemeral, and the extent to which the barrier affects fish passage at a range of stream flows;
- (c) significance and quality of the habitat, including presence of threatened species or effects of predator species on indigenous species;
- (d) proximity of barrier to the sea;
- (e) costs associated with any works required to provide fish passage at a site or several sites on the same river and including any likely adverse effects of the retrofit on adjacent landowners and any adverse effects on hydraulic efficiency;
- (f) proximity and effects of other fish barriers, including natural barriers in the same stream;
- (g) whether the structure is still used or the time until any programmed replacement;
- (h) whether there are alternative methods of providing for the passage of fish.

**Policy (b):** To delay the legal effect of the rules regulating culverts, fords and tidal flood gates existing as at [plan notification date] until five years from the operative date and to:

- (a) require resource consents or
- (b) take enforcement action for structures that do not provide for fish passage at that time unless:
  - (i) the structure has been assessed against policy (a) as not requiring provision of fish passage or
  - (ii) a plan is prepared which includes:
    - (-) a description of the works required to provide for fish passage;
    - (-) a target completion date for the required work.
- (e) the works have been completed by the specified date.

| <b>Sub No</b> | <b>Submitter</b>                        | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|---------------|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>994</b>    | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group | 5            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14.              | Support in Part |

Decision  
Requested

Add to these policies so they apply more broadly to include all structures in waterways. Add to these policies with respect to consent renewal and delaying the legal effect of the rules to allow time to remediate the in-stream structures:

**Policy (a):** To assess the need to provide for the passage of fish at existing structures when renewing consents or when setting priorities for remedial or enforcement action, by taking into account:

- (a) quantity of habitat upstream of the barrier;
- (b) whether the stream is continuously flowing or ephemeral, and the extent to which the barrier affects fish passage at a range of stream flows;
- (c) significance and quality of the habitat, including presence of threatened species or effects of predator species on indigenous species;
- (d) proximity of barrier to the sea;
- (e) costs associated with any works required to provide fish passage at a site or several sites on the same river and including any likely adverse effects of the retrofit on adjacent landowners and any adverse effects on hydraulic efficiency;
- (f) proximity and effects of other fish barriers, including natural barriers in the same stream;
- (g) whether the structure is still used or the time until any programmed replacement;
- (h) whether there are alternative methods of providing for the passage of fish.

**Policy (b):** To delay the legal effect of the rules regulating culverts, fords and tidal flood gates existing as at [plan notification date] until five years from the operative date and to:

- (a) require resource consents or
- (b) take enforcement action for structures that do not provide for fish passage at that time unless:
  - (i) the structure has been assessed against policy (a) as not requiring provision of fish passage or
  - (ii) a plan is prepared which includes:
    - (-) a description of the works required to provide for fish passage;
    - (-) a target completion date for the required work.
- (e) the works have been completed by the specified date.

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 994                | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group  | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>There needs to be Anticipated Environmental Results and Monitoring Effectiveness Requirements to back up these policies. This should include:</p> <p><b>AER:</b> Maintenance of fish passage.</p> <p>Monitoring: All structures in waterways shall be assessed for their ability to provide for fish passage.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 994                | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group  | 7     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity        | 8.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>There needs to be Anticipated Environmental Results and Monitoring Effectiveness Requirements to back up these policies. This should include:</p> <p><b>AER:</b> Maintenance of fish passage.</p> <p>Monitoring: All structures in waterways shall be assessed for their ability to provide for fish passage.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 994                | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group  | 8     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments            | 12.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>There needs to be Anticipated Environmental Results and Monitoring Effectiveness Requirements to back up these policies. This should include:</p> <p><b>AER:</b> Maintenance of fish passage.</p> <p>Monitoring: All structures in waterways shall be assessed for their ability to provide for fish passage.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 994                | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group  | 9     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | 14.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>There needs to be Anticipated Environmental Results and Monitoring Effectiveness Requirements to back up these policies. This should include:</p> <p><b>AER:</b> Maintenance of fish passage.</p> <p>Monitoring: All structures in waterways shall be assessed for their ability to provide for fish passage.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 994                | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group  | 10    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity        | Issue 8A  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a statement highlighting the issue of fish passage with respect to all structures in waterways.  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 994                | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group   | 11    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a condition to the permitted activity rules along the following lines:</p> <p>The <b>design, placement,</b> and maintenance of any structure does not impede the passage of fish, except that in respect of culverts, fords, and tidal flood gates existing as at [date plan is notified], and for short periods during maintenance, this condition does not have legal effect until five years from its operative date.</p>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 994                | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group   | 12    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.5.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as is [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 994                | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group   | 13    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.7.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as is [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 994                | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group   | 14    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a condition to the general permitted activity rules requiring fish passage for all existing structures in waterways within five years of the date of the plan becoming operative.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 994                | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group   | 15    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.3.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend activity standard 2.9.3.2 to provide greater detail on the screening requirements and minimum standards for the prevention of fish passage, and maximum size of the intake to limit effects on aquatic ecology.</p> <p>See good practice guidelines for Canterbury that are applicable nationally that can provide key criteria</p> <p><a href="http://www.doc.govt.nz/Documents/conservation/native-animals/Fish/fish-passage/fish-screen-guidelines.pdf">http://www.doc.govt.nz/Documents/conservation/native-animals/Fish/fish-passage/fish-screen-guidelines.pdf</a>.</p> <p>Environment Canterbury has adopted these key criteria into a schedule into their Land and Water Plan</p> <p>(<a href="http://files.ecan.govt.nz/public/lwrp/LWRP-Plan-Volume_1.pdf">http://files.ecan.govt.nz/public/lwrp/LWRP-Plan-Volume_1.pdf</a>; Schedule 2 page 251).</p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 994                | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group   | 16    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.3.    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend activity standard 2.9.3.2 to provide greater detail on the screening requirements and minimum standards for the prevention of fish passage, and maximum size of the intake to limit effects on aquatic ecology.<br>See good practice guidelines for Canterbury that are applicable nationally that can provide key criteria <a href="http://www.doc.govt.nz/Documents/conservation/native-animals/Fish/fish-passage/fish-screen-guidelines.pdf">http://www.doc.govt.nz/Documents/conservation/native-animals/Fish/fish-passage/fish-screen-guidelines.pdf</a> .<br>Environment Canterbury has adopted these key criteria into a schedule into their Land and Water Plan ( <a href="http://files.ecan.govt.nz/public/lwrp/LWRP-Plan-Volume_1.pdf">http://files.ecan.govt.nz/public/lwrp/LWRP-Plan-Volume_1.pdf</a> ; Schedule 2 page 251). |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>994</b>         | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group   | 17    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.7.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified but add a condition to the permitted activity rules along the following lines:<br><br>The <b>design, placement,</b> and maintenance of any structure does not impede the passage of fish, except that is respect of culverts, fords, and tidal flood gates existing as at [date plan is notified], and except for short periods during maintenance, this condition does not have legal effect until five years from its operative date.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>994</b>         | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group   | 18    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.7.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified but add a condition to the permitted activity rules along the following lines:<br><br>The <b>design, placement,</b> and maintenance of any structure does not impede the passage of fish, except that is respect of culverts, fords, and tidal flood gates existing as at [date plan is notified], and except for short periods during maintenance, this condition does not have legal effect until five years from its operative date.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>994</b>         | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group   | 19    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.4.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Require fish passage when there is fish habitat upstream.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>994</b>         | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group   | 20    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.5.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Require fish passage when there is fish habitat upstream.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>994</b>         | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group   | 21    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.7.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Add conditions for culvert installation including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Alignment shall be as close as possible to the existing stream alignment</li> <li>- Bed material should be assessed to determine the potential for erosion. If erosion is likely then a weir or series of weirs should be provided downstream of the outlet. These weirs must also provide fish passage.</li> </ul> <p>Add a condition to the permitted activity rules along the following lines:</p> <p>The <b>design, placement,</b> and maintenance of any structure does not impede the passage of fish, except that is respect of culverts, fords, and tidal flood gates existing as at [date plan is notified], and except for short periods during maintenance, this condition does not have legal effect until five years from its operative date.</p> |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>994</b>         | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group   | 22    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules      | 2.14.2.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | If there isn't going to be a general condition then add in a condition that these structures must not restrict fish passage.  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>994</b>         | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group   | 23    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone         | 13.3.16.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | If there isn't going to be a general condition then add in a condition that this activity must not restrict fish passage or lead to erosion that will then restrict fish passage.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>994</b>         | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group   | 24    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone       | 15.3.14.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | If there isn't going to be a general condition then add in a condition that this activity must not restrict fish passage or lead to erosion that will then restrict fish passage.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>994</b>         | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group   | 25    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone       | 15.3.15.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | If there isn't going to be a general condition then add in a condition that this activity must not restrict fish passage or lead to erosion that will then restrict fish passage.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>994</b>         | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group   | 26    | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.        | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add condition for fish passage at existing in-stream structures.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>994</b>         | New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group  | 27    | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                        | 21.3.5.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add conditions for culvert installation including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Alignment shall be as close as possible to the existing stream alignment</li> <li>- Bed material should be assessed to determine the potential for erosion. If erosion is likely then a weir or series of weirs should be provided downstream of the outlet. These weirs must also provide fish passage.</li> </ul> <p>Reword along the following lines:<br/> The <b>design, placement</b>, and maintenance of any structure does not impede the passage of fish, except that in respect of culverts, fords, and tidal flood gates existing as at [date plan is notified], and except for short periods during maintenance, this condition does not have legal effect until five years from its operative date.</p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>995</b>         | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>To address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought in the following submission points for Objective 4.1.</p> <p>The objectives and policies should be amended to provide new objectives and policies recognising that infrastructure required to support primary industry often has a functional need to be in certain locations such as at the interface with the Coastal Marine Area and that such infrastructure should be enabled notwithstanding that localised environmental effects may be significant (<i>inferred</i>).</p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>995</b>         | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | To address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:  |       |          |   |               |                 |
|                    | (a) That Objective 4.1 support the establishment, operation and use of natural resources by primary industries are retained, and that they specifically recognise the importance of commercial forestry to the region and seek to enable existing forestry operations to expand and develop; |       |          |   |               |                 |
|                    | (b) Add new policies under Objective 4.1 seeking to enable the ongoing use and development of existing forestry as well as the expansion, optimisation and intensification of existing forestry; and   |       |          |   |               |                 |
|                    | (c) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>995</b>         | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy 4.1.1, which supports the establishment, operation and use of natural resources by primary industries is retained, and that they specifically recognise the importance of commercial forestry to the region and seek to enable existing forestry operations to expand and develop.    |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>995</b>         | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy 4.1.2, which supports the establishment, operation and use of natural resources by primary industries is retained, and that they specifically recognise the importance of commercial forestry to the region and seek to enable existing forestry operations to expand and develop.    |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>995</b>         | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Objective 4.3, which relates to the use and development of natural and physical resources in the Marlborough Sounds, is specifically amended to recognise the importance of forestry within the Marlborough Sounds.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
|                    | That forestry is part of the visual character of the Marlborough Sounds and that the temporary adverse effects from felling forestry are a part of the character of the area - not an adverse effect on it.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>995</b>         | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.1  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That Policy 4.3.1, which relates to the use and development of natural and physical resources in the Marlborough Sounds, is specifically amended to recognise the importance of forestry within the Marlborough Sounds.<br><br>That forestry is part of the visual character of the Marlborough Sounds and that the temporary adverse effects from felling forestry are a part of the character of the area - not an adverse effect on it. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 4.3.3, which relates to the use and development of natural and physical resources in the Marlborough Sounds, is specifically amended to recognise the importance of forestry within the Marlborough Sounds.<br><br>That forestry is part of the visual character of the Marlborough Sounds and that the temporary adverse effects from felling forestry are a part of the character of the area - not an adverse effect on it. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 4.2.1 is amended so that transport infrastructure associated with primary industry is included as regionally significant infrastructure.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 9     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | 5.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Volume 1 Chapter issues, objectives, policies and rules except for the decision requested for Policies 5.10.1 and 5.10.6 - see submission points 995.10 and 995.11  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 10    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 5.10.1:<br><br><i>Policy 5.10.1 Recognition that there are no inherent rights to be able to use, develop or occupy the coastal marine area.</i><br>Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 11    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.6 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.10.6 is amended to provide for any other relevant matter to be considered as well.<br>Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.   |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>995</b>         | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 12    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>It is considered some primary production activities may serve to enhance the natural character of an area. It is anticipated that this may occur when an area of pastoral farming is planted in forest. Commercial forestry should be enabled in these circumstances.</p> <p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) Include new policies which recognise that where land containing commercial forestry is identified as having natural character, the effects of forestry and the intensification and development of forestry is not an adverse effect, but is inherent to the character of the area, otherwise areas of land which are currently commercial forestry should not be recognised as having natural character;</p> <p>(b) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 6.13 and 6.15 which recognise 'human induced modification' affects the 'natural character' of an area be retained;</p> <p>(c) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 6.2.5 should be retained and amended to enable the ongoing use of existing primary production activities in such locations;</p> <p>(d) The objectives and policies, particularly (but without limitation) Policies 6.2.1 to 6.2.3 which require that adverse effects only be 'avoided' should be amended to include 'remedied or mitigated';</p> <p>(e) Recognise that primary production activities such as commercial forestry have the potential to enhance the natural character in an area. To that extent Policy 6.2.6 is supported subject to specific amendments being made to recognise commercial forestry; and</p> <p>(f) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p> |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>995</b>         | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 13    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | 7.            | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) The objectives and policies particularly (but not limited to) Objective 7.1. and Policies 7.1.1 to 7.1.4 should be amended so that land subject to commercial forestry is excluded from being classified as an Outstanding Natural Features/Landscapes. Additionally, land with existing commercial forestry should be excluded from areas of Outstanding Natural Features/Landscapes. Much of that land comprises commercial forestry. It is inappropriate for land comprising commercial forestry to be Identified as part of an Outstanding Natural Feature I Landscape when it is well known that is was planted for commercial purposes and would be cleared at some time in the future, with consequent visual effects arising from clearing. The Submitter seeks the exclusion of the areas of Outstanding Natural Feature/Landscape insofar as it consists of commercial forestry. The significant problems with including commercial forestry in Outstanding Natural Features/Landscapes are addressed below by reference to a particular policy that applies to the Coastal Environment Zone.</p> <p>In the alternative, if the land with existing commercial forestry is not excluded from areas of Outstanding Natural Features/Landscapes then the following relief is sought:</p> <p>(a) the objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) the Introduction, Policy 7.2.3, Policy 7.2.6, Policy 7.2.7 and Policy 7.2.8 should be amended to recognise that commercial forestry forms, contributes to and is part of the identifiable Marlborough Sounds landscape and should be enabled (including its development, optimisation and expansion) within the rules that seek to protect landscape values; and</p> <p>In addition to the relief sought above:</p> <p>(a) New objectives and policies are required to recognise the importance of primary industry (specifically commercial forestry), rural industry and transport infrastructure, to the region, even within the Marlborough Sounds landscape;</p> <p>(b) The objectives and policies particularly (but not limited to) the Introduction, Policy 7.2.3, Policy 7.2.6, Policy 7.2.7 and Policy 7.2.8 be amended to:</p> <p>(i) Enable minor expansion of existing forestry without resource consent</p> <p>(ii) Enable the intensification of commercial forestry in those areas where it is already established and In areas adjacent existing commercial forestry, particularly where such a use may be anticipated by the underlying zoning; and</p> <p>(iii) Recognise commercial forestry activities in areas not identified as outstanding, only require controlled or restricted discretionary consent.</p> <p>(c) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p> |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 14    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.        | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Objective 8.1 and Policy 8.1.2 should be amended to make it clear that appropriate (not absolute) protection of significant indigenous biodiversity is to be achieved. The current provisions suggest that the protection of significant indigenous biodiversity is too absolute;</p> <p>(b) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 8.1.1 should be amended to provide that even where an area has been assessed as having significant indigenous biodiversity value, it may not be mapped as "significant" where doing so would be inconsistent with the other objectives and policies of the plan;</p> <p>(c) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 8.2.9 overreaches by seeking to maintain, enhance or restore all ecosystems, irrespective of whether they are significant. It is not clear that the Council properly understood the impact of this policy. Policy 8.2.9 should be amended so that it is not directive and instead uses wording similar to Policy 8.2.5 - by seeking to encourage the positive outcomes identified. The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policies 8.3.1, 8.3.2 and 8.3.8 fail to make appropriate provision for development (rural industry) and infrastructure that has a locational need to be in a particular location and where there are no reasonably practical alternatives. The objectives and policies should be amended to provide a limited exemption in this circumstance and expressly provide for effects to be remedied, mitigated or off-set in such circumstances. This is significant in Marlborough where there are large areas of land devoted to primary produce, significant roading constraints and emphasis on greater use of the CMA as a transport option; and</p> <p>( d) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p> |       |          |                                |           |        |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 15    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | 9.        | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>The Submitter seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 9.1.1 should recognise that there is sufficient public access to Port Underwood in a number of locations and it should not be a priority for public access. Furthermore enhancing public access in this area could create health and safety issues with the extent of logging trucks on the road;</p> <p>(b) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 9.1.12 should recognise that some types of subdivision and development (including transport and infrastructure), which require an operational connection between the land and the sea, cannot provide esplanade reserves and achieve operational requirements (including health and safety) and that in such situations, the Council should properly take account of those operational issues, alternative access to (or from point to point) the CMA proposed by the Applicant so that overall a net improvement in public access is achieved (in a similar way to Policy 9.1.14) along with any other relevant factors;</p> <p>(c) The objectives and policies should seek to avoid zoning land as open space where it is privately held and the owner does not consent to such zoning. otherwise the zoning may be in breach of section 85 of the Act;</p> <p>(d) The Open Space zoning of land not owned by the Council or the Department of Conservation, or that neither of them have agreement with the owners to zone as open space is opposed; and</p> <p>(e) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p> |       |          |                                   |           |        |
| <b>995</b>         | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 16    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                | 11.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The objectives and policies should recognise that some areas of land may be appropriately used for certain activities such as forestry. This should be recognised in Policy 11.1.21.</p> <p>The policy should also be amended to recognise that work or land use on slopes classified as having land instability issues can appropriately occur, where adverse effects on land instability can be appropriately remedied or mitigated.</p> <p>In addition, new rules should be added, or existing rules modified to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>   |       |          |                                   |           |        |
| <b>995</b>         | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 17    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.       | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|--------|---------|-----------|------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) New objectives and policies will need to be inserted into the Coastal Environment chapter that enable and provide for commercial forestry and associated activities that are the same as or similar to those used in the Rural Environment Zone. However specific objectives and policies may also be required and could include for example (but without limitation):</p> <p>(i) A new objective under Issue 13A to enable the ongoing use, optimisation and development of commercial forests in the Coastal Environment Zone. The associated policies will need to:</p> <p>A. Enable the harvesting and replanting of commercial forests along with associated land use and stormwater diversion activities;</p> <p>B. Enable the expansion of commercial forests within existing titles by up to 10%; and</p> <p>C. Enable increased intensification of commercial forests (including increased stocking rates and rotation rates).</p> <p>(ii) A new objective to enable rural industry to be located in the Coastal Environment Zone where it supports an existing primary industry In that zone. Associated policies will need to:</p> <p>A. Enable rural industry to support primary industry;</p> <p>B. Recognise that rural industry often has a functional need to establish in certain locations;</p> <p>C. Recognise that the topography of Marlborough means that there are limited sites suitable for rural industry;</p> <p>D. Allow rural industry to establish, notwithstanding potentially significant local effects, where there are limited alternatives.</p> <p>(iii) A new objective will need to be Inserted that enables transport infrastructure that supports the use and development of primary industry. Associated policies will need to:</p> <p>A. Enable the development and construction of new roads, the widening of existing roads, and the establishment and operation of forestry roads;</p> <p>B. Enable the use of existing roads by vehicles associated with primary production activities;</p> <p>C. Enable the development and construction of water based transport infrastructure within the Coastal Environment Zone and Coastal Marine Zone.</p> <p>(b) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p> |       |        |         |           |      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|--------|
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 18    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.1.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 13.1.1, need to be amended and land with commercial forestry therefore needs to be removed from the Outstanding Natural Feature, Natural Character and Landscape maps, otherwise it is difficult, if not impossible, to see how commercial forestry could ever be cleared in light of Policies 13(1)(a) and 15(a) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement and the decision of the Supreme Court in the King Salmon (2014 NZSC 38) case that, in terms of those policies, "avoid" means "avoid." Moreover, where the land is felled in accordance with resource consent or existing use rights it will not have outstanding character and therefore should not be classified as such;</p> <p>(b) In the alternative, provide an exemption to Policy 13.1.1 for areas of existing commercial forestry to operate, develop, expand and intensify and / or change the policy to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects; and</p> <p>(c) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p> |       |          |  |               |        |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 19    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | 14.           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Objective 14.1 and its associated policies in this chapter are retained so far as they encourage safeguard, support and encourage the use of Marlborough's rural land for primary production, specifically commercial forestry;</p> <p>(b) That new policies are inserted under Objective 14 that prioritise the use of the rural environment for primary production and intensification of primary production on land where primary production is already occurring;</p> <p>(c) That new objectives and policies are inserted that prioritise the objectives, policies and methods in this chapter over those in other chapters to the extent that primary production activities such as commercial forestry are prioritised in the rural environment; and</p> <p>(d) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>   |       |          |  |               |        |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 20    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.           | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 15.1.31 should be amended to recognise that some disturbances to river beds are short term with less than minor or negligible adverse effects in the medium or long term;</p> <p>(b) The objectives and policies be amended so that any controls imposed on commercial forestry activities to address potential land disturbance are consistent with the New Zealand Code of Practice for Plantation Forestry;</p> <p>(c) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policies 15.4.3 and 15.4.4 be amended to recognise that land disturbance is a necessary component of many primary production activities, particularly commercial forestry and the construction of access tracks and that these activities should be enabled subject to ensuring that they are undertaken in accordance with good practice;</p> <p>(d) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 15.4.4(e) should recognise that the effects of eroded soil reaching any fresh water body or coastal water should only be considered if the effect of that soil is likely to have an adverse effect that will exist beyond the short term; and</p> <p>(e) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>995</b>         | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 21    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.29 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That a new policy be inserted after Policy 15.1.29 to recognise that some land use activities will cause short term land disturbance and potential sediment discharge, but that any adverse effects are likely to be minor.</p>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>995</b>         | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 22    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | 17.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) That a new policy is inserted under Issue 17D that recognises that in certain circumstances all parts of the road network may need to be used by the primary production sector given the location of their activities. This policy will need to recognise the use of the road network should not be prevented despite Policy 17.4.5;</p> <p>(b) That a new policy is inserted under Objective 17.4 that promotes water based transportation and recognises that the wider community and environmental benefits of infrastructure to enable water-based transportation can outweigh localised environmental effects - even where such effects are significant; and</p> <p>(c) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>  |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 23    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.6.2(f):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(f) <del>200</del><b>30</b>m of the coastal marine area;</i></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 24    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.7.3(c):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.7.3. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(c) <del>200</del><b>30</b>m of the coastal marine area.</i></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 25    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new permitted activity and standards are included in the Rural Environment Zone:</p> <p><b>3.1.X Commercial forestry replanting.</b></p> <p><b>3.3.X. Commercial forestry replanting.</b></p> <p><b>Replanting must not be in, or within:</b></p> <p><b>(a) 8 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</b></p> <p><b>(b) 8 metres of a Significant Wetland;</b></p> <p><b>(c) 30 metres of the coastal marine area.</b></p> <p>That a notification standard is included that precludes public or limited notification of any resource consent application for commercial forestry replanting (including associated land disturbance activities and culvert creation). This is because forestry activities are anticipated in the Rural Environment Zone.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 26    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.1.    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That Rule 3.7.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited  | 27    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.6.7.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.6.7.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited  | 28    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.6.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a notification standard is included that precludes public or limited notification of any resource consent application for commercial forestry planting (including associated land disturbance activities and culvert creation). This is because forestry activities are anticipated in the Rural Environment Zone.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited  | 29    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.7.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a notification standard is included that precludes public or limited notification of any resource consent application for commercial forestry harvesting (including associated land disturbance activities and culvert creation). This is because forestry activities are anticipated in the Rural Environment Zone. |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited  | 30    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The same rules apply in the Coastal Environment Zone for forestry, harvesting and rural industry as in the Rural Environment Zone.<br><br>Transport infrastructure should be enabled to occur at the interface between the CMA and the land where it has a functional need to do so.                                      |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited  | 31    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision for replanting of existing commercial forestry, including in an Outstanding Natural Feature/Landscape that already comprises commercial forestry, as a permitted activity subject to the standards in clause 4.3.6 of the Proposed Plan.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited  | 32    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That commercial forestry harvesting is changed from a discretionary activity to a permitted activity.</p> <p>The standards in clause 3.3.7 of the Proposed Plan are appropriate for commercial forestry harvesting in the Coastal Environment Zone, except clause 3.3.7.3(c) that should be amended to refer to 30 metres from the coastal marine area rather than 200 metres.</p> <p>That a notification standard is included that precludes public or limited notification of any resource consent application for commercial forestry replanting (including associated land disturbance activities and culvert creation). This is because forestry activities are anticipated in the Coastal Environment Zone.</p>   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>995</b>         | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 33    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That commercial forestry planting is changed from a discretionary activity to a permitted activity.</p> <p>That carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) is included in the above activity.</p> <p>The standards in clause 3.3.6 of the Proposed Plan are appropriate for commercial forestry harvesting in the Coastal Environment Zone, except clause 3.3.6.2(f) that should be amended to refer to 30 metres from the coastal marine area rather than 200 metres.</p> <p>That a notification standard is included that precludes public or limited notification of any resource consent application for commercial forestry replanting (including associated land disturbance activities and culvert creation). This is because forestry activities are anticipated in the Coastal Environment Zone.</p> |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>995</b>         | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 34    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting in an Outstanding Natural Feature/Landscape that is not comprised of commercial forestry planting is provided for as a discretionary activity.</p>   |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>995</b>         | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited   | 35    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.1.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The Rule 4.7.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.</p>   |       |          |                            |           |        |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited  | 36    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.7.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.6.7.  |       |          |                            |                |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited  | 37    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.6.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a notification standard is included that precludes public or limited notification of any resource consent application for commercial forestry replanting (including associated land disturbance activities and culvert creation). This is because forestry activities are anticipated in the Coastal Environment Zone.   |       |          |                            |                |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited  | 38    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                | Zoning Map 140 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Coastal Living Zone proposed for Opihi Bay is changed to Coastal Environment Zone.<br><br>The significant ecological area at Opihi Bay should be deleted from the maps. <i>Note the submission does not include a specific map that identifies the "significant ecological area". As there is no "significant ecological area" overlay in the proposed MEP, it is <b>inferred</b> that Significant Wetland W1044 is relevant to this submission point.</i> |       |          |                            |                |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited  | 39    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.1.1.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.1.1.   |       |          |                            |                |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited  | 40    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.1.2.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.1.2.   |       |          |                            |                |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited  | 41    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.1.3.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.1.3.   |       |          |                            |                |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited  | 42    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.6.1.        | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.6.1.   |       |          |                        |                           |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited  | 43    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.6.6.                   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.6.6.   |       |          |                        |                           |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited  | 44    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone   | 19.1.                     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That commercial forestry harvesting, replanting and optimisation of existing commercial forestry are provided for as a permitted activity.</p> <p>That a notification rule is provided that precludes public or limited notification of any resource consent application for commercial forestry replanting, harvesting or the optimisation of existing commercial forestry (including associated land disturbance activities and culvert creation) in the Open Space Zones. This is because the use of land for established forestry activities is anticipated where those activities are already occurring.</p>  |       |          |                        |                           |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited  | 45    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions         | 25.                       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to the definition of <i>commercial forestry harvesting</i>:</p> <p><b>Commercial forestry harvesting</b> means the felling and removal from the land of trees, for the purposes of commercial forestry, and includes:</p> <p>(a) excavation or filling, or both, to prepare the land for harvesting (for example, skid, forestry road or forestry track construction or maintenance);</p> <p>(b) de-limbing, trimming, cutting to length, and sorting and grading of felled trees;</p> <p>(c) recovery of windfall and other fallen trees;</p> <p>but does not include <del>the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land.</del></p> |       |          |                        |                           |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited  | 46    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps           | Threatened Environments 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Threatened Environments Map 4 is deleted or amended to accurately show the extent of the threatened environments on the ground.  |       |          |                        |                           |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited  | 47    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps           | Soil Sensitive Area 2     | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That Soil Sensitive Map 2 is deleted or amended to accurately show the extent of the impeded soils on the ground.   |       |          |                       |                             |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited  | 48    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps          | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the exclusion of most of Port Underwood from Coastal Natural Character Map 4.  |       |          |                       |                             |                 |
| 995                | New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited  | 49    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps          |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That land used for commercial forestry is excluded from the Landscapes map.   |       |          |                       |                             |                 |
| 996                | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors  | 1     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards    | Policy 11.1.15              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 11.1.15:</p> <p><i>Policy 11.1.15 – Any allotment of less than one hectare proposed to be created in the Rural Environment Zone or the Rural Living Zone must be shown to have a minimum area free of flooding during a flood event with an annual recurrence interval of 1 in 50 years of:</i></p> <p>(a) 1,000 square metres; or</p> <p>(b) <del>30</del> <b>40</b> percent of the property, whichever is the greater.</p>  |       |          |                       |                             |                 |
| 996                | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors  | 2     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Issue 12A                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The issue seems to remain silent on the many of the other activities in the Urban Environment such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>retirement villages</li> <li>seasonal worker accommodation</li> <li>higher low level density housing</li> <li>affordable accommodation across all age groups.</li> </ul> <p>It is <i>inferred</i> from the above that the decision requested is to specifically include retirement villages, seasonal worker accommodation, higher low level density housing and affordable accommodation across all age groups in the explanation of Issue 12A.</p> |       |          |                       |                             |                 |
| 996                | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors  | 3     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Issue 12B                   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>The issue seems to remain silent on the many of the other activities in the Urban Environment such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• retirement villages</li> <li>• seasonal worker accommodation</li> <li>• higher low level density housing</li> <li>• affordable accommodation across all age groups.</li> </ul> <p>It is <b><i>inferred</i></b> from the above that the decision requested is to specifically include retirement villages, seasonal worker accommodation, higher low level density housing and affordable accommodation across all age groups in the explanation of Issue 12B.</p>   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>996</b>         | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 4     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Issue 12C     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The issue seems to remain silent on the many of the other activities in the Urban Environment such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• retirement villages</li> <li>• seasonal worker accommodation</li> <li>• higher low level density housing</li> <li>• affordable accommodation across all age groups.</li> </ul> <p>It is <b><i>inferred</i></b> from the above that the decision requested is to specifically include retirement villages, seasonal worker accommodation, higher low level density housing and affordable accommodation across all age groups in the explanation of Issue 12C.</p>   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>996</b>         | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 5     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 13.2.2(f) as this allows for consideration of appropriate subdivision in areas not presently zoned coastal living:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.2.2 – In addition to the values in Policy 13.2.1, the following matters shall be considered by decision makers in determining whether subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough’s coastal environment are appropriate at the location proposed and of an appropriate scale, form and design:</i></p> <p><i>(f) whether the activity results, either individually or cumulatively, in <del>sprawling or sporadic patterns of subdivision</del>, <b>inappropriate</b> use or development that would compromise the values and matters of Policies 13.2.1 and 13.2.2;</i></p> |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>996</b>         | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 6     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Issue 14A     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The submission does not clearly identify the decision requested.</p>  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>996</b>         | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 7            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Issue 14B        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not clearly identify the decision requested.   |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>996</b>         | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 8            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Issue 14C        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not clearly identify the decision requested.   |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>996</b>         | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 9            | Volume 1      | 17 Transportation               | Issue 17A        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | This chapter should be more about providing for the effective integration of land use and transport planning decisions to achieve a sustainable land transport system. |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>996</b>         | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 10           | Volume 1      | 17 Transportation               | Issue 17B        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | This chapter should be more about providing for the effective integration of land use and transport planning decisions to achieve a sustainable land transport system. |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>996</b>         | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 11           | Volume 1      | 17 Transportation               | Issue 17C        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | This chapter should be more about providing for the effective integration of land use and transport planning decisions to achieve a sustainable land transport system. |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>996</b>         | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 12           | Volume 1      | 17 Transportation               | Issue 17D        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | This chapter should be more about providing for the effective integration of land use and transport planning decisions to achieve a sustainable land transport system. |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |
| <b>996</b>         | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 13           | Volume 1      | 17 Transportation               | Issue 17E        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | This chapter should be more about providing for the effective integration of land use and transport planning decisions to achieve a sustainable land transport system. |              |               |                                 |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|--------|
| 996                | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 14    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.1.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.3.1.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.3.1.1. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemerally flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed <b>520%</b> of river flow at any time.</i></p> |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 996                | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 15    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.2.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.3.2.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.3.2.2. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemerally flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed <b>520%</b> of river flow at any time.</i></p> |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 996                | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 16    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.4.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.3.4.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.3.4.1. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemerally flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed <b>520%</b> of river flow at any time.</i></p> |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 996                | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 17    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.5.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.3.5.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.3.5.1. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemerally flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed <b>520%</b> of river flow at any time.</i></p> |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 996                | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 18    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.6.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.3.6.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.3.6.1. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemerally flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed <b>520%</b> of river flow at any time.</i></p> |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 996                | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 19    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.16.1. | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Rule 2.2.17 and associated standards:</p> <p><del>2.2.17. — Damming water and the subsequent use of that water. The damming and subsequent use of water does not authorise the construction of a dam, which is governed by provisions in the Zone rules.</del></p> <p><del>2.3.16.1. — No more than 5,000m<sup>3</sup> of water is dammed at any time.</del></p> <p><del>2.3.16.2. — The damming and water use must not be otherwise provided for by a resource consent.</del></p> <p>Rule 2.2.17 is replaced with the following:</p> <p><b>Wairau/Awatere Resource Management Plan 27.1.6 Rules for the Construction of Dams and the Associated Damming of Water</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1 Permitted Activities</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.1 The dam is on a catchment less than 50 ha in area.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.2 The dam cannot impound more than 20,000 m<sup>3</sup> of water.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.3 The dam is less than 4 metres high, measured from base to crest.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.4 The dam does not intersect the groundwater.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.5 The dam will not be built within 500 metres immediately upstream of a dwelling, public roadway or building.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.6 Where the dam is constructed in a permanently flowing watercourse, the dam does not reduce the flow below the structure to less than the mean annual low flow existing before the dam was constructed.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.7 Where the dam is constructed in a permanently flowing watercourse, the dam must provide adequate fish passage in line with the Freshwater Fisheries Regulations (1983).</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.8 The holder of consent/s for the dam shall be responsible for the maintenance of the dam. If the consent holder is not known the owner of the site on which the dam is located shall be responsible.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.9 A legal description of the land, a location map, a grid reference and details of the dam and its construction must be supplied to the Council at least 10 working days prior to construction.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.10 Any records collected on the operation of a dam must be kept and made available to the Council upon request.</b></p> |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>996</b>         | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors  | 20    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.3.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.17.3.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.17.3.1. For stormwater sourced from land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3 in Blenheim, the maximum discharge must not exceed <del>20</del><b>50</b>l/s.</i></p>   |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>996</b>         | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors  | 21    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.32.     | Oppose |





| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That information/maps showing future areas intended for future Active Recreational areas are provided.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>996</b>         | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 28    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.2.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 23.2.1.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 23.2.1.1. A building or structure, including a mast, pole, fence, overhead telegraph cable, overhead power cable, tree or other object must not penetrate any flight path, take off, climb/approach fan or transitional slide slope identified in the <b>Woodbourne</b>, Picton (Koromiko) or Omaka Obstacle Limitation Surfaces shown in Appendix 15.</i></p>                           |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>996</b>         | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 29    | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.2.2.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Update table.</p> <p>No details of the decisions requested has been provided in the submission.</p>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>996</b>         | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 30    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision  | 24.1.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That a timeframe (eg, 10 days) is included for service providers and Assets & Services to provide certification after they have received the information.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>996</b>         | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 31    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision  | 24.1.14.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Rule 24.1.14:</p> <p>Water Supply</p> <p><i>Rule 24.1.14 In circumstances where a connection to a Council owned reticulated water supply is not possible, the applicant must provide for a minimum of 2m3 of potable water per day for each proposed <del>allotment</del> <b>Certificate of Title</b> (except for <del>allotments</del> <b>Certificate of Titles</b> to vest as reserve or road).</i></p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 996                | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 32    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.1.16.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Rule 24.1.16 <b>(placement of additional wording inferred)</b>:</p> <p><i>Rule 24.1.16 In accordance with Section 230 of the RMA, in respect of any subdivision of land in which any allotment of less than 4 hectares is created, an esplanade reserve or esplanade strip of 20m must be provided, unless the property adjoins the Waikawa Marina or Picton Marina <b>unless waived or width reduced by a resource consent.</b></i></p> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 996                | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 33    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                       | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Maintain the existing Minimum Net Allotment Areas for all Zones as per the WARM and MSRM Plans except for Rural living which could be reduced from the proposed 7500m<sup>2</sup> down to 5000m<sup>2</sup>.</p> <p>Footnote 2 - must be clear of easements. Recommend deleting this requirement as purposes is to maintain acceptable living space and easements have no effect on that aspect.</p> <p>Footnote 3 - reads as though frontage must be exclusive of access to subject allotments. Thus frontage would have to be 18.5m in Residential 2 Zone and 17.5m in Residential 1 Zone.</p> <p>Maintain the existing Special Subdivisions Rules (28.3.7 WARMP and 27.3.3.2 MSRMP to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) To facilitate the protection of significant environmental features.</li> <li>b) For special purpose lots.</li> <li>c) For boundary adjustments.</li> <li>d) To allow Limited Discretion - allotment and access minima.</li> <li>e) For Integrated Residential Developments.</li> <li>f) Special Provisions to Protect Large Lots</li> </ul> <p>We suggest simple Boundary Adjustments could be considered a Permitted Activity if the following criteria is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Two or more adjacent lots</li> <li>• No additional titles</li> <li>• Net site area of any proposed allotment is approximately the same or does not differ by 10 % net site area that existed prior.</li> </ul> <p>If the above criteria cannot be met, then we suggest it will trigger a Controlled Activity whereby Assessment Criteria as set-out in the WARMP and MSRMP needs to be met.</p> <p>Similarly with Special Purposes Allotments, Integrated Residential Developments, Special Provision to Create a Single Rural Residential Allotment and Special Provision to Protect Large Lots, we suggest that the options are included and similar wording to Rule 28.3.7 from the WARMP and Rule 27.3.3.2 from the MSRMP are adopted.</p> |       |          |                               |           |        |
| <b>996</b>         | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors  | 34    | Volume 3 | Appendix 17 Roading Hierarchy |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reassess the Reading hierarchy as set out in Appendix 17.   |       |          |                               |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision            | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------------|--------|
| 996                | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 35    | Volume 3 | Appendix 24 Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area |                      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove appendix 24 altogether.   |       |          |   |                      |        |
| 996                | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 36    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                                    |                      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the overlays (e.g. Coastal Natural Character, Landscape, Threatened environments, Steep Erosion prone land, Soil sensitive areas, Wairau Plain area) have Place, Bay or Road name included ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |   |                      |        |
| 996                | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 37    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                                    |                      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Noise Control boundary overlays refer to the relevant decibel rating for the the inner and outer noise control boundaries ( <i>inferred</i> ).  |       |          |   |                      |        |
| 996                | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 38    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                                    | Flood Hazard Area 22 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Flood Hazard overlay is updated to reflect current flood hazard reports, i.e., lower terraces located in Renwick.   |       |          |   |                      |        |
| 996                | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 39    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                                     |                      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the scale of the maps are increased to to improve navigation of maps.<br><br>That locations of maps are provided in bottom right hand corner as is done in the Wairau/Awatere Plan (and as seen on 1:5,000 maps). |       |          |   |                      |        |
| 996                | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 40    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                                     | Zoning Map 158       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the land North of Renwick - below terrace and north of Gee Street/south of Gibsons Creek is rezoned from Rural Zone to Residential 3 or Rural Living.   |       |          |   |                      |        |
| 996                | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 41    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                                     | Zoning Map 160       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That large areas of Hardings Road are rezoned from Rural Zone to Rural Living Zone.<br><br>The submission does not include details of "large areas" to be rezoned.   |       |          |   |                      |        |
| 996                | New Zealand Institute of Surveyors   | 42    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                                     |                      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Consider adding additional land to the Urban Residential 1 Zone.<br>Consider adding additional land to the Urban Residential 3 Zone.<br>Consider adding additional land to the Rural Living Zone. |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.5   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.5 and the exception water taken for domestic needs or animal drinking water from minimum flows.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.1.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 3     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards               | Policy 11.1.9  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.9.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 4     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards               | Policy 11.1.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.10.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 5     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards               | Policy 11.1.16 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.16.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 6     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | 14.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Chapter 14 Use of the Rural Environment Introduction.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 7     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Objective 14.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.1.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 8     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.1.1  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to the explanation of Policy 14.1.1:<br><br><i>Currently, a wide range of primary productive land uses are undertaken in Marlborough's rural environments, from viticulture to extensive forestry, pastoral farming, dairy farming, <b>intensive farming</b> and cropping. This policy provides for those uses to continue, which will assist in achieving Objective 14.1, although the management regime in the MEP will include controls to manage adverse effects. At times there may be a change in land use or management practices for primary production to enhance the efficient use of land resources and the MEP does not intend to unduly curtail any opportunity for this to occur. However, the management framework for rural environments, which includes rural areas within the Marlborough Sounds and elsewhere in the District, does include standards to maintain environmental quality, character and amenity values.</i> |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 9     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Avoid inappropriate subdivision and development of land used for primary production.<br><br>Lifestyle/rural-residential subdivision should be limited in scale and extent to defined locations to avoid conflict with production use.   |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 10    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Plan must clearly provide for those buildings and structures that support rural production and require a rural location and those activities that have locational choice.   |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 11    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.4.   |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 12    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Avoid inappropriate development of land production, subdivision and used for primary production.<br><br>A dwelling house to be established on rural properties as a permitted activity must be able to provide sufficient water of adequate quality on the property to provide for an individual's reasonable domestic needs that does not compromise the needs of rural production.<br><br>The priority for water allocation must be to rural production activities in the rural environment.  |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 13    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.7 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to note that these effects are anticipated and expected in the rural environment.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 14    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy needs to be balanced with policy and methods imposed on new or expanding activities in adjoining residential environments. The submission does not include details of amendments in terms of balancing policy and methods.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 15    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment is made to Objective 14.2:<br><br><i>Objective 14.2 The sustainability of Marlborough's rural economy is not adversely affected by the spread or introduction of pests <b>or biosecurity risks</b>.</i>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 16    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 14.2.1 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><br><i>Policy 14.2.1 The Marlborough District Council will support any national response to an incursion of a pest(s) <b>and biosecurity risk(s)</b> where this occurs, if it has the potential to reach Marlborough or is already present and/or has the potential to affect Marlborough's primary production sector.</i> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 17    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.2.2.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 18    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.2.3.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 19    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.2.4.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 20    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.3 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Reword to a policy that prevents sensitive activities not related to primary production from establishing in areas where rural production activities could be adversely affected.<br><br>The submission does not include specific amendments to be made to Objective 14.3. |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 21    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.3.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy so that adverse effects on rural production activities are avoided. The submission does not include details of amendments in terms of how adverse effects are to be avoided.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 22    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.3.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to address effects on other rural resources (not just loss of land). Including access to freshwater, degradation of water resources.<br><br>Amend policy to address reverse sensitivity.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 23    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Support an objective that requires reverse sensitivity effects to be avoided.<br><br>It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 24    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That intensive farming is listed in Policy 14.4.1 given its requirement for a rural location.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 25    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to note the need to separate sensitive elements (in particular dwellings) from rural production activities.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 26    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to ensure subdivision in rural areas does not compromise the productive capability of rural areas or adversely affect the rural resources upon which rural production relies.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 27    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Balance policy with policy that addresses reverse sensitivity for lawfully established rural activities. The submission does not include amendments in terms of balancing policy and methods.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 28    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 14.4.10 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><br><i>Policy 14.4.10 <del>Control</del> <b>Constrain</b> the establishment of residential activity within rural environments as a means of avoiding conflict between rural and residential amenity expectations.</i>   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 29    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.5.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 30    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.5.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.5.1.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 31    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.5.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.5.2.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 32    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.5.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.5.3.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 33    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14.M.3         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the first sentence of the second paragraph of the explanation of 14.M.3:<br><br><i>Rural activities with the potential to cause significant adverse effects, such as dairy farming, <del>factory farming</del> <b>intensive farming</b> and intensive livestock farming are provided for as discretionary activities. A number of other activities, including subdivision and residential activity within areas identified as water short will be determined as discretionary activities.</i> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 34    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.25 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.25.  |       |          |  |                |         |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 35    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.26 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.26.  |       |          |  |                |         |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 36    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Objective 15.3 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><i>Objective 15.3 Reduce the potential for nuisance and health effects from the discharge of contaminants into air</i> <b>Incompatible uses and development are separated to manage adverse effects on air quality from discharges of contaminants into air and avoid or mitigate reverse sensitivity effects.</b></p>      |       |          |  |                |         |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 37    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15E      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new objective is included:</p> <p><b>Objective 15.X The operational requirements of rural activities are recognised and provided for.</b></p>   |       |          |  |                |         |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 38    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15E      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new policies are included:</p> <p><b>Policy 15.3.X Recognise that rural air quality is generally a result of dust and odours, and other emissions generated by rural production activities.</b></p> <p><b>Policy 15.3.X Require adequate separation distance between rural land use which discharges dust and odour to air and activities that are sensitive to adverse effects of dust and odour discharges.</b></p> |       |          |  |                |         |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 39    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | Objective 16.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 16.3.  |       |          |  |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 40    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                 | Policy 16.3.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Where there are significant environmental constraints to effective wastewater management the discharge of contaminants onto or into land should be permitted.  |       |          |                          |               |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 41    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.2.4.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.4.   |       |          |                          |               |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 42    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.2.5.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendemnts (strike-through and bold) are made to Rule 2.2.5:<br><i>Rule 2.2.5 Take and use of water for incidental use associated with farming up to <b>515</b>m<sup>3</sup> per day per Computer Register.</i> |       |          |                          |               |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 43    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.25.  |       |          |                          |               |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 44    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.26.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.26.  |       |          |                          |               |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 45    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.32.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.32.  |       |          |                          |               |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 46    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.33.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.33.  |       |          |                          |               |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 47    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.47.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 3.1.47.  |       |          |                          |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 48    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.4.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Separate side and rear yard setbacks should be specified for sites under 4000m2.   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 49    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.12. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Residential dwellings in the rural environment are typically an activity sensitive to the effects of rural production. A larger separation distance should be imposed on new dwellings in the rural environment to avoid or mitigate reverse sensitivity issues. |       |          |                          |           |         |
|                    | That separate side and rear yard setbacks should apply:  |       |          |                          |           |         |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 20m for dwellings and their accessory buildings</li> <li>• 8m for all other rural buildings</li> </ul>  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 50    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.25.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.25.1.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 51    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.25.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.25.2.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 52    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.26.1.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 53    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.26.2.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 54    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.26.3.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 55    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.4. | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.26.4.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 56    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.26.5.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 57    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.26.6.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 58    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.26.7.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 59    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.26.8.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 60    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.26.9.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 61    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.32.1. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.32.1 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><i>Standard 3.3.32.1 The offal or carcass must be from pastoral agriculture, except intensive farming, undertaken on the same property.</i> |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board  | 62    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.1. | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.33.1 ( <b>inferred</b> ):<br><i>Standard 3.3.33.1. The stack or stockpile must not be located on a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free-draining soils <b>unless the stack or stockpile is located on a sealed pad and the sealing layer must not exceed 1x10<sup>-9</sup> metres per second (m/s).</b></i> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 63    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.6.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Where non habitable buildings or structures are proposed within a Level 2 Flood Hazard Area, a Restricted Discretionary activity could be developed with contained matters of assessment to reflect the actual or potential effects of the discharges.  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 64    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.6.3.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.6.3.  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 65    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.6.12.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the status for Rule 3.6.12 is changed from a Discretionary Activity to a Restricted Discretionary Activity ( <b>inferred</b> ).  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 66    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That as a minimum the plan should develop methods such as setbacks, planting buffers, fencing, non-complaints covenants that are specific to the rural urban interface. The submission does not include details of setbacks, planting buffers, or fencing.  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 67    | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone       | 6.2.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That as a minimum the plan should develop methods such as setbacks, planting buffers, fencing, non-complaints covenants that are specific to the rural urban interface. The submission does not include details of setbacks, planting buffers, or fencing.  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 68    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone              | 8.2.1.4.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Prefer more generous setbacks to address the interface with rural production activities. The submission does not provide details of alternative setback distances.  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 69    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                   | 24.3.     | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That additional assessment criteria is included that considers activities in the surrounding environment, reverse sensitivity, proximity of rural production activities, loss of production land and impacts on food supply ( <i>inferred</i> ).  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 70    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Agricultural liquid waste</i><br>The submission does not include a decision requested.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 71    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Agricultural solid waste</i><br>The submission does not include a decision requested.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 72    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Agricultural waste</i><br>The submission does not include a decision requested.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 73    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to the definition of <i>Domestic livestock</i> ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><i>Domestic livestock means livestock bred, reared and/or kept on the property for home consumption, or as pets, or for hobby purposes and from which little or no income is derived. <b>Domestic livestock includes the keeping, breeding or rearing of five (5) or fewer pigs that have been weaned, or more than two (2) sows (with progeny until weaned).</b></i>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 74    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to the definition of <i>Farming</i> ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><i>Farming means a land based activity, having as its primary purpose the commercial production and sale of any livestock or vegetative matter, <b>and includes outdoor (extensive) pig farming.</b> Farming does not include intensive farming, forestry, and in the case of vegetative matter, does not include the processing of farm produce beyond cutting, cleaning, grading, chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of produce grown on the farming unit.</i> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 998                | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 75    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the definition of <i>Intensive farming</i>:</p> <p><i>Intensive farming means any primary production activity exhibiting two or more of the following characteristics:-</i><br/> <del>(a) little dependence on the quality of the soils of the site, such as greenhouses, mushrooms, plant nurseries;</del><br/> <del>(b) in excess of 50% coverage in permanent buildings having concrete or otherwise impervious floors for the housing and growing of livestock and/or vegetative matter;</del><br/> <del>(c) substantial environmental control and/or modification to facilitate growth of livestock and/or vegetative matter;</del><br/> <del>(d) high output of collected waste material per hectare and includes all pig farming, poultry farming, rabbit farming; greenhouses not relying on the soils, mushrooms, container growing nursery; and</del><br/> <del>(e) land based aquaculture</del> <b>the commercial raising and keeping of animals and/or plants which is dependent on a high input of food including but not limited to cut pasture and/or supplementary meal or fertiliser throughout the year from beyond the holding, and is contained in, buildings or outdoor enclosures. It includes, but is not limited to.....</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> <li>•</li> <li>•</li> </ul> <p><b>the keeping of pigs outdoors without ground cover being maintained.</b></p> <p>It is not clear if the bullet points included in the submission are relevant to the proposed definition.</p> |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>998</b>         | New Zealand Pork Industry Board   | 76    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions            | 25.          | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That a new definition is included in the MEP:</p> <p><b><i>Outdoor (extensive) pig farming means an area of a SITE where all pigs, boar, and piglets are contained within a paddock/s with groundcover maintained.</i></b></p>   |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>999</b>         | New Zealand Sport Fishing Council   | 1     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.1.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>999</b>         | New Zealand Sport Fishing Council   | 2     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9 | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.9 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                   |                    |                 |
| <b>999</b>         | New Zealand Sport Fishing Council  | 3     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.2      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.3.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                                   |                    |                 |
| <b>999</b>         | New Zealand Sport Fishing Council  | 4     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.4      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.3.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                                   |                    |                 |
| <b>999</b>         | New Zealand Sport Fishing Council  | 5     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.1      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.4.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                                   |                    |                 |
| <b>999</b>         | New Zealand Sport Fishing Council  | 6     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.2      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.4.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                                   |                    |                 |
| <b>999</b>         | New Zealand Sport Fishing Council  | 7     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone            | 16.7.5.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Clarify how the standards for permitted activities, discussed in <b>Rule 16.2</b>, apply to large scale bottom contact fishing methods.</li> <li>2. The Marlborough District Council ensure further reference highlighting the ecologically significant sights affected by <b>Rule 16.7.5</b> to be made available.</li> <li>3. The Marlborough District Council change or append <b>Rule 16.7.5</b> to clarify methods that are deemed to cause disturbance.</li> <li>4. Increase the ecologically significant sites, creating a buffer zone to adequately protect from sedimentation arising from the use of destructive fishing methods on the margins/outside the Ecologically Significant Sites.</li> </ol> |       |          |                                   |                    |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                    | Guiding principles | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend the Guiding Principles as follows:</b><br/> <i>Being aware of the potential for reverse sensitivity effects between different resource uses, whether on land, or water or between the two. Reverse sensitivity effects occur when people establish new activities sensitive to the effects of existing activities in the vicinity. This can lead to restraints or demands against the existing activities and can cause tension and conflict in the community. Making sure activities are appropriately located, <del>and</del> carried out and designed within appropriate limits is therefore very important.</i></p> |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 2     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues                                    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain the criteria for determining whether an issue is regionally significant, particularly:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there a conflict in resource use?</li> <li>• Are there any significant cumulative impacts arising from resource use?</li> </ul>   |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 3     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Relationship of the MEP to other policy statements, standards and strategies | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Support the Other strategies and plans section</b>, particularly "<i>...the Marlborough Regional Land Transport Plan has contributed to policies and methods on infrastructure and energy, urban form and reverse sensitivity.</i>"</p>   |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 4     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Structure of the MEP   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add</b> a clear statement(s) explaining the purpose and legal weight of the reasons in (for example) Section 1, Volume 1, under the Heading "Structure of the MEP" (pg. 1-4).</p>   |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Support Issue 4B</b>, particularly its recognition of the State Highways as nationally significant infrastructure, and the recognition of their susceptibility to reverse sensitivity and cumulative effects.<br/> <b>Amend the Issue 4B reason as follows:</b><br/> <i>The ability to <u>operate</u>, maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure without significant constraint is important in this respect.</i></p>   |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Support the policy.</b><br/> <b>Amend the policy explanation as follows:</b><br/> <i>Many uses of coastal space, river beds, air and water resources are <del>prohibited</del> <u>restricted</u> unless allowed by a rule in a regional plan or by resource consent... Where the adverse effects are <del>considered</del> <u>potentially</u> more than minor or where there is potential for cumulative effects, then resource consents will be required ...</i></p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Objective 4.2 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Efficient, effective, safe <u>and resilient</u> operation of regionally significant infrastructure.<br/> The community relies on the considerable infrastructure that has been developed to protect and support the population <u>and economy</u>. It is essential for the social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community that this critical infrastructure continues to operate efficiently, effectively and safely on an ongoing basis. This includes the ability to <u>operate</u>, maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure.</i></p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 9     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 4.2.1 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Recognise the social , economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative <u>or authorised as a permitted activity, resource consent, or notice of requirement</u>, as regionally significant:</i><br/> ...<br/> <i>(k) <del>district</del> <u>road</u>ing network;</i><br/> ...</p>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 10    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 4.2.2 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Protect regionally significant infrastructure from the adverse effects of other activities, <u>including reverse sensitivity and cumulative effects</u>.</i></p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 11    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.6         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Method 4.M.6 as follows:</b><br/> The electricity transmission network, <u>State Highway</u> buffer areas, and <u>State Highway</u> effects areas will be identified on the planning maps. This will allow other methods to be applied to manage the adverse effects of third parties on the transmission <u>and State Highway</u> networks.</p>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 12    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.7         | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Method 4.M.7 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Where not designated, R recognition will be given to regionally significant infrastructure by providing, where appropriate, explicit zoning for the infrastructure. In conjunction with the application of district rules, zoning will assist to enable the infrastructure to operate efficiently and effectively.</i></p>   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 13    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.8     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain Method 4.M.8.</b></p>  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 14    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.9     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Method 4.M.9 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Rules will be used to enable activities associated with the maintenance, <u>operation</u>, alteration, minor upgrading and replacement of regionally significant infrastructure. Standards will specify the extent of works involved with any of these activities</i><br/> <i>Rules will be used to control the proximity of land uses in river beds that could have adverse effects on regionally significant infrastructure. This includes development within the National Grid Corridor.</i><br/> <i>A buffer corridor for the National Grid transmission lines will be established through rules within which activities will be managed to reduce the risk of electrical hazard, the potential for reverse sensitivity effects and adverse effects on the structural integrity of the National Grid. The width of the corridor will vary depending on the activity, type of National Grid asset and the sensitivity of the network to the activity. This method gives effect to Policy 11 of the NPSET.</i><br/> <u><i>A State Highway buffer area and State Highway effects area will be established through rules and supported by overlays and definitions, within which noise sensitive activities will be managed to reduce the potential for reverse sensitivity effects on the State Highway. The width of the buffer and effects areas are calculated based on traffic characteristics (volume, speed, and percentage of heavy vehicles) and the road surface type.</i></u></p> |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 15    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.10    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Method 4.M.10 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Where the grant of a resource consent application <u>or approval of a Notice Of Requirement</u> may adversely affect regionally significant infrastructure, the owners and operators of the infrastructure will be served notice of the application as an affected party...</i><br/> <b>Replicate or cross-reference</b> within applicable sections e.g. 2.37 Signage, Discretionary Activity Standards; 2.32.4 Vehicle crossing associated with permitted activities in all zones; Limited Access Roads.</p>   |       |          |   |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 16    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 5.2.3 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Protect the significant values of specifically identified freshwater bodies by classifying the taking, damming or diversion of water in these waterbodies as a prohibited activity, <u>except when necessary for the construction, maintenance or upgrade of lawfully established regionally significant infrastructure.</u></i></p>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 17    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain Policy 5.2.7</b> on the basis that subsequent policies provide for appropriate exceptions.</p>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 18    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.8  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain Policy 5.2.8.</b></p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 19    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 5.2.9 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Have regard to the adverse effects of the proposed instantaneous rate of take from any river, except an ephemerally flowing river, if that rate of take exceeds <del>or is likely to exceed</del> 5% of river flow at any time.</i></p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 20    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 5.3.12 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Bores are used as the means to access water from Marlborough's aquifers <u>and to investigate and monitor conditions below the ground surface.</u> Rules identify that bore construction will be a permitted activity. The construction of a bore has limited potential to cause adverse effects, while still enabling groundwater <u>and sub-surface conditions</u> to be accessed [...]</i></p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 21    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 5.10.5 as follows:</b><br/> <i>The Marlborough District Council will waive the need for coastal occupancy charges for the following: (d) retaining walls, <u>coastal protection structures, and stormwater outfalls; ...</u></i></p> <p><b>Amend the Policy 5.10.5 reason as follows:</b><br/> <i>These waivers exist because the facilities owned by the Council, <del>and</del> the Department of Conservation <u>and other government agencies</u> provide a significant level of public benefit...</i></p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 22    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 6.2.1 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Avoid the adverse effects of subdivision, use or development on areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character values and on lakes and rivers and their margins with high and very high natural character values, <u>except where it is necessary to enable the maintenance, construction, operation and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure.</u></i></p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 23    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 6.2.2 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Avoid significant adverse effects of subdivision, use or development on coastal natural character, having regard to the significance criteria in Appendix 4, <u>except where the activity is necessary to enable the maintenance, construction, operation and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure.</u></i></p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 24    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 6.2.3 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Where natural character is classified as high or very high, avoid any reduction in the degree of natural character of the coastal environment or freshwater bodies, <u>except where the activity is necessary to enable the maintenance, construction, operation and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure.</u></i></p>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 25    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain Policy 6.2.4.</b></p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 26    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Policy 6.2.5.</b>  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 27    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.M.1        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Method 6.M.1.</b>  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 28    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.M.2        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Method 6.M.2.</b>  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 29    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.1.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Policy 7.1.5.</b>  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 30    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.2.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 7.2.7 as follows:</b></p> <p><i>Protect the values of outstanding natural features and landscapes and the high amenity values of the Wairau Dry Hills and the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscapes by:</i></p> <p>...</p> <p><i>(b) In respect of land disturbance (including tracks and roads):</i></p> <p><i>(i) avoiding, <u>remedying or mitigating adverse effects from</u> extensive land disturbance activity that creates a long term change in the visual appearance of the landscape, particularly when viewed from public places;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) encouraging tracks and roads to locate adjacent to slopes or at the edge of landforms or vegetation patterns and to follow natural contour lines in order to minimise the amount of land disturbance required;</i></p> <p><i>(iii) minimising <u>where practicable the adverse effects from</u> the extent of any cuts or side castings where land disturbance is to take place on a slope; and</i></p> <p><i>(iv) encouraging the revegetation of cuts or side castings by seeding or planting.</i></p> <p><i>while recognising and providing for the maintenance, construction, operation and upgrade of the road network.</i></p> |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 31    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | 7.M.3        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Method 7.M.3.</b>  |       |          |                     |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 32    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | Issue 8A      | Support |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Issue 8A.</b>   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 33    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | Objective 8.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Objective 8.1.</b>  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 34    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | Policy 8.1.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | <b>Clarify</b> when an assessment would be required under Policy 8.1.1. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 35    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | Objective 8.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Objective 8.2.</b>  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 36    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | Policy 8.2.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Policy 8.2.3.</b>   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 37    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | Policy 8.3.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Policy 8.3.2.</b>   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 38    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | Policy 8.3.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Policy 8.3.3.</b>   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 39    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Issue 10A     | Support |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Issue 10A.</b>  |       |          |   |               |         |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 40    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Support Policy 10.1.10.</b>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 41    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.11 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Support Policy 10.1.11.</b>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 42    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Issue 10B      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Issue 10B.</b>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 43    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Objective 10.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Objective 10.2.</b>  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 44    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.2.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Policy 10.2.2 as follows:</b><br><i>When considering resource consent applications to remove, trim or prune a notable tree or trees, or undertake activities in close proximity to a notable tree, have regard to:</i><br><u><i>(e) whether the proposed activity is related to the maintenance, construction, operation or upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure.</i></u>                         |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 45    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.2.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Policy 10.2.3 as follows:</b><br><i>Consider approving any application to remove, trim or prune a notable tree or trees where:</i><br><u><i>(c) the tree or trees are significantly restricting a particular use of the site that offers greater positive effects in terms of historic heritage or amenity values, or are restricting the ongoing operation of regionally significant infrastructure.</i></u> |       |          |   |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 46    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.M.2         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Method 10.M.2 as follows:</b><br><i>District <del>and regional</del> rules will be used to ensure that identified heritage resources and/or notable trees are appropriately protected...</i>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 47    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Objective 11.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Objective 11.1.</b>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 48    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Policy 11.2.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Policy 11.2.7.</b>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 49    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments                   | Objective 12.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Objective 12.1.</b>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 50    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments                   | Policy 12.2.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Policy 12.2.4 as follows:</b><br><i>In relation to five areas zoned as Urban Residential 2 Greenfields Zone to the north and west of Blenheim, the following matters apply for subdivision and land use activities:</i><br><i>(d) subdivision design <u>and land use activities</u> shall have regard to reverse sensitivity effects in respect of existing, lawfully-established rural and non-residential activities, including State Highways and land designated for State Highway purposes.</i><br><i>(e) subdivision design <u>and land use activities</u> shall have regard to cumulative effects on the <del>State Highway</del> road network, and the <del>Transport Agency</del> road controlling authority may be considered an affected party where these effects cannot be avoided.</i> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 51    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments                   | Policy 12.4.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Policy 12.4.4.</b>  |       |          |   |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 52    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Policy 12.6.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Policy 12.6.6.</b>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 53    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Objective 12.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Objective 12.9 as follows:</b><br><i>The condition, capacity, efficiency and affordability of essential infrastructure services reflects the needs of Marlborough's urban environments <u>and national requirements.</u></i>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 54    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Policy 13.1.1 as follows:</b><br><i>Avoid adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having:</i><br><i>...</i><br><i><u>except where the activity is necessary to enable the maintenance, construction, operation and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure.</u></i>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 55    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Policy 13.2.2</b> , particularly (a) and (g).   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 56    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Policy 13.2.3 as follows:</b><br><i>...</i><br><i>(b) the duration of coastal permits granted for activities in the coastal marine area for which limitations on durations are imposed under the Resource Management Act 1991 will generally be limited to a period not exceeding 20 years, <u>except where the permit enables the development, operation, maintenance and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure.</u></i> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 57    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.5         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Method 13.M.5 as follows:</b><br><i>The Harbourmaster and Maritime New Zealand will be <del>treated as affected parties</del> <u>notified</u> in respect of any resource consent application for a coastal permit, to enable an assessment of any potential impacts on safe navigation of boats.</i>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 58    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Objective 13.10.</b>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 59    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Policy 13.10.3.</b>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 60    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Policy 13.10.5.</b>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 61    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.3.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 14.3.2 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Where an activity is not related to primary production and is not otherwise provided for as a permitted activity, a resource consent will be required and the following matters must be determined by decision makers in assessing the impacts on primary production before any assessment of other effects is undertaken:</i></p> <p>...</p> <p><i>(e) the safe and efficient operation of the land transport network and Marlborough's airport.</i></p> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 62    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.4.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 14.4.1 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Subdivision, use and development of Marlborough's rural environments should be of a density, scale, intensity and location that individually and cumulatively recognises the following elements:</i></p> <p>...</p> <p><i>(m) the safe and efficient operation of the land transport network and Marlborough's airport</i></p>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 63    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.4.3   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 14.4.3 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Ensure <del>buildings noise sensitive activities</del> are <u>designed and set back a sufficient distance from property boundaries, and road frontages and land transport network boundaries</u> to:</i><br/> <i>(a) maintain privacy and outlook for people on adjoining allotments, including for existing houses on small allotments;</i><br/> <i>(b) encourage a sense of distance between buildings as well as between buildings and road boundaries; and</i><br/> <i>(c) maintain the pleasantness, coherence, openness and attractiveness of the site as viewed from the road and adjoining sites.</i><br/> <i>(d) manage reverse sensitivity issues by mitigating effects of traffic noise, especially where development is to be located on major arterials or State Highways</i></p> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 64    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 14.4.9 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Encourage the consolidation of information signs by supporting the establishment of "Welcome to" signs and information laybys at the entrance to Marlborough's larger towns, in order to reduce the effects of directional and commercial signs on visual amenity <u>and road safety</u>.</i></p>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 65    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.15 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 14.4.15 as follows:</b><br/> <i>The Wairau Plain has been recognised as having particular amenity and rural character values that are to be maintained and enhanced by:</i><br/> <i>(d) managing the establishment of subdivision, use and development to avoid, remedy or mitigate effects on the safety, functioning and efficiency of the arterial road network, <u>including avoiding cumulative effects</u>;</i></p>   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 66    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Issue 14C      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend the reason under Issue 14C as follows:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• traffic issues, where <del>local</del> <u>the roads network</u> cannot safely handle increases in traffic.</li> </ul>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 67    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.5.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain Policy 14.5.2</b>, particularly (g).</p>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency                             | 68    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A      | Support |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Issue 15A.</b>                                 |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency                             | 69    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.21 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Policy 15.1.21.</b>                            |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency                             | 70    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.9         | Support |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Method 15.M.9.</b>                             |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency                             | 71    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.AER.1       | Support |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Anticipated Environmental Result 15.AER.1.</b> |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency                             | 72    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Policy 15.1.15.</b>                            |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency                             | 73    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.21 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain 15.1.21.</b>                                   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency                             | 74    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.9         | Support |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Method 15.M.9.</b>                             |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency                             | 75    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.25 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Policy 15.1.25.</b>                            |       |          |  |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter                     | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 76    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.29 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain 15.1.29.</b>        |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 77    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.17        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain 15.M.17.</b>        |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 78    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Policy 15.3.4.</b>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 79    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.35        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Method 15.M.35.</b> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 80    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15F      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Issue 15F.</b>      |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 81    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Policy 15.4.3.</b>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 82    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Policy 15.4.4.</b>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 83    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.38        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Method 15.M.38</b>  |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 84    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.5.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 15.5.5 as follows:</b><br/> <i>[...] Several agencies are potentially involved in any spill event, including the Council, Fire Service, Police, <u>the New Zealand Transport Agency road controlling authorities</u> and (in the coastal marine area) Maritime Safety. An ad hoc response from each agency creates the potential for ineffective containment and for soil contamination to occur over a wider area than if the spill was effectively contained.<br/> It is important therefore that the actions of each agency in responding to a spill are co-ordinated. This is especially the case considering the risks posed by the volume of goods transported to and through Marlborough on <u>the State Highway 4 network</u>.</i></p> |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 85    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Issue 17C     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain Issue 17C.</b></p>   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 86    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Policy 17.3.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain Policy 17.3.1.</b></p>   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 87    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Policy 17.3.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain Policy 17.3.2</b>, in particular:<br/> <i>"for which a high level of through service must be provided on a continuous basis."</i><br/> <b>Amend as follows:</b><br/> Replace the term 'national routes' with '<u>State Highways</u>' throughout the MEP for clarity and consistency of terminology.</p>  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 88    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Policy 17.3.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend policy 17.3.3 as follows:</b><br/> Ensure the road hierarchy is periodically reviewed and where necessary amended to reflect on-going changes in land use, use of the coastal marine area, <del>and</del> road access relationships <u>and changes to the road network</u>.</p>   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 89    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Issue 17D     | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Issue 17D as follows:</b> <i>Land use, water and subdivision activities can have adverse effects on the sustainable <del>use</del> management and planned function of the land transport network <u>and how this network supports the districts and region.</u></i></p> <p>Add the following new objectives and/or policies:</p> <p>A new RPS and regional objective and/or policy that will ensure an integrated planning approach is taken to managing the effects of growth and development on transport infrastructure.</p> <p>Ensure noise sensitive activities are set back a sufficient distance from land transport network boundaries to avoid, remedy and mitigate effects.<br/>Allow noise sensitive activities to be located near land transport networks only where they do not compromise or limit the existing or planned function of the land transport network.</p>   |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 90    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Objective 17.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Objective 17.4 as follows:</b><br/><i><del>Conflict in providing for subdivision, use or development activities and with use of the land transport network is minimised.</del><br/>Conflict between new and altered land use activities and the land transport network is avoided, remedied or mitigated.</i></p>  |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 91    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.4.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 17.4.1 as follows:</b><br/><i>Manage the density, scale, <u>design</u>, and location of subdivision and/or activities to maintain, <u>protect or improve</u> the planned function of the roading network, <u>including through the avoidance of cumulative effects.</u></i></p> <p><b>Amend the reason for Policy 17.4.1 as follows:</b><br/><i>A major method in the MEP for managing the efficiency of the road network is through identification of a road's function, which is established by the road hierarchy (set out in Appendix 17 and Policy 17.3.2). It is important that subdivision <del>or</del> and activities that generate traffic (whether on land or in the coastal marine area) are managed so that their location, density, <u>design</u>, and/or scale does not impair the function of a particular road, <u>including as a result of cumulative or reverse sensitivity effects.</u> Management will occur through district rules that describe where there is a need to consider the impacts of activities on the function of a road through the resource consent process, <u>and by overlay maps identifying limited access roads, Transport Cumulative Effects Areas, and State Highway effects and buffer areas.</u></i></p> |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 92    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.4.2  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 17.4.2 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Avoid the spread of residential, industrial or commercial development fronting <del>national routes</del> State Highways and arterial roads extending outwards from urban settlements or towns to avoid cumulative effects on the road network.</i></p> <p><b>Amend the Policy 17.4.2 reason as follows:</b><br/> <i>Avoiding the outward spread of urban areas (for residential, commercial or industrial development) along <del>national</del> State Highways or arterial routes and limited access roads will help protect the safety and efficiency of roading networks <u>including from cumulative effects.</u></i></p> |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 93    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.4.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain Policy 17.4.3.</b></p>  |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 94    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.4.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain Policy 17.4.4.</b></p>  |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 95    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.4.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain Policy 17.4.5.</b></p>  |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 96    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Objective 17.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Objective 17.5</b> to clarify its intent, for example:<br/> <i>The safety and accessibility of roads for <u>all users as they travel along the road network</u> <del>pedestrians, cyclists and vehicle movement in general</del> is maintained and/or improved.</i></p> <p><b>Amend the reason under Objective 17.5 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Important components in a sustainable land transport network are ensuring it can be used safely and is accessible for a range of uses <u>and users, including pedestrians, cyclists, and motor vehicles.</u></i></p>   |       |          |                   |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 97    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.5.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 17.5.1 as follows:</b><br/> <del>Maintain road safety and accessibility by ensuring</del> <i>Ensure that standards of road design, vehicle access, vehicle crossings, loading and parking are related to the intended-planned function of the adjoining road in terms of the roading hierarchy in Policy 17.3.2 to maintain road safety and accessibility.</i><br/> <b>Amend the reason under Policy 17.5.1</b> to better align with the policy.</p>  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 98    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.5.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 17.5.3.</b></p>   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 99    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.5.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 17.5.4 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Avoid the display of outdoor advertising signs that could adversely affect traffic safety by confusing, distracting or obstructing the view of motorists or pedestrians.</i><br/> Note that if the definition for 'sign' proposed by the Transport Agency is not accepted, alternative relief and a definition for 'outdoor advertising' may be sought.</p>  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 100   | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.5.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Policy 17.5.6 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Subdivision and land use activities shall avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the safety of and accessibility to the road network, by ensuring:</i><br/> ...<br/> <i>(g) any proposed new or altered land use, subdivision or access point shall avoid, remedy, mitigate or improve any adverse safety or efficiency effects on the road network.</i><br/> <b>Amend the wording of Policy 17.5.6</b> to relate to the effects of activities, rather than activities themselves.<br/> <b>Amend the reason for Policy 17.5.6</b> to reference the Limited Access Roads and cumulative effects overlays.</p> |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 101   | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Issue 17D     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Issue 17D as follows:<br/> <i>Land use, water and subdivision activities can have adverse effects on the sustainable use management and planned function of the land transport network and how this network supports the districts and region.</i></p>  |       |          |                   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 102   | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Issue 17E     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Issue 17E.</b>  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 103   | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.6.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Policy 17.6.1.</b>  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 104   | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.6.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Identify this as a regional [R] policy as well as district [D].</b><br/> <b>Amend Policy 17.6.2 as follows:</b><br/> <i>The development, maintenance, operation, and use of the land transport network must be undertaken in a manner that protects natural and physical resources and the health, safety and wellbeing of the community through avoiding, remedying or mitigating:</i><br/> <i>(a) adverse effects on air and water quality, including from contaminated run-off from roads discharging into water or onto or into land;</i><br/> <i>(b) effects on places of significance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i><br/> <i>(c) loss of visual amenity values in modifying the landscape;</i><br/> <i>(d) loss of natural character values in the coastal environment, wetlands, lakes, rivers and their margins;</i><br/> <i>(e) destruction of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</i><br/> <i>(f) effects of severing communities and/or losing links between parts of settlements; and</i><br/> <i>(g) adverse effects on local amenities, including from noise and vibration."</i><br/> <u><i>(h) where the development maintenance and operation is for regionally significant infrastructure consideration will be given to the positive benefits of the proposed activity</i></u></p> |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 105   | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | 17.M.7        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain this Method</b> , with appropriate amendments to the regional rules to improve their workability in relation to the road network.   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 106   | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | 17.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert a new method of implementation:</b><br/> <u><i>17.M.x Limited access roads</i></u><br/> <u><i>The MEP includes maps showing the location of limited access roads at the time the MEP became operative. Where access is proposed onto a section of the State Highway which has been declared a Limited Access Road, the approval of the New Zealand Transport Agency will need to be obtained as described in the Government Roading Powers Act 1989.</i></u></p>   |       |          |                   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 107   | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | 17.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert a new method of implementation as follows</b> (or words to similar effect):</p> <p><i>17.M.x Road Cumulative Effects Areas</i></p> <p><i>The MEP includes maps showing the location of those parts of the road network that are either at or nearing capacity, where the ability of the road to operate safely or efficiently may be comprised if further subdivision or development occurs. The road controlling authority will be considered an affected party for any subdivision or land use consent application that accesses or leads to those parts of the road network identified as Transport Cumulative Effects Areas.</i></p>  |       |          |                   |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 108   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.34.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a preamble to the signage section</b> as follows:</p> <p><i>Any sign on or over any part of a State Highway is subject to the New Zealand Transport Agency (Signs on State Highways) Bylaw 2010, and requires the approval from the New Zealand Transport Agency, in addition to compliance with the MEP and any other council bylaws controlling signs.</i></p>   |       |          |                   |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 109   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.1.1.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Rule 2.1.1 as follows:</b></p> <p><i>2.1.1.2. The environmental flows and levels, as specified in Appendix 6, do not apply to a take, use, damming or diversion of water required by Rule <del>2.4.12.5.1</del>, as it relates to not meeting the applicable Standards of a Permitted Activity in Rule 2.2.</i></p>  |       |          |                   |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 110   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a new permitted activity rule as follows:</b></p> <p><i>[R] Rule x.x.x: Bore construction or alteration within the legal road for the purpose of investigating or monitoring conditions below the ground surface, including associated diversion and discharge of water or contaminants.</i></p> <p><b>Add standards</b> applying to this activity as follows:</p> <p><i>x.x.x.x The bore must be drilled by a Recognised Professional</i></p> <p><i>x.x.x.x A copy of the bore log, including a grid reference identifying the bore location, must be supplied to the Council in a suitable electronic format within 20 working days of the drilling of the bore.</i></p> <p><i>x.x.x.x On completion of the geotechnical investigation, the bore must be sealed or capped to prevent any potential contamination of groundwater.</i></p> <p><i>x.x.x.x The activity must not cause flooding or erosion of private land.</i></p> <p>This rule could be inserted into Chapter 2 General Rules (water take, use, damming or diversion section, from page 2-1) or a new chapter or section relating to the road or unzoned land.</p> |       |          |                   |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 111   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.2.11.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Rule 2.2.11 as follows:</b><br><b>"Take and use of water for road or river control construction, <u>maintenance, repair or upgrade</u> works up to 50m<sup>3</sup> per day per construction site"</b><br><b>And amend standard 2.3.10.4 as follows:</b><br><i>"2.3.10.4. Road or river control construction, <u>maintenance, repair or upgrade</u> works must be undertaken by, or on behalf of, the Marlborough District Council or the road controlling authority"</i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 112   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.12.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Rule 2.2.12 and Standards as follows:</b><br><i>Take of water <u>and associated diversion and discharge of that water for the purpose of dewatering a site of a trench.</u></i><br><i>2.3.11.1. The take must not be within a Groundwater Protection Area.</i><br><i>2.3.11.2. The take must relate to a temporary <u>excavation trench excavated</u> for the purposes of the installation or maintenance of infrastructure <u>or geotechnical testing.</u></i>          |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 113   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.15.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Rule 2.2.15.</b>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 114   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.14.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Standards 2.3.14.</b>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 115   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.17.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Rule 2.2.17.</b>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 116   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.18.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Rule 2.2.18 as follows:</b><br><i>Diversion <u>and temporary damming</u> of water associated with the operation <u>and maintenance of roadside drainage channels</u> and the Drainage Channel Network existing on 9 June 2016, and permitted activities in the Floodway Zone.</i>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 117   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.17.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend standard 2.3.17.2. as follows:</b><br><i>The diversion must be managed by the Marlborough District Council <u>or New Zealand Transport Agency.</u></i>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 118   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.17.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Insert an additional standard relating to flooding:</b><br><i><u>2.3.17.3. The activity must not cause flooding or erosion of private land.</u></i>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 119   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.4.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Rule 2.6.4</b> to exempt the take, use, and diversion of water associated with construction or maintenance activities), for example:<br><i>Take, use, damming or diversion of water from the following waterbodies, including their tributaries:</i><br>...<br><i><u>This rule does not apply to a take, use, damming or diversion of water lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016, or to take, use, and diversion associated with construction, maintenance and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure, including the take and use of water for an individual's reasonable domestic needs and the take and use of water for the reasonable drinking water needs of an individual's animals.</u></i><br><b>Clarify why diversions of the Branch River are prohibited by Rule 2.6.4</b> , but minimum flows for diversions of the Branch River are given in Schedule 4 of Appendix 6. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 120   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the necessary amendments to ensure only one rule applies to each activity in section 2.7, for example, specify whether each rule applies to continuously, intermittently, and/ or ephemerally flowing rivers, as per the comment on definitions above.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 121   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Add a definition for superstructure in relation to bridges and culverts.</b><br><b>Amend Standard 2.9.1.2</b> to clarify how the cross-sectional area of the lakebed or river is to be measured.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 122   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Rule 2.7.1</b> to also authorise activities associated with the works to the structure, and replacement of the structure, as follows:<br><i>Alteration, repair or maintenance <u>or replacement</u> of an existing structure in, on or over the bed of a lake or river, <u>including associated bed disturbance and deposition, diversion of water, and discharge of sediment and contaminants.</u></i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 123   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Rule 2.7.2 as follows:</b><br><i>Protection works in, on or over the bed of a lake or river for existing structures including gravel and sediment removal, <u>including associated bed disturbance and deposition, diversion, and discharge of sediment and contaminants.</u></i>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 124   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Standards 2.9.2</b> to also relate to gravel and sediment removal for the purpose of protecting an existing structure.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 125   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.4.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Rule 2.7.4.</b>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 126   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.4.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Standards 2.9.4.</b>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 127   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.7.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Support the permitted activity status.</b><br><b>Amend Rule 2.7.7 as follows:</b><br><i>Culvert installation <u>and replacement</u> in, on, under, or over the bed of a river, <u>including associated bed disturbance and deposition, diversion of water, and discharge of sediment and contaminants.</u></i>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 128   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.7.    | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Support the permitted activity status.</b><br/> <b>Amend Standards 2.9.7.4 as follows (or similar wording):</b><br/> <i>The total length of the culvert must not exceed <del>8</del>12m, except for a culvert passing beneath a State Highway where the total length of the culvert must not exceed <del>20m</del> the length necessary to pass beneath the legal road at that location.</i></p>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 129   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The submission includes multiple submission points for the inclusion of new rules under section 2.7. These points have been included under this one decision requested with headings included only for clarity.</p> <p><b>Include the following new rules in Section 2.7:</b></p> <p><b>Temporary dams</b><br/> <i>2.7.X. Construction of a temporary dam</i></p> <p><b>Construction of new structures</b><br/> <i>[R] Rule 2.7.X: Bore construction or alteration in or on the bed of a lake or river for the purpose of investigating or monitoring conditions below the ground surface, including associated disturbance, water take, use, damming, diversion, and discharge of water or sediment.</i></p> <p><b>Drainage channel maintenance</b><br/> <i>2.7.X. The removal of vegetation or bed material and associated sediment from any drainage channel, including any associated disturbance, deposition, diversion of water, and discharge of sediment.</i></p> <p><b>Removal or demolition of structures from river beds</b><br/> <i>2.7.X The removal or demolition of a structure or part of a structure that is fixed in, on, under, or over any river or lake bed, including any associated disturbance and deposition of the bed, diversion of water, and discharge of sediment.</i></p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 130   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>The submission includes multiple submission points for the inclusion of new rules under section 2.7. These points have been included under this one decision requested with headings included only for clarity.</p> <p><b>Include the following new standards:</b></p> <p><b>Temporary dams</b></p> <p><u>2.9.x.x. The temporary dam must be for diverting river flow around works in the bed of a river.</u></p> <p><u>2.9.x.x. Provision must be made for river flows up to and including the 20-year average rain index (ARI) event to bypass the temporary dam with the bypass flow being returned to the bed of the river downstream of the dam.</u></p> <p><u>2.9.x.x. The dam must not result in significant adverse effects on flows or ecology within permanent or intermittent rivers or streams.</u></p> <p><u>2.9.x.x. The dam structure must be no greater than 4m high when measured vertically from the downstream toe of the dam embankment to the highest point of the dam crest.</u></p> <p><u>2.9.x.x. The temporary dam must be constructed in accordance with best practice methods.</u></p> <p><u>2.9.x.x. The temporary dam must be removed as soon as is practicable and no later than two weeks following completion of the works.</u></p> <p><u>2.9.x.x. The dam must not be located in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland.</u></p> <p><b>Construction of new structures</b></p> <p><u>x.x.x.x The bore must be drilled by a Recognised Professional</u></p> <p><u>x.x.x.x A copy of the bore log, including a grid reference identifying the bore location, must be supplied to the Council in a suitable electronic format within 20 working days of the drilling of the bore.</u></p> <p><u>x.x.x.x On completion of the geotechnical investigation, the bore must be sealed or capped to prevent any potential contamination of groundwater.</u></p> <p><u>x.x.x.x Fish passage must not be impeded.</u></p> <p><b>Removal or demolition of structures from river beds</b></p> <p><u>x.x.x.x. The activity disturbs less than 10m<sup>3</sup> of the bed.</u></p> <p><u>x.x.x.x. It results in the complete removal of the structure from the bed, or the complete removal of that part of the structure requiring removal from the bed.</u></p> <p><u>x.x.x.x. No explosives shall be used in the demolition of the structure.</u></p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 135   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.5.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Standard 2.8.1.5 as follows:</b></p> <p><u>During the period of 1 September to 31 December in any year no activity must occur within 50m of a nesting bird in a lakebed or riverbed that has a conservation status of nationally "Threatened" or "At Risk" on the Department of Conservation's NZ Threat Classification System.</u></p>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 136   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.3.1.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Standard 2.8.3.1. as follows:</b><br><i>The best practicable method must be adopted to avoid <u>or mitigate</u> dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring.</i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 137   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.1.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Rule 2.11.1.</b>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 138   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Rule 2.11.2</b> to exempt investigation or monitoring bores associated with construction or maintenance activities on existing regionally significant infrastructure.                                      |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 139   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.16.3.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Rule 2.16.3 as follows:</b><br><i>2.16.3. Discharge of stormwater to water <u>and land</u>.</i>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 140   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend standard 2.17.3.1 as follows:</b><br><i>The discharge must not cause flooding on land other than land within the Floodway Zone <u>or drainage channel</u>.</i>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 141   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.3.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend the numbering of the standards under 2.17.3</b> to correct the error.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 142   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.16.4.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b>Add a rule</b> permitting the discharge of stormwater to coastal water from roads.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 143   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.4.   | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Add a rule</b> permitting the discharge of stormwater to coastal water from roads.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 144   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a permitted activity rule as follows:</b><br/> <i>[R] Rule x.x.x: Earthworks within the legal road and associated sediment discharge to water or to land where it may enter water.</i><br/> <b>Add standards</b> applying to this activity that address the effects of the sediment discharge on the receiving water body, such as:<br/> <i>x.x.x Earthworks shall not, after the zone of reasonable mixing, result in any of the following effects in receiving waters:</i><br/> <i>(i) the production of conspicuous oil or grease films, scums of foams, or floatable or suspended materials, or</i><br/> <i>(ii) any conspicuous change in colour or visual clarity, or</i><br/> <i>(iii) any emission of objectionable odour, or</i><br/> <i>(iv) the rendering of fresh water unsuitable for consumption by animals, or</i><br/> <i>(v) any significant adverse effect on aquatic life.</i><br/> The rule could be located within the Transportation section of Chapter 2, or within a new section containing other regional rules applying to unzoned land.</p>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 145   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a new permitted activity rule as follows:</b><br/> <i>Rule 2.x.x. The discharge of contaminants into air from abrasive blasting and water blasting, including and any associated discharge onto land or into water</i><br/> <b>Insert the following permitted activity standards specific to this activity:</b><br/> <i>2.x.x.1. Any sand or other material used for abrasive blasting must contain less than 5% free silica on a dry weight basis.</i><br/> <i>2. x.x.2. Any discharge of particulate matter must not be offensive or objectionable beyond the legal property boundary.</i><br/> <i>2. x.x.3. Any abrasive media not in use must be kept covered and protected from erosion.</i><br/> <i>2. x.x.4. All material that is discharged to land from the blasting must be collected and removed from the site to the extent practicable after blasting has been completed. The material must be disposed of to a facility that has authorisation to accept the contaminants in the material.</i><br/> <i>2. x.x.5. The surface to be blasted must not contain any hazardous substances, including lead, zinc, arsenic, chromium, copper, mercury, asbestos, tributyl tin, thorium-based compounds, and other heavy metals including anti foul paint containing these substances.</i><br/> <i>2. x.x.6. For dry abrasive blasting all items must be blasted within an abrasive blasting enclosure and the discharge must be via a filtered extraction system that removes at least 95% of particulate matter from the discharge.</i></p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 146   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.21.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Rule 2.21.1 to include the discharges to land, as follows:</b><br/> <i>Application of an agrichemical and associated discharge of contaminants to air and onto or into land in circumstances which may result in that contaminant entering water.</i></p>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 147   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.22.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Rule 2.22.1 to include the discharges to land, as follows:</b><br/> <i>Application of an agrichemical and associated discharge of contaminants to air and onto or into land in circumstances which may result in that contaminant entering water.</i><br/>           The permitted activity standards applying to discharges to air (2.22.1) are already consistent with those applying to agrichemical discharges to land in other zones, such as the Coastal Environment Zone (4.3.21), and therefore no additional standards are deemed necessary.</p>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 148   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a new permitted activity rule as follows:</b><br/> <i>[R] Rule X.X.X Discharge of calcium magnesium acetate to land for the purpose of deicing the road network, including any associated discharges to surface water.</i><br/> <b>Add standards</b> applying to this activity as follows:<br/> <i>X.X.X.X. The application of calcium magnesium acetate shall be made by, or on behalf of, the Marlborough District Council or the road controlling authority.</i><br/> <i>X.X.X.X. There shall be no direct discharge of calcium magnesium acetate to any waterbody or to coastal water.</i><br/> <i>X.X.X.X. The calcium magnesium acetate shall be applied in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended application rates and standards.</i><br/> <i>X.X.X.X. Written records shall be kept of all applications of calcium magnesium acetate, including date, time, position and amount applied.</i></p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 149   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a new Permitted Activity Rule in Chapter 2. General Rules: Discharge to Air as follows:</b><br/> <i>Discharge of contaminants from a mobile source</i></p>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 150   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.28.1.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain Rule 2.28.1.</b></p>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 151   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.28.2.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain Rule 2.28.2.</b></p>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 152   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.29.3.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Standard 2.29.3 as follows:</b><br><i>Where there is an existing or imminent threat to life, property, a utility or a service, <u>or to the safe operation of a road</u>, by a Notable Tree or any part thereof, immediate action can be taken to eliminate or abate the hazard by any safe means.</i> |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 153   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.32.3.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Standard 2.32.3.10 as follows:</b><br><i>A loading facility must be designed and located to avoid vehicle parking, <u>queuing</u>, or standing on the carriageway of a <del>national route</del> <u>State Highway</u> (as identified in Appendix 17)</i>   |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 154   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.32.4.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Standard 2.32.4.</b>   |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 155   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.32.4.22. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Rule 2.32.4.22.</b>   |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 156   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.32.4.24. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Rule 2.32.4.24.</b>   |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 157   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.32.4.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Add a new Standard to 2.32.4</b> stating that new and altered vehicle crossings to the State Highway are not permitted.  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 158   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.34.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.34.2 as follows:<br><i><u>Internal or external illumination of a sign, except where fronting or clearly visible from a State Highway.</u></i>  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 159   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.36.2.2.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Delete Standard 2.36.2.2.</b>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 160   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.36.4.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Standard 2.36.4.3 as follows:</b><br><i>The sign must be located within the boundary of the site; or if in the <u>legal road reserve</u>, they must not be further than 150mm from, and <u>must be parallel to</u>, the boundary of the site being advertised.</i>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 161   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.34.12.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b>Delete Rule 2.34.12</b><br>Note that if the definition for "sign" proposed by the Transport Agency is not accepted, alternative relief may be sought.<br><b>Change all appearances of "traffic sign" to "official road sign".</b>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 162   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.35.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend 2.35.1.1 as follows:</b><br><i>The signage must relate to or be associated with a service, product or event available or occurring on the site on which the sign is located.</i><br><b>Replace all other instances of "signage" with "sign",</b> as appropriate to its particular usage.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 163   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.35.1.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend 2.35.1.3 as follows:</b><br><i>A sign must not be erected on, or adjacent to, a <u>legal road reserve</u>, where the sign may:</i><br><i>(a) is on or over a State Highway and the prior approval of the New Zealand Transport Agency (under clause 4 of the New Zealand Transport Agency (Signs on State Highways) Bylaw 2010) has not been obtained;</i><br><i><del>(a)</del>(b) may obstruct the line of sight of any corner, bend, intersection or vehicle access;</i><br><i><del>(b)</del>(c) may obstruct, obscure or impair the view of any <u>traffic official road sign</u> or signal;</i><br><i><del>(c)</del>(d) may physically obstruct or impede traffic or pedestrians;</i><br><i><del>(d)</del>(e) may resemble or be likely to be confused with any <u>traffic official road sign</u> or signal;</i><br><i><del>(e)</del>(f) uses reflective materials (other than an <u>official road sign</u> or <u>traffic safety safety and hazard sign</u>) that may interfere with a road user's vision;</i><br><i>(g) <del>be</del> is within 120 m of any State Highway intersection or bridge, <del>within that has a 100km/hr</del> speed limit of <u>70km/hr or greater</u>;</i><br><i>(h) has more than six words and/or symbols of more than 40 characters;</i><br><i><del>(f)</del>(i) is <u>infrangible</u>.</i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 164   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.35.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add new standard to 2.35 as follows:</b> [<i>Inferred</i>]<br/> <i>Any sign is fronting or clearly visible from a State Highway, must:</i><br/> <i>(a) not be illuminated (by internal or external means) or contain variable, flashing, rotating or animated parts:</i><br/> <i>(b) not be erected until the New Zealand Transport Agency has been notified in writing.</i></p>  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 165   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.35.1.4.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Standard 2.35.1.4 as follows:</b><br/> <i>The sign message must be clear, <del>and</del> concise and easy to read. Lettering sizes on signage must be such that it does not cause any safety issue for motorists.</i></p>   |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 166   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.35.1.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Standard 2.35.1.11 as follows:</b><br/> <i>The minimum distance between signs on successive properties, and between signs and official road signs, as read from the one direction and measured parallel to the centre-line of the road, must be as shown in Table 2.12.</i><br/> <b>Amend the column heading of Table 2.12 as follows:</b><br/> <del>Visibility Distance</del> <i>Minimum Distance Between Signs (m)</i><br/> The Transport Agency may request other changes to Table 2.12.</p> |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 167   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.36.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Standard 2.36.2 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Illumination of a sign, except where fronting or clearly visible from a State Highway.</i></p>  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 168   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.36.2.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Delete Standard 2.36.2.2</b></p>  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 169   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.36.4.3.  | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Standard 2.36.4.3 as follows:</b><br><i>The sign must be located within the boundary of the site; or if in the <u>legal road reserve</u>, the must not be further than 150mm from, and <u>must be parallel to</u>, the boundary of the site being advertised.</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 170   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.36.8.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Clarify why this standard is specific to show homes.</b>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 171   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.36.8.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Delete Standard 2.36.8.2</b>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 172   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.36.9.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Standard 2.36.9.1 as follows:</b><br><i>A <u>temporary sign</u> must not be erected <u>for more than a maximum duration of three months</u> <del>prior to the date of the commencement of the activity advertised</del> <u>nor remain erected more than one week following the completion of that activity, including the time during which the advertised activity is taking place.</u></i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 173   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.38.6.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Rule 2.38.6 as follows:</b><br><i>Vegetation trimming or clearance associated with the maintenance, replacement and minor upgrading of a network utility <del>existing at 9 June 2016</del></i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 174   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend the introduction to the Network Utilities section</b> to specify that the rules take precedence over those in zone chapters.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 175   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Standard 3.3.7.1 as follows:</b><br><i>Notification must be given to Council <u>and the New Zealand Transport Agency</u> not more than 60 working days and not less than 20 working days before harvesting commences. Notification must include a Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan that addresses all of the matters set out in Appendix 22.</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 176   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Standard 3.3.7.2 as follows:</b><br/> <i>Any material change to the Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan must be notified to Council <u>and the New Zealand Transport Agency</u> at least 20 working days before the change is implemented.</i></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 177   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add new Permitted Activity Standards as follows,</b> or words to similar effect:<br/> <u>3.3.7.21. Forestry vehicles must not directly access the State Highway or access a road that leads to a State Highway.</u><br/> <u>3.3.7.22. Forestry vehicles must not cart loads on unsealed public roads within 24 hours of a rain event where more than 20 mm of rain has fallen on that road within any 24 hour period.</u></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 178   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.9.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a new Permitted Activity Standards as follows,</b> or words to similar effect:<br/> <u>3.3.9.13. Forestry vehicles must not directly access the State Highway or access a road that leads to a State Highway.</u><br/> <u>3.3.9.14. Notification must be given to Council and the New Zealand Transport Agency not more than 60 working days and not less than 20 working days before harvesting commences.</u><br/> <u>3.3.9.15. Forestry vehicles must not cart loads on unsealed public roads within 24 hours of a rain event where more than 20 mm of rain has fallen on that road within any 24 hour period.</u></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 179   | Volume 2 | All                      |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert new permitted activity standards and restricted discretionary rules in all zone chapters, as set out in Annexure 1 to this submission.</p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 180   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>It is submitted that subdivision or land use changes that result in additional traffic loading on these roads should require consent as a restricted discretionary activity.<br/> Establish a policy and method framework to manage cumulative effects from transport in identified areas.</p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 181   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Establish a policy and method framework to manage cumulative effects from transport in identified areas.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 182   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Establish a policy and method framework to manage cumulative effects from transport in identified areas.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 183   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Establish a policy and method framework to manage cumulative effects from transport in identified areas.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 184   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision             | 24.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Establish a policy and method framework to manage cumulative effects from transport in identified areas.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 185   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.48.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Rule 3.3.48.</b>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 186   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.4.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Standard 3.4.2.1 as follows</b> , or words to similar effect:<br><i>The place must not be served by vehicular access <u>directly</u> from a State Highway or from a road that leads onto a State Highway</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 187   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.8.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a new Permitted Activity Standards as follows</b>, or words to similar effect:</p> <p><i>4.3.8.13. Forestry vehicles must not directly access the State Highway or access a road that leads to a State Highway.</i></p> <p><i>4.3.8.14. Notification must be given to Council and the New Zealand Transport Agency not more than 60 working days and not less than 20 working days before harvesting commences.</i></p> <p><i>4.3.8.15. Forestry vehicles must not cart loads on unsealed public roads within 24 hours of a rain event where more than 20 mm of rain has fallen on that road within any 24 hour period.</i></p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 188   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.4.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend Standard 4.4.2.1 as follows</b>, or words to similar effect:</p> <p><i>The place must not be served by vehicular access <u>directly</u> from a State Highway or from a road that leads onto a State Highway</i></p>   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 189   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.6.4.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain Rule 4.6.4.</b></p>  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 190   | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend permitted activity standards in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b></p> <p><i>Light spill onto an adjoining residential site <u>or any road</u> must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical)</i></p> <p><b>Or add a new permitted activity standard in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b></p> <p><i>All exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 191   | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone       | 6.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend permitted activity standards in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b></p> <p><i>Light spill onto an adjoining residential site <u>or any road</u> must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical)</i></p> <p><b>Or add a new permitted activity standard in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b></p> <p><i>All exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 192   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend permitted activity standards in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b><br><i>Light spill onto an adjoining residential site <u>or any road</u> must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical)</i><br><b>Or add a new permitted activity standard in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b><br><i>All exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i>  |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 193   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend permitted activity standards in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b><br><i>Light spill onto an adjoining residential site <u>or any road</u> must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical)</i><br><b>Or add a new permitted activity standard in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b><br><i>All exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i>  |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 194   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.8.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Add a new Permitted Activity Standards as follows,</b> or words to similar effect:<br><i>8.3.8.13. Forestry vehicles must not directly access the State Highway or access a road that leads to a State Highway.</i><br><i>8.3.8.14. Notification must be given to Council and the New Zealand Transport Agency not more than 60 working days and not less than 20 working days before harvesting commences.</i><br><i>8.3.8.15. Forestry vehicles must not cart loads on unsealed public roads within 24 hours of a rain event where more than 20 mm of rain has fallen on that road within any 24 hour period.</i> |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 195   | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone   | 9.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain external lighting rules</b>  |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 196   | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone  | 10.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain external lighting rules</b>  |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 197   | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone  | 11.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain external lighting rules</b>  |       |          |                     |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 198   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.3.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain external lighting Standards.  |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 199   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                | 13.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain external lighting rules</b>  |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 200   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone   | 14.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain external lighting rules</b>  |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 201   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone              | 15.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain external lighting rules</b>  |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 202   | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone             | 23.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain external lighting rules</b>  |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 203   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone      | 16.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a statement specifying that the Coastal Marine Zone is the same thing as the Coastal Marine Area and is determined by the location of Mean High Water Springs, or rename Coastal Marine Zone as Coastal Marine Area throughout the MEP.</p> <p><b>Amend the introduction to Chapter 16</b> to state that the rules relate to activities controlled under Section 12 of the RMA.</p> |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 204   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone      | 16.1.16.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Rule 16.1.6.</b>   |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 205   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone      | 16.3.5.   | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Rule 16.3.5.</b>  |       |          |                        |            |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 206   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.11.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend 16.3.11.1. as follows:</b><br><i>Disturbance must be undertaken by non-mechanical means, or be undertaken by, or on behalf of, the Marlborough District Council or New Zealand Transport Agency.</i>   |       |          |                        |            |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 207   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.11.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend 16.3.11.7 as follows:</b><br><i>The clearance works must not result in fish passage being <del>must not be</del> impeded.</i>  |       |          |                        |            |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 208   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.23.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Rule 16.1.23.</b><br><b>Add a new permitted activity rule, as follows:</b><br><i>Renewal of an existing resource consent for the occupation of coastal marine area by a structure owned by a network utility operator.</i>  |       |          |                        |            |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 209   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2.2.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Rule 16.2.2.2</b> to allow small increases in the height, size or scale of a structure as a permitted activity when undertaking maintenance, repair and replacement work. To align with similar MEP rules in riverbeds, the standard could read:<br><i>16.2.2.2. The activity must not increase the plan or cross-sectional area of the structure by any more than 5% of the original structure.</i><br>The standard could apply to minor additions undertaken for regionally significant infrastructure only, if Council was concerned about incremental increases of other structures. |       |          |                        |            |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 210   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.6.7.    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add specific rules for the following activities</b>, bundling in associated foreshore/ seabed disturbance, destruction, deposition, material removal, reclamation, occupation, and discharge of contaminants:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Permit minor additions or alterations to structures (e.g. up to an addition of 5m in horizontal projection and 1m in vertical projection, except for stormwater pipes where deeper or wider excavations are only permitted for the purpose of constructing a sediment retention trap) The standard could apply to additions undertaken for regionally significant infrastructure only, if Council was concerned about incremental increases of other structures.</li> <li>Permit temporary structures (e.g. in place for up to 31 days).</li> <li>Permit replacement structures (e.g. where they are like for like).</li> <li>New structures as a discretionary activity.</li> </ul> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 211   | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in Open Space 1 and 2 Zones as follows:</b><br/> <i>All outdoor lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 212   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in Open Space 1 and 2 Zones as follows:</b><br/> <i>All outdoor lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 213   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a new permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in Open Space 3 and 4 Zones, as follows:</b><br/> <i>All outdoor lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 214   | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a new permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in Open Space 3 and 4 Zones, as follows:</b><br/> <i>All outdoor lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>   |       |          |                      |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 215   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a new permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in the Rural Environment Zone, Coastal Environment Zone, Coastal Marine Zone, Floodway Zone and the Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone as follows:</b><br/> <i>All outdoor lighting and exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 216   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a new permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in the Rural Environment Zone as follows:</b><br/> <i>All outdoor lighting and exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 217   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a new permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in the Coastal Marine Zone as follows:</b><br/> <i>All outdoor lighting and exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 218   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                 | 21.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a new permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in the Floodway Zone as follows:</b><br/> <i>All outdoor lighting and exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 219   | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a new permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in the Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone as follows:</b><br/> <i>All outdoor lighting and exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 220   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                   | 24.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add an introductory sentence to beginning of Chapter 24 Subdivision:</b><br/> <i>Any activity requiring access to a road which is a Limited Access Road will require a Licensed Crossing Point issued by the New Zealand Transport Agency in the case of a State Highway.</i></p>  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 221   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                   | 24.3.1.4. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Standard 24.3.1.4 as follows</b> , or words to similar effect:<br><i>The land being subdivided must not have direct access to or from a State Highway <u>or access to a road that leads to a State Highway.</u></i>   |       |          |                |            |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 222   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.4.1.13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend 24.4.1.13 as follows:</b><br><i>The proximity of existing lawfully established rural and non-residential activities, <u>including the road network</u>, and appropriate measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate reverse sensitivity effects on these activities including consideration of the following measures:</i><br>...   |       |          |                |            |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 223   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.4.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend Rule 24.4.2 as follows:</b><br><i>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</i><br><i>24.4.2.1. The matters set out in 24.3.1.9 to 24.3.1.26.</i><br><i>24.4.2.2. Any adverse effects on <del>the State Highway, traffic movement or traffic safety</del> <u>or the efficient operation of the road network, including cumulative effects in the Transport Cumulative Effects Areas.</u></i><br><i>24.4.2.4 <u>Whether the written approval of the New Zealand Transport Agency has been obtained as an affected party.</u></i> |       |          |                |            |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 224   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Suggest all words and terms which are defined in Chapter 25 be clearly marked with an asterisk, underlining, bold or similar at each appearance. In the online Flipbook it would be useful to hyperlink defined words to their definition.   |       |          |                |            |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 225   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend the definition of abrasive blasting as follows:</b><br><i>means the cleaning, smoothing, roughening, cutting or removal of part <u>of a surface</u> <del>of or</del> any articles by the use as an abrasive of a jet of sand, metal, shot or grit or other material propelled by a blast of compressed air or steam or water or by a wheel.</i>   |       |          |                |            |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 226   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.        | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Delete the definition for access and replace with a new definition, as follows:</b><br/> <i>means a practical permanent vehicular and pedestrian access from a formed road to a site over either:-</i><br/> <i>(a) land that is included within the site; or</i><br/> <i>(b) other land pursuant to an easement of right of way running with the land and appurtenant to the site; or</i><br/> <i>(c) land that is legal but unformed road.</i><br/> <i>Means a vehicular entrance formed to provide access to any property from the carriageway of the public road and includes any crossing constructed over a footpath, kerb, berm, water channel or drain.</i></p> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 227   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain definition for agrichemical.</b></p>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 228   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain definition for bore.</b></p>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 229   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Delete or amend the definition for cut-off to relate to its usage in the MEP.</b></p>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 230   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain the definition for dam</b></p>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 231   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a definition for damming as follows:</b><br/> <i>"Damming means impounding surface water or groundwater water with any structure. This excludes water held in tanks, and for the avoidance of doubt excludes coffer dams."</i></p>   |       |          |                |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 232   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain definition for dewatering.</b>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 233   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain the definition for diversion</b>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 234   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain the definition for drainage channel</b>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 235   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain definition for front yard</b>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 236   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend the definition for land development signage as follows:</b><br>“Land development <del>signage</del> <del>sign</del> <del>means</del> a sign <del>must</del> <del>relate</del> <del>relating</del> to land development that involves a minimum of six allotments or units.”  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 237   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b>Delete the definition for land transport and replace with a new definition, as follows:</b><br><del>means all forms of land-based transport, including road and rail.</del><br><i>(a) Means –</i><br><i>(i) transport on land by any means;</i><br><i>(ii) the infrastructure, goods, and services facilitating that transport; and</i><br><i>(b) Includes –</i><br><i>(i) coastal shipping (including transport by means of harbour ferries, or ferries or barges on rivers or lakes) and associated infrastructure;</i><br><i>(ii) the infrastructure, goods, and services (including education and enforcement), the primary purpose of which is to improve public safety in relation to the kinds of transport described in paragraph (a)(i).</i> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 238   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Define external lighting and outdoor lighting to exclude street lights.</b>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 239   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Insert a definition for limited access road, as follows:</b><br><i>Limited Access Road means any road or part of a road which has been declared a "limited access road" under the Government Roading Powers Act 1989.</i>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 240   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Add a definition for local road, as follows:</b><br><i>means a road for which Council has financial responsibility for.</i><br>Ensure the term "local road" is used consistently throughout the MEP.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 241   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend the definitions for "maintenance of a building or structure" and "maintenance and replacement"</b> to clearly distinguish between the two.<br>For example, replace with separate definitions for "maintenance" and "replacement"  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 242   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Add a definition for mobile source as follows:</b><br><i>A mobile source that discharges contaminants into air including, but not limited to, motor vehicles (cars), trucks, light utility vehicles, buses, aircraft, trains, vessels (boats), and mobile plant, including plant used for construction or maintenance purposes.</i> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 243   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain definition for net site area.</b>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 244   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend the Network Utilities section</b> , such as in the introductory statement, to state that activities within the legal road are not subject to the rules in this chapter (where they are undertaken by the requiring authority and in accordance with the designation purpose and any conditions)                               |       |          |                |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 245   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain definition for noise sensitive activity.</b>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 246   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Add a definition for official road sign as follows:</b><br><i>means any sign erected in accordance with:</i><br><i>(a) the Traffic Regulations 1976; or</i><br><i>(b) the Land Transport Act 1998 and rules made pursuant to it, including the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004; or</i><br><i>(c) any Gazette Notice issued under clause 4.4 of the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.</i>           |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 247   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Add a definition for point source discharge as follows:</b><br><i>A discharge that runs off land or structures and is collected or diverted through specifically constructed drainage channels or pipes.</i><br><b>Add a definition for non-point source discharge as follows:</b><br><i>A discharge that runs off land or structures in a diffuse manner for which no specific drainage channels or pipes have been constructed.</i> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 248   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain definition for reasonable mixing.</b>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 249   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a definition for regionally significant infrastructure as follows:</b><br/> <u>Existing or proposed infrastructure, or a component of infrastructure, which contributes to the social, economic, environmental, health and safety wellbeing of the Marlborough District, and includes:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Reticulated sewerage systems (including the pipe network, treatment plants and associated infrastructure) operated by the Marlborough District Council;</u></li> <li>• <u>Reticulated community stormwater networks;</u></li> <li>• <u>Reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</u></li> <li>• <u>Regional landfill, transfer stations and the resource recovery centre;</u></li> <li>• <u>National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower NZ Limited);</u></li> <li>• <u>Local electricity supply network owned and operated by Marlborough Lines;</u></li> <li>• <u>Facilities for the generation of electricity, where the electricity generated is supplied to the National Grid or the local electricity supply network (including infrastructure for the transmission of the electricity into the National Grid or local electricity supply network);</u></li> <li>• <u>Strategic telecommunications facilities, as defined in Section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001, and strategic radiocommunication facilities, as defined in Section 2 (1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989;</u></li> <li>• <u>Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko Airports;</u></li> <li>• <u>Main trunk railway line;</u></li> <li>• <u>Road network;</u></li> <li>• <u>Port of Picton and Havelock Harbour;</u></li> <li>• <u>Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas;</u></li> <li>• <u>RNZAF Base at Woodbourne; and</u></li> <li>• <u>Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain.</u></li> </ul> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 250   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a definition for reverse sensitivity as follows:</b><br/> <u>Reverse sensitivity is the vulnerability of an established land use. In practice such complaints can compromise the established land use.</u></p>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 251   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend the definition for river as follows:</b><br/> <u>River has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act. For the avoidance of doubt, river includes continuously, intermittently, and ephemeral flowing watercourses, but does not include artificial watercourses</u></p> <p><b>Amend the definition for intermittently flowing as follows:</b><br/> <u>Intermittently flowing means a wetland, lake, river, or reach of river that exists or flows for weeks, or months each year, and excludes ephemeral waterbodies.</u></p> <p><b>Amend the definition for ephemeral as follows:</b><br/> <u>Ephemeral flowing means a wetland, lake, river, or reach of river that only exists or flows for a short period following heavy or persistent precipitation or snowmelt, and excludes intermittent waterbodies.</u></p>   |       |          |                |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 252   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend the definition for road as follows:</b><br/> <i>Has the same meaning as in <del>Section 2 of the Act</del> Section 315 of the Local Government Act 1974.</i></p>                             |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 253   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a definition for road network, as follows:</b><br/> <i>means all local roads and State Highways</i><br/>           Ensure the term "road network" is used consistently throughout the MEP.</p> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 254   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain the definition for road controlling authority.</b><br/> <b>Change all uses of</b> <i>roading authority</i> <b>to</b> <i>road controlling authority</i>.</p>                                 |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 255   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend the definition for rock rip-rap</b> to either specify that the definition relates to its use in rivers only, or expand the definition to relate to its use in coastal protection.</p>        |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 256   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain definition for run-off</b> but update all occurrences of 'runoff' and 'run off' to read as 'run-off' for consistency.</p>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 257   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a definition for safety and hazard sign.</b></p>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 258   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a definition for sign as follows:</b><br/> <i>Sign means any name, figure, character, outline, display, notice, placard, poster, banner of any kind, advertising device or appliance, or any other thing of a similar nature intended to attract attention; and</i><br/> <i>(a) includes all materials composing the sign, together with the frame, background, structure and support or anchorage of the sign;</i><br/> <i>(b) includes any of the above listed things when fixed or mounted on any vehicle that is parked on a State Highway for the purpose of displaying that sign;</i><br/> <i>(c) includes road safety billboards, sandwich boards and temporary local banners; but</i><br/> <i>(d) does not include any official road sign or traffic sign.</i></p> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 259   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a definition for State Highway buffer area as follows:</b><br/> <i>The area on overlay maps titled "State Highway buffer area", and used to promote separation between noise sensitive activities and the State Highway. The buffer extends up to 40 m from the edge of the traffic lane and typically incorporates shoulder areas, stormwater drains, stormwater treatment, utility corridors, cycle and foot paths and other non-noise sensitive activities.</i></p>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 260   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Add a definition for State Highway effects area as follows:</b><br/> <i>The area on overlay maps titled "State Highway effects area", and used to implement design standards on new buildings within this area to achieve reasonable indoor acoustic amenity. The effects area extends up to 100 m from the edge of the traffic lane.</i></p>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 261   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Replace the definition for stormwater as follows:</b><br/> <i>Rainfall runoff from land, including constructed impervious areas such as roads, pavement, roofs and urban areas which may contain dissolved or entrained contaminants, and which is diverted and discharged to land and water.</i><br/> <i>means rainfall that runs off land and for which specific drainage channels or pipes have been constructed.</i></p>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 262   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain the definition for temporary sign.</b></p>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 263   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain definition for Tree Protection Zone.</b>  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 264   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend or replace the definition for vegetation.</b>  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 265   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend or replace the definition for vegetation clearance</b>   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 266   | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Appendix 2.</b>   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 267   | Volume 3 | Appendix 4 Determining Significant Adverse Effects      |           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Appendix 4.</b>   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 268   | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land                 |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain Appendix 14</b> in respect of the New Zealand Transport Agency designations and description of 'State Highway purposes'.<br><b>Add a table of contents</b> at the beginning of the Appendix, ordering and numbering the designations by requiring authority<br><b>Amend requiring authorities</b> for P7, P8, P12 and P14 to New Zealand Transport Agency only. |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 269   | Volume 3 | Appendix 17 Roding Hierarchy                            |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Replace Appendix 17 Roding Hierarchy</b> with the hierarchy included as <b>Annexure 2</b> to this submission.  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 270   | All      | All   |           | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                      | Provision                     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Replace all roading hierarchy terms used throughout the MEP</b> with the ONRC roading hierarchy terms.   |       |          |  |                               |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 271   | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan |                               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Add new matters that must be addressed in any Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan:</b><br><u>10. The route(s) that forestry trucks will travel on the public road network.</u><br><u>11. The expected number of daily truck movements to and from the forestry site over the duration of the harvesting operation.</u><br><u>12. A road surface monitoring and reinstatement plan that demonstrates how any damage to the surface of the road carriageway resulting from the harvesting operation will be identified and remediated to the satisfaction of the road controlling authority.</u><br><u>13. Evidence that the Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan has been developed in consultation with the New Zealand Transport Agency and the Council.</u> |       |          |  |                               |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 272   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                                 |                               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Add overlays to the planning maps</b> for a "State Highway buffer area" and "State Highway effects area"   |       |          |  |                               |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 273   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                                 |                               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Add overlays to the planning maps</b> identifying all existing Limited Access Roads in the District.   |       |          |  |                               |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 274   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                                 |                               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Add overlays to the planning maps</b> identifying Transport Cumulative Effects Areas.  |       |          |  |                               |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 275   | Volume 4 | All  |                               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend the planning maps</b> to correct the Transport Agency's designations.<br><b>Differentiate designations which abut or intersect each other</b> (e.g. by different colours or shading).  |       |          |  |                               |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 276   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                                 | National Transportation Route | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Rename to National Transportation Route to National Marine Transportation Route</b> or similar, to clarify it relates to marine transport only. Apply change to the map, definition, and all appearances in the MEP. |       |          |              |                                |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 277   | All      | All          |                                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Rename to National Transportation Route to National Marine Transportation Route</b> or similar, to clarify it relates to marine transport only. Apply change to the map, definition, and all appearances in the MEP. |       |          |              |                                |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 278   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps |                                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clearly link the numbering of the overlay maps to the table of contents. Consider page numbers or sequential numbering of overlay maps.   |       |          |              |                                |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 279   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  |                                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Reassess and clarify the mapped extent of Significant Wetlands.</b>  |       |          |              |                                |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 280   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Groundwater Protection Areas 1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain mapped extent of Groundwater Protection Areas.</b>  |       |          |              |                                |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 281   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Groundwater Protection Areas 2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain mapped extent of Groundwater Protection Areas.</b>  |       |          |              |                                |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 282   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Groundwater Protection Areas 3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain mapped extent of Groundwater Protection Areas.</b>  |       |          |              |                                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 283   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Groundwater Protection Areas 4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain mapped extent of Groundwater Protection Areas.</b>  |       |          |              |                                |         |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 284   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Groundwater Protection Areas 5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain mapped extent of Groundwater Protection Areas.</b>  |       |          |              |                                |         |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 285   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Groundwater Protection Areas 6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain mapped extent of Groundwater Protection Areas.</b>  |       |          |              |                                |         |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 286   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Groundwater Protection Areas 7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain mapped extent of Groundwater Protection Areas.</b>  |       |          |              |                                |         |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 287   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Groundwater Protection Areas 8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain mapped extent of Groundwater Protection Areas.</b>  |       |          |              |                                |         |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 288   | All      | All          |                                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Possible solutions include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Expand the General Rules chapter</b> to ensure all relevant activities within unzoned land (including the road network) have specific rules, particularly regional rules; or</li> <li>• <b>Add a new chapter</b> containing rules for unzoned land/ roads; or</li> <li>• <b>Provide for the road</b> to adopt the zoning of adjacent land.</li> <li>• <b>Ensure all activities restricted by Part 3 of the RMA</b> or that are commonly undertaken on the legal road are specifically provided for by clearly applicable and appropriate rules.</li> </ul> |       |          |              |                                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 289   | All      | All     |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Bundle associated regional rules together.<br>For example, Rule 2.7.7 culvert installation in, on, under, or over the bed of a river, including associated damming and diversion of water and associated discharge of sediment.<br>Reference the relevant RMA Section of Part 3 that regional rules address, as applicable. |       |          |         |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 290   | All      | All     |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Add additional contents pages to the hard copy and PDF versions of the MEP.</b>  |       |          |         |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 291   | All      | All     |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Extend numbering system to sub-section headings within each chapter.</b> E.g. 2. General Rules -> <u>2.4</u> Discharge to Water -> <del>2.16</del> <u>2.4.1</u> Permitted Activities -> <del>2.16.1</del> <u>2.4.1.1</u> [R] Discharge of water to surface water   |       |          |         |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 292   | All      | All     |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert frequent cross-references.   |       |          |         |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 293   | All      | All     |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Support the use of labels</b> to differentiate between district, regional, coastal activities, and the Regional Policy Statement.<br><b>Carry labelling through to all permitted activity standards of the MEP.</b>  |       |          |         |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 294   | Volume 1 | All     |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Utilise better grouping and identification for methods.<br>Or<br>Utilise numbering for sub-sections within chapter. Suggest methods grouped for each sub section clearly.   |       |          |         |           |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 295   | Volume 2 | All     |           | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend all appearances of the error as follows:</b><br><i>Unless expressly limited elsewhere by a rule in the Marlborough Environment Plan...</i>   |       |          |                |                    |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 296   | Volume 2 | All            |                    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Either:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>List the activity-specific standards</b> under the rule that it relates to; or</li> <li>• <b>Use cross-referencing</b> between the rules and applicable standards.</li> </ul>  |       |          |                |                    |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 297   | Volume 2 | All            |                    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert rule headings, as well as section headings and rule numbers;<br>Or<br>Delete the summary table and instead identify provisions with immediate legal effect by another means, such as grey highlight.   |       |          |                |                    |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 298   | Volume 1 | All            |                    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain references to NZS 6803:1999</b><br><b>Add a new method</b> , such as: <i>Noise from road construction and maintenance is to comply with NZS 6803:1999 Acoustics – Construction Noise</i>  |       |          |                |                    |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 299   | Volume 1 | 2 Background   | How to use the MEP | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend the explanation of the term 'avoid'</b> to be consistent with its ordinary meaning and that established by case law.<br><b>Ensure</b> each of the RMA terms explained in this section adequately relate to all instances of use in the MEP.  |       |          |                |                    |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 300   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend the explanation of the term 'avoid'</b> to be consistent with its ordinary meaning and that established by case law.<br><b>Ensure</b> each of the RMA terms explained in this section adequately relate to all instances of use in the MEP.<br><b>Insert a definition for these terms in Chapter 25.</b> |       |          |                |                    |                 |
| 1002               | New Zealand Transport Agency  | 301   | All      | All            |                    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Replace references to the Act</b> with <u>RMA</u> .   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>1002</b>        | New Zealand Transport Agency   | 302   | All      | All                            |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b>Replace references to road reserve</b> with <u>legal road</u> .   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>481</b>         | New Zealand Walking Access Commission  | 1     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Issue 9A      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 9A  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>481</b>         | New Zealand Walking Access Commission  | 2     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Objective 9.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 9.1   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>481</b>         | New Zealand Walking Access Commission  | 3     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments to Policy 9.1.1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ensure the public access policy and clauses are included within the River Management Section of the document to provide consistency.</li> <li>Include the Opawa River Stop bank network also between Blenheim and Renwick as part of the overall cycle network.</li> </ul> |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>481</b>         | New Zealand Walking Access Commission  | 4     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 9.1.2  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>481</b>         | New Zealand Walking Access Commission  | 5     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 9.1.3  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>481</b>         | New Zealand Walking Access Commission  | 6     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 9.1.4  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>481</b>         | New Zealand Walking Access Commission  | 7     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 9.1.6  |       |          |                                |               |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 481                | New Zealand Walking Access Commission   | 8     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.9  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 9.1.9   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 481                | New Zealand Walking Access Commission   | 9     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 9.1.10  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 481                | New Zealand Walking Access Commission   | 10    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (bold and strike-through) are made to Policy 9.1.11</p> <p><i>Policy 9.1.11 – An esplanade reserve to be taken for public access purposes will be preferred to an esplanade strip or access strip in the following circumstances:</i></p> <p><i>(a) for those sites that adjoin existing esplanade reserves or other reserves vested in either the Marlborough District Council or Crown;</i></p> <p><i>(b) where the site adjoins the coastal marine area; or</i></p> <p><b>(c) where the site adjoins a river; or</b></p> <p><del>(e)</del> <b>(d) where the site is or is likely to be a high use area.</b></p> |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 481                | New Zealand Walking Access Commission   | 11    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.14 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 9.1.14.</p> <p><i>Policy 9.1.14 - Where existing public access to or along the coastal marine area, lakes and rivers is to be lost through a proposed use, development or structure, alternative access <del>may</del> <b>must</b> be considered <b>provided</b> as a means to mitigate that loss.</i></p>  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 481                | New Zealand Walking Access Commission   | 12    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.15 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 9.1.15:</p> <p><i>Policy 9.1.15 - Recognise the benefits of the presence of unformed legal road as a means to enhance <b>public</b> access <del>to and along waterbodies (including the coast) and to public land.</del></i></p>   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 481                | New Zealand Walking Access Commission   | 13    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.16 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 9.1.16:</p> <p><i>Policy 9.1.16 - In considering an application to stop any unformed legal road, the Marlborough District Council shall <del>consider the following:</del> <b>publish two public notices a week apart and consult with interested parties including the NZWAC, and not close any legal road where there is present or future public access interest.</b></i></p> <p><i>(a) <del>current level of use, including whether the unformed legal road is:</del></i><br/> <del>- the sole or most convenient means of access to any existing lot(s) that is public land or feature (for example, a river or the coast); or</del><br/> <del>- used as a walkway or to access conservation land;</del></p> <p><i>(b) <del>opportunities for future use, including whether the unformed legal road will be needed:</del></i><br/> <del>- to service future residential, commercial, industrial or primary production developments; or</del><br/> <del>- in the future, to connect existing roads;</del></p> <p><i>(c) <del>alternative uses of the land, including its current or potential value for amenity or conservation functions, e.g. walkway, utilities corridor, esplanade-strip or <del>access way to features such as a river or the coast;</del></del></i></p> <p><i>(d) <del>whether there is alternative and practical existing public access to the same end point of the unformed legal road; and</del></i></p> <p><i>(e) <del>whether acceptable alternative access can be provided to offset the stopping of the unformed legal road.</del></i></p> <p>This is unless acceptable alternative access can be provided to offset the stopping of the legal road.</p> |       |          |                                |              |         |
| <b>481</b>         | New Zealand Walking Access Commission  | 14    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.3.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 9.3.6  |       |          |                                |              |         |
| <b>481</b>         | New Zealand Walking Access Commission  | 18    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.3.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 9.3.7  |       |          |                                |              |         |
| <b>481</b>         | New Zealand Walking Access Commission  | 19    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | 9.M.1        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 9.M.1   |       |          |                                |              |         |
| <b>481</b>         | New Zealand Walking Access Commission  | 20    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | 9.M.5        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 9.M.5   |       |          |                                |              |         |
| <b>481</b>         | New Zealand Walking Access Commission  | 21    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | 9.M.8        | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain as proposed.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>481</b>         | New Zealand Walking Access Commission  | 22    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.4.13 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.13  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>481</b>         | New Zealand Walking Access Commission  | 23    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                | Policy 17.4.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amendments are requested that when rail corridors are not available and developed for the use of cycling or walking facilities along State Highway 1 that appropriate alternative cycling and walking infrastructure is developed to ensure both walkers and cyclists are provided well defined and safe transportation routes along State Highway 1.              |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>481</b>         | New Zealand Walking Access Commission  | 24    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                | Policy 17.5.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amendments are requested to include this policy or create additional policy that ensures that any development or subdivision includes provision for pedestrian and cyclist access. The MDC 'Subdivision Code of Practice' should be updated to include cycling and pedestrian facilities.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>481</b>         | New Zealand Walking Access Commission  | 25    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                | Policy 17.6.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 17.6.4   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1173</b>        | Tim Newsham  | 1     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.5.3.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the burning of coal is included in the prohibited activity.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1173</b>        | Tim Newsham  | 2     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.19.1.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Rule 5.3.19.1:<br><i>Rule 5.3.19.1 The continued use of the specified appliance is only permitted until 9 June <del>2017</del><b>2022</b>.</i>   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1173</b>        | Tim Newsham  | 3     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.5.5.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Rule 5.5.5:<br><i>Rule 5.5.5 From 9 June <del>2017</del><b>2022</b> the discharge of contaminants to air within the Blenheim Airshed from the burning of solid fuel in a small scale solid fuel burning appliance (except a pellet burner) that has been installed for more than 15 years.</i> |       |          |                                  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| <b>1173</b>        | Tim Newsham   | 4     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone          | 5.5.4.                                    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (bold) are made to Rule 5.5.4 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><i>Rule 5.5.4 From 9 June 2022 the discharge of contaminants to air within the Blenheim Airshed from an indoor open fire, unless the fire is used exclusively for the cooking or smoking of food for wholesale or retail sale or for ambiance in commercial places.</i>   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1173</b>        | Tim Newsham   | 5     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)    | Policy 15.3.2                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The changes I SEEK to this section of the proposed MEP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rules which reflect scientific bases toward dealing with the health aspect of air quality controls.</li> <li>Regional responsibility for creating rules which reflect our quality of life expectations rather than trying to adhere to national standards that may be inappropriate to our local circumstances.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1173</b>        | Tim Newsham   | 6     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)    | Objective 15.3                            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a new policy is included in the MEP that states the need to reduce the threats of climate change and the use of more renewable and sustainable resources.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1173</b>        | Tim Newsham   | 7     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)    | 15.M.34                                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | A greater role by local authorities in both regulating and educating the proper use of wood to achieve efficient combustion and heat generation.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>341</b>         | Neylon Vineyards  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3                                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Reject the proposal in its entirety. Until MDC has produced scientific evidence to support their proposal and demonstrate a fair policy on restrictions.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>760</b>         | Hui Ting Ng   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                              | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>760</b>         | Hui Ting Ng   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>760</b>         | Hui Ting Ng  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>760</b>         | Hui Ting Ng  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>760</b>         | Hui Ting Ng  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>760</b>         | Hui Ting Ng  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>760</b>         | Hui Ting Ng  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>760</b>         | Hui Ting Ng  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>760</b>         | Hui Ting Ng  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>760</b>         | Hui Ting Ng  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1127</b>        | Soon Ng  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1127</b>        | Soon Ng  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1127</b>        | Soon Ng  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1127</b>        | Soon Ng  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1127</b>        | Soon Ng  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1127</b>        | Soon Ng  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1127</b>        | Soon Ng  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1127</b>        | Soon Ng  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1127</b>        | Soon Ng  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1127</b>        | Soon Ng  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>969</b>         | Ngai Tahu Seafood Resources Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <i>[Inferred]</i><br>Modify the plans to recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.  |       |          |   |               |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| <b>969</b>         | Ngai Tahu Seafood Resources Limited  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps          | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | [ <i>Inferred</i> ]<br>Modify the plans to recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.                    |       |          |                       |                             |        |
| <b>1165</b>        | Ngai Tahu Seafood Resources Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps          | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plans to recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.   |       |          |                       |                             |        |
| <b>1165</b>        | Ngai Tahu Seafood Resources Limited  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps          | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plans to recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.   |       |          |                       |                             |        |
| <b>1317</b>        | Dee Ngakuru  | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.5.                    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That standard 7.1.2.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).  |       |          |                       |                             |        |
| <b>1174</b>        | Trang Ngo  | 1     | All      | All                   |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. |       |          |                       |                             |        |
| <b>754</b>         | Hung Nguyen  | 1     | All      | All                   |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. |       |          |                       |                             |        |
| <b>1180</b>        | Trung Nguyen   | 1     | All      | All                   |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. |       |          |                       |                             |        |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>1212</b>        | Van Nguyen   | 1     | All      | All                             |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AONZ submission.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>252</b>         | Kim Nicholls   | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | I believe the council should agree to the fact that the Omaka Valley has special characteristics that make it appropriate to apply a management framework, that is specific to the Valley  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>252</b>         | Kim Nicholls   | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | I believe the council should support this part of the policy and make the Omaka Valley a special zone  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>309</b>         | Pamela Nicholls  | 1     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change               | 19.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make climate change a much higher priority throughout the MEP as detailed in the submission of the Climate Karanga Marlborough group.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>236</b>         | NIWA Nelson  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone          | 16.1.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The decision we seek from the Council is <a href="#">to clarify whether relaying empty mussel shell will require consent</a>.</p> <p>We also request that Council provide the relief of making it Permitted Activity subject to Standards (such as in designated appropriate areas, not discharging over known ecologically significant marine sites; notifying the harbour master such that any issues to do with safety of navigation can be avoided; and subject to biosecurity approval).</p> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>821</b>         | Jo Noonan  | 1     | All      | All                             |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AONZ submission.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1000</b>        | North Rarangi Water Supply Incorporated  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                 | 2.3.11.1.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 2.3.11.1.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1000</b>        | North Rarangi Water Supply Incorporated  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                                | 3.3.14.5.                      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.14.5.  |       |          |   |                                |                 |
| <b>1000</b>        | North Rarangi Water Supply Incorporated  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                                | 3.3.17.1.                      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.17.1(f).   |       |          |   |                                |                 |
| <b>1000</b>        | North Rarangi Water Supply Incorporated  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                                | 3.3.30.6.                      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.30.6.  |       |          |   |                                |                 |
| <b>1000</b>        | North Rarangi Water Supply Incorporated  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                                | 3.3.31.3.                      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.31.3.  |       |          |   |                                |                 |
| <b>1000</b>        | North Rarangi Water Supply Incorporated  | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Groundwater Protection Areas 8 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the area of the Rarangi Shallow Aquifer including the area of the Pukaka Hills northwards be classified as a Groundwater Protection Zone. As shown on the attached map in the submission. Volume 4 Map 79 is relevant to this submission point. |       |          |   |                                |                 |
| <b>1000</b>        | North Rarangi Water Supply Incorporated  | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                                | 3.3.31.                        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Heading 3.3.31 is amended to include vineyard waste (marc) stored on Groundwater Protection Area.   |       |          |   |                                |                 |
| <b>597</b>         | Colin Ronald and Tom Ronald Norton   | 1     | All      | All   |                                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |                                |                 |
| <b>605</b>         | Colin Ronald Norton  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8400; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                                |                 |
| <b>605</b>         | Colin Ronald Norton  | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                                | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8400; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>605</b>         | Colin Ronald Norton   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8400; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>605</b>         | Colin Ronald Norton   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8400; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>666</b>         | Dave Norton   | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>945</b>         | Mick Norton   | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>142</b>         | Wayne Norwood   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.3.                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to the discharge of sewage in the coastal marine zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of a marine farm.                                     |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>142</b>         | Wayne Norwood   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to the discharge of sewage in the coastal marine zone remain at 500 metres of MHWS.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>628</b>         | Clinton Nott  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>628</b>         | Clinton Nott   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>628</b>         | Clinton Nott   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>628</b>         | Clinton Nott   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>628</b>         | Clinton Nott   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>628</b>         | Clinton Nott   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>628</b>         | Clinton Nott   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>628</b>         | Clinton Nott   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>628</b>         | Clinton Nott   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>628</b>         | Clinton Nott   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>198</b>         | NS Clifford  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps         | Zoning Map 19 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Rezone the land adjoining the Flight Timber Sawmill Industrial 1 instead of Urban Residential 3.   |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>198</b>         | NS Clifford  | 2     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps         | Zoning Map 18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Rezone the land adjoining the Flight Timber Sawmill Industrial 1 instead of Urban Residential 3.   |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>198</b>         | NS Clifford  | 3     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps         | Zoning Map 13 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Rezone the land adjoining the Flight Timber Sawmill Industrial 1 instead of Urban Residential 3.   |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>198</b>         | NS Clifford  | 4     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps         | Zoning Map 12 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Rezone the land adjoining the Flight Timber Sawmill Industrial 1 instead of Urban Residential 3.   |       |          |                     |               |        |
| <b>1001</b>        | NZART Incorporated and Marlborough Amateur Radio Club (Branch 22)  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules     | 2.38.         | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Include such provision as suggested in the attached submission.</p> <p>That <i>Amateur Radio Configurations</i> are provided as a permitted activity in all zones with the following standards (<b><i>inferred</i></b>):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antennas attached to buildings must not exceed the point of attachment height by more than 7 metres.</li> <li>• The maximum number of antennas is 12.</li> <li>• Masts and attached antennas identified as permitted activities have a maximum height of 20 metres.</li> <li>• Allow “height in relation to boundary” to be the maximum height allowed for masts without reference to the distance from the boundary, except that no aerial or antennas mounted on the mast should overhang any boundary.</li> <li>• Require compliance with Streetscape Requirements.</li> <li>• Allow dish antennas close to the ground with a maximum diameter of 5 metres as a permitted activity for Licensed Amateur Radio Operators.</li> </ul> <p>Any <i>Amateur Radio Configurations</i> activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards is a Restricted Discretionary Activity.</p> <p>That the assessment criteria is clarified for Amateur Radio Configurations, especially when there is criteria for existing or similar structures, particularly relevant should an amateur wish to exceed a permitted limit.</p> <p>That existing non-complying Amateur Radio Configurations are deemed to be complying if they have not been subject to complaint or compliance or enforcement action for a period of two years or more.</p> <p>The submission includes the following attachments to provide guidance for:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Development control standards for Amateur Radio Configurations as a permitted activity- <i>Attachment 2A: A Rule based on the Environment Court Decisions for the Tauranga District Plan</i> (pages 19 to 21 of the submission).</li> <li>2. Restricted Discretionary Activity assessment criteria for radio communication activities - <i>Schedule 1 Agreed amendments to the Rangitikei District Plan</i> (pages 14 and 15 of the submission).</li> </ol> <p>Additional documents are included to support the submission under <i>PART B: Justification and Technical Supporting Documents</i>.</p> |       |          |                |           |        |
| 1001               | NZART Incorporated and Marlborough Amateur Radio Club (Branch 22)  | 2     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision           | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | That a definition of 'Masts' that includes support structures, because these are frequently a form of configuration used by Amateurs. The submission does not include further details for the decision requested for this submission point.   |       |          |   |                     |        |
| <b>1001</b>        | NZART Incorporated and Marlborough Amateur Radio Club (Branch 22)   | 3     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                            | 25.                 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following definition of <b>Amateur Radio Configurations</b> is included in the MEP<br><br><b>Amateur Radio Configurations (ARC) means aerials, antennas and associated support structures which are owned and operated by licensed amateur radio operators.</b>  |       |          |   |                     |        |
| <b>1001</b>        | NZART Incorporated and Marlborough Amateur Radio Club (Branch 22)   | 4     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone          | 5.1.                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the same provisions for Amateur Radio Configurations in the network Utilities provisions for height, and specify acceptable configurations are included within residential and other zones.  |       |          |   |                     |        |
| <b>822</b>         | Johanna O'Connell   | 1     | All      | All                                       |                     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |                     |        |
| <b>387</b>         | O'Dwyers Creek Vineyard Limited   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3          | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |   |                     |        |
| <b>151</b>         | Trevor Offen  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Flood Hazard Area 6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That any flood hazard on the Totaranui Valley floor in Clova Bay be contained to the area that is currently shown in the current MSRMP.<br><br>That if it should be determined that a 1 in 50 year flood hazard does exist for the Totaranui Valley Floor, the overlay should be no higher than a Level 1 flood hazard. |       |          |   |                     |        |
| <b>151</b>         | Trevor Offen  | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                | 4.2.1.5.            | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | That it be made clearer that 4.2.1.5 does not apply to properly authorised privately owned domestic wastewater treatment systems located on privately owned land.                     |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>151</b>         | Trevor Offen  | 3     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Policy 11.1.8                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Policy 11.1.8 should be reworded to read "Unless provided for by Policy 11.1.10(a) or (b)..."   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>151</b>         | Trevor Offen  | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.2.1.13.                                 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That standard 4.2.1.13 or any similar or substitute standard should not apply to any lots of land created out of Lot 1 DP 5648 under resource consent U060765.                        |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>151</b>         | Trevor Offen  | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Flood Hazard Area 4                       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That standard 4.2.1.13 or any similar or substitute standard should not apply to any lots of land created out of Lot 1 DP 5648 under resource consent U060765.                        |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1175</b>        | Tracy O'Grady   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1175</b>        | Tracy O'Grady   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1175</b>        | Tracy O'Grady   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1175</b>        | Tracy O'Grady   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |                |        |
| <b>1175</b>        | Tracy O'Grady  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |                |        |
| <b>1175</b>        | Tracy O'Grady  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |                |        |
| <b>1175</b>        | Tracy O'Grady  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                |        |
| <b>1175</b>        | Tracy O'Grady  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                |        |
| <b>1175</b>        | Tracy O'Grady  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                |        |
| <b>1175</b>        | Tracy O'Grady  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                |        |
| <b>458</b>         | Okiwi Bay Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 111 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision                 | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That Council rezone additional Coastal Living areas within Okiwi Bay, being those areas not within either the Okiwi Bay Coastal Natural Character Area or Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape #8 - Whangerae Inlet and Okiwi Bay as being Coastal Living, and that Planning Map 111 be amended accordingly. Map attached to submission.  |       |          |                            |                           |                 |
| 458                | Okiwi Bay Limited  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Threatened Environments 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Threatened Environments: Indigenous Vegetation Sites Maps and in particular (Map) 3 be amended to exclude Threatened Environments: Indigenous Vegetation from Coastal Living Zones (including the areas identified in Submission 1 of this submission), and that the maps amended accordingly. Map attached to submission.  |       |          |                            |                           |                 |
| 458                | Okiwi Bay Limited  | 3     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision             | 24.3.1.2.                 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 24.3.1.2   |       |          |                            |                           |                 |
| 458                | Okiwi Bay Limited  | 4     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision             | 24.5.2.                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 24.5.2.<br>Seek that a new Discretionary Rule, Rule 24.5.4, be imposed to 'Protect Large Lots' as per Rule 27.3.3.2 of the Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan.  |       |          |                            |                           |                 |
| 458                | Okiwi Bay Limited  | 5     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.2.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Seek that Standard 4.3.10.2(e) be amended as follows (strikethrough and bold):<br><i>4.3.10.2. The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.10.3 to 4.3.10.6 (inclusive):</i><br><i>(e) where the clearance is on a <del>Threatened Environments Indigenous Vegetation Site</del> and the clearance is within the curtilage <b>and access way</b> of an <b>existing or consented</b> dwelling.</i> |       |          |                            |                           |                 |
| 458                | Okiwi Bay Limited  | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.4.                 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 4.3.10.4(e) and include the following new standard to Heading 4.3.10.6:<br><b>Standard 4.3.10.6 Clearance of indigenous vegetation, per Computer Register, must not exceed:(x) 0.2 hectares in any 1 year period of coastal broadleaf scrub and shrub/and is cleared.</b>  |       |          |                            |                           |                 |
| 458                | Okiwi Bay Limited  | 7     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.3.7.4.                  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision                                | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 7.3.7.4(e) and include the following new standard to Heading 7.3.7.6(x):<br><b><i>Standard 7.3.7.6 Clearance of indigenous vegetation, per Computer Register, must not exceed:(x) 0.2 hectares in any 1 year period of coastal broadleaf scrub and shrub/and is cleared.</i></b>   |       |          |  |  |                 |
| <b>269</b>         | Okiwi Bay Ratepayers Assn Inc  | 1     | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | 16.M.20                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The relief we seek is that the MEP provides for existing properties which cannot meet the requirements, without requiring further upgrade, provided the systems are working within their initial design capabilities and no further load is added to the system, i.e. addition bedrooms.   |       |          |  |  |                 |
| <b>269</b>         | Okiwi Bay Ratepayers Assn Inc  | 2     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.11                           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The policy be amended to incorporate European values along with the spiritual and cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi.   |       |          |  |  |                 |
| <b>261</b>         | Lynette and Kevin Oldham   | 1     | All      | All                                    |  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the MEP is put on hold until the aquaculture section is published and brought onto the same timetable as the remainder of the MEP.  |       |          |  |  |                 |
| <b>261</b>         | Lynette and Kevin Oldham   | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Coastal Natural Character 2              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove from MEP Volume 4 the proposed <i>high</i> natural value classification assigned to the following areas:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>all land facing into Forsyth Bay where the land lies both south and west of Wynens Rock and</li> <li>all waters of Forsyth Bay located both south and west of Wynens Rock,</li> <li>where the rock known locally as Wynens Rock is shown as an un-named mark on nautical chart NZ6152, located at or near 40°58.55' S, 174°1.03' E. (The location where the existing MSRMP CMZ1/CMZ2 boundary line intersects the shore of Forsyth Bay to the south of Kaitira could be used as a proxy).</li> </ul> |       |          |  |  |                 |
| <b>261</b>         | Lynette and Kevin Oldham   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove inner East Bay from the area associated with Ecologically Significant Marine Site 7.15 - where inner East Bay is defined as waters east of Matiere Point.   |       |          |  |  |                 |
| <b>261</b>         | Lynette and Kevin Oldham   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Rename layer as <i>Hectors Dolphin Area (QCS)</i> in MEP Volume 4, and remove inner East Bay from the area associated with Site 4.17 in MEP Volume 4 - where inner East Bay is defined as waters east of Matiere Point.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>261</b>         | Lynette and Kevin Oldham   | 5     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>remove proposed very high natural values classification in MEP Volume 4 from the areas on the southern and eastern slopes of East Bay covering from the watersedge to the ridgeline and from Manawa Point through to Matiere Point</li> <li>expand the zone of no ONFL classification of the southern waters of East Bay so as to be at least 500m from the outer edge of any existing marine farm</li> <li>amend Appendix 2, Section 32 Report 6 and supporting documents accordingly.</li> </ol>  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>261</b>         | Lynette and Kevin Oldham   | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>remove proposed very high natural values classification in MEP Volume 4 from the areas on the southern and eastern slopes of East Bay covering from the waters edge to the ridgeline and from Manawa Point through to Matiere Point</li> <li>expand the zone of no ONFL classification of the southern waters of East Bay so as to be at least 500m from the outer edge of any existing marine farm</li> <li>amend Appendix 2, Section 32 Report 6 and supporting documents accordingly.</li> </ol>   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>261</b>         | Lynette and Kevin Oldham   | 7     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Move the seaward facing slopes of Arapawa Island into the Exposed Eastern Coastline assessment unit and re-asses Northern Arapawa landscape values</li> <li>Remove proposed ONFL classifications in MEP Volume 4 from the areas on the southern and eastern slopes of East Bay covering from the waters edge to the ridgeline and from Manawa Point through to Matiere Point</li> <li>Amend Section 32 Report 7 and supporting documents accordingly, and.</li> <li>Remove the comment "The waters around East Bay have nationally significant ecological values, particularly for Hector's dolphin." from the Boffa Miskell report <i>Marlborough Landscape Study 2015. Landscape Characterisation and Evaluation</i>.</li> <li>Retain the exclusion of the waters of East Bay from the ONFL classification</li> </ol> |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>261</b>         | Lynette and Kevin Oldham   | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Move the seaward facing slopes of Arapawa Island into the Exposed Eastern Coastline assessment unit and re-asses Northern Arapawa landscape values</li> <li>2. Remove proposed ONFL classifications in MEP Volume 4 from the areas on the southern and eastern slopes of East Bay covering from the waters edge to the ridgeline and from Manawa Point through to Matiere Point</li> <li>3. Amend Section 32 Report 7 and supporting documents accordingly, and.</li> <li>4. Remove the comment "The waters around East Bay have nationally significant ecological values, particularly for Hector's dolphin." from the Boffa Miskell report <i>Marlborough Landscape Study 2015. Landscape Characterisation and Evaluation.</i></li> <li>5. Retain the exclusion of the waters of East Bay from the ONFL classification</li> </ol> |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>261</b>         | Lynette and Kevin Oldham  | 9     | All      | All                       |              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We seek the same decisions from Council as is sought for each matter raised in the above submissions.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>108</b>         | Ian Oliver  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone    | 16.7.2.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the limits applying to discharge of sewage in the coastal marine zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>921</b>         | Matthew David Oliver  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone    | 16.7.5.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (bold) -<br><i>" <b>Anchoring and</b> fishing activity that uses a technique that disturbs the seabed within any Ecologically Significant Marine Sites, except Croiselles Harbour Entrance – No. 1.2 and Tennyson Inlet – No. 3.9."</i>   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>921</b>         | Matthew David Oliver  | 2     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br><i>" Sites in the coastal marine area and natural wetlands assessed as having significant indigenous biodiversity value will be specifically identified in the Marlborough Environment Plan, <b>including a Peripheral Management Area around each Ecologically Significant Marine Site.</b>"</i><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>922</b>         | Matthew David Oliver  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone  | 3.1.26.      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a Standards for this Rule as follows -</p> <p><b>"The discharge must not occur before a report has been supplied to the Council that characterises the soils of liquid waste disposal areas."</b></p> <p><b>"The volume of liquid waste discharged must not exceed _____ ("large" - a specific volume is not identified in the Submission)."</b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>922</b>         | Matthew David Oliver   | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.33.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Rule is separated in to three separate rules as follows -</p> <p><b>"Making compost in a pit or stack."</b></p> <p><b>"Making silage in a pit or stack."</b></p> <p><b>"Stockpiling agricultural solid waste."</b></p> <p>And, the following additional Standards would apply to the Rule for "Stockpiling agricultural solid waste" -</p> <p><b>"For grape marc, the stockpile must be located on a surface that collects leachate, and that any leachate collected is disposed of either by recirculating over the pile or by dilution and dispersal. This does not apply to stockpiles that do not exceed _____ cubic metres (e.g. equivalent to the capacity of a single truck)."</b></p> <p><b>"For grape marc, if the stockpile exceeds _____ cubic metres (e.g. large-scale operations involving multiple truckloads) it must be on a permanent impervious surface."</b></p> <p><b>"For grape marc, if the stockpile is between _____ cubic metres (e.g. equivalent to the capacity of a single truck) and _____ cubic metres (e.g. large-scale operations involving multiple truckloads) it must be on an impervious surface, temporary or permanent, and must be covered."</b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>922</b>         | Matthew David Oliver   | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.25.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Rule.</p> <p>And, add a new standard to the Rule as follows -</p> <p><b>"If the application to land is of grape marc, soil testing records are required to be kept to monitor soil potassium and acidity."</b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 922                | Matthew David Oliver  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.33.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the Rule is separated in to three separate rules as follows -</p> <p><b>"Making compost in a pit or stack."</b></p> <p><b>"Making silage in a pit or stack."</b></p> <p><b>"Stockpiling agricultural solid waste."</b></p> <p>And, the following additional Standards would apply to the Rule for "Making compost in a pit or stack" -</p> <p><b>"Compost must be located on a surface that collects leachate, and that any leachate collected is disposed of either by recirculating over the pile or by dilution and dispersal. This does not apply to domestic composting, or a compost pit or stack is less than _____ cubic metres (e.g. a given volume determined to have minimal environmental effect) that is covered."</b></p> <p><b>"A compost pit or stack that exceeds _____ cubic metres (e.g. large-scale operation) must be on a permanent impervious surface."</b></p> <p><b>"If a compost pit or stack is less than _____ cubic metres (e.g. a given volume determined to have minimal environmental effect) and _____ cubic metres (e.g. large-scale operation) it must be on an impervious surface, temporary or permanent, and must be covered."</b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 922                | Matthew David Oliver  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.25.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 946                | Matthew David Oliver  | 1     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"Replanting must not be in, or within:</p> <p>(a) 8 <b>5</b> metres of a <b>permanently flowing</b> river <del>(except an ephemeral river)</del> <b>directly coupled to the sea if the river is less than 3m in width, 10 metres of a permanently flowing river directly coupled to the sea if the river is equal to, or greater 3m in width</b> or 8 metres of a lake;</p> <p>(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland;</p> <p>(c) <del>30</del> <b>200</b> metres of the coastal marine area."</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 946                | Matthew David Oliver  | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.6.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add the following new standards to the Rule as follows -  |       |          |   |   |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>A Replanting Management Plan must be lodged with the Council for all sites where erosion risk is high. This should include inland forestry sites where erosion poses a risk of sediment discharge into rivers.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>Where possible, roads be placed across slope, parallel to contour. Roadside drains should be vegetated at all times and have culverts placed appropriately to prevent water accumulating too rapidly in heavy rain events.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>All earthworks must meet the following requirements:</i></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <b><i>All road design, construction, and maintenance to be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.</i></b></li> <li>◦ <b><i>All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation.</i></b></li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b><i>Where possible, slash piles be positioned across slope parallel to contour.</i></b></li> </ul> |       |          |   |   |        |
|                    | <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1128</b>        | Sam Oliver  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1128</b>        | Sam Oliver  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1128</b>        | Sam Oliver  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1128</b>        | Sam Oliver  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1128</b>        | Sam Oliver  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1128</b>        | Sam Oliver   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1128</b>        | Sam Oliver   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1128</b>        | Sam Oliver   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1128</b>        | Sam Oliver   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1128</b>        | Sam Oliver   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1228</b>        | Winston Robert Oliver  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.5.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1228</b>        | Winston Robert Oliver  | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.3.6.1.      | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.                     |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1228</b>        | Winston Robert Oliver   | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1228</b>        | Winston Robert Oliver   | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1228</b>        | Winston Robert Oliver   | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1228</b>        | Winston Robert Oliver   | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1228</b>        | Winston Robert Oliver   | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1228</b>        | Winston Robert Oliver   | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1228</b>        | Winston Robert Oliver   | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1228</b>        | Winston Robert Oliver   | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11. | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1228</b>        | Winston Robert Oliver   | 11    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1228</b>        | Winston Robert Oliver   | 12    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1228</b>        | Winston Robert Oliver   | 13    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1228</b>        | Winston Robert Oliver   | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1228</b>        | Winston Robert Oliver   | 15    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                |            | Support |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1228</b>        | Winston Robert Oliver   | 16    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1228</b>        | Winston Robert Oliver   | 17    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1228</b>        | Winston Robert Oliver   | 18    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.31.    | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>1228</b>        | Winston Robert Oliver  | 19    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone      | 4.3.30.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>94</b>          | Omaka Classic Cars   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                     | Zoning Map 19  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Rezone Lot 1 DP 439006 and adjacent land as shown on the plan attached Airport Zone<br><br>Add Museums to the list of Permitted Activities in the Airport Zone |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 14.4.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 14.4.13 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.1.1   | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.1.1 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.1.3   | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.1.3 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.2.1.3.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 3.2.1.3 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.   |       |          |                                 |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated   | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 3.3.6.2 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.  |       |          |                          |              |                 |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated   | 7     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape              | Policy 7.2.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.2.2 page 7-6 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.   |       |          |                          |              |                 |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated   | 8     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape              | Policy 7.2.7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 7.2.7 page 7-8 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.   |       |          |                          |              |                 |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated   | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.14.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 3.2.1.14 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.<br>That standard 3.2.1.14, clause (b) be amended with wording to remove any potential anomaly as to intent and then be incorporate into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |       |          |                          |              |                 |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated   | 10    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone      | 8.2.1.8.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 8.2.1.8 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.<br>That standard 8.2.1.8, clause (b) be amended with wording to remove any potential anomaly as to intent and then be incorporate into the Marlborough Environment Plan.   |       |          |                          |              |                 |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated   | 11    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.39.1.6.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 2.39.1.6 [ <i>inferred</i> ] be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.   |       |          |                          |              |                 |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated   | 12    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision           | 24.3.1.2.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.2 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.   |       |          |                          |              |                 |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated   | 13    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision           | 24.3.1.3     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.3 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.   |       |          |                          |              |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 14           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.4.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.4 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.  |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 15           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.5.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.5 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.  |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 16           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.6.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.6 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.  |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 17           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.7.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.7 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.  |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 18           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.8 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.  |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 19           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.9 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.  |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 20           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.10 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 21           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.11.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.11 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |              |               |                |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 22           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.12.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.12 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 23           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.13.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.13 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 24           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.14.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.14 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 25           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.15.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.15 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 26           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.16.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.16 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 27           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.17.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.17 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 28           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.18.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.18 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 29           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.19.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.19 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |              |               |                |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 30           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.20.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.20 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 31           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.21.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.21 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 32           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.22.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.22 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 33           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.23.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.23 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 34           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.24.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.24 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 35           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.25.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.25 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 36           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.26.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.1.26 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1005</b>        | Omaka Valley Group Incorporated  | 37           | Volume 2      | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.2.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 24.3.2 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.    |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>1129</b>        | Steve O'Neill  | 1            | All           | All            |                  | Oppose      |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AONZ submission.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1006</b>        | Opus International Consultants Limited   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Permitted Activity status for the discharge of FDE into or onto land subject to amendments to specific Permitted standards detailed in our submission.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1006</b>        | Opus International Consultants Limited   | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new Rule "Farm Dairy Effluent Storage". Move Permitted Activity location standards 3.3.28.10 to the new Rule along with any other rules that relate to effluent storage.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1006</b>        | Opus International Consultants Limited   | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the intent of the standards to improve the standard of FDE storage capacity and facilities subject to amendments to specific Permitted standards detailed in our submission.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1006</b>        | Opus International Consultants Limited   | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Permitted Activity standard 3.3.28.8.<br>Insert a new Permitted Activity standard under a new rule titled "Farm Dairy Effluent Storage" to read:<br>All existing, Farm Dairy Effluent storage and containment structures of greater than 35 cubic metre working capacity be certified by a Recognised Professional with a report to Council by 9 June 2019 confirming that:<br>a) siting is in a permitted location (refer Rule x.x.xx.xx)<br>b) using Massey University's Dairy Effluent Storage Calculator (DESC) there is sufficient storage capacity available for the whole farm operation<br>c) when tested in accordance with the methodology in Appendix 'X' the maximum allowable pond level drop is not exceeded. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1006</b>        | Opus International Consultants Limited   | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9. | Oppose          |

| Sub No  | Submitter   | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |   |   |      |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |      |     |
|---|---|-------|--------|---------|-----------|------|---|---|------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------|-----|
| Decision Requested                              | <p>Delete Permitted Activity standard 3.3.28.9. Insert a new Permitted Activity standard under a new rule titled "Farm Dairy Effluent Storage" to read:</p> <p>The design and construction of all new, or modifications to existing, Farm Dairy Effluent storage and containment structures of greater than 35 cubic metre working capacity be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer from 6 June 2016 that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>siting is in a permitted location (refer Rule x.x.xx.xx)</li> <li>the design and construction is in accordance with IPENZ Practice Note 21: Farm Dairy Effluent Pond Design and Construction (latest version)</li> <li>using Massey University's Dairy Effluent Storage Calculator (DESC) there is sufficient storage capacity available for the whole farm operation</li> <li>structures are sound</li> <li>when tested in accordance with the methodology in Appendix 'X' the maximum allowable pond level drop is not exceeded</li> </ol> <p>Provisional certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system. A complying Pond Drop Test result provided by the certifier may be delayed for up to 12 months to allow sufficient filling time for structures prior to testing.</p> <p>Insert a new Appendix 'X' detailing the methodology of the Pond Drop Test, and the maximum allowable pond level drop permitted.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Testing is undertaken over a minimum period of 48 hours.</li> <li>Testing recording equipment is to be accurate to not more than 0.8 mm.</li> <li>Continuous readings are to be taken over the entire test period at not more than 10 second intervals.</li> <li>Data analysis is undertaken by a party independent of equipment installer.</li> <li>Any change in pond fluid level over the test period needs to be accounted for.</li> <li>Ponds must be at or over 75% design depth before a test can be undertaken.</li> <li>The pond has been de-sludged in the 12 months prior to the test being undertaken and there shall be no sludge or crust on the pond surface during the test.</li> <li>The pond surface is not frozen during any part of the testing.</li> <li>An anemometer shall be installed for the duration of the test and at no time shall the wind speed exceed 10 metres per second during the test.</li> </ul> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Maximum Depth of Pond (m) (excluding freeboard)</td> <td>Maximum Allowable Pond Level Drop (mm per 24 hours)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&lt;0.5</td> <td>1.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0.5 to 1.0</td> <td>1.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.0 to 1.5</td> <td>1.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.5 to 2.0</td> <td>1.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt;2.0</td> <td>2.0</td> </tr> </table> <p>Maximum Allowable Pond Level Drop</p> |       |        |         |           |      | Maximum Depth of Pond (m) (excluding freeboard) | Maximum Allowable Pond Level Drop (mm per 24 hours) | <0.5 | 1.2 | 0.5 to 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 to 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 to 2.0 | 1.8 | >2.0 | 2.0 |
| Maximum Depth of Pond (m) (excluding freeboard) | Maximum Allowable Pond Level Drop (mm per 24 hours)   |       |        |         |           |      |   |   |      |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |      |     |
| <0.5  | 1.2   |       |        |         |           |      |   |   |      |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |      |     |
| 0.5 to 1.0                                      | 1.4   |       |        |         |           |      |   |   |      |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |      |     |
| 1.0 to 1.5                                      | 1.6   |       |        |         |           |      |   |   |      |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |      |     |
| 1.5 to 2.0                                      | 1.8   |       |        |         |           |      |   |   |      |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |      |     |
| >2.0  | 2.0   |       |        |         |           |      |   |   |      |     |            |     |            |     |            |     |      |     |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1006</b>        | Opus International Consultants Limited  | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                                | 3.3.28.10.                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend rule 3.3.28.10 to read "By 9 June 2019 all farm dairy effluent storage systems must not be located within:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</li> <li>ii. 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership</li> <li>iii. A Flood Hazard Area</li> </ul> <p>Insert this amended rule under the new Rule titled "Farm Dairy Effluent Storage".</p> |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>719</b>         | Gary and Ellen Orchard  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8543 in Grants Bay, Pelorus Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>719</b>         | Gary and Ellen Orchard  | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8543 in Grants Bay, Pelorus Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>719</b>         | Gary and Ellen Orchard  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8543 in Grants Bay, Pelorus Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>719</b>         | Gary and Ellen Orchard  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8543 in Grants Bay, Pelorus Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>719</b>         | Gary and Ellen Orchard  | 5     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8543 in Grants Bay, Pelorus Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1256</b>        | Gary and Ellen Orchard   | 1     | All      | All                                       |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>463</b>         | Valerie Bridget Orman  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land   |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The river bed should not be controlled with works, but a decision made that the banks and land adjacent be sympathetically adjusted (stop banks/groins) to prevent high flows negatively affecting nearby property.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>145</b>         | Ormond Nurseries Limited   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Abolish proposed changes in the MEP which propose to restrict the water users in the "springs" area water levels in nearby wells while leaving users outside the 'springs' area 100% unrestricted.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>243</b>         | Marguerete Osborne   | 1     | All      | All                                       |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- That there is scientific evidence to back up many of the claims made, beyond the opinion of staff and Councilors.</li> <li>- Further action for agrichemicals.</li> <li>- That terms be further defined.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>243</b>         | Marguerete Osborne   | 2     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                       | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That terms be further defined.   |       |          |   |               |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| <b>243</b>         | Marguerete Osborne  | 3     | All      | All                              |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That there is scientific evidence to back up many of the claims made, beyond the opinion of staff and Councilors.   |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| <b>243</b>         | Marguerete Osborne  | 4     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone              | 8.3.12.3.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Further action for agrichemicals.   |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| <b>1074</b>        | Rick Osborne  | 1     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.7.1.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a clear decision requested.   |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| <b>1074</b>        | Rick Osborne  | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 5.10.4 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><br><del>Policy 5.10.4 – Coastal occupancy charges will be imposed on coastal permits where there is greater private than public benefit arising from occupation of the coastal marine area.</del>   |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| <b>1074</b>        | Rick Osborne  | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.2.1.11.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.2.1.11(b):<br><br><i>Standard 4.2.1.11(b) On land within any Marlborough Sounds Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape:</i><br><br><i>(a) a building or structure must not exceed 10m2;</i><br><br><del><i>(b) any paint applied to the exterior cladding of a building or structure must have a light reflectance value of 45% or less.</i></del> |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| <b>1074</b>        | Rick Osborne  | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.2.1.12.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.2.1.12:<br><br><del><i>Standard 4.2.1.12 On land within the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape any paint applied to the exterior cladding of a building or structure must have a light reflectance value of 45% or less.</i></del>  |       |          |                                  |               |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>             | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>835</b>         | Osgro Seed Service           | 1            | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Schedule as proposed. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>835</b>         | Osgro Seed Service           | 2            | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Schedule as proposed. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>439</b>         | John Walter Oswald           | 1            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.6.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.6.1      |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>439</b>         | John Walter Oswald           | 2            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.8.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.8.1      |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>439</b>         | John Walter Oswald           | 3            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.10.1.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.10.1     |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>439</b>         | John Walter Oswald           | 4            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.7.2.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.2            |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>439</b>         | John Walter Oswald           | 5            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                | 4.3.9.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.9.1      |              |               |   |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                                      | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>439</b>         | John Walter Oswald                                    | 6            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.7.1                               |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>439</b>         | John Walter Oswald                                    | 7            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.3.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.7.3                                     |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>439</b>         | John Walter Oswald                                    | 8            | Volume 2      | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.5.3.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 7.5.3                                     |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>439</b>         | John Walter Oswald                                    | 9            | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.3.7.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 8.3.7.1                               |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>439</b>         | John Walter Oswald                                    | 10           | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.3.9.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 8.3.9.1                               |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>439</b>         | John Walter Oswald                                    | 11           | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.5.3.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 8.5.3                                     |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1270</b>        | John Walter Oswald                                    | 1            | Volume 2      | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.2.1.5.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | I would like Council to reject proposed Rule 7.2.1.5. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1285</b>        | Oswald Family Sounds Trust                            | 1            | Volume 2      | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.2.1.5.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Rescind Rule 7.2.1.5                                  |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1007</b>        | Outer Limits Limited                                  | 1            | Volume 2      | 10 Business 2 Zone         | 10.1.            | Support     |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter            | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the Permitted Activities under this heading.  |       |          |                    |                             |                 |
| <b>1007</b>        | Outer Limits Limited   | 2     | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.1.                       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Permitted Activities under this heading.  |       |          |                    |                             |                 |
| <b>1007</b>        | Outer Limits Limited   | 3     | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.2.1.6.                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Large Format Retail tenancy areas must have a gross floor area greater than 1000m<sup>2</sup>, <b>except in the Westwood Business Park where two tenancy areas are permitted to have a gross floor area each of between 500m<sup>2</sup> and 1000m<sup>2</sup>.</b>"</i> |       |          |                    |                             |                 |
| <b>1007</b>        | Outer Limits Limited   | 4     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps        | Zoning Map 6                | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Business 3 Zone as mapped.  |       |          |                    |                             |                 |
| <b>1007</b>        | Outer Limits Limited   | 5     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps        | Zoning Map 6                | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Business 2 Zone as mapped.  |       |          |                    |                             |                 |
| <b>1018</b>        | P H Redwood and Company Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps       | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Overlay as notified as it relates to areas of water.<br><br>Remove the Overlay over the land adjacent to sites 8135, 8136 and 8130.<br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                    |                             |                 |
| <b>1018</b>        | P H Redwood and Company Limited  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps       | Landscapes 2                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape Overlay over the land adjacent to sites 8135, 8136 and 8130 and the coastal water in the vicinity of sites 8135, 8136 and 8130.<br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                    |                             |                 |
| <b>1166</b>        | P H Redwood and Company Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps       | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Support         |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the Overlay as notified as it relates to areas of water.<br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1166</b>        | P H Redwood and Company Limited  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 2                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape Overlay over the land adjacent to sites 8164 and the coastal water in the vicinity of site 8164.<br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1033</b>        | Pacific Eagle Charters Limited   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                                  | 16.7.2.                     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."          |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1033</b>        | Pacific Eagle Charters Limited   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                                  | 16.7.3.                     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm." |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1037</b>        | PADD Investments Limited   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8473 in Schnapper Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1037</b>        | PADD Investments Limited   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8473 in Schnapper Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>1037</b>        | PADD Investments Limited  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8473 in Schanpper Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.    |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1037</b>        | PADD Investments Limited  | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8473 in Schanpper Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.    |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1037</b>        | PADD Investments Limited  | 5     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | No changes to existing rights and regulations.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>667</b>         | Daniel Paget  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>667</b>         | Daniel Paget  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>667</b>         | Daniel Paget  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>667</b>         | Daniel Paget  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>667</b>         | Daniel Paget  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>667</b>         | Daniel Paget   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>667</b>         | Daniel Paget   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>667</b>         | Daniel Paget   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>667</b>         | Daniel Paget   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>667</b>         | Daniel Paget   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1060</b>        | Richard F Paine  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Beatrix Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>1060</b>        | Richard F Paine   | 2            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Beatrix Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                      |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>1060</b>        | Richard F Paine   | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Beatrix Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                      |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>1060</b>        | Richard F Paine   | 4            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Beatrix Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                      |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>1060</b>        | Richard F Paine   | 5            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Kenepuru Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                   |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>1060</b>        | Richard F Paine   | 6            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Kenepuru Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                   |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>1060</b>        | Richard F Paine   | 7            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>1060</b>        | Richard F Paine   | 8            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Tory Channel; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1060</b>        | Richard F Paine   | 9            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Waitata Reach; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1060</b>        | Richard F Paine   | 10           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Waitata Reach; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1060</b>        | Richard F Paine   | 11           | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Beatrix Bay, Kenepuru Sound, East Bay in Queen Charlotte Sound, Tory Channel and Waitata Reach; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1060</b>        | Richard F Paine   | 12           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Beatrix Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1060</b>        | Richard F Paine   | 13           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Beatrix Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                             |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|--------|
| <b>1060</b>        | Richard F Paine   | 14    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Kenepuru Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>1060</b>        | Richard F Paine   | 15    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Kenepuru Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>1060</b>        | Richard F Paine   | 16    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>1060</b>        | Richard F Paine   | 17    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Tory Channel; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>1060</b>        | Richard F Paine   | 18    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Waitata Reach; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>1060</b>        | Richard F Paine   | 19    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Waitata Reach; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>1060</b>        | Richard F Paine   | 20    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Beatrix Bay, Kenepuru Sound, East Bay in Queen Charlotte Sound, Tory Channel and Waitata Reach; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |              |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| 174                | Palmer Vineyards Ltd  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the limits for the Northern, Central and Urban Springs from Appendix 6 (inferred).   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 324                | Rodney Parkes   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Objective 5.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Submitter has not specified decision sought. Submission states values for Rural Business and Agriculture are a higher priority than other values. <b><i>It is inferred that this should be included or acknowledged in the MEP.</i></b> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 324                | Rodney Parkes   | 2     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 24  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I am zoned to be in a Flood zone and would like this to be reviewed.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 324                | Rodney Parkes   | 3     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 149 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I want this reviewed so that my land is removed from this area.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 339                | Sharon Parkes   | 1     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                | 4.3.31.5.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standard to enable covering of a pit to be with dirt. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 339                | Sharon Parkes   | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                | 4.3.31.4.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 339                | Sharon Parkes   | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                | 4.3.31.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standard to allow for a carcass of pig or possum to be sourced from a pig hunter. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 339                | Sharon Parkes   | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                | 4.3.27.6.      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend standard as follows (strike through) - "Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the <b>areal</b> extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs.)" |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 5     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.4. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.3. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 7     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 8     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.4. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 9     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standard as follows (strike through) - "Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the <b>areal</b> extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs.)" |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 10    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 11    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Review the meaning/correctness of impermeable surface in this standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 12           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.21.4.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Review the necessity of this standard in the Plan. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 13           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.21.1.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Review the necessity of this standard in the Plan. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 14           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.3.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete provision.  |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 15           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.2.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Standard 4.3.20.2(a) - Delete.<br>Standard 4.3.20.2(b) - Support.<br>Standard 4.3.20.2(c) - Delete.  |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 16           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.1.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Review the provisions relating to stock exclusion from riverbeds relative to information from the Land and Water Forum and the Accord. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 17           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.5.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete provision.  |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 18           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.1.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete provision.  |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 19           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.6.        | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete provision.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 20    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)   | Policy 15.1.23 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Review the provisions relating to stock exclusion from riverbeds relative to information from the Land and Water Forum and the Accord.<br><i>It is inferred from the content of the submission that Policy 15.1.23 may be the appropriate provision to relate the submission to. By implication this would also connect the submission to rules that give effect to Policy 15.1.23. The decision requested is also inferred.</i> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 21    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions   |                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Review the definition of a Pit as it relates to the following words in the definition "No excavation of the land is to be undertaken." <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 22    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone   | 4.3.11.11.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Munsell scale from the MEP.<br><i>(The submission related to all standards of a similar nature without identifying a specific standard, the submission has been related to a specific relevant provision only for the purposes of providing context.)</i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 23    | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Where are values for Primary Production, food for animal and human use, and commercial development. Please include these Values as well in the New Plan.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 24    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules  | 2.2.6.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Combine the Permitted Activity rules for domestic, stock and dairy wash water into a single Permitted Activity rule. Increase the volume in Permitted Activity rule for dairy wash water to more than 15 cubic metres per day.<br><i>(Decision requested is inferred.)</i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 25    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment  | Policy 13.10.6 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete provision.  |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 26    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15C                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 15.1a to include Food production for human and animal use and commercial development.<br><i>(Specific provision relevant to submission is inferred.)</i>               |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 27    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15B                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Use an alternative method to the Canadian Water Quality Index to measure water quality. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 28    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Flood Hazard Area 13        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I request that the Flood Hazard Area Overlay be reviewed as to the true significance on my properties <i>(850, 888 and 1263 Queen Charlotte Drive, Linkwater)</i> .                    |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 29    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Threatened Environments 3   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I request that the Threatened Environments: Indigenous Vegetation Sites Overlay be deleted from my properties <i>(850, 888 and 1263 Queen Charlotte Drive, Linkwater)</i> .            |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 30    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I request that the Coastal Natural Character Overlay be reviewed as to the true significance on my properties <i>(850, 888 and 1263 Queen Charlotte Drive, Linkwater)</i> .            |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>339</b>         | Sharon Parkes  | 31    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Landscapes 4                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I request that the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape Overlay be reviewed as to the true significance on my properties <i>(850, 888 and 1263 Queen Charlotte Drive, Linkwater)</i> . |       |          |  |                             |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| <b>47</b>          | Sue Parkinson   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.2.   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | THE LIMITS APPLYING TO DISCHARGE OF SEWAGE IN THE COASTAL MAINE ZONE REMAIN AT 500 METRES TO SEAWARD OF MHWS AND 500 METRES OF A MARINE FARM.<br><br>NO PUMP OUT FACILITIES BE ENFORCED |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>529</b>         | Alison Jane Parr  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>529</b>         | Alison Jane Parr  | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>529</b>         | Alison Jane Parr  | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>529</b>         | Alison Jane Parr  | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>529</b>         | Alison Jane Parr  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>529</b>         | Alison Jane Parr  | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |       |          |                            |           |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>529</b>         | Alison Jane Parr  | 7            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>529</b>         | Alison Jane Parr  | 8            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>529</b>         | Alison Jane Parr  | 9            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>529</b>         | Alison Jane Parr  | 10           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>529</b>         | Alison Jane Parr  | 11           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>529</b>         | Alison Jane Parr  | 12           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>529</b>         | Alison Jane Parr  | 13           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>529</b>         | Alison Jane Parr  | 14           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>529</b>         | Alison Jane Parr  | 15           | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps                |                  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>529</b>         | Alison Jane Parr  | 16           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>529</b>         | Alison Jane Parr  | 17           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>529</b>         | Alison Jane Parr  | 18           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.31.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.                                     |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>529</b>         | Alison Jane Parr  | 19           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.                                     |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>150</b>         | Will and Rose Parsons  | 1     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)    | Issue 15A     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>(1.a) The public should be informed about where storm run-off is discharged to. (This could easily be done on the Councils regular advert in the Marlborough Express and their website). In short it needs to be more transparent.</p> <p>The Council should support in every way possible the businesses and organizations which are finding sustainable solutions to managing these issues, perhaps offering incentives and an eco-sustainability 'mark' which endorses their effort.</p> <p>(1.b) There needs to be an effective, prompt and result driven 0800 number for reporting of suspicious discharge, similar to Mataa Waka for animal control. This could be linked to Council's advertising and website information.</p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>150</b>         | Will and Rose Parsons  | 2     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)    | Issue 15B     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The tributaries to the Wairau Lagoon Seventeen Valley and Pukapuka Stream are missing from Diagram 15.1 These need to be included.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>150</b>         | Will and Rose Parsons  | 3     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity                 | Issue 8A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We wish that a provision be made to include in ('Terrestrial and Fresh Water Environments') 'the accidental introduction of oxygen weed to the Taylor River, noting the adverse effects of cutting, it to the environment downstream in the lower Opawa River and efforts being made to mitigate this. (We would welcome the opportunity to show environment committee what it looks like and discuss possible solutions).   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>150</b>         | Will and Rose Parsons  | 4     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity                 | Policy 8.2.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | It is essential that the trees in the lower Wairau Valley delta which form a wildlife corridor between the North and South of the valley be given some form of protection from industrial development. If any trees are removed, a similar area of indigenous trees need to be planted as replacement in the same zone, for the beautification of the area and to attract birdlife.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1075</b>        | Robin Pasley   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.10.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. ( <i>Inferred</i> )   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>377</b>         | Fiona Mary Patchett  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete the Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit minimum level for water takes. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>380</b>         | Bruce Lawrence Pattie   | 1     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Insert notations for each provision in Chapter 8 to identify whether the relevant provision is in the Regional Policy Statement, Regional Coastal Plan, Regional Plan or District Plan.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>380</b>         | Bruce Lawrence Pattie   | 2     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include policy recognition that a partnership approach will be undertaken with landowners for areas that are wetlands, together with a regulatory regime.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>380</b>         | Bruce Lawrence Pattie   | 3     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Policy 8.3.2.:</p> <p><i>Policy 8.3.2 Where subdivision, use or development <b>that</b> requires resource consent <b>occurs within areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value, significant</b>, the adverse effects on <b>those</b> areas, habitats or ecosystems <del>with indigenous biodiversity value</del> shall be:</i></p> <p><i>(a) avoided where it is a significant site in the context of Policy 8.1.1; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) avoided, remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1.</i></p> <p><i>This policy sets up a hierarchy for decision makers to use when assessing the effects of subdivision, use or development activities on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value. For those sites identified as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1, it is important that <b>significant</b> adverse effects are avoided. This recognises that there are few significant sites remaining on private land, especially in southern Marlborough. Where sites have not been identified as significant through Policy 8.1.1, decision makers can also consider remediation or mitigation options to address adverse effects.</i></p> |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>380</b>         | Bruce Lawrence Pattie   | 4     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy so that offsetting may be considered on a case by case basis in areas with significant biodiversity values.  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>380</b>         | Bruce Lawrence Pattie   | 5     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Issue 8A     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That a new policy is included or the existing policy is amended for the recognition of the potential positive benefits to indigenous biodiversity of subdivision, use and development.  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>380</b>         | Bruce Lawrence Pattie   | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone  | 3.3.12.7.    | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend rule and or definition of vegetation clearance to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent.</li> <li>Access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>380</b>         | Bruce Lawrence Pattie   | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone          | 3.3.14.2.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule to ensure that test pits and other investigatory works prior to the construction of a dam or other project are not captured by this rule.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>380</b>         | Bruce Lawrence Pattie   | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone          | 3.3.14.3.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule and or definition of vegetation clearance to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent.</li> <li>Access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>380</b>         | Bruce Lawrence Pattie   | 9     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                    | 25.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend definition to ensure that maintenance of existing farm tracks, accessways , fences and other structures are not captured, together with test pits and other investigation works for proposed dam or other projects.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>380</b>         | Bruce Lawrence Pattie   | 10    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.19.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.19.3.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>1038</b>        | PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated   | 1     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity         | Policy 8.3.7   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>1038</b>        | PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone            | 16.7.5.        | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is with regards to the the points raised that Council does not have the jurisdiction to manage fishing activity for Fisheries Act purposes.<br><br>That Rule 16.7.5 clearly states that bottom trawling, dredging, dumping and other activities that disturb the seabed with potentially more serious adverse effects are prohibited ( <i>inferred</i> ). |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>1038</b>        | PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated   | 3     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13C     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | We recommend that these provisions should be rewritten (as set out in the submission of 'the fishing industry submitters') to reflect the appropriate roles of the Council in relation to fishing, i.e., to achieve integrated management and to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on fisheries resources.  |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>1038</b>        | PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated   | 4     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 13.4.1 recognises and supports the role of industry groups, in particular, the management measures and research initiatives in place in the fishery industry ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>1038</b>        | PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated   | 5     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 13.4.2 recognises and supports the role of industry groups, in particular, the management measures and research initiatives in place in the fishery industry ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>1038</b>        | PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated   | 6     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 13.1.1 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).  |       |          |                                   |               |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| 1038               | PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated  | 7     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 13.2.1 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).   |       |          |                                   |                |        |
| 1038               | PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated  | 8     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                | Policy 11.1.7  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 11.1.7 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).   |       |          |                                   |                |        |
| 1038               | PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated  | 9     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.8.2  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.8.2 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.8.2 – To determine the appropriateness of an area of coastal space to become a Moorings Management Area in the Marlborough Environment Plan, the following matters will be considered:</i></p> <p><b><i>(x) impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).</i></b></p>                             |       |          |                                   |                |        |
| 1038               | PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated  | 10    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.10.5 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.10.5 – When assessing applications to locate structures within and immediately adjacent to the coastal marine area, the following matters will be considered in determining whether the structure is appropriate:</i></p> <p><b><i>(x) impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).</i></b></p> |       |          |                                   |                |        |
| 1038               | PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated  | 11    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.4 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.11.4 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><br><i>Policy 13.11.4 – Where an application is made for resource consent to reclaim or drain the coastal marine area, effects (including cumulative effects) on the following matters will be considered:</i><br><br><b>(x) impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).</b>  |       |          |                                   |                 |        |
| <b>1038</b>        | PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated  | 12    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.3  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.16.3 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><br><i>Policy 13.16.3 – When considering applications for resource consent for ships expected to propagate waves with energy levels in excess of limits specified in the Marlborough Environment Plan, have particular regard to the potential for adverse effects on:</i><br><br><b>(x) fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).</b>   |       |          |                                   |                 |        |
| <b>1038</b>        | PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated  | 13    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.7  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.18.7 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><br><i>Policy 13.18.7 – Where a resource consent is required to extend or alter port or marina infrastructure and this is to occur within that part of the Port or Marina Zone located in the coastal marine area, the following matters shall be considered:</i><br><br><b>(x) impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).</b> |       |          |                                   |                 |        |
| <b>1038</b>        | PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated  | 14    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.14 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | MEP provisions such as Policy 13.10.14 requires amendment in order to provide for the continued operation of established fishing businesses. The submission does not provide details of amendments to be included.   |       |          |                                   |                 |        |
| <b>1038</b>        | PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated  | 15    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.16 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | MEP provisions such as Policy 13.10.16 requires amendment in order to provide for the continued operation of established fishing businesses. The submission does not provide details of amendments to be included.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1038</b>        | PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated   | 16    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment                       | Policy 13.10.19             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | MEP provisions such as Policy 13.10.19 requires amendment in order to provide for the continued operation of established fishing businesses. The submission does not provide details of amendments to be included.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1038</b>        | PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated   | 17    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment                       | 13.M.18                     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | We consider Council should undertake further consultation with the industry, if a bylaw regulating the use of vehicles is to be developed, to identify appropriate areas and restrictions if required. We are disappointed at the lack of consultation and engagement by Council with the local fishing industry to date. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>566</b>         | Bevan Payton  | 1     | All      | All   |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1010</b>        | PB Partnership  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1010</b>        | PB Partnership  | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1010</b>        | PB Partnership  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1010</b>        | PB Partnership  | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1</b>           | Rob Pears   | 1     | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone              | 6.1.17.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Modify 6.1.17 to refer to the type of material that is burnt. Delete reference to the age of the solid fuel burning appliance.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>553</b>         | Brendon Carl Pedersen   | 1     | All      | All                                     |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1246</b>        | Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following addition (bold) is made to Policy 5.10.5:<br><br><i>Policy 5.10.5 – The Marlborough District Council will waive the need for coastal occupancy charges for the following:</i><br><br><b>(f) Moorings provided by boating clubs.</b>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1246</b>        | Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.10.8:<br><br><i>Policy 5.10.8 - Any coastal occupancy charges collected will be used on the following to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area:</i><br><i>(g) maintaining and enhancing public access <b>including contribution towards the maintenance of Club moorings</b>; and</i> |       |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1246               | Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated  | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.10.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new policy (bold) is included, and ideally would be located below the existing Policy 5.10.1:</p> <p><b>Policy 5.10.X Recognition that there are inherent rights of a legitimate occupier of coastal space over the use of the coastal structure(s) that occupy coastal space.</b></p>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1246               | Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated  | 4     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.6.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.6.1.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1246               | Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated  | 5     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.7.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.7.1.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1246               | Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated  | 6     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.17.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following addition (bold) is made to Policy 13.17.4:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.17.4 – Recognise and provide for the following operational requirements of Marina Zones in Picton, Havelock and Waikawa:</i></p> <p><b>(m) boating clubhouses.</b></p>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1246               | Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated  | 7     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.18 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>In the event that this policy is intended to deal with land-based discharges of sewage to coastal water, WBC seeks that the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 15.1.18.</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.18 – Avoid the discharge of untreated human sewage <b>from land based sources</b> to waterbodies or coastal waters.</i></p> <p>In the event that this policy is intended to deal also with discharges of sewage from ships, PBC seeks that the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 15.1.18.</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.18 – Avoid the discharge of untreated human sewage to waterbodies or coastal waters <b>where it may render coastal water unsuitable for contact recreation, food gathering or aquaculture.</b></i></p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1246               | Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated  | 8     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.19 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 15.1.19:<br><i>Policy 15.1.19 – Progressively work toward eliminating the discharge of human sewage to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure.</i>   |       |          |  |                |        |
| <b>1246</b>        | Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated   | 9     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.20 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 15.1.20:<br><i>Policy 15.1.20 – Except for Grade A or Grade B treated sewage, control the discharge of human sewage from ships in the Marlborough Sounds.</i>   |       |          |  |                |        |
| <b>1246</b>        | Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated   | 10    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                 | 16.1.          | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following new rules (bold) are included in 16.1 Permitted Activities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 1000m or greater distance from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 1000m or greater distance from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> </ul>  |       |          |  |                |        |
| <b>1246</b>        | Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated   | 11    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                 | 16.7.2.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 16.7.2 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><del>16.7.2. From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</del><br>That the following new rules (bold) are included in 16.7 Prohibited Activities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> </ul> |       |          |  |                |        |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1246               | Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated  | 12    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 16.7.3 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><del>16.7.3. From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</del></p>   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 1246               | Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated  | 13    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.4.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Rule 16.7.4:</p> <p>16.7.4. Discharge of treated or untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area <b>from land-based sources</b>, except for the discharge of treated human sewage from regionally significant infrastructure.</p>   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 1246               | Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated  | 14    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.7.4.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 15.7.4 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><del>15.7.4. From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</del></p> <p>That the following new rules (bold) are included in 15.7 Prohibited Activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> </ul> |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 1246               | Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated  | 15    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.7.5.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 15.7.5 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><del>Rule 15.7.5. From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</del></p>  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 1246               | Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated  | 16    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.7.6.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Rule 15.7.6:<br><i>Rule 15.7.6. Discharge of treated or untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area <b>from land-based sources</b>.</i>     |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>211</b>         | Jill Pendleton   | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules     | 2.14.10.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Additional clause to 2.14.10.3 (a) The agrichemical must not enter the water.<br>(b) The agrichemical must not be applied any closer then five meters from the high water level.                   |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>450</b>         | Shaun and Jane Peoples   | 1     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.2.5.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard to require a bond and notification to residents when spraying is occurring so that residents are aware of activity. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>450</b>         | Shaun and Jane Peoples   | 2     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.2.6.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard to require a bond and notification to residents when any burning is occurring so that residents are aware of activity. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>450</b>         | Shaun and Jane Peoples   | 3     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.2.7.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard to require a bond and notification to residents when an activity that will create dust is occurring so that residents are aware of activity. <i>(Inferred)</i>                      |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>450</b>         | Shaun and Jane Peoples   | 4     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.2.8.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard to require a bond and notification to residents when an activity that will create dust from a vent or stack is occurring so that residents are aware of activity. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>450</b>         | Shaun and Jane Peoples   | 5     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.2.1.7.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike out and bold) -<br><p><i>" The minimum setback from the property boundaries for a building used for the housing of the following animals must be:</i></p> <p><i>(a) 4.5m for poultry and other birds;</i></p> <p><i>(b) 4.5m for dogs;</i></p> <p><i>(c) 50m (the Submitter has not specified a replacement setback) for pigs;</i></p> <p><i>(d) 30m (the Submitter has not specified a replacement setback) for other animals associated with farming."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| 450                | Shaun and Jane Peoples  | 6     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.2.3.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following new standards under this heading -<br><p>- <b>The use of helicopters for frost control..... (no specific wording provided by Submitter);</b> and</p> <p>- <b>The use of audible bird scarring devices..... (no specific wording provided by Submitter).</b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>  |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| 450                | Shaun and Jane Peoples  | 7     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.4.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike out) - " <del>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 6 months of the building being delivered to the site and is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations. The proposed owner of the relocated building must certify to the Council that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 6 month period.</del> "   |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| 450                | Shaun and Jane Peoples  | 8     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.1.5.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add three new Standards to this Rule as follows -<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>The external appearance of the building.... (no specific wording provided by Submitter);</b></li> <li>• <b>Compatibility with buildings in the immediate vicinity.... (no specific wording provided by Submitter);</b></li> <li>• <b>Landscaping requirements.... (no specific wording provided by Submitter).</b></li> </ul> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>   |       |          |                     |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|---------|
| 450                | Shaun and Jane Peoples  | 9     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone  | 8.1.6.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.  |       |          |                      |           |         |
| 450                | Shaun and Jane Peoples  | 10    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone  | 8.3.13.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                      |           |         |
| 450                | Shaun and Jane Peoples  | 11    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone  | 8.1.15.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                      |           |         |
| 450                | Shaun and Jane Peoples  | 12    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone  | 8.1.17.   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                      |           |         |
| 450                | Shaun and Jane Peoples  | 13    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone  | 8.3.16.2. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike out) - " <i>Only material generated on the same property or a property under the same ownership can be burned.</i> "<br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                      |           |         |
| 450                | Shaun and Jane Peoples  | 14    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone  | 8.3.16.3. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                      |           |         |
| 450                | Shaun and Jane Peoples  | 15    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.19.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                      |           |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>       | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>450</b>         | Shaun and Jane Peoples             | 16           | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone  | 8.5.6.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |              |               |                      |                  |             |
| <b>450</b>         | Shaun and Jane Peoples             | 17           | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone  | 8.5.5.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |              |               |                      |                  |             |
| <b>450</b>         | Shaun and Jane Peoples             | 18           | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone  | 8.5.4.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |              |               |                      |                  |             |
| <b>450</b>         | Shaun and Jane Peoples             | 19           | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone  | 8.5.3.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |              |               |                      |                  |             |
| <b>450</b>         | Shaun and Jane Peoples             | 20           | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone  | 8.5.2.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |              |               |                      |                  |             |
| <b>450</b>         | Shaun and Jane Peoples             | 21           | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone  | 8.5.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |              |               |                      |                  |             |
| <b>450</b>         | Shaun and Jane Peoples             | 22           | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.1.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                      |                  |             |
| <b>450</b>         | Shaun and Jane Peoples             | 23           | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.6.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                      |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 450                | Shaun and Jane Peoples  | 24    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone     | 19.1.17.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 450                | Shaun and Jane Peoples  | 25    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 450                | Shaun and Jane Peoples  | 26    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 450                | Shaun and Jane Peoples  | 27    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 450                | Shaun and Jane Peoples  | 28    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone     | 19.3.12.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>The bottom of the long drop is located <b>at least 1 metre</b> above the natural groundwater level at all times.</i> "<br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 450                | Shaun and Jane Peoples  | 29    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.6.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| 450                | Shaun and Jane Peoples  | 30    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.24.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| <b>450</b>         | Shaun and Jane Peoples  | 31    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone                    | 19.1.16.                                  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>450</b>         | Shaun and Jane Peoples  | 32    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                         | 2.42.1.                                   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standards. <i>(Inferred - note reference in submission was relative to the Open Space 3 Zone only)</i>   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>567</b>         | Benjamin Per  | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1131</b>        | Susana Pereyra  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1131</b>        | Susana Pereyra  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1131</b>        | Susana Pereyra  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1131</b>        | Susana Pereyra  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1131</b>        | Susana Pereyra  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1131</b>        | Susana Pereyra   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1131</b>        | Susana Pereyra   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1131</b>        | Susana Pereyra   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1131</b>        | Susana Pereyra   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1131</b>        | Susana Pereyra   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1076</b>        | Raelyne Joyce Perkins  | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.4 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | No coastal occupancy charge for people (property owners) with BOAT ACCESS ONLY to reach their property. Also to leave their boat on a safe mooring.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.1, and ensure that subsidiary objectives, policies and rules in the plan 'give effect to' this.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend explanation of Policy 4.1.2 as follows:<br><br><i>"As a principle, the Council will continue to enable access to natural resources <u>without the need for resource consent</u> where the subsequent use of those resources has no more than minor adverse effect on the immediate or surrounding environment".</i> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.1, and ensure that the approach throughout the subsidiary objectives and policies gives effect to it, including by allowing finer grained assessment at the resource stage.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.1.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.1.2.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.2? | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.2, but consider the basis and justification for the minimum flows.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 7     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><br><i>"Maintain or enhance the natural and human use values supported by freshwater bodies <u>where practicable</u>."</i>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 8     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.2   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Clarify the extent to which these values are prioritised, and that they are not to be protected at all costs.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 9     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.4, subject to PR's other concerns being addressed.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 10    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to address the concerns raised.<br><br>Make any consequential amendments to the rules to provide for limited water takes to protect root stock to continue during minimum flows. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 11    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.6.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 12    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.7.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 13    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to clarify how this will work in practice.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 14    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.9  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.9.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 15    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clarify which Freshwater Management Units are dominated by aquifers.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 16    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.13 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend:<br><i>"generally avoid allocating water"</i> .  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 17    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.14 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.14.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 18    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.15 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Clarify how this policy has been implemented, and/or how the system will work.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 19    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.16 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Provide further guidance as to how allocations will be reduced, to ensure this occurs in a fair and transparent manner.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 20    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.20 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.20.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 21    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.21 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to include slightly more flexible wording, as such:<br><i>"Generally only grant consent for a new proposal to dam water within the bed of a river if the application provides for:"</i> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 22    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.22 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy 5.2.22.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 23    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.24 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | PRW seeks amendments to the MEP provisions, including this policy, to address its concerns.                                       |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 24    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.25 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to clarify when and how reviews will occur.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 25    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.3.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 26    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><i>(d) municipal water supply; and then</i><br><i>(e) irrigation</i><br><i>(f) all other takes of water.</i> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 27    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.2.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 28    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.3.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 29    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.6.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 30    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain, subject to the relief sought below in terms of the method of allocation (and calculation of reasonable demand), and an amendment to specify the application efficiency as follows:<br><br><i>"Allocate water to irrigation users on the basis of a nine in ten year water demand for the crop/pasture, <u>given an irrigation application efficiency of 80%.</u>"</i> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 31    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.8.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 32    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to address PR's concerns.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 33    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.10.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 34    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.11 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.11.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 35    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.12.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 36    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.13 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.13.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 37    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.14.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 38    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.15.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 39    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.16.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 40    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.4.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 41    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Extent the default lapse period, or providing the ability for applicants to seek to extend the lapse period where necessary (e.g. for new vineyard operations). |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 42    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.4.2.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 43    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.3.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 44    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.4.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 45    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.5.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 46    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.6.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 47    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.5, provided the allocation limits in Schedule 6 are properly derived, and the phase out is occurs in a fair way as between water users.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 48    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | PRW reserves its position - it may need to oppose this classification subject to further information being provided.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 49    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Clarify whether the policy applies to reapplication.</p> <p>Change activity status to non-complying.</p> <p>Possible drafting would be:</p> <p><i><u>"Generally avoid granting new water permits for additional abstraction (i.e. not including applications to continue taking and using groundwater or surface water) from the water resources listed in Policy 5.5.1, so long as they remain over-allocated."</u></i></p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 50    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.5.4, subject to amendment in relation to 'reasonable demand'.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 51    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.5.5, subject to providing further guidance (including as sought) in relation to how reductions will be determined.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 52    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.6, subject to amendments to policies and rules to address PR's concerns.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 53    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.6.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.6.1 or amend to address PR's concerns.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 54    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.6.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | PRW reserves its position - it may be necessary for it to oppose this policy.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 55    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.7, subject to amendment to policies to address PR's concerns (including in relation to the way in which IrriCalc is used).  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 56    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 5.7.2 to refer to "<u>irrigation water</u>".</p> <p>Amend explanation to address PR's concerns. This policy (which pertains) to water allocation should also facilitate the consideration of 'property specific information' where appropriate (this is currently only provided for at Policy 5.7.3 below, which relates to water use rather than water takes).</p>                  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 57    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the ability to refer to property specific information in determining reasonable use, but replace the policy with the wording in PRW's submission.</p> <p>Alternatively, if the policy is retained in substantially its current form, replace "calculation" with "assessment".</p> <p>In any event, the references to IrriCalc in the explanation should also be substantially revised.</p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 58    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.4.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 59    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.5.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 60    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.6  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.7.6.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 61    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to refer to <i>"reasonably practicable <del>effective</del> alternative methods"</i> . |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 62    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.9  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.9.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 63    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend:<br><i>"generally avoid"</i>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 64    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.11 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend:<br><i>"<del>encourage require</del> a minimum storage volume..."</i>                  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 65           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.8.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 66           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1 as drafted.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 67           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.2, with clarifications.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 68           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.3.   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 69           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.4     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.4.   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 70           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.5     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.5.   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 71           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.9    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.9.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 72           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.1     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.9.1; consider alternative mechanisms of allocation consistent with section 30(1) (fa) and 30(4) RMA, such as a tender process. |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 73    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.9.2.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 74    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.9.3.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 75    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Council consider re-wording to address these drafting issues, for example by changing the wording to:<br><i>"... and <u>avoid significant effects</u> on lakes and rivers and their margins with high and very high natural character values".</i> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 76    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Council consider re-wording to address these drafting issues (e.g. by referring to <i>"any <u>significant reduction</u>"</i> ).  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 77    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.5.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 78    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity        | Policy 8.2.11 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.11.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 79    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Issue 14A     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 14A.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                             | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 80           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.1   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.1.                       |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 81           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.1.                        |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 82           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.2    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.2.                        |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 83           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.3    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.3.                        |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 84           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.4    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.4 as drafted.             |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 85           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.7    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.7.                        |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 86           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.9    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.9.                        |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 87           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.2   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.2.                       |              |               |                                 |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 88    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Support Objective 14.3, subject to refinement of wording:<br><br><i>"Activities that are not related to primary production are <u>only located within rural environments if they are appropriate for that environment.</u>"</i>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 89    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.3.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.3.2, with an additional clause (e):<br><br><i>"<u>The extent to which the proposed activity is likely to have reverse sensitivity effects on primary production activities.</u>"</i>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 90    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Issue 14B      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue14(b) subject to including a definition of reverse sensitivity effects, as follows:<br><br><i>"<u>Reverse sensitivity means the effect on existing activities from the introduction of new activities into the same environment, where the new activities may raise concerns or complaints regarding the effects of existing activities which could lead to restrictions being places on existing activities.</u>"</i> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 91    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.10, but include recognition of reverse sensitivity effects.<br><br>Amend as follows:<br><br><i>"<u>Control the establishment of residential activity within rural environments as a means of avoiding conflict between rural and residential community expectations, and managing reverse sensitivity effects on existing activities.</u>"</i>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 92    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.12, subject to any refinements necessary to address other parts of Pernod Ricard's submission.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 93    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.4.13  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.13, subject to any refinements necessary to address other parts of Pernod Ricard's submission.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 94    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.4.14  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.14, subject to any refinements necessary to address other parts of Pernod Ricard's submission.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 95    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.4.15  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.15, subject to any refinements necessary to address other parts of Pernod Ricard's submission.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 96    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Objective 14.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.5, with minor refinement made as follows:<br><br><i>"Residential activity takes place <u>only</u> within appropriate locations and limits within rural environments."</i> |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 97    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1b | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 15.1(b).   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 98    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1c | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 15.1(c)  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 99    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include the additional matters identified by PRW.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 100   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address PR's concern, e.g.:<br><i>"Where necessary, reduce the potential for nuisance and health effects from the discharge of contaminants into air."</i> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 101   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.3.4, subject to the following amendment:<br><i>"Manage the use of agrichemicals to avoid spraydrift <u>as far as practicable</u>."</i>             |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 102   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.5.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy 15.5.1.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 103   | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Policy 17.6.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 17.6.1.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 104   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.1.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.1, subject to resolution of PR's concerns as to how the levels and limits in Appendix 6 have been set.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 105   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.2.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That consideration be given to providing for the takes referred to as permitted or controlled activities.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 106   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.3.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.3.  |       |          |  |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 107          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.4.1.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.4.1.   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 108          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.5.             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.5, subject to specifying additional permitted activities, and noting that 2.5.2 includes proposed takes that do not comply with the minimum flow restrictions. |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 109          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.6.1.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Provide for takes beyond allocation limits as non-complying.   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 110          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.6.3.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Provide for takes for frost fighting purposes in this period as non-complying.   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 111          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.21.1.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.21.  |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 112          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.             | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Permitted Activities proposed, subject to amendments to the standards sought.   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 113          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.2.1, with any amendments necessary to address other aspects of Pernod Ricard's submission.   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 114          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Standards under Heading 3.2.3.  |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 115          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.4.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.2.4, including any other or additional measures as appropriate to manage reverse sensitivity effects.  |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 116          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.7.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.2.7.   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 117          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.9.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.2.9.   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 118          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.6.   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 119          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.13.  |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 120          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.17.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address PRW's concerns; consider providing a restricted discretionary activity (rather than full discretionary, which is the current default in the event of a breach) for more minor breaches. |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 121          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.19.          | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend to address PRW's concerns; consider providing a restricted discretionary activity (rather than full discretionary, which is the current default in the event of a breach) for more minor breaches. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 122   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.22.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 123   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 124   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.25.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.25, subject to amending clauses (a) to refer to 20m.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 125   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.4.1.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.4.1.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 126   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.4.2.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 3.4.2, or amend so that vineyards, wineries and associated retail are clearly excluded.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 127   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.2.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.6.2.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 128   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.10.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.6.10.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 129   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision   | 24.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Chapter 24, subject to any amendments required by other parts of PR's submission.   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 130   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions   | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Agrichemical definition, subject to amendment as follows:<br><br><i>"This includes agricultural compounds, but excludes fertilisers, <u>compost</u>, vertebrate pest control products and organ nutrition compounds."</i> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 131   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions   | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Farming definition.   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 132   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions   | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Noise Sensitivity Activity definition.  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 133   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions   | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Winery definition, but consider clarification.  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 134   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions   | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Chapter 25 to include definition of 'reverse sensitivity'.   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 135   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 5, subject to further information showing these values are justified.  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 1039               | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 136   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels  |           | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Given the lack of information provided, it is necessary for PRW to reserve its position on Appendix 6 (i.e., oppose it for the purposes of the submission). |       |          |             |                |         |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 137   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 157 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Zoning Map 157.  |       |          |             |                |         |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 138   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 158 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Zoning Map 158.  |       |          |             |                |         |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 139   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 159 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Zoning Map 159.  |       |          |             |                |         |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 140   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 160 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Zoning Map 160.  |       |          |             |                |         |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 141   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 174 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Zoning Map 174.  |       |          |             |                |         |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 142   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 173 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Zoning Map 173.  |       |          |             |                |         |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited  | 143   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 186 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Zoning Map 186.   |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>1039</b>        | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited   | 144   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                      | Zoning Map 187 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Zoning Map 187.   |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>507</b>         | Peter Ray Homes Blenheim Limited   | 1     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.2.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.<br>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>507</b>         | Peter Ray Homes Blenheim Limited   | 2     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                   | 24.3.1.3       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.<br>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>507</b>         | Peter Ray Homes Blenheim Limited   | 3     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                   | 24.3.1.2.      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.<br>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>507</b>         | Peter Ray Homes Blenheim Limited   | 4     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.11.      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.<br>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>507</b>         | Peter Ray Homes Blenheim Limited   | 5     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.7.       | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.<br>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.  |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>507</b>         | Peter Ray Homes Blenheim Limited  | 6     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone        | 5.2.1.6.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.<br>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.  |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>507</b>         | Peter Ray Homes Blenheim Limited  | 7     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone        | 5.2.1.3.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.<br>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.  |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>466</b>         | Vivienne Faye Peters  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land |           | Support |
| Decision Requested | I wish to be advised on any changes to entrances to Whitney Street School.<br><br>I wish that the remaining curbside be free of yellow (no parking) markings. That there be no limit to amount of time vehicles can be parked in Whitney Street-School after all is 197 days in a year.<br><br>Should be more classrooms be added.<br><br>I would like the Ministry or Council to require provision on school site for more parking and/or at least a turnaround vehicle area on school property. |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>371</b>         | Petroleum Exploration and Production Association of NZ (PEPANZ)   | 1     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | 13.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provisions of Chapter 13. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A  | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Maintain but note importance of diversity and capacity for adaptation. Recognising that business as usual with respect to water may not continue into the future and likewise Tourism (international) can involve high carbon based energy demands and may not be the same in the future as it is today. Flexibility is key |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Adjust to reflect further examples as noted.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Strengthen the text in relation to the commitment to intervene only when there are very well established science, economic and ecological grounds.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adjust policy to recognise that major changes to existing infrastructure that may impose significant costs or opportunity costs to third parties should consider matters of compensation  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Remove the word iconic or partition sounds areas into those that justify such description vs those that don't and insert the qualifier "inappropriate" .... use and development....   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include reference to character reflecting many highly modified environments and the element of precaution will be exercised in relation to established baseline data.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Adjust statements to recognise the need for well founded data or baseline trends to inform the potential significance of adverse effects.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.5  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | ensure this remains in full   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.6.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The amalgamation of planting and replanting under definitions needs to be separated   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.7.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | retain  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 11    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3.3.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include commercial forestry and forestry harvesting activities/ also woodlot harvesting under same definition.<br>Or adopt the National Environmental Standard for plantation forestry to resolve the issue  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 12    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.4.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Extend coverage of rule to include 300m from any existing commercial forest boundary.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 13    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Allow planting and especially replanting of specified species only and only when the Scion wilding spread risk calculator indicates a low risk of spread. Align to rules about this subject in the National Environmental Standard for Plantation Forestry. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 14    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend to incorporate comments for b, c,d,e,f,g,& j  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 15    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | retain minimum of 20days notice but redefine and increase options for extended forward notice including annual plans.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 16    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.2.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the requirement and align with the NPS -PF requiring that material changes be documented on the harvest plan with reasons and available to council on request.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 17           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.2.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the requirement for prior notification and align rule to NES. Also need clear illustrative definition of what a material change is.  |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 18           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Align setbacks to NES standard, maintain permitted use subject to standards as already listed under the activity rule and dispense with the 200m setback (to 1 10th the size) which is inequitable and unjustified provided other good practice adhered to. |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 19           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.5.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Align this condition to the NES and the NES erosion susceptibility mapping. Make earthworks on lower risk sites fully permitted subject to conditions   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 20           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.6.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Restructure the rule to reflect erosion susceptibility of which slope is just one factor and provide for deminimus activity for maintenance and safety on higher risk sites. Align with the NES.  |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 21           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.8.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | retain rule   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 22           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.7.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | retain rule   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 23           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.11.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | delete rule 3.3.710 in total it is dis-functional. Retain 3.3.7.16 Align rules to NES   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 24           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.9.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | retain  |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 25           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.10.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | retain  |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 26           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.12.        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete sub-clause a) retain the other sub-clauses   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 27    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain subclause a) delete the rest   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 28    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.14. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete, and restructure rules for machinery in setbacks with alignment to NES setbacks.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 29    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.17. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as described.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 30    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.18. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | either delete or restructure to demonstrate the effectiveness of avoiding remedying or mitigating and adverse effect and apply the same rule to ALL landuse and infrastructure assets.                          |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 31    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.19. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to "when no longer required for management access purposes" and reframe the primary purpose to achieve soil stability and avoid sedimentation by use of "slashing" vegetation cover or full recontouring. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 32    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adjust as stated  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 33    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.9.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adjust as listed  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 34    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.10.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | adjust as requested   |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 35           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.2.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Develop a rule cascade providing for an intermediate restricted discretionary step. |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 36           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Re-address to ensure effects and mitigation apply equally between landuses          |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 37           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.20.1.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | retain as is  |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 38           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain proposed rule set  |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 39           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.3.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adjust clause to reflect practical solution to a realtime issue.                    |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 40           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adjust clause as requested for same reason as applies to 3.3.22.3                   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 41           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.24.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | retain in full  |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 42           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.5.1.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Align with the NES  |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 43           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Review and amend ruled cascades to removed unnecessary default to full discretion   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 44           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.3.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Harvesting of permanent Carbon forest should default to normal forestry rules subject to compliance with other carbon forestry legislation. |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 45           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.6.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | retain in its entirety  |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 46           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.7.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain permitted activity status for 4.1.7 & 4.1.8  |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 47           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.2.3.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | include temporary forestry activity noise   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 48           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.3.1.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adjust as requested   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 49           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Align setbacks to NES standard 5 and 10m thresholds   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 50           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Adjust rule set as for the rural area   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 51           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Adjust as already submitted in the rural environment zone - eliminate the unjustified 200m setback  |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 52           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adjust as requested   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 53    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Align the high risk sediment generation rules to meet or exceed those applied to other landuse  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 54    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Adjust as per equivalent rule under rural environment. Develop a rule cascade for forestry earthworks above the permitted level with discretion limited where the area is not outstanding landscape and is existing forest on slopes over 25 degrees. Delete use of Munsell scale |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 55    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.19.1. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | retain as is  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 56    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.21.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adjust per same submission for equivalent rule in the Rural Environment Zone  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 57    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as requested  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 58    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.23.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | retain rule set   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 59    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adjust and provide for commercial forestry earthworks for existing plantations not in an outstanding natural landscape as described   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 60    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Readjust rule cascade.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 61    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Rebuild the rules cascade to provide for commercial forestry planting as restricted discretion on lands outside outstanding natural landscapes and high value landscapes overlays. Align rule set to NES for areas out side those constraints                                     |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 62    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Provide for commercial forestry harvesting as permitted or restricted discretion activities in alignment with the NES outside outstanding natural landscapes. |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 63    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                        | 4.7.1.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Provide for forestry options but under full discretion - align with NES   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 64    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                                   | 2.7.7.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | retain or align to NES culvert rules  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 65    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                                   | 2.8.1.4.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Remove reference to Munsell units as a measure of quality   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 66    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                                   | 2.9.1.3.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this sub clause  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 67    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                                   | 2.9.7.4.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Increase permitted pipe width allowance to 15m. Or align rule to NES permitted culvert rules which specify design standards and are more robust.              |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 68    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                                   | 2.10.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Redesign the rules cascade of better still align the rules cascade with that of the NES which takes these issues into account.                                |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 69    | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan      |           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | use as listed or align with NES harvest plan template   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>149</b>         | PF Olsen Ltd  | 70    | Volume 3 | Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clarify   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>1176</b>        | Tan Pham  | 1     | All      | All   |           | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision            | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.                           |       |          |  |                      |                 |
| <b>1132</b>        | Sokhom Pich  | 1     | All      | All                                    |                      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.                           |       |          |  |                      |                 |
| <b>1035</b>        | Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited  | 1     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.30       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The existing bores and surface takes within the GPA are able to be altered or maintained.  |       |          |  |                      |                 |
| <b>1035</b>        | Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited  | 2     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                         | 25.                  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of intensively farmed livestock as proposed.   |       |          |  |                      |                 |
| <b>1035</b>        | Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.14.5.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The ability to undertake excavation in excess of 10 m3 and if underground water is struck compaction to be under taken to reduce leaching. |       |          |  |                      |                 |
| <b>1035</b>        | Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Flood Hazard Area 28 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the flood hazard extent with respect to the submitters property be re-assessed.   |       |          |  |                      |                 |
| <b>1035</b>        | Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.17.1.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The existing bores and surface takes within the GPA are able to be altered or maintained.  |       |          |  |                      |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| 1035               | Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited   | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.31.3.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain (inferred).  |       |          |                                  |                    |                 |
| 1035               | Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited   | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.21.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 3.1.21 be retained as proposed.   |       |          |                                  |                    |                 |
| 1035               | Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited   | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.21.1.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 3.3.21.1 is retained as proposed.   |       |          |                                  |                    |                 |
| 1035               | Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited   | 9     | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone             | 19.1.3.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That freedom camping in areas subject to GPA overlays not be provided for as permitted activity.                                  |       |          |                                  |                    |                 |
| 578                | Pinder Family Trust   | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                   | Guiding principles | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the section <b>Recognise that the Marlborough Sounds is the District's "jewel in the crown"</b> in the guiding principles. |       |          |                                  |                    |                 |
| 578                | Pinder Family Trust   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.4.   |       |          |                                  |                    |                 |
| 578                | Pinder Family Trust   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.5.   |       |          |                                  |                    |                 |
| 578                | Pinder Family Trust   | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.6.   |       |          |                                  |                    |                 |
| 578                | Pinder Family Trust   | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7      | Support         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.7.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.10.8 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><i>Policy 5.10.8 - Any coastal occupancy charges collected will be used on the following to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area:</i><br><b>(x) Programmes such as the Marlborough Marine Futures collaborative process to develop integrated management of the Marlborough Sounds.</b> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 7     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | 6.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Volume 1, Chapter 6 Natural Character.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 8     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.2.5  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 6.2.5:<br><i>Policy 6.2.5 Recognise that development in parts of the coastal environment and in those rivers and lakes and their margins that have already been modified by past and present resource use activities is less likely to result in adverse effects on natural character.</i>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | 6.AER.1       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 6.AER.1<br><i>6.AER.1</i><br><i>The natural character of Marlborough's coastal environment and of lakes, rivers and their margins is <del>retained</del>restored.</i><br><b>Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds.</b>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 10    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                      | Policy 7.2.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.7.<br>That appropriate controls therefore apply to <b>all</b> of the Marlborough Sounds environment (both Outstanding and Coastal Landscape).   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 11    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.2.1.11.     | Support         |

| Sub No  | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision    | Type    |
|---|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested  | That additional controls on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.              |       |          |                            |              |         |
| In regard to all of the references to exterior paint requiring a light reflectance value of 45% or less, GOS SEEK that this is amended to include "all exterior cladding must have a reflectance value of 45% or less" to avoid large areas of unpainted highly reflective corrugated iron on new buildings (including roof). |   |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>578</b>  | Pinder Family Trust   | 12    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5.1.       | Support |
| Decision Requested  | That additional controls are included on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included. |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>578</b>  | Pinder Family Trust   | 13    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.6.    | Support |
| Decision Requested  | That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on excavation. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.             |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>578</b>  | Pinder Family Trust   | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.5.    | Support |
| Decision Requested  | That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on filling. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.                |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>578</b>  | Pinder Family Trust   | 15    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Landscapes 5 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested  | That the following amendments are made to Overlay Map 5 ( <i>inferred</i> ):  |       |          |                            |              |         |
| 1. Endangered Hector dolphin habitat including East Bay and "Hectorville" Te Ipapakerere Bay and Waikakaramea Bay are designated as ONFL's or as ecologically significant marine sites.   |   |       |          |                            |              |         |
| 2. The whole of Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory channel are designated as ONFL's in their entirety.  |   |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>578</b>  | Pinder Family Trust   | 16    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | 7.M.1        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested  | That Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory Channel are included as ONFL's in their entirety. This should also include Endeavour Inlet, East Bay and Melville Cove ( <i>inferred</i> ).                                       |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>578</b>  | Pinder Family Trust   | 17    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | 7.M.6        | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain 7.M.6.<br>Consider providing funding to wilding pine control programmes and other community initiated control programmes for undesirable plants and animals.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust  | 18    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | 7.M.7     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Undertake research into alternative forestry and land use options such as permanent sink forestry for pine forest owners in the Marlborough Sounds. The investigations should include how best to manage the transition from pine plantations to the chosen alternatives in a manner that minimises landscape effects, especially those caused by wilding pines. |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust  | 19    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.    | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Implementation of the recommendations from the MDC Technical Report Mitigating Fine Sediment from Forestry in the Coastal Waters of the Marlborough Sounds (Nov 2015). A number of options are evaluated for improving soil conservation and water quality, and thereby helping to maintain indigenous biodiversity within the Sounds.</p> <p>That the following new standards are added to 4.3.6 Commercial forestry replanting (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.A The following species must not be planted:</b></p> <p>(a) Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>);</p> <p>(b) Lodgepole pine (<i>Pinus contorta</i>);</p> <p>(c) Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>);</p> <p>(d) European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>);</p> <p>(e) Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>);</p> <p>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>);</p> <p>(g) Corsican pine (<i>Pinus nigra</i>).</p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.B Assess the risk of tree spread using the industry Wilding Spread Risk calculator, prior to planting taking place.</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.C No planting within 50m of a ridge.</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.D Replanting of areas harvested within 12 months of harvest.</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.E Replanting in excess of 1000 stems/hectare.</b></p> <p>That the above new standards also apply to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.</p> |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 578                | Pinder Family Trust  | 20    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.6.1 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br>4.3.6.1 <i>Replanting must not be in, or within:</i><br>(a) <del>8</del> <b>10</b> metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;<br>(b) <del>8</del> <b>10</b> metres of a Significant Wetland;<br>(c) <del>30</del> <b>100</b> metres of the coastal marine area;<br><b>(d) 5 metres for streams less than 3 metres in width and 10 metres for streams equal to, or greater than, 3 metres in width.</b><br><br>That control of pine re-growth and management of wildings in this coastal set-back is the responsibility of the plantation owner. |       |          |  |               |        |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust  | 21    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                            | 7.            | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That a new Method of Implementation ( <i>inferred</i> ) is included to introduce an industry levy on logs harvested to control for wilding spread beyond the boundary and/or into the coastal setback area and the cost of this control.   |       |          |  |               |        |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust  | 22    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                            | 7.AER.1       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the wording " <i>Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds</i> " is included in 7.AER.1.   |       |          |  |               |        |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust  | 23    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | Objective 8.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Retain the explanation of Objective 8.1 ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |  |               |        |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust  | 24    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | 8.AER.1       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That by 2020 a robust and substantial Marine Protected Areas/Marine Park, including at least all of Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory Channel, is established ( <i>inferred</i> ).  |       |          |  |               |        |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust  | 25    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15C     | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new <i>Methods of Implementation</i> are included under Issue 15C:</p> <p><i>Management purpose</i> (15-11)</p> <p><b>15.M.X Replanting Management Plan</b></p> <p><b>A mandatory plan that identifies areas at high risk of erosion and require retirement and implementation of buffers, such as gully heads and steep ephemeral gullies.</b></p> <p><b>Incentives</b></p> <p><b>15.M.X Greater recognition and encouragement of planting permanent forest (not for harvest) or allowing native regeneration. Assistance could include rates rebate and funding for control of wilding pines.</b></p> |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 26    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.3.13.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new standards are included:</p> <p><b>a) All road design, construction, and maintenance to be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.</b></p> <p><b>b) All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation.</b></p>   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 27    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That a new policy and rules aimed at preventing wilding pines spreading beyond the borders of commercial forestry are included.</p> <p>That a requirement to use the industry Wilding Spread Risk Calculator to assess the risk of tree spread for a site prior to planting taking place is included.</p>  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 28    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Issue 13C     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The submission does not include a decision requested.</p>  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 29    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Policy 13.4.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments are made:   |       |          |  |                |         |
|                    | 1. Habitat protection for Blue Cod, particularly from all fishing impacts in the Marlborough Sounds, should include all the enclosed bays and 463m off all islands (as at Long Island Marine Reserve) and includes fishing of any type only permitted in open channels. |       |          |  |                |         |
|                    | 2. Commercial dredging is not be allowed to resume at any time in the Queen Charlotte Sound, or ideally anywhere in the Marlborough Sounds.   |       |          |  |                |         |
|                    | 3. There is a permanent and complete ban on recreation dredges in the Marlborough Sounds and that scallops should only be sustainably harvested by scuba/snorkel in future.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 30    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Issue 13E      | Support |
| Decision Requested | That permanent moorings should be provided in future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 31    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Objective 13.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Objective 13.7.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 32    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.7.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Policy 13.7.1.  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 33    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.7.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Policy 13.7.2.  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 34    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the MEP includes methods on how nitrogen levels will be monitored and managed in coastal waters, including the effects of forestry and Salmon farming ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 35    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.8.         | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following new standard is included under Heading 4.3.8 Woodlot forestry harvesting:<br><b><i>4.3.8.X All woody material &gt;100 mm diameter and &gt;3 metres in length from gullies (&gt;5000m or 0.5 hectare) must be removed as soon as practicable, but no later than 1 month, after harvest.</i></b><br>That the above new standard also applies to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound. |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 36    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.1.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.7.1 with the addition that there is no new commercial exotic forestry planting in the Marlborough Sounds.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 37    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.2.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Reconsider prohibited activity 4.7.2. This could become an ongoing source of wilding pines. Suggest an option to harvest once (or poison) and take measures to control wilding pines while the land is regenerating.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 38    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.3.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following tree species are included in prohibited activity Rule 4.7.3:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>);</b></li> <li>• <b>Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>);</b></li> <li>• <b>European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>);</b></li> <li>• <b>Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>);</b></li> <li>• <b>Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>).</b></li> </ul>                 |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 39    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.6.3.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 40    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 4.6.4.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust   | 41    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.7.1.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 578                | Pinder Family Trust   | 42    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.2.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.7.2.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 578                | Pinder Family Trust   | 43    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.6. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.13.6.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 578                | Pinder Family Trust   | 44    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.5. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.15.5.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 578                | Pinder Family Trust   | 45    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.16.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.16.8:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.16.8 Filling must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><b><i>(d) 100m of the coastal marine area.</i></b></p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 578                | Pinder Family Trust   | 46    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.15.9:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.15.9 Filling must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><b><i>(d) 100m of the coastal marine area.</i></b></p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 578                | Pinder Family Trust   | 47    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.14.1. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new standard is added under the heading 3.3.14 Excavation (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><b><i>Standard 3.3.14.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3 on flat land.</i></b></p>      |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 578                | Pinder Family Trust   | 48    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new standard is added under the heading 4.3.13 Excavation (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><b><i>Standard 4.3.13.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3 on flat land.</i></b></p>      |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust  | 49    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That further protection is provided by prohibiting anchoring and dredging in a buffer zone around these sites and any future marine protected areas. The size of these buffer zones to be determined as recommended in the MDC Expert Panel Assessment 2014-2015. Reference to this assessment is provided on page 21 of the submission. |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust  | 50    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.2.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | That a collaborative approach is established between Council and boating clubs, recreational fishers and tourism operators to come up with workable regulations to keep human sewage out of the coastal marine environment.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>578</b>         | Pinder Family Trust  | 51    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               |           | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Ecologically Significant Marine Sites Overlays.   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1245</b>        | Pitapisces Limited   | 1     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.11. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <i>(a) a building or structure must not exceed <del>10m</del> <b>___m</b>;</i> " (Submitter did not specify but an area greater than 10m2)<br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1245</b>        | Pitapisces Limited   | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5.      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule, subject to amendment to Standard 4.5.11 <i>(see separate submission)</i> . <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1245</b>        | Pitapisces Limited   | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.6. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1245</b>        | Pitapisces Limited   | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.5. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |           |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|---------|
| <b>1245</b>        | Pitapisces Limited   | 5     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.3.10.5.      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1245</b>        | Pitapisces Limited   | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.3.10.6.      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>846</b>         | Sutherland, Kirsty and Planthaber, Steve   | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>846</b>         | Sutherland, Kirsty and Planthaber, Steve   | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>846</b>         | Sutherland, Kirsty and Planthaber, Steve   | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.2   | Support |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>846</b>         | Sutherland, Kirsty and Planthaber, Steve   | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.7   | Support |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>368</b>         | Kate and Shane Ponder-West   | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a <i>Decision Requested</i> or <i>Recommended alternative</i> (Heading provided in submitters submission table). <b><i>Inferred decision requested is to deleted Issue 4C.</i></b>           |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>368</b>         | Kate and Shane Ponder-West   | 2     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | 7.             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a <i>Decision Requested</i> or <i>Recommended alternative</i> (Heading provided in submitters submission table). <b><i>Inferred decision requested is to delete Chapter 7 Landscape.</i></b> |       |          |   |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| 368                | Kate and Shane Ponder-West  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.7.2.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a <i>Decision Requested</i> or <i>Recommended alternative</i> (Heading provided in submitters submission table). <b><i>Inferred decision requested is to delete standard 3.3.7.2.</i></b>   |       |          |                                 |                |        |
| 368                | Kate and Shane Ponder-West  | 4     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone           | 7.             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission opposes <i>Policy # or rule # 7.2.9 Harvest Plan</i> . Volume 2 (inferred) Chapter 7 Coastal Living does not have any reference to Forestry Harvest Plans.<br><br>The submission does not include a <i>Decision Requested</i> or <i>Recommended alternative</i> (Heading provided in submitters submission table). |       |          |                                 |                |        |
| 368                | Kate and Shane Ponder-West  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.6.1.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend by remove D Fir from list. Adopt methodology in the proposed NES-PF.  |       |          |                                 |                |        |
| 368                | Kate and Shane Ponder-West  | 6     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Planting should be allowed to high water mark in Marl. Sounds.  |       |          |                                 |                |        |
| 368                | Kate and Shane Ponder-West  | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.7.18.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a <i>Decision Requested</i> or <i>Recommended alternative</i> (Heading provided in submitters submission table). <b><i>Inferred decision requested is to delete Standard 3.3.7.18.</i></b>  |       |          |                                 |                |        |
| 368                | Kate and Shane Ponder-West  | 8     | Volume 4 | All                             |                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Replace with Forestry NES-ESC Mapping. <b><i>Inferred decision requested is to delete Overlays for Steep Erosion Prone Land.</i></b>  |       |          |                                 |                |        |
| 730                | Gabrielle Jane Pooley   | 1     | All      | All                             |                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |                                 |                |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|---------|
| <b>1133</b>        | Simon Pooley   | 1     | All      | All                                     |                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1040</b>        | Port Aquaculture Limited   | 1     | All      | All                                     |                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 187 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>General relief sought</b></p> <p>(a) That a Port Zone at Clifford Bay and related objectives, policies, methods and explanatory text is retained, in recognition of Its potential national, regional and local benefits;</p> <p>(b) That the boundaries of the Port Zone at Clifford Bay on the Planning Maps be amended, as shown in <b>Appendix A</b> attached to and forming part of this submission, to reflect the smaller port concept, and that any other zoning or overlay which impedes or conflicts with the purpose of the Port Zone is removed;</p> <p>(c) In acknowledgement of (a) above, all objectives, polices, methods and explanatory text relating to activities within the Port Zone recognise and provide for those activities to occur, to the extent appropriate, in a permissive manner;</p> <p>(d) That the objectives, policies, methods and any explanatory texts be amended to give effect to the decisions sought in this submission (including the relief sought in the table annexed as <b>Appendix B</b> and forming part of this submission) or such other words to address the concerns raised; and</p> <p>(e) Any consequential changes necessary to the objectives, policies, methods and any other explanatory text necessary to give full effect to the decisions sought.</p> |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.2.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.2   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.2.2.   |       |          |   |                |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.3.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 5            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.1     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.1.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 6            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.2     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.2.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.3     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.3.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.4     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.4.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 9            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.5     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.5.   |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.2    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 6.2, subject to amending to reflect that some essential activities need to be located in the coastal environment by necessity.  |              |               |   |                  |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 11           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Policy 6.2.1     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 6.2.1 to reflect that some essential activities need to be located in the coastal environment by necessity, and that avoidance of adverse effects is not always possible. |              |               |   |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited   | 12    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 6.2.2 to reflect that some essential activities need to be located in the coastal environment by necessity, and that avoidance of adverse effects is not possible.   |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited   | 13    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 6.2.3:<br><br><i>Policy 6.2.3 Where natural character is classified as high or very high, avoid, <del>any reduction in the degree of</del> <b>remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the natural character of the coastal environment or freshwater bodies.</b></i>   |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited   | 14    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 6.2.4:<br><br><i>Policy 6.2.4 Where resource consent is required to undertake an activity within coastal or freshwater environments with high, very high or outstanding natural character, regard will be had to:</i><br><br><b>(a) the potential adverse effects of the proposal on the elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities that contribute to natural character; and</b><br><br><b>(b) the necessity of the activity to locate in the coastal or freshwater environment.</b> |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited   | 15    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.5.  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited   | 16    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.6.  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited   | 17    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.7.  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited   | 18    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.8 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 6.2.8:<br><i>Policy 6.2.8 Require land use activities to be set back from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area in order to preserve natural character <b>except where the land use activity is in support of an activity that is by necessity required to be located in a river lake or coastal marine area.</b></i>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 19    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.1, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 20    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.1.1:<br><i>Policy 13.1.1 Avoid <b>or mitigate</b> adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having:</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 21    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.2, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 23    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.1, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 24    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.2.2:<br><i>Policy 13.2.2 In addition to the values in Policy 13.2.1, the following matters shall be considered by decision makers in determining whether subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough's coastal environment are appropriate at the location proposed and of an appropriate scale, form and design: (a) the contribution the proposed subdivision, use or development activity makes to the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities <b>on a national, regional and local level;</b></i> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 25    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.3  | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.2.3(b):<br><i>Policy 13.2.3 To enable periodic reassessment of whether activities and developments are affecting the values of the coastal marine area, to encourage efficient use of a finite resource and in consideration of the dynamic nature of the coastal environment:<br/>(a) lapse periods for coastal permits will be no more than five years; and<br/>(b) the duration of coastal permits granted for activities in the coastal marine area for which limitations on durations are imposed under the Resource Management Act 1991 will generally be limited to a period not exceeding 20 years.</i> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 26    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.5, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 27    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.6.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.6.1, provided the following amendment (bold) is made to (d):<br><i>Policy 13.6.1 Provide for the mooring or berthage of boats by:(d) zoning specific areas for activities related to the operation of marinas, ports and port landing areas in Picton, Havelock, Waikawa, Elaine Bay, <b>Clifford Bay</b> and Oyster Bay.</i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 28    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.7.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 29    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.7.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.7.1.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 30    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.7.2   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.7.2.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 31    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.10.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 32           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.1.  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 33           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.2, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained. |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 34           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.3   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.3, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained. |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 35           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.5   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.5, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained. |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 36           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.6   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.6, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained. |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 37           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.7   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.7, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained. |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 38           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.24  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.24.   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 39           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.25  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.25.   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 40           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.26  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.26, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 41    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.11, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 42    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.11.1, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 43    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.11.2.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 44    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.11.4, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 45    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 13.13.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.13.2 Enable disturbance of the foreshore and seabed in the following circumstances:</i></p> <p><i>(a) at London Quay Beach, Shelly Beach and Waikawa Beach for the excavation or removal of foreshore or seabed material for the purpose of removing marine debris or litter or for the renourishment or grooming of beaches;</i></p> <p><i>(b) for the excavation or removal of foreshore or seabed material for marine mammal rescue or burial; <del>or</del></i></p> <p><i>(c) for oil spill response operations; <del>or</del></i> <b>or</b></p> <p><b><i>(d) for the safe and efficient operation of activities in Port Zones.</i></b></p> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 46    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.4.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 47    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.7  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.7.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 48    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.9  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.9.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 49    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.14 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.14.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 50    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 13.14.1:<br><i>Policy 13.14.1 Enable water transportation activities where these do not have <del>an</del> <b>significant</b> adverse effects on the coastal environment.</i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 51    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 13.14.2:<br><i>Policy 13.14.2 The strategic importance of areas of the Marlborough <del>Sounds</del> <b>Region</b> as a transportation route for inter-island shipping will be recognised as a 'National Transportation Route'.</i> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited   | 52    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to Policy 13.14.3:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.14.3A – Ensure the following existing ports, marinas and community/commercial jetties/landing areas continue to provide links between land transport modes and water transport to the Marlborough Sounds and beyond:</i></p> <p><i>(a) ports of Picton and Havelock;</i></p> <p><i>(b) port landing areas at Oyster Bay (Port Underwood) and Elaine Bay (Tennyson Inlet);</i></p> <p><i>(c) Picton, Havelock and Waikawa marinas; and</i></p> <p><i>(d) jetties and landing areas in Torea Bay and Onahau Bay (Queen Charlotte Sound), Elmslie Bay (French Pass), Kapowai Bay (d'Urville Island) and Portage, Te Mahia and Waitaria Bay (Kenepuru Sound).</i></p> <p><b>Policy 13.14.3B Recognise and provide for a potential port at Clifford Bay as a link between land transport modes and water transport and for export and import of goods between the Marlborough Region and beyond.</b></p> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited   | 53    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.15 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.15.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited   | 54    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.15.1 but amend the <i>National Transportation Route</i> Map to include an overlay extending to the Port Zone at Clifford Bay.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited   | 55    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.15.2(b):</p> <p><i>Policy 13.15.2 Avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on water transportation by:</i></p> <p><i>(b) avoiding activities and/or locating structures within significant commercial shipping routes (including shipping routes from the Port of Picton, Havelock Harbour, <b>Clifford Bay</b>, and from Waikawa Marina);</i></p>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited   | 56    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.17 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.17.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 57    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.1.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 58    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.2.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 59    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 13.17.3:<br><i>Policy 13.17.3 Recognise and provide for the following operational requirements of Port Zones in Picton, <del>and</del> Havelock <b>and Clifford Bay</b>:</i> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 60    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.6 subject to amendments to Policy 13.7.3 (submission point #59) being made.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 61    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.8  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.8.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 62    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.10.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 63    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.11 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.11.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 64    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.18 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.18.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited   | 65    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.1.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited  | 66    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bole) are made to Policy 13.18.2:<br><i>Policy 13.18.2 Ensure that activities occurring within Port, Port Landing Area and Marina Zones do not <b>have more than minor</b> adversely affect <b>effects on</b> water, air or soil quality <del>within or</del> beyond the zone boundary, by:</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited  | 67    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.3.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited  | 68    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.4.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited  | 69    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) to Policy 13.18.5:<br><i>Policy 13.18.5 Dredging for the maintenance of berths and identified navigation channels shall be recognised as an appropriate activity in Port and Marina Zones subject to standards to mitigate adverse effects, including those on navigational safety, water quality and aspects of the dredging operation, such as limits on the volume able to be dredged.</i><br><br>Alternatively, retain Policy 13.18.5 and delete Policy 13.13.9. |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited  | 70    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.6.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited  | 71    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.7.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited  | 72    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.8 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.8.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited  | 73    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13L      | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain all objectives, policies and methods which recognise and provide for the Lake Grassmere Salt Works.   |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 74    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.1 Permitted Activities.  |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 75    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain permitted activity standards in 13.2.1.   |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 76    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain standards under 13.3.   |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 77    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 13.4.1.  |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 78    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.2.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 13.4.2.  |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 79    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.3.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 13.4.3.  |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 80    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.5.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 13.4.5.  |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>1041</b>        | Port Clifford Limited  | 81    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.5.2.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 13.5.2:<br><i>Rule 13.5.2 Any activity carried out for the purpose of constructing a port or any port activity within the Port Zone at Clifford Bay.</i> |       |          |              |           |         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited   | 82    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions             | 25.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of <i>Port activities</i> .   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| 1041               | Port Clifford Limited   | 83    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions             | 25.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following definition (bold) of Regionally significant infrastructure:</p> <p><b><i>Regionally significant infrastructure is:</i></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b><i>Strategic land transport network and arterial roads</i></b></li> <li>2. <b><i>Infrastructure in Port Zones</i></b></li> <li>3. <b><i>Telecommunication facilities</i></b></li> <li>4. <b><i>National regional and local renewable electricity generation activities of any scale</i></b></li> <li>5. <b><i>The electricity transmission network</i></b></li> <li>6. <b><i>Sewage collection treatment and disposal networks</i></b></li> <li>7. <b><i>Community land drainage infrastructure</i></b></li> <li>8. <b><i>Community potable water systems</i></b></li> <li>9. <b><i>Established community-scale irrigation and stockwater infrastructure</i></b></li> <li>10. <b><i>Transport hubs</i></b></li> <li>11. <b><i>Bulk fuel supply infrastructure including terminals wharf lines and pipelines.</i></b></li> <li>12. <b><i>Electricity distribution network.</i></b></li> </ol> |       |          |                            |           |         |
| 468                | Port Gore Group   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.7.5  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| 468                | Port Gore Group   | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.11. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment (strike-through and bold):</p> <p><i>Standard 4.2.1.11 (a) a building or structure must not exceed <del>40</del><b>50</b>m<sup>2</sup>;</i></p>   |       |          |                            |           |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 468                | Port Gore Group   | 3     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include land on southern side of Port Gore to the sea, the ridge and eastern side of it between Puzzle Peak and Cape Lambert (and back to Hunia), the eastern side of the Alligator headland , all the waters of Waitui Bay and Port Gore except Melville Cove, all of East Bay and northern Arapawa Island as Outstanding Natural Character.<br>Melville Cove should be "very high". |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| 468                | Port Gore Group   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include land on southern side of Port Gore to the sea, the ridge and eastern side of it between Puzzle peak and Cape Lambert (and back to Hunia), the eastern side of the Alligator headland, all the waters of Waitui Bay and Port Gore except Melville Cove.<br><br>Melville Cove should be "very high".  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| 468                | Port Gore Group   | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include land on southern side of Port Gore to the sea, the ridge and eastern side of it between Puzzle peak and Cape Lambert (and back to Hunia), the eastern side of the Alligator headland , all the waters of Waitui Bay and Port Gore except Melville Cove, all of East Bay and northern Arapawa Island as Outstanding Natural Character. Melville Cove should be "very high".    |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| 468                | Port Gore Group   | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include all the waters of East Bay as ONFL.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| 468                | Port Gore Group   | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Steep Erosion Prone Land 1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Do proper on site assessment and redraw overlay.  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| 468                | Port Gore Group   | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Steep Erosion Prone Land 4  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                            | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Do proper on site assessment and redraw overlay.   |       |          |                                    |               |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 1     | All      | All                                |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | In summary PMNZ:<br>a) Opposes, opposes in part, supports and supports in part the MEP as set out in this submission and in greater detail (but without detracting from this submission in any way) in the specific relief sought in Tables 1, 2 and 3 and the amendments to the zone maps and overlay maps (refer Annexures A, B and C).  |       |          |                                    |               |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 2     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Where an application for resource consent or plan change is likely to affect the relationship of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi and their culture and traditions, decision makers shall <del>ensure</del> <u>have regard to potential impacts on:</u><br>(a) the ability for tangata whenua to exercise kaitiakitanga is maintained;<br>(b) mauri, is maintained or improved where degraded, particularly in relation to fresh and coastal waters, land and air;<br>(c) mahinga kai and natural resources used for customary purposes are maintained or enhanced and that these resources are healthy and accessible to tangata whenua;<br>(d) <del>for waterbodies, the elements of physical health to be assessed are:-</del><br>i. <del>aesthetic and sensory qualities, e.g. clarity, colour, natural character, smell and sustenance for indigenous flora and fauna;-</del><br>ii. <del>life-supporting capacity, ecosystem robustness and habitat richness;-</del><br>iii. <del>depth and velocity of flow (reflecting the life force of the river through its changing character, flows and fluctuations);-</del><br>iv. <del>continuity of flow from the sources of a river to its mouth at the sea;-</del><br>v. <del>wilderness and natural character; vi. productive capacity; and</del><br>vii. <del>fitness to support human use, including cultural uses.-</del><br>(d) <del>how traditional Maori uses and practices relating to natural and physical resources such as mahinga maataitai, waahi tapu, papakainga and taonga raranga are to be recognised and provided for.</del> |       |          |                                    |               |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 3     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3.M.2         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend method as follows:<br><br>...The Council must also have regard to the Statutory Acknowledgement relating to a statutory area when deciding whether the relevant trustees are affected persons in relation to an activity <del>within, adjacent to, or</del> directly affecting the statutory area and for which an application for resource consent is made. ....  |       |          |                                    |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain issue and discussion.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain objective.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows:<br/> Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following <u>regionally significant</u> infrastructure, <del>either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant:</del></p> <p>.....</p> <p>(i) Port of Picton, Shakespeare Bay and Havelock Harbour;<br/> (m) Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas</p> <p><del>This policy recognises the significance of the infrastructure existing or consented at the time that the MEP becomes operative.</del></p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 9     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete provision.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 10    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete objective.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 11    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete policy.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 12    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.3  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete policy.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 13    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete policy.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 14    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 15    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete explanation:<br><del>This objective meets the expectations of Section 6(a) of the RMA, which establishes that preservation of natural character is a matter of national importance.</del>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 16    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Policy 6.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><br>Avoid the adverse effects of <u>inappropriate</u> subdivision, use or development on areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character values and on lakes and rivers and their margins with high and very high natural character values.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 17    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Policy 6.2.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Avoid significant adverse effects of <u>inappropriate</u> subdivision, use or development on coastal natural character, having regard to the significance criteria in Appendix 4.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 18    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Policy 6.2.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><br>Where natural character is classified as high or very high, avoid, <u>remedy or mitigate</u> any <del>reduction in the degree of</del> <u>adverse effects</u> on the natural character of the coastal environment <del>or</del> <u>and</u> freshwater bodies.<br><br>Delete the explanation beneath this policy. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 19    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Policy 6.2.4  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | PMNZ seeks the removal of the Very High Coastal Natural Character Overlay from the Marina zone within Waikawa Bay and the area just beyond the Marina Zone, as shown in the plan attached to this submission in <b>Annexure B</b> .  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 20    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>Require land use activities <u>that do not have a functional or operational need to be located adjacent to such features</u> to be set back from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area in order to preserve natural character.  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 21    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.8  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>Require land use activities <u>that do not have a functional or operational need to be located adjacent to such features</u> to be set back from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area in order to preserve natural character.  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 22    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Objective 7.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the landscape overlay "Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape" to exclude those developed areas with urban zoning (such as the areas within this landscape that are zoned Port, Port Landing, Marina, Business 1, Urban Residential 2).<br><br>Also, remove the Outstanding Landscape Feature overlay from the Port zone, as depicted on the plan attached in <b>Annexure B</b> of this submission (refer submission table below). |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 23    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.1.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>Policy 7.1.2 – Define the boundaries of <u>significant landscapes with high amenity value landscapes</u> using the following methods: (a) land typing; (b) contour line; (c) contained landscape features; (d) visual catchment; and/or (e) land use <u>and zoning</u> .  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 24    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.1.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Exclude urban zoned areas (including Port, Port Landing and Marina) from the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape.   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 25    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Objective 7.2 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows or similar to like effect:<br>Protect outstanding natural features and landscapes from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and maintain and enhance those landscape features with high amenity value that contribute to the landscapes with high amenity value.   |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 26    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision but revise the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape to exclude urban zones including the Port, Port Landing and Marina Zones. If the primary relief is not accepted, make consequential changes to Policy 7.2.3 so that it does not apply to such areas.   |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 27    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy, and exclude urban zoned areas from the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape, as described earlier in this submission.  |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 28    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Policy 7.2.5<br>Avoid adverse effects on the values that contribute to outstanding natural features and landscapes in the first instance. Where adverse effects cannot be avoided and the activity is not proposed to take place in the coastal environment, ensure that the adverse effects are <u>adequately</u> remedied or <u>mitigated</u> . |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 29    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.6 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to clarify within the text of the policy that it does not apply to activities in the coastal environment.  |       |          |             |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|--------|
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 30    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows (divide into two parts):</p> <p>Protect the values of outstanding natural features and landscapes and avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the high amenity values of the Wairau Dry Hills and the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscapes by:</p> <p>(a) In respect of structures:</p> <p>(i) <u>in Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, for buildings and structures</u>, avoiding visual intrusion on <u>land based</u> skylines, particularly when viewed from public places;</p> <p>(ii) <u>in Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes</u> avoiding <u>significant visual effects of</u> new dwellings in close proximity to the foreshore;</p> <p>(b) In respect of land disturbance (including tracks and roads):</p> <p>(i) <del>avoiding extensive land disturbance activity that creates a long term change in the visual appearance of the landscape, particularly when viewed from public places;</del></p> |       |          |                           |              |        |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 31    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete policy.  |       |          |                           |              |        |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 32    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | 7.AER.1      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Marlborough's outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes <del>with visual amenity value</del> are protected from <del>degradation</del> <u>inappropriate subdivision, use or development</u>.</p>   |       |          |                           |              |        |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 33    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Provide classification acronyms.  |       |          |                           |              |        |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 34    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend text of Chapter 8 and/or the overlay titles to ensure consistent terminology is used.   |       |          |                           |              |        |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 35    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>Marlborough's <del>remaining areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna are biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments is protected.</del>  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 36    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete objective.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 37    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><del>Maintain, enhance or restore</del> <u>Appropriately manage</u> ecosystems....   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 38    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>(b) <del>avoiding significant adverse effects and avoiding, remedying or mitigating other adverse effects on where the areas, habitats or ecosystems are mapped as significant wetlands or ecologically significant marine sites mapped in the Marlborough Environment Plan; or</del><br>(c) <del>avoiding significant adverse effects and avoiding, remedying or mitigating other adverse effects where the areas, habitats or ecosystems are those set out in Policy 11(b) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010. or are not identified as significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</del><br><br>Amend the overlay maps in accordance with the maps contained in <b>Annexure B</b> of this submission. |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 39    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><del>Except for areas within the coastal waters of the Marlborough Sounds, where subdivision, use or development requires resource consent, the significant adverse effects on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value shall be:</del><br>(a) <del>avoided where it is a significant site in the context of Policy 8.1.1; and</del><br>(b) <del>avoided, remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1.</del>   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 40    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.5  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend to ensure there is no duplication of provisions in this policy with other chapters of the MEP, and that the issues are clear and concise.   |       |          |                                |               |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 41    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | 8.            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Include provisions to encourage the use of adaptive management techniques where there is uncertainty regarding adverse effects.   |       |          |                                |               |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 42    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.1  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>(c) coastal marine area <u>zoned Coastal Marine</u> , particularly in and near Picton, Waikawa and Havelock, Kaiuma Bay, Queen Charlotte Sound (including Tory Channel), Port Underwood, Kenepuru Sound, Mahau Sound, Mahikipawa Arm and Croisilles Harbour, Rarangi to the Wairau River mouth, Wairau Lagoons, Marfells Beach and Ward Beach; |       |          |                                |               |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 43    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy.  |       |          |                                |               |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 44    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.3  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy to exclude Port, Port Landing and Marina zones.  |       |          |                                |               |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 45    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                                |               |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 46    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Objective 9.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                                |               |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 47    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.2.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                                |               |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 48    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Policy 9.2.2   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 49    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend MEP to address PMNZ's concerns.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 50    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows (divide into two parts):</p> <p><u>Avoid adverse effects from inappropriate subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having:</u></p> <p><u>(a) Outstanding Coastal Natural Character</u></p> <p>Avoid, <u>remedy or mitigate</u> adverse effects from <u>inappropriate</u> subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having:</p> <p><del>—(a) —</del> <del>outstanding natural character;</del></p> <p><del>(b)</del> (a) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes;</p> <p><del>—(c)</del> (d) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; or</p> <p><del>(d)</del> (e) significant historic heritage value.</p> |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 51    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the provisions of the MEP to remove all duplication in objectives and policies.<br>Amend policy by deleting clause (g).   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 52    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 53    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete clauses (f) and (j) or amend the policy so that it does not affect / cut across activities in the Port, Port Landing and Marina zones.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 54    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.6  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete clauses (a) and (b).   |       |          |                                   |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 55    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.5          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>PMNZ routinely consults with the Harbourmaster for its developments and activities in the coastal environment, where an activity has potential effects on navigational safety. However, PMNZ considers it overly onerous for the Harbourmaster to be an affected party on all resource consent applications in the CMA.</p> <p>The determination of affected parties should be carried out on a case by case basis under s95E of the RMA.</p> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 56    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.4   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows:<br/> Ensure recreational use has priority over commercial activities that require occupation of the coastal marine area in Queen Charlotte Sound, including Tory Channel. (This policy does not apply to areas zoned Port or Marina <u>or the area within the National Transportation Route overlay.</u>)</p>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 57    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.10 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows:<br/> Objective 13.10<br/> <u>Outside of the Port, Port Landing and Marina zones</u>, structures in the coastal environment including jetties, boatsheds, decking, slipways, launching ramps, retaining walls, coastal protection structures, pipelines, cables and/or other buildings or structures are appropriately located and within appropriate forms and limits to protect the values of the coastal environment.</p> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 58    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>(c) the works are for the operational needs of ports <u>and development</u> within Port Zones or for the operational needs of marinas <u>and the development of marinas</u> within Marina Zones, <del>where they are consistent with other relevant policies of the Marlborough Environment Plan</del></p>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 59    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows:<br/> Where practicable for the purpose of public access, an esplanade reserve or strip shall be required to be set aside on <u>new</u> reclaimed areas of the coastal marine area.</p>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 60    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.1  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>Enable water transportation activities where <del>these do not have an</del> adverse effect on the coastal environment <u>are avoided, remedied or mitigated.</u>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 61    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.2   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 62    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>Ensure the following <del>existing</del> ports, marinas and community/commercial jetties/landing areas continue to provide links between land transport modes and water transport to the Marlborough Sounds and beyond:<br>(a) ports of Picton, <u>Shakespeare Bay</u>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 63    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 64    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 65    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13J       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>Issue 13J – It is important that Marlborough’s existing ports, port landing areas and marinas continue to contribute to community economic and social wellbeing.<br><br>The ports and marinas at Havelock, Waikawa and Picton ( <del>as they exist or as they have been approved at the time the MEP becomes operative</del> ) have been identified as regionally significant infrastructure in Chapter 4 - Use of Natural and Physical Resources. This reflects the function of the strategic integration of infrastructure with land use given to the Council in Section 30 of the RMA. |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 66    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.17 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy and amend zoning as set out on the plans contained in Annexure B of this submission.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 67    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.1  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain policy  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 68    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Recognise and provide for the <u>permitted activities in the Port Zones, including</u> the following operational requirements of Port Zones in Picton and Havelock:</p> <p>(b) loading and unloading of ships, cargo handling, storage of cargo and <del>some</del> processing of cargo;</p> <p>...</p> <p>(d) <u>Port Engineering</u>, ship building, repair and maintenance;</p> <p>...</p> <p>(j) <u>Port Activities</u>;</p> <p>(k) <u>Industrial Activities related to Port Activities</u>.</p> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 69    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend policy as follows:</p> <p>Recognise and provide for the <u>permitted activities in the Marina Zones, including the</u> following operational requirements of Marina Zones in Picton, Havelock and Waikawa:</p> <p>...</p> <p>(h) maintenance dredging of navigation channels, turning basins and berths for the purposes of safe berthage and manoeuvring of <del>commercial</del> vessels;</p>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 70    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend policy as follows:</p> <p>Recognise and provide for the <u>permitted activities in the Port Landing Zones, including the</u> following operational requirements of Port Landing Area Zones at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay:</p>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 71    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Activities not <u>provided for as permitted activities or not</u> recognised as having an operational requirement (as identified in Policies 13.17.3 to 13.17.5) that are to be located in the Port, Port Landing Area or Marina Zones must be assessed through a resource consent process to ensure that the efficiency and safety of the port/port landing area/marina is not compromised.</p>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 72    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.8 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain this policy.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 73    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy as follows:<br>Where an activity <del>not related to operational requirements is proposed</del> <u>provided for as a permitted activity</u> in the Havelock Port Zone, then decision makers must take into account the following matters:  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 74    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>Restrictions on public access to and within port areas may be appropriate to maintain public health; <u>and</u> safety and <u>to provide</u> security <u>of this infrastructure</u> .  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 75    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.11 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain this provision.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 76    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>Ensure the <u>activities, and</u> intensity, character and scale of development and operation of Port, Port Landing Area and Marina Zones is appropriate in relation to the values of the coastal environment in these locations.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 77    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>Ensure that activities occurring within Port, Port Landing Area and Marina Zones <u>manages</u> <del>adversely affect</del> <u>effects on</u> water, air or soil quality within or beyond the zone boundary, by: ....<br><br>And amend explanation:<br>This policy seeks to ensure that port and marina operations <u>appropriately manage potential</u> <del>do not have an</del> adverse effect on water air and spoil resources.... |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 78    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.18.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to acknowledge that worker accommodation is provided for in these zones.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 79    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.18.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this policy.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 80    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.18.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this policy.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 81    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.18.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain this policy.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 82    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.18.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete reference to policy 13.11.9.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 83    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows<br>d) <u>Significant Wetlands</u> : <del>protection management</del> of aquatic ecosystems and the potential for food gathering. |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 84    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.16.4.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision 2.16.4.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 85    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.16.5.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision 2.16.5.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 86    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include provisions to provide for the discharge of contaminants to water.   |       |          |  |                |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 87           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.17.4.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions. (2.17.4 and 2.17.4.1.)  |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 88           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.17.5.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions. (2.17.5, 2.17.5.1-2.17.5.6.)  |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 89           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.31.1.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.  |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 90           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.32.1.1.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.32.1.1 to include the specific parking requirements for port and marina activities, as set out in Rules 33.1.1.3 (that relates to Port activities) and 34.1.1.2 (that relates to Marina activities) of the operative Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan. |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 91           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.32.1.7.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Delete rule, or include performance standards to manage effects of a large car parking area, such as landscaping.  |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 92           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.34.8.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.  |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 93           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.35.1.5.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend these provisions to exempt them from applying in the Port Zone.  |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 94           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.35.1.11.       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend these provisions to exempt them from applying in the Port Zone.  |              |               |                 |                  |             |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 95           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.36.2.4.        | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><u>Except for in the Port Zone</u> , the illumination must not result in greater than 10 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical) of light onto any adjoining property within the zone, measured 2m inside the boundary of any adjoining property.   |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 96    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.36.7.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>2.36.7.1 the maximum total area of a sign on any site (not including any that are painted or fixed directly onto a building that do not alter the existing profile of the building) must not exceed 6m <sup>2</sup> on any land zoned Business 1, Industrial 1, Industrial 2, Port, Port Landing Area, Marina, Lake Grassmere Salt Works or Airport.<br><br>Include a new rule to allow more than one sign is permitted on any site in the Port zone (and exempt Port Zone from Rule 2.35.1.2). |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 97    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.36.7.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>2.36.7.1 the maximum total area of a sign on any site (not including any that are painted or fixed directly onto a building that do not alter the existing profile of the building) must not exceed 6m <sup>2</sup> on any land zoned Business 1, Industrial 1, Industrial 2, Port, Port Landing Area, Marina, Lake Grassmere Salt Works or Airport.<br><br>Include a new rule to allow more than one sign is permitted on any site in the Port zone (and exempt Port Zone from Rule 2.35.1.2). |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 98    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone    | 13.1.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new permitted activity rule in 13.1:<br>13.1.X Port Activities  |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 99    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone    | 13.1.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include a new permitted activity rule for Industrial Activities related to Port Activities, and enable retail ancillary to or associated with the industrial use.  |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 100   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone    | 13.1.1.   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 13.1.1 to include:<br>13.1.1 Shipping activities, as follows: movement and other activities associated with the berthing and departure of ships, ship anchorage, ship docking and berthage, and mooring activities (except swing moorings). <u>and the placement and use of service lines to ships.</u> |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 101   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.3.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain this rule.  |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 102   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.4.   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | 13.1.4 Processing of cargo ( <del>except wood or forestry</del> ) that is <del>delivered</del> <u>transported</u> by ship to <u>or from</u> the Port for processing or use.  |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 103   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.15.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>Maintenance, repair or replacement <u>and use</u> of a building or structure in the coastal marine area, and <u>the construction, use, maintenance, repair or replacement of buildings and structures on existing wharves.</u>  |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 104   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.14.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>13.1.14 Living Accommodation for port staff and contractors<br>Standards:<br>13.3.5.1 The accommodation must be on-site and ancillary to the operation of the port.<br>13.3.5.2 The accommodation must only be provided to employees and contractors of the operator of the port.             |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 105   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.5.1.   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>13.1.14 Living Accommodation for port staff and contractors<br>Standards:<br>13.3.5.1 The accommodation must be on-site and ancillary to the operation of the port.<br>13.3.5.2 The accommodation must only be provided to employees and contractors of the operator of the port.             |       |          |              |           |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 106   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.5.2.   | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>13.1.14 Living Accommodation for port staff and contractors<br>Standards:<br>13.3.5.1 The accommodation must be on-site and ancillary to the operation of the port.<br>13.3.5.2 The accommodation must only be provided to employees and contractors of the operator of the port.                      |       |          |              |            |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 107   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.12.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule.  |       |          |              |            |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 108   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.22.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule.  |       |          |              |            |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 109   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.11.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule.  |       |          |              |            |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 110   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.45.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule.  |       |          |              |            |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 111   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.1.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>13.2.1.2. The maximum height of silos existing at <del>9 June 2016 and</del> located on Lot 1 DP 4166, Lot 1 DP 7579 and Lot 1 DP 4973 must not exceed 35m.<br>13.2.1.4. <u>Except as provided for in Rule 13.2.1.1,</u> the maximum height of a building or structure on a wharf must not exceed 10m. |       |          |              |            |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 112   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.1.4.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>13.2.1.2. The maximum height of silos existing at <del>9 June 2016 and</del>   |       |          |              |            |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 113   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.3.    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend provisions as required to ensure that it provides an appropriate framework for noise management for activities in the Port Zone.</p> <p>In addition, the following amendments are sought:</p> <p>13.2.3.1. For port operations in Picton and Shakespeare Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <p>...</p> <p>13.2.3.2. For port operations in Havelock, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <p>Location - At any point on land at, or beyond, the Outer Noise Control Boundary.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Amend rules to include "dB" where this is missing, i.e.: 65 dB LAeq (15 min)</p> |       |          |              |           |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 114   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.3.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend provisions as required to ensure that it provides an appropriate framework for noise management for activities in the Port Zone.</p> <p>In addition, the following amendments are sought:</p> <p>13.2.3.1. For port operations in Picton and Shakespeare Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <p>...</p> <p>13.2.3.2. For port operations in Havelock, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <p>Location - At any point on land at, or beyond, the Outer Noise Control Boundary.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Amend rules to include "dB" where this is missing, i.e.: 65 dB LAeq (15 min)</p> |       |          |              |           |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 115   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.3.2. | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend provisions as required to ensure that it provides an appropriate framework for noise management for activities in the Port Zone.<br><br>In addition, the following amendments are sought:<br>13.2.3.1. For port operations in Picton and Shakespeare Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:<br>...<br>13.2.3.2. For port operations in Havelock, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:<br>Location - At any point on land at, or beyond, the Outer Noise Control Boundary.<br>...<br>Amend rules to include "dB" where this is missing, i.e.: 65 dB LAeq (15 min) |       |          |              |            |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 116   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.4.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend provision as follows, or similar to address the submission:<br>13.2.4.1<br><u>Any</u> new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.   |       |          |              |            |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 117   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.4.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend provision as follows, or similar to address the submission:<br>13.2.4.2.<br><del>Such insulation must be certified by an acoustic engineer as adequate to achieve the design standard.</del><br><u>Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</u>                          |       |          |              |            |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 118   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.6.3.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>13.2.6.3. Stored waste must be stored in a covered container, structure or building.   |       |          |              |            |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 119   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.10.3. | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>13.2.10.3 Dust particles must not exceed <del>0.05mm</del> <u>0.5mm</u> size in any direction.  |       |          |              |            |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 120   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.1.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | 13.3.1. Processing of cargo (except wood or forestry) that is delivered transported by a ship to or from a port for processing.  |       |          |              |            |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 121   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.4.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete clause 13.3.4.1.  |       |          |              |            |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 122   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.13.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions. (under 13.3.13.)  |       |          |              |            |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 123   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.14.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions. (rule 13.3.14 and associated standards.)  |       |          |              |            |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 124   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.18.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Define 'commercial clean fill' and provide robust justification for not allowing commercial clean fill to be used for filling activity. Alternatively, delete standard 13.3.18.1.                |       |          |              |            |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 125   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.20.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>13.3.20.3 Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur on land above mean high water springs that is within <del>20m</del> of an Ecologically Significant Marine Site. |       |          |              |            |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 126   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.20.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause 13.3.20.4 to exempt the Port zone from this clause.   |       |          |              |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 127   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend this chapter to ensure the activities taking place at Havelock are provided for. This may also include amendments to the zoning at Havelock.  |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 128   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>13.4.1. Construction and use of a building or structure (including the extension of an existing building or structure) in the coastal marine area and associated occupancy of the coastal marine area. |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 129   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>13.4.1.1 The building or structure (or extension thereof) must be necessary for the operational requirements of the port or be necessary for activities permitted in the Port Zone                     |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 130   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new assessment matter:<br>Social, economic, cultural, and in Havelock, recreational benefits resulting from the activity.  |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 131   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>13.4.2.1 The potential for reverse sensitivity effects on existing <u>and/or</u> permitted activities within the Port Zone.  |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 132   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.3.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule as follows:<br>13.4.3 Disturbance of the foreshore and seabed associated with Rule 13.4.1 <u>and 13.4.2.</u>   |       |          |              |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 133   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.3.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 13.4.3.1.   |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 134   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.3.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend matters of discretion to include:<br>13.4.3.2 Material must not be deposited within the coastal marine area <u>other than the material being disturbed</u> .                              |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 135   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.3.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend matters of discretion to include:<br>13.4.3.9 Benefits likely to arise from use of the activity. (Add to standard - inferred)   |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 136   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.4.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions. (13.4.4, 13.4.4.1 - 13.4.4.3)  |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 137   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.5.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>13.4.5.7 Effects on <del>the integrity of any</del> <u>significant</u> terrestrial or marine ecosystems.   |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 138   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.5.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>13.4.5.9 Restrictions on public access during the <del>operation</del> <u>construction activities</u> .  |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 139   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.5.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Insert new rule:<br><u>Any occupation of the coastal marine environment not provided for as a Permitted Activity or Restricted Discretionary Activity, or limited as a Prohibited Activity.</u> |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 140   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.6.3.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 13.6.3:<br>13.6.3. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the <u>combustion</u> of any of the following materials: ...  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 141   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.6.7.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 13.6.7:<br>13.6.7. New noise sensitive activity <u>or alteration or addition to an existing building that will be used for a noise sensitive activity</u> within the mapped Inner <u>Noise</u> Control Boundary at the port of Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock.   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 142   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions            | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend definition of Noise Sensitive Activity as follows:<br><br>Noise sensitive activity means any use of land and/or buildings that is likely to be susceptible to the effects of noise emitted from nearby land uses in the course of their legitimate operation and functioning. Examples include dwellings, visitor accommodation, hospitals, health care and medical centres, residential care housing, educational institutions, structures for the purpose of, or activities involving public assembly. <u>This definition excludes those activities that are permitted, controlled or restricted discretionary activities in the Port and Port Landing zones, and at Havelock also includes those activities that are permitted in the Marina Zone.</u> |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 143   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.1.1.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 144   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.1.3.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 145   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.1.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new permitted activity rule, and associated performance standards, to provide for the manual scraping and wet sanding of anti-foul paint for the purpose of removal, and any associated discharge to air.  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 146   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.1.15.  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>Maintenance, repair or replacement and use of a building or structure in the coastal marine area, and the construction, use, maintenance, repair or replacement of buildings and structures on existing wharves.  |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 147   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.1.     | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new rule to provide for indigenous vegetation clearance in the Port Landing zones. Port Zone Rule 13.1.13 and Standard 13.3.20 could be included in the Port Landing Zone provisions, along with the amendments sought by PMNZ to this standard (refer page 19 of this submission table).   |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 148   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.1.     | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new rule to provide for dredging in the Port Landing zones:<br>XXX. Dredging, and associated disturbance to the foreshore and seabed, within the Port Landing Zone.<br>Standards<br>XXX. No more than 1,000m <sup>3</sup> of foreshore and seabed material, including but not limited to sand, shell or shingle (except live vegetation), must be removed by any person within a calendar year.<br>XXX. The purpose of the dredging must be to maintain the water depth level of a navigational channel.<br>XXX. At least 10 working days prior to the commencement of dredging activity, Maritime New Zealand and the Council must be advised in writing of the nature and duration of the intended works.<br>XXX. The depth of any seabed disturbance must be limited to the amount necessary to maintain water depth levels.<br>XXX. Dredged material must not be deposited within the coastal marine area.<br>XXX. The activity must not adversely affect navigational safety.<br>XXX. There must be no contaminants released from equipment being used for the activity. |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 149   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.2.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions under 14.2.1.  |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 150   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.2.3.1. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend provision as required to ensure that it provides an appropriate framework for noise management for activities in the Port Landing Zone, including the following amendment:<br><br>14.2.3.1. An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured <del>at</del> <u>beyond</u> the boundary of, <del>or within</del> , the Port Landing Area Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:  |       |          |                           |           |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 151   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.2.4.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>14.2.4.1 Light spill onto any land zoned Coastal Living must not exceed <del>2-5</del> <u>10</u> Lux spoil (horizontal and vertical).  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 152   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.9.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include a definition for "commercial clean fill".   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 153   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.4.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Insert new rule:<br><u>Any occupation of the coastal marine environment not provided for as a Permitted Activity or Restricted Discretionary Activity, or limited as a Prohibited Activity.</u>                 |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 154   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.1.1.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 155   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.1.12.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>Maintenance, repair or replacement <u>and use</u> of a building or structure in the coastal marine area.   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 156   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.1.3.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>15.1.3 <u>Commercial activities related to marina activities, including but not limited to:</u> Commercial ship brokering, charter boat hire services, chandlery and sail making services. |       |          |                           |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 157   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.1.23.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule as follows:<br>Dredging and associated disturbance to the foreshore and seabed, to maintain water depth levels in and around berths and the mouth of <u>entrance</u> to the marina, as necessary for ship berthage, manoeuvring and transit.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 158   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.1.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new rule for indigenous vegetation clearance as a permitted activity, and corresponding specific standard, such as Standard 13.3.20, with the exception that the amendment sought to standard 13.3.20.3 also apply to the Marina zone.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 159   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.2.1.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions listed under 15.2.1.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 160   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.2.3.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend provision as required to ensure that it provides an appropriate framework for noise management for activities in the Marina Zone, including, but not limited to the following amendment:<br><br>15.2.3.1. An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at <u>beyond</u> the boundary of, <del>or within</del> , the Zone does not exceed the following limits: |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 161   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.2.4.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause 15.2.4.2 to require the measurement of light spill to be 2m inside of the adjoining zone boundary.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 162   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.2.5.3. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>15.2.5.3. Stored waste must be stored in a covered container, <u>structure or building</u> .  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 163   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.2.1. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>15.3.2.1. The activity must not involve any abrasive blasting <u>within the coastal marine area</u> .   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 164   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.3.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete clause 15.3.3.1.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 165   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.4.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>15.3.3 Living accommodation facility for marina staff <u>or contractors</u> .   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 166   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.4.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br><del>15.3.4.1 The accommodation must be on-site and ancillary to the operation of the marina.</del>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 167   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.4.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>15.3.4 .21 The accommodation must only be provided to employees <u>or contractors</u> of the operator of the marina.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 168   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.5.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>15.3.5.2. There must be no <u>more than 5%</u> increase in the height, size or scale of a building or structure.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 169   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.13.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend provision as follows:<br>Dredging and associated disturbance to the foreshore and seabed, to maintain water depth levels in and around berths and the <del>mouth of</del> <u>entrance</u> to the marinas, as necessary for ship berthage, manoeuvring and transit. |       |          |                |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|------------|--------|
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 170   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.17.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Define 'commercial clean fill' and provide robust justification for not allowing commercial clean fill to be used for filling activity. In the alternatively, delete Standard 15.3.17.1.  |       |          |                |            |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 171   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new rule to provide for indigenous vegetation clearance in the Marina zone. Port zone Rule 13.1.13 and Standard 13.3.20 could be included in the Marina zone provisions, along with the amendments sought by PMNZ to this standard (refer page 19 of this submission table).           |       |          |                |            |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 172   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.5.1.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows:<br>Standards and Terms<br>15.5.1.1 The structure (or extension thereof) is necessary for or <u>ancillary to</u> the operational requirements of the marina <u>or an activity permitted in the Marina Zone</u> .  |       |          |                |            |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 173   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.5.1.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows:<br>Standards and Terms<br>15.5.1.2 <del>The building or structure (or extension thereof) must not be located</del> In that part of the Marina Zone in Waikawa Bay identified in Appendix 10, <u>this rule only applies to the extension of an existing building.</u> |       |          |                |            |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 174   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.5.1.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows:<br>Standards and Terms<br>Include an additional matter of discretion:<br>Social, economic, cultural or recreational benefits resulting from the activity.  |       |          |                |            |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 175   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.5.2.    | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>Disturbance of the foreshore and seabed associated with Rule 15.5.1, including the removal of sand, shell, shingle or other natural material, required as part of the construction and use of a building or structure that is necessary for the operation of the marina <u>or an activity permitted in the Marina Zone.</u>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 176   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.5.2.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include an additional matter of discretion:<br><u>Social, economic, cultural or recreational benefits resulting from the activity.</u>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 177   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.5.3.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>15.5.3.1 The reclamation must be necessary for the operation of the marina, <u>or an activity permitted in the Marina Zone.</u>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 178   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.3.3. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>15.5.3.3. <del>The reclamation of the foreshore or seabed must not be located in that part of the Marina Zone in Waikawa Bay identified in Appendix 10.</del>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 179   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.5.3.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include an additional matter of discretion:<br><u>Social, economic, cultural or recreational benefits resulting from the activity.</u>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 180   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.5.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new restricted discretionary rule as follows:<br><u>XXX Discharge of contaminants in the coastal marine area as part of the construction of a structure necessary for or ancillary to activities permitted in the Marina Zone.</u><br><u>Matters over which Council will exercise discretion:</u><br><u>4.1 Effects on quality of water in receiving environment.</u><br><u>4.2 The quantity and nature of the discharge.</u> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 181   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.6.     | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Include a new rule for occupation of the CMA as follows:<br>xx <u>Any occupation of the coastal marine area not provided for as a permitted or restricted discretionary activity.</u> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 182   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.5.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 183   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.6.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 184   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.7.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 185   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.8.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 186   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.1.1. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 187   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.1.2. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 188   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.1.3. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 189   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.1.9. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 190   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.3.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend provision as follows:<br>19.3.3.3<br>(b) On land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Sites.                                    |       |          |                        |            |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 191   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone   | 19.3.5.3.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                        |            |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 192   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone   | 19.3.5.9.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                        |            |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 193   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone   | 19.3.5.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                        |            |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 194   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone   | 19.3.6.1.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Define 'commercial clean fill' and provide robust justification for not allowing commercial clean fill to be used for filling activity. In the alternatively, delete Standard 19.3.6.1. |       |          |                        |            |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 195   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.1.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions.  |       |          |                        |            |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 196   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.1.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions.  |       |          |                        |            |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 197   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.1.2.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                        |            |         |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 198   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.4.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                        |            |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|---------------|---------|
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 199   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.3.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions.  |       |          |                        |               |         |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 200   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.5.1.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                        |               |         |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 201   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.6.2.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision.   |       |          |                        |               |         |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 202   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.         | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Include a new non-complying activity rule:<br><u>xx. The construction of marinas within the Coastal Marine Zone in that part of the Coastal Marine zone defined as "Waikawa Bay" is a non-complying activity.</u>   |       |          |                        |               |         |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 203   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions         | 25.           | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend definition to enable retail activities associated with and / or ancillary to the port engineering activity.   |       |          |                        |               |         |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 204   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps            | Zoning Map 41 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Zone areas of Urban Residential 2 Zone and Open Space 3 to Marina Zone, in accordance with the Plan attached in Annexure B of this submission.  |       |          |                        |               |         |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 205   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps            | Zoning Map 41 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Zone areas of Coastal Marine Zone to Marina Zone, in general accordance with the Plan attached in Annexure B of this submission (noting that the Marina Zone will need to extend 20m beyond the visible structures of the marina and this may vary from the indicative area shown on the plan attached in Annexure B), in order to reflect the Plan Change 21 outcomes and to ensure that the Marina Zone boundary enables work on and beneath the marina structures. |       |          |                        |               |         |
| 433                | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 206   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps            | Zoning Map 43 | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Zone areas of Coastal Marine Zone to Marina Zone, in general accordance with the Plan attached in Annexure B of this submission (noting that the Marina Zone will need to extend 20m beyond the visible structures of the marina and this may vary from the indicative area shown on the plan attached in Annexure B), in order to reflect the Plan Change 21 outcomes and to ensure that the Marina Zone boundary enables work on and beneath the marina structures. |       |          |              |                             |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 207   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 35               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Zone area of Open Space One Zone to Marina Zone, in accordance with the Plan attached in Annexure B of this submission.   |       |          |              |                             |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 208   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 65               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Zone small area of Coastal Marine Zone to Port Landing Zone, in accordance with the Plan attached in Annexure B of this submission.   |       |          |              |                             |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 209   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 36               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Zone the narrow strip of Open Space 3 Zone which separates the Port Zone from the Coastal Environment Zone to Port Zone.  |       |          |              |                             |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 210   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 36               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundary of wetland W991 to align with the boundary of the existing Conservation Covenant for the Shakespeare Bay Salt Marsh, as depicted in the map in Annexure C.   |       |          |              |                             |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 211   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the Very High Coastal Natural Character Overlay within part of Waikawa Bay in accordance with the Plan attached in Annexure B of this submission.  |       |          |              |                             |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 212   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the Very High Coastal Natural Character Overlay from the Port Landing Zone at Elaine Bay.  |       |          |              |                             |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b>                         | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|--|-------------|
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 213          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 13 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Revise the boundary of the Ecologically Significant Marine Site 4.10 as required to reflect the actual extent of this ecological feature.   |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 214          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 12 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundary of the Ecologically Significant Marine Site overlay to be in line with the CMA edge of the reclamation.  |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 215          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 4                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundaries of the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape at Kaipupu Point in accordance with the Plan attached in Annexure B of this submission.   |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 216          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 5                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundaries of the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape at Kaipupu Point in accordance with the Plan attached in Annexure B of this submission.   |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 217          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 1                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend the landscape overlay "Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape" to exclude those developed areas with urban zoning (such as the areas within this landscape that are zoned Port, Port Landing, Marina, Business 1, Urban Residential 2). |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 218          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 2                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend the landscape overlay "Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape" to exclude those developed areas with urban zoning (such as the areas within this landscape that are zoned Port, Port Landing, Marina, Business 1, Urban Residential 2). |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 219          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 3                             | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                  | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the landscape overlay "Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape" to exclude those developed areas with urban zoning (such as the areas within this landscape that are zoned Port, Port Landing, Marina, Business 1, Urban Residential 2). |       |          |              |                            |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 220   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the landscape overlay "Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape" to exclude those developed areas with urban zoning (such as the areas within this landscape that are zoned Port, Port Landing, Marina, Business 1, Urban Residential 2). |       |          |              |                            |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 221   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the landscape overlay "Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape" to exclude those developed areas with urban zoning (such as the areas within this landscape that are zoned Port, Port Landing, Marina, Business 1, Urban Residential 2). |       |          |              |                            |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 222   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundaries of the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape overlay boundary to be in line with the CMA edge of the reclamation.  |       |          |              |                            |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 223   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Noise Control Boundaries 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the outer noise boundary line at Shakespeare Bay to an appropriate location beyond the Port Zone.   |       |          |              |                            |        |
| <b>433</b>         | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 224   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Noise Control Boundaries 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundaries of the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries overlays to be in line with the CMA edge of the reclamation.   |       |          |              |                            |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1284               | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 1     | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone   | 13.2.3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 13.2.3.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 13.2.3.1. <del>For port operations i</del>In Picton and Shakespeare Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise <del>when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone</del> does not exceed the following noise limits:</i></p>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1284               | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 2     | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone   | 13.2.3.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 13.2.3.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 13.2.3.2. <del>For port operations i</del>In Havelock, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise <del>when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone</del> does not exceed the following noise limits:</i></p> <p><i>Location</i></p> <p><i>At any point on land <del>at, or beyond,</del></i></p> <p><i>the Outer Noise Control Boundary.</i></p>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1284               | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 3     | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone   | 13.2.4.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 13.2.4.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 13.2.4.1 <b>Any</b> new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock <b>shall be</b> <del>are</del> adequately insulated from port noise.</i></p>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1284               | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 4     | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone   | 13.2.4.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 13.2.4.2:</p> <p><i><del>13.2.4.2. Such insulation must be certified by an acoustic engineer as adequate to achieve the design standard. <b>Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</b></del></i></p> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1284               | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 5     | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.2.     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new heading and standards are added to 15.2.</p> <p><b>15.2.x. Noise sensitive activity.</b></p> <p><b>15.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</b></p> <p><b>15.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</b></p> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1284</b>        | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 6     | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.2.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new heading and standards are added to 18.2:</p> <p><b>18.2.x. Noise sensitive activity.</b></p> <p><b>18.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</b></p> <p><b>18.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</b></p> |       |          |                      |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1284               | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 7     | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone        | 19.2.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new heading and standards are added to 19.2:</p> <p><b>19.2.x. Noise sensitive activity.</b><br/> <b>19.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</b><br/> <b>19.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</b></p>                           |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 1284               | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 8     | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new heading and standards are added to 12.2 for the Industrial 1 Zone:</p> <p><b>12.2.x. Noise sensitive activity.</b><br/> <b>12.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</b><br/> <b>12.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</b></p> |       |          |                             |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1284               | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 9     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new heading and standards are added to 5.2 for the Urban Residential 2 Zone:</p> <p><b>5.2.x. Noise sensitive activity.</b><br/> <b>5.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</b><br/> <b>5.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</b></p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 1284               | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited  | 10    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.2.3.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new standards are included under 4.2.3 Noise sensitive activity:</p> <p><b>4.2.3.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</b><br/> <b>4.2.3.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</b></p>  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1284               | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 11    | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone       | 21.2.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new heading and standards are added to 21.2:</p> <p><b>21.2.x. Noise sensitive activity.</b><br/> <b>21.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</b><br/> <b>21.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</b></p> |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 1284               | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 12    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone      | 9.2.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new heading and standards are added to 9.2:</p> <p><b>9.2.x. Noise sensitive activity.</b><br/> <b>9.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</b><br/> <b>9.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</b></p>     |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 1284               | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 13    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new heading and standards are added to 16.2:</p> <p><b>16.2.x. Noise sensitive activity.</b><br/> <b>16.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</b><br/> <b>16.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</b></p> |       |          |                        |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------------------|-----------------|
| 1284               | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 14    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Noise Control Boundaries 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>PMNZ seeks the noise control boundaries be amended to reflect Figures 4E in Annexure A (attached to the submission), as prepared by Marshall Day Acoustics.</p> <p>No properties not owned by PMNZ would be affected by the recommended change.</p>   |       |          |   |                            |                 |
| 1284               | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited   | 15    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Noise Control Boundaries 2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>PMNZ seeks the noise control boundaries be amended to reflect Figure 2E in Annexure A (attached to submission), as prepared by Marshall Day Acoustics.</p>  |       |          |   |                            |                 |
| 1042               | Port Underwood Association   | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the 4th Paragraph of the policy as follows (strike through and bold):</p> <p><b><i>There may arise instances when activities carried out on private land create effects that carry beyond the property boundaries and adversely affect other people and environments. In such instances</i></b> <del>At times it may be necessary for wider public interest considerations to prevail over individual expectations and land use may need to be controlled. In these circumstances, compensation to the land owner is not payable under Section 85 of the RMA. The same section also provides the land user with the ability to challenge any provision of a plan on the grounds that the provision would render their land incapable of reasonable use. Section 86 of the RMA empowers the Council to acquire land with the agreement of the landowner and pay compensation for it.</del></p>   |       |          |   |                            |                 |
| 1042               | Port Underwood Association   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 1st Paragraph of Policy as follows (strike through and bold):</p> <p><i>May uses of coastal space, river beds, air and water resources are prohibited unless allowed by a rule in a regional plan or by resource consent (see Section 12 to 15 of the RMA). As a principle, the Council will continue to enable access to natural resources where the subsequent use of those resources has no more than minor adverse effect on the immediate or surrounding environment. This will be achieved through the use of permitted activity rules, including conditions where appropriate, avoiding the need for resource consent. Where the adverse effects are considered more than minor or where there is potential for cumulative effects, the resource consents will be required. Policies throughout the MEP help define sustainable resource use. <b>To ensure natural resource sustainability long-term resource consents (over 20 years) should not be granted in public spaces.</b></i></p> |       |          |   |                            |                 |
| 1042               | Port Underwood Association   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.5               | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy as follows (strike through and bold):<br><br><i>As described in the issue above, the Marlborough Sounds has already undergone considerable change as a result of the past use of natural and physical resources, the most dramatic possibly being the clearance of indigenous vegetation to allow agriculture to occur and, as agriculture has become economically marginal, the regeneration of indigenous vegetation. As a principle, it is important to recognise that the Marlborough Sounds environment is dynamic and will continue to change with or without human intervention. This means there is a capacity to absorb change within the environment without necessarily affecting the qualities of this unique and iconic environment. Indeed, some changes may actually enhance the qualities and improve the Marlborough Sounds environment. Regard should be had to this policy when considering new and existing activities involving the use, development and protection of the Marlborough Sounds environment. <b>And in recognition of this ability to change, there needs to be caution in assigning long term resource consents.</b></i> |       |          |                                   |              |                 |
| <b>1042</b>        | Port Underwood Association  | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                       | Policy 7.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy as follows (bold and strike through):<br><br><i>Policy 7.2.3 - Control activities that have the potential to degrade the amenity values that contribute to those areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape not identified as being an outstanding natural feature and landscape by:<br/>(a) using a non-regulatory approach as the means of maintaining and enhancing landscape values in areas of this landscape zoned as Coastal Living;<br/>(b) setting standards/conditions that are consistent with the existing landscape values and that will require greater assessment where proposed activities and structures exceed those standards; and<br/>(c) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities <b>including re-establishment after harvest.</b></i>  |       |          |                                   |              |                 |
| <b>1042</b>        | Port Underwood Association  | 5     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity         | Issue 8A     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 8A, Marine Environments, Paragraph 4 as follows (bold and strike through):<br><br><i>The condition and state of marine biodiversity can be affected by land or water based activities. Adverse impacts can arise from sedimentation, contamination, and habitat disturbance, <b>and changes in water flow.</b> Effects can be temporary, but in particular circumstances can result in permanent loss or damage. Long term or cumulative smaller scale, localised effects from impacts such as contamination and physical disturbance can also have significant effects on the functioning of marine systems <b>including nutrient, phytoplankton and zooplankton depletion.</b> Many activities, such as recreational swimming, do not affect or have an impact on marine biodiversity; however, other activities, including shipping (especially large and/or fast ships), reclamations or other coastal structures, marine farming and physical disturbance from certain fishing techniques can affect marine biodiversity.</i>  |       |          |                                   |              |                 |
| <b>1042</b>        | Port Underwood Association  | 6     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.          | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the introduction as follows (bold and strike through):<br><br><i>The waters of the Marlborough sounds are important for fisheries for a number of reasons, including:</i><br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>an ongoing source of traditional food for Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i></li> <li>• <b>an on going source of food for full time and part time residents;</b></li> <li>• <i>providing a livelihood for commercial fishers;</i></li> <li>• <i>being a significant factor in many recreational and tourism activities; and</i></li> <li>• <i>contributing to a range of species present in the sounds and therefore the health of marine ecosystems.</i></li> </ul>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1042</b>        | Port Underwood Association   | 7     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.15 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy as follows (bold and strike through):<br><br><i>Policy 13.10.15 - Reduce the visual impact of jetties on the coastal environment by:</i><br><i>(f) avoiding locating lights on jetties (other than those required to facilitate access). <b>Those lights that are necessary shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source;</b></i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1042</b>        | Port Underwood Association   | 8     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.22 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy as follows (bold and strike through):<br><br><i>Policy 13.10.22 - The visual impact of boatsheds on the values of the coastal environment will be reduced by:</i><br><i>(f) avoiding locating lights on boatsheds (other than those required to facilitate access). <b>Those lights that are necessary shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source;</b></i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1042</b>        | Port Underwood Association   | 9     | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                 | Policy 17.6.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy as follows (strike through ad bold):<br><br><i>Policy 17.6.1 - Maintain amenity values in rural and urban areas by encouraging the use of national and arterial routes by high volumes of traffic and heavy vehicles and discouraging high volume and heavy traffic use of collector routes and local routes, particularly where these pass through residential areas. The current state of vehicle technology in New Zealand means that noise and vehicle emissions can be expected from the operation of vehicles on roads. There is little the MEP can do to modify those conditions. However, the council can control the extent of these effects by adopting a road hierarchy, which encourages higher volumes of traffic and heavy traffic movements on certain routes and discourages them on others. An exception is made for some primary production activities, which need to use collector and local routes to transport produce to processing <b>facilities provided that no viable alternative route or method of transport exists. The maintenance of community amenity values will take precedence over commercial financial considerations.</b></i> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1042               | Port Underwood Association   | 10    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation     | Policy 17.6.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new policy to 17.6.2 as follows (bold and strike through):</p> <p><b><i>Policy 17.6.2.1 Establish a District wide plan of roads that are susceptible to the effects as listed in Policy 17.6.2 by heavy commercial vehicle usage and making the use of those roads a discretionary activity for heavy vehicles. Nominated roads could then be used by heavy vehicles subject to rules covering the following matters:</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Length of vehicle over a certain length - i.e. to reduce impact on road infrastructure ; to reduce conflict with other road users.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Weight of vehicle over a certain weight - i.e. to reduce impact on road infrastructure ; to reduce conflict with other road users</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Speed of vehicle. - i.e. to reduce conflict with other road users; control generation of dust. Time of use of the road during the day/night. - i.e. to reduce conflict with other road users.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Season of the year for use of the road. - i.e. to avoid dust nuisance over summer; to avoid times of high tourist usage.</i></b></p> |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| 1042               | Port Underwood Association   | 11    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation     | 17.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert a new Method of Implementation as 17.M.10 and readjust subsequent numbering:</p> <p><b><i>17.M.10 [with subsequent renumbering as required]:</i></b></p> <p><b><i>The Council will provide and maintain a website based mechanism for the receipt of traffic incident and safety related reports and complaints of impacts on amenity values arising from roads. These reports / complaints will be monitored and regularly collated for action as appropriate to enhance safety and efficiency of the road network and reduce adverse effects on the environment and community amenity values.</i></b></p>  |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| 1042               | Port Underwood Association   | 12    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules       | 2.3.1.2.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule as follows (bold and stried through):</p> <p><b><i>2.3.1.2. The take is limited to one dwelling per take point except where multiple dwellings exist on a single computer Register or on contiguous computer Registers under the same ownership, in which case there may be up to three dwellings per take point. Where a number of properties have a common legal easement to a water take point the taking of water for reasonable domestic use shall be permitted.</i></b></p>  |       |          |                       |               |                 |
| 1042               | Port Underwood Association   | 13    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.3.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule as follows (bold and strike through):</p> <p><b><i>7.2.3.1. Light spill onto an adjoining residential site must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical). All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</i></b></p>  |       |          |                       |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| 1042               | Port Underwood Association   | 14    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone                     | 8.2.4.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule as follows (bold and strike through):<br><i>8.2.4.1. Light spill onto an adjoining residential site must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical). <b>All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i>   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 1042               | Port Underwood Association   | 15    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                            | 13.2.5.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule as follows (bold and strike through):<br><i>13.2.5.3. All exterior lighting (except street lights) must be directed away from any land zoned other than Port Zone and any road. <b>All external lighting (including street lights) shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i>              |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 1042               | Port Underwood Association   | 16    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone               | 14.2.4.3. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule as follows (bold and strike through):<br><i>14.2.4.3. All exterior lighting (except street lights) must be directed away from any land zoned other than Port Landing Area zone and any road. <b>All external lighting (including street lights) shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i> |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 1042               | Port Underwood Association   | 17    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.2.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard:<br><b>16.2.8 Use of external lighting.</b><br><b>16.2.8.1. All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b>   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 1042               | Port Underwood Association   | 18    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |   |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 1042               | Port Underwood Association  | 19    | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend description for 'Coastal Marine Area F: Port Underwood' to read (bold and strike through):</p> <p><del>There are no specific areas within Coastal Marine Area F with Outstanding, High or Very High Coastal Natural Character values. <b>The Coastal Marine area F is rated moderate-high although it has not been surveyed at levels 4/5 and high or very high sections may exist.</b></del></p>  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| 1042               | Port Underwood Association  | 20    | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | See attached to show the suggested modification of section F.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| 1042               | Port Underwood Association  | 21    | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan            |                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add additional point to Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan:</p> <p><i>10. The method and route to be used to convey forest development and maintenance equipment, and the transport of harvested logs from the boundary of the forest to the location of processing or disposal, including provisions for the protection of wider community amenity values and the integrity of the roading network.</i></p>   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| 1042               | Port Underwood Association  | 22    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps   |                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Maps [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| 1042               | Port Underwood Association  | 23    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The Coastal Natural Character rating for the area just south of Ocean Bay should be Very High with the Key Values of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Large unmodified coastal marine environment in association with the coastal area reaching to White's Bay;</li> <li>• Semi exposed to very exposed and subject in some places to strong tidal currents;</li> <li>• Diverse and productive reef communities with high macroalgae diversity;</li> <li>• Large whale migration route and calving area.</li> </ul> <p>See the suggested modification in the attached file.</p> |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| 1042               | Port Underwood Association  | 24    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  |                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Marine Significant Sites should be noted on the mapping index [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |   |                             |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>1042</b>        | Port Underwood Association   | 25    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                     |                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Steep Erosion Prone Land maps with finer detail [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1071</b>        | Roland McGregor Post   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.2.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1071</b>        | Roland McGregor Post   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.3.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1255</b>        | Roland McGregor Post   | 1     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.10.6.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Include private inground swimming pools along with building foundations in clause 5.3.10.3 or increase permitted excavation to 30 or 40cm.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>60</b>          | Richard Julian and Mary Josephine Potez  | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.4.12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the policies proposed in 14.4.12 be adopted and rigorously enforced. Specifically, that c) and d) be totally enforced.<br><br>That g) be amended from "maintaining a low volume traffic environment" to "reducing the commercial traffic volume"; and to do so revoke the resource consent for the increase in quarrying work in the valley and the anticipated ten-fold heavy truck and trailer traffic and set a much lower allowed extraction level.<br><br>That the Council pro-actively enhances the rural character values of the valley by entering into negotiations with Marlborough Lines replace the ugly electrical power poles and cables with underground supply. |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>60</b>          | Richard Julian and Mary Josephine Potez  | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.4.13 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 14.4.13. ( <i>inferred</i> )   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|--------------|---------|
| <b>1259</b>        | Christine Potts   | 1     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape              | Policy 7.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | I would like to see no forestry allowed as this increases the peaceful flow of traffic especially logging trucks as the valley is a riding haven, and I have children and find it safe for them to be on the roads in the valley. |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>1259</b>        | Christine Potts   | 2     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape              | Policy 7.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | I would like to see no forestry allowed as this increases the peaceful flow of traffic especially logging trucks as the valley is a riding haven, and I have children and find it safe for them to be on the roads in the valley. |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>1259</b>        | Christine Potts   | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape              | Policy 7.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | I would like to see no forestry allowed as this increases the peaceful flow of traffic especially logging trucks as the valley is a riding haven, and I have children and find it safe for them to be on the roads in the valley. |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>1259</b>        | Christine Potts   | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape              | Policy 7.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | I would like to see no forestry allowed as this increases the peaceful flow of traffic especially logging trucks as the valley is a riding haven, and I have children and find it safe for them to be on the roads in the valley. |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>1259</b>        | Christine Potts   | 5     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape              | Policy 7.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | I would like to see no forestry allowed as this increases the peaceful flow of traffic especially logging trucks as the valley is a riding haven, and I have children and find it safe for them to be on the roads in the valley. |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>448</b>         | Lloyd Kenneth Powell  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3.     | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>448</b>         | Lloyd Kenneth Powell  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.2.     | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>448</b>         | Lloyd Kenneth Powell  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.1.     | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter                      | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>448</b>         | Lloyd Kenneth Powell           | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>448</b>         | Lloyd Kenneth Powell           | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>448</b>         | Lloyd Kenneth Powell           | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.9.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>448</b>         | Lloyd Kenneth Powell           | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3.3.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>448</b>         | Lloyd Kenneth Powell           | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.6.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>448</b>         | Lloyd Kenneth Powell           | 10    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.8.1.4.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>448</b>         | Lloyd Kenneth Powell           | 11    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.33.2.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>718</b>         | Gillian Ann Powley             | 1     | All      | All                      |           | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AONZ submission.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>534</b>         | Anne-Marie Prendeville   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8215 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>534</b>         | Anne-Marie Prendeville   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8215 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>534</b>         | Anne-Marie Prendeville   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8215 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>534</b>         | Anne-Marie Prendeville   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8215 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>707</b>         | Frank Prendeville  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8215 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>                          | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|---|-------------|
| <b>707</b>         | Frank Prendeville   | 2            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |   | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8215 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                              |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>707</b>         | Frank Prendeville   | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                              | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8215 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.      |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>707</b>         | Frank Prendeville   | 4            | Volume 3      | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |   | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8215 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.      |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>1252</b>        | Frank Prendeville   | 1            | Volume 1      | 2 Background  | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>1252</b>        | Frank Prendeville   | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources                 | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>1252</b>        | Frank Prendeville   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources                 | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |   |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1252</b>        | Frank Prendeville  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1252</b>        | Frank Prendeville  | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1252</b>        | Frank Prendeville  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1252</b>        | Frank Prendeville  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1252</b>        | Frank Prendeville  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1252</b>        | Frank Prendeville  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1252</b>        | Frank Prendeville  | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| <b>1043</b>        | Presbyterian Church Property Trustees - Wairau Presbyterian Parish   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources |                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Omaka Presbyterian Church (First Church) from Schedule 2 in the Appendix.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited  | 1     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments                                  | Policy 12.4.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adoption of the policy without change.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited  | 2     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments                                  | Policy 12.4.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adoption of the policy without change.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited  | 3     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments                                  | Objective 12.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adoption of the objective without change.  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited  | 4     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments                                  | Policy 12.5.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adoption of the policy without change.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited  | 5     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments                                  | Policy 12.5.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adoption of the policy without change.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited  | 6     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules  | 2.32.1.1.      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amendment of Table 2.1 to include "Supermarkets" with the "minimum requirements - number of spaces" to read:<br>'1 per 20m <sup>2</sup> gross floor area devoted to retail sales activities and 1 per 40m <sup>2</sup> gross floor area for all other activities'. |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited  | 7     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules  | 2.32.1.7.      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | The rule 2.32.1.7 be deleted.  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited  | 8     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules  | 2.33.          | Oppose  |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter            | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That rule 2.33 and such other rules be amended to introduce Restricted Discretionary Activity Status together with lists of what Council limits its discretion to.  |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited   | 9     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules    | 2.36.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a new rule 2.36.1 'Supermarket signage' is added which reads:<br><br>'2.36.1: The maximum signage per supermarket shall not exceed 80m <sup>2</sup> .<br><br>2.36.2: Supermarket free standing signs shall not exceed 9m in height, 3.5m is width and not have a sign face exceeding 30m <sup>2</sup> .' |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited   | 10    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone  | 9.2.1.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That rule 9.2.1.1 be amended to exempt supermarkets from having to comply.  |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited   | 11    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone  | 9.2.1.9.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That rule 9.2.1.9 be amended to exempt supermarkets from having to comply.  |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited   | 12    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone  | 9.3.1.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That rule 9.3.1.1 be deleted.   |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited   | 13    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone  | 9.3.4.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That rules 9.3.4.1 and 9.3.4.1.2 be amended by changing the excavation and filling volumes to 500m <sup>3</sup> .   |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited   | 14    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone  | 9.3.4.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That rule 9.3.4.3(b) be retained and expanded to include foundation works necessary for parking and maneuvering areas necessary as part of a development which also includes building foundations.  |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited   | 15    | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.3.1.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That rule 10.3.1.1 be deleted.  |       |          |                    |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter            | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited  | 16    | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.3.1.3.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The rules 10.3.1.3(d) and (e) be deleted.  |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited  | 17    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions     | 25.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | A definition of supermarket be included in section 25 whereby supermarket means:<br>'A retail shop where a comprehensive range of predominantly domestic supplies and convenience goods and services are sold for the consumption or use off the premises and includes lotto shops and pharmacies located within such premises and where liquor licences are held for each premise.' |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited  | 18    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps        | Zoning Map 21  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adoption of the zoning proposed but with a review of the merits of retaining residential zoning on 100 and 102 Alabama Road.   |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited  | 19    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps        | Zoning Map 159 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adoption of the zoning proposed but with a review of the merits of retaining residential zoning on 100 and 102 Alabama Road.   |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited  | 20    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps        | Zoning Map 9   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amendment of Rules 9.2.1.1 and 9.2.1.9 to exempt supermarkets from such requirements or the rezoning of the site bounded by Seymour Street, George Street, Henry Street and Arthur Street.   |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited  | 21    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps        | Zoning Map 159 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amendment of Rules 9.2.1.1 and 9.2.1.9 to exempt supermarkets from such requirements or the rezoning of the site bounded by Seymour Street, George Street, Henry Street and Arthur Street.   |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited  | 22    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps        | Zoning Map 7   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Adoption of the zoning without amendment.  |       |          |                    |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited   | 23    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps              | Zoning Map 159 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Adoption of the zoning without amendment.   |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>1044</b>        | Progressive Enterprises Limited   | 24    | All      | All                      |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | In addition to the specific relief sought in the submission, PEL seeks further, alternative, other or consequential decisions or amendments to address the matters raised in this submission. |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>859</b>         | Koherangi Pui   | 1     | All      | All                      |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>512</b>         | Pukematai Farm Limited  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.  |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>512</b>         | Pukematai Farm Limited  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule.  |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>1045</b>        | Pukematai Farm Limited  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.21.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standards under this Rule. <i>(Inferred, it is not clear in the Submission the specific changes sought.)</i>  |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>1045</b>        | Pukematai Farm Limited  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.1.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>1045</b>        | Pukematai Farm Limited  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>1045</b>        | Pukematai Farm Limited  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22.        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1045</b>        | Pukematai Farm Limited   | 6     | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land |                | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Designations B32 and B41. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1045</b>        | Pukematai Farm Limited   | 7     | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land |                | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Designation B29.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>146</b>         | QCWP community   | 1     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.3.10.        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Some changes to the rules so that the adverse effects can be mitigated. Our community will provide full details at the hearing. Please allow us adequate time to present this matter to you. |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>146</b>         | QCWP community   | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.3.13.        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Some changes to the rules so that the adverse effects can be mitigated. Our community will provide full details at the hearing. Please allow us adequate time to present this matter to you. |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>346</b>         | Quaildale Farm Limited   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 169 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | I request that the dam be removed from the significant wetlands Plan. I thank you for your time.   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1046</b>        | Quality Equipment Nelson   | 1     | All      | All                                     |                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--|-----------------|
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Working with others to sustainably manage Marlborough's natural and physical resources | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment (bold) to the explanation under the heading <b>Integrated management of the Marlborough environment</b> (page 2-2) <b>(inferred)</b></p> <p><b><i>f) the sustainability of natural and physical resources.</i></b></p> <p>This reinforces bold item on page 2.5.</p> |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 2     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Relationship of the MEP to other policy statements, standards and strategies           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is.  |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 3     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Monitoring the efficiency and effectiveness of the policies or methods                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new bullet point (bold) to the list at the bottom of page 2-10 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><b><i>Compliance monitoring of resource consents granted will take place, at the consent holders cost at least once.</i></b></p>   |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain content of Chapter 4 Introduction.  |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment (bold) to the last sentence of the explanation:</p> <p><i>The Council can play a role in this by striving to maintain and enhance the quality of our environment <b>particularly in the Marlborough Sounds.</b></i></p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.1         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Seek clarification (<b><i>inferred</i></b>) as to what was Rural in the Sounds appears to have now allocated to Coastal Living and whether this change reduces primary production allowance for that land and associated activities.</p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through) to wording in Line 7 of the explanation:</p> <p><i>This does not mean that use and development of natural and physical resources cannot occur within the Marlborough Sounds, but <del>an element of</del> precaution needs to be exercised to ensure that resource use is complimentary to the visual, ecological and physical qualities that give the Marlborough Sounds its iconic character.</i></p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Policy must include avoidance of the proliferation of subdivision along the coastal margins (<b><i>inferred</i></b>).</p> <p>Make the following amendment (strike-through and bold) to the first line of explanation of Policy 4.3.2 as upholds precautionary view:</p> <p><i>In order to determine whether particular activities in the Marlborough Sounds <del>will</del> <b>may</b> have significant adverse effects, it is necessary to identify the qualities and values that contribute to the unique and iconic character of the Marlborough Sounds.</i></p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 9     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.AER.1       | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to fourth "Monitoring effectiveness" ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><i>Public perception survey indicates that a majority of residents and ratepayers believe that the Marlborough Sounds environment is in good health.</i><br><b>Monitoring of the views of residents and ratepayers in the Sounds will be undertaken to assist Council decision making.</b>   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 10    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5B       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a provision that ensures that in the Sounds any new development should have rainwater collection for household consumption. Important as most occupancy takes place at the time of normal low flow time of water bodies.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 11    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 12    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.10.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 13    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.1.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 14    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendment (bold) to the explanation:<br><i>The 'first in, first served' method is the default mechanism to be used in the allocation of resources in the coastal marine area. Where competing demand for coastal space becomes apparent, the Marlborough District Council may consider the option of introducing an alternative regime. <b>If alternative methods of allocation are considered such will be publicly notified and also discussed with the Sounds Advisory Group.</b></i> |       |          |                                  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 15    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through) to Policy 5.10.5 (<b>inferred</b>):</p> <p><i>Policy 5.10.5 – The Marlborough District Council will waive the need for coastal occupancy charges for the following:</i></p> <p><i>(a) public wharves, jetties, boat ramps and facilities owned by the Marlborough District Council and the Department of Conservation;</i></p> <p><i>(b) monitoring equipment;</i></p> <p><i>(c) activities listed as permitted, except for moorings in a Mooring Management Area;</i></p> <p><del><i>(d) retaining walls; and</i></del></p> <p><i>(e) port and marina activities where resource consents authorised under Section 384A of the Resource Management Act 1991 are in place until such time as those resource consents expire.</i></p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 16    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Coastal occupancy charges should be set via the Long-Term Plan not the Annual Plan. The assessment of basic allocation matters should be made available to the public via an attachment to this plan ( <b>inferred</b> ).   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 17    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.9       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.AER.9.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 18    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Objective 6.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 6.1.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 19    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.5  | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Policy 6.1.5 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Policy 6.1.5 – Determine the degree of natural character in and adjacent to lakes and rivers by assessing the degree of human-induced modification to the following:</i></p> <p><i>(a) channel shape and bed morphology;</i></p> <p><i>(b) flow regime and water levels;</i></p> <p><b><i>(c) streams that would normally flow all year if not adversely effected by high take during the peak summer period (inferred);</i></b></p> <p><del><i>(d)</i></del> <i>water quality;</i></p> <p><del><i>(e)</i></del> <i>presence of indigenous flora and fauna in the river channel;</i></p> <p><del><i>(f)</i></del> <i>absence of exotic flora and fauna;</i></p> <p><del><i>(g)</i></del> <i>absence of structures and other human modification in the river channel/lake;</i></p> <p><del><i>(h)</i></del> <i>vegetation cover in the riparian margin;</i></p> <p><del><i>(i)</i></del> <i>absence of structures and other human modification in the riparian margin; and</i></p> <p><del><i>(j)</i></del> <i>the experience of the above elements, patterns and processes including unmodified, scenic and wilderness qualities.</i></p> |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 20    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.1.  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 21    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.M.1        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | A "precautionary" view should always be adopted as per that area.   |       |          |                     |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 22    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the <i>Introduction</i> should acknowledge and address the lack of knowledge regarding the Marlborough Sounds ( <b>inferred</b> ).   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 23    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Policy 7.2.3 (<b>inferred</b>):</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.3 – Control activities that have the potential to degrade the amenity values that contribute to those areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape not identified as being an outstanding natural feature and landscape by:</i></p> <p><del>(a) using a non-regulatory approach as the means of maintaining and enhancing landscape values in areas of this landscape zoned as Coastal Living;</del></p> <p><del>(ba)</del> <i>setting standards/conditions that are consistent with the existing landscape values and that will require greater assessment where proposed activities and structures exceed those standards; and</i></p> <p><del>(eb)</del> <i>requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities.</i></p> |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 24    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.10.   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 25    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.11 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.11.   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 26    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.3         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 7.M.3.   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 27    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.7         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 7.M.7.   |       |          |             |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                      | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 28    | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That a compulsory monitoring clause, at the applicants cost, should be introduced ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 29    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity                    | Objective 8.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 8.1.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 30    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity                    | Policy 8.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Provide a process so that the community can identify throughout the period of the plan new information that would be given 'weight' in between Environmental Plan reviews. |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 31    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity                    | Policy 8.1.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.1.3.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 32    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity                    | Policy 8.2.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.1.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 33    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity                    | Policy 8.2.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.2.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 34    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity                    | Policy 8.2.9  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.9.   |       |          |  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 35    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | Policy 8.2.12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.12.  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 36    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | Policy 8.3.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 8.3.3 does not include plantation forestry unless this is for indigenous trees.  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 37    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | Policy 8.3.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Dredging should be discontinued as a technique during the life of this plan to enable monitoring as per the effects of dredging in relation to previous sites and the totality of effects of this harvesting method ( <i>inferred</i> ). |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 38    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | Policy 8.3.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Dredging should be discontinued as a technique during the life of this plan to enable monitoring as per the effects of dredging in relation to previous sites and the totality of effects of this harvesting method ( <i>inferred</i> ). |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 39    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | Policy 8.3.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Dredging should be discontinued as a technique during the life of this plan to enable monitoring as per the effects of dredging in relation to previous sites and the totality of effects of this harvesting method ( <i>inferred</i> ). |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 40    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Issue 9A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Issue 9A:<br><br><i>Issue 9A Trying to <del>meet</del> <b>uphold</b> community expectations that public access will be available to rivers, lakes and the coast.</i>          |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 41    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.6  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 9.1.6.  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 42    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following is included in Policy 9.1.8:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the set back from Sounds Foreshore Reserves for buildings should be a minimum of 30 metres from CMA;</li> <li>public access to and along the Coastal Margin Area for foot traffic must be maintained and enhanced;</li> <li>vehicular traffic inclusive of ATV vehicles requires a Policy agreed to by MDC and DoC; and</li> <li>historic "paper roads" in the Sounds Admin Area should specifically exclude vehicular traffic.</li> </ul>  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 43    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments to Policy 9.1.9:</p> <p>(a) first priority is for "walking". That is why the QC track was created in the first place.</p> <p>(b) Establish a "monitoring regime" so that "bike usage" does not adversely affect either the experience or the environment. As an example "defecations" of human waste and non-biodegradable products via users of the track may result in unacceptable effects. That cannot be addressed via current rates revenue. Suggest to limit the usage. This would avoid the adverse effects now being experienced by other walking/cycling networks in NZ. Within Marlborough "limited" usage could encourage a more upmarket use.</p> |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 44    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete from Policy 9.1.11 the transfer of ownership of an esplanade reserve from the Crown to Council ( <i>inferred</i> ).  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 45    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.12 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | No specific decision has been requested in the submission. It is <i>inferred</i> that the policy explanation should be amended so that possible effects from climate change/sea level, subsequent events and the potential financial effects on all ratepayers are addressed for all zones.   |       |          |                                |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 46    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.1.13 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | It is not clear in the submission what decision is requested.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 47    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.1.15 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>In the Admin area of the Marlborough Sounds the use of unformed legal roads (<b>inferred</b>) should be stopped unless:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• They are for walking only access.</li> <li>• That such a road be maintained at the applicants cost for the period of any consent granted.</li> <li>• That at the applicants cost, monitoring takes place every 3 years to address issues re erosion. wilding pine control, pest management etc.</li> <li>• That resource consent be applied for and notified to all potentially effected parties.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 48    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | 9.M.1         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Open Space 3 Zone does not apply to Sounds Foreshore Reserve.</p> <p>That consideration is given to the Area referred to in the Sounds Admin Area as "farm" as an acknowledged zone (<b>inferred</b>).</p> <p>The MEP needs to give some weight to the decision of 8/4/16 re: representation review for the Picton Sounds Ward.</p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 49    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | 9.M.9         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | 9.M.9 must include consultation with the community in the Admin Area in the Marlborough Sounds via the Sounds Advisory Group, etc.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 50    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | 9.AER.3       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | 9.AER.3 should not suggest that it is the obligation of the ratepayers to use the MDC website as per the "democratic process" ( <b>inferred</b> ).   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 51    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.M.3        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Allow updates of heritage resources and notable trees ( <b>inferred</b> ) between the plans.   |       |          |   |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association                | 52           | Volume 1      | 11 Natural Hazards                | Policy 11.1.2    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a brochure is issued with tips via the rates notices. |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association                | 53           | Volume 1      | 11 Natural Hazards                | Policy 11.1.4    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.4.                                      |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association                | 54           | Volume 1      | 11 Natural Hazards                | Policy 11.2.4    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.2.4.                                      |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association                | 55           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.1.1.                                      |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association                | 56           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.1.2.                                      |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association                | 57           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.2.                                      |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association                | 58           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.3    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.3.                                      |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association                | 59           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.1    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Policy 13.3.1 should provide clarity as to how the use of drones for recreational activities and the potential risk to overhead power lines is addressed ( <i>inferred</i> ). |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 60    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.3.3.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 61    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.4.1.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 62    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.4.2.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 63    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.9         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.9.  |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 64    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.3 but recognise that standards will be important particularly with respect to water take and sewage discharges.  |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 65    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.9.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 66    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.9.  |       |          |                                   |                |         |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 67           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.1    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That some exceptions should be allowed for people who may own two boats, if the local community agrees.   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 68           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.4   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.4.  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 69           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.5   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.5.  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 70           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.11.1.  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 71           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.18          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | The taking of boulders and shingle from the CMA for individual benefit should be prohibited as they provide habitat for marine specie. In addition such take usually involves the use of vehicles on the beach. |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 72           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.20          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.20.   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 73           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.23          | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to 13.M.23 explanation:</p> <p><i>13.M.23 Advisory group for considering effects of shipping activities</i></p> <p><i>An advisory group <del>may</del> <b>will</b> be established by the Council to assist in determining an ongoing approach to managing the effects of shipping activities. Members will be appointed by the Council and will include representatives from community groups, the shipping industry, Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi and the Council.</i></p> |       |          |                       |               |         |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 74    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste              | 16.M.20       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Discuss with effected communities and allow 7 years to design same. Also requires cooperation with Building division as per stand alone buildings of up to 10 cubic metres being allowed to be connected to existing systems without addressing capacity of same.</p>   |       |          |                       |               |         |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 75    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste              | 16.M.21       | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain 16.M.21.</p>   |       |          |                       |               |         |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 76    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change     | Policy 19.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Policy 19.2.2.</p>   |       |          |                       |               |         |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 77    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change     | 19.M.8        | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain 19.M.8.</p>  |       |          |                       |               |         |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 78    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change     | 19.AER.3      | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain 19.AER.3 (<i>inferred</i>).</p>  |       |          |                       |               |         |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 79    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.2.1.      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>The submission does not specify a decision requested in relation to noise and the use of chainsaws.</p>   |       |          |                       |               |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 80    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone  | 7.3.1.2.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not specify a decision requested.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 81    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone  | 7.3.6.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendment ( <b>bold</b> ) to Standard 7.3.6.1:<br><i>7.3.6.1 Only indigenous species may be planted in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland <b>or the coastal margins and Sounds Foreshore Reserve.</b></i> |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 82    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone  | 7.3.8.7.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not specify a decision requested.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 83    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone  | 7.3.14.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not specify a decision requested.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 84    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone  | 7.5.4.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not specify a decision requested.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 85    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone  | 7.5.6.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not specify a decision requested.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| 504                | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 86    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.1.   | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The submission does not specify a decision requested. |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association           | 87    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone   | 16.3.1.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not specify a decision requested. |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association           | 88    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone   | 16.1.6.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.1.6.                                   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association           | 89    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone   | 16.4.2.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 16.4.2.                                |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association           | 90    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone   | 16.7.2.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.7.2.                                   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association           | 91    | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                      | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments to Water Resource Unit Values Numbers 56, Small Coastal Complex, and 57, Small Sounds Streams (<b>inferred</b>):</p> <p><b>Water Resource Unit Values Number 56, Small Coastal Complex</b> - add Bird Habitat with relevant bird species.</p> <p><b>Water Resource Unit Values Number 57 Small Sounds Streams</b> - include other bird species such as kingfishers, shining cuckoos, bellbirds, native herons, bellbirds and tuis.</p> <p><b>Other Water Resources All Coastal Water (page APP 5-17)</b></p> <p>ALL coastal water must be amended via water Quality classification to Shellfish Gathering, which is after all what water class SG (shellfish gathering) upholds and Water Resource Unit Values Numbers 56, Small Coastal Complex, and 57, Small Sounds Streams should not be allowed to have the potential for adverse effects upon same.</p> <p><b>Standard/parameter Suitability of fish for human consumption (page APP 5-22)</b></p> <p>More appropriate and cost efficient to adopt a precautionary view now by accepting a change to standard/parameter, which also upholds what water class SG represents.</p> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 92    | Volume 3 | Appendix 8 Discharge to Air                  |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Identify in the explanation, using layman's terms, what is meant by "softwood" (<b>inferred</b>).</p>   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 93    | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Within the area of the Marlborough Sounds compulsory monitoring, by independent approved persons shall at the applicants cost at a period of 1 and then 5 years after each harvesting stage be a condition of any consent granted. Major issues re sedimentation as per the CMA, local streams and the potential for future adverse effects need to be addressed.</p> <p>Forestry Harvest applications should be "notified" to all potentially affected parties via a resource consent application.</p>   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association  | 94    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                       | 16.7.3.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Rule 16.7.3.</p>   |       |          |  |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>504</b>         | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association   | 95           | Volume 2      | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.4.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.7.4.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1265</b>        | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust   | 1            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.6.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Restrict the planting of invasive pine species.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1265</b>        | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust   | 2            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.8.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Restrict the planting of invasive pine species.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1265</b>        | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust   | 3            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Restrict the planting of invasive pine species.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1265</b>        | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust   | 4            | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps                |                  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1265</b>        | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust   | 5            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.10.2.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration plantings of indigenous species. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1265</b>        | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust   | 6            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.9.2.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration plantings of indigenous species. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1265</b>        | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust   | 7            | Volume 2      | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.3.9.2.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration plantings of indigenous species. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1265</b>        | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust   | 8            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.10.4.        | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration planting of indigenous species.  |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| <b>1265</b>        | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust   | 9     | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone              | 8.3.9.3.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration planting of indigenous species.  |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| <b>1265</b>        | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust   | 10    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.9.1.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Therefore, I seek that the definition of a park or reserve reflect its purpose (free public access, protect biodiversity etc.) and not the ownership or management structure. |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| <b>1265</b>        | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust   | 11    | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone       | 6.3.4.1.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Therefore, I seek that the definition of a park or reserve reflect its purpose (free public access, protect biodiversity etc.) and not the ownership or management structure. |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| <b>1265</b>        | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust   | 12    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone              | 8.3.19.1. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Therefore, I seek that the definition of a park or reserve reflect its purpose (free public access, protect biodiversity etc.) and not the ownership or management structure. |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| <b>1265</b>        | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust   | 13    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.3.17.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Therefore, I seek that the definition of a park or reserve reflect its purpose (free public access, protect biodiversity etc.) and not the ownership or management structure. |       |          |                                  |           |         |
| <b>1265</b>        | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust   | 14    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone              | 8.3.10.1. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek to allow the removal of any non-indigenous species as part of a restoration project.   |       |          |                                  |           |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1265</b>        | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust  | 15    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.                          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I seek a rule that allows for the removal, by non-mechanical means, of non-indigenous species from within, or within 8 metres of a significant wetland as part of a restoration project. |       |          |                            |                             |                 |
| <b>1265</b>        | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust  | 16    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.                          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I seek a rule that allows for the removal, by non-mechanical means, of non-indigenous species from within, or within 8 metres of a significant wetland as part of a restoration project. |       |          |                            |                             |                 |
| <b>1047</b>        | R A and R S Hall Aquaculture   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8403 in East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                      |       |          |                            |                             |                 |
| <b>1047</b>        | R A and R S Hall Aquaculture   | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8403 in East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                      |       |          |                            |                             |                 |
| <b>1047</b>        | R A and R S Hall Aquaculture   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8403 in East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.              |       |          |                            |                             |                 |
| <b>1047</b>        | R A and R S Hall Aquaculture   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8403 in East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.              |       |          |                            |                             |                 |
| <b>860</b>         | Ku Ra  | 1     | All      | All                        |                             | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |            |         |
| <b>492</b>         | Stephanie Joan and Luke Peter Radich   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | The Submitters request that the property be re-categorised as falling within the Wairau Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit and that the boundary be adjusted accordingly.                              |       |          |   |            |         |
| <b>70</b>          | Mark Radnor  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.2.    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm until data is presented to show that this is inadequate. |       |          |   |            |         |
| <b>70</b>          | Mark Radnor  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.3.    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm until data is presented to show that this is inadequate. |       |          |   |            |         |
| <b>861</b>         | Kerrin Raeburn   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.5.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.  |       |          |   |            |         |
| <b>861</b>         | Kerrin Raeburn   | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                | 4.3.6.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.  |       |          |   |            |         |
| <b>861</b>         | Kerrin Raeburn   | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                | 4.6.3.     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.  |       |          |   |            |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>861</b>         | Kerrin Raeburn  | 4            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>861</b>         | Kerrin Raeburn  | 5            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>861</b>         | Kerrin Raeburn  | 6            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>861</b>         | Kerrin Raeburn  | 7            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>861</b>         | Kerrin Raeburn  | 8            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>861</b>         | Kerrin Raeburn  | 9            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>861</b>         | Kerrin Raeburn  | 10           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>861</b>         | Kerrin Raeburn  | 11           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>861</b>         | Kerrin Raeburn  | 12           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>861</b>         | Kerrin Raeburn  | 13           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>861</b>         | Kerrin Raeburn  | 14           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>861</b>         | Kerrin Raeburn  | 15           | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps                |                  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>861</b>         | Kerrin Raeburn  | 16           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>861</b>         | Kerrin Raeburn  | 17           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>861</b>         | Kerrin Raeburn  | 18           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.31.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.                                     |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>861</b>         | Kerrin Raeburn  | 19           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.                                     |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>1084</b>        | Raeburn Property Partnership   | 1     | Volume 1 | All                              |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Removal of the word PROHIBIT and any other word which delivers the same meaning such as 'avoid' from the plan in its entirety.   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>1084</b>        | Raeburn Property Partnership   | 2     | Volume 2 | All                              |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Removal of the word PROHIBIT and any other word which delivers the same meaning such as 'avoid' from the plan in its entirety.   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>1084</b>        | Raeburn Property Partnership   | 3     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.7.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | A new Section to be added to plan; Landowners to be permitted to effect small scale 'in' river erosion control work along the Queens chain using machinery under Councils Blanket Resource Consent and under the direction of Councils rivers engineers. (Note: This has been the traditional method of erosion control along tributaries of the Wairau under the Catchment Board and Marlborough District Council until recently when with the MEP coming along there appears policy change detrimental to responsible husbandry of river erosion control.) |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>1084</b>        | Raeburn Property Partnership   | 4     | Volume 1 | All                              |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Council withdraw the MEP Plan and engage in meaningful consultation with the Tua Marina Waikakaho Residents Association Inc. in order to rewrite the Plan.<br><br>Withdraw the MEP and rewrite in a logical and easy to follow format after meaningful consultation with the wider public, respecting individual property rights and pay compensation where and when necessary and to reflect the enabling intent of the RMA.  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>1084</b>        | Raeburn Property Partnership   | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | MDC undertake thorough Section 32 analysis of all sections of the MEP plan.  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>1084</b>        | Raeburn Property Partnership   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                              |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Every "Taking" in the MEP to be addressed by compensation.   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision            | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1084</b>        | Raeburn Property Partnership   | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                    | Flood Hazard Area 23 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend 'Flood Hazard Areas 23' and any other map imperfection affecting Raeburn Farm. Raeburn reserves the right to come to Council and check the accuracy of maps and the right to adjustment.     |       |          |                                 |                      |                 |
| <b>1084</b>        | Raeburn Property Partnership   | 8     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity       | 8.                   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove all reference to and the maps from the MEP.   |       |          |                                 |                      |                 |
| <b>1084</b>        | Raeburn Property Partnership   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character             | 6.                   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the maps and all reference to Riparian Natural Character Areas from the MEP.  |       |          |                                 |                      |                 |
| <b>1084</b>        | Raeburn Property Partnership   | 10    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14.                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw all reference to commercial forestry from the MEP and wait until the NES becomes operative.   |       |          |                                 |                      |                 |
| <b>1085</b>        | Raeburn Property Partnership   | 1     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.3         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 7.2.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                 |                      |                 |
| <b>1086</b>        | Ragged Point Limited   | 1     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space  | Policy 9.1.4         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 9.1.4 ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |                                 |                      |                 |
| <b>1086</b>        | Ragged Point Limited   | 2     | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone            | 19.1.                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | No Open Space 3 Zone on Rangitoto ki te Tonga or private land.<br><br>We wish to retain our riparian rights and rights to refuse people to cross private land on all our land ( <i>inferred</i> ). |       |          |                                 |                      |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| 1086               | Ragged Point Limited   | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.2.1.11.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Farm support structures bigger than 10 m2 must be possible. The submission does not include an alternative m2 for structures as a permitted activity within any Marlborough Sounds ONFL.   |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| 1086               | Ragged Point Limited   | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.13.6.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Must be able to excavate more than 500 m3. The submission does not include an alternative volume that can be excavated as a permitted activity.  |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| 1086               | Ragged Point Limited   | 5     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.20.1.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Must be able to graze waterways on private land.   |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| 1086               | Ragged Point Limited   | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.22.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Application of fertiliser must be allowed for farming purposes.  |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| 1280               | Ragged Point limited   | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.2.1.5.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul> |       |          |                                  |               |        |
| 1087               | Rai Mussels Limited  | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.25 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 5.2.25 and Policy 5.2.23 is relied upon instead.</p> <p><i><del>Policy 5.2.25 Where necessary, review the conditions of existing water permits authorising the taking of water within 24 months of the Marlborough Environment Plan (or any subsequent plan changes) becoming operative to ensure that relevant environmental flows and levels are met.</del></i></p> <p>Alternative decision requested:<br/> That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.2.25:<br/> 5.2.25 <b>Only</b> where necessary to ensure that relevant environmental flows and levels are met, the conditions of existing water permits authorising the taking of water may be reviewed.</p>  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>1087</b>        | Rai Mussels Limited   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.3.1(d):</p> <p><i>Policy 5.3.1 – To allocate water in the following order of priority:</i></p> <p><i>(d) municipal water supply <b>and any other take for industrial or commercial use</b>; and then</i></p> <p>This amendment may require consequent changes to other parts of the plan and possibly a definition of “industrial” and “commercial”.</p> <p><i>Policy 5.3.1(e) all other takes of water</i> should be amended to rank other specific categories of take based on the economic value/unit of water used.</p> <p>It is submitted that industrial and commercial takes would rank ahead of most takes for irrigation and those would rank ahead of takes for hydro-electric power generation.<br/> This may require consequent changes to other parts of the plan and definition of particular takes.</p> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>1087</b>        | Rai Mussels Limited   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.3.4:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.3.4 Establish allocation volumes for municipal water supplies and avoid applying management flows and levels to the taking of water for the purpose of municipal supply <b>and industrial and commercial use</b>.</i></p>  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 1087               | Rai Mussels Limited   | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Extend the lapse period beyond 2 years. The submission does not provide an alternative lapse period.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 1087               | Rai Mussels Limited   | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The policy should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Note that take required will vary from season to season and will depend on a range of factors including market demand and price for products produced by using the water.</li> <li>Give some indication of what proportion of a consented take should be considered sufficient to give effect to the consent.</li> <li>Not encourage inefficient water use simply to give effect to the consent.</li> </ul>  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 1087               | Rai Mussels Limited   | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.4.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 1087               | Rai Mussels Limited   | 7     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.4.5:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.4.5 – When an enhanced transfer system is included in the Marlborough Environment Plan to enable the full or partial transfer of individual water allocations between the holders of water permits to take and use water, this will be provided for as a permitted activity where:</i></p> <p><i>(e) the allocation is authorised via a water permit(s) applied for and granted after 9 June 2016;</i></p> <p><i>(g) the transferee holds a water permit to use water <b>applied for and granted after 9 June 2016.</b></i></p> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 228                | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.3.12.1.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause 2.3.12.1 to – The take and use of water for skifield facilities may occur all year round as required by skifield operations, and the take of water for snowmaking may only occur in the period 1 May to 30September as required for snowmaking.  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| 228                | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated  | 2     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.3.12.3.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The instantaneous rate of the take must not exceed 150litres per second   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>228</b>         | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated  | 3     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules      | 2.3.22.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause 2.3.22.1 to – The diversion of water can occur all year round as required for skifield operations.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>228</b>         | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated  | 4     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules      | 2.3.22.3. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause 2.3.22.3 to – The instantaneous rate of diversion must not exceed 150 litres per second  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>228</b>         | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated  | 5     | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.1.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | 20.1.1 – amend clause by deleting “existing at 9 June 2016’   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>228</b>         | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated  | 6     | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.1.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | 20.1 – Add as clause 20.1.13 permitted activity temporary military training activities including use of skifield buildings and accommodation  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>228</b>         | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated  | 7     | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.3.2.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Clause 20.3.2.1 to – The on-site accommodation to be used for authorised persons including staff, members and contractors undertaking skifield business for the necessary operation of the skifield all year round.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>228</b>         | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated  | 8     | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.3.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add clause 2.3.2.2 – The on-site accommodation may further be used for temporary military training activities.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>228</b>         | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated  | 9     | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.3.3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause 20.3.3.1 to - No excavation in excess of 1000m <sup>3</sup> may occur on any land with a slope greater than 20° within any 24 month period with the exception of any earthworks related to the maintenance and repair of the skifield access road or carparking. |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>228</b>         | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated  | 10    | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.6.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause 20.6.1.k to waste oil, except where waste oils burnt in enclosed oil burner or furnace where the oil is to be used for heating or energy recovery.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>228</b>         | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated  | 11    | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>In relation to chapter 20 Open Space 4 we note the following general comment with respect to Rainbows submission in total:</p> <p>Fair and considered recognition of the Rainbow 2015 submission and this submission in relation to the proposed MDC plan and all changes specific to Rainbow Ski Area</p> <p>To be heard in person by Council in relation to this submission</p> <p>For Council to recognise in the proposed plan that in order to operate a skifield the management of the skified operation is an all year round i.e. 12 months of the year, and the requirement for staff, members and contractors is all year round and the provision of water for operational purposes is required to be all year round.</p> <p>Marlborough District Council in drafting this proposed plan has failed to recognise the twelve month operation of the skifield and has only focused on the winter activity component. In the interests of health and safety and practicality of accessibility.</p> |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>1300</b>        | Susan Claire Ramsay   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 6                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That property number 250929 (Lot 50, DP 676) remains in a rural type zoning.  |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>1300</b>        | Susan Claire Ramsay   | 2     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 7                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That property number 250929 (Lot 50, DP 676) remains in a rural type zoning.  |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>1300</b>        | Susan Claire Ramsay   | 3     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 7                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That property number 254297 (Lot 27, DP 1216) remains in a rural type zoning.   |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>1300</b>        | Susan Claire Ramsay   | 4     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 13                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That property number 254297 (Lot 27, DP 1216) remains in a rural type zoning.   |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>600</b>         | Connor Rangi  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |              |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>600</b>         | Connor Rangī   | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>600</b>         | Connor Rangī   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>600</b>         | Connor Rangī   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>600</b>         | Connor Rangī   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>600</b>         | Connor Rangī   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>600</b>         | Connor Rangī   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>600</b>         | Connor Rangī   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>600</b>         | Connor Rangī   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>600</b>         | Connor Rangi  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character               | Objective 6.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>1088</b>        | Rangiruhia Elkington Whanau Trust #1 and #2   | 1     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Policy 9.1.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The decision sought is that, the owners of:</p> <p>Lot 1 DP 3893 being part of Block 4 Rangitoto Section 4B and Rangitoto 3B3 and Rangitoto 8A and Whangarae Section sub 3D and Whangarae Sq 91 sub 3H and Wairau Block XII Section 11C and Wairau Section 12 Block Section 11C and Block 12 Section 9B and Village Reserve and the Kurupongi (The Trio Islands) and Rangitoto blocks (D'Urville Island) and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Tinui Island (Islet off D'urville Island) and</li> <li>- Block: Motuiti, Hautai, Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island, Araiawa, Rahonui, Tapararere, Te Horo, Anatakapu, Te Kurukuru and Kaitaore Islands (Islets around D'urville Island)</li> </ul> <p>do wish to retain 'riparian rights in private ownership' for the above mentioned lands.</p> |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>986</b>         | Ngapaka P Rangitakatu   | 1     | All      | All                               |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                    | 1.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Chapter 1 Introduction.  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 2     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.4.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision                      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 3     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                  | 7.2.1.1.                       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 7.2.1.1.  |       |          |  |                                |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.2.1.1.                       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.2.1.1.  |       |          |  |                                |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 5     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                            | Zoning Map 82                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Edgewater Estate subdivision is re-zoned to Rural Living Zone (not Coastal Living Zone).   |       |          |  |                                |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Groundwater Protection Areas 2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Create a Groundwater Protection Area (General Aquifer Recharge Zone) for wells in Rarangi. The Groundwater Protection Area to cover the same geographical area as the Freshwater Management Unit: Volume 4, Map 4 - Rarangi Shallow North and Rarangi Shallow South.  |       |          |  |                                |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Soil Sensitive Area 4          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Confirm Rarangi as a Soil Sensitive Area.   |       |          |  |                                |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.22.                        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Annual testing of Rarangi Shallow Aquifer is undertaken for CCA and agrichemical residue levels.</li> <li>2. Wine companies in the Rarangi area to share the cost (currently borne by the ratepayer) for this water testing and compliance. Consider a levy based on tonnage of grapes harvested.</li> <li>3. Add a new rule that prohibits CCA treated posts in Soil Sensitive Areas. If these are already in place, broken posts must be replaced by alternative posts that are not chemically treated, eg. durable hardwood; untreated timber encased in plastic; metal.</li> </ol> |       |          |  |                                |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 9     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.AER.3                       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That a new AER and two new Monitoring effectiveness criteria are added:<br>15.AER.X Water quality in Rarangi is suitable for drinking, domestic use and sustaining aquatic ecosystems.<br>Monitoring effectiveness:<br>1. Water is tested annually at Wells 3668, 3711, 1634 and 4442 to ensure chrome, copper and arsenic levels are within health standards.<br>2. Water is tested annually at Wells 3668, 3711, 1634 and 4442 to ensure agrichemical residues are within health standards. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That:<br>1. Annual testing of Rarangi Shallow Aquifer is undertaken for agrichemical residue levels ( <b><i>inferred that this also includes fertiliser and lime levels</i></b> ).<br>2. Wine companies in the Rarangi area to share the cost (currently borne by the ratepayer) for this water testing and compliance. Consider a levy based on tonnage of grapes harvested.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 11    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.25.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That:<br>1. Annual testing of Rarangi Shallow Aquifer is undertaken for contaminants associated with compost/agricultural waste ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ) in the water supply.<br>2. Wine companies in the Rarangi area to share the cost (currently borne by the ratepayer) for this water testing and compliance. Consider a levy based on tonnage of grapes harvested.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 12    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That:<br>1. Annual testing of Rarangi Shallow Aquifer is undertaken for contaminants associated with agricultural liquid waste ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ) in the water supply.<br>2. Wine companies in the Rarangi area to share the cost (currently borne by the ratepayer) for this water testing and compliance. Consider a levy based on tonnage of grapes harvested.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 13    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.27.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Annual testing of Rarangi Shallow Aquifer is undertaken for aquatic herbicide residue levels <b>(inferred)</b>.</li> <li>2. Wine companies in the Rarangi area to share the cost (currently borne by the ratepayer) for this water testing and compliance. Consider a levy based on tonnage of grapes harvested.</li> </ol>  |       |          |                          |                           |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 14    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Annual testing of Rarangi Shallow Aquifer is undertaken for contaminants associated with compost and silage <b>(inferred)</b> in the water supply.</li> <li>2. Wine companies in the Rarangi area to share the cost (currently borne by the ratepayer) for this water testing and compliance. Consider a levy based on tonnage of grapes harvested.</li> </ol>   |       |          |                          |                           |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 15    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3.3.                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the same standards for <i>Controlled activity Rule 3.4.1 Erection and use of a frost fan</i> are used.</p> <p>Also seek rules about the use of helicopters for frostfighting - hours of operation and noise levels. The submission does not provide details about amendments to be made.</p>  |       |          |                          |                           |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 16    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5.                    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.5.1(c):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.5.1 A Category A or Category B device must not be operated:</i></p> <p><i>(c) within <del>160</del><b>300</b>m of the boundary or notional boundary of the nearest dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building (except a dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building on the same property as the audible bird-scaring device);</i></p> |       |          |                          |                           |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 17    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps             | Threatened Environments 4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That a new rule is included: Vineyards within a Threatened Environment: Indigenous Vegetation Site must plant a percentage of each new vineyard development in natives. Suggest 2%. (Based on one tui-to-town planting - 1000 sqm - per 5 ha of planted vineyard.)</p>   |       |          |                          |                           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association   | 18           | Volume 4      | All                      |                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Rarangi wetland complex warrants formal protection.   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association   | 19           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.6.4.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.6.4.   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association   | 20           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.39.3.5.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 2.39.3.5.  |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association   | 21           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.8.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.8.   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association   | 22           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.9.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.9.   |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association   | 23           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.10.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.10.  |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association   | 24           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.11.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.11.  |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association   | 25           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rarangi Significant Wetlands - WSS, W132, W133, W134, W135, W136, W138 - are added to the named waterbodies in 3.7 Prohibited Activities. |              |               |                          |                  |                 |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association   | 26           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.4.        | Support         |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.13.4.<br>That explicit boundaries are included that provide GPS definitions of the boundary of Rarangi Significant Wetlands. (We need the edge to measure 8m from) <b>(inferred)</b> . |       |          |                          |                |         |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association   | 27    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.3.      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.14.3 Excavation must not be in, or within: (b) 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification;                         |       |          |                          |                |         |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association   | 28    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.3.      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.22.3.<br>Include the use of recycling sprayers to avoid spray drift on vineyards that border Significant Wetlands <b>(inferred)</b> .  |       |          |                          |                |         |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association   | 29    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.3.      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23.3.<br>Include the use of recycling sprayers to avoid spray drift on vineyards that border Significant Wetlands <b>(inferred)</b> .  |       |          |                          |                |         |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association   | 30    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.           | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That a new rule is included that exclude stock from Significant Wetlands.  |       |          |                          |                |         |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association   | 31    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps              | Zoning Map 150 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Having identified the Significant Wetlands in Rarangi, we seek formal protection of these threatened areas: WSS, W132, W133, W134, W135, W136, W138 and the associated gravel beach ridges.                |       |          |                          |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|---------|
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 32    | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |           | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Upgrade the Boffa Miskell natural character rating of the Rarangi beach ridges and swamp complex as part of formally recognising and protecting these endangered areas. |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 33    | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources  |           | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add the eucalyptus tree at Blue Gum Corner (where Rarangi Road turns into Rarangi Beach Road) to Schedule of Notable Trees.   |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 34    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                                | 3.2.7.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 3.2.7.  |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 35    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                                | 3.2.8.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 3.2.8.  |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 36    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                                | 3.2.9.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 3.2.9.  |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 37    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone                                     | 8.2.5.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 8.2.5.  |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 38    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone                                     | 8.2.6.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 8.2.6.  |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>1089</b>        | Rarangi District Residents Association  | 39    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone                                     | 8.2.7.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | We SUPPORT this rule on the condition that it is complied with. This has not been our experience in recent months with ongoing lime dust affecting residential areas.   |       |          |   |           |         |
| <b>591</b>         | Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                                | 3.1.56.   | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That an explanation about 'existing use rights', including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the extent of existing use rights</li> <li>how existing use rights would be advantageous to the golf club</li> <li>the limitations or restrictions that may also apply under existing use rights.</li> </ul> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>591</b>         | Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support Heading 3.2, i.e. structure of this Section. <i>(Inferred)</i> .  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>591</b>         | Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clarification sought for Heading 3.3, i.e. structure of this Section. <i>(Inferred)</i> .   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>591</b>         | Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.1. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.22.1 <i>(inferred)</i> .  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>591</b>         | Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.2. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.22.2 <i>(inferred)</i> .  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>591</b>         | Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated  | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.3. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.22.3 <i>(inferred)</i> .  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>591</b>         | Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated  | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.4. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.22.4 <i>(inferred)</i> .  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>591</b>         | Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated  | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.5. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.22.5 <i>(inferred)</i> .  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>591</b>         | Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated  | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.1. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That Rarangi Golf Club land is excluded from Standard 3.3.23.1.<br><br>That other alternatives to alter the proposed Marlborough Environment Plan are considered that would achieve the same outcome as provided above, including but limited to an appropriate designation over all or part of the land concerned. |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>591</b>         | Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated  | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23.2 ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>591</b>         | Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated  | 11    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23.3 ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>591</b>         | Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated  | 12    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23.4 ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>591</b>         | Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated  | 13    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23.5 ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>591</b>         | Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated  | 14    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23.6 ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>50</b>          | Michael Rattray   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone   | 16.7.2.   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | 4. Recommendation<br><br>The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. Include a no pump-out zone at the Scallop bed (North/West of Definbach Point).  |       |          |                          |           |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision          | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|--------------------|---------|
| 50                 | Michael Rattray  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                                 | 16.7.3.            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>4. Recommendation</p> <p>The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. Include a no pump-out zone at the Scallop bed (North/West of Definbach Point).</p>  |       |          |  |                    |         |
| 516                | Bissell, Adele Rattray, Patrick and  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources |                    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Protection and heritage primarily:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the <i>Sequoiadendron giganteum</i> on the corner of valley in paddock as priority, and</li> <li>• 2 other <i>Sequoiadendron</i> and</li> <li>• 1 <i>Cupressus altantic glauca</i>.</li> </ul> <p>to be included in the MEP, with collusion and ongoing discussion with the Cemetery Trust (Jeff Hammond).</p>  |       |          |  |                    |         |
| 109                | Raupo Cafe   | 1     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)                 | Policy 15.2.1      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That existing open fires in hospitality establishments be allowed continued usage.   |       |          |  |                    |         |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction   | Guiding principles | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Guiding Principle <b>Being aware of the potential for reverse sensitivity effects between different resource uses, whether on land, or water or between the two</b> (page 1-4).   |       |          |  |                    |         |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 2     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi                     | Policy 3.1.2       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Ravensdown seeks for Council to amend the policy to only apply to a plan change to be consistent with the RMA.</p> <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 3.1.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 3.1.2 An applicant will be expected to consult early in the development of a proposal (for <del>resource consent or plan change</del>) so that cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi can be taken into account.</i></p> |       |          |  |                    |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 4.1.1:<br><br><i><del>Policy 4.1.1 – Recognise the rights of resource users by only intervening in the use of land to protect the environment and wider public interests in the environment.</del></i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited  | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 4.1.2:<br><br><i>Policy 4.1.2 Enable <del>sustainable</del> <b>the</b> use of natural resources in the Marlborough Region <b>while managing any adverse environmental effects.</b></i>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited  | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 4.1.3:<br><br><i>Policy 4.1.3 Maintain <del>and</del> <b>or</b> enhance, <b>where degraded</b>, the quality of natural resources.</i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited  | 7     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.3.8(b):<br><br><i>Policy 5.3.8 – Approve water permit applications to continue taking and using surface water when:<br/><br/>(b) the Freshwater Management Unit is not over-allocated in terms of the <b>water quantity</b> limits set in the Marlborough Environment Plan;</i> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited  | 8     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.8  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.8.  |       |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 9     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Issue 14A      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy Issue 14A.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 10    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.1.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 11    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.1.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 12    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.3.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 13    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 14.1.4:</p> <p><i>Policy 14.1.4 – Manage primary production activities to ensure they are carried out sustainably through the implementation of policies and methods (including rules establishing standards for permitted activities) <del>to</del> <b>that</b> address potential adverse effects on:</i></p>   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 14    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 14.1.7:</p> <p><i>Policy 14.1.7 – Recognise that primary production activities in rural environments may result in effects including noise, dust, smell and traffic generation, <del>but</del> <b>and</b> that these will require mitigation where they have a significant adverse effect on the environment.</i></p>                                    |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 15    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 14.1.9:</p> <p><i>Policy 14.1.9 - Manage the <b>adverse</b> effects of primary production activities to ensure the <del>environmental qualities and</del> amenity values in adjoining residential zones are <b>maintained</b> <del>not unreasonably degraded</del>, bearing in mind their location adjacent to a primary production environment.</i></p> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 16    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.3 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.3.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 17    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Issue 14B      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 14B.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 18    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.4.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 19    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 14.4.1(g):</p> <p><i>Policy 14.4.1 – Subdivision, use and development of Marlborough's rural environments should be of a density, scale, intensity and location that individually and cumulatively recognises the following elements:</i></p> <p><i>(g) noises, smells and sights of agriculture, viticulture, horticulture and forestry, <b>and rural business that support primary production activities.</b></i></p>   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 20    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 14.4.4:</p> <p><i>Policy 14.4.4 – Ensure subdivision in rural areas:</i></p> <p><i>(a) does not lead to a pattern of land uses that will adversely affect rural character and/or amenity values; <del>and</del></i></p> <p><i>(b) creates allotments of sufficient size for rural activities to predominate in rural areas; <b>and</b></i></p> <p><i><b>(c) recognises reverse sensitivity issues that may occur when sensitive (such as rural residential) locate near existing rural activities.</b></i></p> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 21    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.10.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 22    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 14.4.12:</p> <p><i><del>Policy 14.4.12 – The Omaka Valley is characterised by the following:</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(a) low, broad ridges, parts of which have been identified as having high amenity value and are included in the mapped Wairau Dry Hills Landscape;</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(b) limited building on ridgelines;</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(c) open character due to a lack of tall vegetation within the valley;</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(d) meandering watercourse patterns and topographical variation in the upper valley;</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(e) viticulture is a dominant land use;</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(f) with the exception of times around grape harvest, it is generally a low volume traffic environment;</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(g) lack of through roads;</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(h) a mix of land uses towards the lower valley where a more domesticated rural character is evident; and</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(i) roads located close to the broad ridges, giving a contained nature to the valley.</del></i></p> <p>Alternatively, that the policy is amended to meet the requirements for a policy to be the most appropriate way to achieve an objective.</p> |       |          |                                 |                |        |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited  | 23    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.14 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 14.4.14:<br/> <del>Policy 14.4.14 – The Wairau Plain is characterised by the following:</del></p> <p><del>(a) a highly productive land resource and the most intensively developed and farmed rural area in Marlborough;</del></p> <p><del>(b) an extensive area of flat land available for primary production;</del></p> <p><del>(c) an extensive floodplain and drainage network;</del></p> <p><del>(d) the large, braided Wairau River and its tributaries, floodplain terraces, associated backswamp wetlands, streams, coastal swamp deposits and minor inland sand dunes;</del></p> <p><del>(e) ground-fed springs in the lower plain;</del></p> <p><del>(f) viticulture as a dominant land use;</del></p> <p><del>(g) open character across the plain;</del></p> <p><del>(h) encompassing Marlborough's main urban centre of Blenheim;</del></p> <p><del>(i) the arterial roading network traversing the plain; and</del></p> <p><del>(j) a centrally located regional airport and New Zealand Defence Force airbase.</del></p> <p>Alternatively, that the policy is amended to meet the requirements for a policy to be the most appropriate way to achieve an objective.</p> |       |          |  |           |         |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 24    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | 14.AER.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 14.AER.1.   |       |          |  |           |         |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 25    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | 14.AER.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 14.AER.2.   |       |          |  |           |         |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 26    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | 14.AER.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 14.AER.3.   |       |          |  |           |         |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 27    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the intent of Issue 15A is retained but that the following amendment (strike-through) to the last paragraph explanation under the heading <i>Rural Activities</i> is made:<br><br><i><del>There is the potential for rural activities to change and intensify in the future. For example, in many other regions there has been a change from traditional pastoral farming to dairy farming. This has led to water quality degradation, especially in lowland streams and for groundwater.</del></i> |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 28    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 14.4.14:<br><br><i>Objective 15.1a – Maintain and where <del>necessary enhance</del> <b>degraded improve</b> water quality in Marlborough's rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters, so that:</i>  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 29    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1b | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Objective 15.1b:<br><br><i>Objective 15.1b - Maintain or <b>where degraded</b> enhance freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual median nitrate concentration is &lt;1 milligram nitrate-nitrogen per litre and the annual 95th percentile concentration is &lt;1.5 milligrams nitrate-nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</i>                           |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 30    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1c | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Objective 15.1c:<br><br><i>Objective 15.1c – Maintain <b>or where degraded, enhance</b> freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual median ammonia concentration is &lt;0.03 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre and the annual maximum concentration is &lt;0.05 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</i>                       |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 31    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1d | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Objective 15.1d:<br><br><i>Objective 15.1d - Maintain or <b>where degraded</b>, enhance freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual median E. coli level is &lt;260 per 100 ml, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</i>  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 32    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1e | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Objective 15.1e:<br><br><i>Objective 15.1e - Maintain or <b>where degraded</b> enhance freshwater water quality in waterbodies valued for primary contact recreation so that the 95th percentile E. coli level is &lt;540 per 100 ml, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</i>   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 33    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 15.1.2(c):<br><br><i>Policy 15.1.2 – Apply water quality classifications (and water quality standards) to all surface water, groundwater and coastal water resources, which reflect: (c) where water quality has already been degraded <b>by human activities</b>, the uses and values that are to be restored.</i>  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 34    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.3.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 35    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.3        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Method 15.M.3:<br><br><i>15.M.3 Investigations</i><br><br><i>To undertake catchment-specific research to establish the capacity of fresh waterbodies to assimilate total contaminant loads from within each catchment. <b>This will also be informed by</b> the objectives and management purpose established for the waterbody and the uses and values supported by the waterbody. <del>will both assist to determine the sensitivity of the waterbody to increases in contaminant loads. Given their association with rural land uses and Marlborough's history of primary production,</del> <b>Research into nutrients is a priority. and it</b> may also be necessary to prioritise heavy metals in urban catchments, given the prevalence of such metals in urban stormwater, as well as sediment loads in rivers flowing into sensitive receiving environments, such as the enclosed coastal waters of the Marlborough Sounds.</i> |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 36    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 15.1.4:<br><br><i>Policy 15.1.4 – <del>Take action to e</del><b>Enhance</b> water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1b within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:</i>  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 37    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.5 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 15.1.5:<br><i>Policy 15.1.5 – <del>Take action to</del><b>e</b>Enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1d within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 38    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 15.1.6:<br><i>Policy 15.1.6 <del>Take action to</del><b>e</b>Enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1e within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative.</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 39    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 15.1.7:<br><i>Policy 15.1.7 – <del>Take action to</del><b>e</b>Enhance water quality in the rivers identified in Tables 15.1 and 15.2 so that water quality is suitable for the purposes specified in Policy 15.1.1 within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative.</i> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 40    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.8  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.8.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 41    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.25 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.25.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 42    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.26 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.26.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 43    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.27 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.27.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 44    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.33 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 15.1.33 as it is redundant and Policy 15.1.34 provides an effects based approach to dairy farming activities:<br><br><i>Policy 15.1.33 - Require land use consent for the establishment and operation of any new dairy farm.</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 45    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.34 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 15.1.34:<br><br><i>Policy 15.1.34 - Approve land use consent applications for new dairy farms <b>and intensive farming activities</b> where the proposed farming <b>activity</b> would have no more than minor adverse effects on <b>can identify potential risks to</b> ground or surface water quality or on significant wetlands. A land use consent application must identify the risks of new dairy farming and provide measures to address those risks, including as a minimum:</i><br><i>(a) measures (including fences, bridges or culverts) to prevent stock entering onto or passing across the bed of any river or lake, significant wetland, or any drain or the Drainage Channel Network;</i><br><i>(b) provision of an appropriate, non-grazed buffer along the margins of any river, lake, significant wetland, drain or the Drainage Channel Network, to intercept the runoff of contaminants from grazed pasture, with reference to the values of fresh waterbodies as identified in Appendix 5;</i><br><i>(c) provision for storage of dairy effluent, with all storage ponds sufficiently sized to enable deferral of application to land until soil conditions are such that surface runoff and/or drainage do not occur;</i><br><i>(d) demonstration of appropriate separation distances between effluent storage ponds and any surface waterbodies to ensure contamination of water does not occur (including during flood events); and</i><br><i>(e) a nutrient management plan that includes nutrient inputs from dairy effluent, animal discharges, fertiliser and any other nutrient input.</i><br><b>preparing and implementing a Farm Environment Plan as set out in Appendix X, made available to Marlborough District Council upon request is a Permitted Activity.</b><br><br>It is noted that the submission does not include details for the content of Appendix X. |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 46    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.18        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 15.M.18.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 47    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.23        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 15.M.23.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 48    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.21        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to 15.M.21:</p> <p><i>15.M.21 Information</i><br/> <i>Provide information, including guidelines, to landowners, resource users and the public:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>• to generally promote awareness of water quality issues; and</i></li> <li><i>• to encourage the adoption of appropriate land management practices to minimise <b>any adverse effects of non-point source discharges. This includes promoting industry Codes of Practice and industry guidelines and encouraging the adoption of Agreed Good Management Practices. (Industry Agreed Good Management Practices, Sept 2015 have been development and documented by the Primary industry sector groups in conjunction with Canterbury Regional Council.)</b></i></li> </ul> <p><i>Although the focus of this method will be on rural resource users, the information will also be applicable to residential situations (in both rural and urban environments).</i></p> <p><i>Provide information on the benefits of retiring and planting riparian margins. This will include information on the appropriate width of riparian margins and suitable plant species, taking into account the variation in the nature of waterbodies/coastal waters and the adjoining rural land uses. Information on options for formally protecting retired riparian margins can also be provided.</i></p> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 49    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.24   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to 15.M.24 and amend 15. M.21 (submission point #48) to include support for the Industry Agreed Good Management Practices, Sept 2015, and industry guidelines and Codes of Practice.</p> <p><del><i>15.M.24 Codes of practice and industry guidelines</i></del><br/> <del><i>Advocate to rural industry groups that they, locally or nationally, prepare and adopt codes of practice or other guidelines aimed at reducing the effects of non-point source discharges where they do not already exist.</i></del></p>  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 50    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.25   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 15.M.25:</p> <p><i>15.M.25 <del>Farm Environment</del> Management plans for dairy farming <b>intensively farmed livestock</b></i><br/> <del>Water Quality Management</del> <b>Farm Environment</b> Plans can be used as a means of demonstrating on an ongoing basis that any adverse effects on water quality resulting from dairy <b>and intensively farmed livestock</b> will be avoided, remedied or sufficiently mitigated. They provide the ability to consider all farm management practices with the potential to adversely affect surface or groundwater quality or wetlands and manage these risks in an integrated way. <del>This also enables the dairy farmer to progressively plan farm upgrades based on priority or in the case of new farms, at the time of establishment. Water Quality Management Plans can be used to support applications for land use consent to convert the use of land to dairying.</del></p> <p><b>The Farm Environment Plan should include:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Nutrient Management Plans. <b>These</b> will be required as a means to demonstrate how nutrient inputs associated with dairy <b>and intensively farmed livestock</b> are to be managed to ensure any adverse effects on water quality will be avoided, remedied or mitigated. Nutrient Management Plans should be written documents that incorporate a nutrient budget developed by <del>an accredited nutrient adviser</del> <b>a Certified Nutrient Management Advisor</b> using OVERSEER® or similar. This should describe how the major plant nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus, sulphur and potassium) and any other nutrients of importance to specialist crops will be managed (including all sources of nutrient - for example, discharges from farm dairy effluent systems, animal discharges and/or atmospheric nitrogen fixation.</i></li> <li>• <b>Good management plans.</b></li> <li>• <b>Riparian management plans.</b></li> <li>• <b>Details of the property including location of waterbodies.</b></li> </ul> |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 51    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15F     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to the 4th paragraph of the explanation for Issue 15F:</p> <p><i>Soil contains essential mineral elements required by plants and animals. An inevitable consequence of the productive use of soil is that, at some time in the future, soils will become unable to sustain high levels of production unless those nutrients are replaced. Soil depletion refers to the reduction of soil nutrients to a level where their potential to sustain primary production is adversely affected. Although fertiliser use has decreased over time in Marlborough, many primary producers still apply it to maintain the nutrient status of the soil and therefore soil productivity. Excessive fertiliser application creates the potential for nutrients such as nitrogen and phosphate to runoff into adjoining rivers and wetlands or leach into underlying groundwater. <b>Council will encourage the application of fertiliser in accordance with the Code of Practice for Nutrient Management and Good Management Practices for farming activities to reduce the risk of nutrients entering surface and groundwater resources.</b></i></p>  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 52    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.4.2.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 53    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.39       | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 15.M.39:<br><br><i>15.M.39 Liaison</i><br><i>To work with established rural industry groups to develop and implement sustainable land management programmes. The initial focus will be on viticulture, pastoral farming (especially dairy and beef farming), arable farming and forestry, but may extend to other rural activities if the need arises.</i><br><br><i>Encouraging group members to <del>practice</del> <b>use</b> nutrient budgeting (with the exception of the forestry industry) as a way of understanding the nutrient cycling, inputs and losses from their activities will be a priority.</i><br><br><i>Farm management plans may assist rural property owners to identify appropriate responses to soil erosion issues on their land. The Council may help to develop such plans if requested.</i><br><br><i>Liaise with the Department of Conservation regarding any soil erosion issues on Crown land managed for conservation purposes.</i> |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 54    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.42        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 15.M.42.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 55    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.5.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.5.1.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 56    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | Objective 16.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 16.3.  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 57    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | Policy 16.3.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 16.3.3.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 58    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | Policy 16.3.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 16.3.4.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 59    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.16.3.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.16.3.   |       |          |  |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 60    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.1.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.1.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 61    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.23.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 62    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.28.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.28.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 63    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That a new permitted activity rule is included in Chapter 3 rural Environment Zone subject to appropriate permitted activity standards. Non-compliance with a permitted activity standard should be a restricted discretionary activity, with Council limiting its discretion to the permitted activity standard not met:</p> <p><b><i>Rule 3.1.XX - Discharge of stormwater to land.</i></b></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 64    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.9.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.2.9.1.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 65    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.1.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.1.1.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 66    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.23.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.23.1 The application of fertiliser must not be applied to a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free-draining soils <b>without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b></i></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 67    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 3.3.23.2:<br><br><i>Standard 3.3.23.2 Fertiliser <b>storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</b></i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Corrosive HSR002569</b></li> <li>• <b>Oxidising (5.1.1) HSR002570</b></li> <li>• <b>Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571</b></li> <li>• <b>Toxic (6.1C) HSR002572.</b></li> </ul>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
|                    | <b>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards for:</b>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and Class 5.2) and</b></li> <li>• <b>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</b></li> </ul>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 68    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.3. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23.3.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 69    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.23.4:<br><br><i>Standard 3.3.23.4 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <b>unless there is XXX Provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b></i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
|                    | Note the submission does not provide an explanation or details as to what XXX Provision would include.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 70    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.5. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23.5.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 71    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.6. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23.6.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited  | 72    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.23.4:<br><i>Standard 3.3.23.4 The discharge must not occur into or onto a Soil Sensitive Area, <b>unless the discharge has effects that are less than minor.</b></i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited  | 73    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.26.5:<br><i><del>Standard 3.3.26.5. Ponding must not be detectable beyond 24 hours after the discharge.</del></i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited  | 74    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.26.7:<br><i>Standard 3.3.26.7 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <b>unless there is XXX Provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b></i><br><br>Note the submission does not provide an explanation or details as to what XXX Provision would include. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited  | 75    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.28.1:<br><i>Standard 3.3.28.1 The discharge must not occur into or onto a Soil Sensitive Area, <b>without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b></i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited  | 76    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.2. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.2.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited  | 77    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.3. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.3.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited  | 78    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.4. | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.4.  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 79    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.5.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.5.  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 80    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.6.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.28.6:<br><del>Standard 3.3.28.6 The discharge must not result in anaerobic soil conditions.</del>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 81    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.7.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.28.7:<br><i>Standard 3.3.28.7. The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of land to be used for the discharge must not exceed 200kg N/hectare/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <b>unless there is XXX Provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b></i><br><br>Note the submission does not provide an explanation or details as to what XXX Provision would include. |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 82    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.8.  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 83    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.9.  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 84    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.10.   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 85    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.11.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 86    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.6.3.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 3.6.3 is changed from a Discretionary Activity to a Restricted Discretionary Activity.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 87    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.6.6.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That <i>Rule 3.6.6 Quarrying and mineral extraction</i> is changed from a Discretionary Activity to a Restricted Discretionary Activity, with Councils discretion restricted to the following matters:<br><br><b>a) location;</b><br><b>b) effects of natural landscapes and amenity values;</b><br><b>c) provision of a Quarry Management Plan or Mineral Extraction Plan.</b>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 88    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.6.7.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 3.6.7 is changed from a Discretionary Activity to a Restricted Discretionary Activity.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 89    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.6.8.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That <i>Rule 3.6.8 Dairy farm established after 9 June 2016</i> is changed from a Discretionary Activity to a Restricted Discretionary Activity, with Councils discretion restricted to the following matters:<br><br><b>a) the preparation and implementation of a Farm Environment Plan as set out in Appendix X.</b><br><br>Note that the submission does not include details for a Farm Environment Plan in Appendix X. |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 90    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.1.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.1.1 as a permitted activity.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 91    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.22.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.1.22 as a permitted activity.<br><br>Note that the submission states "support in part"; however, the submission states that it supports Rule 4.1.22 <i>Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land</i> and no changes have been requested. As such, it is <b>inferred</b> that the submitter supports this rule.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 92    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.27.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.1.27 as a permitted activity.<br><br>Note that the submission states "support in part"; however, the submission states that it supports Rule 4.1.27 <i>Discharge of dairy farm effluent into or onto land</i> and no changes have been requested. As such, it is <b>inferred</b> that the submitter supports this rule.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 93    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.1.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.1.1.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 94    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 4.3.22.1:<br><i>Standard 4.3.22.1 Fertiliser <b>storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</b></i><br><b>Corrosive HSR002569</b><br><b>Oxidising (5.1.1) HSR002570</b><br><b>Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571</b><br><b>Toxic (6.1C) HSR002572.</b><br><b>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards for:</b><br><b>Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and Class 5.2) and</b><br><b>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</b> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 95    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.2. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.22.2.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 96    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.22.3:<br><i>Standard 4.3.22.3 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <b>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b></i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 97    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.5. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 4.3.22.5.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 98    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.4. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.22.4.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 99    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.25.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.25.5:<br><del><i>Standard 4.3.25.5 The discharge must not result in anaerobic soil conditions.</i></del>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 100   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.25.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.25.6:<br><i>Standard 4.3.25.6 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <b>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b></i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 101   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.1. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.1.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 102   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.2. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.2.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 103   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.3. | Support         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.3.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 104   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.4.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.4.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 105   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.5.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.27.5:<br><i><del>Standard 4.3.25.5 The discharge must not result in anaerobic soil conditions.</del></i>  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 106   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.6.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.27.6:<br><i>Standard 4.3.27.6 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <b>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b></i> |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 107   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.7.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 108   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.8.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 109   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.9.   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 110   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.10.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 111   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.6.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That <i>Rule 4.6.6 Quarrying and mineral extraction</i> is changed from a Discretionary Activity to a Restricted Discretionary Activity, with Councils discretion restricted to the following matters:</p> <p><b>a) location;</b></p> <p><b>b) effects of natural landscapes and amenity values;</b></p> <p><b>c) provision of a Quarry Management Plan or Mineral Extraction Plan.</b></p>                  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 112   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.8.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That <i>Rule 4.6.8 Dairy farm established after 9 June 2016</i> is changed from a Discretionary Activity to a Restricted Discretionary Activity, with Councils discretion restricted to the following matters:</p> <p><b>(a) The preparation and implementation of a Farm Environment Plan as set out in Appendix X.</b></p> <p>It is noted that the submission does not include details for Appendix X.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited  | 113   | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone       | 17.1.10.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the permitted activity status of Rule 17.1.10 but request amendments to be made to Standard 17.3.8.2 (submission point #114).  |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 114   | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.3.8.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 17.3.8.2:<br/> <i>Standard 17.3.8.2 Fertiliser <b>storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</b></i><br/> <b>Corrosive HSR002569</b><br/> <b>Oxidising (5.1.1) HSR002570</b><br/> <b>Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571</b><br/> <b>Toxic (6.1C) HSR002572.</b><br/> <b>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards for:</b><br/> <b>Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and Class 5.2) and</b><br/> <b>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</b></p> |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 115   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.1.13.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the permitted activity status of Rule 18.1.13 but request amendments to be made to Standard 18.3.9.2 (submission point #116).   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 116   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.3.9.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 18.3.9.2:<br/> <i>Standard 18.3.9.2 Fertiliser <b>storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</b></i><br/> <b>Corrosive HSR002569</b><br/> <b>Oxidising (5.1.1) HSR002570</b><br/> <b>Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571</b><br/> <b>Toxic (6.1C) HSR002572.</b><br/> <b>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards for:</b><br/> <b>Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and Class 5.2) and</b><br/> <b>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</b></p>                    |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 117   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.19.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the permitted activity status of Rule 19.1.19 but with the requested amendments to be made to Standard 19.3.17.2 (submission point #118).   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 118   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 19.3.17.2:<br><i>Standard 19.3.17.2 Fertiliser</i> <b>storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</b><br><b>Corrosive HSR002569</b><br><b>Oxidising (5.1.1) HSR002570</b><br><b>Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571</b><br><b>Toxic (6.1C) HSR002572.</b><br><b>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards for:</b><br><b>Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and Class 5.2) and</b><br><b>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</b> |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 119   | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.3.8.5.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 17.3.8.5:<br><i>Standard 17.3.8.5 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs)</i> <b>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b>  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 120   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.3.9.5.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 18.3.9.5:<br><i>Standard 18.3.9.5 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs)</i> <b>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b>  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 121   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 19.3.17.4:<br><i>Standard 19.3.17.4 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs)</i> <b>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b>  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 122   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions       | 25.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Ravensdown seeks for Council to delete the current definition of Intensive Farming and replace it with a definition that is practical and easy to understand. The submitter does not include an alternative definition in their submission.  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| 1090               | Ravensdown Limited   | 123   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions       | 25.        | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | That the following definition for <i>fertiliser</i> is included in the MEP:<br><br><b><i>Any substance (whether in solid or liquid form) that is described as or held out to be for, or suitable for sustaining or increasing the growth, productivity or quality of plants or animals through the application of the following essential nutrients to plants or soils: nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sulphur, magnesium, calcium, chloride, sodium as major nutrients, or manganese, iron, zinc, copper, boron, cobalt, molybdenum, iodine, selenium as minor nutrients or fertiliser additives, and includes non-nutrient attributes of the materials used in fertiliser, but does not include substances that are plant growth regulators that modify physiological functions of plants.</i></b> |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 124   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                   | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following definition for <i>Good Management Practice</i> is included in the MEP:<br><br><b><i>Practices, procedures or use of tools which are effective at achieving the desired performance while providing for environmental responsibility. Good management practice evolves through time and results in continuous improvement as new information, technology and awareness of particular issues are developed and disseminated.</i></b><br><br><b><i>Support is given to identify these as: <u>Industry Agreed Good Management Practices</u> being the practices described in the document entitled <u>Industry-agreed Good Management Practices relating to water quality - dated September.</u></i></b>  |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 125   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                   | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following definition for <i>Certified Nutrient Management Advisor</i> is included in the MEP:<br><br><b><i>A Nutrient Management Advisor certified under the Nutrient Manager Adviser Certification Programme Ltd.</i></b>  |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>1090</b>        | Ravensdown Limited   | 126   | Volume 3 | All                              |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That a new Appendix is included in the MEP that details the matters to be included in a Farm Environment Plan. In particular, Ravensdown adopts the Appendix proposed by FANZ in its submission on the MEP.  |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>349</b>         | Philip Rayner  | 1     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.1.21.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That fireworks displays by qualified pyrotechnicians shall be permitted activities all year round.   |       |          |                                  |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| <b>318</b>         | Reade Family Holdings  | 1     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change the definition used in stream management to <u>logs with a small end diameter of greater than 100mm and at least 3.0m long.</u>   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>318</b>         | Reade Family Holdings  | 2     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.8.1.4.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <u>Describe water clarity at a point 200m downstream of the discharge must not result in significant water clarity degradation from that above the source for more than 8 hours in a day. Where significant is debated, use the black disc method (20%) as the arbitrator.</u> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>318</b>         | Reade Family Holdings  | 3     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.8.3.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change wording to add " <u>except in the case where ROWs and or access corridors exist before neighbouring land uses change</u> ".   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>318</b>         | Reade Family Holdings  | 4     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.9.7.4.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the rule.   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>318</b>         | Reade Family Holdings  | 5     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.22.1.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reword rule to state: chemical not to be discharged over flowing or static water.  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>318</b>         | Reade Family Holdings  | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3.3.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <u>State that forest preparation, planting, roading, harvesting and transportation machinery are exempt.</u>   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>318</b>         | Reade Family Holdings  | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete clause c  |       |          |                          |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| <b>318</b>         | Reade Family Holdings  | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The NES for plantation forestry handles this and should be used as being more scientifically robust.               |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>318</b>         | Reade Family Holdings  | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete clause g  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>318</b>         | Reade Family Holdings  | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.3.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this rule   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>318</b>         | Reade Family Holdings  | 11    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The NES for <u>plantation</u> forests should be used far this process.   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>318</b>         | Reade Family Holdings  | 12    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change the wording to ie <u>landing or location change and the council must be notified 5 working days before.</u> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>318</b>         | Reade Family Holdings  | 13    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change rule to allow for culvert/bridge approaches.  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>318</b>         | Reade Family Holdings  | 14    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.6.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Provide for maintenance excavation on all land.  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>318</b>         | Reade Family Holdings  | 15    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.7.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the rule  |       |          |                          |           |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>318</b>         | Reade Family Holdings   | 16           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.7.12.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Delete the rule   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>318</b>         | Reade Family Holdings   | 17           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.7.13.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Delete the rule.  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>318</b>         | Reade Family Holdings   | 18           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.7.17.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Delete the rule.  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>318</b>         | Reade Family Holdings   | 19           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.7.18.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Delete the rule   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>318</b>         | Reade Family Holdings   | 20           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.5.1.           | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Change the rule to allow for a controlled status step if (permitted activities can't be met). |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>318</b>         | Reade Family Holdings   | 21           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.6.1.           | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Change the rule to allow for a controlled status step if permitted activities can't be met.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>297</b>         | Red Barn Vineyards  | 1            | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission.                                   |              |               |   |                  |             |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision                   | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|---------|
| <b>297</b>         | Red Barn Vineyards   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3                  | Support |
| Decision Requested | I support current min flow cut offs for Wairau, Waihopai and Awatere Rivers.   |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>297</b>         | Red Barn Vineyards   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.10               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete policy (inferred).  |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>297</b>         | Red Barn Vineyards   | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.3                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission.  |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>297</b>         | Red Barn Vineyards   | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | 5.                          | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission.  |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>601</b>         | Christopher Redwood  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8164 in Guards Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.        |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>601</b>         | Christopher Redwood  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8125 in South Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>601</b>         | Christopher Redwood  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8125 in South Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>601</b>         | Christopher Redwood  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8130 in Wakatahuri; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>601</b>         | Christopher Redwood   | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8316 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>601</b>         | Christopher Redwood   | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8135 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>601</b>         | Christopher Redwood   | 7     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8164 in Guards Bay, 8125 in South Forsyth Bay, 8130 in Wakatahuri, and 8136 and 8135 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>601</b>         | Christopher Redwood   | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 2                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8164 in Guards Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>601</b>         | Christopher Redwood   | 9     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8125 in South Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>601</b>         | Christopher Redwood   | 10    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8125 in South Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| <b>601</b>         | Christopher Redwood   | 11    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8130 in Wakatahuri; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>601</b>         | Christopher Redwood   | 12    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8130 in Wakatahuri; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>601</b>         | Christopher Redwood   | 13    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 2                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8136 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>601</b>         | Christopher Redwood   | 14    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 2                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8135 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>601</b>         | Christopher Redwood   | 15    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farms 8164 in Guards Bay, 8125 in South Forsyth Bay, 8130 in Wakatahuri, and 8136 and 8135 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1022</b>        | Patricia Redwood  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8164 in Guards Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>1022</b>        | Patricia Redwood  | 2            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8125 in South Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1022</b>        | Patricia Redwood  | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8125 in South Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1022</b>        | Patricia Redwood  | 4            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8130 in Wakatahuri; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1022</b>        | Patricia Redwood  | 5            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8136 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1022</b>        | Patricia Redwood  | 6            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8135 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1022</b>        | Patricia Redwood  | 7            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8164 in Guards Bay, 8125 in South Forsyth Bay, 8130 in Wakatahuri, and 8136 and 8135 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1022</b>        | Patricia Redwood  | 8            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 2                | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8164 in Guards Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.        |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>1022</b>        | Patricia Redwood   | 9     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8125 in South Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>1022</b>        | Patricia Redwood   | 10    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8125 in South Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>1022</b>        | Patricia Redwood   | 11    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8130 in Wakatahuri; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.        |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>1022</b>        | Patricia Redwood   | 12    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8130 in Wakatahuri; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.        |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>1022</b>        | Patricia Redwood   | 13    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8136 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.       |       |          |              |              |        |
| <b>1022</b>        | Patricia Redwood   | 14    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 2 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8135 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1022</b>        | Patricia Redwood   | 15    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8164 in Guards Bay, 8125 in South Forsyth Bay, 8130 in Wakatahuri, and 8136 and 8135 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1215</b>        | Vincent Redwood  | 1     | All      | All                                     |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submissions database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1091</b>        | Redwood Development Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 21 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Business 2 Zone as mapped with the additional change of zoning for 100-102 Alabama Road from Residential 2 Zone to Business 2 Zone.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>147</b>         | Kaye Register  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                         | 2.11.4.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | than general rule 2.11.4 be removed and deleted from the Marlborough Environment Plan  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>147</b>         | Kaye Register  | 2     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                         | 2.11.5.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | that the General Rule 2.11.5 be omitted and deleted from the proposed environment Plan   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>147</b>         | Kaye Register  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.7.4.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | that the proposed prohibited Activities section Volume 2 chapter 3 3.7.4 be omitted and deleted from the proposed Marlborough Environment plan   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>147</b>         | Kaye Register  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.7.5.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the proposed prohibited activities under Volume 2, Chapter 3 point 3.7.5 be deleted and omitted entirely from the plan  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>148</b>         | Kaye Register  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                         | 2.9.9.1.      | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the clause be amended to allow for stock behind a wire being able to be grazed.</p> <p>The definition of intensively farmed animals is flawed.</p> <p>In our case the animals are conservatively no intensively farmed this definition in the clause being the stumbling block to fully utilising our property and financial viability.</p>  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>148</b>         | Kaye Register  | 2     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.9.9.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>that provision 2.9.9.2 is removed as there is no way of measuring these clarity issues under (a), (b) or (c), how or who would collect this data, (eg change in reflectance on an overcast day) under what procedures or reference to historical readings in the area or base would there be to compare them to? Is the Council going to collect this data to gain a base line for the landowners to work from and ensure this is correctly monitored?</p>  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>148</b>         | Kaye Register  | 3     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.9.9.3.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the provision 2.9.9.3 be deleted, and the clause regarding mixing or entering or passing of stock over the bed of river for the purposes of recording the average carbonised levels due to organic compounds, and clause (a), (b), (c) and (d) are unable to be calculated.</p> <p>Will Council gather base line readings to give the necessary historical base line figures to ensure the readings are average for this property given the large expanse of native bush directly influencing the flow and what enters this waterway. Will reading then be taken before the river or waterway enters our property as well to ensure it is the livestock on this property effecting this and not the animals pigs goats etc that inhabit the surrounding area or the forest run-off from recently upgraded roads or skid sites altering the readings?</p> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>485</b>         | Donald and Kaye Register   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.21.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The submission does not include a decision requested.</p> <p><b><i>It is inferred that the decision requested is that intensively farmed livestock should be able to enter onto, or pass across, the bed of a river when water is flowing in it.</i></b></p>  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>568</b>         | Bevan Gordon Reid  | 1     | All      | All                      |           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>This information is included to complete the submission database only.</p> <p>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.</p>  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>1092</b>        | REM Limited  | 1     | All      | All                      |           | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                            | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                                    |              |         |
| <b>1023</b>        | P Rene   | 1     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone         | 4.1.23.      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>A new Standard is added to this Rule as follows -</p> <p><i>" The application of a vertebrate toxic agent must not occur on private land in any of the following locations -</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Rongitoto Block 6b2b (D'urville Island) or</i></li> <li>• <i>Tinui Island (islet off D'urville Island) or</i></li> <li>• <i>BLOCK: Motuiti (Victory Island - MEP Map 90), Hautai Island (MEP Map 96), Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island (MEP Map 89), Araiawa (Fin Island - MEP Map 92), Rahonui Island (Map 92), Tapararere Island (Map 97), Te Horo (MEP Map 96 &amp; 97), Anatakapu Island (MEP Map 97), Te Kurukuru (Stewart Island - MEP Map 93) and Kaitaore Islands [Durville Islets or islets near Durville] (MEP Map 90)."</i></li> </ul> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |          |                                    |              |         |
| <b>1023</b>        | P Rene   | 2     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space     | Policy 9.1.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                    |              |         |
| <b>1023</b>        | P Rene   | 3     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3.           | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>1) kaitiakitanga, to be recognised and implemented and developed as a legal RMA framework.... by proper forum, before this plan becomes operational.</p> <p>2) 'Public access' not supported by whanau who hold riparian rights in private ownership</p> <p>3) Cultural heritage and heritage should not be confused as being the same</p> <p>4) there is the need for a forum to highlight why all approaches are dysfunctional.</p>   |       |          |                                    |              |         |
| <b>1023</b>        | P Rene   | 4     | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone               | 19.1.16.     | Oppose  |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|--------|---------|-----------|------|
| Decision Requested | <p>A new Standard is added to this Rule as follows -</p> <p><i>" The application of a vertebrate toxic agent must not occur on private land in any of the following locations -</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>• Rongitoto Block 6b2b (D'urville Island) or</i></li> <li><i>• Tinui Island (islet off D'urville Island) or</i></li> <li><i>• BLOCK: Motuiti (Victory Island - MEP Map 90), Hautai Island (MEP Map 96), Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island (MEP Map 89), Araiawa (Fin Island - MEP Map 92), Rahonui Island (Map 92), Tapararere Island (Map 97), Te Horo (MEP Map 96 &amp; 97), Anatakapu Island (MEP Map 97), Te Kurukuru (Stewart Island - MEP Map 93) and Kaitaore Islands [Durville Islets or islets near Durville] (MEP Map 90)."</i></li> </ul> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |        |         |           |      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>1023</b>        | P Rene   | 5     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>4.1.8 Farming - Permitted activities - D'Urville Island be added as subset of 4.1.</p> <p>That the following 'existing activities' be added as permitted activities as a subset of 4.1.8</p> <p>4.1.8.1 permitted activities</p> <p>4.1.8.1.1 garage for farm vehicles/machinery</p> <p>4.1.8.1.2 wood storage shed</p> <p>4.1.8.1.3 dog kennels</p> <p>4.1.8.1.4 woolsheds</p> <p>4.1.8.1.5 chicken shed/shelter</p> <p>4.1.8.1.6 boat shed</p> <p>4.1.8.1.7 workshop</p> <p>4.1.8.1.8 pig pens</p> <p>4.1.8.1.9 milking shed</p> <p>4.1.8.2.0 communications wifi/cellular/personal radio repeater shed</p> <p>4.1.8.2.1 stock yards</p> <p>4.1.8.2.2 domestic water storage</p> <p>4.1.8.2.3 mail shed</p> <p>4.1.8.2.4 fences</p> <p>4.1.8.2.5 wind turbines/hydro-generation,solar,diesel generator shed</p> <p>4.1.8.2.6 farm storage sheds hay,saddles etc</p> <p>4.1.8.2.7 meat shed</p> <p>4.1.8.2.8 windturbines</p> <p>4.1.8.2.9 windmill water pump</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1023</b>        | P Rene   | 6     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Change the zoning of private lands (maori) on the following to "<i>Coastal Environment Zone</i>" -</p> <p>BLOCK: Motuiti (Victory Island - MEP Map 90), Hautai Island (MEP Map 96), Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island (MEP Map 89), Araiawa (Fin Island - MEP Map 92), Rahonui Island (Map 92), Tapararere Island (Map 97), Te Horo (MEP Map 96 &amp; 97), Anatakapu Island (MEP Map 97), Te Kurukuru (Stewart Island - MEP Map 93) and Kaitaore Islands [Durville Islets or islets near Durville] (MEP Map 90).</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1023</b>        | P Rene   | 7     | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone       | 19.5.     | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new Prohibited Activity as follows -<br><i>" The application of a vertebrate toxic agent on private land in any of the following locations -</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>• Rongitoto Block 6b2b (D'urville Island) or</i></li> <li><i>• Tinui Island (islet off D'urville Island) or</i></li> <li><i>• BLOCK: Motuiti (Victory Island - MEP Map 90), Hautai Island (MEP Map 96), Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island (MEP Map 89), Araiawa (Fin Island - MEP Map 92), Rahonui Island (Map 92), Tapararere Island (Map 97), Te Horo (MEP Map 96 &amp; 97), Anatakapu Island (MEP Map 97), Te Kurukuru (Stewart Island - MEP Map 93) and Kaitaore Islands [Durville Islets or islets near Durville] (MEP Map 90)."</i> </li> </ul> <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1023</b>        | P Rene  | 8     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Prohibited Activity as follows -<br><i>" The application of a vertebrate toxic agent on private land in any of the following locations -</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>• Rongitoto Block 6b2b (D'urville Island) or</i></li> <li><i>• Tinui Island (islet off D'urville Island) or</i></li> <li><i>• BLOCK: Motuiti (Victory Island - MEP Map 90), Hautai Island (MEP Map 96), Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island (MEP Map 89), Araiawa (Fin Island - MEP Map 92), Rahonui Island (Map 92), Tapararere Island (Map 97), Te Horo (MEP Map 96 &amp; 97), Anatakapu Island (MEP Map 97), Te Kurukuru (Stewart Island - MEP Map 93) and Kaitaore Islands [Durville Islets or islets near Durville] (MEP Map 90)."</i> </li> </ul> <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1023</b>        | P Rene  | 9     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.1.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Permitted Activities, and associated Standards, to apply to areas around d'urville Island and around it's surrounding Islets: Motuiti, Hautai, Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island, Rahonui Island, Tapararere Island, Te Horo, Anatakapu Island, Te Kurukuru and Kaitaore Islands as follows -<br>as sub parts of 16.1 being 16.1.24 [new permitted activity]<br>16.1.25 permitted activity='kaitiakitanga'<br>16.1.26 Kaitiakitanga - customary/cultural landscape<br>16.1.28 iwi management plans/ kaitiakitanga plans<br>16.1.29 community wellbeing and with all as permitted activities<br>As subset parts of ;16.1.24, 16.1.25, 16.1.26, 16.1.28, 16.1.29   |       |          |                            |           |                 |

16.1.24.1 For Durville Island and its surrounding Islets

16.1.24.1.1 permitted activities

16.1.24.1.1.1 unloading/loading of stock,vehicles,machinery,farm produce,goods, wool bales,buildings,materials, by barge, for property owners -Durville Is or it's surrounding Islets

16.1.24.1.1.2 droving of stock O'urville islanci only 16.1.24.1.1.3 boundary fences. D'urville Island,only

16.1.24.1.1.4 launching of boats, where the boat owner is also the adjacent property owner Durville Is only

16.1.24.1.1.5 ensuring options for making 'safe' boats and vessels of local property owners, via moorings, permanent anchoring, a boat ramp, or jetty, adjacent to the owners property D'urville and islets

16.1.24.1.1.6 'anchor-to-shore' small craft/dingy line[d'urville island surrounding Islets] .D'urville

16.1.24.1.1.7 existing use rights, of the RMA

16.1.24.1.1.8 options [16.1.24.1.1.1 - 16.1.24.1.1.7 inclusive] must be managed way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being and for their health and safety.

16.1.25.1 1 Kaitiakitanga - Rangitoto ki te tonga/d'urville island and surrounding Islets [kaitiakitanga 16.1.25.1: subject to 16.1.25.1.1.1.a, 16.1.25.1.1.1.b, 16.1.25.1.1.1.c]

16.1.25.1.1 permitted activities

16.1.25.1.1.1 Kaitiakitanga [riparian rights continue to be held in private ownership of whanau] for Durville Island and it's surrounding Islets, as the 'Customary rights' markers & rohe marker for whanau/hapu/lwi of Ngati Koata [coastal area interface -rohe-location]

16.1.25.1.1.2 Kaitiakitanga -cultural activities(coastal area -rohe-location) [subject to criteria 16.1.25.1.1.1.1d] 16.1.25.1.1.3 kaitiakitanga - customary practices [coastal area -rohe-location] (subject to criteria 16.1.25.1.1.1.1d) 16.1.25.1.1.4 kaitiakitanga - Moorings [coastal area -rohe-location]

16.1.25.1.1.5 kaitiakitanga - Maitaitai, taiapure,rahui. (coastal area -rohe-location)

16.1.25.1.1.6 Kaitiakitanga - cultural landscape [subject to criteria 16.1.25.1.1.1.1d]

16.1.25.1.1.1.1 Kaitiakitanga criteria/standards(16.1 .25.1.1.1.1a - 16.1.25.1.1.1.1d inclusive)

16.1.25.1.1.1.1a Kaitiakitanga content ='Traditions and cultural'-Rangatiratanga ,Tikanga' of [whanau/hapu/lwi of Ngati Koata]

16.1.25.1.1.1.1b kaitiakitanga - community = [whanau/hapu/lwi of Ngati Koata]

16.1.25.1.1.1.1c kaitiakitanga - Location = rohe of Durville Is and surrounding Islets and includes, their ancestral lands, sites, waters, waahi tapu, and other taonga

16.1.25.1.1.1.1d under kaitiakitanga 16.1.25.1.1:

16.1.25.1.1.2 must be consistent with 16.1.25.1.1.1.1b, and 16.1.25.1.1.1.1c 16.1.25.1.1.3 must be consistent with 16.1.25.1.1.1.1b, and 16.1.25.1.1.1.1c  
16.1.25.1.1.6 must be consistent with 16.1.25.1.1.1.1b, and 16.1.25.1.1.1.1c

else Kaitiakitanga must not happen where the rohe is 'rangitoto ki te tonga'/d'urville island and includes the following blocks Tinui Island, Motuiti, Hautai, Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island, Araiawa, Rahonui,

Tapararere, Te Horo, Anatakapu, Te Kurukuru and Kaitaore Islands

16.1.25.1.1.7 existing use rights, of the RMA

16.1.25.1.1.8 options (16.1.25.1.1.1 - 16.1.25.1.1.7, inclusive) must be managed way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being and for their health and safety.

16.1.29.1. community wellbeing [being owners at d'urville island and surrounding islands; block Motuiti, Hautai, Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island, Araiawa, Rahonui, Tapararere, Te Horo, Anatakapu, Te Kurukuru and Kaitaore Islands]

16.1.29.1.1 Permitted Activities

16.1.29.1.1.1 right to apply for and occupy the coastal marine area, for use as boat ramp, where the ramp applicant is also the adjacent property owner Durville Is

16.1.29.1.1.2 right to apply for, occupy and use coastal space, for a jetty, adjacent to property, of the jetty owner, for loading and unloading of vessels, for servicing property at Durville Is or surrounding Islet [tinui Island]

16.1.29.1.1.3 permanent anchorage, by owners of adjacent property-Durville Is

16.1.29.1.1.4 right to apply for and operate a mooring, adjacent to property of the mooring owner, or servicing property at Durville Is or Islet [tinui Island]

16.1.29.1.1.5 surveillance equipment

16.1.29.1.1.6 'anchor-to-shore' small craft/dingy line (d'urville island]

16.1.29.1.1.7 moorings, where that site is adjacent to property of the owner - D'urville Island

16.1.29.1.1.8 option to apply for moorings/weka management where no bylaw for managing swing moorings (Durville Is or tinui Island]

16.1.29.1.1.9 'anchor-to-shore' small craft/dingy line Islets surrounding Durville island,

16.1.29.1.2.1 recreational fishing parks, marine management parks (be subject to 16.1.25.1.1 and 16.1.29.1.2.1]

16.1.29.1.2.1 existing use rights, of the RMA

### Standards

16.1.29.1.2.2 options (16.1.29.1.1.1 - 16.1.29.1.2.1, inclusive) must be managed way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being and for their health and safety

|                    |   |    |          |                            |           |                 |
|--------------------|---|----|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
|                    | 16.1.26 Kaitiakitanga - customary/cultural landscape  |    |          |                            |           |                 |
|                    | 16.1.26.1 permitted activity  |    |          |                            |           |                 |
|                    | 16.1.26.1.1 Kaitiakitanga   |    |          |                            |           |                 |
|                    | 16.1.28 iwi management plans / kaitiakitanga plans  |    |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1023</b>        | P Rene  | 10 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (b) of the Standard as follows -<br><br>" (b) indigenous vegetation dominated by manuka, kanuka, tauhinu, bracken fern and silver tussock, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than <del>20</del> <b>50</b> years in age;"                    |    |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1023</b>        | P Rene  | 11 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.7.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new sections to permitted activities 2.7<br>2.7.11 customary activities Durville Island<br>2.7.12 placement of eel basket, bed of lake. Durville Island<br>2.7.13 eeling Durville Island<br>2.7.14 Kaitiakitanga Durville Island<br>2.7.15 recreational activities<br><br>2.7.16 drinking water take existing use W65 |    |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1023</b>        | P Rene  | 12 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.17.2.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under heading 2.17.2 as follows -<br><br>"Not in a W65 area".  |    |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1023</b>        | P Rene  | 13 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.38.3.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | 2.38.3 add words <b>[or wetlands]</b> after text ".....bed of a lake or river".<br><br>(The submission appears to be on a provision other than that specified but the correct provision was not able to be inferred.)   |    |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1023</b>        | P Rene  | 14 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.1.  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>The Standard is amended as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"No more than one residential dwelling must be constructed or sited per Computer Register, <b>except on rural farms on D'urville Island the following are allowed for:</b></i></p> <p><b><i>(1) small (one room-4 bunk) farm baches for workers;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(2) shearing quarters (housing)."</i></b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1023               | P Rene  | 15    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following 'existing activities', as per the below structure or flow charted as per 'drop down' structure, for convenience of access or documentation layout practicability</p> <p>4.1 permitted activities, as a sub part of 4.1 or;</p> <p>as a sub part of,</p> <p>a new added 'permitted activity' of</p> <p>'4 .coastal environment Zone'</p> <p>4.1.8 Farming - permitted activities.- D'urville Island &lt;new permitted activity as added&gt;</p> <p><u>Existing Activities</u></p> <p>4.1.56 garage for farm vehicles/machinery [4.1.8.1]</p> <p>4.1.57 wood storage shed [4.1.8.2]</p> <p>4.1.58 dog kennels [4.1.8.3]</p> <p>4.1.59 woolsheds [4.1.8.4]</p> <p>4.1.60 chicken shed/shelter [4.1.8.5]</p> <p>4.1.61 boat shed [4.1.8.6]</p> <p>4.1.62 workshop [4.1.8.7]</p> <p>4.1.63 pig pens [4.1.8.8]</p> <p>4.1.64 milking shed [4.1.8.9]</p> <p>4.1.65 communications wifi/cellular/personal radio repeater shed [4.1.9.0]</p> <p>4.1.66 stock yards [4.1.9 .1]</p> <p>4.1.67 domestic water storage [4.1.9.2]</p> <p>4.1.68 mail shed [4.1.9.3]</p> <p>4.1.69 fences [4.1.9.4]</p> <p>4.1.70 wind turbines/hydro-generation ,solar,diesel generator shed[4.1.9.5]</p> <p>4.1.71 farm storage sheds hay, saddles etc [4.1.9.6 ]</p> <p>4.1.72 meat shed [4.1.9.7]</p> <p>4.1.73 windturbines [4.1.9.8]</p> <p>4.1.74 windmill water pump [4.1.9.8]</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1023               | P Rene  | 16    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.2.  | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision                                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) -<br><br><i>"The maximum height of a building or structure must not exceed 40m."</i><br><br><i>(It is not clear in the Submission what specific change, increase or decrease, is sought.)</i> |       |          |                            |   |                 |
| <b>1024</b>        | P Rene   | 1     | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone       | 19.1.18.                                    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Standard to this Rule as follows -<br><br><b><i>"The discharge must not be in or on a Significant Wetland on D'urville Island."</i></b>  |       |          |                            |   |                 |
| <b>1024</b>        | P Rene   | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | High Priority Waterbodies for Public Access | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Request further information on this Overlay.   |       |          |                            |   |                 |
| <b>1024</b>        | P Rene   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Freshwater Management Unit 5                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Request further information on this Overlay.   |       |          |                            |   |                 |
| <b>1025</b>        | P Rene   | 1     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Permitted Activity as follows -<br><br><b><i>"Kiatiakitanga."</i></b>  |       |          |                            |   |                 |
| <b>1297</b>        | Dawn Janice Rentoul  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.36.                                     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I would like to see the rule retracted an withdrawn, as not at all fair to my property, so would like it to be overturned in my favour.  |       |          |                            |   |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision      | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|----------------|--------|
| <b>1297</b>        | Dawn Janice Rentoul  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.36.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I would like to see the standard retracted and withdrawn, as not at all fair to my property, so would like it to be overturned in my favour.   |       |          |                          |                |        |
| <b>1297</b>        | Dawn Janice Rentoul  | 3     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps              | Zoning Map 61  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Zone my property at 2262 State Highway 63 Wairau Valley (Lot 1 DP 3323 and Pt Section 16 SO 2928 Wairau Valley Dist) Rural Environment.  |       |          |                          |                |        |
| <b>1297</b>        | Dawn Janice Rentoul  | 4     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps              | Zoning Map 161 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Zone my property at 2262 State Highway 63 Wairau Valley (Lot 1 DP 3323 and Pt Section 16 SO 2928 Wairau Valley Dist) Rural Environment.  |       |          |                          |                |        |
| <b>1093</b>        | Rewa Rewa Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps              | Zoning Map 76  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The proposal is rezone a portion of the land from Coastal Environment Zone to Coastal Living Zone, to reflect the land identified as appropriate for residential development.</p> <p>The area sought to be rezoned is identified within <b>Appendix 1</b> attached to this submission.</p> <p>The primary relief sought by Rewa Rewa Limited is to replace the Coastal Living Zone shown on the Zoning Map with that shown on the plan attached within <b>Appendix 1</b>.</p> |       |          |                          |                |        |
| <b>670</b>         | Dean Reynish   | 1     | All      | All                      |                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                          |                |        |
| <b>732</b>         | Graeme Kenneth Riach   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone   | 16.7.2.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i></p>  |       |          |                          |                |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| <b>732</b>         | Graeme Kenneth Riach   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                                  | 16.7.3.                     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>4000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm." |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1094</b>        | Richards Family Trust  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8488 in Clarice Island; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1094</b>        | Richards Family Trust  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8491 in Waitaria Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1094</b>        | Richards Family Trust  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8491 in Waitaria; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1094</b>        | Richards Family Trust  | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8488 in Clarice Island and 8491 in Waitaria Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1094</b>        | Richards Family Trust  | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8488 in Clarice Island; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1094</b>        | Richards Family Trust  | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8488 in Clarice Island; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                           |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1094</b>        | Richards Family Trust   | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8491 in Waitaria Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                             |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1094</b>        | Richards Family Trust   | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8491 in Waitaria Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                             |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1094</b>        | Richards Family Trust   | 9     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8488 in Clarice Island and 8491 in Waitaria Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>422</b>         | Jan Richardson  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.5.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>422</b>         | Jan Richardson  | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.3.6.1.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 4.3.6.1 (c) as follows (strike out and bold) - " <b>30 100 metres of the coastal marine area</b> ".  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>422</b>         | Jan Richardson  | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.6.4.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |   |              |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>422</b>         | Jan Richardson                     | 4            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>422</b>         | Jan Richardson                     | 5            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.20.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>422</b>         | Jan Richardson                     | 6            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.21.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>422</b>         | Jan Richardson                     | 7            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>422</b>         | Jan Richardson                     | 8            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>422</b>         | Jan Richardson                     | 9            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>422</b>         | Jan Richardson                     | 10           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>422</b>         | Jan Richardson                     | 11           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>422</b>         | Jan Richardson                     | 12           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| <b>422</b>         | Jan Richardson  | 13    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.3.27.8.                                 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. (Inferred)   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>422</b>         | Jan Richardson  | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.3.27.7.                                 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. (Inferred)   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>422</b>         | Jan Richardson  | 15    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | 8.M.4                                     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method. (Inferred)   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>422</b>         | Jan Richardson  | 16    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.1.10.                                   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. (Inferred)   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>422</b>         | Jan Richardson  | 17    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.1.11.                                   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. (Inferred)   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>422</b>         | Jan Richardson  | 18    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.1.30.                                   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. (Inferred)   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>422</b>         | Jan Richardson  | 19    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.1.31.                                   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. (Inferred)   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>825</b>         | Jo-Ann Rickard  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>825</b>         | Jo-Ann Rickard  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>825</b>         | Jo-Ann Rickard   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>825</b>         | Jo-Ann Rickard   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>825</b>         | Jo-Ann Rickard   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>825</b>         | Jo-Ann Rickard   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>825</b>         | Jo-Ann Rickard   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>825</b>         | Jo-Ann Rickard   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>825</b>         | Jo-Ann Rickard   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>825</b>         | Jo-Ann Rickard   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>889</b>         | Lavina Rickard   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>889</b>         | Lavina Rickard   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>889</b>         | Lavina Rickard   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>889</b>         | Lavina Rickard   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>889</b>         | Lavina Rickard   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>889</b>         | Lavina Rickard   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>889</b>         | Lavina Rickard   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>889</b>         | Lavina Rickard   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>889</b>         | Lavina Rickard   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>889</b>         | Lavina Rickard   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>535</b>         | Adele Riddle   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>535</b>         | Adele Riddle   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>535</b>         | Adele Riddle   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>535</b>         | Adele Riddle   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>535</b>         | Adele Riddle   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>535</b>         | Adele Riddle   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>535</b>         | Adele Riddle   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>535</b>         | Adele Riddle   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>535</b>         | Adele Riddle   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>535</b>         | Adele Riddle   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>826</b>         | Jade Riri  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>826</b>         | Jade Riri  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>826</b>         | Jade Riri  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>826</b>         | Jade Riri  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>826</b>         | Jade Riri  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>826</b>         | Jade Riri  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>826</b>         | Jade Riri  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>826</b>         | Jade Riri  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>826</b>         | Jade Riri  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>826</b>         | Jade Riri  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1026</b>        | Patricia Riri  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1026</b>        | Patricia Riri  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1026</b>        | Patricia Riri  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1026</b>        | Patricia Riri  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1026</b>        | Patricia Riri  | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1026</b>        | Patricia Riri  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1026</b>        | Patricia Riri  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1026</b>        | Patricia Riri  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1026</b>        | Patricia Riri  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1026</b>        | Patricia Riri  | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                   | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|---------|
| <b>28</b>          | RJA Black, JE Black and JV Dallison  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 126              | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | The Coastal Living zone be extended over Lot 2 DP 10803 to a more logical boundary based on adjoining zonings.   |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>1311</b>        | Rob Morris and the Morris Family   | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                   | 7.2.1.5.                    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted <b>(inferred)</b> .   |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb   | 1     | Volume 2 | All                                     |                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That all reference to prohibited rules taken out of the Proposed Environment Plans and replaced with other options such as discretionary.  |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb   | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That more consultation takes place with residents and landowners to re-assess the ONFLs applied to Goulter Bay/Mills Bay/Weka Point areas <b>(inferred)</b> .  |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb   | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.3.20.2.                   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.3.20.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.20.2. After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><del><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></del></p> <p>That a more common measurement form being used in the plan that is easily understood and easily carried out on the farm or in a commercial environment and all reference to the Munsell Scale removed from the plan. The submission does not include details of an alternative measurement.</p> |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A                    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the explanation for Issue 4A with regards to the success of primary industries <b>(inferred)</b> .  |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb   | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 4C <b>(inferred)</b> .  |       |          |   |                             |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources  | Policy 5.10.4   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 7     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                       | Policy 7.2.7    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through) are made to Policy 7.2.7 (a) and (c) <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.7 Protect the values of outstanding natural features and landscapes and the high amenity values of the Wairau Dry Hills and the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscapes by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) In respect of structures:</i></p> <p><i>(vi) making use of existing vegetation as a background and utilising new vegetation as a screen to reduce the visual impact of built form on the surrounding landscape, providing that the vegetation used is also in keeping with the surrounding landscape character; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) In respect of vegetation planting:</i></p> <p><i>(i) avoiding the planting of new exotic forestry in areas of outstanding natural features and landscapes in the coastal environment of the Marlborough Sounds;</i></p> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 8     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Policy 9.1.15   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That these roads should be left alone unless the landowner on whose land the road is on agrees and wishes to open it.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 9     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.4   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy.<br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 10    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.22 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.10.22 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.10.22 – The visual impact of boatsheds on the values of the coastal environment will be reduced by:</i></p> <p><i>(g) avoiding signs on boatsheds other than those assisting emergency services.</i></p>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 11    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.27 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) be made to Policy 13.10.27 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><br><i>Policy 13.10.27 – Discourage the use of concrete slab retaining walls, sheet piling, car tyres or similar for coastal protection measures and encourage instead the use of materials similar to those found naturally occurring in the area or that can be locally sourced.</i>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb   | 12    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | 14.M.6         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That 14.M.6 Monitoring animal and plant pests includes implementation plans to trap possums in all areas of Marlborough <b>(inferred)</b> .  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb   | 13    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)  | 15.M.6         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to 15.M.6 Regional Rules <b>(inferred)</b> .<br><br><b>15.M.6 Regional Rules</b><br><br><i>Apply regional rules to control the use of land in close proximity to rivers for stock grazing. This includes rules to control intensively-farmed stock from entering onto or crossing the bed of a lake or flowing river. A prohibition will be placed on this activity as from 9 June 2022.</i> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb   | 14    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)  | Policy 15.1.27 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 15.1.27 is amended so that grazing of riparian areas is encouraged in order to keep them free of weeds and pests rather than promote planting of these areas <b>(inferred)</b> .   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb   | 15    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                       | Policy 17.5.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not provide a clear decision requested but indicates greater encouragement of tourism signage sought.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb   | 16    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                       | Objective 17.6 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That provision is provided for an livestock effluent waste station between Picton and Spring Creek.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb   | 17    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.  |       |          |   |                |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 18    | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values                          |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Nydia Bay - Tawero Point is deleted from Coastal Marine Area C: Pelorus Sounds of Appendix 2 ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 19    | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Schedule 1 - Number 57 - Small Sounds Streams<br><i>Recreation Values</i><br><del>Children playing</del><br><b>Kayaking, swimming or fishing</b>   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 20    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules  | 2.6.3.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.6.3 is changed from prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 21    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules  | 2.8.1.4.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 2.8.1.4 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><i>Standard 2.8.1.4 Any discharge of sediment into water must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a change in colour of more than 5 Munsell units or a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.</i>   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 22    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules  | 2.8.1.5.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 2.8.1.5 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><i>Standard 2.8.1.5 During the period of 1 September to 31 December in any year no activity must occur within 50m of a <b>native</b> nesting bird in a lakebed or riverbed.</i><br>The submission also states that exceptions must be allowed for during floods and if very dry with work needing to be done in a river bed or lake in a short time frame. |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 23    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules  | 2.8.2.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 2.8.2.3 includes a depth of water so that machinery can be operated in flowing water. The submission does not include a depth of water.  |       |          |  |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb  | 24    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That this rule is a permitted activity.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb  | 25    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 2.9.9.2:<br/> <i>Standard 2.9.9.2 After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river, measured as follows:</i><br/> <del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del></p> <p>The submission also states that an easier type of measurement is used out on the farm or in a commercial environment. However, details of an alternative measurement have not been provided.</p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb  | 26    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.4.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.11.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb  | 27    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.5.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.11.5 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb  | 28    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.13.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 2.13.1.1:<br/> <i>2.13.1.1. No refuelling or fuel storage or the storage or placement of any hazardous substance including but not limited to oil, hydraulic fluid or other fluid lubricants must take place within 20m of water <b>except in areas that have fuel transported to their property by punt.</b></i></p>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb  | 29    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.14.7.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 2.14.7.5:<br><br><i>Standard 2.14.7.5 Removed material must be retained on adjacent channel banks for a period not less than <del>42</del>6 hours to provide opportunity for fish and animals to re-enter the drainage channel.</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb  | 30    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.20.1.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.20.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb  | 31    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the planting of the tree species listed under Standard 3.3.6.1 is a controlled activity.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb  | 32    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.7.3:<br><br><i>Standard 3.3.7.3. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(a) <del>84</del> m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i><br><i>(c) <del>200</del><b>100</b>m of the coastal marine area.</i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb  | 33    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This may be the only access to forestry - needs to be flexible. <i>(The submission does not include a specific decision requested.)</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 34    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.17. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.17:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.7.17 Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:</i><br/> <del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.</del></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 35    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.19. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.19:</p> <p><del>Standard 3.3.7.19 Within 30 days after they are no longer required to be used for harvesting, all harvesting tracks must be recovered so that the contour of the land is restored as closely as practicable to that before the harvesting or associated land disturbance.</del></p> <p>Alternatively, that the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.7.19 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p>Standard 3.3.7.19 <b>If not required in the future, <del>W</del>within 30 days after they are no longer required to be used for harvesting, all harvesting tracks must be recovered so that the contour of the land is restored as closely as practicable to that before the harvesting or associated land disturbance.</b></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 36    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the planting of the tree species listed under Standard 3.3.8.1 is a discretionary activity.</p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 37    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.12.2 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.12.2. Vegetation must not be removed by fire or mechanical means within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), <del>or lake or the coastal marine area.</del></i></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb  | 38    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.12.2(a) and a simpler recording system in its place:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.12.11 Vegetation clearance must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><del><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></del></p> <p>The submission does not include an alternative "simpler recording system" to that of the Munsell scale.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb  | 39    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.13.6:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.13.6. Cultivation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><del><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></del></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb  | 40    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>This should be a discretionary activity even for intensively farmed animals.</p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb  | 41    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Fertiliser on Soil Sensitive areas.</b> This rule will make farming non productive, provided it is spread in good weather conditions and in a sensible way this should be a discretionary activity. Some land in this category may flood once in a blue moon, in the meantime it is productive land and should be treated as such.</p> <p><i>(The submission does not include a decision requested.)</i></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb  | 42    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete Standard.</p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 43    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.31.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.31.5:<br><i>Standard 3.3.31.5 Surface run-off must not enter the pit. <b>All farm rubbish pits must be covered.</b></i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 44    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.32.6. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 45    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.4.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 3.7.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 46    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.14.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 3.7.14 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 47    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That woodlot forestry planing is change to controlled discretionary for all types of trees listed under 4.3.7.1.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 48    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.8.1:<br><i>Standard 4.3.8.1. Planting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(b) <del>84</del>m of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</i><br><i>(d) <del>200</del><b>100</b>m of the coastal marine area;</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 738                | Glenda Vera Robb   | 49    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.11. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.3.8.11:<br><i>Standard 4.3.8.11 Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:</i><br><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb  | 50    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb  | 51    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.3.12.6:<br><i>Standard 4.3.12.6 Cultivation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area measured as follows:</i><br><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i>                 |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb  | 52    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That livestock entering into, or passing across, the bed of a river of intensively farmed animals, is a discretionary activity <b>(inferred)</b> .  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb  | 53    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb  | 54    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.4. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.30.4:<br><i>Standard 4.3.30.4 Surface run-off must not enter the pit. <b>All farm rubbish pits must be covered.</b></i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb  | 55    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.31.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb  | 56    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.1.    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That Rule 4.7.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb  | 57    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.4.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 4.7.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb  | 58    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.5.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 4.7.5 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb  | 59    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.3.8.1.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 3.8.8.1:<br><i>Standard 3.3.7.3. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(a) <del>84</del> m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i><br><i>(c) <del>200</del><b>100</b>m of the coastal marine area.</i> |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb  | 60    | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone       | 18.1.3.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That freedom campers are seriously discouraged from visiting Marlborough unless booked into a camping ground with proper facilities.  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb  | 61    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone       | 19.1.3.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That freedom campers are seriously discouraged from visiting Marlborough unless booked into a camping ground with proper facilities.  |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>738</b>         | Glenda Vera Robb  | 62    | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone           | 21.3.16.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                            |            |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb  | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the explanation for Issue 4A with regards to the success of primary industries <i>(inferred)</i> .   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 4C <i>(inferred)</i> .   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb  | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 5.10.4 <i>(inferred)</i> :<br><br><del>Policy 5.10.4 Coastal occupancy charges will be imposed on coastal permits where there is greater private than public benefit arising from occupation of the coastal marine area.</del>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb  | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through) are made to Policy 7.2.7(a) and (c) <i>(inferred)</i> :<br><i>Policy 7.2.7 - Protect the values of outstanding natural features and landscapes and the high amenity values of the Wairau Dry Hills and the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscapes by:</i><br><i>(a) In respect of structures:</i><br><i>(vi) making use of existing vegetation as a background and utilising new vegetation as a screen to reduce the visual impact of built form on the surrounding landscape, providing that the vegetation used is also in keeping with the surrounding landscape character; and</i><br><i>(c) In respect of vegetation planting</i><br><del><i>(i) avoiding the planting of new exotic forestry in areas of outstanding natural features and landscapes in the coastal environment of the Marlborough Sounds;</i></del> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb  | 5     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.1.15 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That these roads should be left alone unless the landowner on whose land the road is on agrees and wishes to open it.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb  | 6     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Policy 13.3.4 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.3.4 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><i>Policy 13.3.4 Ensure recreational use has priority over commercial activities that require occupation of the coastal marine area in Queen Charlotte Sound, including Tory Channel. (This policy does not apply to areas zoned Port or Marina.)</i>   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb  | 7     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.10.22 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.10.22(g) <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><i>Policy 13.10.22 – The visual impact of boatsheds on the values of the coastal environment will be reduced by:<br/>(g) avoiding signs on boatsheds other than those assisting emergency services.</i>  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb  | 8     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.10.27 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through) are made to Policy 13.10.27 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><i>Policy 13.10.27 – Discourage the use of <del>concrete slab retaining walls</del>, sheet piling, car tyres or similar for coastal protection measures and encourage instead the use of materials similar to those found naturally occurring in the area or that can be locally sourced.</i>   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb  | 9     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | 14.M.6          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That 14.M.6 Monitoring animal and plant pests includes implementation plans to trap possums in all areas of Marlborough <b>(inferred)</b> .   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb  | 10    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.6          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to 15.M.6 Regional Rules <b>(inferred)</b> .<br><i>15.M.6 Regional Rules<br/><del>Apply regional rules to control the use of land in close proximity to rivers for stock grazing. This includes rules to control intensively-farmed stock from entering onto or crossing the bed of a lake or flowing river. A prohibition will be placed on this activity as from 9 June 2022.</del></i> |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb  | 11    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.27  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 15.1.27 is amended so that grazing of riparian areas is encouraged in order to keep them free of weeds and pests rather than promote planting of these areas <b>(inferred)</b> .  |       |          |  |                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb   | 12    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation  | Policy 17.5.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not provide a clear decision requested.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb   | 13    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation  | Objective 17.6 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That provision is provided for an livestock effluent waste station between Picton and Spring Creek.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb   | 14    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values  |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb   | 15    | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values                          |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Nydia Bay - Tawero Point is deleted from Coastal Marine Area C: Pelorus Sounds of Appendix 2 ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb   | 16    | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Schedule 1 - Number 57 - Small Sounds Streams<br><i>Recreation Values</i><br><del>Children playing</del><br><b>Kayaking, swimming or fishing</b> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb   | 17    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules  | 2.6.3.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.6.3 is changed from prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb   | 18    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules  | 2.8.1.4.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 2.8.1.4 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><i>Standard 2.8.1.4 Any discharge of sediment into water must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a change in colour of more than 5 Munsell units or a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.</i>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb  | 19    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.5.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 2.8.1.5 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><i>Standard 2.8.1.5 During the period of 1 September to 31 December in any year no activity must occur within 50m of a <b>native</b> nesting bird in a lakebed or riverbed.</i><br>The submission also states that exceptions must be allowed for during floods and if very dry with work needing to be done in a river bed or lake in a short time frame. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb  | 20    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.2.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 2.8.2.3 includes a depth of water so that machinery can be operated in flowing water. The submission does not include a depth of water.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb  | 21    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That this rule is a permitted activity.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb  | 22    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.4.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.11.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb  | 23    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.5.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.11.5 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb  | 24    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.2.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 2.9.9.2:<br><i>Standard 2.9.9.2 After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river, measured as follows:</i><br><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
|                    | The submission also states that an easier type of measurement is used out on the farm or in a commercial environment. However, details of an alternative measurement have not been provided.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb   | 25    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.13.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 2.13.1.1:<br><i>Standard 2.13.1.1. No refuelling or fuel storage or the storage or placement of any hazardous substance including but not limited to oil, hydraulic fluid or other fluid lubricants must take place within 20m of water <b>except in areas that have fuel transported to their property by punt.</b></i>                           |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb   | 26    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.14.7.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.14.7.5:<br><i>Standard 2.14.7.5 Removed material must be retained on adjacent channel banks for a period not less than <del>12</del><b>6</b> hours to provide opportunity for fish and animals to re-enter the drainage channel.</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb   | 27    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.20.1.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.20.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb   | 28    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the planting of the tree species listed under Standard 3.3.6.1 is a controlled activity.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb   | 29    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.7.3:<br><br><i>Standard 3.3.7.3. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(a) <del>84</del><b>4</b>m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i><br><i>(c) <del>200</del><b>100</b>m of the coastal marine area.</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb  | 30    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This may be the only access to forestry - needs to be flexible. <i>(The submission does not include a specific decision requested.)</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb  | 31    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.17. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.17:<br><br><i>Standard 3.3.7.17 Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:</i><br><i>(a) <del>hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.</del></i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb  | 32    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.19. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.19:<br><br><i>Standard 3.3.7.19 <del>Within 30 days after they are no longer required to be used for harvesting, all harvesting tracks must be recovered so that the contour of the land is restored as closely as practicable to that before the harvesting or associated land disturbance.</del></i><br><br>Alternatively, that the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.7.19 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><br><i>Standard 3.3.7.19 <b>If not required in the future,</b> <del>Within 30 days after they are no longer required to be used for harvesting, all harvesting tracks must be recovered so that the contour of the land is restored as closely as practicable to that before the harvesting or associated land disturbance.</del></i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb   | 33    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the planting of the tree species listed under Standard 3.3.8.1 is a discretionary activity.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb   | 37    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.12.2 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><br><i>Standard 3.3.12.2. Vegetation must not be removed by fire or mechanical means within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), <del>or lake or the coastal marine area.</del></i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb   | 38    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.13.6 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><i>Standard 3.3.13.6 After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river, measured as follows:</i><br><br><i><del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del></i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb   | 39    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This should be a discretionary activity even for intensively farmed animals.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb   | 40    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb   | 41    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.31.2 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><br><i>Standard 3.3.31.2 Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb   | 42    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.5. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.31.5:<br><i>Standard 3.3.31.5 Surface run-off must not enter the pit. <b>All farm rubbish pits must be covered.</b></i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb  | 44    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.32.6. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.32.6:<br><i>Standard 3.3.32.6 The offal pit must be completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use.</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb  | 45    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.4.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 3.7.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb  | 46    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.14.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 3.7.14 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb  | 47    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That woodlot forestry planing is change to controlled discretionary for all types of trees listed under 4.3.7.1.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb  | 48    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.8.1.<br><i>Standard 4.3.8.1. Harvesting must not be in, or within:<br/>(a) <del>84</del><b>84</b>m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);<br/>(c) <del>200</del><b>100</b>m of the coastal marine area.</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb  | 49    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.11. | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.8.11:<br><i>Standard 4.3.8.11 Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:</i><br><del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb  | 50    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb  | 51    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.12.6:<br><i>Standard 4.3.12.6 Cultivation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area measured as follows:</i><br><del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del>                 |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb  | 52    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That livestock entering into, or passing across, the bed of a river of intensively farmed animals, is a discretionary activity <b>(inferred)</b> .  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb  | 53    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.30.2 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><del>Standard 4.3.30.2 Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.</del>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb  | 54    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.4. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.30.4:<br><del>Standard 4.3.30.4 Surface run-off must not enter the pit.</del> <b>All farm rubbish pits must be covered.</b>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb  | 55    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.31.5. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.31.5:<br><i>Standard 4.3.31.5 The offal pit must be completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use.</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb   | 56    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.1.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 4.7.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb   | 57    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.4.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 4.7.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb   | 58    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.5.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 4.7.5 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb   | 59    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.3.8.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 8.3.8.1:<br><i>Standard 8.3.8.1. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(a) <del>84</del><b>4</b>m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i><br><i>(c) <del>200</del><b>100</b>m of the coastal marine area.</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>935</b>         | Melva Joy Robb   | 60    | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone       | 18.1.3.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That freedom campers are seriously discouraged from visiting Marlborough unless booked into a camping ground with proper facilities.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb   | 61    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone       | 19.1.3.                     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That freedom campers are seriously discouraged from visiting Marlborough unless booked into a camping ground with proper facilities.   |       |          |                            |                             |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb   | 62    | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone           | 21.3.16.1.                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 21.3.16.1:<br><i>Standard 21.3.16.1 The activity must be authorised by the Council through a formal agreement.</i>   |       |          |                            |                             |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb   | 63    | Volume 2 | All                        |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That all reference to prohibited rules taken out of the Proposed Environment Plans and replaced with other options such as discretionary.  |       |          |                            |                             |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb   | 64    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That more consultation takes place with residents and landowners to re-assess the ONFLs applied to Goulter Bay/Mills Bay/Weka Point areas <b>(inferred)</b> .  |       |          |                            |                             |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb   | 65    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.2.                   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.3.20.2:<br><i>Standard 4.3.20.2. After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river, measured as follows:<br/>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i><br><br>That a more common measurement form being used in the plan that is easily understood and easily carried out on the farm or in a commercial environment and all reference to the Munsell Scale removed from the plan. The submission does not include details of an alternative measurement. |       |          |                            |                             |                 |
| 935                | Melva Joy Robb   | 66    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.12.11                   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.12.2(a) and a simpler recording system in its place:<br><i>Standard 3.3.12.11 Vegetation clearance must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</i><br><del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del><br>The submission does not include an alternative "simpler recording system" to that of the Munsell scale. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>602</b>         | Colleen Robbins   | 1     | All      | All                                     |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1 <b>(inferred)</b> .  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 4C <b>(inferred)</b> .   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 5.10.4 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><del>Policy 5.10.4 Coastal occupancy charges will be imposed on coastal permits where there is greater private than public benefit arising from occupation of the coastal marine area.</del>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.7  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through) are made to Policy 7.2.7(a) and (c) <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><br><i>Policy 7.2.7 - Protect the values of outstanding natural features and landscapes and the high amenity values of the Wairau Dry Hills and the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscapes by:</i><br><br><i>(a) In respect of structures:</i><br><i>(vi) making use of existing vegetation as a background and utilising new vegetation as a screen to reduce the visual impact of built form on the surrounding landscape, providing that the vegetation used is also in keeping with the surrounding landscape character; and</i><br><br><i>(c) In respect of vegetation planting</i><br><br><i>(i) avoiding the planting of new exotic forestry in areas of outstanding natural features and landscapes in the coastal environment of the Marlborough Sounds;</i> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 5     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Policy 9.1.15   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That these roads should be left alone unless the landowner on whose land the road is on agrees and wishes to open it.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 6     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.4   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.3.4 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><br><i>Policy 13.3.4 Ensure recreational use has priority over commercial activities that require occupation of the coastal marine area in Queen Charlotte Sound, including Tory Channel. (This policy does not apply to areas zoned Port or Marina.)</i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 7     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.22 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.10.22(g) <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><br><i>Policy 13.10.22 – The visual impact of boatsheds on the values of the coastal environment will be reduced by:</i><br><br><i>(g) avoiding signs on boatsheds other than those assisting emergency services.</i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 8     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.27 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through) are made to Policy 13.10.27 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><br><i>Policy 13.10.27 – Discourage the use of <del>concrete slab retaining walls</del>, sheet piling, car tyres or similar for coastal protection measures and encourage instead the use of materials similar to those found naturally occurring in the area or that can be locally sourced.</i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 9     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | 14.M.6         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That 14.M.6 Monitoring animal and plant pests includes implementation plans to trap possums in all areas of Marlborough ( <i>inferred</i> ).  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 10    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)   | 15.M.6         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 11    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)   | Policy 15.1.27 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 15.1.27 is amended so that grazing of riparian areas is encouraged in order to keep them free of weeds and pests rather than promote planting of these areas ( <i>inferred</i> ). |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 12    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation  | Policy 17.5.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a clear decision requested.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 13    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation  | Objective 17.6 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That provision is provided for an livestock effluent waste station between Picton and Spring Creek.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 14    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values  |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 15    | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values                          |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Nydia Bay - Tawero Point is deleted from Coastal Marine Area C: Pelorus Sounds of Appendix 2 ( <i>inferred</i> ).  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 16    | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |                | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Schedule 1 - Number 57 - Small Sounds Streams<br><i>Recreation Values</i><br><del><i>Children playing</i></del><br><b><i>Kayaking, swimming or fishing</i></b>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 17    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.3.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.6.3 is changed from prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 18    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.4.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 2.8.1.4 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ):<br><i>Standard 2.8.1.4 Any discharge of sediment into water must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a change in colour of more than 5 Munsell units or a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.</i><br>The submission also states that a more common measurement is used in the Plan that is easily understood and easily carried out on the farm or in a commercial environment. However, details of an alternative measurement have not been provided. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 19    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.5.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 2.8.1.5 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ):<br><i>Standard 2.8.1.5 During the period of 1 September to 31 December in any year no activity must occur within 50m of a <b>native</b> nesting bird in a lakebed or riverbed.</i><br><i>The submission also states that exceptions must be allowed for during floods and if very dry with work needing to be done in a river bed or lake in a short time frame.</i>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 20    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.2.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 2.8.2.3 includes a depth of water so that machinery can be operated in flowing water.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 21    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 22    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.4.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.11.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a permitted activity.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 23    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.5.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.11.5 is changed from a prohibited activity to a permitted activity.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 24    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 2.9.9.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.9.9.2 After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></p> <p>The submission also states that a more common measurement is used in the Plan that is easily understood and easily carried out on the farm or in a commercial environment. However, details of an alternative measurement have not been provided.</p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 25    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.13.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 2.13.1.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.13.1.1. No refuelling or fuel storage or the storage or placement of any hazardous substance including but not limited to oil, hydraulic fluid or other fluid lubricants must take place within 20m of water <b>except in areas that have fuel transported to their property by punt.</b></i></p>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 26    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.14.7.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.14.7.5:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.14.7.5 Removed material must be retained on adjacent channel banks for a period not less than <del>42</del> <b>6</b> hours to provide opportunity for fish and animals to re-enter the drainage channel.</i></p>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 27    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.20.1.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.20.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 28    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the planting of the tree species listed under Standard 3.3.6.1 is a controlled activity.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 29    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.7.3.<br/> <i>Standard 3.3.7.3. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) <del>84</del><b>84</b>m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i></p> <p><i>(c) <del>200</del><b>100</b>m of the coastal marine area.</i></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 30    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 31    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.17. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.17:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.7.17 Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) <del>hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.</del></i></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 32    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.19. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 3.3.7.19 is deleted or simplified to read “tracks to be covered if not required in the future”.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 33    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the planting of the tree species listed under Standard 3.3.8.1 is a discretionary activity.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 34    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.9.1.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.9.1:<br><i>Standard 3.3.9.1. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(a) <del>84</del><b>4</b>m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i><br><i>(c) <del>200</del><b>100</b>m of the coastal marine area.</i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 35    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.9.10. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 36    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.9.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.9.11:<br><i>Standard 3.3.9.11 Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:</i><br><i><del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.</del></i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 37    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 38    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.13.6 ( <b>inferred</b> ):<br><i>Standard 3.3.13.6 After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river, measured as follows:</i><br><i><del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del></i>                            |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 39    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This should be a discretionary activity even for intensively farmed animals.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 40    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.   | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 41    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.31.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.31.2 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><del>Standard 3.3.31.2 Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.</del>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 42    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.31.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.31.5 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><del>Standard 3.3.31.5 Surface run-off must not enter the pit.</del> <b>The farm rubbish pit must be covered .</b>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 44    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.32.6. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.32.6:<br><del>Standard 3.3.32.6 The offal pit must be completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use.</del> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 45    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.4.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 3.7.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 46    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.14.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 3.7.14 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 47    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 48    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.1.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.8.1.<br><i>Standard 4.3.8.1. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(a) <del>84</del><b>84</b>m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i><br><i>(c) <del>200</del><b>100</b>m of the coastal marine area.</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 49    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.8.11 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><i>Standard 4.3.8.11 Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:</i><br><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i><br>Although the suggests that a simpler recording system is included instead of the Munsell scale, an alternative measurement has not been provided. |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 50    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 51    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.12.6(a) <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><i>Standard 4.3.12.6 Cultivation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area measured as follows:</i><br><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 52    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This should be a discretionary activity even for intensively farmed animals.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 53    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.30.2 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><i>Standard 4.3.30.2 Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 54    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.4. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.30.4 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><i>Standard 4.3.30.4 Surface run-off must not enter the pit. <b>The farm rubbish pit must be covered.</b></i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 55    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.31.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.31.5 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><i>Standard 4.3.31.5 The offal pit must be completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use.</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 56    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.1.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 4.7.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 57    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.4.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 4.7.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 58    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.5.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 4.7.5 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 640                | Douglas and Colleen Robbins   | 59    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.3.8.1.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 8.3.8.1.<br><i>Standard 8.3.8.1. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i><br><br><i>(a) <del>84</del><b>4</b>m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i><br><i>(c) <del>200</del><b>100</b>m of the coastal marine area.</i> |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 60    | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone                    | 18.1.3.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Freedom campers are seriously discouraged from visiting Marlborough unless booked into a camping ground with proper facilities.   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 61    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone                    | 19.1.3.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Freedom campers are seriously discouraged from visiting Marlborough unless booked into a camping ground with proper facilities.   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>640</b>         | Douglas and Colleen Robbins  | 62    | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                        | 21.3.16.1.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 21.3.16.1 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><i>Standard 21.3.16.1 The activity must be authorised by the Council through a formal agreement.</i>   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>1077</b>        | Rodney Roberts   | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a Guiding Principle as follows -<br><br><b>"Promote and facilitate appropriate economic development."</b>  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>1077</b>        | Rodney Roberts   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add (p) to this Policy as follows -<br><br><b>"Areas of significant aquaculture (areas not specified in Submission)."</b>  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>1077</b>        | Rodney Roberts   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C           | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C as follows (bold) –<br><br><i>"The use and development of natural and physical resources in the Marlborough Sounds has the potential to detract from the character and intrinsic values of this unique and iconic environment. <b>However, marine farming is part of the already heavily modified landscape/seascape of the Marlborough Sounds, and many people do not find that it detracts from character of the Marlborough Sounds.</b>"</i><br><br>(Inferred) |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1077</b>        | Rodney Roberts   | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows (bold) –<br><br><i>"The maintenance and enhancement of the visual, ecological and physical qualities that contribute to the character of the Marlborough Sounds, <b>while recognising marine farming is part of the already heavily modified landscape/seascape of the Marlborough Sounds, and many people do not find that it detracts from character of the Marlborough Sounds.</b>"</i><br><br>(Inferred)                                      |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1077</b>        | Rodney Roberts   | 6     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Issue as follows (bold) –<br><br><i>"Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins. <b>However, it is recognised that marine farming is part of the already heavily modified landscape/seascape of the Marlborough Sounds, and many people do not find that it detracts from character of the Marlborough Sounds.</b>"</i><br><br>(Inferred)      |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1077</b>        | Rodney Roberts   | 7     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows (bold) –<br><br><i>"Establish the degree of natural character in the coastal environment, and in lakes and rivers and their margins, <b>while recognising that marine farming is part of the already heavily modified landscape/seascape of the Marlborough Sounds, and many people do not find that it detracts from character of the Marlborough Sounds.</b>"</i><br><br>(Inferred)   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1077</b>        | Rodney Roberts   | 8     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to acknowledge the key role that aquaculture plays in the economic vitality of Marlborough and the opportunity it presents for the future.   |       |          |   |   |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 1077               | Rodney Roberts   | 9     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Issue as follows (bold) -<br><i>"The social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community <b>and regionally significant sectors</b> are at risk if community infrastructure is not able to operate efficiently, effectively and safely."</i><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 1077               | Rodney Roberts   | 10    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows (bold)<br><i>"Efficient, effective and safe operation of regionally significant infrastructure <b>and regionally significant sectors</b>."</i><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 623                | Andrew Robertson   | 1     | All      | All                                     |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 739                | Graham Bruce Robertson   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <del>The</del> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 739                | Graham Bruce Robertson   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.3.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <del>The</del> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 938                | Mark Langdon Robertson   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <del>The</del> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 938                | Mark Langdon Robertson   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.3.       | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."                       |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>638</b>         | David Archdall Robinson  | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend to exclude private owners on moorings and jettys [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>987</b>         | Nick Robinson  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                      | Zoning Map 13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Urban Residential 3 zoning except for the hatched area on the map supplied with the Submission, which is requested to be zoned Industrial 1.<br><br>( <i>Inferred</i> )   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>987</b>         | Nick Robinson  | 2     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                      | Zoning Map 19 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Urban Residential 3 zoning except for the hatched area on the map supplied with the Submission, which is requested to be zoned Industrial 1.<br><br>( <i>Inferred</i> )   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1027</b>        | Robinson Construction Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                      | Zoning Map 19 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Retain Business 2 Zone as mapped for Lots 1 and 2 DP 1773.</li> <li>Change zoning of Lot 1 DP1695 and the land immediately adjacent to the west of Lot 1 DP 1695 from Urban Residential 2 Zone to Business 2 Zone.</li> </ul> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1095</b>        | Robinson Construction Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                      | Zoning Map 19 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain road widening proposal (Designation ID P16) on Map 19.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>311</b>         | George Rose  | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5J      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Reject issue 5j and delete from proposed plan  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>87</b>          | Roselli Family Trust   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                      | Zoning Map 9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The decision I seek from Council is that the planning map be altered to make 2 Alfred Street Business 1 Zone (or in the alternative Business 2 Zone). The first alternative is the submitter's preference.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>827</b>         | Jos Rossell   | 1            | Volume 2      | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.                   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>827</b>         | Jos Rossell   | 2            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.                     |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>827</b>         | Jos Rossell   | 3            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>827</b>         | Jos Rossell   | 4            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>827</b>         | Jos Rossell   | 5            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>827</b>         | Jos Rossell   | 6            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>827</b>         | Jos Rossell   | 7            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>827</b>         | Jos Rossell   | 8            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>827</b>         | Jos Rossell   | 9            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>827</b>         | Jos Rossell   | 10           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>827</b>         | Jos Rossell   | 11           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>827</b>         | Jos Rossell   | 12           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>827</b>         | Jos Rossell   | 13           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>827</b>         | Jos Rossell   | 14           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>827</b>         | Jos Rossell   | 15           | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps                |                  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>827</b>         | Jos Rossell   | 16           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>827</b>         | Jos Rossell  | 17           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone        | 4.3.10.                     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.  |              |               |                                   |                             |             |
| <b>827</b>         | Jos Rossell  | 18           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone          | 3.3.31.                     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.  |              |               |                                   |                             |             |
| <b>827</b>         | Jos Rossell  | 19           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone        | 4.3.30.                     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.  |              |               |                                   |                             |             |
| <b>950</b>         | Michael William Rosson   | 1            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources  | Policy 5.10.4               | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Reject introducing coastal occupancy charges.  |              |               |                                   |                             |             |
| <b>950</b>         | Michael William Rosson   | 2            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources  | Policy 5.10.6               | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Give Sounds residents a waiver if introduced.  |              |               |                                   |                             |             |
| <b>950</b>         | Michael William Rosson   | 3            | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.2               | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Reject this section.   |              |               |                                   |                             |             |
| <b>178</b>         | Ralph Rosthorn   | 1            | Volume 2      | 16 Coastal Marine Zone            | 16.7.2.                     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. |              |               |                                   |                             |             |
| <b>178</b>         | Ralph Rosthorn   | 2            | Volume 2      | 16 Coastal Marine Zone            | 16.7.3.                     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. |              |               |                                   |                             |             |
| <b>735</b>         | Gillian Margaret Rothwell  | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                      | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8371; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>735</b>         | Gillian Margaret Rothwell   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8371; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>735</b>         | Gillian Margaret Rothwell   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8371; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>735</b>         | Gillian Margaret Rothwell   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8371; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1253</b>        | Michael Philip Rothwell   | 1     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment                       | Policy 13.8.2               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | (appears word missing) .....including overlapping of swing circles, after establishing if the tackle length has been increased from that recorded on the initial Resource Consent, in some cases without the consent holders approval, thereby creating falsely portrayed conflicts and congestion between moored vessels. Such an outcome can be reversed. |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1253</b>        | Michael Philip Rothwell   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources                        | Policy 5.10.2               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Johnny come lately cannot expect the allocation of resources in the coastal marine area to wait for their arrival, needs must at the time often applies.  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1283</b>        | Peter Edward and Gillian Margaret Rothwell  | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                                   | 7.2.1.5.                    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to standard 7.5.1.2:<br><i>Standard 7.5.1.2 A building must not be constructed or sited within 28m of the Coastal Marine Zone.</i><br>If standard 7.5.1.2 is not deleted then at the very least, re-notify the above proposal.   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1277</b>        | Rothwells Hineora Properties Limited   | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.2.1.5.  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Withdrawal of the proposed setback legislation.<br>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation<br>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions. Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul> |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>194</b>         | Paul Roughan   | 1     | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone | 6.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the rules for the Urban Residential 3 Zone (inferred).  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>194</b>         | Paul Roughan   | 2     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision             | 24.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provisions of Chapter 24 (inferred).  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>195</b>         | Michelle Roughan   | 1     | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone | 6.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the rules for the Urban residential 3 Zone (inferred).  |       |          |                            |           |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 195                | Michelle Roughan   | 2     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                          | 24.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provisions of Chapter 24 (inferred).  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 279                | Trevor Roughan   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Rezone the David Street area Urban Residential 3 as shown on sheets 6,7,12,13.<br>Bring forward the engineering work needed to remove the deferred status  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 279                | Trevor Roughan   | 2     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 13 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Rezone the David Street area Urban Residential 3 as shown on sheets 6,7,12,13.<br>Bring forward the engineering work needed to remove the deferred status.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 279                | Trevor Roughan   | 3     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Rezone the David Street area Urban Residential 3 as shown on sheets 6,7,12,13.<br>Bring forward the engineering work needed to remove the deferred status.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 279                | Trevor Roughan   | 4     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Rezone the David Street area Urban Residential 3 as shown on sheets 6,7,12,13.<br>Bring forward the engineering work needed to remove the deferred status.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 845                | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush   | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to the 4th paragraph of the explanation for Policy 4.1.1:<br><br><b><i>There may arise instances when activities carried out on private land create effects that carry beyond the property boundaries and affect other people and environments. In these and other instances</i></b> <del>At times it may be necessary for wider public interest considerations to prevail over individual expectations and land use may need to be controlled. In these circumstances, compensation to the land user is not payable under Section 85 of the RMA. The same section also provides the land user with the ability to challenge any provision of a plan on the grounds that the provision would render their land incapable of reasonable use. Section 86 of the RMA empowers the Council to acquire land with the agreement of the landowner and pay compensation for it.</del> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 845                | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.5  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to the explanation for Policy 4.3.5:<br><br><i>As described in the issue above, the Marlborough Sounds has already undergone considerable change as a result of the past use of natural and physical resources, the most dramatic possibly being the clearance of indigenous vegetation to allow agriculture to occur and, as agriculture has become economically marginal, the regeneration of indigenous vegetation. As a principle, it is important to recognise that the Marlborough Sounds environment is dynamic and will continue to change with or without human intervention. This means there is a capacity to absorb change within the environment without necessarily affecting the qualities of this unique and iconic environment. Indeed, some changes may actually enhance the qualities and improve the Marlborough Sounds environment. Regard should be had to this policy when considering new and existing activities involving the use, development and protection of the Marlborough Sounds environment. <b>And in recognition of this ability to change, there needs to be caution in assigning long term resource consents.</b></i> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>845</b>         | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush  | 3     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity         | Issue 8A        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to the 4th paragraph of the explanation under the heading <b>Marine environments</b> in Issue 8A:<br><br><i>The condition and state of marine biodiversity can be affected by land or water based activities. Adverse impacts can arise from sedimentation, contamination, <del>and</del> habitat disturbance, <b>and changes in water flow</b>. Effects can be temporary, but in particular circumstances can result in permanent loss or damage. Long term or cumulative smaller scale, localised effects from impacts such as contamination and physical disturbance can also have significant effects on the functioning of marine systems <b>including nutrient, phytoplankton and zooplankton depletion</b>. Many activities, such as recreational swimming, do not affect or have an impact on marine biodiversity; however, other activities, including shipping (especially large and/or fast ships), reclamations or other coastal structures, marine farming and physical disturbance from certain fishing techniques can affect marine biodiversity.</i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>845</b>         | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush  | 4     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13B       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to the 1st paragraph of the explanation under the heading <b>Fishing</b> in Issue 13B:<br><br><i>The waters of the Marlborough Sounds are important for fisheries for a number of reasons, including:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>an ongoing source of traditional food for Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i></li> <li>• <b><i>an ongoing source of food for full time and part time residents;</i></b></li> <li>• <i>providing a livelihood for commercial fishers;</i></li> <li>• <i>being a significant factor in many recreational and tourism activities; and</i></li> <li>• <i>contributing to a range of species present in the Sounds and therefore the health of marine ecosystems.</i></li> </ul>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>845</b>         | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush  | 5     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.15 | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to policy 13.10.15(f):<br><i>Policy 13.10.15 – Reduce the visual impact of jetties on the coastal environment by:</i><br><i>(f) avoiding locating lights on jetties (other than those required to facilitate access)-. <b>Those lights that are necessary shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source;</b></i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>845</b>         | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush  | 6     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.22 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Policy 13.10.22(f):<br><i>Policy 13.10.22 – The visual impact of boatsheds on the values of the coastal environment will be reduced by:</i><br><i>(f) avoiding locating lights on boatsheds (other than those required to facilitate access)-. <b>Those lights that are necessary shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source;</b> and</i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>845</b>         | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush  | 7     | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                 | Policy 17.6.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to the explanation for Policy 17.6.1:<br><i>Policy 17.6.1 – Maintain amenity values in rural and urban areas by encouraging the use of national and arterial routes by high volumes of traffic and heavy vehicles and discouraging high volume and heavy traffic use of collector routes and local routes, particularly where these pass through residential areas. The current state of vehicle technology in New Zealand means that noise and vehicle emissions can be expected from the operation of vehicles on roads. There is little the MEP can do to modify those conditions. However, the Council can control the extent of these effects by adopting a road hierarchy, which encourages higher volumes of traffic and heavy traffic movements on certain routes and discourages them on others. An exception is made for some primary production activities, which need to use collector and local routes to transport produce to processing facilities <b>where no viable alternative route or method of transport exists.</b></i> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>845</b>         | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush  | 8     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                   | 2.36.2.5.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 2.36.2.5:<br><i>Standard 2.36.2.5. The illumination must not result in greater than 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical) of light onto any adjoining property which is zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3 or Business 1 or 2. <b>All lighting fixtures shall be shielded to prevent any light spill above the horizontal plane of the light source. (Lights should be mounted at the top of the sign pointing down rather than at the bottom pointing up.)</b></i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>845</b>         | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush  | 9     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone  | 5.2.3.1.        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 5.2.3.1:<br><i>Standard 5.2.3.1 Light spill onto an adjoining residential site must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical). <b>All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>845</b>         | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush   | 10    | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone | 6.2.3.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 6.2.3.1:<br><i>Standard 6.2.3.1 Light spill onto an adjoining residential site must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical). <b>All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>845</b>         | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush   | 11    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.2.3.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 7.2.3.1:<br><i>Standard 7.2.3.1 Light spill onto an adjoining residential site must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical). <b>All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>845</b>         | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush   | 12    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.2.4.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 8.2.4.1:<br><i>Standard 8.2.4.1 Light spill onto an adjoining residential site must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical). <b>All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>845</b>         | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush   | 13    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone               | 13.2.5.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 13.2.5.3:<br><i>Standard 13.2.5.3 All exterior lighting (except street lights) must be directed away from any land zoned other than Port Zone and any road. <b>All external lighting (including street lights) shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 845                | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush   | 14    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.2.4.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 14.2.4.3:<br><i>Standard 14.2.4.3 All exterior lighting (except street lights) must be directed away from any land zoned other than Port Landing Area Zone and any road. <b>All external lighting (including street lights) shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i>  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| 845                | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush   | 15    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone    | 16.2.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following new standard is added under <b>16.2 Standards that apply to all permitted activities:</b><br><b><i>Standard 16.2.x.x All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</i></b>  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| 845                | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush   | 16    | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone      | 17.2.3.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 17.2.3.3:<br><i>Standard 17.2.3.3 There must be no greater than 2.5 lux spill (horizontal and vertical) of light onto any property zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3, measured at any point more than 2m inside the boundary of the property. <b>All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i> |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| 845                | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush   | 17    | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone      | 18.2.3.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 18.2.3.3:<br><i>Standard 18.2.3.3 There must be no greater than 2.5 lux spill (horizontal and vertical) of light onto any property zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3, measured at any point more than 2m inside the boundary of the property. <b>All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i> |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| 845                | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush   | 18    | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone      | 20.2.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following new standard is added under <b>20.2 Standards that apply to all permitted activities:</b><br><b><i>Standard 20.2.x.x All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</i></b>  |       |          |                           |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 845                | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush   | 19    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values.  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| 845                | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush   | 20    | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to the explanation for Coastal Marine Area F: Port Underwood page App 2-5:<br><del>There are no specific areas within Coastal Marine Area F with Outstanding, High or Very High Coastal Natural Character values.</del> <b>The Coastal Marine area F is rated moderate-high although it has not been surveyed at levels 4/5 and high or very high sections may exist.</b> |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| 845                | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush   | 21    | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the boundary should run from Robertson Point to the southern point of Ocean Bay. Figures are included in the submission of the suggested modification.  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| 845                | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush   | 22    | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan            |                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following is included in the matters that must be addressed in any Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan:<br><b>New point X. The method and routing to be used for transport of establishment equipment and transport of cut logs and materials.</b>   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| 845                | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush   | 23    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments are made to Coastal Natural Character Map 4:  |       |          |   |           |                 |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The coastal area south of Ocean Bay has a very high natural character rating in line with the remainder of the coast that runs further south to White's Bay and with the key values of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Large unmodified coastal marine environment in association with the coastal area reaching to White's Bay.</li> <li>◦ Semi exposed to very exposed and subject in some places to strong tidal currents.</li> <li>◦ Diverse and productive reef communities with high macroalgae diversity.</li> <li>◦ Large whale migration route and calving area.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• The small section of coast south of Ocean Bay is included in the Eastern Cook Strait district.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>845</b>         | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush  | 24    | Volume 1 | All                                     |           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the amendments made in decision requested relevant to Volume 1 are included in the MEP.  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>845</b>         | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush  | 25    | Volume 2 | All                                     |           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the amendments made in decision requested relevant to Volume 2 are included in the MEP.  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>845</b>         | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush  | 26    | Volume 4 | All                                     |           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the amendments made in decision requested relevant to Volume 4 are included in the MEP.  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>1229</b>        | Wiremu Rowberry   | 1     | All      | All                                     |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the chapter so that it provides a clear purpose within the plan and avoid supplication or unnecessary separation of similar matters between chapters.<br>Or delete the chapter ensuring that the provisions are captured appropriately within other chapters.   |       |          |   |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Issue 4A.  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Issue 4B.  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete issue 4C.  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | 5.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Rename the chapter: "Freshwater Use and Allocation"</p> <p>Amend the introduction to align with the content of the chapter and how fresh water provides for the social and economic welfare of the community as well as life supporting capacity for the natural environment.</p> <p>Explain that marine water issues are addressed in the Coastal Environment Chapter.</p> <p>Move Issue 5J, Objective 5.1 and Policies and methods to the Coastal Environment Chapter. Merge and combine with provisions within the coastal environment chapter where possible to improve clarity and reduce repetition.</p> |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | 5.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the introduction to recognise that further flow assessments are needed to ensure any future allocation retains necessary instream flows for indigenous vegetation and habitat of indigenous species.</p> <p>Amend the policies and methods to set out a process for council to review the flows of all major streams and rivers in Marlborough in order to understand what water can be subsequently allocated and to stay within those limits.</p>  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 7     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Issue 5A  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5A.  |       |          |   |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 8            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.1.   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 9            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.1.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy and amend the explanation to the policy to state that this will include a flow needs assessment for rivers. |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 10           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.1.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.1.2   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 11           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5B         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5B   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 12           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.2    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.2  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 13           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.1   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 14           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.2   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 15           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.3   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 16           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.4   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 17           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.5   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 18           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.6   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 19           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.7   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 20           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.8   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 21           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.9     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.9   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 22           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.10    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.10  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 23           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.11    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.11  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 24           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.12    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.12  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 25           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.13    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.13  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 26           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.14    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.14  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 27           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.15    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.15  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 28           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.16    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.16  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 29           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.17    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.17  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 30           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.18    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.18  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 31           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.19    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.19  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 32           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.20    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.20  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 33           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.21    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.21  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 34           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.22    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.22  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 35           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.23    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.23  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 36           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.24    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.24  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 37           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.25    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.25  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 38           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.3  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 39           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.3.1 to refer to "stock drinking water".        |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 40           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.1   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 41           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.2     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.2   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 42           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.3     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.3   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 43           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.4     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.4   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 44           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.5   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 45           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.6   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 46           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.7   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 47           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.8   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 48           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.9     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.9   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 49           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.10  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 50           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.11    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.11  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 51           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.12  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 52           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.13    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.13  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 53           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.14  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 54           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.15  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 55    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>When considering....and seek to avoids any cumulative reduction..."   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 56    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5D      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5D  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 57    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amen the explanation to make it clear what the implications are.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 58    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Add policy direction for efficient irrigation and the avoidance of irrigating outside the command area, avoidance of irrigation on areas of significant indigenous vegetation, riparian area (other than to establish riparian plantings) and waterways or wetlands. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 59    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Add policy direction for efficient irrigation and the avoidance of irrigating outside the command area, avoidance of irrigation on areas of significant indigenous vegetation, riparian area (other than to establish riparian plantings) and waterways or wetlands. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 60    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Add policy direction for efficient irrigation and the avoidance of irrigating outside the command area, avoidance of irrigation on areas of significant indigenous vegetation, riparian area (other than to establish riparian plantings) and waterways or wetlands. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 61    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Add policy direction for efficient irrigation and the avoidance of irrigating outside the command area, avoidance of irrigation on areas of significant indigenous vegetation, riparian area (other than to establish riparian plantings) and waterways or wetlands. |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 62    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add policy direction for efficient irrigation and the avoidance of irrigating outside the command area, avoidance of irrigation on areas of significant indigenous vegetation, riparian area (other than to establish riparian plantings) and waterways or wetlands. |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 63    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.6  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add policy direction for efficient irrigation and the avoidance of irrigating outside the command area, avoidance of irrigation on areas of significant indigenous vegetation, riparian area (other than to establish riparian plantings) and waterways or wetlands. |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 64    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5E      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5E  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 65    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and add policy direction for review of consents in any catchment where allocation has unanticipated environmental effects.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 66    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.5.1  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 67    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.5.2  |       |          |                                  |               |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 68           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.3     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.5.3  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 69           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.4     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.5.4  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 70           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.5     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.5.5  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 71           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5F         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5F (inferred)   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 72           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.6    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain this objective and add another objective to set out expectations for effects of groundwater abstraction on instream flows where this may where the change in flow is less than significant. |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 73           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.6.1     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.6.1  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 74           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.6.2     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.6.2  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 75           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5G         | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5G   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 76    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include requirement for the rate required to be based on efficient irrigation/best practice. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 77    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 78    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 79    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 80    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 81    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 82           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.6     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission                        |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 83           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.7     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission                        |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 84           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.8     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission                        |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 85           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.9     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission                        |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 86           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.10    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission                        |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 87           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.11    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission                        |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 88           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5H         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5H  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 89           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend the objective to recognise that limits include adequate provision for instream biodiversity during low flows |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 90           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 91           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.2  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 92           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.3  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 93           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.4  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 94           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.5  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 95           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5I         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5I   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 96           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.9    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.1  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 97           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.1     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.9.1   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 98           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.2     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.9.2   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 99           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.3     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.9.3   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 100          | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5J         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter                       |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 101          | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.10   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter                       |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 102          | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.1    | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter                       |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 103   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter                       |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 104   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter                       |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 105   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter                       |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 106   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter                       |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 107   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter                       |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 108   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter                       |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird) | 109          | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter                          |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird) | 110          | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.11           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Move method 5.M.11 to the coastal environment chapter.           |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird) | 111          | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.1          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.AER.1   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird) | 112          | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.2          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.AER.2   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird) | 113          | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.3          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.AER.3   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird) | 114          | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.4          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.AER.4   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird) | 115          | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.5          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.AER.5   |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 116   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.6   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.AER.6  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 117   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.7   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.AER.7  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 118   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.8   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.AER.8  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 119   | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.9   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.AER.9  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 120   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | 6.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the introduction to provide clear outline and expectation of what is addressed in the chapter, for example by including the following:<br>"This chapter provides for the identification and protection of natural character to recognise and give effect to s6(a) of the RMA and Policy 13 and 14 of the NZCPS. For this reason the chapter includes policies and methods to guide activities within both the coastal and freshwater environments. The natural character areas identified under this chapter are included in Appendix 2 (coastal), Appendix 5 (freshwater) in Volume 3 and Riparian Natural Character Management Areas in Volume 4 (see the overlays)." |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 121   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Issue 6A  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend to clarify that " <u>The NZCPS, Policies 13 and 14, sets a similar objective for further direction and guidance on preservation and restoration of natural character within the coastal environment.</u> "  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 122   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend to read:<br/>           "Identify areas and values of Natural Character which require preservation in the coastal environment, and in wetlands, lakes and rivers and their margins."<br/>           Add a new policy under objective 6.1 which sets out the criteria or values used to assess the natural character of wetlands.<br/>           Explanation to new policy refer to where in the plan wetlands have been listed or mapped and how these is integrated with s6(a) in terms of significant vegetation. And if appropriate refer to the relevant policies in the biodiversity chapter which address the preservation of natural character in wetlands.</p> |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 123   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.1.1   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 124   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Move this policy to the coastal environment chapter. Amend the text under the policy to more clear explain how the identification of the extent of the coastal environment is necessary for implementing the NZCPS, not just s6(a) RMA.   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 125   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend this policy (or add a new policy) to include guidance on the values that contribute to natural character and establishing which areas have high and very high natural character.<br/>           "In addition to Policy 6.1.3 and 6.1.5 recognise the following values in determining high or very high natural character:<br/>           [list the generic type values considered in appendix 2]"</p>  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 126   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified  |       |          |                     |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 127   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy to guide the determination of "outstanding natural areas".   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 128   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy as follows: "Determine the... to the following values:..."<br>Amend the explanation to clarify if the list of matters in the policy are guidance on the values, as identified in Appendix 5.<br>Amend the explanation to clarify whether this policy provides guidance on determining areas of "outstanding" natural character.<br>Or<br>Add a new policy to guide determination of "outstanding natural character". |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 129   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy and amend the explanation to recognise that this policy applies "outside the coastal environment" as Policy 13 and 14 NZCPS would capture any rivers, wetland within the coastal environment.<br>Amend the policy or add a complementary policy to provide guidance on the values used to determine the areas identified in Appendix 5.<br>Retain the approach of identifying natural character areas on maps.      |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 130   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 6.2  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 131   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.1   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 132   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.2   |       |          |                     |               |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>      | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 133          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.3 and add a definition.                     |              |               |                     |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 134          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.4     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to refer to polices 6.2.1 and 6.2.2              |              |               |                     |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 135          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.5   |              |               |                     |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 136          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.6     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.6   |              |               |                     |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 137          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.7     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to include wetlands.                         |              |               |                     |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 138          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.8     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to include wetlands.                         |              |               |                     |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 139          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.9     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.9 as notified.                              |              |               |                     |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 140          | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | 6.               | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a method to indicate financial or staff resource support towards restoration  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 141   | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.AER.1       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain 6.AER.1 as a minimum.<br>If justified by changes to policies add results which recognise improved (restored) natural character.  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 142   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | 7.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend the introduction to include an explanation of how the natural character values/criteria contribute to identification of ONL/Fs and how the provisions of the plan address any overlap in terms of identifying specific areas or features.<br>Include guidance on the relationship between the provisions in this chapter and the Coastal Environment chapter. |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 143   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Issue 7A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the explanation of issue 7A by replacing references to significant landscapes with outstanding natural landscapes and landscapes with high amenity.   |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 144   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Objective 7.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 7.1  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 145   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | "When assessing the <u>characteristics values</u> of Marlborough's landscaped, the following <u>values criteria</u> will be <u>considered used</u> :  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 146   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Define the boundaries of <u>different significant</u> landscapes <u>with different characteristics</u> using the following methods:   |       |          |                     |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 147   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 7.1.3 by deleting Clause (c)<br/> Add a new clause "<u>the characteristics of natural features and natural landscapes in the coastal environment, including whether a natural feature or natural landscape is outstanding in terms of Policy 15 of the NZCPS.</u>"<br/> New policy: in determining what is outstanding the following criteria will be used: [list Criteria from the Marlborough Landscape Study August 2015]<br/> a)<br/> b)..."</p>   |       |          |             |              |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 148   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete policy 7.1.4.<br/> New policy – Protect outstanding landscapes by:<br/> (a) Requiring resource consent of activities which are likely to have an impact on the values identified for landscapes in appendix 1.<br/> (b) providing standards for permitted activities within outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes identified on Planning maps to avoid adverse effects in the Coastal Environment<br/> (c) providing standards for permitted activities within outstanding natural features and landscapes identified on Planning maps and ensure they are no more than minor outside the Coastal Environment.</p> |       |          |             |              |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 149   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend policy 7.1.5 as follows: "<u>Refine and update the boundaries values and areas of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes, outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity values as set out in Appendix 1 and shown on the Landscape Overlays maps</u> in response to:<br/> (a) ...<br/> (b) ...; <u>or</u><br/> (c) <u>new information.</u>"</p>   |       |          |             |              |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 150   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.1        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Method 7.M.1  |       |          |             |              |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 151   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.2        | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend:<br><del>"The Council will continue to make has made</del> available information on Marlborough's diverse landscape character and the results of any evaluations of landscapes significance (following consultation with relevant landowners). This information will be a useful reference document generally, but can also be used by <u>made available to</u> resource consent applicants to assist in any assessment of adverse effects on landscape values." |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 152   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clarify the explanation in terms of Objective 15(a) and (b) of the NZCPS.  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 153   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy wording to include NZCPS Policy 15 "natural landscapes and natural features" in the coastal environment.  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 154   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy such as:<br>"Avoid activities that have potential to degrade...<br>by :<br>(a) setting permitted...consistent with no more than minor effects on existing landscape values...<br>(b) controlling existing activities and new activities, with potential for more than minor adverse effects, to avoid remedy of mitigate adverse effects; and<br>(c) avoiding new activities which have significant adverse effects"                                  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 155   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the policy or amend to set out clear guidance that the approaches (a) to (c) will ensure significant adverse effects are avoided and that other adverse effects are avoided, remedied, or mitigated on natural features and natural landscapes in the coastal environment.  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 156   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend this policy or another applicable policy to set out the requirements of Policy 15(a) and (b) and achieve protection as required by s6(b).  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 157   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.5  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Rewrite Policy 7.2.5 to ensure protection of these features and landscapes by avoiding, mitigating and then considering remediation of adverse effects.  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 158   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.6  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Rewrite this policy to provide direction consistent with s6(b) of the RMA and policy 15 of the NZCPS.  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 159   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy as follows:<br>"Protect the values ...by <u>only considering granting resource consents for activities where:...</u> "<br>Amend the clause by changes "encourage" to "requiring". |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 160   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.8  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 7.2.8  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 161   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include setbacks  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 162   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy and consider including other pest plants and the control of pest which detract from landscape values.  |       |          |             |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 163   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include Policy 7.2.11 as a method   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 164   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.12  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 165   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | 7.M.6         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to methods to include support for wilding pine control initiatives   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 166   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | 7.M.7         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend methods as required to provide for identification of sites for permanent carbon sink initiatives to support the retention and enhancement of landscapes and natural landscapes.  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 167   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | 7.M.8         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain and include methods which provide for a proactive programme to increase public awareness of landscape values and requirements to protect these values to achieve compliance with permitted standards and seeking consent when needed as well as covenant incentives. |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 168   | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | 7.AER.1       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend "Marlborough's... are protected from degradation."  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 169   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.            | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the introduction to explain biodiversity requirements under the NZCPS and explain relationship between this chapter and costal environment chapter in achieving protection of biodiversity.<br>Amend the last sentence as follows " <del>However, it</del> <u>It is important to acknowledge recognise and provide for that the remaining areas of indigenous biodiversity, still which continue to contribute significantly to Marlborough's heritage value social, economic and environmental wellbeing.</u> "   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 170   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Issue 8A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend the explanation to state why this is of concern.  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 171   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>This Objective needs to be identified as RPS, Regional, Coastal and District Plan</p> <p>Ament the first paragraph of the explanation in two places<br/> <del>"..this objective gives effect to helps to achieve..."</del><br/>           "This objective also <u>gives effect to helps to achieve...</u>"</p> <p>Ament the second paragraph of the explanation<br/>           "This objective also <del>helps</del> <u>sets out the intent</u> to protect..."</p> <p>Replace the third paragraph:<br/> <u>"There is a relationship between this objective and objective 6.2 in Chapter 6 in terms of the protection of natural character under s6(a) of the RMA and Policy 13 and 14 of the NZCPS. This is because indigenous biodiversity is also a component determining natural character. For this reason polices in this chapter that provide for the protection of indigenous biodiversity in the coastal environment, wetlands, rivers, lakes and their margins in giving regard to both s6(a) and (c) of the RMA and achieving Policies 13 and 14 of the NZCPS.</u><br/> <u>Areas identified for protection of both natural character and indigenous biodiversity include the Riparian Natural Character Management Areas on the Overlay Maps. "</u></p> <p>Amend to clarify that the Landscape Overlay of Threatened Environments comes from the statement of priorities.<br/>           Include policy direction to apply the Threatened Environments classification as an overlay</p> |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 172   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | This Objective needs to be identified as RPS, Regional, Coastal and District Plan<br>Retain   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 173   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | This policy needs to be identified as RPS<br>Retain and amend to address submission   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 174   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | This policy needs to be identified as RPS and District Plan<br>Add reference in the policy explanation to where in the plan these areas are identified.<br>Amend the plan to provide policy directions and methods to provide for further wetland and marine sites to be identified for protection. |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 175   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | This policy needs to be identified as RPS and District Plan<br>Amend the Policy to require assessment of potential adverse effects rather than "impacts".   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 176   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | This policy should be identified as RPS<br>Retain and amend to address submission   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 177   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend the plan to include policy and method direction such that significant indigenous biodiversity areas can ultimately be identified in schedules or maps within the plan.  |       |          |                           |              |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 178          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to clarify that this is intended to provide direction for funding.  |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 179          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Could be amended to:<br>"Encourage the re-establishment and enhancement of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough's lowland environments.  |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 180          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Provide a similar policy for the voluntary assessment of significant indigenous biodiversity on private land and inclusion on a schedule (and or map) into the MEP through future plan change with priority for support towards active management from Council.  |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 181          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.6  |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 182          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain and add further methods for<br>(a) MDC to develop a strategy, which would include staff and funding, to work with central government on its Predator Free New Zealand.<br>(b) Areas of high value to be recognised in the Plan, including the following: Blenheim sewage ponds, Grovetown lagoon, Taylor Dam, Wairau Lagoons, Pelorus River Estuary, top of Grove Arm, Kaipupu Point Sanctuary, and Pelorus Bridge Scenic Reserve and Para Swamp. And that pest control plans be developed for these areas. |              |               |                           |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|---------|
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 183   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend or include a new policy for the aerial identification of potential Significant indigenous biodiversity sites. These sites should be included in the plan and used in a similar manner to the Threatened Environments Overlay.         |       |          |                           |               |         |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 184   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy:<br>"Maintain, ...biodiversity, including where it is not identified as significant in terms of the criteria in Policy 8.1.1, but are which is important for:<br>(a)...<br>(g)... flows.<br>(x) habitat of threatened or at risk species" |       |          |                           |               |         |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 185   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | This policy should be referenced to RPS, R, C, D<br>Retain   |       |          |                           |               |         |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 186   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | This policy should be referenced to RPS, R, C, D<br>Retain   |       |          |                           |               |         |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 187   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | This policy should be referenced to RPS, R, C, D<br>Retain   |       |          |                           |               |         |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 188   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.13 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | This policy should be referenced to RPS, R, C, D Retain  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 189   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the explanation to make it clear that if Policy 11(b) in clause (c) applies then regardless of whether the area is also significant under Policy 8.1.1 it is addressed in this policy.<br>Clarify relationship between Policy 8.3.1(c) and Policy 8.3.2. |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 190   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to provide guidance for the avoidance of effects where activities are permitted (in include a new Policy addressing this.  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 191   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.3  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 192   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.4  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 193   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.6  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 194   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.6  |       |          |                           |              |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 195          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.7   |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 196          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.8   |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 197          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.1            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.1  |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 198          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.2            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.2  |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 199          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.3            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.3  |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 200          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.4            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.4  |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 201          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.5            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.5  |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 202          | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.6            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.6  |              |               |                           |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 203   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | 8.M.7     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.7  |       |          |                                |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 204   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | 8.M.8     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.8  |       |          |                                |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 205   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | 8.M.9     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.9  |       |          |                                |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 206   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | 8.M.10    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.10   |       |          |                                |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 207   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | 8.M.11    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.11   |       |          |                                |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 208   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | 8.M.12    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.12   |       |          |                                |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 209   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Issue 9A  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend policies to ensure that "public access and recreational use do not include access to ecological corridors on the coast, wetlands and along waterways where this would have adverse effects on sustainability of these systems." |       |          |                                |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 210   | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Issue 9B  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend as required to address submission  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 211   | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to refer to historic heritage   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 212   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | 13.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include introduction discussion of natural environment interaction between water and land as relevant to this chapter. |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 213   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Issue 13A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 214   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Objective 13.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.1   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 215   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Policy 13.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend this policy or add another policy to give effect to Policy 11(a) in terms of terrestrial coastal biodiversity.            |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 216   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Policy 13.1.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.1.2  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 217   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Objective 13.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Support in part<br>It is not clear that this policy gives effect to Policy 11(b) of the NZCPS<br>Amend explanation to Objective 13.2 "...forms in which... activities can take place to avoid, mitigate and remedy adverse effects.<br>And refer to Policy 11(b) NZCPS |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 218   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause (f) by delete the words "generally experienced" and to refer to "water quality in coastal waters"<br>Add a definition for "coastal waters"<br>Amend the policy and explanation to provide guidance on what the values/characteristics are.                |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 219   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend as required to address the submission  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 220   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 13.2.3   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 221   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.4   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 222   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.5   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 223   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.6   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 224          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.1           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.1   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 225          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.2           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 226          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.3           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.3   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 227          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.4           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend first and section sentence as follows:<br>"A range...where there are activities would likely have minimal adverse effects on the environment. These activities will be subject to standards, including amenity based standards, to ensure adverse effects will be no more than minor. |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 228          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.6           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 229          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13B        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend provision to address submission  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 230          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.1    | Support         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the following clauses:<br>“(b) cause adverse....fauna, in the coastal environment, including those sites and areas identified as Ecologically Significant Marine Sites or Threatened Environment Overlay on the planning maps”<br>“(c) do not ....to, along and adjacent the coastal marine area.”<br>“(f) adversely ... the coastal environment area”  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 231   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to apply across coastal environment.<br>Council can manage land use activities wider than just the CMA in order to maintain and enhance access. Such as reserve strips for subdivision activities.<br>Working with private land owners, councils and doc land.<br>Also in the marine area ensuring that structures at sea to do block access.<br>NCZPS access policies relate to coastal environment not just CMA |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 232   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.3.3  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 233   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.3.4  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 234   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 235   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13C     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to clarify if there are fisheries issues for areas outside the Marlborough sounds and whether this plan provide any policy guidance outside Marlborough Sounds.   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 236          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.4   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the explanation to address submissions                  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 237          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.1    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.4.1  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 238          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.2    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.4.2  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 239          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.9           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission                                   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 240          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13D        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission                        |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 241          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.5   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.5   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 242          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.1    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.1  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 243          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.2    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend to provide stronger guidance for residential activities to only occur in the Coastal Living Zones within the Sounds.  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 244   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this policy. Or amend the policy to read:<br><u>"Provide guidance to support appropriate residential development within areas zoned Coastal Living."</u><br>Use current policy explanation   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 245   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete or merge with Policy 13.5.2  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 246   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.5  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 247   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.6  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 248   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.7  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 249   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy as follows:<br>"Non-residential activities within the Coastal Living Zone will only be allowed, where they are consistent with Policy 13.5.7 and where they do not detract from the existing character of the residential environment within which they are to be located. |       |          |                                   |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 250          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.9    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reword the policy to improve clarity.<br>Amend the explanation to reference the policies that provide guidance for access improvements relating to existing residential development. |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 251          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.10          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the approach to having one zone within with residential development can occur.  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 252          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.11          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.11   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 253          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13E        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 13E   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 254          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.6   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.6  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 255          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.6.1    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to either remove the statement or provide an explanation of why they are not relevant  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 256          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 257          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13F        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission                        |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 258   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission                        |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 259   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policies as notified                               |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 260   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policies as notified                               |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 261   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policies as notified                               |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 262   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policies as notified                               |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 263   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policies as notified                               |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 264   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.6  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the policies as notified  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 265   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policies as notified  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 266   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.8  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policies as notified  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 267   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.9  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policies as notified  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 268   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policies as notified  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 269   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.11 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 270   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.12 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and add the following new clause:<br>“(c) the cumulative environmental effects on landscape and environmental values of the local area” |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 271          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.13  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.13  |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 272          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.14  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.14  |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 273          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.15  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.15  |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 274          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.16  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.16  |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 275          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.17  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.17  |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 276          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.18  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.18  |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 277          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.19  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.19  |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 278          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.20  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.20  |              |               |                                   |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 279          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.21  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.21  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 280          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.22  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.22  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 281          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.23  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.23  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 282          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.24  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.24  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 283          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.25  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.25  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 284          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.26  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.26  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 285          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.27  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.27  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 286          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13G        | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Include examples in paragraph 5 as follows or similar: " <u>Such as crushing of small plants and creatures, compressing or disturbing sediment martials will also affect habitat and vegetation growth.</u> "  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 287   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | "Minimise the loss of Marlborough's coastal marine area through to reclamation or drainage"  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 288   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a policy which sets out a prohibited approach to reclamation and drainage seaward of the CMA (excluding adjacent to the CMA).  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 289   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy as follows: "Reclamation or drainage in or adjacent to the coastal marine are shall be avoided, unless:<br>(a) the activity to be carried out on the which requires reclamation can only occur in or has to be adjacent to the coastal marine area; and..." |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 290   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.11.3  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 291   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.11.4  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 292   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.11.5  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 293          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.6   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.11.6   |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 294          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.7   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.11.7   |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 295          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.8   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.11.8   |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 296          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.12a | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.12a as notified                           |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 297          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.12b | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.12b as notified                           |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 298          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.12.1   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.12.1 as notified                             |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 299          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.12.2   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.2 as notified                              |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 300          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.13  | Support     |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.13.<br>Add new policy under this objective to prohibit disturbance not provided for elsewhere. |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 301   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.1  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 302   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.2  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 303   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to " <del>Discourage</del> <u>Restrict</u> the use of motorised..."  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 304   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.4  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 305   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to provide further guidance to address submission   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 306   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.6  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 307   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.7 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>“ <u>Only consider granting consent</u> <del>Proposals</del> <u>for an activities</u> involving disturbance of the foreshore or seabed not otherwise provided for, <u>which shall</u> demonstrate that:<br>(a) ...<br>(b) ...<br>(c) <u>does not result in changes to the seabed contour within the Marlborough Sounds.</u> ” |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 308   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy<br>Amend to exclude the Marlborough Sounds unless Policy 13.13.7 is amended as sought.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 309   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.9 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.9 as notified  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 310   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.17        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Delete the reference the recreational values.<br>Add the following paragraph<br>“ <u>prohibited activity status has been included for activities which are not appropriate or where they are not anticipated as appropriate by the policy framework</u> ”  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 311   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.18        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Would be good to identify if bylaws are currently used in Marlborough for this purpose.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 312          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.14  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 313          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.1   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 314          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.2   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 315          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.3   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 316          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.15  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 317          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.1   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. |              |               |                                   |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 318          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.2   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 319          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.3   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 320          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.16  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 321          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.1   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 322          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.2   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 323          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.3   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. |              |               |                                   |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 324          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.4   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 325          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.5   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 326          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.6   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 327          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.19          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 328          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.20          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. |              |               |                                   |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 329          | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.21          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. |              |               |                                   |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 330   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.22         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 331   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.23         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 332   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13J       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 13J   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 333   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.17 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.17   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 334   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.1  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 335   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.2  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 336   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.3  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 337   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.4  | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.4   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 338   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.5   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 339   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.6  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.6   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 340   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.7   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 341   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.8   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 342   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.9   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 343   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 13.17.10  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 344   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.11  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 345   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13K       | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 13K  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 346   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.18 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.18  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 347   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 13.18.1   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 348   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.2   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 349   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.3   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 350   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.4   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 351   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.5   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 352   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.6  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 13.18.6   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 353   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.7  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 13.18.7   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 354   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.8 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 13.18.8   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 355   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.24        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.24  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 356   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.25        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.25  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 357   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.26        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.26  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 358   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.27        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.27  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 359   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13L      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 13L  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 360   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.1       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission                        |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 361   | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.14      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission                        |       |          |  |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 362   | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.4   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.4  |       |          |  |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 363   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.             | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission                                   |       |          |  |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 364   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 15.1a  |       |          |  |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 365   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1b | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 15.1b  |       |          |  |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 366   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1c | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 15.1c  |       |          |  |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 367   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1d | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 15.1d  |       |          |  |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 368   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1e | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 15.1e  |       |          |  |                 |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 369   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.18         | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain 15.M.18  |       |          |                   |               |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)                                   | 370   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submissions   |       |          |                   |               |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)                                   | 371   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 19.1.2  |       |          |                   |               |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)                                   | 372   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.7.          | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend Standard 2.8.1.5<br>"During the period of 1 September to 1 February in any..." |       |          |                   |               |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)                                   | 373   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.8.          | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend Standard 2.8.1.5<br>"During the period of 1 September to 1 February in any..." |       |          |                   |               |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)                                   | 374   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.8.1.5.      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend Standard 2.8.1.5<br>"During the period of 1 September to 1 February in any..." |       |          |                   |               |         |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)                                   | 375   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.9.9.1.      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.9.9.1   |       |          |                   |               |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 376   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.9.9.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 377   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend activity statuses throughout the plan to Non-complying status where appropriate   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 378   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.7.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to include standards to address submission   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 379   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain and amend to address submission including requiring:</p> <p>Greater setbacks</p> <p>Amend the activity status for replating lawfully established forest on Steep Erosion Prone Land to Discretionary</p> <p>Identify high risk wilding pine areas and require consent for any new forestry that has the potential to create a wilding pine risk in these areas.</p> <p>A forestry plan which identifies and addresses, for the entire rotation, at least the following matters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• compliance with the permitted activity standards for planting, and that the standards for harvesting will be able to be met (or if not, the activities for which resource consent will be required).</li> <li>• areas of indigenous vegetation including significant sites and riparian vegetation, and how these will be protected.</li> <li>• all waterbodies within the forestry site, and measures to protect these, including how limits and targets set to give effect to the NPS on Freshwater Management will be complied with.</li> <li>• how fauna known to use plantation forestry as habitat (including long-tail bats, falcon) will be protected.</li> <li>• how wilding pine spread will be avoided</li> </ul> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 380   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submissions  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 381   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.12. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend setback as sought in submission   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 382   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.14. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend setback as sought in submission   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 383   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.19. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.3.7.19  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 384   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | These standards need to be strengthened to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments and protect significant biological diversity. Amend as necessary to address submission.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 385   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>The exemption for indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt is opposed.</p> <p>The exemption for clearance of indigenous vegetation dominated by manuka, kanuka, tauhinu, bracken fern and silver tussock, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 20 years in age, or matagouri where the regrowth is less than 50 years in age is opposed.</p> <p>An exemption for clearance associated with the maintenance of an existing road, forestry road, harvesting track or farm track is acceptable but limits should be set (eg 1 m either side of the existing road or track).</p> <p>The exception within a Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Site for clearance within the curtilage of a dwelling is uncertain as curtilage is not defined.</p> <p>Amend in accordance with submission.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 386   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur: (a) on a Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Site. This is supported in part, but threatened environments do not cover all areas that are “significant” under the criteria in Policy 8.1.1. The rules allow clearance of significant indigenous vegetation as a permitted activity. Clearance of any indigenous vegetation meeting significance criteria should be a non-complying activity. Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur: (b) on land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Site. Greater setbacks are required to protect the significant marine sites. Amend to address submission. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 387   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address all Policy 11 NZCPS areas  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 388   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Opposed – threshold is too high and will not maintain indigenous biodiversity as required under s 30 and 31. Permitted clearance of indigenous forest should only be allowed for clearly defined reasons: for a single dwelling on a site, for maintenance of existing infrastructure, roads and fence lines. Amend to address submissions.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 389   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.6. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 3.3.11.6  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 390   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to ensure protection of significant indigenous vegetation outside the coastal environment and outside the Threatened environment. Including species description, height, density and area of clearance limitation.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 391   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Setbacks are inadequate and will not protect water quality from the adverse effects of sedimentation and nutrient discharge. Cultivation rules should be linked to limits and targets set to give effect to the NPS Freshwater Management so that consent is required and can be declined near FMUs that are over allocated or approaching their allocative limit for sediment or nutrient load. Amend to address submission. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 392   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 393   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Progressively exclude live stock from waterways   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 394   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: <u>Intensively farmed livestock must not enter onto or pass</u> <del>The entering onto or passing</del> across the bed of a river <del>of stock must not involve</del> <del>intensively farmed livestock</del> if there is water flowing in the river, <u>or enter onto or pass across the bed of any lake, or any wetland or any part of the CMA.</u>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 395   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to also include to visual clarity/sedimentation. The standard should link to any other limits/targets set to achieve water quality outcomes.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ (Forest and Bird)  | 396   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission   |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 397   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.25.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission                                     |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 398   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission                                     |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 399   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.38.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission                                     |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 400   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.4.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission                                     |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 401   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend to require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 402   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend to require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 403   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend to require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 404   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend to require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 405   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.5.1.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Forest and Bird would like to see sediment loss from clear felling operations managed in such a way to reduce the area cleared in any 12 month period in any single river catchment over 100 hectare in size with permanent water flows, restricted to 33% of the land area. Amend to address submission. |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 406   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.6.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission. Indigenous vegetation clearance beyond the specified permitted standards should be a non-complying activity.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 407   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.1.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.3.1   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 408   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m from mean high water springs in the coastal environment zone   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 409   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | It is not clear why standards for commercial forest harvesting are not included in the coastal environment zone. Amend to address submission.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 410   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 411   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.2. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 412   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 413   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address all Policy 11 NZCPS areas  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 414   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 415   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to ensure only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 416   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.6.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows:<br>"Small scale Quarrying and mineral extraction of alluvial and coastal gravels and sand<br>(a) for the purpose of maintain access and protection of structures<br>(b) up to 10m3<br>(c) must not be within water" |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 417   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the discretionary activity status  |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)                    | 418          | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain the discretionary activity status   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)                    | 419          | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.             | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.7  |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)                    | 420          | Volume 2      | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.5.             | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 7.5  |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)                    | 421          | Volume 2      | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.1.            | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission  |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)                    | 422          | Volume 2      | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.          | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend to prevent dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)                    | 423          | Volume 2      | 25 Definitions             | 25.              | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Delete requirement for species to be indigenous to the District                  |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)                    | 424          | Volume 2      | 25 Definitions             | 25.              | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain definition for "Intensively farmed"                                       |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)                     | 425   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                                    | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Clarify application of definition   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)                     | 426   | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values           |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the Wairau Dry Hills landscape and the Wairau River as Outstanding landscapes |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)                     | 427   | Volume 3 | Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the Wairau Dry Hills landscape and the Wairau River as Outstanding landscapes |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)                     | 428   | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan      |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)                     | 429   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                                       |           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)                     | 430   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                        | 4.1.6.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to include standards to address submission.                      |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)                     | 431   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                        | 4.3.10.6. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete 4.3.10.6.  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 715                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)                     | 432   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                        | 4.3.      | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to ensure protection of significant indigenous vegetation outside the coastal environment and outside the Threatened environment. Including species description, height, density and area of clearance limitation.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 433   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 434   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 435   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Progressively exclude livestock from waterways.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 436   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: <u>Intensively farmed livestock must not enter onto or pass</u> <del>The entering onto or passing</del> across the bed of a river <del>of stock must not involve</del> <u>intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river, or enter onto or pass across the bed of any lake, or any wetland or any part of the CMA.</u> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 437   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to also include to visual clarity/sedimentation.<br>The standard should link to any other limits/targets set to achieve water quality outcomes.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)   | 438   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 439          | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.24.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submissions.                                 |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 440          | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.25.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submissions.                                 |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 441          | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.37.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submissions                                  |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>715</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 442          | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.3.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission.                                  |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 1            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character        | Policy 6.1.6     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.1.6   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 2            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character        | Policy 6.2.1     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.1   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 3            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character        | Policy 6.2.2     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.2   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 4            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character        | Policy 6.2.3     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.3   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>      | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 5            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.4  |              |               |                     |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 6            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape         | Objective 7.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 7.1   |              |               |                     |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 7            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.1.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.1.1  |              |               |                     |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 8            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.1.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.1.2  |              |               |                     |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 9            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.1.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.1.3  |              |               |                     |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 10           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.1.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.1.4  |              |               |                     |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 11           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.1.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy 7.1.5   |              |               |                     |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 12           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape         | Objective 7.2    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 7.2   |              |               |                     |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 13           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape    | Policy 7.2.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.1  |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 14           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape    | Policy 7.2.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.3  |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 15           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape    | Policy 7.2.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.4  |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 16           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape    | Policy 7.2.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.5  |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 17           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape    | Policy 7.2.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.6  |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 18           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape    | Policy 7.2.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.7  |              |               |                |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 19           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.8  |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 20           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.9     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.9  |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 21           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.10    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.10   |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 22           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.11    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.11   |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 23           | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.12    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.12   |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 24           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 8.1   |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 25           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 8.2   |              |               |                           |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}  | 26           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain, and ensure that information is added to as appropriate. Council must ensure that fauna information is not overlooked as a result of the surveys which are better targeted for plant communities, rather than cryptic fauna. |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}  | 27           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.1   |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}  | 28           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.3   |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}  | 29           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.5   |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}  | 30           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.6   |              |               |                           |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}  | 31           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.7   |              |               |                           |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                         | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-------------|
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 32           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | Policy 8.2.9     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.9  |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 33           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | Policy 8.2.10    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.10   |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 34           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | Policy 8.2.11    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.11   |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 35           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | Policy 8.2.12    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.12   |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 36           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | Policy 8.2.13    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.13   |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 37           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 15A   |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird} | 38           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15B        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 15B   |              |               |  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                         | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-------------|
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}  | 39           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.1 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}  | 40           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.2    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.1 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}  | 41           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.3    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.3 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}  | 42           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.4    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.4 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}  | 43           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.5    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.5 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                         | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-------------|
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 44           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.6    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.6 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.  |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 45           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.7    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.7 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.  |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 46           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.8    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.8 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.  |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 47           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.9    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.9 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.  |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 48           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.10   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.10 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                         | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-------------|
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 49           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.11   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.11 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 50           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.12   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.12 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 51           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.13   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.13 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 52           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.14   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.14 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 53           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.15   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.15 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                         | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-------------|
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 54           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.16   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.16 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 55           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.17   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.17 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 56           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.18   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.18 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 57           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.19   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.19 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 58           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.20   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.20 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                         | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-------------|
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 59           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.21   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.21 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 60           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.22   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.22 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 61           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.23 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 62           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.24   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.24 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 63           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.25   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.25 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                         | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-------------|
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 64           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.26   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.26 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 65           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.27   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.27 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 66           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.28   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.28 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 67           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.29   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.29 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 68           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.30   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.30 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. |              |               |  |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 69    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.31 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.31 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.                     |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 70    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.32 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.                     |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 71    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.33 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.33 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.                     |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 72    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.34 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.34 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.                     |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 73    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.6.4.         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain <i>Rule 2.6.4. Take, use, damming or diversion of water from the following waterbodies, including their tributaries:</i><br><i>(j) Pelorus River upstream of confluence with the Scott Creek;</i> |       |          |  |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| 496                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}  | 74    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.11.1.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain <i>Rule 2.11.1. Construction of a dam on the following lakes and rivers, including their tributaries unless otherwise stipulated:</i><br><i>(k) Pelorus River above the Rai River confluence;</i>          |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 496                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}  | 75    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.11.2.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain <i>Rule 2.11.2. Construction or alteration of a bore within the bed of the following lakes and rivers, including tributaries:</i><br><i>(g) Pelorus River upstream of confluence with the Scott Creek;</i> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 496                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}  | 76    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.11.4.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.11.4 but bring date forward.  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 496                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}  | 77    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.11.5.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.11.5 but bring date forward.  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 496                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}  | 78    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy that provides for setbacks for all stock from waterbodies, including protection of riparian margins of 1 metre and 3 metres from cultivated or land where stock are break fenced.                |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 496                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}  | 79    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.20.1.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.20.1  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 496                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}  | 80    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.6.1.  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 3.3.6.1 but consider inclusion of other species.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 81    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to <i>Standard 3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(d) <del>820m</del> of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</i><br><i>(e) <del>820m</del> of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification;</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 82    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain <i>Standard 3.3.6.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(f) 200m of the coastal marine area;</i><br><i>(h) Steep Erosion-Prone Land, unless replanting harvested commercial forest lawfully established;</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 83    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.7.3:<br><i>Standard 3.3.7.3. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(a) <del>820m</del> of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i><br><i>(b) <del>820m</del> of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification;</i><br><i>(c) 200m of the coastal marine area.</i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 84    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.12. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.7.12:<br><i>Standard 3.3.7.12. Trees, slash and soil debris must: (a) not be left within <del>820m</del> of, or deposited in, a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area (<b>inferred</b>);</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 496                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 85    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.7.13:<br><i>Standard 3.3.7.13. Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within <del>8</del>20m of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing) or lake except where: (inferred)</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 496                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 86    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.14. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.7.14:<br><i>Standard 3.3.7.14 Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within <del>8</del>20m of a Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area (inferred).</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 496                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 87    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.8.1 but consider inclusion of other species <i>(inferred)</i> .  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 496                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 88    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments (strikethrough and bold) to Standard 3.3.8.2:<br><i>Standard 3.3.8.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(c) <del>8</del>20m of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</i><br><i>(d) <del>8</del>20m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification (inferred);</i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 496                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 89    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.9.1.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.9.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.9.1. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) <del>820m</del> of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i></p> <p><i>(b) <del>820m</del> of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification (<b>inferred</b>);</i></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 90    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.9.9.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.9.9:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.9.9. Trees, slash and soil debris must:</i></p> <p><i>(a) not be left within <del>820m</del> of, or deposited in, a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area (<b>inferred</b>);</i></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 91    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.9.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.9.10:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.9.10. Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within <del>820m</del> of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area (<b>inferred</b>).</i></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 92    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.10.1. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Standard 3.3.10.1 but consider inclusion of other species (<b>inferred</b>).</p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 93    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.10.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.10.5:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.10.5 Only indigenous species must be planted in, or within <del>820m</del> of, a Significant Wetland (<b>inferred</b>).</i></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 496                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 94    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Provide for removal of indigenous vegetation under forestry, but not alongside - impacts upon riparian margins and important areas within forests.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 496                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 95    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.3. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.11.3   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 496                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 96    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.4. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.11.4   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 496                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 97    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 3.3.11.5<br><br><i>Standard 3.3.11.5 Clearance of indigenous forest must not exceed 1,000m<sup>2</sup> per Computer Register in any 5 year period.</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 496                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 98    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.27.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments (bold) to Standard 3.3.27.1:<br><br>Standard 3.3.27.1 Pest Plants identified in Appendix 25 and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse, old man's beard, <b>Chinese Privet</b> and <b>Banana Passion Vine</b> are the only vegetation that may be sprayed. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 496                | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society<br>NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 99    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.6. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision                    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 3.3.11.6.<br><i>Standard 3.3.11.6 Clearance of indigenous vegetation, per Computer Register, must not exceed:</i><br><i>(a) — 2,000m<sup>2</sup> in any 5 year period where the average canopy height is between 3m and 6m;</i><br><i>(b) — 10,000m<sup>2</sup> in any 5 year period where the average canopy height is below 3m, except for the following species where clearance in any 5 year period must not exceed:</i><br><i>(i) — 500m<sup>2</sup> of indigenous sub-alpine vegetation;</i><br><i>(ii) — 100m<sup>2</sup> of tall tussock of the genus Chinochloa.</i> |       |          |                          |                              |                 |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 100   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.                         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Prohibited Activities listed under 3.7.  |       |          |                          |                              |                 |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 101   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps             | Riparian Natural Character 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include the Brown River (tributary of the Rai) in the mapped area of the water bodies. <b><i>The submitter has not included a specific map reference; inferred that submission relates to Riparian Natural Character Management Area Map 1.</i></b>   |       |          |                          |                              |                 |
| <b>496</b>         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}   | 102   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.11.                    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Retain, but remove inclusion to allow dragging of trees felled under Standard 3.3.7.10.   |       |          |                          |                              |                 |
| <b>246</b>         | James ( Jim) Rudd   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.6.                    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The decision I seek from council is an amendment alteration from (10.000 Square metres) clearance over a 5 year period to No limitation to clearance of indigenous vegetation up to the point where 20 % of cover remains including indigenous forest per computer register and then at reaching that demonstratable point then the area then revert to 10,000 Square metres of allowable clearance in any 12 month period.   |       |          |                          |                              |                 |
| <b>251</b>         | James ( Jim) Rudd   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.1.                    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I seek an amendment to this proposal of 1.5 Km of formed farm tracking on a slope less than 35 degrees over a 12 month period to be a permitted activity.   |       |          |                          |                              |                 |
| <b>285</b>         | James ( Jim) Rudd   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.                      | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | I seek an amendment that allows for a permit for infrequent crossings to be available at minimal cost.   |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>289</b>         | James ( Jim) Rudd  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.32.1.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I seek removal of the reference to ' Intensive Farming" in this provision.   |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>292</b>         | James ( Jim) Rudd  | 1     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                  | 25.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I seek a modification of the definition of meat processing to <u>exclude</u> the above facilities as described.  |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>1096</b>        | Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated   | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Parcel size in rural environments shall ensure that there is adequate choice for primary production and avoids the fragmentation of land for primary productive use <b>unless the land is required for activities and buildings that are linked to land-based primary production of Marlborough's rural resources and require a rural location.</b>"</i> |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>1096</b>        | Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated   | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy.   |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>1096</b>        | Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated   | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.1.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new new permitted activity as follows -<br><br><i>"<b>Rural contractor depot.</b>"</i>   |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>1096</b>        | Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated   | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.          | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new heading and new Standards as follows -<br><br><b>"Rural Contractor Depot"</b><br><br>- The rural contractor depot must not employ more than 7 people.<br><br>- The rural contractor depot must be set back at least 150m from any dwelling on a site under separate ownership."  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1096</b>        | Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated   | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.5.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new restricted discretionary activity rule as follows -<br><br><b>"A rural contractor depot that employs more than 7 people or is set back less than 150m from any dwelling of a site under separate ownership."</b><br><br><u><b>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</b></u><br><br>- <b>Safety and efficiency of the transport network.</b><br><br>- <b>Reverse sensitivity.</b><br><br>- <b>Noise.</b><br><br>- <b>Dust."</b> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1096</b>        | Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated   | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new new permitted activity as follows -<br><br><b>"Rural contractor depot."</b>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1096</b>        | Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated   | 7     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new heading and new Standards as follows -<br><b>"Rural Contractor Depot"</b><br>- <i>The rural contractor depot must not employ more than 7 people.</i><br>- <i>The rural contractor depot must be set back at least 150m from any dwelling on a site under separate ownership."</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1096</b>        | Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated   | 8     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new restricted discretionary activity rule as follows -<br><b>"A rural contractor depot that employs more than 7 people or is set back less than 150m from any dwelling of a site under separate ownership."</b><br><b><u>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</u></b><br>- <b><i>Safety and efficiency of the transport network.</i></b><br>- <b><i>Reverse sensitivity.</i></b><br>- <b><i>Noise.</i></b><br>- <b><i>Dust."</i></b> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1096</b>        | Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated   | 9     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions             | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of "Rural industry" as follows (bold):<br><i>"means an industry, constructional engineers and roading and cartage contractor workshops or yards (<b>excluding rural contractor depots</b>) where either:</i><br><i>(a) 75% of the total business is with the rural sector and/or coastal marine area; <b>or</b></i><br><i>(b) The nature of the industry is such that it is inappropriately located within an urban or industrial zone."</i>    |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1096</b>        | Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated   | 10    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions             | 25.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision              | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new definition for " <b>Rural Contractor Depot</b> " as follows -<br><br><b><i>"The land and buildings used for the purposes of storing or maintaining machinery, equipment and associated goods and supplies associated with a rural contracting business."</i></b> |       |          |                          |                        |        |
| <b>347</b>         | Edward and Amanda Ryan   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps             | Soil Sensitive Area 20 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the overlay maps of loess soils until they can be ground-truthed.   |       |          |                          |                        |        |
| <b>347</b>         | Edward and Amanda Ryan   | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.4.              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete these rules   |       |          |                          |                        |        |
| <b>347</b>         | Edward and Amanda Ryan   | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.12              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete these rules   |       |          |                          |                        |        |
| <b>347</b>         | Edward and Amanda Ryan   | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete these rules   |       |          |                          |                        |        |
| <b>347</b>         | Edward and Amanda Ryan   | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete these rules.  |       |          |                          |                        |        |
| <b>347</b>         | Edward and Amanda Ryan   | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.34.                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete these rules.  |       |          |                          |                        |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision                 | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>347</b>         | Edward and Amanda Ryan   | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Threatened Environments 8 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the <i>Threatened Environments</i> overlay maps.  |       |          |   |                           |                 |
| <b>347</b>         | Edward and Amanda Ryan   | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.11.3.                 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this rule.  |       |          |   |                           |                 |
| <b>1261</b>        | S & J Saunders family Trust  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 15             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Re-zone 29 Francis Street and 32 Redwood Street Business 1   |       |          |   |                           |                 |
| <b>1099</b>        | S A Robinson Trust   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 13             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Urban Residential 3 zoning except for the hatched area on the map supplied with the Submission, which is requested to be zoned Industrial 1.<br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |   |                           |                 |
| <b>1099</b>        | S A Robinson Trust   | 2     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 19             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Urban Residential 3 zoning except for the hatched area on the map supplied with the Submission, which is requested to be zoned Industrial 1.<br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |   |                           |                 |
| <b>275</b>         | Myra Sandall   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Restricted water use rather than total ban.  |       |          |   |                           |                 |
| <b>671</b>         | Danniel Sandrey  | 1     | All      | All                                       |                           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AONZ submission.   |       |          |   |                           |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 1     | All      | All                                       |                           | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | During the Officer's reporting on submissions we ask that a s32 evaluation as to the benefits and costs across the full suite of methods ranging on the continuum of voluntary to regulatory be undertaken as part of making the recommendations.   |       |          |                                  |                    |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 2     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                   | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to read, ' <u>A healthy Marlborough economy is a place where people and businesses are encourages to grow and flourish with certainty and confidence</u> '.   |       |          |                                  |                    |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 3     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                     | How to use the MEP | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Where there is not an intention to prohibit replace the use of the word avoid with, ' <u>take practical steps to xxxx</u> '.  |       |          |                                  |                    |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.10     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Replace with <u>Efficient</u> .   |       |          |                                  |                    |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | (i) Farms incurring coastal occupancy charges should have controlled status (ii) Include in the plan a formula for determining the coastal occupation charge (iii) provide for coastal occupation charges to be offset by other contributions such as provision of water quality information to council, surveying information, community contributions towards infrastructure (iv) ensure money raised from coastal environment to where the occupation takes place (v) add a provision that gives transparency as to how. |       |          |                                  |                    |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | (i) Farms incurring coastal occupancy charges should have controlled status (ii) Include in the plan a formula for determining the coastal occupation charge (iii) provide for coastal occupation charges to be offset by other contributions such as provision of water quality information to council, surveying information, community contributions towards infrastructure (iv) ensure money raised from coastal environment to where the occupation takes place (v) add a provision that gives transparency as to how. |       |          |                                  |                    |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 7     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | (i) Farms incurring coastal occupancy charges should have controlled status (ii) Include in the plan a formula for determining the coastal occupation charge (iii) provide for coastal occupation charges to be offset by other contributions such as provision of water quality information to council, surveying information, community contributions towards infrastructure (iv) ensure money raised from coastal environment to where the occupation takes place (v) add a provision that gives transparency as to how. |       |          |                                  |                    |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 8     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7      | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | (i) Farms incurring coastal occupancy charges should have controlled status (ii) Include in the plan a formula for determining the coastal occupation charge (iii) provide for coastal occupation charges to be offset by other contributions such as provision of water quality information to council, surveying information, community contributions towards infrastructure (iv) ensure money raised from coastal environment to where the occupation takes place (v) add a provision that gives transparency as to how. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 9     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | (i) Farms incurring coastal occupancy charges should have controlled status (ii) Include in the plan a formula for determining the coastal occupation charge (iii) provide for coastal occupation charges to be offset by other contributions such as provision of water quality information to council, surveying information, community contributions towards infrastructure (iv) ensure money raised from coastal environment to where the occupation takes place (v) add a provision that gives transparency as to how. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Issue 6A      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Replace degradation with, <u>modification</u> .   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 11    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Objective 6.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to read ' <u>Describe the biological features that contribute to natural character and the community's level of acceptance to modification</u> '.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 12    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | 6.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a policy that <u>Recognise existing and legally established uses such as marine farms are significant investments which are reversible</u> .  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 13    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to, ' <u>Recognise the natural elements, patterns and processes which contribute to natural character and the communities' responses to these:</u>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 14    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | (i) manage the coastal marine area as one area (ii) recognise existing uses (modifications) to the natural character i.e. marine farming and forestry.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 15    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to read, ' <u>Identify those areas of the coastal environment that are valued by the community as high and outstanding natural character</u> '.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 16    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Objective 6.2 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | (i) Amend both the Objective and Policy so that intent is to 'Preserve <u>the values</u> in the natural character rather than avoiding changes to the character', and (ii) 'Recognise ongoing use and developments that are lawfully established'. |       |          |                     |                |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 17    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.6   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add to policy the (i) recognition of what the existing modifications to values in natural areas are, and (ii) what the cumulative effect of a new development would bring.   |       |          |                     |                |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 18    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | 7.             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete references to significant landscapes throughout this chapter.   |       |          |                     |                |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 19    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.1.2   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete Policy (ii) Delete references to significant landscapes throughout this chapter.  |       |          |                     |                |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 20    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Objective 7.1? | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete references to amenity.  |       |          |                     |                |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 21    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.1.5   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete references to amenity.  |       |          |                     |                |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 22    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | 7.M.1          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete references to amenity.  |       |          |                     |                |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 23    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Objective 7.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete references to amenity.  |       |          |                     |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                      | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 24    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                                  | Policy 7.2.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete references to amenity.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 25    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                                  | Policy 7.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include '...Valued by the immediate and wider community for its contribution to a their sense of place of economic wellbeing'.                               |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 26    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                                  | Policy 7.1.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | (i) in clause b delete reference to high amenity value and (ii) delete clause C in its entirety.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 27    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                                  | Policy 7.1.5  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 7.1.5(b).   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 28    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity                    | Objective 8.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete ecologically significant marine sites and reassess using nation[al] criteria and (ii) recognise that many areas contain [ <i>sentence is incomplete</i> ]. |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 29    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity                    | Policy 8.1.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete ecologically significant marine sites and reassess using nation[al] criteria and (ii) recognise that many areas contain [ <i>sentence is incomplete</i> ]. |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 30    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                              | 2.13.1.1.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Specifically exempt vessels, fork lifts etc. from the rule.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 31    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                     | 3.3.7.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Require forestry clearances greater than 50ha to notify adjacent aquaculture farmers.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 32    | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend point 5 to include the Location map of CMA to include the presence of marine farms downstream of the planting.  |       |          |  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 33    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone  | 3.3.21.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain - protects downstream water quality.  |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 34    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.6.4.   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative. |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 35    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.6.5.   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative. |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 36    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.6.6.   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative. |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 37    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone     | 7.3.13.1. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative. |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 38    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.5.4.   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative. |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 39    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.5.5.   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative. |       |          |                           |           |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 40    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone    | 16.7.2.   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative.  |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 41    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone    | 16.7.3.   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative.  |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 42    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone    | 16.2.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain.   |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 43    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.2.3.1. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | (i) Amend the daylight noise threshold to 06:00 - 23:00, to 70dBA and measure at the notional boundary,<br>(ii) Amend 16.2.3.2 by adding noise generated from commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting barges'. |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 44    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.2.3.2. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | (i) Amend the daylight noise threshold to 06:00 - 23:00, to 70dBA and measure at the notional boundary,<br>(ii) Amend 16.2.3.2 by adding noise generated from commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting barges'. |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 45    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone    | 16.2.3.1. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | (i) Amend the daylight noise threshold to 06:00 - 23:00, to 70dBA and measure at the notional boundary,<br>(ii) Amend 16.2.3.2 by adding noise generated from commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting barges'. |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 46    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone    | 16.2.3.2. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | (i) Amend the daylight noise threshold to 06:00 - 23:00, to 70dBA and measure at the notional boundary,<br>(ii) Amend 16.2.3.2 by adding noise generated from commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting barges'. |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 47    | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone      | 17.2.2.   | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | (i) Amend the daylight noise threshold to 06:00 - 23:00, to 70dBA and measure at the notional boundary,<br>(ii) Amend 16.2.3.2 by adding noise generated from commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting barges'. |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 48    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.2.3.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | (i) Amend the daylight noise threshold to 06:00 - 23:00, to 70dBA and measure at the notional boundary,<br>(ii) Amend 16.2.3.2 by adding noise generated from commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting barges'. |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 49    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.2.3.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | (i) Amend the daylight noise threshold to 06:00 - 23:00, to 70dBA and measure at the notional boundary,<br>(ii) Amend 16.2.3.2 by adding noise generated from commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting barges'. |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 50    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.16.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rule, 'Other than for the purposes of running a <u>vessel</u> xxx'  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 51    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.9.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend to read 100 days and delete reference to scientific, permitted rule should apply to all monitoring. Make consequential changes to similar rule across all sections.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 52    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.6.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rule and permit minor maintenance and remedial work removal.  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 53    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.5.1.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend to limited notification to effected parties.  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 54    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone           | 13.2.3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Extent the provisions to include all commercial wharfs.   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 55    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone           | 13.3.2.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Extent the provisions to include all commercial wharfs.   |       |          |                           |            |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 56    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.2.3.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add to the list aquaculture harvesting and the mussel processing plant.   |       |          |                           |            |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 57    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.3.4.2.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend so that the effect not the activity is managed.   |       |          |                           |            |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 58    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.3.17.3. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain.   |       |          |                           |            |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 59    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.3.17.5. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain.   |       |          |                           |            |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 60    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.3.21.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend.  |       |          |                           |            |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 61    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.11.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend   |       |          |                           |            |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 62    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.1.29.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend   |       |          |                           |            |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 63    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.4.4.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete reverse sensitivity.   |       |          |                           |            |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 64    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Manage all commercial wharfs across the region to the same policies and methods. Amend the maps, descriptive and rules accordingly. |       |          |                           |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 65    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.2.5.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to read, ' <u>Where practicable</u> odour must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.' |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 66    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.2.7.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to read, ' <u>Where practicable</u> odour must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.' |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 67    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone    | 16.2.4.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to read, ' <u>Where practicable</u> odour must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.' |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 68    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.1.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend to provide for minor alterations that do not discernibly change the scale, size and height as a permitted activity that do not require a resource consent.                                       |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 69    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.2.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to exclude existing users.   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 70    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions            | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Intensive farming - exclude ocean based aquaculture.   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 71    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions            | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Marine farm - specify that farms need to be located seaward of MHWS.   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 72    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions            | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Meat processing - exclude the yarding of animals (i.e. aquaculture sorting and washing) and on-water processing.   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 73    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions            | 25.       | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Non-consumptive - examples of water use should include cooling of vessels.  |       |          |              |                             |        |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 74    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete the coastal Natural Characte[r] overlays from the planning maps, or (ii) amend as proposed in Part 3 of our submission (the table setting out changes to boundaries) which are relatively minor and will ensure that existing marine farms are not unnecessary incumbered. |       |          |              |                             |        |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 75    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete the coastal Natural Characte[r] overlays from the planning maps, or (ii) amend as proposed in Part 3 of our submission (the table setting out changes to boundaries) which are relatively minor and will ensure that existing marine farms are not unnecessary incumbered. |       |          |              |                             |        |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 76    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete the coastal Natural Characte[r] overlays from the planning maps, or (ii) amend as proposed in Part 3 of our submission (the table setting out changes to boundaries) which are relatively minor and will ensure that existing marine farms are not unnecessary incumbered. |       |          |              |                             |        |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 77    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete the coastal Natural Characte[r] overlays from the planning maps, or (ii) amend as proposed in Part 3 of our submission (the table setting out changes to boundaries) which are relatively minor and will ensure that existing marine farms are not unnecessary incumbered. |       |          |              |                             |        |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 78    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete the coastal Natural Characte[r] overlays from the planning maps, or (ii) amend as proposed in Part 3 of our submission (the table setting out changes to boundaries) which are relatively minor and will ensure that existing marine farms are not unnecessary incumbered. |       |          |              |                             |        |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 79    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                               | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete the Coastal Natural Character overlays, or (ii) redraw the seaward boundary as set o[u]t in the Sanford submission, see table provided with the submission. |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 80    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete the Coastal Natural Character overlays, or (ii) redraw the seaward boundary as set o[u]t in the Sanford submission, see table provided with the submission. |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 81    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete the Coastal Natural Character overlays, or (ii) redraw the seaward boundary as set o[u]t in the Sanford submission, see table provided with the submission. |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 82    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete the Coastal Natural Character overlays, or (ii) redraw the seaward boundary as set o[u]t in the Sanford submission, see table provided with the submission. |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 83    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 5             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete the Coastal Natural Character overlays, or (ii) redraw the seaward boundary as set o[u]t in the Sanford submission, see table provided with the submission. |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 84    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 3 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                               | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete or amend the area from the plan as follows:</p> <p>Either delete Ecological site 3.8 or amend so as to acknowledge that ML 8190 sits fully inside this site and at the time of consenting was assessed as being an appropriate use of the coastal water space.</p>  |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 85    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete or amend the area from the plan as follows:</p> <p>Ecological site 3.8 includes parts or all of ML 8203, ML 8200, ML 8573, ML 8194, ML 8190 - amend the seaward boundary so that it excludes these existing marine farms.</p> <p>Ecological site 3.12 is located inside the Sanford share farm ML 8240, and is within 400m of ML 8240, and ML 8579. This is a small site where the matters of significance were assessed at the hearing and the farm deemed to be an appropriate use.</p> <p>Ecological site 3.6 is within 400m of ML 8178 and the matters of significance were assessed at the hearing and the farm deemed to be an appropriate use.</p> |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited   | 86    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete or amend the area from the plan as follows:</p> <p>Realign what appears to be an arbitrary boundary of Ecological site 3.6 so that it is 400m away from Sanford ML 8313.</p>  |       |          |              |   |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                                | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|--|--------|
| 1140               | Sanford Limited  | 87    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete or amend the area from the plan as follows:</p> <p>Ecological area 3.11 is made up of three discrete areas. Sanford's contract farm ML 8216 appears to sit inside the bottom south east corner of the most northern ecological site - amend the boundary of the ecological area so that it abuts but does not lie across the marine farm.</p> <p>Recognise ML 8217 is close by the site and during the consenting process was deemed to be an appropriate use of water space.</p> <p>Adjust the boundary of ML 8218 (another Sanford owned farm) on the south west corner so that the ecological site is alongside the marine farm, rather than inside it.</p> <p>Ecological area 3.16 includes parts of ML 8532 on the South West corner of the ESMS boundary.</p> <p>Adjust the boundary line so that there is no overlap.</p> |       |          |              |  |        |
| 1140               | Sanford Limited  | 88    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend and delete the areas from the plan as follows:</p> <p>Ecological site 6.2 abuts our ML 8444 in the south west corner. Adjust the map as it relates to ML 9442 and ML 8440 so that the ecological site does not sit over the farm or acknowledge the marine farms are located there and are coexisting.</p>  |       |          |              |  |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b>                         | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------|--|-------------|
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 89           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | (a) The dolphin map should be redrafted to be consistent with the text of the Davidson 2011 Significant Marine Sites report. Delete all marine mammal dolphin sites from the plan and at a later stage develop and apply at a national significant threshold test. |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 90           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 1                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table.  |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 91           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 2                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table.  |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 92           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 3                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table.  |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 93           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 4                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table.  |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 94           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 5                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table.  |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 95           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 6                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table.  |              |               |                |  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b>              | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 96           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 7                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table.                                      |              |               |                |                               |             |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 97           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 8                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table.                                      |              |               |                |                               |             |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 98           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 9                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table.                                      |              |               |                |                               |             |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 99           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 10                 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table.                                      |              |               |                |                               |             |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 100          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 11                 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table.                                      |              |               |                |                               |             |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 101          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | National Transportation Route | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | The National Transportation Route map should show the route as being confined to the main part of Tory Chanel and Queen Charlotte Sound. |              |               |                |                               |             |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 102          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 3   | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove the classification ["Very High" Coastal Natural Character].   |              |               |                |                               |             |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 103          | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps    | Zoning Map 11                 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Rezone the site from residential to business zone.   |              |               |                |                               |             |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 104          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 4                  | Oppose      |

Decision  
Requested

### **Pelorus Sound**

- Delete the seaward extent of the ONL above (north) of Hikapu Reach and above Maori Reach.
- Delete ONL at or on the Putanui Point.
- Amend the ONL that extends into Nydia Bay and Fairy Bay so that the seaward boundary abuts the MHWS.
- Amend the OHL at Yncyca Bay so that it is at least 500m from ML 8343 and abuts MHWS.
- Delete corner of ONL by ML 8343.
- Delete the OHL at Hopai Bay.
- Delete the OHL of Waimaru Bay.

### **Kenepura Sound**

- Delete the OML at Gold Reef by drawing the line at the Kenepuru Road.

### **Squally Cove**

- Amend the ONL so that it stretches from Lone Rock to Kakoho Point (west of Squally Cove).

### **Tawhitinui Reach**

- Delete the ONL at Tawe Point
- Move the ONL boundary at Cregoe so that it follows the creek line to the west.

### **Waitata Reach**

- Delete the ONL around Horseshoe Bay and
- Delete the so that it follows the bush line [sentence incomplete].

**Waitata Bay including Horseshoe, Richmond and Ketu Bays**

- Delete the ONL at Tapapa Point.

**Beatrix Bay**

- Delete the ONL at:

\* Kauauroa Bay

\* Tawhitinui Bay

\* Te Purakia Point [Te Puraka Point]

\* Waimaru Bay and Tuhitarata Bay by drawing the boundary below MT Kiwi.

\* Tawero Point

**Waikawa Bay**

- Delete seaward extent of the ONL in Savill Bay, Game Bay and pull back to MHWS.

- Delete ONL from Cregoe Rock that extends in a north west line and includes ML 8203 and is 400m from ML 8301.

|             |                 |     |          |              |              |        |
|-------------|-----------------|-----|----------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| <b>1140</b> | Sanford Limited | 105 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |
|-------------|-----------------|-----|----------|--------------|--------------|--------|

Decision Requested

**Pelorus Sound**

- Delete the ONL at Waihinau Bay, Homestead Bay and Waterfall Bay around Cape Horn and down to Te Akaroa (West Entry Point).

- Delete the ONL around Kaitira (East Entry Pint [Point]) where it is 400m from ML 8109.

- Delete the inclusion of the water space around:

\* Pipitawai

\* Harding Point



\* Paparoa

\* Blow Hole Point.

### **Waitata Reach**

- Delete the OND overlay on the northern side of Hamilton Cove so that it follows the bush line out to Yellow Cliffs in a straight [straight] line.

### **Waitata Bay including Horseshoe, Richmond and Ketu Bays**

- Delete the ONL at the southern end of Kaitira (East Entry Point).

### **Forysth [Forsyth] Bay**

- Delete the ONL at the southern end of Kaitira (East Entry Point).

### **Admiralty Bay**

- Amend the ONL around coastal boundary [boundary] at Clayface Point so that it includes the inner bays and does not extent seaward. This appears to make no difference to the ONL and recognises ML 8014.

- Amend the boundary of the ONL in Waikawa Bay by pulling the northern boundary up to Two Island Point.

### **Waikawa Bay**

- Delete the seaward extent of ONL in savill bay, Game Bay and pull back to MHWS.

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| 1140               | Sanford Limited  | 106   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Pelorus Sound</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Delete the inclusion of the water space around: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Pipitawai</li> <li>* Harding Point</li> <li>* Paparoa</li> <li>* Blow Hole Point.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b>Forysth [Forsyth] Bay</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Delete the ONL at the southern end of Kaitira (East Entry Point).</li> <li>- Delete ONL at Sugar Loaf, by pulling back to Paarekeke Point.</li> <li>- Delete ONL in south west corner at Tawaroa Point.</li> <li>- Delete ONL around Orchard Bay.</li> </ul> <p><b>Anakoha Bay</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Amend the ONL at Tawaroa Point so that it (i) abuts the MHWS and (ii) end at the head of Titirangi Bay.</li> </ul> <p><b>Beatrix Bay</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Delete the ONL at: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Sugar Loaf.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |       |          |              |              |        |
| 1140               | Sanford Limited  | 107   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Forysth [Forsyth] Bay</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Delete the ONL at Sugar Loaf, by pulling back at Paarekeke Point.</li> </ul> <p><b>Anakoha Bay</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Amend the ONL at Tawaroa Point so that (i) abuts the MHWS and (ii) end at the head of Titirangi Bay.</li> </ul> <p><b>Melville Cove</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Amend the ONL so that (i) abuts MHWS and recognise existing farms ML 8169, 8598, 8171, 8591, 8174, 8173 and 8599.</li> </ul> <p><b>Port Underwood</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Delete the ONL around Horahora Kakahu Island by ending the overlay at Pipe Bay and pulling the seaward boundary back to MHWS.</li> </ul> <p><b>Beatrice Bay</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Waimaru Bay and Tuhitarata Bay by drawing the boundary just below Mt Kiwi.</li> </ul> <p><b>Onauku Bay</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Delete ONL Arapaw Island on eastern side of Onauku Bay,</li> </ul> |       |          |              |                             |        |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 108   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Pelorus Sound</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Delete the HNC overlay at Putanui Point.</li> <li>- Delete the HNC at Schapper Point.</li> <li>- Amend the northern extent of the HNC at by ending at Pipi Beach in a straight line with the VHNC.</li> <li>- Amend the VHNC so that it does not include seascapes in Nydia Bay (Chance Bay and Penguin Bay).</li> <li>- Delete the areas of HNC at Hopai Bay, at Crail Bay and at Waimaru Bay.</li> </ul>  |       |          |              |                             |        |

### **Kenepura Sound**

- Delete the VHNC Gold Reef bay by drawing the line at the Kenepuru Road.

### **Squally Cove**

- Amend the VHNC so that it stretches from Lone Rock to Kakaho Point (west of Squally Cove).
- Delete the VHNC at Round Hill along the southern side of Whakitenga Bay.
- Delete the HNC around Oyster Bay (both sides) and Matarau Point.
- Draw a straight [straight] line in the HNC so that it does not include the two tounges [tongues] down to the foreshore by ML's 8271, 8272, 8273.

### **Beatrice Bay**

- Delete the HNC overlay around Tawhitinui Bay and Beatrice bay - parts of which are also ONL.
- Delete the VHNC at Kauauroa bay as no discernable [discernible] difference with surrounding landscape.
- Delete the VHNC at Tawero Point south towards Capsize Point.

### **Waikawa Bay**

- Delete the HNC landscape as they extend over bays of Fitzroy.
- Delete the VHNC west of Woodlands, around Canoe Bay and Camel Point and around, west of Elaine Bay.
- Dele [delete] the ONC from Cregoe Rock in a north west line to Camel Point.

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1140               | Sanford Limited   | 109   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Pelorus Sound</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Delete the southern tounge [tongue] pf the VHNC just above ML 8416.</li> </ul> <p><b>Onauku Bay</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Delete VHNC around Onauku Bay so that it does not lie within 400m of ex[i]sting marine farms including LL [ML] 8509, 8400, 8510.</li> </ul>   |       |          |              |                             |        |
| 1140               | Sanford Limited   | 110   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Pelorus Sound</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Delete the VHNC zone as it extends seaward from Kitira [Kaitira] (East Entry Point), up to Paparoa, White horse Rock and around Yellow Cliffs and Reef Point.</li> </ul> <p><b>Squally Cove</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Delete the HNC around Oyster bay (both sides) and Matarau Point.</li> <li>- Draw a starigth [straight] line in the HNC so that it does not include the two tounge[s] [tongues] down to the foreshore by ML's 8271, 8272, 8273.</li> </ul> <p><b>Waitata Reach</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Delete the HNC and VHNC aroundthat [around that] includes Horseshoe Bay, Ketu Bay and Richmond Bat etc.</li> <li>- Delete HNC above Hamilton Cove.</li> <li>- Delete HNC at Burnt Point including White Rock.</li> </ul> |       |          |              |                             |        |

### **Forysth [Forsyth] Bay**

- Delete HNC from Duffers Reef to just below Kaitira (East Entry Point).
- Delete VHNC below Forsyth Bay and west of Anakoha Bay.

### **Beatrix Bay**

- Delete the HNC overlay around Richmond bay, Horseshoe Bay and Beatrix Bay - parts of which are also ONL.
- Delete the VHNC at Kauauroa Bay as no discernable [discernible] difference with surrounding landscape.

### **Current Basin**

- Delete the VHNC so that it does not extend into Waikawa Bay (i.e. ends at Two Island Point and crosses over in a straight line to the northern tip of Malven Hill Point).

### **Waikawa Bay**

- Delete the HNC landscapes as they extend over bays of Fitzroy.
- Delete the VHNC west of Woodlands, around Canoe Bay and Camel Point and around , west of Elaine Bay.

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                               | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|---|--------|
| 1140               | Sanford Limited   | 111   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Forysth [Forsyth] Bay</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Delete HNC from Duffers Reef to just below Kaitira (East Entry Point).</li> <li>- Delete VHNC below Forsyth Bay and west of Anakoha Bay.</li> <li>- Delete HNC around Orachard [Orchard] Bay.</li> </ul> <p><b>Beatrix Bay</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Delete the HNC overlay around Sugar Loaf and Beatrix Bay - parts of which are also ONL.</li> </ul> |       |          |              |   |        |
| 1140               | Sanford Limited   | 112   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Tawhitinui Reach</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Amend the HNC over Maud Island so that it does not extend over coastal water (i.e. the boundary is MHWS).</li> <li>- Delete the HNC zone at and near Mt Shewell.</li> </ul>   |       |          |              |   |        |
| 1140               | Sanford Limited   | 113   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Tawhitinui Reach</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Amend the HNC over Maud Island so that it does not extent over coastal waters (i.e. the boundary is MHWS).</li> <li>- Delete the coastal parts of the VHNC around Wilson Bay/Spenser Point.</li> </ul>  |       |          |              |   |        |
| 1140               | Sanford Limited   | 114   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                                | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|--|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Tawhitinui Reach</b></p> <p>- Delete the coastal part of the VHNC around Wilson Bay/Spenser point.</p>   |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 115   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Port Underwood</b></p> <p>- Delete the proposed marine mammal site.</p>  |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>1140</b>        | Sanford Limited  | 116   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Port Underwood</b></p> <p>- Delete the proposed marine mammal site.</p> <p><b>Onauku Bay</b></p> <p>- Delete extend o marine mammal zone (dolphin) into Onauku Bay.</p> <p><b>Admiralty Bay</b></p> <p>- Delete the marine mammal site (dolphins) as not nationally significant.</p> |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>622</b>         | Christine Margret Satherley  | 1     | All      | All          |  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br/>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.</p>   |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>1163</b>        | Trevor Brian Satherley   | 1     | All      | All          |  | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1142</b>        | Save the Wairau River Incorporated   | 1     | Volume 1 | 18 Energy                                 | Policy 18.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a clear decision requested.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1142</b>        | Save the Wairau River Incorporated   | 2     | Volume 1 | 18 Energy                                 | Policy 18.1.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That more emphasis is given on photovoltaic generation and "sell back to grid" opportunities <i>(inferred this is to be included in the policy)</i> .  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1142</b>        | Save the Wairau River Incorporated   | 3     | Volume 1 | 18 Energy                                 | 18.M.2        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That 18.M..2 includes more emphasis on photovoltaic generation and "sell back to grid" opportunities <i>(inferred)</i> .   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1142</b>        | Save the Wairau River Incorporated   | 4     | Volume 1 | 18 Energy                                 | 18.M.7        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 18.M.7.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1142</b>        | Save the Wairau River Incorporated   | 5     | Volume 1 | 18 Energy                                 | Policy 18.2.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 18.2.1.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1142</b>        | Save the Wairau River Incorporated   | 6     | Volume 1 | 18 Energy                                 | 18.M.4        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 18.M.4.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1142</b>        | Save the Wairau River Incorporated   | 7     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | In the absence of sound scientific assessment and flow modelling the Council follow the NES guidelines in setting minimum flows. For the Wairau River we seek the adoption of the Cawthron Report as the priority. |       |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>1142</b>        | Save the Wairau River Incorporated   | 8     | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That STWR is involved in some way to assist the Council in opportunities to improve water quality in some catchments, particularly in relation to the Wairau catchment.</p> <p>We strongly recommend that existing standards for contact recreation (swimmable) be maintained and enhanced where ever possible.</p> |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1142</b>        | Save the Wairau River Incorporated   | 9     | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |               | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the natural character of some areas within the Wairau River catchment is assessed. Areas include Bartlett's Creek, Pine Valley, Pukaka Stream, Timms Stream, Waihopai River upper and lower, Waikakahou, Wairau Lagoons, and Wairau River Bed.</p>   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>891</b>         | Lynn Scaife  | 1     | All      | All  |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br/>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.</p>   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>577</b>         | Carl Anthony Schluter  | 1     | All      | All  |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br/>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.</p>   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>736</b>         | Gregory Michael Schmetzer  | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources   | Policy 5.10.7 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>1) To publicise the appointment of charges so that the public can make representation on them. To apportion charges fairly between commercial and non-commercial users.</p> <p>2) To make the setting of coastal occupancy charges part of the long term plan not the annual plan.</p>                              |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>736</b>         | Gregory Michael Schmetzer  | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources   | 5.M.11        | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | 1) To publicise the appointment of charges so that the public can make representation on them. To apportion charges fairly between commercial and non-commercial users.<br>2) To make the setting of coastal occupancy charges part of the long term plan not the annual plan. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>15</b>          | William Scholefield  | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Compensation be payable by the MDC to landowners where MDC decisions devalue landowners land assets  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>16</b>          | William Scholefield  | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove all proposals that devalue ratepayers land value . Pay compensation where landowners land devalued .  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>627</b>         | Carl Scholefield   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>627</b>         | Carl Scholefield   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>627</b>         | Carl Scholefield   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>627</b>         | Carl Scholefield   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>627</b>         | Carl Scholefield   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>627</b>         | Carl Scholefield   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>627</b>         | Carl Scholefield   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>627</b>         | Carl Scholefield   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>627</b>         | Carl Scholefield   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>627</b>         | Carl Scholefield   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>1143</b>        | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership   | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8397; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>1143</b>        | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership   | 2            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8404; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>1143</b>        | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership   | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8420; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>1143</b>        | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership   | 4            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8425; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>1143</b>        | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership   | 5            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8435; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |
| <b>1143</b>        | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership   | 6            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8441; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                             |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>1143</b>        | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership   | 7            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8453; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1143</b>        | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership   | 8            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8580; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1143</b>        | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership   | 9            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8397, 8404, 8420, 8425, 8435, 8441, 8543 and 8580; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1143</b>        | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership   | 10           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8397; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                       |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1143</b>        | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership   | 11           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8404; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                       |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1143</b>        | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership   | 12           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8420; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                       |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1143</b>        | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership   | 13           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8425; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>1143</b>        | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership   | 14    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8435; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>1143</b>        | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership   | 15    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8441; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>1143</b>        | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership   | 16    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8543; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>1143</b>        | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership   | 17    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8580; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>1143</b>        | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership   | 18    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8397, 8404, 8420, 8425, 8435, 8441, 8453 and 8580; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |              |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|---------|
| <b>409</b>         | Paul Anthony Scott   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels               | Schedule 3                  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>128</b>         | Lynda Scott Kelly  | 1     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape   | Policy 7.2.7                | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt these provisions in full.  |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>128</b>         | Lynda Scott Kelly  | 2     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape   | Policy 7.2.2                | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt these provisions in full   |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>128</b>         | Lynda Scott Kelly  | 3     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment                         | Policy 14.4.12              | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt these provisions in full   |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>128</b>         | Lynda Scott Kelly  | 4     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment                         | Policy 14.4.13              | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt these provisions in full   |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>1145</b>        | Sea Health Foods Limited   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8560 in Otatara Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>1145</b>        | Sea Health Foods Limited   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8560 in Otatara Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>1145</b>        | Sea Health Foods Limited   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8560 in Otatara Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |         |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision          | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------------|---------|
| <b>1145</b>        | Sea Health Foods Limited   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8560 in Otatara Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                    |         |
| <b>1145</b>        | Sea Health Foods Limited   | 5     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8560 in Otatara Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                    |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the section <b>Recognise that the Marlborough Sounds is the District's "jewel in the crown"</b> in the guiding principles.  |       |          |   |                    |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.4      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.4.  |       |          |   |                    |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.5      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.5.  |       |          |   |                    |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.6      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.6.  |       |          |   |                    |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.7      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.7.  |       |          |   |                    |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.8      | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.10.8 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><i>Policy 5.10.8 - Any coastal occupancy charges collected will be used on the following to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area:</i><br><b>(x) Programmes such as the Marlborough Marine Futures collaborative process to develop integrated management of the Marlborough Sounds.</b> |       |          |                            |              |                 |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand  | 7     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character        | 6.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Volume 1, Chapter 6 Natural Character.   |       |          |                            |              |                 |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand  | 8     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character        | Policy 6.2.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 6.2.5:<br><i>Policy 6.2.5 Recognise that development in parts of the coastal environment and in those rivers and lakes and their margins that have already been modified by past and present resource use activities is less likely to result in adverse effects on natural character.</i>  |       |          |                            |              |                 |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character        | 6.AER.1      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 6.AER.1:<br>6.AER.1<br>The natural character of Marlborough's coastal environment and of lakes, rivers and their margins is <del>retained</del> <b>restored</b> .<br><b>Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds.</b>   |       |          |                            |              |                 |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand  | 10    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | Policy 7.2.7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.7.<br>That appropriate controls therefore apply to <b>all</b> of the Marlborough Sounds environment (both Outstanding and Coastal Landscape).   |       |          |                            |              |                 |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand  | 11    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.11.    | Support         |

| Sub No   | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision    | Type    |
|--|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested   | That additional controls on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included. |       |          |                            |              |         |
| In regard to all of the references to exterior paint requiring a light reflectance value of 45% or less, SSNZ SEEK that this is amended to include "all exterior cladding must have a reflectance value of 45% or less" to avoid large areas of unpainted highly reflective corrugated iron on new buildings (including roof). |  |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>1146</b>  | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 12    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5.1.       | Support |
| Decision Requested   | That additional controls are included on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines.  |       |          |                            |              |         |
| The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.  |  |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>1146</b>  | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 13    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.6.    | Support |
| Decision Requested   | That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on excavation.  |       |          |                            |              |         |
| The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.  |  |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>1146</b>  | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.5.    | Support |
| Decision Requested   | That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on filling.   |       |          |                            |              |         |
| The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.  |  |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>1146</b>  | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 15    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Landscapes 5 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested   | That the following amendments are made to Overlay Map 5 ( <i>inferred</i> ):   |       |          |                            |              |         |
| 1. Endangered Hector dolphin habitat including East Bay and "Hectorville" Te Ipapakereu Bay and Waikakamea Bay are designated as ONFL's or as ecologically significant marine sites.   |  |       |          |                            |              |         |
| 2. The whole of Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory channel are designated as ONFL's in their entirety.   |  |       |          |                            |              |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 16    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | 7.M.1     | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory Channel are included as ONFL's in their entirety. This should also include Endeavour Inlet, East Bay and Melville Cove ( <i>inferred</i> ).  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 17    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | 7.M.6     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 7.M.6.<br>Consider providing funding to wilding pine control programmes and other community initiated control programmes for undesirable plants and animals.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 18    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | 7.M.7     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Undertake research into alternative forestry and land use options such as permanent sink forestry for pine forest owners in the Marlborough Sounds. The investigations should include how best to manage the transition from pine plantations to the chosen alternatives in a manner that minimises landscape effects, especially those caused by wilding pines. |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 19    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.    | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Implementation of the recommendations from the MDC Technical Report Mitigating Fine Sediment from Forestry in the Coastal Waters of the Marlborough Sounds (Nov 2015) (a link to this document is provided in the submission). A number of options are evaluated for improving soil conservation and water quality, and thereby helping to maintain indigenous biodiversity within the Sounds.</p> <p>That the following new standards are added to 4.3.6 Commercial forestry replanting (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.A The following species must not be planted:</b></p> <p>(a) Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>);<br/> (b) Lodgepole pine (<i>Pinus contorta</i>);<br/> (c) Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>);<br/> (d) European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>);<br/> (e) Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>);<br/> (f) Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>);<br/> (g) Corsican pine (<i>Pinus nigra</i>).</p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.B Assess the risk of tree spread using the industry Wilding Spread Risk calculator, prior to planting taking place.</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.C No planting within 50m of a ridge.</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.D Replanting of areas harvested within 12 months of harvest.</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.E Replanting in excess of 1000 stems/hectare.</b></p> <p>That the above new standards also apply to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.</p> |       |          |                            |           |        |
| 1146               | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 20    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.6.1 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>4.3.6.1 Replanting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p>(a) <del>8</del><b>10</b> metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</p> <p>(b) <del>8</del><b>10</b> metres of a Significant Wetland;</p> <p>(c) <del>30</del><b>100</b> metres of the coastal marine area.;</p> <p><b>(d) 5 metres for streams less than 3 metres in width and 10 metres for streams equal to, or greater than, 3 metres in width.</b></p> <p>That control of pine re-growth and management of wildings in this coastal set-back is the responsibility of the plantation owner.</p>  |       |          |                            |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|---------|
| 1146               | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 21    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                            | 7.            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That a new Method of Implementation ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ) is included to introduce an industry levy on logs harvested to control for wilding spread beyond the boundary and/or into the coastal setback area and the cost of this control.  |       |          |  |               |         |
| 1146               | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 22    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                            | 7.AER.1       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the wording "Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds" is included in 7.AER.1.  |       |          |  |               |         |
| 1146               | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 23    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | Objective 8.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the explanation of Objective 8.1 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ).  |       |          |  |               |         |
| 1146               | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 24    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | 8.AER.1       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That by 2020 a robust and substantial Marine Protected Areas/Marine Park, including at least all of Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory Channel, is established ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ).   |       |          |  |               |         |
| 1146               | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 25    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15C     | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new Methods of Implementation are included under Issue 15C:</p> <p><i>Management purpose (15-11)</i></p> <p><b><i>15.M.X Replanting Management Plan</i></b></p> <p><b><i>A mandatory plan that identifies areas at high risk of erosion and require retirement and implementation of buffers, such as gully heads and steep ephemeral gullies.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Incentives</i></b></p> <p><b><i>15.M.X Greater recognition and encouragement of planting permanent forest (not for harvest) or allowing native regeneration. Assistance could include rates rebate and funding for control of wilding pines.</i></b></p> |       |          |  |               |         |
| 1146               | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 26    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.13.       | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That the following new standards are included under Heading 4.3.13:<br><b>a) All road design, construction, and maintenance to be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.</b><br><b>b) All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation.</b>  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 27    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That a new policy and rules aimed at preventing wilding pines spreading beyond the borders of commercial forestry are included.<br>That a requirement to use the industry Wilding Spread Risk Calculator to assess the risk of tree spread for a site prior to planting taking place is included.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 28    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Issue 13A      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 29    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Policy 13.4.1  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments are made:<br>1. Habitat protection for Blue Cod, particularly from all fishing impacts in the Marlborough Sounds, should include all the enclosed bays and 463m off all islands (as at Long Island Marine Reserve) and includes fishing of any type only permitted in open channels.<br>2. Commercial dredging is not be allowed to resume at any time in the Queen Charlotte Sound, or ideally anywhere in the Marlborough Sounds.<br>3. There is a permanent and complete ban on recreation dredges in the Marlborough Sounds and that scallops should only be sustainably harvested by scuba/snorkel in future. |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 30    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Issue 13E      | Support |
| Decision Requested | That permanent moorings should be provided in future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 31    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Objective 13.7 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Objective 13.7.  |       |          |  |               |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 32    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.7.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Policy 13.7.1.   |       |          |  |               |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 33    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.7.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Policy 13.7.2.   |       |          |  |               |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 34    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.           | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the MEP include methods on how nitrogen levels will be monitored and managed in coastal waters, including the effects of forestry and Salmon farming ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |  |               |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 35    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.8.        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new standard is included under Heading 4.3.8 Woodlot forestry harvesting:</p> <p><b><i>4.3.8.X All woody material &gt;100 mm diameter and &gt;3 metres in length from gullies (&gt;5000m or 0.5 hectare) must be removed as soon as practicable, but no later than 1 month, after harvest.</i></b></p> <p>That the above new standard also applies to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.</p> |       |          |  |               |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 36    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.7.1.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.7.1 with the addition that there is no new commercial exotic forestry planting in the Marlborough Sounds.  |       |          |  |               |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 37    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.7.2.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Reconsider prohibited activity 4.7.2. This could become an ongoing source of wilding pines. Suggest an option to harvest once (or poison) and take measures to control wilding pines while the land is regenerating.   |       |          |  |               |         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand  | 38    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.3.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following tree species are included in prohibited activity Rule 4.7.3:<br><b>Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>);</b><br><b>Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>);</b><br><b>European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>);</b><br><b>Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>);</b><br><b>Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>).</b> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand  | 39    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.6.3.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand  | 40    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.6.4.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand  | 41    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.7.1.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand  | 42    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.2.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.7.2.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand  | 43    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.6. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.13.6.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand  | 44    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.5. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.15.5.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1146               | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 45    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.16.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.16.8:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.16.8 Filling must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><b>(d) 100m of the coastal marine area.</b></p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1146               | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 46    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.15.9:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.15.9 Filling must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><b>(d) 100m of the coastal marine area.</b></p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1146               | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 47    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.14.1. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new standard is added under the heading 3.3.14 Excavation (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><b>Standard 3.3.14.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3 on flat land.</b></p>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1146               | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 48    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new standard is added under the heading 4.3.13 Excavation (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.13.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3 on flat land.</b></p>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1146               | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 49    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That further protection is provided by prohibiting anchoring and dredging in a buffer zone around these sites and any future marine protected areas. The size of these buffer zones to be determined as recommended in the MDC Expert Panel Assessment 2014-2015 (a link to this document is provided in the submission).</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1146               | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 50    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.2.   | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That a collaborative approach is established between Council and boating clubs, recreational fishers and tourism operators to come up with workable regulations to keep human sewage out of the coastal marine environment.  |       |          |   |            |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 51    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.3.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | That a collaborative approach is established between Council and boating clubs, recreational fishers and tourism operators to come up with workable regulations to keep human sewage out of the coastal marine environment.  |       |          |   |            |         |
| <b>1146</b>        | Sea Shepherd New Zealand   | 52    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              |            | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Ecologically Significant Marine Sites Overlays.   |       |          |   |            |         |
| <b>416</b>         | Sandra Irene Shadbolt  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |   |            |         |
| <b>185</b>         | George Robert Shallgrass   | 1     | All      | All                                       |            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Make it simple and remove some parts.  |       |          |   |            |         |
| <b>1028</b>        | Phoebe Shand   | 1     | All      | All                                       |            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |            |         |
| <b>1134</b>        | Sebastian Shand  | 1     | All      | All                                       |            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |            |         |
| <b>1141</b>        | Sapphire Shand   | 1     | All      | All                                       |            | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AONZ submission.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1278</b>        | Raewyn Shand   | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                                   | 7.2.1.5.                    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1147</b>        | Shand Enterprises Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.</p> <p>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the High Natural Character designations over the Port Ligar area objected to.</p>   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1147</b>        | Shand Enterprises Limited  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.</p> <p>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the High Natural Character designations over the Port Ligar area objected to.</p>   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1147</b>        | Shand Enterprises Limited  | 3     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.<br>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the High Natural Character designations over the Port Ligar area objected to.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1147</b>        | Shand Enterprises Limited  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 1                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.<br>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape designations over the Port Ligar area objected to.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1147</b>        | Shand Enterprises Limited  | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 2                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.<br>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape designations over the Port Ligar area objected to.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1147</b>        | Shand Enterprises Limited  | 6     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.<br>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape designations over the Port Ligar area objected to.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1148</b>        | Shand Trust Partnership  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.<br>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the High Natural Character designations over the Port Ligar area objected to.<br><br>Alternatively, if the Port Ligar area objected to is finally determined to be having High Natural Character, then to implement policies, methods and rules that equally protect all elements of natural character (including modified elements such as terrestrial and marine farming within that landscape designation, or which contribute to it) such that adverse effects on those activities contributing to natural character are avoided (or mitigated) in future in accordance with the NZCPS. In such cases it may still be appropriate to amend the designated area of High Natural Character boundaries. |       |          |   |                             |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>1148</b>        | Shand Trust Partnership   | 2            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | <p>In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.</p> <p>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the High Natural Character designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. Alternatively, if the Port Ligar area objected to is finally determined to be having High Natural Character, then to implement policies, methods and rules that equally protect all elements of natural character (including modified elements such as terrestrial and marine farming within that landscape designation, or which contribute to it) such that adverse effects on those activities contributing to natural character are avoided (or mitigated) in future in accordance with the NZCPS. In such cases it may still be appropriate to amend the designated area of High Natural Character boundaries.</p>                      |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1148</b>        | Shand Trust Partnership   | 3            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | <p>In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.</p> <p>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the High Natural Character designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. Alternatively, if the Port Ligar area objected to is finally determined to be having High Natural Character, then to implement policies, methods and rules that equally protect all elements of natural character (including modified elements such as terrestrial and marine farming within that landscape designation, or which contribute to it) such that adverse effects on those activities contributing to natural character are avoided (or mitigated) in future in accordance with the NZCPS. In such cases it may still be appropriate to amend the designated area of High Natural Character boundaries.</p>                      |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1148</b>        | Shand Trust Partnership   | 4            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 1                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | <p>In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.</p> <p>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. Alternatively, if the Port Ligar area objected to is finally determined to be having Outstanding Natural Landscape, then to implement policies, methods and rules that equally protect all elements of natural character (including modified elements such as terrestrial and marine farming within that landscape designation, or which contribute to it) such that adverse effects on those activities contributing to natural character are avoided (or mitigated) in future in accordance with the NZCPS. In such cases it may still be appropriate to amend the designated area of Outstanding Natural Landscape boundaries.</p> |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1148</b>        | Shand Trust Partnership   | 5            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 2                | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.</p> <p>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. Alternatively, if the Port Ligar area objected to is finally determined to be having Outstanding Natural Landscape, then to implement policies, methods and rules that equally protect all elements of natural character (including modified elements such as terrestrial and marine farming within that landscape designation, or which contribute to it) such that adverse effects on those activities contributing to natural character are avoided (or mitigated) in future in accordance with the NZCPS. In such cases it may still be appropriate to amend the designated area of Outstanding Natural Landscape boundaries.</p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1148</b>        | Shand Trust Partnership   | 6     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.</p> <p>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. Alternatively, if the Port Ligar area objected to is finally determined to be having Outstanding Natural Landscape, then to implement policies, methods and rules that equally protect all elements of natural character (including modified elements such as terrestrial and marine farming within that landscape designation, or which contribute to it) such that adverse effects on those activities contributing to natural character are avoided (or mitigated) in future in accordance with the NZCPS. In such cases it may still be appropriate to amend the designated area of Outstanding Natural Landscape boundaries.</p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>73</b>          | Thomas & Janet Sharp  | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>1. That the final paragraph of clause 5.10.7 be amended to allow for additional beneficiaries/activities to be in the allocation of costs.</p> <p>2. That the final paragraph of clause 5.10.7 be further amended to direct the Council when setting the annual charge that they recognize total area of occupation is the primary factor to be considered in determining the allocation of the annual charge.</p>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.5.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw  | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.3.6.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 4.3.6.1 (c) as follows (strike out and bold) - " <b>30 100 metres of the coastal marine area</b> ".  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw  | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.6.3.        | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter                          | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw                         | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw                         | 5     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw                         | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.8.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw                         | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.6.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw                         | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw                         | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw                         | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw                         | 11    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.  | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter                          | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw                         | 12    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw                         | 13    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw                         | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw                         | 15    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw                         | 16    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | 8.M.4      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw                         | 17    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.30.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw                         | 18    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.31.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw                         | 19    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.3.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Replace the Standard with the following new Standard - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>"For all other rivers, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not cause obvious heavy pugging or bare soil alongside or within the beds of rivers due to repeated or intense stock trampling."</i></li> </ul> (Inferred) |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw  | 20    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Replace the Standard with the following new Standard - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>"The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not be onto or across a river, or its tributaries, listed in Table 15.1 and Table 15.2 in Chapter 15 of Volume 1 of this Plan;"</i></li> </ul> (Inferred)                        |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw  | 21    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw  | 22    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw  | 23    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend 4.3.10.2(a) as follows (strike out) – <i>"(a) indigenous vegetation under <del>or within 50m of</del> commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt;"</i><br>(Inferred)   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw  | 24    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend 3.3.11.2(a) as follows (strike out) – <i>"(a) indigenous vegetation under <del>or within 50m of</del> commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt;"</i>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw  | 25    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 4.3.10.2 as follows (strike out and bold) – “ <i>The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards <del>4.3.10.3</del> <b>4.3.10.4</b> to 3.3.11.6 (inclusive):...</i> ”<br><br>(Inferred)  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw  | 26    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 3.3.11.2 as follows (strike out and bold) – “ <i>The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards <del>3.3.11.3</del> <b>3.3.11.4</b> to 3.3.11.6 (inclusive):...</i> ”<br><br>(Inferred)  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw  | 27    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Replace the Standard with the following new Standard -<br><br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>“ <i>The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not be onto or across a river, or its tributaries, listed in Table 15.1 and Table 15.2 in Chapter 15 of Volume 1 of this Plan;</i>”</li> </ul> (Inferred)                        |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw  | 28    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.3. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Replace the Standard with the following new Standard -<br><br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>“ <i>For all other rivers, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not cause obvious heavy pugging or bare soil alongside or within the beds of rivers due to repeated or intense stock trampling.</i>”</li> </ul> (Inferred) |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw  | 29    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.3.9.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - “ <i>There must be no planting of vegetation which will mature to a height exceeding 6m within 30m of a formed and sealed road, <b>unless it is restoration planting of indigenous species.</b></i> ” (Inferred)   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw  | 30    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.9.2.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>There must be no planting of vegetation which will mature to a height exceeding 6m within 30m of a formed and sealed road, <b>unless it is restoration planting of indigenous species.</b></i> " (Inferred)  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw   | 31    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.10.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>There must be no planting of vegetation which will mature to a height exceeding 6m within 30m of a formed and sealed road, <b>unless it is restoration planting of indigenous species.</b></i> " (Inferred)  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw   | 32    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.20.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Replace the Standard with the following new Standard - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>"For all other rivers, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not cause obvious heavy pugging or bare soil alongside or within the beds of rivers due to repeated or intense stock trampling."</li> </ul> (Inferred) |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw   | 33    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.9.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. (Inferred)  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw   | 34    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone              | 8.3.9.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>There must be no planting within the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape, <b>unless it is restoration planting of indigenous species.</b></i> " (Inferred)  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw   | 35    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.10.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>There must be no planting within the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape, <b>unless it is restoration planting of indigenous species.</b></i> " (Inferred)  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>423</b>         | Chris Shaw   | 36    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone              | 8.3.19.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. (Inferred)  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| 423                | Chris Shaw  | 37    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                   | 7.3.17.1.                                 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 423                | Chris Shaw  | 38    | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone              | 6.3.4.1.                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 423                | Chris Shaw  | 39    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone                     | 8.3.10.1.                                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standard as follows (bold) - " <i>Within, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland, Pest Plants identified in Appendix 25, <b>non-indigenous species as part of a restoration project</b> and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse and old man's beard must be the only vegetation removed, and plants must only be cleared by non-mechanical means.</i> "<br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 423                | Chris Shaw  | 40    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.3.11.3.                                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>Vegetation clearance must not be in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland, <b>unless as part of a restoration project.</b></i> " <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 423                | Chris Shaw  | 41    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.12.3.                                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>Vegetation clearance must not be in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland (<b>unless as part of a restoration project</b>) or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification;</i> " <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 1178               | Teresa Shaw   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 1178               | Teresa Shaw   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1178</b>        | Teresa Shaw  | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1178</b>        | Teresa Shaw  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1178</b>        | Teresa Shaw  | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1178</b>        | Teresa Shaw  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1178</b>        | Teresa Shaw  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1178</b>        | Teresa Shaw  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1178</b>        | Teresa Shaw  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1178</b>        | Teresa Shaw  | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1150</b>        | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7):<br><i>"Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."</i>  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1150</b>        | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8):<br><i>"Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."</i>   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1150</b>        | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the absence of a natural character overlay in Port Ligar;<br>AND<br>Remove the natural character overlay from the northeastern headland at the entrance to Waitata Bay;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |                             |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 1150               | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the absence of a natural character overlay in Port Ligar;<br>AND<br>Remove the natural character overlay from the northeastern headland at the entrance to Waitata Bay;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| 1150               | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited   | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the natural character overlay from the northern extreme of Tennyson Inlet;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| 1150               | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited   | 6     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the natural character overlay from the northern extreme of Tennyson Inlet;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| 1150               | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited   | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 1                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in the northeastern part of Waitata Bay;<br>AND<br>Remove the ONL overlay from Port Ligar;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| 1150               | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited   | 8     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in the northeastern part of Waitata Bay;<br>AND<br>Remove the ONL overlay from Port Ligar;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1150</b>        | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited  | 9     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove the ONL overlay from the northern extreme of Tennyson Inlet;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1150</b>        | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited  | 10    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove the ONL overlay from the northern extreme of Tennyson Inlet;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1150</b>        | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited  | 11    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 65  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Zoning Map 65, to rezone the commercial wharf at Elaine Bay as Port Zone.   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1150</b>        | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited  | 12    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             | Zoning Map 103 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Zoning Map 103, to rezone the commercial wharf at Elaine Bay as Port Zone.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>63</b>          | Sandy Shields   | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt in full   |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>63</b>          | Sandy Shields   | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Adopt these policies in full   |       |          |                                   |              |         |
| <b>63</b>          | Sandy Shields  | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                       | Policy 7.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt in full  |       |          |                                   |              |         |
| <b>63</b>          | Sandy Shields  | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                       | Policy 7.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt in full.   |       |          |                                   |              |         |
| <b>186</b>         | Gary John Shields  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                       | Zoning Map 7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Volume 4, Page 7. 25 Battys Road. I would love to see it rezoned Residential.  |       |          |                                   |              |         |
| <b>757</b>         | Hugh Shields   | 1     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13C    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the entire section on <b>Fishing</b> (pages 13-11 to 13-12) is deleted and re-written with a view to placing emphasis on protecting and enhancing the marine environment, which is something the Marlborough District Council has both the authority and statutory obligation to do.</p> <p>The submission includes a draft of the proposed new section, which is provided below in italics.</p> <p><i>Marine Environment Protection, Restoration and Enhancement</i></p> <p><i>A healthy Marlborough Sounds marine environment is important for a number of reasons:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>• Is cultural significant and provides an on-going source of kai moana for Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi</i></li> <li><i>• Provides economic gain for commercial fishers</i></li> <li><i>• Supports a thriving aquaculture industry</i></li> <li><i>• Has an amenity value and cultural value for recreational users</i></li> <li><i>• Is of economic importance to the tourism industry and the region</i></li> <li><i>• Supports the complex biodiversity of the Sounds and therefore the health of marine ecosystems</i></li> </ul> <p><i>Under the Resource Management Act the Council is responsible for protecting habitats of indigenous fauna and maintaining indigenous biological diversity.</i></p> <p><i>By managing any adverse effects on marine environmental habitats, caused by activities over which it does have direct control, the Council can meet its statutory obligations under the RMA and Coastal Protection Act.</i></p> <p><i>The Council can take a lead role in supporting and encouraging marine environment protection, restoration and enhancement projects and in doing so will directly help to maintain and enhance healthy marine biodiversity in the Marlborough Sounds, which will support the cultural, spiritual and economic well-</i></p> |       |          |                                   |              |         |

*being of tangata whenua, Commercial and Recreational stakeholders, the tourism industry and the wider community.*

*The depletion of the marine habitat in the Marlborough Sounds is an area of concern. This was highlighted in Davidson Environmental Limited report prepared in 2011, Ecologically Significant Marine Sites in Marlborough and by others.*

*Maintaining a healthy marine environment is of particular importance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi.*

*I believe the Council has an advocacy role in ensuring a healthy marine environment is maintained in the Marlborough Sounds. Through environment thrive which will support abundant fisheries and aquaculture for future generations which will contribute to the economic, social, cultural and general community wellbeing of Marlborough's residents and visitors.*

*Objective - To ensure a healthy marine environment is maintained, protected, resorted and enhanced in the Marlborough Sounds.*

*Policy- That the recommendations of Davidson Environmental Limited report 2011, Ecologically Significant Marine Sites in Marlborough and the Expert Review Panel, be acted on and implemented with urgency to protect marine sites of significance with a 200 metre buffer zone.*

*Policy - That the disposition of mussel shells (whole or crushed) and other naturally occurring fouling organisms extracted as part of the factory processing of green shell mussel, to seawater, within designated buffer zones around Ecologically Significant Marine Sites, (and other appropriate sites as may be identified) for the purpose of benthic habitat protection, restoration or enhancement, become a permitted activity, subject to compliance with Council approval, guidelines and practices etc.*

*Policy - That the disposition of small, undersized, live mussels and other naturally occurring fouling organisms extracted as part of the factory processing of green shell mussel, to seawater, within designated buffer zones around Ecologically Significant Marine Sites, (and other appropriate sites as may be identified) for the purpose of benthic habitat protection, restoration or enhancement, become a permitted activity, subject to compliance with Council approval, guidelines and practices etc.*

*Policy- That the disposition of suitability graded, screened and washed, coarse sands, gravel, shingle, rock and shell to seawater, within designated buffer zones around Ecologically Significant Marine Sites, (and other appropriate sites as may be identified) for the purpose of benthic habitat protection, restoration or enhancement, become a permitted activity, subject to prior Council approval, and compliance with Council instructions, guidelines and practices etc.*

*Policy - Support community groups working towards ensuring a healthy marine environment is maintained in the Marlborough Sounds. Often local community groups provide the initial impetus for responding to issues and it is important to support these groups where possible.*

*Methods of implementation:*

- Follow the recommendation contained in Davidson Environmental Limited report 2011, Ecologically Significant Marine Sites in Marlborough and act urgently to protect marine sites of significance.*
- Create 'buffer zones' of 100 to 200 metres around the protected marine sites of significance.*
- Support initiatives of community groups working towards a healthy marine environment in the Marlborough Sounds by providing advice and financial support.*
- Engage in dialogue with the Mussel Industry to: develop a strategy to enhance the buffer zones (and other areas as may become apparent) by the controlled placement of discarded crushed or whole mussel shells and other marine material which is a waste bi-product of mussel processing.*
- Engage with Contractors involved in dredging operations either in the Sounds, estuaries or river mouths to assess the suitability of screened washed material to be placed in the buffer zones of protected marine sites of significance.*

- *Engage with Community Groups and Science to explore and implement habitat restoration and enhancement through the harvesting of healthy sea grass from areas of abundance and replanting in areas where sea grasses have become depleted.*

|                    |   |   |          |   |   |        |
|--------------------|---|---|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>757</b>         | Hugh Shields  | 2 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Objective 13.4                            | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The entire section on <b>Fishing</b> (pages 13-11 to 13-12) should be deleted and re-written with a view to placing emphasis on protecting and enhancing the marine environment, which is something the Marlborough District Council has both the authority and statutory obligation to do.</p> <p>The submission includes a draft of the proposed new section, which is provided in decision requested 757.1.</p> |   |          |   |   |        |
| <b>757</b>         | Hugh Shields  | 3 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Policy 13.4.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The entire section on <b>Fishing</b> (pages 13-11 to 13-12) should be deleted and re-written with a view to placing emphasis on protecting and enhancing the marine environment, which is something the Marlborough District Council has both the authority and statutory obligation to do.</p> <p>The submission includes a draft of the proposed new section, which is provided in decision requested 757.1.</p> |   |          |   |   |        |
| <b>757</b>         | Hugh Shields  | 4 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Policy 13.4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The entire section on <b>Fishing</b> (pages 13-11 to 13-12) should be deleted and re-written with a view to placing emphasis on protecting and enhancing the marine environment, which is something the Marlborough District Council has both the authority and statutory obligation to do.</p> <p>The submission includes a draft of the proposed new section, which is provided in decision requested 757.1.</p> |   |          |   |   |        |
| <b>757</b>         | Hugh Shields  | 5 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | 13.M.9                                    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The entire section on <b>Fishing</b> (pages 13-11 to 13-12) should be deleted and re-written with a view to placing emphasis on protecting and enhancing the marine environment, which is something the Marlborough District Council has both the authority and statutory obligation to do.</p> <p>The submission includes a draft of the proposed new section, which is provided in decision requested 757.1.</p> |   |          |   |   |        |
| <b>694</b>         | Elin Shin   | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.</p>  |   |          |   |   |        |
| <b>694</b>         | Elin Shin   | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.</p>  |   |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>694</b>         | Elin Shin  | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>694</b>         | Elin Shin  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>694</b>         | Elin Shin  | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>694</b>         | Elin Shin  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>694</b>         | Elin Shin  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>694</b>         | Elin Shin  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>694</b>         | Elin Shin  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>694</b>         | Elin Shin  | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Support     |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1029</b>        | Peter Shirley  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1029</b>        | Peter Shirley  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1029</b>        | Peter Shirley  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1029</b>        | Peter Shirley  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1029</b>        | Peter Shirley  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1029</b>        | Peter Shirley  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1029</b>        | Peter Shirley  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1029</b>        | Peter Shirley  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1029</b>        | Peter Shirley  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1029</b>        | Peter Shirley  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1049</b>        | Silverwood Partnership   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.5.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1049</b>        | Silverwood Partnership   | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.3.6.1.      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1049</b>        | Silverwood Partnership   | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.6.3.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1049</b>        | Silverwood Partnership   | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.6.4.        | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1049</b>        | Silverwood Partnership  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1049</b>        | Silverwood Partnership  | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1049</b>        | Silverwood Partnership  | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1049</b>        | Silverwood Partnership  | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1049</b>        | Silverwood Partnership  | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1049</b>        | Silverwood Partnership  | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1049</b>        | Silverwood Partnership  | 11    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1049</b>        | Silverwood Partnership  | 12    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.  | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision              | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |                            |                        |         |
| <b>1049</b>        | Silverwood Partnership  | 13    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.              | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |                            |                        |         |
| <b>1049</b>        | Silverwood Partnership  | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10.             | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |                            |                        |         |
| <b>1049</b>        | Silverwood Partnership  | 15    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                |                        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.   |       |          |                            |                        |         |
| <b>1049</b>        | Silverwood Partnership  | 16    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.                | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |       |          |                            |                        |         |
| <b>1049</b>        | Silverwood Partnership  | 17    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.                | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |       |          |                            |                        |         |
| <b>1049</b>        | Silverwood Partnership  | 18    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.31.                | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.                                     |       |          |                            |                        |         |
| <b>1049</b>        | Silverwood Partnership  | 19    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.                | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.                                     |       |          |                            |                        |         |
| <b>161</b>         | David Sim   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Soil Sensitive Area 11 | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Recommends an alternative regime consisting of a Sustainable Agriculture Management Programme consisting of a central body accurately monitoring the effects on the environment and recommending/requiring changes in management practices.                            |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>161</b>         | David Sim  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                               | 3.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Recommends an alternative regime consisting of a Sustainable Agriculture Management Programme consisting of a central body accurately monitoring the effects on the environment and recommending/requiring changes in management practices.                            |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>247</b>         | David Sim  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed zoning (inferred).   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1299</b>        | Philip James Sim   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Property Number 527547 Lot 1 DP 4615 is granted Heritage Resource status and is included in Appendix 13 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1151</b>        | Simcox Construction Limited  | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources                | Policy 4.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (o) of this Policy as follows (bold) -<br>" <i>(o) Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain, <b>and privately owned quarries that are used for sourcing flood protection materials.</b></i> "<br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1151</b>        | Simcox Construction Limited  | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment                        | Policy 14.1.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the explanation to the Policy as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Currently, a wide range of primary productive land uses are undertaken in Marlborough's rural environments, from viticulture to extensive forestry, pastoral farming, dairy farming and cropping. <b>It is also acknowledged that the extraction of rock and associated material. For instance, protection and development needs to occur at the site of raw material.</b> This policy provides for those uses to continue, which will assist in achieving Objective 14.1, although the management regime in the MEP will include controls to manage adverse effects. At times there may be a change in land use or management practices for primary production to enhance the efficient use of land resources and the MEP does not intend to unduly curtail any opportunity for this to occur. However, the management framework for rural environments, which includes rural areas within the Marlborough Sounds and elsewhere in the District, does include standards to maintain environmental quality, character and amenity values."</i><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1151</b>        | Simcox Construction Limited  | 3     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1151</b>        | Simcox Construction Limited  | 4     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | A reference to quarries, and/or excavation for quarrying purposes should be included. <i>(It is not clear in the Submission the specific amendment to the Policy or the explanation sought.)</i>   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1151</b>        | Simcox Construction Limited  | 5     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Noise limits consistent with the character and amenity of the Rural and Coastal Environment Zones have been established to provide for the protection of community health and welfare. <b>However, it is recognised that there will be noise associated with quarrying and that it may be difficult to mitigate the noise associated with quarrying.</b>"</i><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1151</b>        | Simcox Construction Limited  | 6     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Mitigate nuisance effects on adjoining dwellings or adjoining properties caused by dust from earthworks or stockpiled material, <b>or dust and other disturbance associated with quarrying and that it may be difficult to mitigate the dust associated with quarrying.</b>"</i>   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1151               | Simcox Construction Limited   | 7     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend (f) and (h) in this Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p>"(f) with the exception of <b>traffic associated with the Barracks Rad Quarry and at times</b> around grape harvest, it is generally a low volume traffic environment;"</p> <p>"(h) a mix of land uses <b>inclusive of quarrying</b>, towards the lower valley where a more domesticated rural character is evident; and"</p>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 1151               | Simcox Construction Limited   | 8     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend (g) in this Policy and paragraph four of the explanation to the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>Policy - "<del>(g) maintaining a low volume traffic environment to</del> <b>Provide for traffic volumes that maintain the existing</b> <del>a peaceful and quiet environment within the Omaka Valley Area; and</del>"</p> <p>Explanation - "<i>Maintaining a low volume traffic environment to maintain the peaceful and quiet environment of the Omaka Valley Area is challenging, as the predominant land use is viticulture, which for a period each vintage attracts a considerable number of truck movements. There are no through roads within the valley, but this in itself presents a challenge when considering land use activities at the head of the valley. The policy recognises that in general the Omaka Valley enjoys low traffic flows and that <b>maintaining traffic levels at or about the current level is desirable</b> this is to be maintained.</i>"</p> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 1151               | Simcox Construction Limited   | 9     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                 | 2.2.11.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"Take and use of water for road or river control construction works up to <del>50m<sup>3</sup></del> <b>200m<sup>3</sup></b> per day per construction site."</p>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 536                | Andrew Simmonds   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone          | 16.7.2.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"<del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</p>   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 536                | Andrew Simmonds   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone          | 16.7.3.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"<del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</p>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| 537                | Alistair Simmons  | 1     | All      | All                             |                | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>672</b>         | Donald Bruce Simpson  | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>828</b>         | Jimmy Simpson   | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>892</b>         | Lynda Simpson   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>892</b>         | Lynda Simpson   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>892</b>         | Lynda Simpson   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>892</b>         | Lynda Simpson   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>892</b>         | Lynda Simpson   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>892</b>         | Lynda Simpson  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |                    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>892</b>         | Lynda Simpson  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>892</b>         | Lynda Simpson  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>892</b>         | Lynda Simpson  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>892</b>         | Lynda Simpson  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>574</b>         | Bryan Skeggs   | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include economic development and associated relief.   |       |          |   |                    |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b>   | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| <b>574</b>         | Bryan Skeggs   | 2            | Volume 1      | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief.  |              |               |   |                    |                 |
| <b>574</b>         | Bryan Skeggs   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Specifically recognise the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay and associated relief.  |              |               |   |                    |                 |
| <b>574</b>         | Bryan Skeggs   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the sounds have been altered by social and cultural use and associated relief.   |              |               |   |                    |                 |
| <b>574</b>         | Bryan Skeggs   | 5            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources and associated relief.   |              |               |   |                    |                 |
| <b>574</b>         | Bryan Skeggs   | 6            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | 6.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include appropriate definitions of natural character, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character and associated relief.                               |              |               |   |                    |                 |
| <b>574</b>         | Bryan Skeggs   | 7            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape                             | 7.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include appropriate definitions of outstanding features and landscape, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural landscape and features and associated relief. |              |               |   |                    |                 |
| <b>574</b>         | Bryan Skeggs   | 8            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape                             | 7.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL is not appropriate and should be revised.   |              |               |   |                    |                 |
| <b>574</b>         | Bryan Skeggs   | 9            | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | 8.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief.            |              |               |   |                    |                 |
| <b>574</b>         | Bryan Skeggs   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | 6.                 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and<br>Associated relief. |       |          |                           |                             |                 |
| <b>574</b>         | Bryan Skeggs   | 11    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | 7.                          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and<br>Associated relief. |       |          |                           |                             |                 |
| <b>574</b>         | Bryan Skeggs   | 12    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.                          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and<br>Associated relief. |       |          |                           |                             |                 |
| <b>574</b>         | Bryan Skeggs   | 13    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps              | Landscapes 1                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I request that the MDC undertake a review of this area and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to the natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects.   |       |          |                           |                             |                 |
| <b>574</b>         | Bryan Skeggs   | 14    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps              | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I request that the MDC undertake a review of these areas and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farms are not causing adverse effects.   |       |          |                           |                             |                 |
| <b>574</b>         | Bryan Skeggs   | 15    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps              | Landscapes 4                | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | I request that the MDC undertake a review of this area and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to the natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects.       |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>574</b>         | Bryan Skeggs   | 16    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I request that the MDC undertake a review of these areas and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farms are not causing adverse effects. |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>574</b>         | Bryan Skeggs   | 17    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.                         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal waters and particularly marine farms and associated relief.  |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>574</b>         | Bryan Skeggs   | 18    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.                         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.   |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>645</b>         | Darnyl Gordon Slade  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8242 in Beatrix Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>645</b>         | Darnyl Gordon Slade  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8169 in Melville Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>645</b>         | Darnyl Gordon Slade  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8591 in Melville Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>645</b>         | Darnyl Gordon Slade  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8174 in Melville Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                      |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>645</b>         | Darnyl Gordon Slade   | 5     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8242 in Beatrix Bay and 8169, 8591, 8174 in Melville Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>645</b>         | Darnyl Gordon Slade   | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8242 in Beatrix Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>645</b>         | Darnyl Gordon Slade   | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8242 in Beatrix Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>645</b>         | Darnyl Gordon Slade   | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8169 in Melville Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.              |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>645</b>         | Darnyl Gordon Slade   | 9     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8591 in Melville Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.              |       |          |   |              |        |
| <b>645</b>         | Darnyl Gordon Slade   | 10    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8174 in Melville Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.              |       |          |   |              |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>645</b>         | Darnyl Gordon Slade   | 11           | Volume 3      | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8242 in Beatrix Bay and 8169, 8591, 8174 in Melville Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1152</b>        | Slade, King and King Limited and Port Gore Marine Farm Partnership  | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That the Natural Character Maps be updated to include the missing section and affected parties be given adequate time to make a submission.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1152</b>        | Slade, King and King Limited and Port Gore Marine Farm Partnership  | 2            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That the Natural Character Maps be updated to include the missing section and affected parties be given adequate time to make a submission.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1152</b>        | Slade, King and King Limited and Port Gore Marine Farm Partnership  | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That the Natural Character Maps be updated to include the missing section and affected parties be given adequate time to make a submission.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1152</b>        | Slade, King and King Limited and Port Gore Marine Farm Partnership  | 4            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That the Natural Character Maps be updated to include the missing section and affected parties be given adequate time to make a submission.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1152</b>        | Slade, King and King Limited and Port Gore Marine Farm Partnership  | 5            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 5 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That the Natural Character Maps be updated to include the missing section and affected parties be given adequate time to make a submission.   |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1152</b>        | Slade, King and King Limited and Port Gore Marine Farm Partnership  | 6            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>In descending order of preference:</p> <p>(a) Remove the Natural Character overlay from the vicinity of the farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.</p> <p>(b) Move the Arbitrary Coastal Natural Character boundary to the current CMZ2, CMZ1 interface, across the mouth of Melville Cove. (Hunia to East of Tunnel Bay, Map 2) and onto the land.</p> <p>(c) Curve, swing or indent the High Natural Character boundary so it circumvents Farm 8591, as has been done at site 8173 for the Outstanding Natural Character Landscape Overlay (see map 3).</p> |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>1152</b>        | Slade, King and King Limited and Port Gore Marine Farm Partnership   | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>In descending order of preference:</p> <p>(a) Remove the Natural Character overlay from the vicinity of the farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.</p> <p>(b) Move the Arbitrary Coastal Natural Character boundary to the current CMZ2, CMZ1 interface, across the mouth of Melville Cove. (Hunia to East of Tunnel Bay, Map 2) and onto the land.</p> <p>(c) Curve, swing or indent the High Natural Character boundary so it circumvents Farm 8591, as has been done at site 8173 for the Outstanding Natural Character Landscape Overlay (see map 3).</p> |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>1152</b>        | Slade, King and King Limited and Port Gore Marine Farm Partnership   | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 3                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>In descending order of preference</p> <p>(a) Remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape overlay from the vicinity of the farms, or Record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.</p> <p>(b) Move the arbitrary Outstanding Natural Landscape boundary to the current CMZ2, CMZ1 interface, across the mouth of Melville Cove. (Hunia to East of Tunnel Bay, Map 3).</p> <p>(c) Curve, Swing or indent the Outstanding Natural Landscape boundary so it circumvents Farm 8591, as has been done at site 8173 for the Outstanding Natural Landscape overlay (see map 3).</p>       |       |          |              |   |        |
| <b>741</b>         | Glen Slipper   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.</p>   |       |          |              |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>741</b>         | Glen Slipper   | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>741</b>         | Glen Slipper   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>741</b>         | Glen Slipper   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>741</b>         | Glen Slipper   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>741</b>         | Glen Slipper   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>741</b>         | Glen Slipper   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>741</b>         | Glen Slipper   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>741</b>         | Glen Slipper   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>741</b>         | Glen Slipper   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>242</b>         | Doug Smith   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone, remain at 500mtrs to seaward of MHWS and 500mtrs of a marine farm.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>242</b>         | Doug Smith   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.3.                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone, remain at 500mtrs to seaward of MHWS and 500mtrs of a marine farm.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>538</b>         | Andre Smith  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>538</b>         | Andre Smith  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>538</b>         | Andre Smith  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>538</b>         | Andre Smith  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>538</b>         | Andre Smith  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>538</b>         | Andre Smith  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>538</b>         | Andre Smith  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>538</b>         | Andre Smith  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>538</b>         | Andre Smith  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>538</b>         | Andre Smith  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>592</b>         | Clifford John Smith  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.7.14.       | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. MDC in conjunction with the local viticulture industry should research methods of disposal of cca treated vineyard (and other) posts and timber. At present, my understanding is that high temperature pyrolysis is the only safe way of disposing of such treated timber. To the best of my knowledge, no such facility exists in New Zealand or Australia, where the disposal problem is more acute.</li> <li>2. Ban the use of such vineyard posts in Marlborough unless a safe way of disposal of the stockpiles of such broken and discarded posts can be found.</li> <li>3. Until such time as a safe disposal method is created by MDC, ensure that the requirements of RMA and NES are met by vineyard owners and operators and monitor, record and manage such sites as contaminated under the existing HAIL protocol. EPA of South Australia has written rules for the intermediate storage of such treated timber until such time as safe disposal is available. The rules are aimed at minimising air, water and soil contamination.</li> </ol>   |       |          |                          |               |                 |
| <b>592</b>         | Clifford John Smith  | 2     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps              | Zoning Map 60 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Review some zoning, including Rural Residential, Urban Residential, Rural Environment, Business 2 to better reflect Wairau Valley Township (<i>inferred</i>).</li> <li>2. Review speed limits.</li> <li>3. Define "Wairau Valley Township" geographically.</li> <li>3. Explain the provision for future residential expansion of the "Township".</li> </ol>  |       |          |                          |               |                 |
| <b>592</b>         | Clifford John Smith  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The submission does not identify the decision requested and the standard(s) to which changes are to be made. <b><i>The following amendments have therefore, been inferred.</i></b></p> <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.5.1:</p> <p><i>3.3.5.1. A Category A or Category B device must not be operated:</i></p> <p><i>(c) within 160 <b>500m of Rural Residential or Urban Residential Zones</b> <del>the boundary or notional boundary of the nearest dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building (except a dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building on the same property as the audible bird-scaring device);</del></i></p> <p><i>(d) such that sound is emitted at a level greater than 65 dB LAE, measured at or within the <b>property</b> boundary (Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3 Zones, and Coastal Living and Rural Living Zones) <del>or notional boundary (Rural Environment or Coastal Environment Zones) of the nearest dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building (except a dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building on the same property as the audible bird-scaring device).</del></i></p> |       |          |                          |               |                 |
| <b>592</b>         | Clifford John Smith  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5.1.      | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a clear decision requested.   |       |          |                                 |               |        |
| <b>592</b>         | Clifford John Smith   | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.5.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The submission does not identify the decision requested and the standard(s) to which changes are to be made. It is <b>inferred</b> that the following new standards (bold) are to be included under Heading <i>3.3.5 Audible bird-scaring device</i>:</p> <p><b><i>Standard 3.3.5.1.(f) simultaneously.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Standard 3.3.5.x Only X number of audible devices can operate within x meters of noise sensitive activities.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Standard 3.3.5.x Only X number of fixed s and y number of portable audible devices can operate on any property at one time.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Standard 3.3.5.x A fixed and portable Category A device must not be used simultaneously.</i></b></p> |       |          |                                 |               |        |
| <b>592</b>         | Clifford John Smith   | 6     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                  | 25.           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | A definition of Category A and B Devices should be added.   |       |          |                                 |               |        |
| <b>592</b>         | Clifford John Smith   | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.5.2       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The submission does not include a clear decision requested. It does state "An increase in this separation is suggested unless the rule is more specific as to roads". From this statement, the following amendment (bold) has been <b>inferred</b> with regards to Standard 3.3.5.1(e):</p> <p><b><i>Standard 3.3.5.1 A Category A device must not be operated:</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(e) within 100m of a public road, including all highways, secondary roads and adjoining property legal Right of Ways.</i></b></p>   |       |          |                                 |               |        |
| <b>592</b>         | Clifford John Smith   | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.5.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |       |          |                                 |               |        |
| <b>592</b>         | Clifford John Smith   | 9     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |       |          |                                 |               |        |
| <b>592</b>         | Clifford John Smith   | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.1.5.        | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That other audible bird scarers can be used and not just Category A and B bird scaring measures.  |       |          |                          |   |                 |
| <b>592</b>         | Clifford John Smith   | 11    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                 | 16.M.19                                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That area guidelines (multiple vineyards operating adjacent to or in the same Township or residential area) should be formulated by MDC.  |       |          |                          |   |                 |
| <b>592</b>         | Clifford John Smith   | 12    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.4.1.                                    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the use of Frost fans in the immediate vicinity of Wairau Valley Township and other predominantly Urban and Residential areas under the proposed rules be reviewed to reduce the adverse health effects on residents disturbed by frost fan and diesel motor drive noise at night.</p> <p>That MDC consider and action the issues raised in the foregoing in respect of design, consenting, monitoring and reporting on operation of frost fans.</p> <p>This should also include consideration of the cumulative effect of existing (already consented under the existing rules) and future consented frost fans, under any new rules.</p> <p>Monitoring of cumulative frost fan effects was a requirement under Plan Changes 23 and 28.</p> <p>To consult with the Nelson Marlborough District Medical Officer of Health regarding the deleterious health effects of noise and disturbed sleep and lack of adequate ventilation on persons affected by frost fan operation.</p> <p>Do not give preferential treatment to the use of frost fans as the only method of frost protection when other alternative quieter (not helicopters) frost protection techniques might be a preferable option in specific circumstances.</p> <p>Give consideration to a "frost fan no- go zone" in the vicinity of residential areas, Wairau Valley Township in particular. Clearly delineate the area of Wairau valley Township that might be affected by vineyard expansion.</p> <p>A 500 metre no go zone from any all residential accommodation, irrespective of existing or proposed zoning, is suggested.</p> <p>Treat this matter with some urgency in the case of Wairau Valley Township as comments regarding noise, ventilation and human rights are already being made by residents with respect to the recent operation of frost fans, already consented and installed adjacent to the township.</p> |       |          |                          |   |                 |
| <b>742</b>         | Gordon Smith  | 1     | All      | All                      |   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |                          |   |                 |
| <b>829</b>         | Jason Smith   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background             | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>829</b>         | Jason Smith  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>829</b>         | Jason Smith  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>829</b>         | Jason Smith  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>829</b>         | Jason Smith  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>829</b>         | Jason Smith  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>829</b>         | Jason Smith  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>829</b>         | Jason Smith  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>829</b>         | Jason Smith  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>829</b>         | Jason Smith  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>948</b>         | Melissa Smith  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>948</b>         | Melissa Smith  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>948</b>         | Melissa Smith  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>948</b>         | Melissa Smith  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>948</b>         | Melissa Smith  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>948</b>         | Melissa Smith  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>948</b>         | Melissa Smith  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>948</b>         | Melissa Smith  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>948</b>         | Melissa Smith  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>948</b>         | Melissa Smith  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1214</b>        | Vincent Rene Smith   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8040 in Admiralty Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                   |       |          |   |                             |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>1214</b>        | Vincent Rene Smith  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8040 in Admiralty Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1214</b>        | Vincent Rene Smith  | 3     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8040 in Admiralty Bay and 8363 in Nydia Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1214</b>        | Vincent Rene Smith  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8040 in Admiralty Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                        |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1214</b>        | Vincent Rene Smith  | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8363 in Nydia Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                            |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1214</b>        | Vincent Rene Smith  | 6     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8040 in Admiralty Bay and 8363 in Nydia Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1030</b>        | Paul Smythe   | 1     | All      | All   |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1031</b>        | Peter Snape   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background  | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1031</b>        | Peter Snape  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1031</b>        | Peter Snape  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1031</b>        | Peter Snape  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1031</b>        | Peter Snape  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1031</b>        | Peter Snape  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1031</b>        | Peter Snape  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1031</b>        | Peter Snape  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>1031</b>        | Peter Snape  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character        | Issue 6A      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>1031</b>        | Peter Snape  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character        | Objective 6.1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>599</b>         | Carney Ray Soderberg jr  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.  |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>599</b>         | Carney Ray Soderberg jr  | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.  |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>599</b>         | Carney Ray Soderberg jr  | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.  |       |          |                            |               |         |
| <b>599</b>         | Carney Ray Soderberg jr  | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.  |       |          |                            |               |         |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>599</b>         | Carney Ray Soderberg jr                                 | 5            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.                           |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>599</b>         | Carney Ray Soderberg jr                                 | 6            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.                           |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>599</b>         | Carney Ray Soderberg jr                                 | 7            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>599</b>         | Carney Ray Soderberg jr                                 | 8            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>599</b>         | Carney Ray Soderberg jr                                 | 9            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>599</b>         | Carney Ray Soderberg jr                                 | 10           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>599</b>         | Carney Ray Soderberg jr                                 | 11           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>599</b>         | Carney Ray Soderberg jr                                 | 12           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>599</b>         | Carney Ray Soderberg jr   | 13           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>599</b>         | Carney Ray Soderberg jr   | 14           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>599</b>         | Carney Ray Soderberg jr   | 15           | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps                |                  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>599</b>         | Carney Ray Soderberg jr   | 16           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>599</b>         | Carney Ray Soderberg jr   | 17           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>599</b>         | Carney Ray Soderberg jr   | 18           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.31.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.                                     |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>599</b>         | Carney Ray Soderberg jr   | 19           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.                                     |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1153</b>        | Soil and Health Association of New Zealand Incorporated   | 1            | All           | All                        |                  | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | The decision Soil & Health seeks from the Council is that the Proposed Plan be amended to include the following policies:   |       |          |   |   |        |
|                    | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To adopt a precautionary approach to the management of GMOs by? prohibiting the field-testing or release of a GMO.</li> <li>2. To adopt a resource management framework for the management of GMOs that is District specific taking into account environmental, economic and social well-being considerations.</li> <li>3. To review the Proposed Plan provisions relating to GMOs, particularly if there is new information that becomes available on the benefits/adverse effects of a GMO activity.</li> </ol> |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>830</b>         | Julie Solly   | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As swet out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>862</b>         | Kris Solly  | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>893</b>         | Liam Solly  | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>863</b>         | Karen Soloman   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>863</b>         | Karen Soloman   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>863</b>         | Karen Soloman   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>863</b>         | Karen Soloman  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>863</b>         | Karen Soloman  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>863</b>         | Karen Soloman  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>863</b>         | Karen Soloman  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>863</b>         | Karen Soloman  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>863</b>         | Karen Soloman  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>863</b>         | Karen Soloman  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1273</b>        | Matthew Somerville-Smith  | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                   | 7.2.1.5.                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That standard 7.2.1.5 is deleted.</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1273</b>        | Matthew Somerville-Smith  | 2     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Coastal Living Zone is changed back to Sounds Residential Zone.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1273</b>        | Matthew Somerville-Smith  | 3     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                             |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Coastal Environment Zone is changed back to Rural 1 Zone.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1241</b>        | Yong Hee Son  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1241</b>        | Yong Hee Son  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1241</b>        | Yong Hee Son   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1241</b>        | Yong Hee Son   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1241</b>        | Yong Hee Son   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1241</b>        | Yong Hee Son   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1241</b>        | Yong Hee Son   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1241</b>        | Yong Hee Son   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1241</b>        | Yong Hee Son   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1241</b>        | Yong Hee Son   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1154</b>        | Sounds Fun Mussel Company  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8346 in Yncyca Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                      |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1154</b>        | Sounds Fun Mussel Company  | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8346 in Yncyca Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                                      |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1154</b>        | Sounds Fun Mussel Company  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8346 in Yncyca Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.              |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1154</b>        | Sounds Fun Mussel Company  | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8346 in Yncyca Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.              |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1155</b>        | Soundsmarine Limited   | 1     | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone  | 15.4.1.                     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule as notified. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1155</b>        | Soundsmarine Limited   | 2     | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone  | 15.4.2.                     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule as notified. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1155</b>        | Soundsmarine Limited   | 3     | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone  | 15.4.3.                     | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule as notified. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1155</b>        | Soundsmarine Limited   | 4     | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone           | 15.4.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Should Rule 15.4.1 be retained (see separate Submission), an amendment to this Standard is sought as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>" There must not be more than <del>5</del> ? litres of coating material applied per hour and not more than <del>20</del> ? litres of coating material applied per month."</i><br><i>(Inferred - the Submission did not identify the specific changes sought, the Submission requested an increase to reflect current practice.)</i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1155</b>        | Soundsmarine Limited   | 5     | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone           | 15.4.2.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Should Rule 15.4.2 be retained (see separate Submission), delete this Standard.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1155</b>        | Soundsmarine Limited   | 6     | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone           | 15.4.2.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Should Rule 15.4.2 be retained (see separate Submission), an amendment to this Standard is sought as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>" The total amount of coating material sprayed at one place must not exceed <del>10</del> ? litres per hour."</i><br><i>(Inferred - the Submission did not identify the specific changes sought, the Submission requested an increase to reflect current practice.)</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>476</b>         | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.6.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>476</b>         | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1.  | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>" The following species must not be planted:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i></p> <p><i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i></p> <p><i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i></p> <p><i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i></p> <p><i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i></p> <p><i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i></p> <p><i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra);</i></p> <p><b><i>(h) All larches (Larix spp);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(i) Radiata pine (Pinus radiata);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(j) Ponderosa pine (P.ponderosa);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(k) Eastern white pine (P. monticola);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(l) Maritime pine (P.pinaster);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(m) All birches (Betula spp);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(n) All elms (Ulmus spp);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(o) All alders (Alnus spp);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(p) All willows (Salix spp);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(q) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(r) Rowan (Sorbus spp);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(s) Wild cherry (Prunus avium)."</i></b></p> |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>476</b>         | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust   | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.8.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.  |       |          |                          |           |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 476                | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br><i>" The following species must not be planted:</i><br><i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i><br><i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i><br><i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i><br><i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i><br><i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i><br><i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i><br><i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra);</i><br><b><i>(h) All larches (Larix spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(i) Radiata pine (Pinus radiata);</i></b><br><b><i>(j) Ponderosa pine (P.ponderosa);</i></b><br><b><i>(k) Eastern white pine (P. monticola);</i></b><br><b><i>(l) Maritime pine (P.pinaster);</i></b><br><b><i>(m) All birches (Betula spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(n) All elms (Ulmus spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(o) All alders (Alnus spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(p) All willows (Salix spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(q) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus);</i></b><br><b><i>(r) Rowan (Sorbus spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(s) Wild cherry (Prunus avium)."</i></b> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 476                | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.10.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 476                | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust  | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.10.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br><i>" The following species must not be planted:</i><br><i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i><br><i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i><br><i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i><br><i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i><br><i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i><br><i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i><br><i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra);</i><br><b>(h) All larches (Larix spp);</b><br><b>(i) Radiata pine (Pinus radiata);</b><br><b>(j) Ponderosa pine (P.ponderosa);</b><br><b>(k) Eastern white pine (P. monticola);</b><br><b>(l) Maritime pine (P.pinaster);</b><br><b>(m) All birches (Betula spp);</b><br><b>(n) All elms (Ulmus spp);</b><br><b>(o) All alders (Alnus spp);</b><br><b>(p) All willows (Salix spp);</b><br><b>(q) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus);</b><br><b>(r) Rowan (Sorbus spp);</b><br><b>(s) Wild cherry (Prunus avium)."</b> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>476</b>         | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust  | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.2.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>476</b>         | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust  | 8     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.7.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>476</b>         | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust  | 9     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br>" <i>The following species must not be planted:</i><br><i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i><br><i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i><br><i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i><br><i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i><br><i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i><br><i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i><br><i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra);</i><br><b><i>(h) All larches (Larix spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(i) Radiata pine (Pinus radiata);</i></b><br><b><i>(j) Ponderosa pine (P.ponderosa);</i></b><br><b><i>(k) Eastern white pine (P. monticola);</i></b><br><b><i>(l) Maritime pine (P.pinaster);</i></b><br><b><i>(m) All birches (Betula spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(n) All elms (Ulmus spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(o) All alders (Alnus spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(p) All willows (Salix spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(q) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus);</i></b><br><b><i>(r) Rowan (Sorbus spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(s) Wild cherry (Prunus avium).</i></b> " |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>476</b>         | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust   | 10    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.9.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>476</b>         | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust   | 11    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.9.1.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br>" <i>The following species must not be planted:</i><br><i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i><br><i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i><br><i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i><br><i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i><br><i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i><br><i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i><br><i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra);</i><br><b><i>(h) All larches (Larix spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(i) Radiata pine (Pinus radiata);</i></b><br><b><i>(j) Ponderosa pine (P.ponderosa);</i></b><br><b><i>(k) Eastern white pine (P. monticola);</i></b><br><b><i>(l) Maritime pine (P.pinaster);</i></b><br><b><i>(m) All birches (Betula spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(n) All elms (Ulmus spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(o) All alders (Alnus spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(p) All willows (Salix spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(q) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus);</i></b><br><b><i>(r) Rowan (Sorbus spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(s) Wild cherry (Prunus avium).</i></b> " |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>476</b>         | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust   | 12    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.3.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>476</b>         | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust   | 13    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.5.3.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>476</b>         | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust   | 14    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.1.8.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>476</b>         | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust   | 15    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.3.7.1.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br>" <i>The following species must not be planted:</i><br><i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i><br><i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i><br><i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i><br><i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i><br><i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i><br><i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i><br><i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra);</i><br><b>(h) All larches (Larix spp);</b><br><b>(i) Radiata pine (Pinus radiata);</b><br><b>(j) Ponderosa pine (P.ponderosa);</b><br><b>(k) Eastern white pine (P. monticola);</b><br><b>(l) Maritime pine (P.pinaster);</b><br><b>(m) All birches (Betula spp);</b><br><b>(n) All elms (Ulmus spp);</b><br><b>(o) All alders (Alnus spp);</b><br><b>(p) All willows (Salix spp);</b><br><b>(q) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus);</b><br><b>(r) Rowan (Sorbus spp);</b><br><b>(s) Wild cherry (Prunus avium).</b> " |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>476</b>         | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust   | 16    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.1.10.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.  |       |          |                     |           |                 |
| <b>476</b>         | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust   | 17    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.9.1.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br>" <i>The following species must not be planted:</i><br><i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i><br><i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i><br><i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i><br><i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i><br><i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i><br><i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i><br><i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra);</i><br><b><i>(h) All larches (Larix spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(i) Radiata pine (Pinus radiata);</i></b><br><b><i>(j) Ponderosa pine (P.ponderosa);</i></b><br><b><i>(k) Eastern white pine (P. monticola);</i></b><br><b><i>(l) Maritime pine (P.pinaster);</i></b><br><b><i>(m) All birches (Betula spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(n) All elms (Ulmus spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(o) All alders (Alnus spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(p) All willows (Salix spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(q) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus);</i></b><br><b><i>(r) Rowan (Sorbus spp);</i></b><br><b><i>(s) Wild cherry (Prunus avium).</i></b> " |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>476</b>         | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust   | 18    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.22.4. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>476</b>         | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust   | 19    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.21.3. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>476</b>         | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust   | 20    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.3.11.2. | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>476</b>         | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust   | 21    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.22.5. | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>476</b>         | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust   | 22    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.14.10.2.                  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>1156</b>        | Southern Crown Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8108 in Forsyth Bay, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>1156</b>        | Southern Crown Limited  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8108 in Forsyth Bay, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>1156</b>        | Southern Crown Limited  | 3     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8108 in Forsyth Bay, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>1156</b>        | Southern Crown Limited  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 1                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8108 in Forsyth Bay, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |         |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1156</b>        | Southern Crown Limited   | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 2       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8108 in Forsyth Bay, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                        |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>1156</b>        | Southern Crown Limited   | 6     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8108 in Forsyth Bay, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                        |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>1157</b>        | Southern Crown Limited   | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include economic development and associated relief.   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>1157</b>        | Southern Crown Limited   | 2     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>1157</b>        | Southern Crown Limited   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Specifically recognise the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay and associated relief.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>1157</b>        | Southern Crown Limited   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical quantities of the Sounds have been altered by social and cultural use and associated relief.  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>1157</b>        | Southern Crown Limited   | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources and associated relief.   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>1157</b>        | Southern Crown Limited   | 6     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | 6.                 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include appropriate definitions of natural character, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise the existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character and associated relief. |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| <b>1157</b>        | Southern Crown Limited   | 7     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | 7.                 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Include appropriate definitions of outstanding features and landscapes, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise the existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural landscape and features and associated relief.  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>1157</b>        | Southern Crown Limited   | 8     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | 7.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL is not appropriate and should be revised.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>1157</b>        | Southern Crown Limited   | 9     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief.  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>1157</b>        | Southern Crown Limited   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character       | 6.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and<br>Associated relief. |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>1157</b>        | Southern Crown Limited   | 11    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | 7.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and<br>Associated relief. |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>1157</b>        | Southern Crown Limited   | 12    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and<br>Associated relief. |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>1157</b>        | Southern Crown Limited   | 13    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps              | Landscapes 5 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | I request that the MDC undertake a review of this area and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to the natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects. |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>1157</b>        | Southern Crown Limited   | 14    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I request that the MDC undertake a review of this area and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to the natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects. |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>1157</b>        | Southern Crown Limited   | 15    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.                         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal waters and particularly marine farms and associated relief.  |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>1157</b>        | Southern Crown Limited   | 16    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.                         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.   |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>199</b>         | Southern Water Engineering SB Leach  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                            | Zoning Map 13               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Rezone lot 9 DP 2747 (33 to 37 Waters Ave) Industrial 1 instead of Rural Living.   |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>199</b>         | Southern Water Engineering SB Leach  | 2     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                            | Zoning Map 19               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Rezone lot 9 DP 2747 (33 to 37 Waters Ave) Industrial 1 instead of Rural Living.   |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>199</b>         | Southern Water Engineering SB Leach  | 3     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                            | Zoning Map 18               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Rezone lot 9 DP 2747 (33 to 37 Waters Ave) Industrial 1 instead of Rural Living.   |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>199</b>         | Southern Water Engineering SB Leach  | 4     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                            | Zoning Map 12               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Rezone lot 9 DP 2747 (33 to 37 Waters Ave) Industrial 1 instead of Rural Living.   |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| <b>164</b>         | Nigel Sowman   | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.4.12              | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full   |       |          |  |                             |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| 164                | Nigel Sowman  | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.4.13     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| 164                | Nigel Sowman  | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.2       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| 164                | Nigel Sowman  | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.7       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| 1158               | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain guiding principle relating to reverse sensitivity.   |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| 1158               | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend paragraph 1 beneath Issue 4B as follows:</p> <p><i>We rely on a range of physical resources to allow our communities function on a day-by-day basis. These resources include the water, stormwater and waste disposal services provided to townships and small settlements; the transport links within Marlborough and connecting Marlborough to the remainder of the country; the provision of electricity and telecommunications; and, on the Lower Wairau Plain, the drainage of land. Collectively, this infrastructure is <del>regionally</del> significant due to the contribution it makes to our social and economic wellbeing, health and safety. <b>Some of infrastructure is also nationally important, such as the</b> <del>Other infrastructure in (e.g. RNZAF Base Woodbourne, -) or running through Marlborough (e.g. the National Grid, the telecommunications network</del> and state highways) <del>also has national importance.</del> It is important that <del>this strategic</del> <b>all</b> infrastructure is able to operate efficiently, effectively and safely on an ongoing basis for community wellbeing. The ability to maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure without significant constraint is important in this respect. Occasionally, new infrastructure may be required to provide for growth within the district.</i></p> |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| 1158               | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Objective 4.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>Efficient, effective and safe operation of <del>regionally significant</del> infrastructure</i></p>  |       |          |   |                    |                 |
| 1158               | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend subsection Policy 4.2.1 as follows:<br><i>Policy 4.2.1 – Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, <del>as regionally significant:</del></i><br><i>(h) <del>strategic telecommunications facilities, as defined in Section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001, and strategic radiocommunication facilities, as defined in Section 2(1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989;</del></i> |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 4.2.2 as follows:<br><i>Policy 4.2.2 – Protect <del>regionally significant</del> infrastructure from the adverse effects of other activities</i>  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.7        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Method of Implementation 4.M.7 as follows:<br><i>4.M.7 <b>Specific Zoning and Rules</b></i><br><i>Recognition will be given to <del>regionally significant</del> infrastructure by providing, where appropriate, explicit zoning for the infrastructure. <b>This, in</b> conjunction with the application of <del>district</del> <b>specific infrastructure (and network utility)</b> rules, zoning will assist to enable the infrastructure to operate efficiently and effectively</i>  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.8        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Method of Implementation 4.M.8 as follows:<br><i>4.M.8 Designations</i><br><i>Encourage requiring authorities (as defined by Section 166 of the RMA) to utilise designations as an effective means of identifying and protecting <del>regionally significant</del> infrastructure. Designations can then be explicitly included in the MEP.</i>  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.9        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter            | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Method of Implementation 4.M.9 as follows:<br/> <i>Rules will be used to enable activities associated with the maintenance, alteration, minor upgrading and replacement of <del>regionally significant</del> infrastructure. Standards will specify the extent of works involved with any of these activities.</i><br/> <i>Rules will be used to control the proximity of land uses in river beds that could have adverse effects on <del>regionally significant</del> infrastructure. This includes development within the National Grid corridor.</i><br/> ...<br/> <i>In addition to the rules in the MEP, the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009 <b>and the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Telecommunication Facilities) Regulations 2008</b> establishes various classes of activity for certain activities relating to existing transmission lines <b>and telecommunications facilities, respectively.</b></i><br/> [Note – if the second generation NESTF is operative prior to the PMEP becoming operative, a small amendment will be needed to the year of the NESTF]</p> |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 9     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape        | Objective 7.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 7.2 as proposed.   |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 10    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape        | Policy 7.2.6   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.6(a) as proposed.   |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 11    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | 11.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Paragraph 4 to the Introduction as follows:<br/> <i>The Council can act to reduce the risk of natural hazards adversely affecting life, <del>and property and regionally significant infrastructure.</del> Using its functions under the RMA to control the use of land to avoid or mitigate natural hazards, the Council can influence the location and management of new developments to ensure that they are not subject to unreasonable risk. Other land uses may adversely affect hazard mitigation works and these can be similarly controlled to ensure that the integrity of the works is not compromised.</i></p>   |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 12    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Issue 11A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Issue 11A as follows:<br/> <i>Issue 11A – Natural hazards in Marlborough, particularly flooding, earthquakes and land instability, have the potential to cause loss of life and significant damage to property <del>and regionally significant infrastructure.</del></i></p>   |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 13    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Objective 11.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 11.1 as follows:<br><i>Objective 11.1 – Reduce the risks to life, <b>and</b> property and regionally significant infrastructure from natural hazards. Natural hazards can have significant adverse effects on individuals and the community, including loss of life, personal injury, damage to property and disruption of day-to-day life, business and the provision of community infrastructure. For this reason, the objective seeks to reduce the risks and consequences of natural hazards. This objective also implements direction from the CDEMP, which signals that resource management provisions have an important role to play in risk reduction.</i> |       |          |                       |                |         |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 14    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.2.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.2.1 as proposed.  |       |          |                       |                |         |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 15    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Issue 12C      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 12C as proposed.  |       |          |                       |                |         |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 16    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Objective 12.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 12.9 as proposed.   |       |          |                       |                |         |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 17    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.9.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.9.4 as proposed.  |       |          |                       |                |         |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 18    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.9.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.9.5 as proposed.  |       |          |                       |                |         |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 19    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.9.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.9.7 as proposed.  |       |          |                       |                |         |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 20    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.9.9  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.9.9 as proposed.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 21    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.2.2(g) as follows:<br><i>(g) whether the proposed subdivision, use or development activity contributes to the <del>network of regionally significant</del> infrastructure identified in Policy 4.2.1;</i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 22    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.6   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.5.6 as follows:<br><i>Policy 13.5.6 – Maintain the character and amenity values of land zoned Coastal Living by the setting of standards that reflect the following:<br/>(i) <del>limited</del> <b>appropriate</b> infrastructure and services and low volumes of road traffic</i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 23    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.9.1(c)(ii) as proposed.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 24    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 13.10 as follows:<br><i>Objective 13.10 – Structures in the coastal environment including jetties, boatsheds, decking, slipways, launching ramps, retaining walls, coastal protection structures, pipelines, cables and/or other buildings or structures are appropriately located and within appropriate forms and limits to <b>maintain and</b> protect the values of the coastal environment.</i> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 25    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.24 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.10.24(c) as follows:<br><i>(c) <del>regionally significant</del> infrastructure is at risk.</i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 26    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.5.5   | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.5.5(i) as follows:<br><i>Policy 14.5.5 – Maintain the character and amenity values of land zoned Rural Living by the setting of standards that reflect the following:</i><br><i>(i) <del>limited</del> <b>appropriate</b> infrastructure and services and low volumes of road traffic.</i>           |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 27    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.4.4(g) as proposed.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 28    | Volume 2 | 1 Introduction                         | 1.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain structure of Volume 2, with utilities rules being included in General Rules which apply regardless of zone.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 29    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the introduction to network utilities as follows:<br><i><del>Other</del> The following General Rules contained in Chapter 2 may apply in addition to the Network Utility General Rules [List] to any relevant zone rules for network utilities.</i>  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 30    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.38.1.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amended Rule 2.38.1 as follows:<br><i>2.38.1. Network utility infrastructure listed as follows:</i><br><i>(b) a telecommunication line or facility;</i><br><i>(c) a radio communication <del>apparatus</del> or facility;</i><br><i>(i) <del>a telephone call box or the erection and use of a postal box.</del></i> |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 31    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.38.2.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.38.2 as follows:<br><i>2.38.2 Telecommunication line <del>or cable</del> over the bed of a lake or river.</i>   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 32    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.38.3.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.38.3 as follows:<br><i>2.38.3. Trenching <del>Earthworks</del> for cable laying <b>underground network utilities.</b></i>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 33    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.4.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.38.4  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 34    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.5.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.38.5  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 35    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.3. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 2.39.1.3  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 36    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.4. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 2.39.1.4  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 37    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.39.1.5 as follows:<br><i>2.39.1.5. The maximum height of a facility or network utility structure, aerial or antenna for a telecommunication, radiocommunication or meteorological facility must not exceed 25m above ground level. <b>This height can be exceeded by up to 5m for a telecommunication facility, if that facility is used by more than one telecommunications provider.</b></i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 38    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.39.1.7 as follows:<br><i>The maximum height of any <b>antenna or aerial or (and their support structures)</b> attached to the top of a building must not exceed the height of the building by more than <b>5m in the Industrial 1, Industrial 2, Lake Grassmere Salt Works, Port, and Rural Environment zones and 3m in any other zone.</b></i>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
| 1158               | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 39    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.8.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.39.1.8 as follows:<br><del>The maximum diameter of a dish</del> <b>Antenna</b> must not exceed 3m <b>in diameter, or 2.5m2 in total face area</b> or, if Council desires, instead of the 2.5m2 standard, the following alternative relief would also be acceptable:<br><del>The maximum diameter of a dish</del> <b>Antenna</b> must not exceed 3m <b>in diameter, or have a width that exceeds 700mm.</b>   |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 1158               | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 40    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.9.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.39.1.9 as follows:<br>A new line, including a cable television line, must be located underground within any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3, Business 1, Business 2, <del>Industrial 1, Industrial 2</del> , Open Space 1 or Open Space 2. <b>Note, Standard 2.39.1.9 does not apply to additional or replacement lines that are provided for as Minor Upgrading.</b>   |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 1158               | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 41    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.10. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 2.39.1.10 in its entirety.  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 1158               | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 42    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.14. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.39.1.14 as follows:<br>A line or network utility structure, or a telecommunication, radio communication or meteorological facility, <del>or a building or depot</del> <b>that is located outside of legal road,</b> must not be located:<br>(a) in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland;<br>(b) within 8m of a river or the Drainage Channel Network;<br>(c) on, or adjacent to, any land used for the purposes of a farm airstrip, or in such a manner as to adversely affect the safe operation of a farm airstrip existing at the time of the Plan becoming operative.<br><b>These setbacks do not apply to a line or network utility structure, or a telecommunication, radio communication or meteorological facility that is located within legal road.</b> |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 1158               | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 43    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Section 2.39 as follows:<br><b>2.39.X Telecommunication Customer Connections</b><br><b>Connections from buildings, structures and sites to the telecommunication network are permitted.</b>   |       |          |                 |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1158               | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 44    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Performance Standard as follows:<br><b><u>2.39.X Small-Cell Units on Structures</u></b><br><b><u>The installation of a small-cell unit on a structure including any necessary ancillary equipment is permitted, provided that each small-cell unit and the ancillary equipment do not exceed a total volumetric dimension of 0.25 m<sup>3</sup>, excluding auxiliary cables.</u></b>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1158               | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 45    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.39.2 as follows:<br>2.39.2. <del>Trenching</del> <b>Earthworks</b> for <b>underground network utilities</b> <del>cable laying</del> .<br>2.39.2.1. Any earth not placed back in the <del>trench</del> <b>earthworks area</b> must be re-located in a stable location.<br>2.39.2.2. <del>Trenching</del> <b>Earthworks, where undertaken outside of legal road</b> , must not occur in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland or Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification.<br>2.39.2.3. <del>Trenching</del> <b>Earthworks</b> must not occur within <del>such proximity</del> <b>[XXm]</b> to any abstraction point for a community drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply.<br>2.39.2.4. The vegetation cover of a <del>trench site</del> <b>an earthworks area</b> must be restored within 6 months of the end of the operation.<br>2.39.2.5. Woody material greater than 100mm in diameter or soil debris must:<br>(a) not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;<br>(b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (excluding an ephemeral river), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;<br>(c) be stored on stable ground;<br>(d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or instability of the land.<br>2.39.2.6. <del>Trenching</del> <b>Earthworks</b> must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:<br>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;<br>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the trenching site;<br>(c) the change in reflectance must be <50%. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1158               | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 46    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.3.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.39.3 as follows:<br>2.39.3. Vegetation trimming or clearance associated with the maintenance, replacement and minor upgrading of a network utility existing at 9 June 2016.<br>2.39.3.1. Vegetation (except noxious plants under the Noxious Plants Act) must not be removed by chemical, fire or mechanical means within 8m of a river (excluding an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing) or the coastal marine area.<br>2.39.3.2. <del>Where Clearance is by hand or mechanical means, blading or root-raking</del> by a bulldozer must not be used on slopes greater than <del>20</del> <b>34°</b> .<br>2.39.3.3. All trees must be felled away from a river (excluding an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area.<br>2.39.3.4. No tree or log may be dragged through the bed of a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area.<br>2.39.3.5. Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area.<br>2.39.3.6. Woody material greater than 100mm in diameter or soil debris must:<br>(a) not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;<br>(b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (excluding an ephemeral river), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;<br>(c) be stored on stable ground;<br>(d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or instability of the land. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 47    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.40.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Controlled Activity Rule as follows:<br><b><u>2.X.X Controlled Activities:</u></b><br><b><u>The following telecommunications activities are controlled activities:</u></b><br><b><u>(a) Small Cell Units</u></b><br><b><u>The installation of a small-cell unit on a structure including any necessary ancillary equipment, provided that each small-cell unit and the ancillary equipment has a total volumetric dimension no greater than 0.3m<sup>3</sup>, excluding auxiliary cables.</u></b><br><b><u>(b) Telecommunications Cabinets within Legal Road</u></b><br><b><u>(i) A new telecommunication cabinet in road reserve located between 1m and 30m of an existing telecommunication cabinet which is located adjacent to a different site</u></b><br><b><u>(ii) A new telecommunication cabinet in road reserve located between 1m and 30m away from any other cabinet or group of cabinets that is on the same side of the road.</u></b><br><b><u>(iii) The total footprint of cabinets in the group in the road is between 1.8m<sup>2</sup> and 4m<sup>2</sup>.</u></b><br><b><u>Control is in respect of:</u></b><br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <b><u>Siting;</u></b></li> <li>● <b><u>Visual Effects; and</u></b></li> <li>● <b><u>Safety</u></b></li> </ul>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 48    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.40.1.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Section 2.40 as follows:<br><i>2.40 Discretionary <b>Restricted</b> Activities</i><br><i>Application must be made for a Discretionary <b>Restricted</b> Activity for the following:</i><br><i>2.40.1. Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards. <b><u>Council's discretion is restricted to the effects generated by the standard(s) not met.</u></b></i><br><br><b><u>2.41 Discretionary Activities</u></b><br><b><u>Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following:</u></b><br><i>2.40.2. Any land use activity involving a network utility not provided for as a Permitted Activity.</i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 49    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.40.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Section 2.40 as follows:<br><i>2.40 Discretionary <b>Restricted</b> Activities</i><br><i>Application must be made for a Discretionary <b>Restricted</b> Activity for the following:</i><br><i>2.40.1. Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards. <b><u>Council's discretion is restricted to the effects generated by the standard(s) not met.</u></b></i><br><br><b><u>2.41 Discretionary Activities</u></b><br><b><u>Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following:</u></b><br><i>2.40.2. Any land use activity involving a network utility not provided for as a Permitted Activity.</i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 50    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.8.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.7.8(b) as proposed.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 51    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.8.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 2.9.8 in its entirety.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1158               | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 52    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules           | 2.24.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new rule in section 2.24:<br><b><u>[2.25] Controlled Activities</u></b><br><b><u>[2.25.1] New customer connections to a Heritage Resource from an adjacent utility network are a Controlled Activity in respect of:</u></b><br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><u>The design and external appearance of the customer connection.</u></b></li> </ul> |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| 1158               | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 53    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules           | 2.29.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 29.1.1(c) as follows:<br><i>29.1.1 The trimming or pruning must only be</i><br><i>(c) minor clearing of light branches (less than 50mm in diameter) from proximity to existing power <b>and telecommunication</b> lines;</i>  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| 1158               | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 54    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.1.19.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 13.1.19 <i>[inferred]</i> .  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| 1158               | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 55    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.1.9.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 14.1.9.  |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| 1158               | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 56    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.1.16.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 15.1.16.   |       |          |                           |           |                 |
| 1158               | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 57    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone    | 16.1.11.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.1.11.   |       |          |                           |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 58    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.3.9.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 13.3.9.2 as follows:<br><i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same <b>or similar</b> location as the cable or line being removed.</i> |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 59    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.4.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 14.3.4.2 as follows:<br><i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same <b>or similar</b> location as the cable or line being removed.</i> |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 60    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.3.8.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 15.3.8.2 as follows:<br><i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same <b>or similar</b> location as the cable or line being removed.</i> |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 61    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone    | 16.3.8.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 16.3.8.2 as follows:<br><i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same <b>or similar location</b> as the cable or line being removed.</i> |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 62    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision            | 24.1.10.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 24.1.10.   |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 63    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision            | 24.1.11.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 24.1.11  |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 64    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision            | 24.1.12.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 24.1.12.   |       |          |                           |           |         |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 65    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision            | 24.1.13.  | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 24.1.13.  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 66    | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.2.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 24.2.1 as follows:<br><del>Subdivision of land associated with utilities</del> <b><u>undertaken by network utility operators.</u></b>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 67    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Antenna.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 68    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of Height as follows:<br><i>Height in relation to a building or structure, means the vertical distance between the natural ground level at any point and the highest part of the building or structure immediately above that point as shown in Figure 2 of Appendix 26. <b><u>This definition does not apply to lightning rods or GPS antenna affixed to the highest part of a building or structure.</u></b></i> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 69    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Maintenance and Replacement.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited   | 70    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the definition of Minor Upgrading as follows:</p> <p><i>Minor Upgrading means an increase in the carrying capacity, efficiency or security of electricity (for the purpose of utilities) lines, telecommunication lines and radio communication facilities, using the existing support structures or structures of a similar scale and character, and includes:</i></p> <p>(a) <i>The <b>replacement, reconfiguration, relocation or</b> addition of <b>lines</b>, circuits and conductors;</i></p> <p>(b) <i>The re-conductoring of the line with higher capacity conductors;</i></p> <p>(c) <i>The re-sagging of conductors;</i></p> <p>(d) <i>The addition of longer or more efficient insulators;</i></p> <p>(e) <i>The addition of earthwires <del>which may contain telecommunication lines</del>, earthpeaks and lightning rods;</i></p> <p>(f) <i>Foundation works associated with the minor upgrading;</i></p> <p><b><i>(g) The replacement of a pole, provided that:</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(i) the replacement pole must not have a diameter that is more than the existing pole's diameter at its largest point plus 50 per cent; and</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(ii) The replacement pole must not have a height greater than 25m or the height of pole it is replacing, whichever is the greater; and</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(iii) The replacement pole must be located within 3m from the existing pole.</i></b></p> <p><i>Minor upgrading does not include an increase in the voltage of the line unless the line was originally constructed to operate at the higher voltage but has been operating at a reduced voltage.</i></p> <p><b><i>Minor Upgrading also includes the replacement of existing antennas, provided the replacement antenna size is no greater than 20 percent of the existing antenna being replaced.</i></b></p> |       |          |                |           |         |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 71    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Network Utility Structure as proposed.  |       |          |                |           |         |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 72    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Radiocommunication facility as proposed.  |       |          |                |           |         |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 73    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Have one clear and concise definition of 'site'.   |       |          |                |           |         |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 74    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Telecommunication facility as proposed.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 75    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Telecommunication Line as proposed.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 76    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following definition of Infrastructure:</p> <p><b><u>Infrastructure includes:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(a) reticulated sewerage systems (including the pipe network, treatment plants and associated infrastructure) operated by the Marlborough District Council;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(b) reticulated community stormwater networks;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(d) regional landfill, transfer stations and the resource recovery centre;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(e) National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower NZ Limited);</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(f) local electricity supply network owned and operated by Marlborough Lines;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(g) facilities for the generation of electricity, where the electricity generated is supplied to the National Grid or the local electricity supply network (including infrastructure for the transmission of the electricity into the National Grid or local electricity supply network);</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(h) telecommunication facilities and radiocommunication facilities;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(i) Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko Airports;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(j) main trunk railway line;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(k) district roading network;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(l) Port of Picton and Havelock Harbour;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(m) Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(n) RNZAF Base at Woodbourne; and</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(o) Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain.</u></b></p> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 77    | All      | All            |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Replace the term 'regionally significant infrastructure' at each instance it is used in the plan with the word 'infrastructure'.   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1158</b>        | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  | 78    | All      | All            |           | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend entire plan, to provide consistency in the use of terms, and inclusion of a specific section detailing objectives and policies relevant to the provision of infrastructure. The structure of the Auckland Unitary Plan is a good example. A list of suggested objectives and policies is attached as an addendum to this submission point (see original submission for addendum).   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1078</b>        | Rebecca Spooner   | 1     | All      | All                                       |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1159</b>        | Spring Creek Vintners   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.<br/><i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:<br/><i><u>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</u></i></p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1159</b>        | Spring Creek Vintners   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy:<br><i>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</i>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1159</b>        | Spring Creek Vintners   | 3     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1159</b>        | Spring Creek Vintners   | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Objective 5.8 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | SCV submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies.<br><i>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage at all times to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available. If aquifer water is abstracted to storage during the irrigation season the total abstraction for storage and direct irrigation must not exceed the reasonable use demand allocation.</i> |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1160</b>        | St George Limited   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7):<br><i>"Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."</i>   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1160</b>        | St George Limited   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8):<br><i>"Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."</i>  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1160</b>        | St George Limited   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the absence of a natural character overlay in Inner Admiralty Bay and Camp Bay, Waitata Bay;<br>AND<br>Remove the natural character overlay from Waikawa Bay, Current Basin;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1160</b>        | St George Limited   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the absence of a natural character overlay in Inner Admiralty Bay and Camp Bay, Waitata Bay;</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Remove the natural character overlay from Waikawa Bay, Current Basin;</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.</p> |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1160</b>        | St George Limited  | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove the very high natural character overlay fro the seascape south of Tawero Point and in Wilson Bay;</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.</p>  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1160</b>        | St George Limited  | 6     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove the very high natural character overlay fro the seascape south of Tawero Point and in Wilson Bay;</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.</p>  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1160</b>        | St George Limited  | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 1                | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in Camp Bay, Waitata Bay, and in Waikawa Bay.<br>Remove the ONL overlay from:<br>- The southeastern entrance to French Pass; and<br>- The waters of Current Basin;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1160</b>        | St George Limited   | 8     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in Camp Bay, Waitata Bay, and in Waikawa Bay.<br>Remove the ONL overlay from:<br>- The southeastern entrance to French Pass; and<br>- The waters of Current Basin;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1160</b>        | St George Limited   | 9     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove or reduce the western extent of the ONL overlay from Tawero Point;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.   |       |          |   |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| <b>1160</b>        | St George Limited   | 10    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove or reduce the western extent of the ONL overlay from Tawero Point;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1160</b>        | St George Limited   | 11    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1160</b>        | St George Limited   | 12    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete mapped area due to lack of certainty.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>758</b>         | Holly Stanford  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>758</b>         | Holly Stanford  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>758</b>         | Holly Stanford  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>758</b>         | Holly Stanford  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>758</b>         | Holly Stanford   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>758</b>         | Holly Stanford   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>758</b>         | Holly Stanford   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>758</b>         | Holly Stanford   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>758</b>         | Holly Stanford   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>758</b>         | Holly Stanford   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>1079</b>        | Rachel Stanford  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1079</b>        | Rachel Stanford  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1079</b>        | Rachel Stanford  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1079</b>        | Rachel Stanford  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1079</b>        | Rachel Stanford  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1079</b>        | Rachel Stanford  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1079</b>        | Rachel Stanford  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1079</b>        | Rachel Stanford  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1079</b>        | Rachel Stanford  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1079</b>        | Rachel Stanford  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1296</b>        | Reia Stannard  | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                   | 7.2.1.5.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Keep the proposed set back as is without change.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>366</b>         | Basil Roger Stanton  | 1     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.1.6  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Inclusion of White Bluffs in coastal access priorities.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>366</b>         | Basil Roger Stanton  | 2     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.1.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Inclusion of White Bluffs in coastal access priorities.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>366</b>         | Basil Roger Stanton  | 3     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Appendix 1 #21 - Te Parinui o Whiti/White Bluffs ONF<br>Inclusion of White Bluffs in coastal access priorities.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>82</b>          | Sebastian Stapleton  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.       | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>82</b>          | Sebastian Stapleton  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.3.                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1135</b>        | Sheryll Stapleton  | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.10.4                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>143</b>         | Starborough Farming Company Ltd  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3                                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove the blanket cut off to all Springs water .</p> <p>Treat all Wairau Aquifer users equally (not just Springs sector users),and consider implementing a rationing restriction when the monitor wells drop below the set limit (example. 11.8m for Northern Springs). This would give all farmers/growers the opportunity to prioritise where the reduced volume of available water is utilised (not a blanket cut to only Springs Area – Northern, Central, Urban water users).</p> <p>Before implementing a blanket restriction wait for more scientific evidence of the complex aquifer/springs recharge process. A better understanding is required of the long term trend of the aquifer levels before setting lower limits and/or full cut off thresholds.</p> |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1032</b>        | Paul Starkey   | 1     | All      | All                                       |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>427</b>         | Hugh Walter Royston Steadman   | 1     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                         | 19.                                       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Chapter 19 should be renumbered Chapter 1.</p> <p>A 'Plan B' for Marlborough's continued functioning in the face of accelerated climate destabilisation, should be prepared and updated more frequently than once every decade.</p>   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>539</b>         | Allen Steele   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                              | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>539</b>         | Allen Steele   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>539</b>         | Allen Steele   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>539</b>         | Allen Steele   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>539</b>         | Allen Steele   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>539</b>         | Allen Steele   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>539</b>         | Allen Steele   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>539</b>         | Allen Steele   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>539</b>         | Allen Steele   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>539</b>         | Allen Steele   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>606</b>         | Cindy Steele   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>606</b>         | Cindy Steele   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>606</b>         | Cindy Steele   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>606</b>         | Cindy Steele   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>606</b>         | Cindy Steele   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>606</b>         | Cindy Steele   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>606</b>         | Cindy Steele   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>606</b>         | Cindy Steele   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>606</b>         | Cindy Steele   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>606</b>         | Cindy Steele   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>759</b>         | Hudson Steele  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| <b>759</b>         | Hudson Steele  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>759</b>         | Hudson Steele  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>759</b>         | Hudson Steele  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>759</b>         | Hudson Steele  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>759</b>         | Hudson Steele  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>759</b>         | Hudson Steele  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>759</b>         | Hudson Steele  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>759</b>         | Hudson Steele  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>759</b>         | Hudson Steele  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1080</b>        | Rata Steele  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1080</b>        | Rata Steele  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1080</b>        | Rata Steele  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1080</b>        | Rata Steele  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1080</b>        | Rata Steele  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1080</b>        | Rata Steele  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1080</b>        | Rata Steele  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1080</b>        | Rata Steele  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1080</b>        | Rata Steele  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1080</b>        | Rata Steele  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>421</b>         | Janet Steggle  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.5.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>421</b>         | Janet Steggle  | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.3.6.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 4.3.6.1 (c) as follows (strike out and bold) - " <b>30 100 metres of the coastal marine area</b> ".   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>421</b>         | Janet Steggle  | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.6.4.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                     | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>421</b>         | Janet Steggle                        | 4            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )     |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>421</b>         | Janet Steggle                        | 5            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.20.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )     |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>421</b>         | Janet Steggle                        | 6            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.21.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )     |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>421</b>         | Janet Steggle                        | 7            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> ) |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>421</b>         | Janet Steggle                        | 8            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> ) |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>421</b>         | Janet Steggle                        | 9            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> ) |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>421</b>         | Janet Steggle                        | 10           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> ) |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>421</b>         | Janet Steggle                        | 11           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> ) |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>421</b>         | Janet Steggle                        | 12           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> ) |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| <b>421</b>         | Janet Steggle   | 13    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. (Inferred)   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>421</b>         | Janet Steggle   | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. (Inferred)   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>421</b>         | Janet Steggle   | 15    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | 8.M.4     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method. (Inferred)   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>421</b>         | Janet Steggle   | 16    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.10.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. (Inferred)   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>421</b>         | Janet Steggle   | 17    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.11.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. (Inferred)   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>421</b>         | Janet Steggle   | 18    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.30.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. (Inferred)   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>421</b>         | Janet Steggle   | 19    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.31.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. (Inferred)   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites. |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.    | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.6.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Restrict the planting of invasive pine species.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.8.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Restrict the planting of invasive pine species.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 7     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Restrict the planting of invasive pine species.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 11    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11. | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.       |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 12    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.       |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 13    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.       |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.       |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 15    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.       |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 16    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                |            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.               |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 17    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.31.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 18    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 19    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | I <b>support</b> the need to exclude stock from waterways <b>however</b> the rule as it stands in 3.3.21.2 and 3.3.21.3 requires a high level of monitoring (presumably from council) and would be difficult to interpret on site by a land manager. Therefore, I <b>seek</b> to exclude all stock from all of the rivers and their tributaries listed in tables 15.1 and 15.2 of Volume 1 of this plan <b>and</b> for other rivers adopt rules similar to other areas that state "no heavy pugging or bare soil due to repeated or intense stock trampling should be obvious alongside or within the beds of rivers". |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein  | 20    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I <b>support</b> the need to exclude stock from waterways <b>however</b> the rule as it stands in 4.3.20.2 and 4.3.20.3 requires a high level of monitoring (presumably from council) and would be difficult to interpret on site by a land manager. Therefore, I <b>seek</b> to exclude all stock from all of the rivers and their tributaries listed in tables 15.1 and 15.2 of Volume 1 of this plan <b>and</b> for other rivers adopt rules similar to other areas that state "no heavy pugging or bare soil due to repeated or intense stock trampling should be obvious alongside or within the beds of rivers". |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein  | 21    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I <b>support</b> the need to limit indigenous vegetation clearance <b>however</b> rule 3.3.11.2 should not apply to 3.3.11.3. There is so little indigenous vegetation remaining within these threatened environments that any further loss should require a consent.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein  | 22    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I <b>oppose</b> rule 3.3.11.2(a) which allows for indigenous vegetation clearance under or anywhere within 50 metres of commercial or woodlot forest or shelterbelt. Clearance of vegetation growing under forestry is ok but 50 metres allows for large areas to be cleared. For example this would allow 100m wide tongues of native forest to be cleared within a commercial forest or woodlot. Resource consent should be required to achieve this.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein  | 23    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.5. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I <b>oppose</b> rule 3.3.11.5. There is so little indigenous forest taller than 6 metres remaining that all clearance of this forest should require a consent.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein  | 24    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I <b>support</b> the need to limit indigenous vegetation clearance <b>however</b> rule 4.3.10.2 should not apply to 4.3.10.3. There is so little indigenous vegetation remaining within these threatened environments that any further loss should require a consent.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein  | 25    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.2. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | I <b>oppose</b> rule 4.3.10.3(a) which allows for indigenous vegetation clearance under or anywhere within 50 metres of commercial or woodlot forest or shelterbelt. Clearance of vegetation growing under forestry is ok but 50 metres allows for large areas to be cleared. For example this would allow 100m wide tongues of native forest to be cleared within a commercial forest or woodlot. Resource consent should be required to achieve this. |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 26    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.10.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I <b>oppose</b> rule 4.3.10.5. There is so little indigenous forest taller than 6 metres remaining that all clearance of this forest should require a consent.  |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 27    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.10.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration planting of indigenous species.  |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 28    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.9.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration planting of indigenous species.  |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 29    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone              | 8.3.9.2.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration planting of indigenous species.  |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 30    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.10.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration planting of indigenous species.  |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 31    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone              | 8.3.9.3.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration planting of indigenous species.  |       |          |                                  |           |        |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 32    | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.9.1.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | [Inferred]<br>Delete Rule 5.3.9.1.  |       |          |                                  |           |        |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|------------|-----------------|
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 33    | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone                | 6.3.4.1.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | [Inferred]<br>Delete Rule 6.3.4.1.  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 34    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone                       | 8.3.19.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | [Inferred]<br>Delete Rule 8.3.19.1.   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 35    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                     | 7.3.17.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | [Inferred]<br>Delete Rule 7.3.17.1.   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 36    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone                       | 8.3.10.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek to allow the removal of any non-indigenous species as part of a restoration project.   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 37    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I seek a rule that allows for the removal, by non-mechanical means, of non-indigenous species within, or within 8 metres of a significant wetland as part of a restoration project. |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>1179</b>        | Thomas Robert Stein   | 38    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                | 4.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I seek a rule that allows for the removal, by non-mechanical means, of non-indigenous species within, or within 8 metres of a significant wetland as part of a restoration project. |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>176</b>         | Stembridge Vineyards Limited  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Springs sector water users.<br><br>Before implementing a blanket restriction further scientific evidence of the complex aquifer/springs recharge process is required. A better understanding of the long term trend of the aquifer levels is essential before setting lower limits and cut-off thresholds.   |       |          |  |   |                 |
| <b>256</b>         | Justin Stevens  | 1     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A                                 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the word Deer from the definition of " Intensively Farmed Livestock " due to the fact that deer move quicker and less often than other classes of livestock.<br><br>Why have sheep been left out as they would create a greater impact when moving through a wet bed of a water body ?   |       |          |  |   |                 |
| <b>256</b>         | Justin Stevens  | 2     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                         | 25.                                       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | 1. I believe this definition needs to be clearer as the current wording is open to an individuals interpretation. An example would be that the" Hog Swamp Creek" may not be considered " intermittently flowing " as it has not flowed for the last two years. My assumption would be that it is not an intermittently flowing creek and would not be subject to the current Marlborough Environment Plan.<br><br>2. I believe that the Marlborough District Council need's to be clear and concise on each and every River ,Stream and Creek or body of water and to have a " catchment-specific plan "( Volume 1 page 15-12 ) for every River, Stream and Creek or body of water so as to remove any miss interpretation of rules and definition's. |       |          |  |   |                 |
| <b>256</b>         | Justin Stevens  | 3     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                         | 25.                                       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the word Deer from the definition of " Intensively Farmed Livestock " due to the fact that deer move quicker and less often than other classes of livestock.<br><br>Why have sheep been left out as they would create a greater impact when moving through a wet bed of a water body ?   |       |          |  |   |                 |
| <b>540</b>         | Arthur Stewart  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                           | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>540</b>         | Arthur Stewart   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>540</b>         | Arthur Stewart   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>540</b>         | Arthur Stewart   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>540</b>         | Arthur Stewart   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>540</b>         | Arthur Stewart   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>540</b>         | Arthur Stewart   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>540</b>         | Arthur Stewart   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.                                  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>540</b>         | Arthur Stewart  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Issue 6A      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.                                  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>540</b>         | Arthur Stewart  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Objective 6.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.                                  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>569</b>         | Barbara Stewart   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I request the moorings, wharves and boatshed per hectare charges be reasonable and balanced and fair particularly to privately owned property.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1282</b>        | Barbara Mary Stewart  | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.2.1.5.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to standard 7.2.1.5 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ):<br><br><del>Standard 7.2.1.5 A building must not be constructed or sited within 20m of the Coastal Marine Zone.</del> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>673</b>         | Debbie Stone  | 1     | All      | All                              |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>864</b>         | Katie Strader   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.2.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><del>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</del>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>864</b>         | Katie Strader   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.3.       | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>790</b>         | Strait Shipping Limited   | 1     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.14.   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>790</b>         | Strait Shipping Limited   | 2     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.14.2; however, amend the policy explanation text to recognise the inclusion of the 'Northern Entrance' in Queen Charlotte Sound as part of the National Transportation Route. Refer to submission #8.  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>790</b>         | Strait Shipping Limited   | 3     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.6  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.16.6.  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>790</b>         | Strait Shipping Limited   | 4     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.19         | Support |
| Decision Requested | Recognise the inclusion of the 'Northern Entrance' in Queen Charlotte Sound as part of the National Transportation Route. Refer to submission #8.   |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>790</b>         | Strait Shipping Limited   | 5     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone            | 16.4.2.9.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 16.4.2.9:<br><br><i>Standard 16.4.2.9 The data recorded by the Data Recording Devices must be retained and archived on board the ship in an electronic format readable by the Council for a period of no less than <del>6 months</del> <b>30 days</b> except where that data is available to the Council via an Automatic Identification System.</i> |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>790</b>         | Strait Shipping Limited   | 6     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone            | 16.4.2.17.      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 16.4.2.17:<br><br><i>Standard 16.4.2.17 Approved methods, frequency and certification of calibration of the Data Recording Device(s) required by the Plan to measure Ship Speed.</i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>790</b>         | Strait Shipping Limited   | 7     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone            | 16.4.2.19.      | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision                     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-------------------------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 16.4.2.19.<br>That a new standard is added:<br><b><i>16.4.2.X The data recorded by the Data Recording Devices must be provided to the Council within 7 days of a written request except where the data is available to the Council via an Automatic identification System. The Council may request this data a maximum of once per year.</i></b>  |       |          |   |                               |         |
| <b>790</b>         | Strait Shipping Limited   | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | National Transportation Route | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the National Transportation Route Overlay Map is amended to include the alternative 'Northern Entrance' route within the National Transportation Route (shown as hatching on the map attached as Appendix B (attached to submission)).<br>A second map is also attached showing the two routes in their entirety between Picton and Wellington (Appendix C). |       |          |   |                               |         |
| <b>435</b>         | David and Jordan Stubbs   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 160                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Change the zoning from Rural Environment Zone to Urban Residential 3.   |       |          |   |                               |         |
| <b>216</b>         | Khalid Suleiman   | 1     | All      | All                                       |                               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | More permitted activity scope   |       |          |   |                               |         |
| <b>262</b>         | Kaye Surgenor   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3                    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | I request that these changes are rejected   |       |          |   |                               |         |
| <b>223</b>         | Alistair Sutherland   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3                    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove the limits for taking water from the Northern Springs Sector (inferred).   |       |          |   |                               |         |
| <b>772</b>         | Ivan and Margaret Sutherland  | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment           | Policy 14.4.12                | Support |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained.   |       |          |   |                               |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| <b>772</b>         | Ivan and Margaret Sutherland   | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>772</b>         | Ivan and Margaret Sutherland   | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.2   | Support |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>772</b>         | Ivan and Margaret Sutherland   | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.7   | Support |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained.  |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>949</b>         | Matthew Sutherland   | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.13 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |                                 |                |         |
| <b>1292</b>        | Margaret and Ivan Sutherland   | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone           | 7.2.1.5.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul> |       |          |                                 |                |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>932</b>         | Michael Joseph and Catherine May Sweeney  | 1            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | To review the policy and agree it is inappropriate to levy such charges. If this is not agreed to at least provide the full reports outlining how the changing regime will be imposed. To modify the charges in circumstances where little or no public benefit is being jeopardised. |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>932</b>         | Michael Joseph and Catherine May Sweeney  | 2            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | To review the policy and agree it is inappropriate to levy such charges. If this is not agreed to at least provide the full reports outlining how the changing regime will be imposed. To modify the charges in circumstances where little or no public benefit is being jeopardised. |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>932</b>         | Michael Joseph and Catherine May Sweeney  | 3            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | To review the policy and agree it is inappropriate to levy such charges. If this is not agreed to at least provide the full reports outlining how the changing regime will be imposed. To modify the charges in circumstances where little or no public benefit is being jeopardised. |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>932</b>         | Michael Joseph and Catherine May Sweeney  | 4            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | To review the policy and agree it is inappropriate to levy such charges. If this is not agreed to at least provide the full reports outlining how the changing regime will be imposed. To modify the charges in circumstances where little or no public benefit is being jeopardised. |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>932</b>         | Michael Joseph and Catherine May Sweeney  | 5            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | To review the policy and agree it is inappropriate to levy such charges. If this is not agreed to at least provide the full reports outlining how the changing regime will be imposed. To modify the charges in circumstances where little or no public benefit is being jeopardised. |              |               |                                  |                  |             |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision                                | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--|--------|
| <b>932</b>         | Michael Joseph and Catherine May Sweeney  | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | 5.M.10                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | To review the policy and agree it is inappropriate to levy such charges. If this is not agreed to at least provide the full reports outlining how the changing regime will be imposed. To modify the charges in circumstances where little or no public benefit is being jeopardised. |       |          |   |  |        |
| <b>932</b>         | Michael Joseph and Catherine May Sweeney  | 7     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | 5.M.11                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | To review the policy and agree it is inappropriate to levy such charges. If this is not agreed to at least provide the full reports outlining how the changing regime will be imposed. To modify the charges in circumstances where little or no public benefit is being jeopardised. |       |          |   |  |        |
| <b>154</b>         | T P McGrail   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3                               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the change until proper consultation has been carried out  |       |          |   |  |        |
| <b>1183</b>        | TAB Services Limited  | 1     | All      | All                                       |  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |  |        |
| <b>415</b>         | Taequi Trust  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3                               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>          |       |          |   |  |        |
| <b>1184</b>        | Talleys Group Limited   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify plans to reflect that aquaculture and dolphins co-exist in this environment with no reported adverse effects.  |       |          |   |  |        |
| <b>1184</b>        | Talleys Group Limited   | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                              | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                                | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|--|--------|
| Decision Requested | Modify the plans to reflect the presence of aquaculture as they are present in the area and have had no adverse effect on the Whales.   |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>1184</b>        | Talleys Group Limited   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plans to reflect the presence of aquaculture as they are present in the area and have had no adverse effect on the Dolphins. |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>1184</b>        | Talleys Group Limited   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recognise in the plan that aquaculture has been in place and has not affected outstanding landscape values.                             |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>1184</b>        | Talleys Group Limited   | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recognise that marine farming has been present in areas of high and very high natural character and does not affect these values.       |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>1184</b>        | Talleys Group Limited   | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recognise that marine farming has been present in areas of high and very high natural character and does not affect these values.       |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>1184</b>        | Talleys Group Limited   | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan to reflect the presence of aquaculture as they are in the area and are culturally and economically significant.         |       |          |              |  |        |
| <b>1184</b>        | Talleys Group Limited   | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan to reflect the presence of aquaculture as they are in the area and are culturally and economically significant.         |       |          |              |  |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision                                | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|--|---------|
| <b>1184</b>        | Talleys Group Limited   | 9     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan to reflect the presence of aquaculture as they are in the area and are culturally and economically significant.                       |       |          |                             |  |         |
| <b>374</b>         | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations)  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                 | Zoning Map 85                            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Change the zoning of Lot 1 DP 4415 from Rural Environment Zone to Industrial Zone <i>(not stipulated if Industrial Zone 1 or Industrial Zone 2)</i> . |       |          |                             |  |         |
| <b>374</b>         | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations)  | 2     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments       | 12.                                      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support policies relating to the Industrial 1 Zone. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                             |  |         |
| <b>374</b>         | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations)  | 3     | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.                                      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support rules for Industrial 1 Zone. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                             |  |         |
| <b>374</b>         | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations)  | 4     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                 | Zoning Map 6                             | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Industrial 1 zoning for Pt Lot 2 DP 6230. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                             |  |         |
| <b>374</b>         | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations)  | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                | Threatened Environments 8                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove farmland from Overlay.   |       |          |                             |  |         |
| <b>374</b>         | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations)  | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                | Threatened Environments 7                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove farmland from Overlay.   |       |          |                             |  |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b>          | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>374</b>         | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations)   | 7            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                     | Threatened Environments 6 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove farmland from Overlay.  |              |               |                                  |                           |                 |
| <b>374</b>         | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations)   | 8            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                     | Threatened Environments 5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove farmland from Overlay.  |              |               |                                  |                           |                 |
| <b>374</b>         | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations)   | 9            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                     | Threatened Environments 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove farmland from Overlay.  |              |               |                                  |                           |                 |
| <b>374</b>         | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations)   | 10           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                     | Threatened Environments 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove farmland from Overlay.  |              |               |                                  |                           |                 |
| <b>374</b>         | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations)   | 11           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to provided for non-irrigation uses, such as line flushing and testing.                             |              |               |                                  |                           |                 |
| <b>374</b>         | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations)   | 12           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to provided for non-irrigation uses, such as line flushing and testing.                             |              |               |                                  |                           |                 |
| <b>894</b>         | Lily Tamaiparea  | 1            | All           | All                              |                           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. |              |               |                                  |                           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b>                          | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|---|-------------|
| <b>722</b>         | Gaik Choo Tan  | 1            | Volume 1      | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>722</b>         | Gaik Choo Tan  | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>722</b>         | Gaik Choo Tan  | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>722</b>         | Gaik Choo Tan  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>722</b>         | Gaik Choo Tan  | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |   | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>722</b>         | Gaik Choo Tan  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |   | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>722</b>         | Gaik Choo Tan  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>722</b>         | Gaik Choo Tan  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>722</b>         | Gaik Choo Tan   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>722</b>         | Gaik Choo Tan   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>762</b>         | Huu Van Tang  | 1     | All      | All                 |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>895</b>         | Lisita Tangataevaha   | 1     | All      | All                 |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| <b>307</b>         | Tasman District Council   | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules     | 2.9.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | All in-stream structures (existing and new), such as culverts, weirs, dams and fords, that are not governed by a resource consent, should be required to provide for fish passage within 5 years unless there is good reason not to (eg prevent pest fish or trout predation on rare native fish) or by agreement (for those who may have an expensive or large number or in-stream structures to remediate). |       |          |                     |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>307</b>         | Tasman District Council   | 2     | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone     | 21.3.2.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Any rock walling activity should submit a plan, even if it is for scrutiny by the Regulatory Department, to ensure environmental effects are properly considered. If a rock wall is proposed to extend through inanga spawning zones they should require a resource consent. Such consents would consider that the design includes grassed benches so there is continued provision of whitebait spawning. |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>307</b>         | Tasman District Council   | 3     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules      | 2.14.1.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Any rock walling activity should submit a plan, even if it is for scrutiny by the Regulatory Department, to ensure environmental effects are properly considered. If a rock wall is proposed to extend through inanga spawning zones they should require a resource consent. Such consents would consider that the design includes grassed benches so there is continued provision of whitebait spawning. |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>307</b>         | Tasman District Council   | 4     | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.3.5.9. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | It is suggested that this rule be modified to say "woody material should not be deposited on or over the river bed if it covers greater than 20% of the aerial extent of the bed or is highly likely (from experience) to cause the formation of a debris dam.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>307</b>         | Tasman District Council   | 5     | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone     | 21.3.9.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | If there isn't going to be a general condition then add that works involving the clearance of natural material from streams shall not interfere with stream banks or change the natural meander pattern.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>307</b>         | Tasman District Council   | 6     | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone       | 15.3.14.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | If there isn't going to be a general condition then add that works involving the clearance of natural material from streams shall not interfere with stream banks or change the natural meander pattern.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>307</b>         | Tasman District Council   | 7     | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone         | 13.3.16.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | If there isn't going to be a general condition then add that works involving the clearance of natural material from streams shall not interfere with stream banks or change the natural meander pattern.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>307</b>         | Tasman District Council   | 8     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules      | 2.9.3.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend activity standard 2.9.3.2 to provide greater detail on the screening requirements and minimum standards for the prevention of fish passage, and maximum size of the intake to limit effects on aquatic ecology.   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>307</b>         | Tasman District Council   | 9     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.7.3.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend activity standard 2.9.3.2 to provide greater detail on the screening requirements and minimum standards for the prevention of fish passage, and maximum size of the intake to limit effects on aquatic ecology.   |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>307</b>         | Tasman District Council   | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines:<br/> Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011*<br/> Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effections of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>307</b>         | Tasman District Council   | 11    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.11  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines:<br/> Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011*<br/> Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effections of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>307</b>         | Tasman District Council   | 12    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.12. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines:<br/> Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011*<br/> Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effections of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p> |       |          |                          |            |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>307</b>         | Tasman District Council   | 13    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines:<br/> Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011*<br/> Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effections of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>307</b>         | Tasman District Council   | 14    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines:<br/> Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011*<br/> Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effections of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>307</b>         | Tasman District Council   | 15    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.9.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines:<br/> Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011*<br/> Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effections of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>307</b>         | Tasman District Council   | 16    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.7.17.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines:<br/>Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011*<br/>Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effections of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>307</b>         | Tasman District Council   | 17    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.3.23.7.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines:<br/>Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011*<br/>Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effections of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1185</b>        | Taurewa Lodge Trust   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1185</b>        | Taurewa Lodge Trust   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy so the Council, DoC and Port Company are not exempt from the coastal occupancy charge. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1185</b>        | Taurewa Lodge Trust   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to waive the coastal occupancy charge for residents without road access. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1185</b>        | Taurewa Lodge Trust   | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| <b>1185</b>        | Taurewa Lodge Trust  | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources  | Policy 5.10.8                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to include the organisations. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                   |   |                 |
| <b>1185</b>        | Taurewa Lodge Trust  | 6     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.1                             | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                   |   |                 |
| <b>1185</b>        | Taurewa Lodge Trust  | 7     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.2                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend part (a) of the policy to allow additional moorings if circumstances require it. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                   |   |                 |
| <b>1185</b>        | Taurewa Lodge Trust  | 8     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.16                           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Part (a) - Amend policy to exempt older structures that are structurally sound.<br>Part (b) - Retain policy.<br>Part (c) - Amend Policy to allow jetty access in Picton to private landowners. <i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                                   |   |                 |
| <b>1185</b>        | Taurewa Lodge Trust  | 9     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.18                           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to exempt older structures that are structurally sound. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                   |   |                 |
| <b>1185</b>        | Taurewa Lodge Trust  | 10    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.23                           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to exempt older structures that are structurally sound. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                   |   |                 |
| <b>1181</b>        | Tiare Tautari  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                      | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.                            |       |          |                                   |   |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1181</b>        | Tiare Tautari  | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1181</b>        | Tiare Tautari  | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1181</b>        | Tiare Tautari  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1181</b>        | Tiare Tautari  | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1181</b>        | Tiare Tautari  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1181</b>        | Tiare Tautari  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1181</b>        | Tiare Tautari  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1181</b>        | Tiare Tautari  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1181</b>        | Tiare Tautari  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>104</b>         | Robin Taylor   | 1     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.2                              | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>105</b>         | Robin Taylor   | 1     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.7                              | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>570</b>         | Blair Taylor   | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>831</b>         | Jim Taylor   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>831</b>         | Jim Taylor   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>831</b>         | Jim Taylor   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>831</b>         | Jim Taylor   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>831</b>         | Jim Taylor   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>831</b>         | Jim Taylor   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>831</b>         | Jim Taylor   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>831</b>         | Jim Taylor   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>831</b>         | Jim Taylor   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>831</b>         | Jim Taylor   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>896</b>         | Lachlan Taylor  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.1.1.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Class C allocation limits be extended such that additional water be taken during high flows.   |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>896</b>         | Lachlan Taylor  | 2     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.17.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the rules be amended to enable at least 20,000m <sup>3</sup> of water storage (consistent with existing planning framework) and enable a catchment of 100ha. Also to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than 2 years storage due to ongoing drought years. |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>896</b>         | Lachlan Taylor  | 3     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.5.1.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Class C allocation limits be extended such that additional water be taken during high flows.   |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>896</b>         | Lachlan Taylor  | 4     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.5.3.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Class C allocation limits be extended such that additional water be taken during high flows.   |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>896</b>         | Lachlan Taylor  | 5     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.5.4.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the rules be amended to enable at least 20,000m <sup>3</sup> of water storage (consistent with existing planning framework) and enable a catchment of 100ha. Also to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than 2 years storage due to ongoing drought years. |       |          |                 |           |        |
| <b>896</b>         | Lachlan Taylor  | 6     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.4.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the rules be amended to enable at least 20,000m <sup>3</sup> of water storage (consistent with existing planning framework) and enable a catchment of 100ha. Also to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than 2 years storage due to ongoing drought years. |       |          |                 |           |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>896</b>         | Lachlan Taylor  | 7            | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.10.1.          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Class C allocation limits be extended such that additional water be taken during high flows.   |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>744</b>         | Taylor Partnership  | 1            | All           | All             |                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 1            | All           | All             |                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | To be truly integrated into the planning process, cultural issues should not be solely contained in one chapter and iwi concerns are not merely spiritual.  |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 2            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape     | 7.               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek a peer review of the landscape assessment process and methodology and specific consultation with iwi on the approach taken.  |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 3            | All           | All             |                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks that the meaning of Kaitiakitanga is applied across the entire plan, not just one chapter.  |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 4            | Volume 2      | All             |                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa asks that all the Zones of the MEP be provided with a permitted activity of restoring or rehabilitating habitat, undertaking restoration activities, research and placement of structures/undertaking of works associated with the restoration or rehabilitation of habitat for native vegetation/habitat or animals or both. |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 5            | Volume 1      | All             |                  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek that objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion be created and included in all chapters that relate to cultural values/issues to ensure that they are addressed.   |              |               |                 |                  |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                                | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|--|-----------------|
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 6     | Volume 2 | All          |  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek that objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion be created and included in all chapters that relate to cultural values/issues to ensure that they are addressed.   |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 7     | Volume 2 | All          |  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks the inclusion of a permitted rule within all Zones of the MEP whereby a pou or other structure/carving/sign can be erected to identify an area of Maori significance. Least Te Atiawa is left with no option but to oppose the recognition of all significant sites within the MEP.   |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks that there be an appropriate buffer provided around these sites within the Queen Charlotte Sound, Tory Channel, and Port Gore so that no further destruction can occur and some restoration/expansion within these sites can occur. Further, the Trustees seek preservation of customary practices and processes within these sites (specifically around Arapaoa Island). |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 9     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks that there be an appropriate buffer provided around these sites within the Queen Charlotte Sound, Tory Channel, and Port Gore so that no further destruction can occur and some restoration/expansion within these sites can occur. Further, the Trustees seek preservation of customary practices and processes within these sites (specifically around Arapaoa Island). |       |          |              |  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 10    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 11 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks that there be an appropriate buffer provided around these sites within the Queen Charlotte Sound, Tory Channel, and Port Gore so that no further destruction can occur and some restoration/expansion within these sites can occur. Further, the Trustees seek preservation of customary practices and processes within these sites (specifically around Arapaoa Island). |       |          |              |  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b>                         | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|--|-------------|
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 11           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 12 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks that there be an appropriate buffer provided around these sites within the Queen Charlotte Sound, Tory Channel, and Port Gore so that no further destruction can occur and some restoration/expansion within these sites can occur. Further, the Trustees seek preservation of customary practices and processes within these sites (specifically around Arapaoa Island). |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 12           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 13 | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks that there be an appropriate buffer provided around these sites within the Queen Charlotte Sound, Tory Channel, and Port Gore so that no further destruction can occur and some restoration/expansion within these sites can occur. Further, the Trustees seek preservation of customary practices and processes within these sites (specifically around Arapaoa Island). |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 13           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14 | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks that there be an appropriate buffer provided around these sites within the Queen Charlotte Sound, Tory Channel, and Port Gore so that no further destruction can occur and some restoration/expansion within these sites can occur. Further, the Trustees seek preservation of customary practices and processes within these sites (specifically around Arapaoa Island). |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 14           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 15 | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks that there be an appropriate buffer provided around these sites within the Queen Charlotte Sound, Tory Channel, and Port Gore so that no further destruction can occur and some restoration/expansion within these sites can occur. Further, the Trustees seek preservation of customary practices and processes within these sites (specifically around Arapaoa Island). |              |               |                |  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 15    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                      | Zoning Map 36  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seek the reduction of the Port Zoning to that of the current Marlborough Sounds Plan and the identification of the sea-grass beds along the foreshore as being significant habitant (as per the recommendation of the MDC ecological report). |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 16    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                      | Zoning Map 138 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seek the reduction of the Port Zoning to that of the current Marlborough Sounds Plan and the identification of the sea-grass beds along the foreshore as being significant habitant (as per the recommendation of the MDC ecological report). |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 17    | Volume 1 | All                              |                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to terrestrial sedimentation on coastal water quality and benthic habitats.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 18    | Volume 2 | All                              |                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to terrestrial sedimentation on coastal water quality and benthic habitats.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 19    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | If the MDC is to apply a charge on coastal users, then a similar charge should be imposed on those that use public resources for private gain. Examples of this are takers and users of water for commercial gain, and discharges of pollutants to air. |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 20    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek that cultural indicators are incorporated into the water allocation regime, the air shed management, and management of the coast.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 21    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | 13.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek that cultural indicators are incorporated into the water allocation regime, the air shed management, and management of the coast.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 22    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)  | 15.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek that cultural indicators are incorporated into the water allocation regime, the air shed management, and management of the coast.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 23    | Volume 2 | All                                     |              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek the removal of such restrictions and the provision of permitted standards such that marae and Papakainga services can be provided on iwi land without unnecessary hurdles. |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 24    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.4.4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek that the water transfer regime is removed from the plan and consent holders reduced to the amount necessary to provide for their (intended) land use.                      |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 25    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek all cultural sites of significance (whether registered or not) to be protected by the MEP provisions.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 26    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek all cultural sites of significance (whether registered or not) to be protected by the MEP provisions.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 27    | Volume 2 | All                                     |              | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek all cultural sites of significance (whether registered or not) to be protected by the MEP provisions.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 28    | Volume 1 | All                                     |              | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek the inclusion of objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to the protection of significant areas of mahinga kai and traditional practices.  |       |          |                                   |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 29    | Volume 2 | All                               |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek the inclusion of objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to the protection of significant areas of mahinga kai and traditional practices.  |       |          |                                   |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 30    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees seek the inclusion of objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to the disturbance of the seabed by structures and activities, with the purpose of ensuring a reduction in the associated adverse effects yet providing for mahinga kai and customary practices. |       |          |                                   |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 31    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                      | 13.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees seek the inclusion of objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to the disturbance of the seabed by structures and activities, with the purpose of ensuring a reduction in the associated adverse effects yet providing for mahinga kai and customary practices. |       |          |                                   |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 32    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone         | 14.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees seek the inclusion of objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to the disturbance of the seabed by structures and activities, with the purpose of ensuring a reduction in the associated adverse effects yet providing for mahinga kai and customary practices. |       |          |                                   |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 33    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone                    | 15.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees seek the inclusion of objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to the disturbance of the seabed by structures and activities, with the purpose of ensuring a reduction in the associated adverse effects yet providing for mahinga kai and customary practices. |       |          |                                   |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 34    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone            | 16.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The Trustees seek the inclusion of objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to the disturbance of the seabed by structures and activities, with the purpose of ensuring a reduction in the associated adverse effects yet providing for mahinga kai and customary practices. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 35    | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the designation from The Picton Police Station.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 36    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | 3.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Despite a small change (sub point 1186.37), this chapter should remain intact.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 37    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | Issue 3D      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The issue is expanded to include the coastal waters.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 38    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend the commentary to formally recognise and include Te Atiawa in the meaning/application of the objective.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 39    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Cultural values are inserted into the hierarchy of water allocation at a) or b).  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 40    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy but adding 'cultural values' to the end of the sentence.   |       |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 41    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Correct the list to read a) to d). Provide clarification in the commentary of this Policy as to whether each subpart is to be read conjunctively or they are disjunctive. Amend the list to have each subpart (a to d) finish with either an 'and' or an 'or'. |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 42    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Reduce the minimum term for water permits to 10 years.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 43    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to require water use permits to lapse in no more than two years.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 44    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Policy from the MEP.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 45    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Modify the objective to account for cultural values (by way of Policy or wording or commentary) in considering availability.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 46    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.10 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Modify the objective to account for cultural values (by way of Policy or wording or commentary) in considering availability.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 47    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to include at the end of the Policy the words, 'cultural and environmental values'.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 48    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Modify the list of the Policy to delete retaining walls and add the following wording, 'retaining structures that are sympathetic to environmental processes and seascapes, i.e. rock protection works, rock batter seawalls, etc.' Add to point b) the following wording, 'and structures that facilitate restoration of marine habitat, marine processes, and marine species'. |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 49    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.8  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Modify the list to state that Te Atiawa will be a member of the Board/Committee that determines how the funds will be distributed.<br>Modify the list to include cultural projects, research and/or works.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 50    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | 5.             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Create AER for the coastal allocation and cultural values.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 51    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Policy 6.1.1   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the natural character qualities list to include cultural and spiritual values.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 52    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.1.1   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Reconsider the assessment of associative values to give a broader definition to 'cultural values' and more weighting to 'cultural values' in the determination of overall site/landscape value.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 53    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.1.3   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new bullet point after b) stating, whether a landscape is identified as a feature of high cultural value in terms of section 6(e) and 7(a) of the RMA'.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 54    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Objective 10.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Provide clarification (either within the objective, a new Policy, or the commentary) as to the meaning of 'that contributes' to allay the concerns of Te Atiawa.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 55    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.1  | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Provide clarification of the relationship, moving forward, between MDC and Te Atiawa regarding the management of heritage resources.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 56    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend the commentary of this Policy to include iwi/tangata whenua of Marlborough.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 57    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy and the list to contain explicit consideration of cultural sites of significance to tangata whenua. Add commentary to explain that not all sites of significance to iwi are included in the historic register of MDC. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 58    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Remove the word 'registered' from the Policy.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 59    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Create AER for cultural or iwi related sites, features, structures or resources.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 60    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Policy 11.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy and the commentary to contain an explicit statement regarding iwi involvement, consultation, and/or discussion.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 61    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Policy 11.1.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy and the commentary to contain an explicit statement regarding iwi involvement, consultation, and/or discussion.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 62    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Policy 11.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy and the commentary to contain an explicit requirement that the approval of MDC and the relevant iwi are to be sought.   |       |          |   |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 63           | Volume 1      | 11 Natural Hazards                | 11.AER.3         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Provide for cultural indicators to be used to assess impacts on cultural values.   |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 64           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy (either in the Policy or the commentary) to indicate that iwi sites of significance are also important to take into account but that they may not always be mapped. |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 65           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the consideration of the protection of cultural values, beliefs, structures, resources and/or locations to the decision making framework.                                    |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 66           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.4    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to include cultural values as an attribute that can be considered.  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 67           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.5           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the method to include affected party status for Te Atiawa in Queen Charlotte Sound, Tory Channel, Port Gore to enable assessment of cultural matters and kaitiakitanga.    |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 68           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.1    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new point to the list of caveats requiring cultural values to be considered. i.e.<br>g) Adversely affect the cultural values of the area.                                  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 69           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.2    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to provide balance between recreational use and environmental and cultural preservation.  |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 70           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.4   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the commentary to recognise that iwi have a significant role in the sustainable management of the Marlborough Sounds as the identity and mana of the iwi are reflected in the quality of the fishery and provide recognition of Te Atiawa as kaitiaki of the Queen Charlotte Sound. |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 71    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to recognise iwi and provide provisions (by way of policies or commentary) for supporting restoration proposals and/or projects.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 72    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.9          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Modify method to explicitly identify iwi.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 73    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.8.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the list by adding bullet points facilitating the consideration of the reduction in adverse effects on: seabed disturbance; the area to be occupied by moorings; landscape values; natural character values; seascape values; cultural values; and, amenity values.                 |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 74    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add further bullets to the list requiring consideration of alternative mooring designs and systems to be considered; the impact of continual seabed disturbance of the structure(s); and contribution to the quality of the environment.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 75    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.10 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the words 'to moorings' at the end of the commentary of the objective.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 76    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to include biological processes as an important context for appropriate development of structures.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 77    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.26 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy and the commentary to indicate that effects (to be considered under point b)) relate to both the construction of and operation of the structure.       |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 78    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.27 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy by adding the words, 'vertical wood/timber walls', into the list of coastal protection materials to be discouraged.                                    |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 79    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | The wording of this Policy and its commentary should be retained.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 80    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to ensure that sites of cultural significance and cultural values within the port and marina Zone are preserved.                                       |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 81    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy by adding a new matter to be considered being, 'the effects on cultural values'.   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 82    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.26         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the method to ensure MDC liaise with Te Atiawa in addition to the port and marina operators in terms of landscape quality and integration of the foreshore areas. |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 83    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the AER to include specific goals and monitoring criteria for cultural values.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 84    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to include potential adverse effects on cultural values.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 85    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.3.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to include cultural values and resources to be included in the caveat of permitted activities.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 86    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | 14.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the AER to include specific goals and monitoring criteria for cultural values.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 87    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy by adding a new bullet point stating that any discharge will not result is significant adverse effect on cultural values or resources.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 88    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.19 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend this Policy by deleting the caveat 'with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure'.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 89    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.22 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete this policy.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 90    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.9         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the method to include consultation and discussion with Te Atiawa in the research, preparation, and implementation of storm water management plans. |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 91    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.18        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the method to include iwi within the liaison framework.  |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 92    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15D     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the offending paragraph from the MEP.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 93    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the AER to include specific goals and monitoring criteria for cultural values.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 94    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | Policy 16.3.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy by deleting 'have regard' and replace with either, 'shall recognise and provide for' or 'shall have particular regard'.                                      |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 95    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | 16.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the AER to include specific goals and monitoring criteria for cultural values.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 96    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | 17.M.14       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the method to include iwi being recognised as an affected party where land use activities or subdivisions are being considered adjacent to road or rail infrastructure. |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 97    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | 17.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the AER to include specific goals and monitoring criteria for cultural values.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 98    | Volume 1 | 18 Energy                              | Policy 18.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy by adding cultural values in the matters to be considered.   |       |          |  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 99    | Volume 1 | 18 Energy         | 18.M.6        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the method to include iwi within the liaison framework.        |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 100   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete issue (b) from the Policy.                                    |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 101   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.2.1.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the domestic allocation permitted to 2 cubic metres per day.   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 102   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.2.2.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Papakainga allocation permitted to 2 cubic metres per day. |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 103   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.2.3.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the allocation limit for marae.                               |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 104   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.3.2.1.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 2.3.2.1.   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 105   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.7.2.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove rule 2.7.2 from the permitted activity list.                  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 106   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.7.5.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove rule 2.7.5 from the permitted activity list.                  |       |          |                   |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 107          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.7.7.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove rule 2.7.7 from the permitted activity list.  |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 108          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.9.2.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standard to include consultation with iwi and consideration of adverse effects on cultural values.                               |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 109          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.9.3.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standard list to restrict suction hoses being located within cultural sites/areas.   |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 110          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.9.4.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standard list to include a consideration/restriction around cultural values, cultural areas, and cultural sites.                 |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 111          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.9.5.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standard to include consultation with iwi and consideration of adverse effects on cultural values.                               |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 112          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.9.7.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standard to include consultation with iwi and consideration of adverse effects on cultural values.                               |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 113          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.18.1.6.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'matters over which the Council has reserved control:' to include cultural values and issues.                                    |              |               |                 |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 114          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules | 2.34.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted activity list to include, as permitted, the establishment of pou and/or cultural signage within the rohe of Te Atiawa. |              |               |                 |                  |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 115          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.2.             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Rural Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 116          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.6.2.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to protect cultural sites.   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 117          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.8.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to protect cultural sites.   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 118          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.13.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to protect cultural sites.   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 119          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.14.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to protect cultural sites.   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 120          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.47.1.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete 3.3.47.1  |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 121          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.5.             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Rural Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.                 |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 122          | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.48.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the permitted activity status for Papakainga.   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 123          | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.6.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to protect cultural sites.   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 124          | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.7.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to protect cultural sites.   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 125          | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.11.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.                        |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 126          | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.12.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that cultivation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.                                 |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 127          | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.13.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.                                  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 128          | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.45.1.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete 4.3.45.1  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 129          | Volume 2      | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.1.3.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the identified appellations of the lots for marae activity. Add Sec 1 SO 426964 to the permitted list and the associated standard (5.3.2b). |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 130          | Volume 2      | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.1.4.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Papakainga as permitted within this Zone.   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 131   | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.2.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete 5.3.2.1  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 132   | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.3.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete 5.3.3.1.   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 133   | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.10.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 134   | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone       | 6.3.3.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 135   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.1.4.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Papakainga as permitted within this Zone and add marae.  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 136   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.2.1.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Coastal Living Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 137   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.3.3.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete 7.3.3.1.   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 138   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.3.7.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 139   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone            | 7.3.8.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.   |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 140   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.9.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.   |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 141   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone   | 8.1.4.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Papakainga as permitted within this Zone and add marae.  |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 142   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone   | 8.2.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Rural Living Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 143   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone   | 8.3.3.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete 8.3.3.1.   |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 144   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone   | 8.3.7.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to protect cultural sites.  |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 145   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone   | 8.3.9.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to protect cultural sites.  |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 146   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone   | 8.3.10.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation removal on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas is not permitted.  |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 147   | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone   | 8.3.11.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter            | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.   |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 148   | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone  | 9.3.4.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.   |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 149   | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.2.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Business 2 Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 150   | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.3.4.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.   |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 151   | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.3.5.2. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 10.3.5.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa.                                 |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 152   | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.2.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Business 3 Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 153   | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.3.3.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.   |       |          |                    |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 154   | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone          | 11.3.4.2.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 11.3.4.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa.  |       |          |                             |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 155   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Business 3 Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.                                      |       |          |                             |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 156   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.18.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.  |       |          |                             |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 157   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.20.2. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 12.3.20.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa.   |       |          |                             |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 158   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                | 13.2.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Port Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically standards 13.2.1 and 13.2.2). |       |          |                             |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 159   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                | 13.3.6.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Either remove rule 13.1.15 from the permitted activity list or provide extra points in standard 13.3.6 requiring works within cultural areas to be excluded from the permitted activity status.            |       |          |                             |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 160   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                | 13.3.12.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 13.3.12.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa.   |       |          |                             |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 161   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                | 13.3.13.   | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Either remove rule 13.1.24 from the permitted activity list or provide extra points in standard 13.3.13 requiring works within cultural areas to be excluded from the permitted activity status.   |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 162   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.15.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Either remove rule 13.1.26 from the permitted activity list or provide extra points in standard 13.3.15 requiring works within cultural areas to be excluded from the permitted activity status.   |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 163   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.17.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.  |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 164   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.19.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.  |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 165   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.20.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.  |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 166   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the CMA of the Port Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.   |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 167   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The 'matters of restricted discretion' that apply to any 'other' commercial activity, within the Port Zone, do not consider or account for cultural areas, sites, locations or values. Amend the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Port Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. |       |          |              |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 168   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.3.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards and terms:' and the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Port Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.                               |       |          |                           |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 169   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.4.5.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards and terms:' and the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Port Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.                               |       |          |                           |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 170   | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone              | 13.4.6.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Port Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.  |       |          |                           |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 171   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.8.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.  |       |          |                           |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 172   | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.10.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.  |       |          |                           |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 173   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.2.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Marina Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically standards 15.2.1 and 15.2.2). |       |          |                           |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 174   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.3.12.2. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 15.3.12.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa.   |       |          |                           |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 175   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.3.13.   | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Either remove rule 15.1.23 from the permitted activity list or provide extra points in standard 15.3.13 requiring works within cultural areas to be excluded from the permitted activity status. |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 176   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.3.14.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Either remove rule 15.1.24 from the permitted activity list or provide extra points in standard 15.3.14 requiring works within cultural areas to be excluded from the permitted activity status. |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 177   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.3.16.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 178   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.3.18.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 179   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.5.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the CMA of the Marina Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.                                     |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 180   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.5.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards and terms:' and the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Marina Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.                 |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 181   | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone         | 15.5.3.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards and terms:' and the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Marina Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.                 |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 182   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Insert a permitted activity to allow for ecological restoration initiatives to be undertaken without resource consent.   |       |          |                        |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 183   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Coastal Marine Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically standards 16.2.1 and 16.2.2). |       |          |                        |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 184   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.10.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Either remove rule 16.1.14 from the permitted activity list or provide extra points in standard 16.3.10 requiring works within cultural areas to be excluded from the permitted activity status.                     |       |          |                        |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 185   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.14.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Either remove rule 16.1.14 from the permitted activity list or provide extra points in standard 16.3.10 requiring works within cultural areas to be excluded from the permitted activity status.                     |       |          |                        |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 186   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.15.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete rule 16.1.15.   |       |          |                        |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 187   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.12.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 16.3.12.   |       |          |                        |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 188   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.14.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standard 16.3.14.3 to require early notification and consultation with iwi on discovery of dead mammal and provide iwi approval before burial.   |       |          |                        |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 189   | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone   | 17.2.      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Open Space 1 Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically standards under heading 17.2.1). |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 190   | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.3.2.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 191   | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.3.3.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 192   | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.3.4.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Excavation should not be permitted without adequate consideration of the potential adverse effects on cultural resources, values or sites.  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 193   | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.3.10.2. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 17.3.10.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa.  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 194   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.2.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Open Space 2 Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically standard 18.2.1).                |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 195   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.3.3.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 196   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.3.4.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 197   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.3.5.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 198   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.3.11.2. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 18.3.11.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 199   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Open Space 3 Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically standard 19.2.1). |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 200   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.2.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to protect cultural sites.   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 201   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.3.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 202   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.4.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.  |       |          |                      |            |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>       | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 203          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.5.          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.  |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 204          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.20.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that disposal of waste to pits is a sufficient distance from cultural sites and the cultural values of the site are not compromised.     |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 205          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.21.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that disposal of offal to pits is a sufficient distance from cultural sites and the cultural values of the site are not compromised.     |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 206          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.22.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that the making of compost/silage is a sufficient distance from cultural sites and the cultural values of the site are not compromised.  |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 207          | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.23.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that the storing of compost/silage is a sufficient distance from cultural sites and the cultural values of the site are not compromised. |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 208          | Volume 2      | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.3.3.          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.  |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 209          | Volume 2      | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.3.5.          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.  |              |               |                      |                  |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 210          | Volume 2      | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.4.1.          | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Open Space 4 Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.  |       |          |                  |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 211   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone | 21.3.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Floodway Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically standards 21.3.1, 21.3.3, 21.3.6, 21.3.7, 21.3.8, 21.3.9, and 21.3.14).   |       |          |                  |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 212   | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone  | 23.2.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Floodway Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically Standards under heading 23.2.1).  |       |          |                  |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 213   | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone  | 23.3.2.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.  |       |          |                  |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 214   | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone  | 23.3.3.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 23.3.3.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa.  |       |          |                  |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 215   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions   | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition section but inserting three new definitions, one for 'cultural values', another for 'cultural sites', and another for 'Cultural commercial activities'. Cultural values should identify those important values of iwi that need to be taken into account. Cultural sites should state that locations of specific cultural significance. Cultural commercial activities should state those activities undertaken in accordance |       |          |                  |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 216   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions   | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete 'administration offices ancillary to the marae activity' and replace with 'Maori commercial offices'.   |       |          |                  |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 217   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions   | 25.       | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete the current definition and replace with: <b>'a self-contained residential unit, used or intended to be used for a permanent residential activity, associated with a marae or tribal housing for kaumatua'</b> .   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 218   | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values  |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Associative values list and record the cultural significance of the area.  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 219   | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values  |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'Associative values' list to record that the location is of ongoing cultural significance, occupation and cultural traditions.   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 220   | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values                          |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Change 'Arapawa' to 'Arapaoa'.   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 221   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the various tables to include a 'C' under the "Water Quality Classifications" of the following rivers: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kaituna (Page 5-8);</li> <li>• Rai (Page 5-11);</li> <li>• Tuamarina (Page 5-14);</li> <li>• Small Coastal Complex (Page 5-16);</li> <li>• Small Sounds Streams (Page 5-16);</li> <li>• Waitohi (Page 5-17); and</li> <li>• Wakamarina (Page 5-17).</li> </ul> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 222   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the table to include the Waikawa stream and, at the very least, identify it as having the following "Water Quality Classifications":  |       |          |  |               |                 |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• C – Cultural</li> <li>• A – Aesthetic</li> </ul>   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 223   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the schedule by inserting cultural water quality indicators.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 224   | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources                           |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Either modify the title of the section to reflect that the register is a list of significant buildings, structures and trees; or, another list identifying sites of significant cultural resources. |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 225   | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources                           |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The introduction should be amended to identify that the register is not complete and indicate that there are significant resources within Marlborough that are not contained within the register.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 226   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 36 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Either extend the area of wetland shown in Map 36 or create a new overlay for 'significant habitat' and cover the significant eel grass beds at the head of Shakespeare Bay.                        |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 227   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 36 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Reduce the Port Zoning within Shakespeare Bay to reflect the existing Zones of the MSRMP (i.e. only half the bay is Port Zone).   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui  | 228   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 37 | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend map 37 to indicate the area/location of the original Te Atiawa pa site.  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 229   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 40 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend map 40 to outline the area of the Waikawa Marae.   |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 230   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 41 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend map 41 to indicate the area/location of the original Te Atiawa pa site.  |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 231   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 41 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Modify Map 41 to create a new overlay for 'significant habitat' and cover the significant eel grass beds at the mouth of the Waikawa Stream. |       |          |             |               |                 |
| <b>1186</b>        | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui   | 232   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 42 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend map 42 to indicate the area/location of the marae site within Waikawa Bay.   |       |          |             |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                            | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| <b>1187</b>        | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau   | 1     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The decision we seek from Council is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource.</li> <li>• A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems.</li> <li>• Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance.</li> <li>• Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities.</li> <li>• Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area.</li> <li>• Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC.</li> <li>• Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted.</li> <li>• Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council.</li> </ul> |       |          |                                    |           |        |
| <b>1187</b>        | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau   | 2     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                | 6.M.2     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The decision we seek from Council is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource.</li> <li>• A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems.</li> <li>• Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance.</li> <li>• Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities.</li> <li>• Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area.</li> <li>• Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC.</li> <li>• Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted.</li> <li>• Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council.</li> </ul> |       |          |                                    |           |        |
| <b>1187</b>        | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau   | 3     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity          | 8.        | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is:  |       |          |   |               |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource.</li> <li>• A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems.</li> <li>• Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance.</li> <li>• Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities.</li> <li>• Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area.</li> <li>• Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC.</li> <li>• Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted.</li> <li>• Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1187</b>        | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau  | 4     | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is:  |       |          |   |               |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource.</li> <li>• A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems.</li> <li>• Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance.</li> <li>• Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities.</li> <li>• Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area.</li> <li>• Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC.</li> <li>• Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted.</li> <li>• Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1187</b>        | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau  | 5     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | 13.           | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is:  |       |          |                                   |               |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource.</li> <li>• A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems.</li> <li>• Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance.</li> <li>• Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities.</li> <li>• Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area.</li> <li>• Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC.</li> <li>• Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted.</li> <li>• Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council.</li> </ul> |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>1187</b>        | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau  | 6     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is:  |       |          |                                   |               |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource.</li> <li>• A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems.</li> <li>• Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance.</li> <li>• Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities.</li> <li>• Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area.</li> <li>• Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC.</li> <li>• Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted.</li> <li>• Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council.</li> </ul> |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>1187</b>        | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau  | 7     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.1.4 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is:  |       |          |  |           |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource.</li> <li>• A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems.</li> <li>• Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance.</li> <li>• Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities.</li> <li>• Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area.</li> <li>• Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC.</li> <li>• Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted.</li> <li>• Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council.</li> </ul> |       |          |  |           |        |
| <b>1187</b>        | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau  | 8     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is:  |       |          |  |           |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource.</li> <li>• A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems.</li> <li>• Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance.</li> <li>• Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities.</li> <li>• Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area.</li> <li>• Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC.</li> <li>• Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted.</li> <li>• Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council.</li> </ul> |       |          |  |           |        |
| <b>1187</b>        | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau  | 9     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15B | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is:  |       |          |  |                 |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource.</li> <li>• A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems.</li> <li>• Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance.</li> <li>• Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities.</li> <li>• Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area.</li> <li>• Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC.</li> <li>• Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted.</li> <li>• Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council.</li> </ul> |       |          |  |                 |        |
| <b>1187</b>        | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau  | 10    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15C       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is:  |       |          |  |                 |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource.</li> <li>• A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems.</li> <li>• Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance.</li> <li>• Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities.</li> <li>• Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area.</li> <li>• Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC.</li> <li>• Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted.</li> <li>• Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council.</li> </ul> |       |          |  |                 |        |
| <b>1187</b>        | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau  | 11    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is:  |       |          |  |                 |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource.</li> <li>• A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems.</li> <li>• Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance.</li> <li>• Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities.</li> <li>• Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area.</li> <li>• Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC.</li> <li>• Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted.</li> <li>• Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council.</li> </ul> |       |          |  |                 |        |
| <b>1187</b>        | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau  | 12    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1b | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is:  |       |          |  |                 |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource.</li> <li>• A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems.</li> <li>• Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance.</li> <li>• Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities.</li> <li>• Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area.</li> <li>• Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC.</li> <li>• Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted.</li> <li>• Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council.</li> </ul> |       |          |  |                 |        |
| <b>1187</b>        | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau  | 13    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1c | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is:  |       |          |  |                 |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource.</li> <li>• A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems.</li> <li>• Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance.</li> <li>• Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities.</li> <li>• Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area.</li> <li>• Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC.</li> <li>• Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted.</li> <li>• Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council.</li> </ul> |       |          |  |                 |        |
| <b>1187</b>        | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau  | 14    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1d | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is:  |       |          |  |                 |        |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource.</li> <li>• A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems.</li> <li>• Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance.</li> <li>• Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities.</li> <li>• Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area.</li> <li>• Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC.</li> <li>• Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted.</li> <li>• Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council.</li> </ul> |       |          |  |                 |        |
| <b>1187</b>        | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau  | 15    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1e | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                            | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is:  |       |          |                                    |           |         |
|                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource.</li> <li>• A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems.</li> <li>• Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance.</li> <li>• Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities.</li> <li>• Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area.</li> <li>• Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC.</li> <li>• Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted.</li> <li>• Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council.</li> </ul> |       |          |                                    |           |         |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 1     | All      | All                                |           | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments as necessary to achieve integrated management.  |       |          |                                    |           |         |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 2     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept.  |       |          |                                    |           |         |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 3     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3A  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |                                    |           |         |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 4     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3B  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |                                    |           |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                                 | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                     | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 5            | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3C         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |              |               |                                    |                  |             |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 6            | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3D         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |              |               |                                    |                  |             |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 7            | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3E         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |              |               |                                    |                  |             |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 8            | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3F         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |              |               |                                    |                  |             |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 9            | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3G         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |              |               |                                    |                  |             |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 10           | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3H         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |              |               |                                    |                  |             |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 11           | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3I         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |              |               |                                    |                  |             |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 12           | Volume 1      | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3J         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |              |               |                                    |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                            | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 13    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept  |       |          |                                    |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 14    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with the following amendments:<br><br>Natural and Physical resources are managed in a manner that <b>has particular regard to</b> <del>takes into account</del> the spiritual and cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi <b>as kaitiaki</b> and respects and <b>enables accommodates</b> tikanga Maori.   |       |          |                                    |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 15    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept  |       |          |                                    |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 16    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept  |       |          |                                    |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 17    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments:<br><br>'Resource management decision making processes that <b>involve Marlborough's Tangata whenua iwi, and</b> <del>give particular consideration to</del> <b>recognise and reflect</b> the cultural and spiritual values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, <b>and their relationship to lands, water, waahi tapu and waahi taonga</b> '. |       |          |                                    |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 18    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.1  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                            | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Management of natural and physical resources in Marlborough will be carried out <del>by in a manner that:</del>   |       |          |                                    |              |                 |
|                    | (a) tak <del>ing</del> <b>ing</b> es into account the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi/Te Tiriti o waitangi, including Kawanatanga, rangatiritanga, partnership, active protection of natural resources and spiritual recognition.  |       |          |                                    |              |                 |
|                    | (b) recognis <del>ing</del> <b>ing</b> es that the way in which the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi/te Tiriti o Waitangi will be applied will continue to evolve;  |       |          |                                    |              |                 |
|                    | (c) promt <del>ing</del> <b>ing</b> es awareness and understanding of the Marlborough District Council's obligations under the Resource Management act 1991 regarding the Treaty of Waitangi/te Tiriti o Waitangi among decisions makers, staff and the community;              |       |          |                                    |              |                 |
|                    | (d) recognis <del>ing</del> <b>ing</b> es that tangata whenua have rights protected by the Treaty of Waitangi/te Tiriti o Waitangi and that consequently the Resource Management act 1991 accords iwi a status distinct from that of interest groups and members of the public. |       |          |                                    |              |                 |
|                    | (e) recognis <del>ing</del> <b>ing</b> es the right of each iwi to define their own preferences for the sustainable management of natural and physical resources, where it is not inconsistent wit the Resource Management Act 1991.  |       |          |                                    |              |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 19    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept  |       |          |                                    |              |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 20    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new policy before or after 3.1.5 as follows:<br><b><u>The Council will consult with Tangata whenua iwi on applications that may have an impact on their relationship with land, water, waahi tapu or waahi taonga, or otherwise on their cultural values.</u></b>      |       |          |                                    |              |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 21    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.3 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                            | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>'Where an application for resource consent or plan change is likely to affect the relationship of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi and their culture and traditions, decision makers shall <b>consult with, and notify resource consent applications to iwi</b>, and ensure <b>that:</b></p> <p>(a) the ability for tangateas whenua to exercise kaitiakitanga is maintained</p> <p>(b) mauri is maintained or improved where degraded, particularly in relation to fresh and coastal waters, land and air;</p> <p>(c) mahinga kai and natural resources used for customary purposes are maintained or enhanced and that these resources are healthy and accessible to tangata whenua,</p> <p>(d) for waterbodies, the elements of physical health to be assessed are:</p> <p>i. aesthetic and sensory qualities, e.g. clarity, colour, natural character, smell and sustenance for indigenous flora and fauna;</p> <p>ii. life-supporting capacity, ecosystem robustness and habitat richness;</p> <p>iii. depth and velocity of flow (reflecting the life force of the river through its changing character, flows and fluctuations);</p> <p>iv. continuity of flow from the sources of a river to its mouth at the sea;</p> <p>v. wilderness and natural character;</p> <p>vi. productivity capacity; and</p> <p>vii. Fitness to support human use, including cultural uses.</p> <p>(e) how traditional Maori uses and practices relating to natural and physical resources such as mahinga kai, waahi taapu, papakainga and taonga raranga are to be recognised and provided for.</p> |       |          |                                    |              |         |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 22    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |                                    |              |         |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 23    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Accept with amendments:</p> <p>Ensure iwi management plans are <b>given particular regard to</b> <del>taken into account</del> in resource management decision making processes.</p>  |       |          |                                    |              |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 24    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | Policy 3.1.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 25    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | Policy 3.1.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 26    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | 3.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new policy or policies to avoid or manage from the outset, potential effects on Tangata Whenua iwi cultural values particularly with regards to waahi tapu and waahi taonga, mahinga kai, freshwater and coastal water.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 27    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 28    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments:<br>Recognise the rights of resource users by <del>only intervening in the use of land to</del> <b>while</b> protecting the environment, <b>iwi rights and interests</b> , and wider public interests in the environment.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 29    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments to indicate how this policy will be implemented.<br>Maintain and enhance the quality of natural resources, <b>recognising and reflecting:</b><br><b>a) that a precautionary approach may be required to maintain the quality of natural resources.</b><br><b>b) the intergenerational needs for the quality of natural resources.</b> |       |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 30    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>After Policy 4.1.3 insert the following policy and reason.</p> <p>Policy 4.3.1 - Integrate management of the natural and physical resources within the Marlborough District.</p> <p>There are very strong connections between land and marine environments in the Marlborough district. This means that activities occurring in one locality can easily affect the surrounding environment and other activities occurring in that environment. as a unitary authority, the Council is well placed to achieve integrated management of natural and physical resources through its policy making and consent functions. The polices in the MEP ensure that all of the effects of the use, development and protection of resources are identified and managed in a consistent manner.</p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 31    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new method of implementation:</p> <p>4M6 Education</p> <p>Working with tangata whenua iwi and schools, or other education providers, education programmes will be encouraged about environmental issues and sustainable use, including traditional Maori perspectives.</p>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 32    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | 5.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Accept with amendments:</p> <p><b><u>Water is a taonga and is essential to all as a life-source. Water is also essential for mahinga kai, and holds particular significance to Tangata Whenua Iwi.</u></b> The Council frequently allocates or authorises the use of these natural resources for private benefit, especially resources in the coastal marine area, rivers, riverbeds and aquifers.</p> <p>[...]Any significant reduction or change in approach to resource use could have significant implications for Marlborough's economic, cultural and social wellbeing. <b><u>However, a healthy economy which relies on the environment, must be premised on a healthy environment.</u></b> The three main [...]</p>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 33    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.1.2  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Accept with the addition of a new policy:<br>Recognise that the taking of water and the use of water are two distinct activities which and where resource consent application is to be granted, separate water permits for each activity will be granted.<br>Add a new policy:<br><b><u>The assessment of separate consent applications for the take and use of water will be considered together, and where a hearing is required, the hearing will hear both applications together.</u></b> |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 34    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Reject, or accept with amendments:<br>Include a new policy to identify the natural and human use values in the district.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 35    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.2? | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments:<br>Safeguard the life-supporting capacity of freshwater resources by retaining sufficient flows and/or levels for the health of the resource as a first priority, followed by natural and human use values supported by waterbodies   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 36    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments:<br>Maintain or enhance <b><u>where degraded</u></b> the natural and human use values supported by freshwater bodies, <b><u>by:</u></b><br>- <b><u>prohibiting the damming of rivers</u></b><br>- <b><u>requiring applications to take or divert water to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects</u></b><br>- <b><u>applying a precautionary approach to resource consents where there will be irreparable adverse effects on natural and human use values.</u></b> |       |          |                                  |                |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 37    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 38    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments:<br>Protect the significant values of specifically identified <b>outstanding</b> freshwater bodies by classifying the taking, damming or diversion of water in these waterbodies as a prohibited activity.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 39    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Set specific environmental flows and/or levels for Freshwater Management Units dominated by rivers, lakes and wetlands to:<br>(a) protect the mauri of the waterbody;<br>(b) protect instream habitat and ecology;<br>(c) maintain fish passage and fish spawning grounds, <b>including sufficient velocity to accommodate native fish species</b> ;<br>(d) preserve the natural character of the river;<br>(e) maintain water quality;<br>(f) provide for adequate groundwater recharge where the river is physically connected to an aquifer or groundwater; and<br>(g) maintain amenity values, <b>and</b><br><b>(h) enable natural flushes to occur.</b> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 40    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments:<br>Have regard to the importance of flow connection to maintaining natural and human use values when considering resource consent applications to take water from intermittently flowing rivers, including:<br>(a) the timing and duration of that flow connection;<br><b>(b) Any effects on mahinga kai;</b><br><del>(b)</del> <b>(c)</b> the physical extent of any disconnection in flow; and<br><del>(e)</del> <b>(d)</b> any adverse effects on connected aquifers, and<br><b>(e) through monitoring flows.</b>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 41    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.13 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 42    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.15 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept provided that the needs of the river come first.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 43    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.18 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy:<br><b><u>For rivers that utilise an upstream flow monitoring site, the Council will investigate and put in place downstream monitoring sites at suitable locations, in consultation with Tangata Whenua iwi.</u></b> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 44    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.18 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments<br>Require resource consent for the diversion of water to enable the potential adverse effects of the diversion to be considered, including the any effects from the mixing of waters.                          |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 45    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.19 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments<br>Have regard to the following matters in determining any resource consent application to divert water:<br>(a) the purpose of the diversion and any positive effects;<br>(b) the volume or proportion of flow remaining in-channel and the duration of the diversion;<br>(c) the effect of the diversion on environmental flows set for the waterbody;<br>(d) the scale and method of diversion;<br>(e) any adverse effects on natural and human use values identified in the Marlborough Environment Plan in the reach of the waterbody to be diverted;<br>(f) any adverse effects on permitted or authorised uses of water; and<br>(g) any adverse effects on the natural character of the waterbody, including but not restricted to flow patterns and channel shape, form and appearance<br><b><u>(h) any adverse effects on the Tangata Whenua iwi values associated with the waterbody, including mahinga kai.</u></b> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 46    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.20 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Reject. Replace with the following.<br>Where water is to be dammed to enable the storage of water, <del>encourage</del> <b>require</b> the construction and use of "out-of-river" dams <b>as opposed to in preference to</b> the construction and use of dams within the beds of perennially or intermittently flowing rivers.'  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 47    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.21 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Reject   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 48    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.22 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments.<br>In the determination of any resource consent application, have regard to the following effects of damming of water:<br>(a) the retention of sediment flows and any consequent adverse effect upstream or downstream of the dam structure;<br>(b) changes in river bed levels and the effects of those changes;<br>(c) any downstream effects of a breach in the dam wall;<br>(d) interception of groundwater or groundwater recharge; <del>and</del><br>(e) interception of surface water runoff; <b>and</b><br><b><u>(f)loss of indigenous biodiversity and opportunities to replace.</u></b>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 49    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.24 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 50    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.25 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 51    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Reject.<br>Replace with a policy that is in line with the IMP, and guides the decision maker to issue permits for 10-15 years maximum. An even shorter period may be suitable in some instances, and this should be provided for.           |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 52    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 53    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 54    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 55    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The reasons beneath this policy state that the provisions to implement this policy and policy 5.4.5 will be introduced via a Plan Change. Ngai Tahu considers that the full framework is required to form a complete view on this proposal. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 56    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Reject until such time as the full package of provisions is available.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 57    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 58    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 59    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.6.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 60    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 61    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Accept with the addition of a new policy:</p> <p>Add a new policy:<br/>The assessment of separate consent applications for the take and use of water will be considered together, and where a hearing is required, the hearing will hear both applications together.</p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 62    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments:<br>To allocate water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use by requiring resource consent applicants to provide detailed information on the intended use as part of applications.            |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 63    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 64    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 65    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.8  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 66    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.10 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 67    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 68    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments.<br>Encourage the storage of water as an effective response to seasonal water availability issues, <b><u>while also remedying and mitigating any adverse effects on the environment created by storage.</u></b> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 69    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments to acknowledge Ngai Tahu settlement, occupation and use within landscapes.   |       |          |             |              |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 70    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Accept and amend as follows</p> <p>Policy 7.1.1 – When assessing the values of Marlborough’s landscapes, the following criteria will be used:<br/>[...]</p> <p><b>(c) Tangata Whenua values</b></p>  |       |          |             |              |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 71    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Accept with amendments:</p> <p>Define the boundaries of significant landscapes using the following methods:</p> <p>(a) land typing;</p> <p>(b) contour line;</p> <p>(c) contained landscape features;</p> <p>(d) visual catchment; <del>and/or</del></p> <p>(e) land use, <b>and</b></p> <p><b>(f) consultation with Tangata Whenua Iwi.</b></p> |       |          |             |              |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 72    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments to note that assessments of effects on landscape values, may include consultation with Tangata Whenua Iwi. This is particularly the case where a landscape has tangata whenua values.  |       |          |             |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 73    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments to note that assessments of effects on landscape values, may include consultation with Tangata Whenua Iwi. This is particularly the case where a landscape has tangata whenua values.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 74    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 75    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments to clarify how outstanding natural landscapes and features will be protected.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 76    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert new policy and explanation:<br/> <b><u>Policy 8.X.X Customary Harvest</u></b><br/> <b><u>In protecting and enhancing indigenous biodiversity, enable customary harvesting including within areas identified with outstanding landscape value, or significant ecological value.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Explanation</u></b><br/> <b><u>Customary harvesting is essential in enabling Ngai Tahu [and other Tangata Whenua Iwi] to exercise kaitiakitanga and to provide for their relationship with their culture, lands, water and other taonga. Cultural harvest may be for different reasons, including but not limited to, medicinal uses, ceremonial, uses, weaving or for consumption. Where particular resources are only available on private land, access agreements or case by case permissions from the landowner are essential before entry onto the property is allowed.</u></b></p> |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 77    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 78    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.7 | Support         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Accept  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 79    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments to the reasons.<br>The reasons would usefully be expanded to include some description of what cultural uses may entail.  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 80    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.11 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept<br>Further information could usefully be provided on the methods that will be used to implement this policy.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 81    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments.<br>When re-establishment or restoration of <b>exotic or</b> indigenous vegetation and habitat is undertaken, preference should be given to the use of native species of local genetic stock |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 82    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 83    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 84    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.8  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments:<br><br>With the exception of areas with significant indigenous biodiversity value, where indigenous biodiversity values will be adversely affected through land use or other activities, a biodiversity offset can be considered to mitigate residual adverse effects. Where a biodiversity offset is proposed, the following criteria will apply:<br>[...] <p>(e) where the offset involves the ongoing protection of a separate site, it will deliver no net loss and preferably a net gain for indigenous biodiversity protection; <del>and</del></p> <p>(f) offsets should re-establish or protect the same type of ecosystem or habitat that is adversely affected, unless an alternative ecosystem or habitat will provide a net gain for indigenous biodiversity; <del>and</del></p> <p><b><u>(g) offsets should be made on the same or immediately adjacent site to ensure that gains are retained within the local area or catchment.</u></b></p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 85    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Objective 9.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept and add a new objective.<br>New objective:<br><b><u>The relationship of Tangata Whenua Iwi with their ancestral lands, water, wahi tapu and wahi taonga are enabled through opportunities to provide for customary access.</u></b>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 86    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy 9.1.2 Accept and add a new policy<br>New policy:<br>Policy 9.1.3 Customary Access<br><b><u>Customary access to sites, customary material or harvesting areas is encouraged in subdivision and development through landowner agreements or through wider public access arrangements.</u></b><br><br><b><u>Explanation</u></b><br><b><u>Customary access is necessary to enable Tangata Whenua Iwi to exercise kaitiakitanga and to actively maintain their relationship with lands, waters, wahi tapu and wahi taonga. Where resources or sites are located on private land, access arrangements such as agreements or case by case permissions are essential before entry can occur. Permission to enter private land is entirely at the discretion of the landowner. This policy acknowledges this and highlights that the Council encourages such agreements to be considered.</u></b>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 87    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.1 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 88    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Reject   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 89    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments<br><b><u>Working with Marlborough's Tangata Whenua Iwi, and in liaison</u></b> Liaise with Heritage New Zealand, <b><u>and</u></b> the New Zealand Archaeological Association and Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi to develop and implement an appropriate discovery protocol for archaeological sites <b><u>which will be included as a condition of consent on relevant planning application decisions, acknowledging that:</u></b><br><b><u>(a) in some instances, cultural impact assessments and cultural monitors will be required to ensure the appropriate management of values, artefacts and koiwi.</u></b><br><b><u>(b) Different approaches to ADP may be preferred by different iwi.</u></b>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 90    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 91    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy (and explanation)<br><b><u>Policy 10.X.X Wahi Tapu and Wahi Taonga</u></b><br><b><u>a. Avoid any disturbance of known urupa - except for activities associated with the identification and protection of such sites which are undertaken by the relevant Tangata Whenua Iwi or their authorised agent.</u></b><br><b><u>b. Protect known Wahi Tapu and Wahi Taonga sites from inappropriate development, disturbance, damage or destruction; and ensure activities adjoining these sites do not adversely affect them.</u></b><br><b><u>c. The Council will encourage early consultation with Tangata Whenua iwi regarding applications on known or suspected areas or wahi tapu or wahi taonga.</u></b><br><b><u>d. The relevant Tangata Whenua iwi will be consulted on applications on known or suspected areas of wahi tapu or wahi taonga.</u></b> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 92    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.            | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy (and explanation)<br><b>Policy 10.X.X Sites of Significance to Tangata Whenua Iwi</b><br><b>Work with Ngai Tahu [or, if this is of interest to others - Marlborough's Tangata Whenua iwi] to identify sites of significance to iwi within the Marlborough District, using identification methods that respect the sensitive nature of the sites, and for the purposes of a plan change including objectives, policies, methods and rules before the next review of this plan to protect significant Maori heritage in the District.</b> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 93    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.11 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 94    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Objective 13.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 95    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Policy 13.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy 13.1.1 – Avoid adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having:<br>(a) outstanding natural character;<br>(b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes;<br>(c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; or<br>(d) significant historic heritage value.<br><b>(e) essential to the relationship between Maori and their ancestral lands, water, sites, wahi tapu and wahi taonga.</b>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 96    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Policy 13.1.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 97    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Objective 13.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reconsider the relationship between the two sets of objectives and policies.   |       |          |   |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 98    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.2.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reconsider the relationship between the two sets of objectives and policies.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 99    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.4   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Accept with amendments:<br/>           Manage primary production activities to ensure they are carried out sustainably through the implementation of policies and methods (including rules establishing standards for permitted activities) to address potential adverse effects on:</p> <p>(a) the life-supporting capacity of soils, water, air and ecosystems;<br/> <b>(b) the relationship of Tangata Whenua Iwi with lands, waters, sites, wahi tapu and wahi taonga, and the ability of Tangata Whenua Iwi to exercise kaitiakitanga;</b><br/> <del>(b)</del> <b>(c)</b> natural character of rivers, wetlands and lakes;<br/> <del>(c)</del> <b>(d)</b> water quality and water availability;<br/> <del>(d)</del> <b>(e)</b> areas with landscape significance;<br/> <del>(e)</del> <b>(f)</b> areas with significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna;<br/> <del>(f)</del> <b>(g)</b> the values of the coastal environment as set out in Issue 13A of Chapter 13- Use of the Coastal Environment; or<br/> <del>(g)</del> <b>(h)</b> the safe and efficient operation of the land transport network and Marlborough's airports.</p> |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 100   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Accept with amendments<br/>           Maintain and where necessary <b>degraded</b> enhance water quality in Marlborough's rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters, so that:</p> <p>(a) the mauri of wai is protected;<br/>           (b) water quality at beaches is suitable for contact recreation;<br/>           (c) people can use the coast, rivers, lakes and wetlands for food gathering, cultural, commercial and other purposes;<br/>           (d) <del>ground</del> <b>freshwater</b> quality is suitable for drinking;<br/>           (e) the quality of surface water utilised for community drinking water supply remains suitable for drinking after existing treatment; and<br/>           (f) coastal waters support healthy ecosystems.</p>   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 101   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1b | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Accept.<br>As water is so important to Tangata Whenua, it is suggested that the reasons could include reference to the importance of water as a taonga, to pull through into the plan the threads from Chapter 3.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 102   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1c | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept.<br>As water is so important to Tangata Whenua, it is suggested that the reasons could include reference to the importance of water as a taonga, to pull through into the plan the threads from Chapter 3.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 103   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments<br>(a) <del>Ground</del> <b>Freshwater</b> : drinking water supply  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 104   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments<br>Apply water quality classifications (and water quality standards) to all surface water, groundwater and coastal water resources, which reflect:<br>(a) the management purposes specified in Policy 15.1.1; and<br>(b) other uses and values, <b>including Tangata Whenua Iwi values</b> , supported by the waterbody or coastal waters; or<br>(c) where water quality has already been degraded, the uses and values that are to be restored |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1189</b>        | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 105   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.3   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Support with amendments<br><del>To investigate the capacity of fresh waterbodies to receive contaminants from all sources, having regard to the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1 in order to</del> <b><u>In consultation with Tangata Whenua Iwi, establish cumulative contaminant limits by 2024 having regard to the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1</u></b>  |       |          |  |                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 106   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments<br>Enable point source discharge of contaminants or water to water where the discharge will not result:<br>(a) in any of the following adverse effects beyond the zone of reasonable mixing:<br>(i) the production of conspicuous oil or grease films, scums, foams or floatable or suspended materials;<br>(ii) any conspicuous change in the colour or significant decrease in the clarity of the receiving waters;<br>(iii) the rendering of freshwater unsuitable for consumption by farm animals;<br>(iv) any significant adverse effect on the growth, reproduction or movement of aquatic life; <del>or</del><br>(b) in the flooding of or damage to another person's property, <b>or</b><br>(c) <b><u>adverse effects on tangata whenua iwi values associated with water.</u></b> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 107   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments<br>Require any applicant applying for a discharge permit that proposes the discharge of contaminants to water to consider all potential receiving environments and adopt the best practicable option, having regard to:<br>(a) the nature of the contaminants;<br>(b) the relative sensitivity of the receiving environment;<br>(c) the financial implications and effects on the environment of each option when compared with the other options; and<br>(d) the current state of technical knowledge and the likelihood that each option can be successfully applied, <b>and</b><br><b><u>(e) Whether the contaminant should be released in water.</u></b>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 108   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.8  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 109   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.13        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 110   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.33 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 111   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.34 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments<br>Point (e) should be amended so that there is a standard that needs to be met, as opposed to the standard being the provision of a nutrient management plan, of which the contents may not be acceptable, but nonetheless the requirement would be met through the provision of the plan itself. |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 112   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new policy requiring the Council to undertake a plan change to introduce a nutrient management framework into the Marlborough Environment Plan, in the event that landuse changes occur or are foreseen to occur which will are not anticipated or appropriately regulated by the operative plan.                |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 113   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.4.1.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Reject.<br>Move to a Restricted Discretionary activity status.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 114   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.6.1.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 115   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.6.5.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments, which prohibit damming in the Awatere along the full extent.<br>The inclusion of the dual name for the Clarence River is also sought when referred to throughout the plan.  |       |          |  |                |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 116   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.11.1.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments, which prohibit damming in the Awatere along the full extent. Dual names are also sought in the plan when referencing the Clarence River.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 117   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.20.1.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 118   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new Permitted Activity<br><b>Permitted Activity – customary harvest</b><br><b>2.X.1 Customary Harvest</b><br>(see subpoint 1189.119 for associated standards)   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 119   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new Permitted Activity Standards<br><b>2.x.1.1 Must be undertaken in accordance with tikanga.</b><br><b>2.x.1 2 Where the material or resource is located on private property, an access agreement must be in place with the landowner, or alternatively, permission sought from the landowner on a case by case basis.</b> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu   | 120   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.1.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments to clarify that this rule includes dairy support farming.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 121   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments to clarify that this rule includes dairy support farming.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 122   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.4.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 123   | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 124   | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.4.2.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Accept  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1189               | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu  | 125   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                   | 24.3.1.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Accept with the following amendments to Matters for control</p> <p>24.3.1.18. The protection of existing vegetation and revegetation, <b><u>and opportunities to enhance indigenous vegetation on the site.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Add the following matters of control:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>24.2.1.27 The extent to which the proposal manages erosion and sediment discharge to waterways</u></b></p> <p><b><u>24.2.1.28 Any adverse effects of the proposal on the quality of surface and ground water, mahinga kai, including within waterways, on drainage to, or from, adjoining land, existing drains, waterways, and/or ponding areas.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>24.2.1.29 The extent to which any springs are protected, maintained and enhanced, including in relation to ecological, cultural and amenity values and the extent to which the development provides for pathways, for the water to flow from the spring head, that have regard to the existing natural flow path.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>24.2.1.30 Recognition of Tangata Whenua iwi heritage and identity and cultural values.</u></b></p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.18 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.15 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5B      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Issue is not clear in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 8     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.19 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Have regard to the following matters in determining any resource consent application to divert water:<br/> (a) the purpose of the diversion and any positive effects;<br/> (b) the volume or proportion of flow remaining in-channel and the duration of the diversion;<br/> (c) the effect of the diversion on environmental flows set for the waterbody;<br/> (d) the scale and method of diversion;<br/> (e) any adverse effects on natural and human use values identified in the Marlborough Environment Plan in the reach of the waterbody to be diverted;<br/> (f) any adverse effects on permitted or authorised uses of water; and<br/> (g) any adverse effects on the natural character of the waterbody, including but not restricted to flow patterns and channel shape, form and appearance;<br/> <b>(h) the mixing of waters.</b>"</i> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 9     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.21 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Ensure any new proposal to dam water within the bed of a river provides for:</i><br><i>(a) effective passage of fish where the migration of indigenous fish species, trout and salmon already occurs past the proposed dam site;</i><br><i>(b) sufficient flow and flow variability downstream of the dam structure to maintain:</i><br><i>(i) existing indigenous fish habitats and the habitats of trout and salmon; and</i><br><i>(ii) permitted or authorised uses of water; and</i><br><i>(iii) flushing flows below the dam;</i><br><i>(c) the natural character of any waterbody downstream of the dam structure;</i><br><br><i>and have regard to the matters in (a) to (c) when considering any resource consent application to continue damming water <b>and any new proposal must consider any alternatives with less adverse effects on mauri and instream values.</b>"</i><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 10    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.22 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"In the determination of any resource consent application, have regard to the following effects of damming of water:</i><br><br><i>(a) the retention of sediment flows and any consequent adverse effect upstream or downstream of the dam structure;</i><br><i>(b) changes in river bed levels and the effects of those changes;</i><br><i>(c) any downstream effects of a breach in the dam wall;</i><br><i>(d) interception of groundwater or groundwater recharge; <b>and</b></i><br><i>(e) interception of surface water runoff;</i><br><br><b><i>(f) the degradation of Mauri; and</i></b><br><br><b><i>(g) the way in which the structure would be removed at the end of the consent term."</i></b>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 11    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.25 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 12    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><p><i>" To allocate water in the following order of priority:</i><br/> <i>(a) <b>mauri and instream including</b> <del>natural and human use values</del>; then</i><br/> <i>(b) aquifer recharge; then</i><br/> <i>(c) domestic and stock water supply; then</i><br/> <i>(d) municipal water supply; and then</i><br/> <i>(e) all other takes of water."</i></p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 13    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 14    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br><p>"Allocate water within any class <b>as follows</b> -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>20% to iwi;</b></li> <li>- <b>80%</b> on a first-in, first-served basis through the resource consent process until the allocation limit is reached for the first time." <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> </li></ul>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 15    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy.<br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 17    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 18    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 19    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 20    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows <b>(bold)</b> -</p> <p><i>"The 'first in, first served' method is the default mechanism to be used in the allocation of resources in the coastal marine area. Where competing demand for coastal space becomes apparent, the Marlborough District Council may consider the option of introducing an alternative regime. <b>Should mooring areas be established, iwi will have a portion</b> (Submitter did not specify the size of the portion) <b>of space set aside for iwi use.</b>"</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 21    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend (d) in this Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"(d) whether the applicant is a charitable organisation, <b>iwi</b> trust or community or residents association, and if so:</i></p> <p><i>(i) the nature of the activities of that organisation; and</i></p> <p><i>(ii) the responsibilities of that organisation."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 22    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.5  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike out and bold) -<br><br><i>"Determine the degree of natural character in and adjacent to lakes and rivers by assessing the degree of human-induced modification to the following:</i><br><i>(a) channel shape and bed morphology;</i><br><i>(b) flow regime and water levels;</i><br><i>(c) water quality;</i><br><i>(d) presence of indigenous flora and fauna in the river channel;</i><br><i>(e) absence of exotic flora and fauna;</i><br><i>(f) absence of structures and other human modification in the river channel/lake;</i><br><i>(g) vegetation cover in the riparian margin;</i><br><i>(h) absence of structures and other human modification in the riparian margin; <del>and</del></i><br><i>(i) the experience of the above elements, patterns and processes including unmodified, scenic and wilderness qualities; <b>and</b></i><br><b><i>(j) the level of Mauri, assessed through a cultural health assessment."</i></b> |       |          |                     |              |         |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 23    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                     |              |         |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 24    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                     |              |         |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 25    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission, possibly incorrect Policy identified in Submission.</i>  |       |          |                     |              |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 26    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"When assessing the values of Marlborough's landscapes, the following criteria will be used:</i></p> <p><i>(a) biophysical values, including geological and ecological elements;</i></p> <p><i>(b) sensory values, including aesthetics, natural beauty and visual perception; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) associative values, including cultural and historic values and landscapes <b>which have not been assessed or included in the assessment criteria of Volume 3, Appendix 1 and those</b> that are widely known and valued by the immediate and wider community for their contribution to a sense of place."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 27    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Define the boundaries of significant landscapes using the following methods:</i></p> <p><i>(a) land typing;</i></p> <p><i>(b) contour line;</i></p> <p><i>(c) contained landscape features;</i></p> <p><i>(d) visual catchment; and/or</i></p> <p><i>(e) land use;</i></p> <p><i><b>(f) inclusion of cultural values and landscapes which have not been assessed or included in the assessment criteria of Volume 3, Appendix 1."</b></i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 28    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 29    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 30    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1  | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"When assessing whether wetlands, marine or terrestrial ecosystems, habitats and areas have significant indigenous biodiversity value, the following criteria will be used:</i><br><i>(a) representativeness;</i><br><i>(b) rarity;</i><br><i>(c) diversity and pattern;</i><br><i>(d) distinctiveness;</i><br><i>(e) size and shape;</i><br><i>(f) connectivity/ecological context;</i><br><i>(g) sustainability; <del>and</del></i><br><i>(h) adjacent catchment modifications; <b>and</b></i><br><br><i>(i) <b>cultural and Kaitiaki values.</b></i><br><i>For a site to be considered significant, one of the first four criteria (representativeness, rarity, diversity and pattern or distinctiveness/special ecological characteristics) must rank medium or high."</i><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 31    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 32    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Maintain, enhance or restore ecosystems, habitats and areas of indigenous biodiversity even where these are not identified as significant in terms of the criteria in Policy 8.1.1, but are important for:</i><br><i>(a) the continued functioning of ecological processes;</i><br><i>(b) providing connections within or corridors between habitats of indigenous flora and fauna;</i><br><i>(c) cultural purposes, <b>including kaitiaki;</b></i><br><i>(d) providing buffers or filters between land uses and wetlands, lakes or rivers and the coastal marine area;</i><br><i>(e) botanical, wildlife, fishery and amenity values;</i><br><i>(f) biological and genetic diversity; and</i><br><i>(g) water quality, levels and flows."</i><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 33    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.11 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                |              |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 34    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | Policy 8.3.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                |              |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 35    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | Policy 8.3.7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                |              |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 36    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | Policy 8.3.8 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                |              |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 37    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"The following areas are identified as having a high degree of importance for public access and the Marlborough District Council will as a priority focus on enhancing access to and within these areas:</p> <p>(a) Wairau River from State Highway 63 bridge to the sea;</p> <p>(b) high priority waterbodies for public access on the Wairau Plain and in close proximity to Picton, Waikawa, Havelock, Renwick, Seddon, Ward and Okiwi Bay;</p> <p>(c) coastal marine area, particularly in and near Picton, Waikawa and Havelock, Kaiuma Bay, Queen Charlotte Sound (including Tory Channel), Port Underwood, Kenepuru Sound, Mahau Sound, Mahikipawa Arm and Croiselles Harbour, Rarangi to the Wairau River mouth, Wairau Lagoons, Marfells Beach and Ward Beach;</p> <p>(d) connections would be made with other public land (including esplanade reserves) or other land where esplanade strips or access strips already exist;</p> <p><del>and</del></p> <p>(e) the Queen Charlotte Track; <b>and</b></p> <p><b>(f) conservation land."</b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |          |                                |              |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 38    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.9 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 39    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.1.15 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br>"Recognise the benefits of the presence of unformed legal road as a means to enhance access to and along waterbodies (including the coast) and to public land, <b>and improve safe access over unformed legal road.</b> "<br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 40    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.1.17 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (c) in the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>"(c) provides primary access to an esplanade reserve or other <del>reserve</del> <b>public land</b> ;"  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 41    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space          | Policy 9.2.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 42    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add an Issue or Objective to this chapter to protect undiscovered or unregistered sites of significance to iwi, and to protect the majority of Maori heritage in Marlborough, by restricting land disturbance on, near, or affecting the coastal environment and waterways and their margins and requiring iwi consultation.<br><br><i>(Inferred - specific wording of Issue or Objective not provided in Submission)</i> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 43    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 44    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (e) in Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <i>(e) is important to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi; <del>and</del> <b>or</b></i> "   |       |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 45    | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources |                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Appendix 13 to include reference to any current or future iwi management plans. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 46    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees                | Policy 10.1.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"When assessing resource consent applications to destroy or modify a <del>registered</del> <b>an identified</b> waahi tapu site or area, or to undertake activities in a place of significance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, have regard to:</p> <p>(a) the effect of demolition, removal, alteration or additions on the heritage values of the heritage resource;</p> <p>(b) the position of the relevant iwi;</p> <p>(c) the views of Heritage New Zealand;</p> <p>(d) the effects of the destruction or alteration on the heritage resource or the effects of the proposed activity on the spiritual and cultural values of iwi;</p> <p>(e) any cumulative effects, especially where the resource or place is part of a group of similar resources or places;</p> <p>(f) efforts by the applicant to retain important features of the heritage resource, or spiritual and cultural values of iwi; <del>and</del></p> <p>(g) whether the activity can be undertaken at an alternative location on the same property or on another property owned by the applicant, where the adverse effects on the heritage resource or place can be avoided; <b>and</b></p> <p><b>(h) the use of iwi monitors to identify or avoid adverse effects on iwi values prior to, during and after the works."</b></p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 47    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees                | Policy 10.1.9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 48    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees                | Policy 10.1.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 49    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                                     | Policy 11.1.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 50    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                | Policy 11.1.5   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 51    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                | Policy 11.1.6   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 52    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (b) in this Policy as follows (strike through) -<br>" <i>(b) maintaining and enhancing coastal and freshwater quality <del>where necessary</del>;</i> "; and<br>Amend (l) in this Policy as follows (bold) -<br>" <i>(l) requiring the removal of <b>non-heritage</b> derelict or redundant structures within the coastal marine area; or</i> " ( <i>Inferred</i> ) |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 53    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.6   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add to the Policy a requirement to consult in order to determine the attributes of the area.  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 54    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13C       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue. ( <i>Inferred</i> )   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 55    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add an additional policy under this Objective as follows - " <b><i>The protection of fish spawning areas from degradation.</i></b> "  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 56    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.6.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (a) of the Policy as follows (Bold) -<br>" <i>(a) enabling anchoring of boats, <b>except in ecologically significant or restoration areas</b>;</i> "  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 57    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.11 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision        | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to add (d) as follows -<br><b>"(d) alternative locations with less adverse effects."</b>   |       |          |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 58    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.12a | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows (bold) -<br><i>"Minimise the disposal or deposition of organic or inorganic material into the coastal marine area. <b>The disposal of material into the coastal marine area should be prevented but if that is not achievable, minimised.</b>"</i><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 59    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.12.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the beginning of the Policy as follows (strike through) -<br>"Proposals to dispose of dredged <del>or other material</del> in the coastal marine area must demonstrate that:"   |       |          |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 60    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Activities that result in <del>the</del> <b>no more than temporary</b> disturbance of the foreshore or seabed will be provided for as a permitted activity."</i>   |       |          |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 61    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.6   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Enable the clearing, <del>or cutting or realignment</del> of stream and river mouths, drainage channels and stormwater outfalls and pipes within the coastal marine area to protect public health and property during flood events."</i> |       |          |                                   |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 62    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy to add (c) as follows -<br><br><b>"(c) iwi have been consulted."</b>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 63    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Recognise and provide continued access to and use of traditional coastal resources in Tory Channel and Queen Charlotte Sound for Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi and in particular, recognise the value of Tory Channel for Te Atiawa <b>and other iwi</b>, in terms of the mauri, mana and manaakitanga that this area brings to iwi."</i><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 64    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (b) in this Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"(b) prohibiting the discharge of <del>effluent</del> <b>any waste</b> from boats berthed within ports, port landing areas or marinas;"</i><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 65    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia   | 66    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (g) in this Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>"(g) the effects during construction on:<br>(i) other users of the area, navigation and public safety; <del>and</del><br>(ii) water and air quality; <b>and</b><br><br><b>(iii) ecological and heritage values."</b>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 67    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.10 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 68    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.3.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Enable small scale and/or low intensity activities not relying on the primary production potential of Marlborough's rural environments, where the adverse effects on the environment are minor and the activity is one of the following:</i></p> <p><i>(a) outdoor recreation; or</i></p> <p><i>(b) events of a limited duration;</i></p> <p><b><i>(c) home occupations."</i></b></p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 69    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15C      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Issue; and</p> <p>Add an Objective as follows -</p> <p><i>"Achieve swimmable water quality and drinkable in identified areas."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 70    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 71    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.12 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 72    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.15 | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>With the exception of stormwater discharges, the</del> <b>The</b> water quality classification standards will be met at the point of discharge, where a discharge is:<br>(a) within one kilometre upstream of an intake for a registered drinking water supply from a river; or<br>(b) to a river where the receiving waters are to be maintained in a natural state; or<br>(c) within 500 metres of any marine farming activity in freshwater or coastal waters." |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 73    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.16 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete (c) from Policy. ( <i>Inferred</i> )  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 74    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.18 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>Avoid</del> <b>Prohibit</b> the discharge of untreated human sewage to waterbodies or coastal waters."   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 75    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.19 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br>" <i>Progressively work toward eliminating the discharge of</i> <b>treated</b> human sewage to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure."   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 76    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.22 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. ( <i>Inferred</i> )   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 77    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <i>Avoid the discharge of animal effluent to fresh waterbodies and stock disturbance of river beds</i> <b>and margins</b> to the extent necessary to meet the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1, by:<br>(a) preventing the direct discharge of collected animal effluent to water; and<br>(b) avoiding the access of <del>intensively</del> <b>all</b> farmed stock to rivers."  |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 78    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.27 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"<del>Promote</del> <b>Require</b> the retirement and planting of riparian margins in rural areas to intercept contaminated runoff, especially where water quality is degraded or at risk of degradation."</i></p>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 79    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.5  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through) -</p> <p>"Manage discharges of contaminants to air not specifically provided for in Policies 15.2.1 to 15.2.3 or 15.3.1 to 15.3.4 by:</p> <p><del>(a) allowing, as permitted activities, discharges of contaminants into air from industrial or trade premises or industrial or trade processes that have no more than minor adverse effects on the environment;</del></p> <p>(b) avoiding or mitigating adverse effects of localised ground level concentrations of contaminants, including cumulative effects on:</p> <p>(i) human health; and</p> <p>(ii) amenity values; and</p> <p>(c) avoiding or mitigating adverse effects on any other values.</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 80    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                      | 19.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Addition of policies as follows <i>(specific wording and applicable Objectives not identified in Submission)</i> -</p> <p>- Enable the installation, operation and utilisation of alternative energy sources that do not release greenhouse gasses.</p> <p>- Promote and enable afforestation both commercial and conservation planting.</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 81    | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | Policy 16.3.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 501                | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 82    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                      | Policy 19.2.2  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br>"Avoid any inundation of new buildings and where appropriate infrastructure within the coastal environment by ensuring that adequate allowance is made for the following factors when locating, designing and/or constructing any building or infrastructure:<br>(a) rising sea levels as a result of climate change of at least <del>0.5 metres</del> <b>1 metre</b> relative to the 1980-1999 average; and<br>(b) storm surge."<br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 83    | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add cultural values to the Appendix. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 84    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels  |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the content of this Appendix is not detailed in the Submission.</i>  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 85    | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources                           |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested regarding sites to be added to the Appendix is not detailed in the Submission.</i>  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 86    | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan                                     |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the matters that must be addressed in any Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan -<br><b>"(10) proof of consultation with relevant iwi and protection of their values"</b> .  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>501</b>         | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia  | 87    | Volume 3 | Appendix 25 Pest Plants  |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested regarding pest plants to be added to the Appendix is not detailed in the Submission.</i>  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1188</b>        | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua   | 1     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi   | 3.        | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Formal engagement with Iwi and the removal of the offending clauses from the plan.  |       |          |  |                             |        |
| <b>1188</b>        | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua  | 2     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                    | 6.                          | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Formal engagement with Iwi and the removal of the offending clause from the plan.   |       |          |  |                             |        |
| <b>1188</b>        | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua  | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                            | 7.                          | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Formal engagement with Iwi and the removal of the offending clauses from the plan.  |       |          |  |                             |        |
| <b>1188</b>        | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua  | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | 5.                          | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Formal engagement with Iwi and the removal of the offending clauses from the plan.  |       |          |  |                             |        |
| <b>1188</b>        | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua  | 5     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | 8.                          | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Formal engagement with Iwi and the removal of the offending clauses from the plan.  |       |          |  |                             |        |
| <b>1188</b>        | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua  | 6     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.                         | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Formal engagement with Iwi and the removal of the offending clauses from the plan.  |       |          |  |                             |        |
| <b>1188</b>        | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua  | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm licence number 290 in Admiralty Bay; or Record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.          |       |          |  |                             |        |
| <b>1188</b>        | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua  | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farms licence numbers 297 and 460 in Kenepuru Bay; or Record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |  |                             |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| <b>1188</b>        | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua  | 9     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farms licence numbers 290 in Admiralty Bay, 297 and 460 in Kenepuru Bay; or Record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1188</b>        | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua  | 10    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm licence number 290 in Admiralty Bay; or Record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1188</b>        | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua  | 11    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of the marine farms licence numbers 297 and 460 in Kenepuru Bay; or Record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1188</b>        | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua  | 12    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of the marine farms licence numbers 290 in Admiralty Bay, 297 and 460 in Kenepuru Bay; or Record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira  | 1     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi                      | 3.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add to the Tangata Whenua chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Policy 3.1.1 add (f) recognises that the principle of consultation requires both parties to have the time and resource to consult appropriately.</li> <li>2. Sites, areas, and or habitats that are culturally significant could be included as an appendix and used as an overlay to ensure timely engagement between iwi, stakeholders and the council. A caveat should be placed stating that not all information needs to be disclosed by iwi and that this information should only be used to start dialogue with the appropriate groups.</li> <li>3. MDC has to make explicit What Treaty Principles they MDC refer to in the MEP.</li> <li>4. Include the provision of the River and Freshwater Advisory Committee and make provisions within the plan to meet legal obligations.</li> </ol> |       |          |   |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The decision requested is to include in the methods that all applicants should consult iwi if the area is within statutory acknowledgement areas. An accidental discovery protocol and iwi monitor maybe requested due to the cultural significance of the area. |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 3     | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                       | 19.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I request that the council weave the objectives of climate change into the other chapters so that it is more integrated.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 4     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment       | Policy 13.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I request that the council do further work on defining historic heritage to include iwi heritage.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 5     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                      | 11.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Link between this chapter and climate change chapter.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I request that the council change the hierarchy to move (c) below (d) municipal water supply. I see no justification in prioritising domestic AND stock water supply over municipal water supply.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 7     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.14  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I request that the council consider climate change and potentially limit water permits to a lesser duration to allow for the changing climate that may bring about longer periods of drought.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 8     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.1.10 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | I request that this policy is deleted.   |       |          |  |              |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 9     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I request that the council add a dedicated policy for viticulture land use and develop subsequent methodologies including rules for this land use.   |       |          |  |              |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 10    | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                      | 19.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I request the council to consider this issue and make provision for further research in this matter.   |       |          |  |              |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 11    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi     | 3.M.2        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Change the second sentence of this paragraph to: "The Council must also have regard to the Statutory Acknowledgement relating to a statutory area. Iwi must be consulted to identify whether they are an affected party in relation to..."                             |       |          |  |              |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 12    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi     | 3.M.2        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add "authority" after the first "iwi".   |       |          |  |              |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 13    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi     | 3.M.2        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add an appendix of all Statutory acknowledgements relating to the MDC area. This will satisfy the last sentence in the 3.M.2 paragraph.  |       |          |  |              |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 14    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi     | Policy 3.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Change the wording in Policy 3.1.4. to Supporting iwi to develop iwi management plans. This will allow iwi to build capability and capacity in this space. It will ultimately help the council meets its requirements and so it should have resource dedicated for it. |       |          |  |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                            | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira  | 15    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Not constrain the papakainga opportunity to only Maori land. It should be available to iwi on any kind of land under the rules.   |       |          |                                    |               |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira  | 16    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | There should be a specific kaitiakitanga objective not blended as it is in the MEP. The Mauri objective could also be housed here.  |       |          |                                    |               |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira  | 17    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Develop specific rules and methods to ensure that councils legal obligations are met. This could include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. requiring resource applicants to consult with iwi in certain areas.</li> <li>2. Kaitiakitanga as a permitted activity in all zones to allow for maori to have a relationship with their culture and traditions and their lands, waters, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga.</li> <li>3. Regional rules developed to ensure consultation between iwi, applicants and MDC. Develop a Tanagata Whenua programme, monitoring, support, information, guidelines. This could include Cultural health monitoring to measure the success of Policy 3.1.13</li> <li>4. 5.M.2 Add the River and Freshwater Advisory Committee here.</li> <li>5. 5.M.10 Make a rule to consult with iwi in and around coastal statutory areas.</li> <li>6. 8M11 Partnership should highlight the main partner (iwi). Add a description of this relationship here and or refer to the Tangata Whenua chapter.</li> </ol> |       |          |                                    |               |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira  | 18    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3.            | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
|                    | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Chapter 5 needs to be explicit about coastal statutory acknowledgements and visible throughout the objectives.</li> <li>2. Policy 5.2.3 Include cultural values.</li> <li>3. Policy 5.2.12 Reference tangata whenua chapter.</li> <li>4. Policy 5.2.19 add (h) the effect of the diversion on the mauri of the diverted stream / river</li> <li>5. Policy 5.2.21 add (iv) mauri</li> <li>6. Policy 8.2.7 Pest management issue with iwi e.g. poisons. Other ways of pest management to allow for cultural values to be met. Prioritisation of sites to be protected should be decided with iwi. Input into the pest management plan.</li> <li>7. Policy 8.2.9 Should reference RMA section 6</li> <li>8. Policy 8.3.4 Require to consult with iwi</li> <li>9. Policy 8.3.8 Should also include culturally significant sites that exclude the use of the biodiversity offsets method.</li> <li>10. Issue 8A include the impact on Mauri</li> <li>11. Issue 8A Marine Environments - Add the value that iwi place on the area including mahinga kai, travel routes, wahi tapu.</li> <li>12. Objective 9.1 Include reference to iwi history here and that this should be protected.</li> <li>13. Policy 9.1.1 Add iwi specific areas.</li> <li>14. Policy 9.1.16 Consideration of whether the road is on or near culturally significant sites that are not currently protected by other means.</li> <li>15. Issue 9B Add cultural values</li> <li>16. Chapter 11 Natural Hazards - Reference back to Tangata Whenua chapter</li> <li>17. Policy 11.1.16 Input from iwi in the Gravel Management Plan Objective 5.2 and supporting policy - Reference should be made to the NPS-FM Objective D1 and Policy D1 here</li> <li>18. Policy 12.2.5 - Cultural effects are avoided, remedied and mitigated</li> <li>19. Chapter 13: Issue 13A - Reference the Tangata Whenua chapter here.</li> <li>20. Policy 13.3.1 (g) Adversely affect wahi tapu areas as identified in appendix (?) - This should also be developed.</li> <li>21. Policy 13.13.5 &amp; 5: Need to consider iwi values first and should be supported and resourced by council.</li> <li>22. Policy 14.1.4: add RMA section 6 provision</li> </ol> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira  | 19    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
|                    | Chapter 5 needs to be explicit about coastal statutory acknowledgements and visible throughout the objectives.  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 20    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity        | Policy 8.2.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 8.2.7 Pest management issue with iwi e.g. poisons. Other ways of pest management to allow for cultural values to be met. Prioritisation of sites to be protected should be decided with iwi. Input into the pest management plan.</p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 21    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.21 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 5.2.21 add (iv) mauri.</p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 22    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.19 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 5.2.19 add (h) the effect of the diversion on the mauri of the diverted stream/ river.</p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 23    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.12 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 5.2.12 Reference tangata whenua chapter.</p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 24    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 5.2.3 Include cultural values.</p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 25    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity        | Issue 8A      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:<br><br>- Issue 8A include the impact on Mauri.<br><br>- Issue 8A Marine Environments - Add the value that iwi place on the area including mahinga kai, travel routes, wahi tapu. |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 26    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity       | Policy 8.3.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:<br><br>Policy 8.3.8 Should also include culturally significant sites that exclude the use of the biodiversity offsets method.  |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 27    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity       | Policy 8.3.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:<br><br>Policy 8.3.4 Require to consult with iwi.   |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 28    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity       | Policy 8.2.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:<br><br>Policy 8.2.9 Should reference RMA section 6   |       |          |                                 |               |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira   | 29    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:<br><br>Policy 14.1.4: add RMA section 6 provision.   |       |          |                                 |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira  | 30    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 13.13.5: Need to consider iwi values first and should be supported and resourced by council.</p>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira  | 31    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 13.3.1 (g) Adversely affect wahi tapu areas as indentified in appendix (?) - This should also be developed.</p>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira  | 32    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13A      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Chapter 13: Issue 13A - Reference the Tangata Whenua chapter here.</p>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira  | 33    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments             | Policy 12.2.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 12.2.5 - Cultural effects are avoided, remedied and mitigated.</p>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira  | 34    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                | Policy 11.1.16 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 11.1.16 Input from iwi in the Gravel Management Plan Objective 5.2 and supporting policy - Reference should be made to the NPS-FM Objective D1 and Policy D1 here.</p> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira  | 35    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                | 11.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:<br>Chapter 11 Natural Hazards - Reference back to Tangata Whenua chapter  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira  | 36    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Issue 9B       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:<br>Issue 9B Add cultural values.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira  | 37    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Policy 9.1.16  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:<br>9.1.16 Consideration of whether the road is on or near culturally significant sites that are not currently protected by other means. |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira  | 38    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Policy 9.1.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:<br>Policy 9.1.1 Add iwi specific areas.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira  | 39    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Objective 9.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:<br>Objective 9.1 Include reference to iwi history here and that this should be protected.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>166</b>         | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira  | 40    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.6 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:<br><br>Policy 13.13.5: Need to consider iwi values first and should be supported and resourced by council. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>541</b>         | Akiwa Te Uatuku  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>541</b>         | Akiwa Te Uatuku  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>541</b>         | Akiwa Te Uatuku  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>541</b>         | Akiwa Te Uatuku  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>541</b>         | Akiwa Te Uatuku  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>541</b>         | Akiwa Te Uatuku  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>541</b>         | Akiwa Te Uatuku  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>541</b>         | Akiwa Te Uatuku  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>541</b>         | Akiwa Te Uatuku  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>541</b>         | Akiwa Te Uatuku  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>674</b>         | Daryl Teale  | 1     | All      | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>823</b>         | John Paul Tejero   | 1     | All      | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>832</b>         | Jerome Tejero  | 1     | All      | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |               |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| 429                | Tempello Partnership  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change the per ha to a % based restriction (e.g. maximum 5% of land area over five years or 2% of existing indigenous cover). Change it to a more whole-farm view.  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 429                | Tempello Partnership  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change the per ha to a % based restriction (e.g. maximum 5% of land area over five years or 2% of existing indigenous cover). Change it to a more whole-farm view.  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 429                | Tempello Partnership  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Non-indigenous weed control work within 8m of a river bed should not trigger resource consent. Rather there should be best practice suggestions as to how to control weeds without causing water quality issues. E.g. hand spraying best practice alongside waterways, using a root-raker rather than a digger to minimise disturbance but still pull weed trees out. |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 429                | Tempello Partnership  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 3.3.12.7  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 429                | Tempello Partnership  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend standard (strikethrough and bold): <i>Where clearance is by mechanical means, blading <del>or root-raking</del> by a bulldozer must not be used on slopes greater than <del>20°</del> <b>30-40%</b>.</i>  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 429                | Tempello Partnership  | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 3.3.21.1  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 429                | Tempello Partnership  | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 3.3.21.2  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 429                | Tempello Partnership  | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.3. | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 3.3.21.3   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>429</b>         | Tempello Partnership   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character       | Policy 6.2.8  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 6.2.8  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>429</b>         | Tempello Partnership   | 10    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape and Policy 7.2.2 are deleted, or</li> <li>• Farming and rural activities are recognised as positively contributing to the values and attributes of the Wairau Dry Hills in Appendix 1, and are provided for as permitted, and</li> <li>• Policy 7.2.2 is amended to provide for management of adverse effects on the landscape is via permitted activity standards</li> </ul> |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>429</b>         | Tempello Partnership   | 11    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the objective be amended  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>429</b>         | Tempello Partnership   | 12    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.1  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>429</b>         | Tempello Partnership   | 13    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 8.2.9  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>480</b>         | Tennyson Inlet Boat Club Inc   | 1     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.7  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - " <i>Within an identified ecologically significant marine site, <b>excluding sites identified in Tennyson Inlet</b>, fishing activities using techniques that disturb the seabed must be avoided.</i> "<br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>480</b>         | Tennyson Inlet Boat Club Inc   | 2     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.1         | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the final paragraph in this Method as follows (bold) - " <i>Fishing activities using techniques or methods that disturb the seabed in the areas identified as an ecologically significant marine site, <b>excluding sites identified in Tennyson Inlet</b>, will be prohibited. Resource consent is required for most uses or activities within the coastal marine area and an assessment of the effects of the activity on indigenous biodiversity will be undertaken, including whether there are any significant biodiversity values.</i> " |       |          |   |   |                 |
|                    | <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>480</b>         | Tennyson Inlet Boat Club Inc   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Remove those parts of Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 3.9 and 3.10 that impact on mooring activities Penzance, Tuna, Duncan and Elaine Bays.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
|                    | <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>480</b>         | Tennyson Inlet Boat Club Inc   | 4     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.5.                                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend this Rules as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Fishing activity that uses a technique that disturbs the seabed within any Ecologically Significant Marine Sites, except Croiselles Harbour Entrance – No. 1.2 and <b>all sites identified in Tennyson Inlet</b>—<del>No. 3.9.</del></i> "  |       |          |   |   |                 |
|                    | <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>607</b>         | Cadeena Tepu   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>607</b>         | Cadeena Tepu   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>607</b>         | Cadeena Tepu   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>607</b>         | Cadeena Tepu   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>607</b>         | Cadeena Tepu   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>607</b>         | Cadeena Tepu   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>607</b>         | Cadeena Tepu   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>607</b>         | Cadeena Tepu   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>607</b>         | Cadeena Tepu   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>607</b>         | Cadeena Tepu   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| 542                | Allan Tester   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                                | 3.3.6.1.                    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Standard That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.6.1 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><i>3.3.6.1. The following species must not be planted:</i><br><del>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</del>   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| 542                | Allan Tester   | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                                | 3.3.7.5.                    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.5 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><del>Standard 3.3.7.5 No excavation or filling in excess of 1000m<sup>3</sup> must occur on any land with a slope greater than 20° within any 24 month period.</del> |       |          |   |                             |        |
| 542                | Allan Tester   | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                                | 3.3.7.6.                    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.6 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><del>Standard 3.3.7.6 No excavation must occur on any land with a slope greater than 35°.</del>  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| 1257               | Allan Tester   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8415, 8419, 8436 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| 1257               | Allan Tester   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8415, 8419, 8436 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1257</b>        | Allan Tester  | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8415, 8419, 8436 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1257</b>        | Allan Tester  | 4            | Volume 3      | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8415, 8419, 8436 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>160</b>         | TH Barnes and Co Limited  | 1            | Volume 2      | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones             | 12.1.            | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Industrially zoned land between Murphy's Road and Adams Lane</b></p> <p>Lot 2 DP 8419 at 12 Adams Lane, Lot 4 DP 400 at 3 Murphys Road and Lot 1 DP 2100 with no street address, that are located within and surrounded by residential properties is proposed to be zoned Industrial 2.</p> <p>Specific provision be made for this site to be used and developed for residential or accommodation or a range of activities provided for as Permitted Activities in the surrounding Residential 2 Zone but retention of the proposed Industrial Zone. Use of either of the following options.</p> <p><b>As a Permitted Activity</b></p> <p>Including Residential and accommodation activities and Permitted Activities from the surrounding Residential Zone in the list of Permitted Activities subject to compliance with a new rule added to Rule 5.2 that requires compliance with the Residential 2 Zone development and performance standards to any such development.</p> <p>To address any potential reverse sensitivity issues, the new rule would also prescribed that in the event of any such development left a portion of the site undeveloped for that purpose, any use and development of that undeveloped portion would become subject to the development and performance standards of the adjoining Residential 2 zone along the boundary between it and the residential developed portion.</p> <p><b>As a Controlled Activity</b></p> <p>Specifically listing the property and including Residential and accommodation activities and Permitted Activities from the surrounding Residential Zone in the list of Permitted Activities but qualifying this by prescribing it in that list as being a Controlled Activity, or alternatively adding a Controlled Activity category to the Zone.</p> <p>The Residential 2 Zone development and performance standards could be prescribed as matters in regard to which the Council retained control.</p> <p><b>As a Restricted Discretionary</b></p> <p>Including Residential and accommodation activities and Permitted Activities from the surrounding Residential Zone in the list of Discretionary Activities but qualifying this by prescribing it in that list as being a Restricted Discretionary, unless provided with a separate Restricted Discretionary Activity category.</p> <p><b>As a Discretionary Activity</b></p> <p>Include specific identification of the site in the list of Discretionary Activities by reference to residential and accommodation activities and Permitted Activities from the Residential 2 Zone as an activity in the list of Discretionary Activities.</p> |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 1190               | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated   | 1     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy under this Objective as follows -<br><br><b>"Prior to the planting of a commercial forest the risk of tree spread for a site using the industry Wilding Spread Risk Calculator must be assessed."</b><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                            |               |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 2     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | Objective 8.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new method under this Objective as follows -<br><br><b>"Where there is wilding pine spread that is obviously from a plantation area (i.e. "tree rain" out of a planted area) the control of them is the responsibility of the forestry owner. All wilding pine control is to be met by an industry levy on logs harvested."</b><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |               |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.   |       |          |                            |               |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.3.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (bold) -<br><br><b>"Planting:</b><br><br><b>(a) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</b><br><b>(b) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</b><br><b>(c) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</b><br><b>(d) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</b><br><b>(e) European larch (Larix decidua);</b><br><b>(f) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</b><br><b>(g) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</b><br><b>(h) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra)."</b> |       |          |                            |               |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 5     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.          | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule follows -<br><p><b>"New commercial forest planted must not be planted within 100m of the coastal marine area or 50m of a ridge."</b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>   |       |          |                            |              |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 6     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | 7.M.6        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Method. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |              |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 7     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | 7.M.7        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Method. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                            |              |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 8     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | Policy 8.3.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend this Policy to take a stronger approach to preventing and mitigating sedimentation caused by forestry in the Sounds.<br><p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>   |       |          |                            |              |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 9     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><p><i>"Replanting must not be in, or within:</i><br/> <i>(a) 8 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake, <b>5m of permanently flowing rivers less than 3m in width or 10m of permanently flowing rivers 3m or greater in width;</b></i><br/> <i>(b) &amp; <b>10m</b> of a Significant Wetland;</i><br/> <i>(c) <del>30</del> <b>100</b> metres of the coastal marine area."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |          |                            |              |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1190               | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated   | 10    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.6.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add new Standards to this Rule as follows -</p> <p><b><i>"A Replanting Management Plan is required to be lodged with the Council that identifies areas at high risk of erosion, which require retirement and implementation of buffers, such as gully heads and steep ephemeral gullies."</i></b></p> <p><b><i>"Replanting requirements to reduce the window of vulnerability:</i></b></p> <p><b><i>a) Replanting of areas harvested within 12 months of harvest;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>b) Replanting in excess of 1000 stems/hectare."</i></b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>  |       |          |                            |               |                 |
| 1190               | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated   | 11    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | Objective 8.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new policy under this Objective as follows -</p> <p><b><i>"Resource consents granted for commercial forestry harvesting in the Marlborough Sounds, will include the following conditions:</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(a) All woody material &gt;100mm diameter and &gt; 3m in length must be removed from gullies (&gt;5000m or 0.5 hectare) as soon as practicable, but no later than 1 month, after harvest;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(b) All road design, construction, and maintenance must be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(c) All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation."</i></b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> |       |          |                            |               |                 |
| 1190               | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated   | 12    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.1.        | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.<br>And, add:<br>- no new commercial exotic forestry planting in the Marlborough Sounds;<br>- existing exotic forestry should be phased out over time, with appropriate incentives for alternative land use (e.g. permanent sink forest initiative).<br><i>(It is not clear in the Submission the specific addition to the Prohibited Rule sought.)</i> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated   | 13    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.2.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated   | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated   | 15    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated   | 16    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Change status from a Prohibited to a Discretionary Activity. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated   | 17    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.2.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1190               | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 18    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.6.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.   |       |          |                            |               |                 |
| 1190               | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 19    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.5.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.   |       |          |                            |               |                 |
| 1190               | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 20    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity  | Objective 8.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new method under this Objective as follows -</p> <p><b>"Recognition and encouragement of planting permanent forest (not for harvest) or allowing native regeneration. Assistance could include rates rebate and funding for control of wilding pines."</b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>   |       |          |                            |               |                 |
| 1190               | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 21    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.16.8.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Filling must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing), lake or the coastal marine area;</i></p> <p><i>(b) 8m of, a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification;</i></p> <p><i>(c) 8m of the landward toe of a stopbank;</i></p> <p><b><i>(d) 100m of the coastal marine area."</i></b></p> |       |          |                            |               |                 |
| 1190               | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 22    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.9.     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -<br>" <i>Filling must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing), lake or the coastal marine area;</i><br><i>(b) 8m of, a Significant Wetland;</i><br><i>(c) 8m of the landward toe of a stopbank;</i><br><b><i>(d) 100m of the coastal marine area.</i></b> " |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 23    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.13.1.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 24    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.14.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under this Rule as follows -<br>" <b><i>Excavation must not exceed 20,000 cubic metres.</i></b> "<br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 25    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.13.1.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 26    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.1.13.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under this Rule as follows -<br>" <b><i>Excavation must not exceed 20,000 cubic metres.</i></b> "<br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 27    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1190               | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated                                   | 28    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1190               | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated                                   | 29    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1190               | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated                                   | 30    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1190               | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated                                   | 31    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | 6.AER.1       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the AER to add the following -<br><br><b>"Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds"</b> . |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1190               | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated                                   | 32    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1190               | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated                                   | 33    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.5.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1190               | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated                                   | 34    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.         | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a two new Prohibited Activity rule as follows -<br><b>"Anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites."</b><br><b>"Anchoring and dredging within any buffer zone around an ecologically significant marine site."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 35    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 36    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone    | 16.7.2.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <i>It is not clear in the Submission the decision sought specifically for this Rule at this time.</i>  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 37    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone    | 16.7.3.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <i>It is not clear in the Submission the decision sought specifically for this Rule at this time.</i>  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 38    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character       | 6.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Support the provisions of this chapter, except Policy 6.2.5 <i>(see separate submission)</i> .   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 39    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character       | Policy 6.2.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated  | 40    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | 7.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support.   |       |          |                           |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated   | 41    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone      | 4.2.1.11.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (b) within the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>(b) any paint applied to the</del> <b>all</b> exterior cladding of a building or structure must have a light reflectance value of 45% or less."<br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated   | 42    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone      | 4.2.1.12.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <i>On land within the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape</i> <del>any paint applied to the</del> <b>all</b> exterior cladding of a building or structure must have a light reflectance value of 45% or less."<br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated   | 43    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.7   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated   | 44    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone      | 4.5.1.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated   | 45    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone      | 4.3.13.6.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1190</b>        | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated   | 46    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone      | 4.3.15.5.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1191</b>        | The Bell Tower on Dog Point   | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | We support the policy in full.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1191</b>        | The Bell Tower on Dog Point  | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Policy 14.4.13 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | We support the policy in full.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1191</b>        | The Bell Tower on Dog Point  | 3     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.2   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | We support the policy in full.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1191</b>        | The Bell Tower on Dog Point  | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.7   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | We support the policy in full.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1 as notified.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 4.1.1.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.2 as notified.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 4.1.3 as follows:<br><br>Maintain <del>and</del> <u>or</u> enhance, <u>where degraded by human activity</u> , the quality of natural resources. |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 5     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment         | Objective 14.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.1 as notified.   |       |          |   |                |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1192               | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 6     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.1 as notified.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1192               | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 7     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.1.1 as follows:<br><br>Manage primary production activities to ensure they are carried out sustainably through the implementation of policies and methods (including rules establishing standards for permitted activities) <del>to</del> <u>that</u> address potential adverse effects on:.....  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1192               | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 8     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.1.7 as follows:<br><br>Recognise that primary production activities in rural environments may result in effects including noise, dust, smell and traffic generation, <del>but</del> <u>and</u> these will require mitigation where they have a significant adverse effects on the environment.    |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1192               | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 9     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.9  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.1.9 as follows:<br><br>Manage the effects of primary production activities to ensure the environmental qualities and amenity values in adjoining residential zones are <u>maintained-not unreasonably degraded</u> , bearing in mind their location adjacent to a primary production environment. |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1192               | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 10    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Objective 14.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include a new policy as follows:<br><br><u>Avoid the establishment of residential activities in close proximity to intensive farming or other rural activities, to manage reverse sensitivity effects that can be created by such activities i.e. noise, odour and dust.</u>                                      |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1192               | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 11    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | 14.AER.1       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Anticipated Environmental Result 14.AER.1 as notified.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1192               | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 12    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | 14.AER.2       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Anticipated Environmental Result 14.AER.2 as notified.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1192               | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 13    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A      | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 15A as notified.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 14    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Objective 15.1a as follows:</p> <p><del>Maintain and where necessary enhance water quality in Marlborough's rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters and enhance where degraded by human activities to the point of being over-allocated.</del> so that:</p> <p>(a) the mauri of wai is protected;</p> <p>(b) water quality at beaches is suitable for contact recreation;</p> <p>(c) people can use the coast, rivers, lakes and wetlands for food gathering, cultural, commercial and other purposes;</p> <p>(d) groundwater quality <u>meets the NZ Drinking Water Standards for New Zealand 2005 (Revised 2008).</u> <del>is suitable for drinking;</del></p> <p>(e) the quality of surface water utilised for community drinking water supply remains suitable for drinking after existing treatment; and</p> <p>(f) coastal waters support healthy ecosystems.</p> |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 15    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1b | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Objective 15.1b as follows:</p> <p><del>Maintain or enhance the quality of freshwater rivers water quality in each the Freshwater Management Units where the following attribute state is currently met: so that the annual median nitrate concentration is <math>\leq 1</math> milligram nitrate-nitrogen per litre and the annual 95th percentile concentration is <math>\leq 1.5</math> milligrams nitrate-nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</del></p>  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 16    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a new objective as follows:</p> <p><u>Maintain or enhance the quality of rivers in the Freshwater Management Units where the following attribute state is currently met: the annual median nitrate-nitrogen concentration is between <math>&gt;1</math> and <math>&lt;2.4</math> milligram nitrate-nitrogen per litre and the annual 95th percentile concentration is between <math>&gt;1.5</math> and <math>&lt;3.5</math> milligrams nitrate per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</u></p>  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 17    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1c | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 15.1c as follows:<br><br>Maintain freshwater water quality for <u>rivers and lakes</u> in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual median ammonia concentration is <del>&lt;0.03</del> $\leq 0.03$ milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre and the annual maximum concentration is <del>&lt;0.05</del> $\leq 0.05$ milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme. |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 18    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1d | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 15.1d as follows:<br><br><del>Maintain or enhance the quality of</del> freshwater water quality in <del>each waterbodies</del> Freshwater Management Unit <u>where the following attribute state is currently met:</u> <del>so that</del> the annual median <i>E.coli</i> level is $\leq 260$ per 100ml, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 19    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1e | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 15.1e as follows:<br><br><del>Maintain or enhance the quality of</del> freshwater water quality in waterbodies <del>valued for primary contact recreation</del> Unit <u>where the following attribute state is currently met:</u> <del>so that</del> the 95th percentile <i>E.coli</i> level is $>260$ to $\leq 540$ per 100ml, as measured by the Council's State of Environment monitoring programme.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 20    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.1. No specific change sought but comments are noted.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 21    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.2 as follows:<br><br>Apply water quality classification (and water quality standards) to all surface water, groundwater and coastal water resources, which reflect:<br><br>....<br><br>(c) where water quality has already been degraded <u>by human activities to the point of being over-allocated</u> , the uses and values that are to be restored <u>to meet the FMU Objectives.</u>   |       |          |  |                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 22    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.3 as notified but note comments.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 23    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.1        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain 15.M.1 as notified but note comments.   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 24    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.2        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method 15.M.2 as notified.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 25    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.3        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 15.M.3 Investigations as follows:</p> <p>To undertake catchment-specific research to establish the capacity of fresh waterbodies to assimilate total contaminant loads from within each catchment. <del>This will also be informed by the objectives and management purpose established for the waterbody, will both assist to determine the sensitivity of the waterbody to increases in contaminant loads. Give their association with rural land uses and Marlborough's history of primary production,</del> Research into nutrients is a priority and it may also be necessary to prioritise heavy metals in urban catchments, given the prevalence of such metals in urban stormwater, as well as sediment loads in rivers flowing into sensitive receiving environments, such as the enclosed coastal waters of the Marlborough Sounds.</p> |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 26    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.1.4 as follows:</p> <p><del>Where specified water quality attributes are not being met,</del> Take action to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1b within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:</p> <p>(a) Mill Creek; and</p> <p>(b) Murphys Creek.</p>  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 27    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.5 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.5 as follows:<br><u>Where specified water quality attributes are not being met, t</u> Take action to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1d within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:<br><br>(a) Are Are Creek;<br><br>(b) Cullens Creek;<br><br>(c) Doctors Creek; and<br><br>(d) Kaituna River.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 28    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.6 as follows:<br><u>Where specified water quality attributes are not being met, t</u> Take action to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1e within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:<br><br>(a) Taylor River;<br><br>(b) Rai River; and<br><br>(c) Waihopai River.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 29    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.7  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.5 as follows:<br><u>Where specified water quality attributes are not being met, t</u> Take action to enhance water quality in the rivers identified in Tables 15.1 and 15.2 (except where specifically identified in Policies 15.1.4, 15.1.5 and 15.1.6) so that water quality is suitable for the purposes specified in Policy 15.1.1 within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative. |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 30    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.5         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | No changes sought.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 31    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.25 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.25 as notified.  |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 32    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.26 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.26 as notified.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 33    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.27 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.27 as notified.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 34    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.33 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 15.1.33.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 35    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.34 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.1.34 as follows:</p> <p>Approve land use consent applications for new dairy farms <u>and intensive farms</u> where the proposed farming <del>would have no more than minor adverse effects on</del> <u>can identify potential risks</u> to ground or surface water quality or on significant wetlands. <del>A land use consent application must identify the risks of new dairy farming</del> and provide measures to address those risks, including as a minimum:</p> <p><del>(a) measures (including fences, bridges or culverts) to prevent stock entering onto or passing across the bed of any river or lake, significant wetland, or any drain or the Drainage Channel Network;</del></p> <p><del>(b) provision of an appropriate, non-grazed buffer along the margins of any river, lake, significant wetland, drain or the Drainage Channel Network, to intercept the runoff of contaminants from grazed pasture, with reference to the values of fresh waterbodies as identified in Appendix 5;</del></p> <p><del>(c) provision for storage of dairy effluent, with all storage ponds sufficiently sized to enable deferral of application to land until soil conditions are such that surface runoff and/or drainage do not occur;</del></p> <p><del>(d) demonstration of appropriate separation distances between effluent storage ponds and any surface waterbodies to ensure contamination of water does not occur (including during flood events); and</del></p> <p><del>(e) a nutrient management plan that includes nutrient inputs from dairy effluent, animal discharges, fertiliser and any other nutrient input.</del></p> <p><u>preparing and implementing a Farm Management Plan as set out in Appendix X.</u></p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 36    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.23        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 15.M.23 Advocate as notified.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 37    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.18        | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain non regulatory methods to work with established rural industry groups and implement sustainable land management programmes.   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 38    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.21   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain but amend 15.M.21 Information as shown:</p> <p>....</p> <p>- to encourage the adoption of appropriate land management practices to minimise <u>any adverse effects</u> of non-point source discharges. <u>This includes promoting industry Codes of Practice and industry guidelines and encouraging the adoption of Industry Agreed Good Management Practices. (Industry Agreed Good Management Practices, Sept 2015 have been developed and documented by the Primary industry sector groups in conjunction with Canterbury Regional Council.)</u></p> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 39    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.24   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete 15.M.24 and amend 15.M.21 as shown above, to include support for the Industry Agreed Good Management Practices, Sept 2015, and industry guidelines and Codes of Practice.   |       |          |  |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 40    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.25        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 15.M.25 as follows:</p> <p><u>Farm Management plans for dairy farming <del>intensively farmed livestock</del>.</u></p> <p><del>Water Quality Farm Management Plans can be used as a means of demonstrating on an ongoing basis that any adverse effects on water quality resulting from dairy and <u>intensively farmed livestock</u> will be avoided, remedied or sufficiently mitigated. They provide the ability to consider all farm management practices with the potential to adversely affect surface or groundwater quality or wetlands and manage these risks in an integrated way. This also enables the dairy farmer to progressively plan farm upgrades based on priority or in the case of new farms, at the time of establishment. Water Quality Farm Management Plans can be used to support applications for land use consent to convert the use of land to dairying.</del></p> <p><u>The Farm Management Plan should include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <u>Nutrient Management Plans. These will be required as a means to demonstrate how nutrient inputs associated with dairy <del>and intensively farmed</del> <u>livestock</u> are to be managed to ensure any adverse effects of water quality will be avoided, remedied or mitigated. Nutrient Management Plans should be written documents that incorporate a nutrient budget developed by <del>an accredited nutrient adviser</del> <u>a Certified Nutrient Management Advisor</u> using OVERSEER® or similar. This should describe how the major plant nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus, sulphur and potassium) and any other nutrients of importance to specialised crops will be managed (including all sources from dairy effluent systems, animal discharges and/or atmospheric nitrogen fixation.</u></li> <li>- <u>Good management practices.</u></li> <li>- <u>Riparian management plans.</u></li> <li>- <u>Details of the property including location of waterbodies.</u></li> </ul> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 41    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15F      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Issue 15F Explanation:</p> <p><del>Although fertiliser use has decreased over time in Marlborough, many primary producers still apply it to maintain the nutrient status of the soil and therefore soil productivity. Excessive fertiliser application creates the potential for nutrients such as nitrogen and phosphate to runoff into adjoining rivers and wetlands or leach into underlying groundwater.</del></p> <p><u>Healthy productive soils are a finite natural resource and essential for the social, cultural and economic well-being of people and communities. It is important to manage the potential for adverse effects of land uses on soil health and function and practices including vegetation clearance, earthworks and forestry harvesting where they adversely affect soil quality or induce or exacerbate soil erosion.</u></p> <p><u>In addition, accelerated erosion from land use resulting in additional deposition of sediment in rivers and lakes can have a major impact on aquatic ecosystems and instream values.</u></p>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 42    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.4 | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 15.4 as follows:<br><br>Maintain <u>the health and function of</u> <del>and enhance</del> the quality of Marlborough's soil resource.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 43    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.4.2 as follows:<br><br>Encourage <del>land</del> <u>the use of industry agreed good</u> management practices that:<br><br>(a) maintain soil structure by:<br><br>(i) avoiding or remedying soil compaction;<br><br>(ii) avoiding the loss of soil organic matter; and<br><br>(iii) avoiding or remedying the effects of increased sodium levels;<br><br>(b) maintain nutrients at appropriate levels;<br><br>and<br><br>(c) retain topsoil in situ.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 44    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.41        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete 15.M.41 Advocate.<br><br>Or in the alternative delete proposed text and insert new text as follows:<br><br><u>- to encourage the adoption of appropriate land management practices to minimise any adverse effects of land use activities on soil quality, health and function (including soil erosion). This includes promoting industry Codes of Practice and industry guidelines and encouraging the adoption of Industry Agreed Good Management Practices. (Industry Agreed Good Management Practices, Sept 2015 have been developed and documented by the Primary industry sector groups in conjunction with Canterbury Regional Council.)</u> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 45    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 15.5 as notified.   |       |          |  |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                                    | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                         | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand           | 46           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.5.1    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.5.1 as notified.                   |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand           | 47           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.5.2    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.5.2.                               |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand           | 48           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.5.3    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.5.3.                               |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand           | 49           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.5.4    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.5.4.                               |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand           | 50           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.1.1.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rules 3.1.1.                                 |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand           | 51           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.1.23.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain 3.1.23.                                      |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand           | 52           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.1.28.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rules 3.1.28.                                |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand           | 53           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.1.1.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rules 3.3.1.1 as notified but note comments. |              |               |  |                  |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand           | 54           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.5.             | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>3.5 Restricted Discretionary Activities</p> <p>The following activities are Restricted Discretionary Activities</p> <p><u>3.56.82. Dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 including discharge of dairy effluent.</u></p> <p><u>Council has restricted its discretion to the following matters:</u></p> <p><u>a) The preparation and implementation of a Farm Management Plan as set out in Appendix X.</u></p> <p><u>b) Measures (including fences, bridges or culverts) to prevent stock entering onto or passing across the bed of any river or lake, significant wetland, or nay drain or the Drainage Channel Network;</u></p> <p><u>c) provision of an appropriate, non-grazed buffer along the margins of any river, lake, significant wetland, drain or the Drainage Channel Network, to intercept the runoff of contaminants from grazed pasture, with reference to the values of fresh waterbodies as identified in Appendix 5;</u></p> <p><u>d) Manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan</u></p> <p><u>e) There must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage that must be sealed with an impermeable material and certified by a recognised professional.</u></p> <p><u>f) Any discharge of effluent must not:</u></p> <p><u>(i) occur when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity, and</u></p> <p><u>(ii) result in ponding that is detectable beyond 24 hours after the discharge, and</u></p> <p><u>(iii) result in anaerobic soil conditions, and</u></p> <p><u>(iv) be located within:</u></p> <p><u>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</u></p> <p><u>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</u></p> <p><u>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</u></p> <p><u>The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</u></p> <p><u>g) Demonstration of appropriate separation distances between effluent storage ponds and any surface waterbodies to ensure contamination of water does not occur (including during flood events).</u></p> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| 1192               | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 55    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.8.    | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>3.6 Discretionary Activities</p> <p>Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following:</p> <p>[R, D]</p> <p>3.6.1. Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity, Controlled Activity or Restricted Discretionary Activity that does not meet the applicable standards.</p> <p>...</p> <p>{R}</p> <p><del>3.6.8. Dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</del></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 56    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 3.3.23 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto as follows:</p> <p>3.3.23.1. The application of fertiliser must not be applied to a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free-draining soils, <u>without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan or Farm Management Plan.</u></p> <p>3.3.23.2. Fertiliser <u>storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</u></p> <p><u>Corrosive</u> _____ <u>HSR002569</u></p> <p><u>Oxidising [5.1.1]</u> _____ <u>HSR002570</u></p> <p><u>Subsidiary Hazard</u> _____ <u>HSR002571</u></p> <p><u>Toxic [6.1C]</u> _____ <u>HSR002572</u></p> <p><u>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards:</u></p> <p><u>For Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and class 5.2) and</u></p> <p><u>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances.</u></p> <p><del>must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</del></p> <p>3.3.23.3. The application must not result in the fertiliser being deposited in or on a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network that contains water.</p> <p>3.3.23.4. Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u></p> <p>3.3.23.5. The application must not occur when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity.</p> <p>3.3.23.6. All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime must not pass beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 57    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 3.3.23 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto as follows:</p> <p>3.3.23.1. The application of fertiliser must not be applied to a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free-draining soils, <u>without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan or Farm Management Plan.</u></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 58    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 3.3.23 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto as follows:</p> <p>3.3.23.2. Fertiliser <u>storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</u></p> <p><u>Corrosive HSR002569</u></p> <p><u>Oxidising [5.1.1] HSR002570</u></p> <p><u>Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571</u></p> <p><u>Toxic [6.1C] HSR002572</u></p> <p><u>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards:</u></p> <p><u>For Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and class 5.2) and</u></p> <p><u>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances.</u></p> <p><del>must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</del></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 59    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 3.3.23 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto as follows:</p> <p>3.3.23.4. Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 60    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.   | Support in Part |

Decision Requested

For clarity amend Rule 3.3.28 as follows:

3.3.28.1. The discharge must not occur into or onto a Soil Sensitive Area without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan or Farm Management Plan.

3.3.28.2. The discharge must not occur within:

(a) 50m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU,

(b) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;

(c) 10m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership.

3.3.28.3. A High rate discharge system must not be used to discharge onto land with an average slope of 7° or greater, and the slope must not exceed 11.3° (1:5) at any point.

3.3.28.4. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity.

3.3.28.5. Ponding must not be detectable beyond 24 hours after the discharge.

3.3.28.6. The discharge must not result in anaerobic soil conditions.

3.3.28.7. The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of the land to be used for the discharge must not exceed 200kg N/hectare/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.

3.3.28.8. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 and from 9 June 2019, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:

3.3.28.1 there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 3.3.28.4, 3.3.28.5 and 3.3.28.6 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.

~~3.3.28.9.2. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016,~~ the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.

~~3.3.28.10.8.3. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016,~~ the storage system must not be located within:

(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;

(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;

(c) a Flood Hazard Area.

~~3.3.28.11. From 9 June 2019, Standards 3.3.28.8, 3.3.28.9 and 3.3.28.10 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.~~

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 61    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>For clarity amend Rule 3.3.28 as follows:</p> <p>3.3.28.1. The discharge must not occur into or onto a Soil Sensitive <u>Area without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan or Farm Management Plan.</u></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 62    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>For clarity amend Rule 3.3.28 as follows:</p> <p>3.3.28.7. The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of the land to be used for the discharge must not exceed 200kg N//hectare/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 63    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>For clarity amend Rule 3.3.28 as follows:</p> <p>3.3.28.8. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 <u>and from 9 June 2019, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:</u></p> <p><u>3.3.28.1</u> there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 3.3.28.4, 3.3.28.5 and 3.3.28.6 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</p> <p><del>3.3.28.98.2. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016,</del> the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.</p> <p><del>3.3.28.10.8.3. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016,</del> the storage system must not be located within:</p> <p>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</p> <p>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 64    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9. | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>For clarity amend Rule 3.3.28 as follows:</p> <p>3.3.28.8. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 <u>and from 9 June 2019, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:</u></p> <p><u>3.3.28.1</u> there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 3.3.28.4, 3.3.28.5 and 3.3.28.6 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</p> <p><del>3.3.28.98.2. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016,</del> the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.</p> <p><del>3.3.28.10.8.3. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016,</del> the storage system must not be located within:</p> <p>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</p> <p>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</p> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 65    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>For clarity amend Rule 3.3.28 as follows:</p> <p>3.3.28.8. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 <u>and from 9 June 2019, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:</u></p> <p><u>3.3.28.1</u> there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 3.3.28.4, 3.3.28.5 and 3.3.28.6 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</p> <p><del>3.3.28.98.2. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016,</del> the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.</p> <p><del>3.3.28.10.8.3. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016,</del> the storage system must not be located within:</p> <p>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</p> <p>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</p> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 66    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | For clarity amend Rule 3.3.28 as follows:<br>3.3.28.11. From 9 June 2019, Standards 3.3.28.8, 3.3.28.9 and 3.3.28.10 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016. |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 67    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.1.1.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 68    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.22.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rules 4.1.22.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 69    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.27.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 4.1.27 as follows:<br>Discharge or dairy farm effluent onto or into land, <u>except for new dairy farms provided for under Rule 4.5.3.</u>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 70    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5.      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>4.5 Restricted Discretionary Activities</p> <p>The following activities are Restricted Discretionary Activities</p> <p><u>4.5.3. Dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 including discharge of dairy effluent.</u></p> <p><u>Council has restricted its discretion to the following matters:</u></p> <p><u>(a) The preparation and implementation of a Farm Management Plan as set out in Appendix X.</u></p> <p><u>(b) Measures (including fences, bridges or culverts) to prevent stock entering onto or passing across the bed of any river or lake, significant wetland, or any drain or the Drainage Channel Network;</u></p> <p><u>(c) provision of an appropriate, non-grazed buffer along the margins of any river, lake, significant wetland, drain or the Drainage Channel Network, to intercept the runoff of contaminants from grazed pasture, with reference to the values of fresh waterbodies as identified in Appendix 5;</u></p> <p><u>(d) Manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan.</u></p> <p><u>(e) There must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage that must be sealed with an impermeable material and certified by a recognised professional.</u></p> <p><u>(f) Any discharge of effluent must not:</u></p> <p><u>(i) occur when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity, and</u></p> <p><u>(ii) result in ponding that is detectable beyond 24 hours after the discharge, and</u></p> <p><u>(iii) result in anaerobic soil conditions, and</u></p> <p><u>(iv) be located within:</u></p> <p><u>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</u></p> <p><u>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</u></p> <p><u>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</u></p> <p><u>The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage ponds and any surface waterbodies to ensure contamination of water does not occur (including during flood events).</u></p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1192               | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 71    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.8.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>4.6 Discretionary Activities</p> <p>Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following:</p> <p>[R, D]</p> <p>4.6.1. Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity, Controlled Activity or Restricted Discretionary Activity that does not meet the applicable standards.</p> <p>....</p> <p>{R}</p> <p><del>4.6.8. Dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</del></p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 72    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 4.3.22 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land as follows:</p> <p>4.3.22.1.</p> <p>Fertiliser <u>storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</u></p> <p><u>Corrosive</u>                    <u>HSR002569</u></p> <p><u>Oxidising [5.1.1]</u>        <u>HSR002570</u></p> <p><u>Subsidiary Hazard</u>      <u>HSR002571</u></p> <p><u>Toxic [6.1C]</u>                <u>HSR002572</u></p> <p><u>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards:</u></p> <p><u>For Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and class 5.2) and</u></p> <p><u>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances.</u></p> <p><del>must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</del></p> <p>4.3.22.2. The application must not result in the fertiliser being deposited in or on a river, lake, Significant Wetland or drainage channel that contains water.</p> <p>4.3.22.3. Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u></p> <p>4.3.22.4. The application must not occur when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity,</p> <p>4.3.22.5. All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime must not pass beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1192               | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 73    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 4.3.22 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land as follows:</p> <p>4.3.22.1.</p> <p>Fertiliser <u>storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</u></p> <p><u>Corrosive</u>                    <u>HSR002569</u></p> <p><u>Oxidising [5.1.1]</u>        <u>HSR002570</u></p> <p><u>Subsidiary Hazard</u>      <u>HSR002571</u></p> <p><u>Toxic [6.1C]</u>                <u>HSR002572</u></p> <p><u>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards:</u></p> <p><u>For Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and class 5.2) and</u></p> <p><u>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances.</u></p> <p><del>must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</del></p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 74    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 4.3.22 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land as follows:</p> <p>4.3.22.3. Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u></p>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 75    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>For clarity amend Rule 4.3.27 as follows:</p> <p>4.3.27.1. The discharge must not occur within:</p> <p>(a) 50m of a bore</p> <p>(b) 20m of a river, lake, significant wetland or drainage channel;</p> <p>(c) 10m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership.</p> <p>4.3.27.2. A high rate discharge system must not be used to discharge onto land with an average slope of 7° or greater, and the slope must not exceed 11.3° (1:5) at any point.</p> <p>4.3.27.3. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity.</p> <p>4.3.27.4. Ponding must not be detectable beyond 24 hours after the discharge.</p> <p>4.3.27.5. The discharge must not result in anaerobic soil conditions.</p> <p>4.3.27.6. The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of land to be used for the discharge must not exceed 200 kg N/hectare/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u></p> <p>4.3.27.7. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 <u>and from 9 June 2019, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:</u></p> <p><u>4.3.27.1.</u> there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 4.3.27.3, 4.3.27.4 and 4.3.27.5 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</p> <p><del>4.3.27.8.7.2.</del> For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.</p> <p><del>4.3.27.9.7.3.</del> For a new dairy established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within:</p> <p>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</p> <p>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</p> <p><del>4.3.27.10.</del> From 9 June 2019, Standards <del>4.3.27.7, 4.3.27.8 and 4.3.27.9</del> apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1192               | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 76    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.6. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | For clarity amend Rule 4.3.27 as follows:<br>4.3.27.6. The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of land to be used for the discharge must not exceed 200 kg N/hectare/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 77    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | For clarity amend Rule 4.3.27 as follows:<br>4.3.27.7. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 <u>and from 9 June 2019, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:</u><br><u>4.3.27.1.</u> there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 4.3.27.3, 4.3.27.4 and 4.3.27.5 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.<br><del>4.3.27.8.7.2.</del> For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.<br><del>4.3.27.9.7.3.</del> For a new dairy established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within:<br>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;<br>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;<br>(c) a Flood Hazard Area. |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 78    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8. | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>For clarity amend Rule 4.3.27 as follows:</p> <p>4.3.27.7. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 <u>and from 9 June 2019, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:</u></p> <p><u>4.3.27.1.</u> there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 4.3.27.3, 4.3.27.4 and 4.3.27.5 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</p> <p><del>4.3.27.8.7.2. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016,</del> the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.</p> <p><del>4.3.27.9.7.3. For a new dairy established after 9 June 2016,</del> the storage system must not be located within:</p> <p>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</p> <p>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</p> |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 79    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>For clarity amend Rule 4.3.27 as follows:</p> <p>4.3.27.7. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 <u>and from 9 June 2019, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:</u></p> <p><u>4.3.27.1.</u> there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 4.3.27.3, 4.3.27.4 and 4.3.27.5 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</p> <p><del>4.3.27.8.7.2. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016,</del> the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.</p> <p><del>4.3.27.9.7.3. For a new dairy established after 9 June 2016,</del> the storage system must not be located within:</p> <p>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</p> <p>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</p> |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 80    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | For clarity amend Rule 4.3.27 as follows:<br>4.3.27.10. From 9 June 2019, Standards 4.3.27.7, 4.3.27.8 and 4.3.27.9 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016. |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 81    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone  | 8.1.7.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 8.1.7 as notified.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 82    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone  | 8.3.6.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 8.3.6 as notified.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 83    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone  | 8.4.6.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 8.4.6 as notified.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 84    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.10.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 19.1.10 as notified.  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 85    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.19.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rules 19.1.19 as notified.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 86    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.8.1. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 19.3.8.1 as notified.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 87    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.4.1.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 19.4.1 as notified.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 88    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.4.2.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 19.4.2 as notified.   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 89    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 19.3.17 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land as follows:</p> <p>19.3.17.1. The application of fertiliser must not be applied to a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free-draining soils, <u>without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan.</u></p> <p>19.3.17.2. Fertiliser <u>storage must comply with Fertiliser Group Standards:</u></p> <p><u>Corrosive HSR002569</u></p> <p><u>Oxidising [5.1.1] HSR002570</u></p> <p><u>Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571</u></p> <p><u>Toxic [6.1C] HSR002572</u></p> <p><u>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards:</u></p> <p><u>For Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and class 5.2) and</u></p> <p><u>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances.</u></p> <p><del>must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</del></p> <p>19.3.17.3. The application must not result in the fertiliser being deposited in or on a river, lake Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network that contains water.</p> <p>19.3.17.4. Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u></p> <p>19.3.17.5. The application must not occur when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity.</p> <p>19.3.17.6. All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime must not pass beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied.</p> |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 90    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 19.3.17 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land as follows:</p> <p>19.3.17.1. The application of fertiliser must not be applied to a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free-draining soils, <u>without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan.</u></p>  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand  | 91    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 19.3.17 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land as follows:</p> <p>19.3.17.2. Fertiliser <u>storage must comply with Fertiliser Group Standards:</u></p> <p><u>Corrosive HSR002569</u></p> <p><u>Oxidising [5.1.1] HSR002570</u></p> <p><u>Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571</u></p> <p><u>Toxic [6.1C] HSR002572</u></p> <p><u>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards:</u></p> <p><u>For Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and class 5.2) and</u></p> <p><u>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances.</u></p> <p><del>must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</del></p> |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 92    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 19.3.17 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land as follows:</p> <p>19.3.17.4. Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u></p>   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 93    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions       | 25.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>FANZ seeks that a definition of Certified Nutrient Management Adviser to be included in the Plan.</p> <p><u>Certified Nutrient Management Adviser means a Nutrient Management Advisor certified under the Nutrient Management Adviser Certification Programme Limited.</u></p>   |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 94    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions       | 25.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the definition of 'farming' as notified.</p>  |       |          |                      |            |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 95    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions       | 25.        | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>FMU means Freshwater Management Unit.</p> <p>Freshwater Management Unit (FMU) as mapped on the Freshwater Management Unit Map 1 to 5.</p> <p><u>Freshwater Management Unit (FMU)</u></p> <p><u>A Freshwater Management Unit can be a water body, multiple water bodies or any part of a water body that is an appropriate spatial scale for setting freshwater objectives and limits and for freshwater accounting and management purposes.</u></p> <p><u>FMU's are mapped on the Freshwater Management Unit Maps 1 to 5.</u></p>  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 96    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                                     | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><u>Good Management Practice</u></p> <p><u>Practices, procedures or use of tools which are effective at achieving the desired performance while providing for environmental responsibility. Good management practice evolves through time and results in continuous improvement as new information, technology and awareness or particular issues are developed and disseminate. For example the Industry Agreed Good Management Practices prepared by the Canterbury Regional Council:</u><br/> <a href="http://ecan.govt.nz/GET-INVOLVED/MGMPROJECT/Pages/matrix-good-management.aspx">http://ecan.govt.nz/GET-INVOLVED/MGMPROJECT/Pages/matrix-good-management.aspx</a>.</p> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 97    | Volume 3 | Appendix 4 Determining Significant Adverse Effects |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Appendix 4.  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1192</b>        | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand   | 98    | Volume 3 | All  |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Appendix X - Farm Management Plan Requirements</p> <p>Part A - Farm Management Plans</p>   |       |          |  |           |                 |

A Farm Management Plan can be based on either of:

1. The material set out in Part B below;

OR

2. Industry prepared Farm Management Plan templates and guidance material that:

(a) Include the following minimum components:

(i) The matters set out in 1, 2, and 3 of Part B below;

(ii) Contains a methodology that will enable development of a plan that will identify actual and potential environmental effects and risks specific to the property, addresses those effects and risks and has a high likelihood of appropriately avoiding, remedying or mitigating those effects;

(iii) Performance measures that are capable of being audited; and

(b) Has been approved as meeting the criteria in (a) and being acceptable to the Marlborough District Council by the Chief Executive of the Marlborough District Council.

#### Part B - Farm Management Plan Default Content

The plan requirements will apply to:

1. a plan prepared for an individual property or farm enterprise; or

2. a plan prepared for an individual property which is part of a collective of properties, including an irrigation scheme, principal water supplier, or an Industry Certification Scheme.

The plan shall contain as a minimum:

1. Property or farm enterprise details

(a) Physical address

(b) Description of the ownership and name of a contact person

(c) Legal description of the land and farm identifier

2. A map(s) or aerial photograph at a scale that clearly shows:

(a) The boundaries of the property or land areas comprising the farm enterprise.

(b) The boundaries of the main land management units on the property or within the farm enterprise.

- (c) The location of permanent or intermittent rivers, streams, lakes, drains, ponds or wetlands.
  - (d) The location of riparian vegetation and fences adjacent to water bodies.
  - (e) The location on all waterways where stock access or crossings occurs.
  - (f) The location of any areas within or adjoining the property that are identified in a District Plan as "significant indigenous biodiversity".
3. A list of all Marlborough District Council resource consents held for the property or farm enterprise.
4. An assessment of the adverse environmental effects and risks associated with the farming activities and how the identified effects and risks will be managed, including irrigation, application of nutrients, effluent application, stock exclusion from waterways, offal pits and farm rubbish pits.
5. A description of how each of the following objectives will, where relevant, be met.
- (a) Nutrient management: To provide for sustainable production while minimising nutrient losses to water
  - (b) Irrigation management: To operate irrigation systems efficiently and ensuring that the actual use of water is monitored and is efficient.
  - (c) Soils management: To maintain or improve the physical and biological condition of the soils in order to minimise the movement of sediment, phosphorus and other contaminants to waterways.
  - (d) Collected animal effluent management: To manage the risks associated with the operation of effluent systems are compliant 365 days of the year.
  - (e) Livestock management: To manage wetlands and water bodies so that livestock are excluded as far as practicable from water, to avoid damage to the bed and margins of a waterbody, and to avoid the direct input of nutrients, sediment, and microbial pathogens.
  - (f) Offal pits: To manage the numbers and locations of pits to minimise risks to health and water quality.

The plan shall include for each objective in 5 above:

- (a) detail commensurate with the scale of the environmental effects and risks;
- (b) defined measurable targets that clearly set a pathway and timeframe for achievement;
- (c) a description of the good management practices together with actions required;
- (d) the records required to be kept for measuring performance and achievement of the target.

## Part C - Farming Information

Information to inform development of the Farm Management Plan includes the following:

1. Information detailing:

- (a) The site area to which the farming activity relates;
- (b) Monthly stocking rates (numbers, types and classes) including breakdown by stock class;
- (c) Annual yield of arable or horticultural produce;
- (d) A description of the farm management practices used on each block including:
  - (i) Ground cover - pasture, crops, fodder crops, non-grazed areas (including forestry, riparian and tree areas) and any crop rotation;
  - (ii) Stock management - lambing/calving/fawning dates and percentages, any purchases and sales and associated dates, types and age of stock;
  - (iii) Fertiliser application - types and quantities per hectare for each identified block, taking into account any crop rotation;
  - (iv) Quantities of introduced or exported feed;
- (e) Farm animal effluent, pig farm effluent, feed pad and stand-off pad effluent management including:
  - (i) Area of land used for effluent application;
  - (ii) Annual nitrogen loading rate and nitrogen load rate per application;
  - (iii) Instantaneous application rate;
- (f) Irrigation - areas, rates, monthly volumes and system type.

This information is to be collected for the period 1 July to 30 June.



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|--|-----------------|
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters  | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles                                   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>The fishing industry submitters support the principle: 'Where the Council and other agency manage the use of the same resource, it is important that any duplication in management is avoided.'</b></p> <p>Reflect the principle in relation to Policy 8.3.7 and Rule 16.7.5 (see relevant submissions below).</p> <p><b>The fishing industry submitters support the principle: 'Use of non-regulatory methods where possible.'</b></p> <p>Reflect the principle in relation to Policy 8.3.7, Rule 16.7.5 and Issue 13C objectives and policies (see relevant submissions below).</p> <p><b>The fishing industry submitters oppose in part the principle: 'The council will only intervene in the exercise of private property rights to protect the environment and wider public interests in the environment.'</b></p> <p>Amend the explanatory text of the principle to clarify that the Council's ability to intervene in the exercise of private property rights is restricted to property rights within its jurisdiction under the RMA and does not extend to property rights for fisheries resources managed under the Fisheries Act.</p> |       |          |                |  |                 |
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters  | 2     | Volume 1 | 2 Background   | Integrated management of the Marlborough environment | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend text on pages 2-2 and 2-3 by adding a new point after (a) and amending point (d), as follows:</p> <p><i>(new) <u>Natural and physical resources exist on different spatial scales, some of which extend well beyond Marlborough. Resources should be managed at a scale appropriate to the nature of the resource.</u></i></p> <p><i>(d) That natural and physical resources cannot be managed without having regard to the social, economic and cultural interests of the community in Marlborough <u>and New Zealand as a whole.</u></i></p>  |       |          |                |  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--|--------|
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 3     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Relationship of the MEP to other policy statements, standards and strategies | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the text on page 2-8 to explicitly recognise the relevance of the Fisheries Act and associated provisions in achieving the sustainable management of natural and physical resources.  |       |          |   |  |        |
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 4     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | Policy 3.1.1   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the introductory text on page 3-2 to acknowledge the Fisheries Deed of Settlement and its implementation under the Maori Fisheries Act 2004.</p> <p>Amend Policy 3.1.1 by adding a new clause, as follows:</p> <p><i>Management of natural and physical resources in Marlborough will be carried out in a manner that: ...</i></p> <p><u><i>(f) recognises the fishing rights allocated and protected under the Maori Fisheries Settlement and avoids, remedies or mitigates any adverse effects on the exercise of those rights caused by activities managed under the RMA</i></u></p>  |       |          |   |  |        |
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the explanatory text of Objective 4.1 by adding, after the words '<i>Freshwater is also used for the processing of crops</i>':</p> <p><u><i>Our fishing and aquaculture sectors rely on security of access to the coastal marine area, high coastal water quality, and healthy marine ecosystems.</i></u></p> <p>Add a new Policy and explanatory text following Policy 4.1.1, as follows:</p> <p>Policy: <u><i>Recognise the rights and interests of users of fisheries resources by integrating the Council's responsibilities under the RMA with the management of fisheries under the Fisheries Act 1996.</i></u></p> <p>Explanation: <u><i>Fisheries resources are managed by the Ministry for Primary Industries under the Fisheries Act 1996. The purpose of the Act is to provide for the utilisation of fisheries resources while ensuring sustainability. The Council has an important role, through the provisions of the MEP, to achieve the integrated management of natural and physical resources of the region. This includes fisheries resources. While the Council is not responsible for managing fisheries resources directly, it can, through the provisions of the MEP, ensure that integrated management is achieved and that adverse effects on fisheries resources and the management of fisheries under the Fisheries Act are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Policies throughout the MEP help achieve these outcomes.</i></u></p> |       |          |   |  |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.AER.1        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend 4.AER.1 'Monitoring effectiveness' by adding:<br><i>Marlborough continues to contribute over 1% of New Zealand's GDP.</i><br><i>Where appropriate, environmental accounting is used to monitor effectiveness.</i>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 7     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.10 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the explanatory text of Objective 5.10 by adding new text at the end of the explanation, as follows:<br><i>The Council is not responsible for allocating fisheries resources or access to fisheries resources, however, as this is the role of the Ministry of Primary Industries under the Fisheries Act 1996.</i> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 8     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.10.1 as follows:<br><i>Recognition that there are no inherent rights under the RMA to be able to use, develop or occupy the coastal marine area.</i>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 9     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Note support. Retain Policy 5.10.4.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 10    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.5  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Note support. Retain Policy 5.10.5.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 11    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Note support. Retain Policy 5.10.6.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 12    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Note support. Retain Policy 5.10.7.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 13    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.8  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Note support. Retain Policy 5.10.8.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 14    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Chapter 8 to identify individual provisions as RPS, R, C or D.  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 15    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.12 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 8.2.12 as follows:</p> <p><i>Encourage and support private landowners, community and industry groups, government agencies and others in their efforts to protect, restore, or re-establish areas of indigenous biodiversity.</i></p> <p>Amend the explanatory text of the policy by inserting, after the sentence ending 'Marine Reserves Act 1971'; the following new text:</p> <p><u><i>The Ministry for Primary Industries is responsible under the Fisheries Act 1996 for protecting indigenous biodiversity and habitat of particular significance for fisheries management from any adverse effects of fishing.</i></u></p> |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 16    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.7  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 8.3.7.  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 17    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.1         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete from Method 8.M.1 the following sentence:</p> <p><i>'Fishing activities using techniques or methods that disturb the seabed in the areas identified as an ecologically significant marine site will be prohibited.'</i></p>   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 18    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone    | 16.7.5.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 16.7.5.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 19    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.AER.1       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete the words '<i>There is an increase in the number of marine protected areas,</i>' and replace with:</p> <p><u><i>There is an increase in the protection provided to marine biodiversity through the adoption of the best available tool to address identified threats.</i></u></p>   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 20    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards        | Policy 11.1.7 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 11.1.7, as follows:<br><i>Mitigate the adverse effects of gravel extraction on ecological and recreational values, <u>existing uses of rivers and the coastal marine area</u>, water clarity and bank stability.</i>     |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 21    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.1.1 by adding a new provision:<br><i>e) <u>habitat of particular significance for fisheries management.</u></i>   |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 22    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.2.1 by adding a new position:<br><i>(h) <u>the importance of sustainable fisheries resources.</u></i>   |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 23    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.1        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new method:<br><i><u>Liaison with the Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations for the purposes of identifying and mapping habitats of particular significance for fisheries management.</u></i> |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 24    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.2        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new method:<br><i><u>Liaison with the Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations for the purposes of identifying and mapping habitats of particular significance for fisheries management.</u></i> |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 25    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.3        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new method:<br><i><u>Liaison with the Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations for the purposes of identifying and mapping habitats of particular significance for fisheries management.</u></i> |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 26    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.4        | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new method:<br><u>Liaison with the Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations for the purposes of identifying and mapping habitats of particular significance for fisheries management.</u>   |       |          |                                   |           |        |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters  | 27    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.5    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend 13.M.5 Affected party status, by adding the sentence:<br><u>The Ministry for Primary Industries will be treated as an affected party in respect of any resource consent application for a coastal permit, to enable an assessment of any potential impacts on fishing, fisheries resources, and habitats of particular significance for fisheries <b>management</b>.</u><br><br>Add a new method:<br><u>Liaison with the Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations for the purposes of identifying and mapping habitats of particular significance for fisheries management.</u>             |       |          |                                   |           |        |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters  | 28    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.6    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new method:<br><u>Liaison with the Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations for the purposes of identifying and mapping habitats of particular significance for fisheries management.</u>   |       |          |                                   |           |        |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters  | 29    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the introductory text on page 13-11 to read:<br><u>The waters of <del>the Marlborough Sounds</del> are important for fisheries for a number of reasons, including: ...</u><br><u><del>providing a livelihood for commercial fishers supporting a thriving seafood industry that provides economic and social benefits to Marlborough and New Zealand.</del></u><br><br>...<br><u>The Council can therefore indirectly help to maintain and enhance wild fisheries in <del>the Marlborough Sounds</del> by managing any adverse effects on marine habitats caused by activities over which it does have direct control.</u> |       |          |                                   |           |        |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters  | 30    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13C | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete Issue 13C and replace it with new Issue 13C as follows:</p> <p><u>Fishing is a significant activity in Marlborough's coastal marine area, but the sustainability of fisheries resource is threatened by various uses and activities.</u></p> <p>Amend the explanatory text beneath the Issue 13C, as follows:</p> <p><u>Maintenance of traditional access to fisheries is of particular importance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi. There is particular concern that traditional fisheries are being depleted. Under fisheries legislation, taiapure, rahui and mataitai are three mechanisms by which tangata whenua can seek greater control of the management of local customary fisheries. <del>Though the Council has no statutory role in either the establishment or management of these mechanisms, it may choose to support an application after consultation with interested parties.</del></u></p> <p><u>Although the number of commercial fishers has decreased over the years, fishers with quota for various species still operate from Picton, Havelock and other ports and the region produces seafood products that are exported to global markets. As a result of the settlement of Maori fishing claims, iwi own at least 10 -20 percent of all fishing quota in Marlborough. While numbers can fluctuate in response to economic circumstances, recreational fishing and diving are important recreational pursuits for Marlborough residents and visitors to the Marlborough Sounds. <del>For a number of years there has been</del> Although fish stocks are managed at levels that ensure sustainability under the Fisheries Act, some stocks have become depleted in localised areas, leading to ongoing community concern over the state of fish and shellfish stocks in the Marlborough Sounds and the sustainability of the recreational fisheries that they support.</u></p> <p><u>The causes of localised depletion are multiple and include intensive local fishing pressure as well as fisheries habitat degradation caused by coastal works and structures, and sediment and contaminants entering coastal waters from land-based activities in catchments.</u></p> |       |          |                                   |                |        |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 31    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete Objective 13.4 and replace it with new Objective 13.4:</p> <p><u>The sustainability of Marlborough's fisheries resources is ensured, enabling people to provide for their social, economic and cultural wellbeing.</u></p> <p>Amend the explanatory text beneath Objective 13.4 as follows:</p> <p><u>Despite not having a direct statutory role in managing fisheries (except to the extent outlined above), the Council has an important role in achieving integrated management, which includes taking account of other legislation designed to achieve sustainable management - such as Fisheries Act. believes it has an advocacy role in ensuring there is a sustainable fishery in the Marlborough Sounds. This is, It is appropriate that the MEP includes an objective to ensure the management of fisheries resources is sustainable because fishing activities, whether recreational, commercial or customary in nature, contribute to the economic, social, cultural and general community wellbeing of Marlborough's residents and visitors. <del>It is therefore appropriate that the MEP includes an objective to ensure the management of fisheries resources is sustainable.</del></u></p>   |       |          |                                   |                |        |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 32    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 13.4.1 and associated Method 13.M.9 and replace with a new policy and method (see below).   |       |          |                                   |                |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 33    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.2   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 13.4.2 and associated Method 13.M.9, and replace with a new policy and method (see below).  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 34    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Replace Policies 13.4.1 and 13.4.2 with new Policy 13.4.1 and explanatory text as follows:</p> <p><i>Avoid, remedy or mitigate effects on fishing and fisheries resources by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) avoiding activities and/or locating structures within significant fishing grounds where the activities and/or structures are incompatible with fishing activity;</i></p> <p><i>(b) for all activities requiring a resource consent that take place in, or may have adverse effects on, the coastal marine area, requiring the evaluation of adverse effects on fishing and fisheries resources;</i></p> <p><i>(c) promoting a cross-boundary approach to the management of adverse effects on fisheries resources by adjacent regional councils; and</i></p> <p><i>(d) protecting habitats of particular significance for fisheries management from the adverse effects of activities and structures.</i></p> <p>[Explanatory text] <i>The Council's primary responsibilities in relation to fisheries sustainability are controlling activities that have adverse effects on fisheries resources and fisheries habitat and achieving integrated management. Policy 13.4.1 consolidates facets of these responsibilities in a single policy.</i></p> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 35    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.9          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete Method 13.M.9 and replace with new Method 13.M.9:</p> <p><b><i>Partnership/liaison.</i></b> <i>Work closely with the Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations to develop and implement an integrated approach to ensuring the sustainability of fisheries resources at a scale appropriate to the fisheries under consideration.</i></p>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 36    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.8.2   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 13.8.2 by adding a new provision (d)(iv):</p> <p><i>(iv) commercial activities that take place in the coastal marine area, including commercial fishing.</i></p>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 37    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.5  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 13.10.5 by adding a new provision (g)(iv):</p> <p><i>(iv) fisheries resources.</i></p>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 38    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.11 | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.10.11 as follows:<br><i>(a) the necessity for the jetty (or alteration or extension), including whether it will be used for individual or community use of a commercial activity <del>on-land</del>.</i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |        |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters  | 39    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.14 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.10.14 as follows:<br><i>A jetty shall be used to facilitate access between a vessel and the land. A jetty shall not be used for storing boats, boating equipment, marine farming equipment or other gear, <u>other than to the extent necessary for an existing commercial operation.</u></i>  |       |          |                                   |                 |        |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters  | 40    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.19 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.10.19 as follows;<br><i>The purpose of a boatshed shall be to house boats, <del>and</del> boating equipment <u>and fishing-related equipment</u>. Where a boatshed is to be located in the coastal marine area or on land immediately adjacent to the coastal marine area and its use differs from the purpose described above, the activity is inappropriate in the coastal environment and is to be avoided.</i> |       |          |                                   |                 |        |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters  | 41    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.16 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.10.16 as follows:<br><i>(c) requiring the jetty to be made available for public use <u>except where this would be incompatible with existing commercial activities that use the jetty.</u></i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |        |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters  | 42    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.4  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.11.4 by adding a new provision (d)(iv):<br><i><u>(iv) fisheries resources.</u></i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |        |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters  | 43    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.18         | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Method 13.M.18 by adding the following sentence:<br><i><u>If a bylaw is developed, further consultation will be undertaken to identify areas in which the bylaw would apply and conditions under which vehicle access would be restricted.</u></i>   |       |          |                                   |                 |        |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters  | 44    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.3  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.16.3 by adding a new provision (h).<br><i><u>(h) fisheries resources.</u></i>   |       |          |  |                |        |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 45    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | Policy 13.18.7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.18.7 by adding a new provision (g)(iii):<br><i><u>(iii) fisheries resources.</u></i>  |       |          |  |                |        |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 46    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment      | 13.AER.16      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete 13.AER.16 and replace it with:<br><i><u>13.AER.16 Adverse effects of activities managed under the RMA on fisheries resources and habitats of particular significance for fisheries management are avoided, remedied or mitigated.</u></i><br><br>Amend 'Monitoring effectiveness' as follows:<br><i><del>Increased awareness and understanding of the respective roles of Council and other parties in coastal and marine management.</del></i><br><i><u>Ongoing communication with the Minister of Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations in respect of the sustainable management of natural and physical resources as it related to fishing activities.</u></i><br><br><i><u>Monitor complaints from fisheries stakeholders about impacts on fisheries arising from activities controlled under the RMA.</u></i> |       |          |  |                |        |
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 47    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.1, as follows:<br><i>As a minimum, the quality of freshwater and coastal water will be managed so that they are suitable for the following purposes:</i><br><i><u>(a) Coastal waters: protection of marine ecosystems and fisheries resources; potential for contact recreation and <del>food gathering</del> seafood harvesting/marine farming; and for coastal and aesthetic purposes;....</u></i>  |       |          |  |                |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 48    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.11 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.1.11, as follows:</p> <p><i>When considering any discharge permit application of contaminants to water, regard will be had to...</i></p> <p><i>(b) the extent to which contaminants <u>and sediment</u> present in the discharge have been removed or reduced through treatment;...</i></p>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 49    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.15 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.1.15, as follows:</p> <p><i>With the exception of stormwater discharges, the water quality classification standards will be met at the point of discharge, where a discharge is:..</i></p> <p><i>(a) within 500 metres of any <u>habitat of particular significance for fisheries management or any marine farming activity in freshwater or coastal waters.</u></i></p>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 50    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.21 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.1.21, as follows:</p> <p><i>Manage the adverse effects of urban stormwater discharges on water quality by applying management to activities within each urban stormwater catchment in order to reduce the potential for stormwater to become contaminated <u>or entrain sediment loadings</u> at source.</i></p>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 51    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.9         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Method 15.M.9 to include reference to reduction of sediment levels using Stormwater Management Area Plans.</p>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 710                | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 52    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.1.32, as follows:</p> <p><i>In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land <u>in the coastal environment</u> or in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to: ...</i></p> <p><i>(b)(iv) <del>for freshwater</del>, the potential effects of increased turbidity on:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the MEP; <del>or on</del></i></li> <li>- <i>the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 3 of the MEP; <u>and</u></i></li> <li>- <i><u>fisheries resources.</u></i></li> </ul> |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision          | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|--------------------|-----------------|
| <b>710</b>         | The Fishing Industry Submitters   | 53    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.AER.2           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 15.AER.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>Water quality in Marlborough's coastal waters is suitable to support and sustain swimming, <del>food gathering</del>, <u>seafood harvesting and marine farming</u>, and marine ecosystems <u>and fisheries resources</u>.</i></p> <p>Amend 15.AER.2 by adding a new mechanism for monitoring effectiveness, as follows:</p> <p><i><u>The number, duration and location of incidences in which seafood harvesting is prohibited or restricted for public health reasons.</u></i></p> |       |          |  |                    |                 |
| <b>1295</b>        | The Lazy Fish Partnership   | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                  | 7.2.1.5.           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 7.2.1.5. (inferred)   |       |          |  |                    |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 1     | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                         | Guiding principles | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Guiding Principles Volume 1, Chapter 1.  |       |          |  |                    |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.1.               | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain permitted activities relevant to forestry.   |       |          |  |                    |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.6.1.           | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to standard 3.3.6.1:</p> <p><b>3.3.6.1. The following species must not be planted and any other species that is planted must be controlled for wilding pine spread beyond the boundary by using the national Wilding Spread Risk Calculator before planting:</b></p> <p>That the following additional controls are included under heading 3.3.6 to prevent wilding pine spread in South Marlborough:</p> <p><b>3.3.6.x. The risk of tree spread using the industry Wilding Spread Risk calculator is assessed prior to planting taking place.</b></p> <p><b>3.3.6.y. Planting must not be within 50m of a ridge.</b></p> <p><b>3.3.6.z. Any species that is planted must be controlled for wilding spread beyond the boundary and or into the coastal setback area. The cost of this control must be met by the forestry industry through a levy on logs harvested.</b></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.7.3 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.7.3. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(c) 200m of the coastal marine area;</i></p> <p><b>(d) encourage native regeneration within the setbacks.</b></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.8.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.8.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.9.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.9.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.1.    | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (bold) are added to Rule 3.7.1:<br><br><i>Rule 3.7.1 Commercial forestry planting <b>and harvesting</b>, carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry planting <b>and harvesting</b> on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, that has not previously been planted in lawfully established commercial, carbon sequestration (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry.</i><br><br>To avoid this becoming an ongoing source of wilding pines suggest an option to harvest once (or poison) and take measures to control wilding pines while the land is regenerating. |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.7.2.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.2.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.7.3.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.3.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 11    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | 14.AER.7      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That <b><i>Control of wilding pines in South Marlborough</i></b> is added to 14.AER.7.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 12    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.15.<br><br>That land use consent is required for for replanting existing forestry in flow sensitive areas.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 13    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.16.<br><br>Also suggest land use consent for replanting existing forestry in flow sensitive areas.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 14    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment |           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments are made for the Coastal Environment Zone:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>A new policy and rules aimed at preventing wilding pines spreading beyond the borders of commercial forestry.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>A requirement to assess the risk of tree spread for a site using the industry Wilding Spread Risk Calculator, before planting takes place.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>Where there is wilding pine spread that is obviously from a plantation area (ie "tree rain" out of a planted area) the control of them should be the responsibility of the forestry owner. This and other wilding pine control could be met by an industry levy on logs harvested. At the moment the cost of wilding pine control is being met by affected landowners and a voluntary trust through community sponsorship and grants. It is not fair or sustainable for the community, rather than the industry, to pay for this.</i></b></li> </ul> |       |          |                                   |           |                 |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 15    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone        | 4.3.7.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That the list of non-permitted species also applies to commercial forestry.  |       |          |                                   |           |                 |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 16    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone        | 4.3.6.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 4.3.6.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.6.1 Replanting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) <del>8</del><b>10</b> metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</i></p> <p><i>(b) <del>8</del><b>10</b> m of a Significant Wetland;</i></p> <p><i>(c) <del>30</del><b>100</b> metres of the coastal marine area.</i></p>  |       |          |                                   |           |                 |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 17    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character               | 6.AER.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That " <b><i>Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds</i></b> " is added to 6.AER.1.   |       |          |                                   |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 18    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | 7.M.6     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Consider providing funding to wilding pine control programmes and other community initiated control programmes for undesirable plants and animals.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 19    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | 7.M.7     | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following are included in 7.M.7:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Research is undertaken into alternative forestry and land use options such as permanent sink forestry for pine forest owners in the Marlborough Sounds.</li> <li>• Investigations of how best to manage the transition from pine plantations to the chosen alternatives in a manner that minimises landscape effects, especially those caused by wilding pines.</li> </ul>  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 20    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Implementation of the recommendations from the MDC Technical Report Mitigating Fine Sediment from Forestry in the Coastal Waters of the Marlborough Sounds (Nov 2015) (a link to this document is provided in the submission). A number of options are evaluated for improving soil conservation and water quality, and thereby helping to maintain indigenous biodiversity within the Sounds.</p> <p>That the following new standards are added to 4.3.6 Commercial forestry replanting (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><b><i>Standard 4.3.6.B Assess the risk of tree spread using the industry Wilding Spread Risk calculator, prior to planting taking place.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Standard 4.3.6.C No planting within 50m of a ridge.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Standard 4.3.6.D Replanting of areas harvested within 12 months of harvest.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Standard 4.3.6.E Replanting in excess of 1000 stems/hectare.</i></b></p> <p>That the above new standards also apply to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.</p> |       |          |                            |           |         |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 21    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.  | Oppose  |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.6.1 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p>4.3.6.1 Replanting must not be in, or within:</p> <p>(a) <del>810</del> metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</p> <p>(b) <del>810</del> metres of a Significant Wetland;</p> <p>(c) <del>30100</del> metres of the coastal marine area.;</p> <p><b>(d) 5 metres for streams less than 3 metres in width and 10 metres for steams equal to, or greater than, 3 metres in width.</b></p> <p>That control of pine re-growth and management of wildings in this coastal set-back is the responsibility of the plantation owner.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 22    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.1.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.7.1 with the addition that there is no new commercial exotic forestry planting in the Marlborough Sounds.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 23    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.2.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Reconsider prohibited activity 4.7.2. This could become an ongoing source of wilding pines. Suggest an option to harvest once (or poison) and take measures to control wilding pines while the land is regenerating.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 24    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.3.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following tree species are included in prohibited activity Rule 4.7.3:</p> <p><b>Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>);</b><br/> <b>Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>);</b><br/> <b>European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>);</b><br/> <b>Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>);</b></p> <p><b>Corsican pine (<i>Pinus nigra</i>).</b></p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                         | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 25           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.6.3.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.6.3.  |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 26           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.6.4.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.6.4.  |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 27           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.7.1.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.7.1.  |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 28           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.7.2.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.7.2.  |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 29           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.13.6.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on excavation. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included. |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 30           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.15.5.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.15.5.   |              |               |  |                  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 31           | Volume 1      | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15C        | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new Methods of Implementation are included under Issue 15C:</p> <p><i>Management purpose (15-11)</i></p> <p><b>15.M.X Replanting Management Plan</b><br/> <b>A mandatory plan that identifies areas at high risk of erosion and require retirement and implementation of buffers, such as gully heads and steep ephemeral gullies.</b></p> <p><b>Incentives</b><br/> <b>15.M.X Greater recognition and encouragement of planting permanent forest (not for harvest) or allowing native regeneration. Assistance could include rates rebate and funding for control of wilding pines.</b></p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 32    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new standards are included under Heading 4.3.13:</p> <p><b>4.3.13.X All road design, construction, and maintenance to be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.</b></p> <p><b>4.3.13.Y All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation.</b></p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 33    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new standard is included under Heading 4.3.8 Woodlot forestry harvesting:</p> <p><b>4.3.8.X All woody material &gt;100 mm diameter and &gt;3 metres in length from gullies (&gt;5000m or 0.5 hectare) must be removed as soon as practicable, but no later than 1 month, after harvest.</b></p> <p>That the above new standard also applies to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.</p>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 34    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.16.8. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.16.8:<br><i>Standard 3.3.16.8 Filling must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(a) <del>8100</del>m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing), lake or the coastal marine area;</i>              |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 35    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.15.9.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 4.3.15.9:<br><i>Standard 4.3.15.9 Filling must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(a) <del>8100</del>m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing), lake or the coastal marine area;</i>              |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 36    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.14.1.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.14.1.<br>That the following new standard is added under the heading 3.3.14 Excavation ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><b><i>Standard 3.3.14.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3.</i></b> Note that the submission does not include a slope limit for the above new standard. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 37    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone       | 4.3.13.1.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.13.1.<br>That the following new standard is added under the heading 4.3.13 Excavation:<br><b><i>Standard 4.3.13.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3.</i></b> Note that the submission does not include a slope limit for the above new standard.                     |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 38    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.4.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 39    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.5.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 40    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.6.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 41    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.7.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 42    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.10.8 (<i>inferred</i>):<br/> Policy 5.10.8 - Any coastal occupancy charges collected will be used on the following to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area:</p> <p><b>(x) Programmes such as the Marlborough Marine Futures collaborative process to develop integrated management of the Marlborough Sounds.</b></p>  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 43    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.5.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That further protection is provided by prohibiting anchoring and dredging in a buffer zone around these sites and any future marine protected areas. The size of these buffer zones to be determined as recommended in the MDC Expert Panel Assessment 2014-2015 (a link to this document is provided in the submission).</p> <p>The submission includes Figure 1 from the Panel assessment that shows sites that should be prohibited to anchoring due to rhodolith beds, tubeworm mounds, and bryozoan gardens.</p> |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 44    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                     |               | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Ecologically Significant Marine Sites Overlays.  |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 45    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone   | 16.7.2.      | Support |
| Decision Requested | That a collaborative approach is established between Council and boating clubs, recreational fishers and tourism operators to come up with workable regulations to keep human sewage out of the coastal marine environment.   |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 46    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone   | 16.7.3.      | Support |
| Decision Requested | That a collaborative approach is established between Council and boating clubs, recreational fishers and tourism operators to come up with workable regulations to keep human sewage out of the coastal marine environment.   |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 47    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character      | 6.           | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Volume 1, Chapter 6 Natural Character.   |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 48    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character      | Policy 6.2.5 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 6.2.5:<br><br><i><del>Policy 6.2.5 Recognise that development in parts of the coastal environment and in those rivers and lakes and their margins that have already been modified by past and present resource use activities is less likely to result in adverse effects on natural character.</del></i> |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 49    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape              | 7.           | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Volume 1, Chapter 7 Landscape.   |       |          |                          |              |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 50    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.13.    | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.2.1.13 ( <b>inferred</b> ):<br><i>3.2.1.13. On land within <del>the Limestone</del> <b>any</b> Coastline Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape:</i>   |       |          |                          |            |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 51    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.6.2(i) and (j) ( <b>inferred</b> ):<br><i>Standard 3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(i) <del>the Limestone Coastline</del> <b>any</b> Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape;</i><br><i>(j) <del>the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape.</del></i>  |       |          |                          |            |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 52    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.8.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.14.8 ( <b>inferred</b> ):<br><i>There must be no excavation in excess of 500m<sup>3</sup> per Computer Register Computer Register located within <del>the following</del> <b>any</b> Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes within any 12 month period.:</i><br><i>(a) <del>Chalk Range;</del></i><br><i>(b) <del>Inland Kaikoura Range;</del></i><br><i>(c) <del>Molesworth Station and Upper Glarence;</del> (<b>inferred</b>)</i><br><i>(d) <del>Limestone Coastline.</del></i> |       |          |                          |            |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 53    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.10. | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.16.10 (<b>inferred</b>):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.16.10 There must be no excavation in excess of 500m3 per Computer Register Computer Register located within <del>the following</del> <b>any</b> Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes within any 12 month period.</i></p> <p><i>(a) Chalk Range;</i></p> <p><i>(b) Inland Kaikoura Range;</i></p> <p><i>(c) Molesworth Station and Upper Clarence; (<b>inferred</b>)</i></p> <p><i>(d) Limestone Coastline.</i></p> |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 54    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.2.1.14.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.2.1.14 (<b>inferred</b>):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.2.1.14 On land within <del>the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape</del>; <b>any</b> Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes:</i></p>   |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 55    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                | Policy 7.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Policy 7.2.7.</p> <p>That appropriate controls therefore apply to all of the Marlborough Sounds environment (both Outstanding and Coastal Landscape).</p>   |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 56    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.11.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That additional controls on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.</p> <p>In regard to all of the references to exterior paint requiring a light reflectance value of 45% or less, SEEK that this is amended to include "all exterior cladding must have a reflectance value of 45% or less" to avoid large areas of unpainted highly reflective corrugated iron on new buildings (including roof).</p>              |       |          |                            |              |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 57    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5.1.       | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That additional controls are included on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.  |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 58    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on filling. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 59    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               |           | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the Wairau River continue to be classified as Outstanding Natural Landscape (ONL) from the source to the Waihopai confluence.   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 60    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.1.    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That farmers should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of farming activities and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p>                                   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 61    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.7.    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That forestry companies should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of commercial forestry harvesting activities and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p> |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 62    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.11.   | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That those undertaking indigenous vegetation clearance should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of such activity and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p>     |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 63    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.12.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That those undertaking non-indigenous vegetation clearance should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of such activity and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 64    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.21.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That farmers should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of such activity and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p>   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 65    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That those applying agrichemical into or onto land should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of such activity and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p>         |       |          |                          |           |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 66           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23.          | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That those applying fertiliser into or onto land should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of such activity and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p>   |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 67           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.26.          | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That wineries, which are large companies, should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of wastewater management and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p>  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 68           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.28.          | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That those discharging dairy farm effluent into or onto land should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of such activity and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p>                                     |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 69           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.33.          | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That those making compost or silage in a pit or stack, or stockpiling agricultural solid waste should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of such activities and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p> |              |               |                          |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>            | <b>Provision</b>                                     | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|--|-------------|
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 70           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.7  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.7.  |              |               |                           |  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 71           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.8  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.8.  |              |               |                           |  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 72           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.9  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.9.  |              |               |                           |  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 73           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.3   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.1.3.   |              |               |                           |  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 74           | Volume 1      | 2 Background              | Integrated management of the Marlborough environment | Support     |
| Decision Requested | <p>That scientific reports on environmental issues are also easily accessed by the public.</p> <p>That the dissemination of information about natural resources and their use to the wider public becomes policy.</p> <p>That compliance monitoring results be made publically available.</p> <p>That the Marlborough District Council website include a list of easily-searched resource consents including those associated with discharges to land of wastewater and solid waste, and salmon farm E.S. levels. Monitoring reports should be immediately posted, along with other relevant documents such as hearings documents.</p> |              |               |                           |  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 75    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.6.3.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.6.3.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 76    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.6.8.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.6.8.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 77    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.34 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That a requirement that dairy farms, all farms with high nutrient leaching risk and those with non-compliance issues write a Farm Environment Plan (FEP) (including a nutrient management plan) which is regularly audited with a requirement for practices identified as risking damage to the environment to be corrected within a designated timeframe.</p> <p>The FEP must include an assessment of the adverse environmental effects, risks associated with the farming activities and how those effects and risks will be managed, including irrigation, application of nutrients, effluent application, stock exclusion from waterways, ofal pits and farm rubbish pits. FEP should be audited annually then less often if practices consistently reach a high standard.</p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 78    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15C      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That new vineyards require resource consent.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 79    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.28.8.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 3.3.28.8.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 80    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.28.9.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 3.3.28.9.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 81    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.28.10.     | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 3.3.28.10.  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 82    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 3.3.28.11.  |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 83    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.4.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the provisions support the following best practice: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity and</li> <li>The discharge rate should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.</li> </ul> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 84    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.5.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the provisions support the following best practice: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity and</li> <li>The discharge rate should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.</li> </ul> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 85    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.6.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the provisions support the following best practice: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity and</li> <li>The discharge rate should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.</li> </ul> |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 86    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following new standard is included under heading 3.3.28:<br><b><i>Standard 3.3.28.X ALL dairy farmers must use the Dairy NZ Dairy Effluent Storage Calculator (or similar) to assess how much storage their system needs based on soil type and rainfall records.</i></b>  |       |          |                          |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 87    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the provisions support the following best practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity and</li> <li>• The discharge rate should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.</li> </ul> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 88    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the provisions support the following best practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity and</li> <li>• The discharge rate should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.</li> </ul> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 89    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the provisions support the following best practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity and</li> <li>• The discharge rate should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.</li> </ul> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 90    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new standard is included under heading 4.3.27:</p> <p><b><i>Standard 4.3.27.X ALL dairy farmers must use the Dairy NZ Dairy Effluent Storage Calculator (or similar) to assess how much storage their system needs based on soil type and rainfall records.</i></b></p>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 91    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>We seek the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That livestock be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands with suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place.</li> <li>• All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees.</li> <li>• That sheep are also excluded where they are being break-fed or otherwise very intensively grazed. Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate.</li> </ul> <p>Another way to clarify requirements for stock exclusion to rivers could be to use the rivers listed in Table 15.1 Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation as a basis for excluding stock.</p> <p>A measure of the effects of stock access to water ways could be that there is no obvious heavy pugging or bare soil due to repeated or intense stock trampling alongside or within the beds of rivers.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 92    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>We seek the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That livestock be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands with suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place.</li> <li>• All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees.</li> <li>• That sheep are also excluded where they are being break-fed or otherwise very intensively grazed. Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate.</li> </ul> <p>Another way to clarify requirements for stock exclusion to rivers could be to use the rivers listed in Table 15.1 Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation as a basis for excluding stock.</p> <p>A measure of the effects of stock access to water ways could be that there is no obvious heavy pugging or bare soil due to repeated or intense stock trampling alongside or within the beds of rivers.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 93    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.2. | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>We seek the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That livestock be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands with suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place.</li> <li>• All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees.</li> <li>• That sheep are also excluded where they are being break-fed or otherwise very intensively grazed. Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate.</li> </ul> <p>Another way to clarify requirements for stock exclusion to rivers could be to use the rivers listed in Table 15.1 Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation as a basis for excluding stock.</p> <p>A measure of the effects of stock access to water ways could be that there is no obvious heavy pugging or bare soil due to repeated or intense stock trampling alongside or within the beds of rivers.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 94    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>We seek the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That livestock be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands with suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place.</li> <li>• All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees.</li> <li>• That sheep are also excluded where they are being break-fed or otherwise very intensively grazed. Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate.</li> </ul> <p>Another way to clarify requirements for stock exclusion to rivers could be to use the rivers listed in Table 15.1 Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation as a basis for excluding stock.</p> <p>A measure of the effects of stock access to water ways could be that there is no obvious heavy pugging or bare soil due to repeated or intense stock trampling alongside or within the beds of rivers.</p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 95    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.11.4.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.11.4.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 96           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 97           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 98           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.31.1.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 3.3.31.1.   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 99           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.26.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We seek that wineries be required to carry out and pay for annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of wastewater management. Results would be publically available with repeat offenders publicised. |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 100          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.33.1.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 101          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.33.2.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 102          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.33.3.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |              |               |                            |                  |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 103   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 104   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We seek that 3.3.33.5 also require that piles of agricultural solid waste including manure be banded to capture leachate. We also seek a rule setting a volume limit for such piles as the rule now stands, they could be massive with correspondingly large effect. Note these have been included in submission point #106.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 105   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 106   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new standards are included under heading 3.3.33:</p> <p><b><i>Standard 3.3.33.x Any pit, stack or stockpile must be banded.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Standard 3.3.33.y The volume of solid waste within any pit, stack or stockpile must not exceed x m3.</i></b></p> <p>The submission does not include a maximum volume of solid waste that be within pits, stacks or stockpiles.</p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 107   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the additional standards are included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recycling sprayers be used on Sensitive free-draining soils.</li> <li>• Recycling sprayers be used on vineyards neighbouring Significant Wetlands.</li> <li>• Having used agrichemicals, winegrowing companies be required to measure soil and water for spray residues, at a cost to the grower, not ratepayers.</li> <li>• Grape growers be required to submit spray diaries to the Marlborough District Council on request.</li> <li>• Grape growers must notify neighbours if spraying.</li> <li>• The application must not result in agrichemical being deposited in or onto any nearby property.</li> </ul> |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 108   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>We seek that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The description is extended to include free-draining riverbed soils including berms.</li> <li>The description is extended to soils in close proximity to estuaries and inlets.</li> <li>The classification of Rarangi as a Soil Sensitive Area because of the free draining soils the water from these wells is vulnerable to contamination.</li> </ul> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 109   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new rule is included as a prohibited activity in the Rural Environment Zone:</p> <p><b>3.7.x CCA treated posts in Soil Sensitive Areas.</b></p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 110   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new rule is included as a prohibited activity in the Coastal Environment Zone:</p> <p><b>4.7.x CCA treated posts in Soil Sensitive Areas.</b></p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 111   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.9.4.3.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 2.9.4.3.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 112   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.3.17.1. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 2.3.17.1.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1193               | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 113   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.2.19.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.19.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                                | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 114          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.8.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.8.                              |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 115          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.9.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.9.                              |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 116          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.10.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.10.                             |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 117          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.11.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.11.                             |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 118          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.3.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 3.3.14.3(b).                    |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 119          | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.12.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 3.3.14.12.                      |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 120          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.9.5.3.         | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 2.9.5.3.                        |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 121          | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.22.1.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain 2.22.1.                                  |              |               |                          |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 122   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.14.9. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 3.3.14.9.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 123   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.39.2.2. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 2.39.2.2.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 124   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.6.4.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Rarangi wetlands is included in Rule 2.6.4.   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 125   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules            | 2.6.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following new prohibited activity is included under 2.6:<br><b><i>2.6.x Take, use, damming and diversion of Lake Elterwater.</i></b>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 126   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.7.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following new rule is included as prohibited activities:<br><b><i>3.7.x Permitting cattle and deer entering any Significant Wetland or the bed of any lake.</i></b>                     |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 127   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following new rule is included as prohibited activities ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><b><i>4.7.x Permitting cattle and deer entering any Significant Wetland or the bed of any lake.</i></b> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated  | 128   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions             | 25.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That a definition of the "edge" of a wetland is included in the MEP.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 129   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity                 | Policy 8.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a process to identify and add Significant Wetlands which have been missed, after the Plan is notified, is included in the MEP.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 130   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 131   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity                 | 8.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain indigenous biodiversity and indigenous vegetation clearance protection initiatives objectives and policies.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 132   | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity                 | Policy 8.2.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.2.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 133   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.11.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.11.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 134   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.11.5.    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the clearance of more than 1,000m2 of indigenous forest (over 6 metres) per Computer Register in any 5 year period is changed from a permitted activity to a discretionary activity. |       |          |   |              |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 135          | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.10.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.1.10.   |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 136          | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.5.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That the clearance of more than 1,000m2 of indigenous forest (over 6 metres) per Computer Register in any 5 year period is changed from a permitted activity to a discretionary activity. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 137          | Volume 2      | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.1.9.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 7.1.9.  |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 138          | Volume 2      | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.3.7.5.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That the clearance of more than 1,000m2 of indigenous forest (over 6 metres) per Computer Register in any 5 year period is changed from a permitted activity to a discretionary activity. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1193</b>        | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated   | 139          | Volume 1      | All                        |                  | Oppose      |



Decision Requested

The Marlborough Environment Centre SEEKS consideration of the following issues and inclusion in the MEP:

1. Consideration of the location and distribution of proposals involving Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs) on a district basis, together with protection of rural resources for organic or biodynamic farming, are important resource management matters for consideration by territorial authorities in carrying out their functions under the RMA.

**Potential Adverse Effects**

2. The outdoor use of GMOs has a potential to cause significant adverse effects on the environment. Adverse effects could include (inter alia):

(a) biological or ecosystem harm;

(b) harm to other existing or potential forms of land use including:

(i) organic farming (including organic certification and the requirement to be GMO free); and

(ii) agricultural activities dependent on an uncontaminated environmental brand.

3. GMOs have the potential to adversely affect ecological, economic, and resource management values, and the social and cultural well-being of people, communities and tangata whenua.

4. Application of integrated management and a precautionary approach to GMOs under the RMA is the best available technique for managing the potential adverse effects posed by GMOs to other land uses within the district.

**Sustainable Management and Part II**

5. It is consistent with the sustainable management purpose and Part II of the RMA to establish district plan provisions (e.g. issues, objectives, policies, rules and methods) that manage the release, location and management of GMOs where they have the potential to adversely affect other land use activities.

That the following policies to be adopted by the Council under the Proposed Plan:

a. To adopt a precautionary approach to the management of GMOs by prohibiting the field-testing or release of a GMO.

b. To adopt a resource management framework for the management of GMO that is District specific taking into account environmental, economic and social well-being considerations.

To review the Plan provisions relating to GMOs, particularly if there is new information that becomes available on the benefits/adverse effects of a Genetically Modified Organism activity.

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| 997                | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7):<br><i>"Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."</i>   |       |          |   |                               |                 |
| 997                | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8):<br><i>"Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."</i>  |       |          |   |                               |                 |
| 997                | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | National Transportation Route | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The National Transportation Route map should be redrafted to show the route as being confined to the main part of Tory Channel and Queen Charlotte Sound.   |       |          |   |                               |                 |
| 997                | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the natural character mapping in Waihinau Bay;<br>AND<br>Remove the natural character overlay from:<br>- The eastern headlands of Waitata Reach (for example, at the entrance to Forsyth and Richmond Bays); and<br>- The headland at the northeastern entrance to Waitata Bay.<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that salmon farms do no adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |                               |                 |
| 997                | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 5     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                               | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the natural character mapping in Waihinau Bay;<br>AND<br>Remove the natural character overlay from:<br>- The eastern headlands of Waitata Reach (for example, at the entrance to Forsyth and Richmond Bays); and<br>- The headland at the northeastern entrance to Waitata Bay.<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that salmon farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited  | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the high natural character overlay from the land on the western side of Crail Bay.<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that salmon farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited  | 7     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the high natural character overlay from the land on the western side of Crail Bay.<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that salmon farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited  | 8     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the natural character overlay from the Ruakaka and Otanerau Bays;<br>AND<br>The natural character of Tory Channel should be accurately mapped;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that salmon farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited  | 9     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                               | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove the natural character overlay from the Ruakaka and Otanerau Bays;<br>AND<br>The natural character of Tory Channel should be accurately mapped;<br>OR<br>The MEP should expressly recognise that salmon farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 10    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1                            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping of Waitata, Waihinau, Forsyth and Richmond Bays;<br>AND<br>Remove the ONL mappings of the western headlands at the entrance to Waitata Reach.  |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 11    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4                            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping.   |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 12    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5                            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping, save for reducing the western extent of the ONL overlay on the southeastern headland at the entrance to Tory Channel.   |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 13    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission.   |       |          |              |   |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b>                        | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|---|-------------|
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 14           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 2 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. |              |               |                |   |             |
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 15           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. |              |               |                |   |             |
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 16           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. |              |               |                |   |             |
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 17           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 5 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. |              |               |                |   |             |
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 18           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 6 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. |              |               |                |   |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b>                         | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|--|-------------|
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 19           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 7  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 20           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 21           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 22           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 10 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 23           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 11 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. |              |               |                |  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b>                         | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|--|-------------|
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 24           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 12 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission.                       |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 25           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 13 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission.                       |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 26           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission.                       |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 27           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 15 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission.                       |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 28           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 16 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission.                       |              |               |                |  |             |
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 29           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Marine Mammal (Whale) Map 17 is redrafted to be consistent with the text of the Davidson 2011 Ecologically Significant Marine Sites report. |              |               |                |  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b>                         | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|--|-------------|
| <b>997</b>         | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited   | 30           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps               | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend map 18, so that area 4.17 does not extend into East Bay.                          |              |               |                            |  |             |
| <b>1194</b>        | The Sunshine Trust  | 1            | Volume 2      | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.                                  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.                   |              |               |                            |  |             |
| <b>1194</b>        | The Sunshine Trust  | 2            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.                                 | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.                     |              |               |                            |  |             |
| <b>1194</b>        | The Sunshine Trust  | 3            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.                                   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. |              |               |                            |  |             |
| <b>1194</b>        | The Sunshine Trust  | 4            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.                                   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. |              |               |                            |  |             |
| <b>1194</b>        | The Sunshine Trust  | 5            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.                                  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |              |               |                            |  |             |
| <b>1194</b>        | The Sunshine Trust  | 6            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.                                  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |              |               |                            |  |             |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1194</b>        | The Sunshine Trust                                      | 7            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1194</b>        | The Sunshine Trust                                      | 8            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1194</b>        | The Sunshine Trust                                      | 9            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1194</b>        | The Sunshine Trust                                      | 10           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1194</b>        | The Sunshine Trust                                      | 11           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1194</b>        | The Sunshine Trust                                      | 12           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1194</b>        | The Sunshine Trust                                      | 13           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>1194</b>        | The Sunshine Trust                                      | 14           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b>                         | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|--|-------------|
| <b>1194</b>        | The Sunshine Trust   | 15           | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps                |  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.  |              |               |                            |  |             |
| <b>1194</b>        | The Sunshine Trust   | 16           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.                                  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.                |              |               |                            |  |             |
| <b>1194</b>        | The Sunshine Trust   | 17           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.                                  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.                |              |               |                            |  |             |
| <b>1194</b>        | The Sunshine Trust   | 18           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.31.                                  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.  |              |               |                            |  |             |
| <b>1194</b>        | The Sunshine Trust   | 19           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.                                  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.  |              |               |                            |  |             |
| <b>897</b>         | Luom Thikim  | 1            | All           | All                        |  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. |              |               |                            |  |             |
| <b>465</b>         | Ray Thomas   | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps               | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Redo Plan so it protects the tube worm but allows existing marine farms to stay.                                 |              |               |                            |  |             |
| <b>1136</b>        | Steven Thomas  | 1            | All           | All                        |  | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>203</b>         | Thomas Norton Te Awaiti Ltd   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources  | Issue 5J      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the coastal occupancy charges section from the environment plan.   |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>203</b>         | Thomas Norton Te Awaiti Ltd   | 2     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the proposed section completely of land linking and one mooring per parcel of land. The bays where new mooring are creating an over crowding may need to look at sharing moorings or pushing out moorings to slightly deeper water and having to row a bit further. In the old days some of our deceased family members rowed from Tory Channel to Picton and back so 150 meter row in a bay is not too far. |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>898</b>         | Luke Thompson   | 1     | All      | All                               |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>1137</b>        | Sam Thompson  | 1     | All      | All                               |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>4</b>           | Herb Thomson  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone          | 3.3.31.1.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recommendation to allow the burial of old wire, netting, broken standards and stables from old fences.  |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>102</b>         | Donald Thomson  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone            | 16.7.2.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.  |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>102</b>         | Donald Thomson  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone            | 16.7.3.       | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.  |       |          |                                   |              |         |
| <b>110</b>         | Herb Thomson  | 1     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.18      | Support |
| Decision Requested | No change keep current policy   |       |          |                                   |              |         |
| <b>111</b>         | Herb Thomson  | 1     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Policy 9.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | To control on activitys in the area. ie vehicles, commercial operations, dogs.  |       |          |                                   |              |         |
| <b>112</b>         | Herb Thomson  | 1     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | 9.M.9        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Council to also include liasing with adacent land owners and public where the use of vehicles on the foreshore and seabed frontages.<br>Areas of concern Needles rocks to Cape Cambell.   |       |          |                                   |              |         |
| <b>113</b>         | Herb Thomson  | 1     | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone              | 19.1.3.      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Decision is to rezone to another open space zone to exclude camping or keep open zone 3 and prohibit camping in this zone.<br>Currently DOC, private landowners and camp sites are being used and enjoyed by visitors to the area in suitable locations |       |          |                                   |              |         |
| <b>114</b>         | Herb Thomson  | 1     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Policy 9.4.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Limited vehicle access.   |       |          |                                   |              |         |
| <b>115</b>         | Herb Thomson  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                   | 2.3.16.1.    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Leave as current size 20000m3   |       |          |                                   |              |         |
| <b>116</b>         | Herb Thomson  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                   | 2.41.1.      | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Keep policy<br>Resource consent not needed.   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>117</b>         | Herb Thomson  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                           | 2.42.1.4   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Increase impulse noise level. Explosive and small arms are often louder than 122dBC   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>118</b>         | Herb Thomson  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.21.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Keep rule   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>119</b>         | Herb Thomson  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.7.4.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | -For government to assist financially for fencing water ways and providing bridging for stock to cross waterways. Farmers cannot absorb this cost as it would be to much to ask.<br><br>-To allow intensively farmed cattle that are not dairy milkers (beef cattle) to pass through riverways infrequently ie. once a month for drenching. |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>120</b>         | Herb Thomson  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.7.5.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | -For government to assist financially for fencing water ways and providing bridging for stock to cross waterways. Farmers cannot absorb this cost as it would be to much to ask.-To allow intensively farmed cattle that are not dairy milkers (beef cattle) to pass through riverways infrequently ie. once a month for drenching.         |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>121</b>         | Herb Thomson  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                           | 2.7.9.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | To allow intensive farmed livestock to cross a river for infrequent crossing. ie once every few weeks to take to yards for animal health or to another paddock location   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>389</b>         | Thomson Family Trust  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |            |        |
| <b>1020</b>        | Peter M Thorne George  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.2.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."<br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |            |        |
| <b>1020</b>        | Peter M Thorne George  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.3.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."<br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |            |        |
| <b>173</b>         | Thymebank  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | We are asking fro the Council to remove the Appendix 6 clause proposing water cut offs to the Springs area in the Wairau Catchment.<br>We are asking that any water decisions are made based on sound science, take into account the impact all users/permit holders have on the Wairau catchment. I would also ask that the Council consider the current allocations of water permit holders have been given and concession or dispensation be made for those that have a very small take in comparison to other users, or those that already operate in a manner that maximises efficiency and minimises water need. |       |          |   |            |        |
| <b>399</b>         | Thymebank  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |            |        |
| <b>400</b>         | Thymebank  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |            |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|------------|--------|
| <b>403</b>         | Thymebank  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |            |        |
| <b>405</b>         | Thymebank  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |   |            |        |
| <b>1272</b>        | Tikao Limited  | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                     | 7.2.1.5.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Totally withdraw the proposal rule 7.2.1.5.</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |            |        |
| <b>1274</b>        | Tikao Limited  | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                     | 7.2.1.5.   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Totally withdraw the proposal rule 7.2.1.5.</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul> |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>833</b>         | Jason Tillman  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.</p>   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>833</b>         | Jason Tillman  | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.</p>   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>833</b>         | Jason Tillman  | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.</p>   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>833</b>         | Jason Tillman  | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.</p>   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>833</b>         | Jason Tillman  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Exclude stock from waterways.</p>   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>833</b>         | Jason Tillman  | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.   | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.                           |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>833</b>         | Jason Tillman   | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>833</b>         | Jason Tillman   | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>833</b>         | Jason Tillman   | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>833</b>         | Jason Tillman   | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>833</b>         | Jason Tillman   | 11    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>833</b>         | Jason Tillman   | 12    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>833</b>         | Jason Tillman   | 13    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>833</b>         | Jason Tillman   | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>833</b>         | Jason Tillman   | 15    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                |           | Support |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.   |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>833</b>         | Jason Tillman   | 16    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>833</b>         | Jason Tillman   | 17    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>833</b>         | Jason Tillman   | 18    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.31.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.                                     |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>833</b>         | Jason Tillman   | 19    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.                                     |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1230</b>        | Wendy Tillman   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.                             |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1230</b>        | Wendy Tillman   | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.                               |       |          |                            |           |         |
| <b>1230</b>        | Wendy Tillman   | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.    | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1230</b>        | Wendy Tillman   | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1230</b>        | Wendy Tillman   | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1230</b>        | Wendy Tillman   | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1230</b>        | Wendy Tillman   | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1230</b>        | Wendy Tillman   | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1230</b>        | Wendy Tillman   | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1230</b>        | Wendy Tillman   | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1230</b>        | Wendy Tillman   | 11    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1230</b>        | Wendy Tillman   | 12    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1230</b>        | Wendy Tillman   | 13    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1230</b>        | Wendy Tillman   | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1230</b>        | Wendy Tillman   | 15    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                |            | Support |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1230</b>        | Wendy Tillman   | 16    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1230</b>        | Wendy Tillman   | 17    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1230</b>        | Wendy Tillman   | 18    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.31.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.                                     |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>1230</b>        | Wendy Tillman   | 19    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.    | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.  |       |          |                             |               |                 |
| <b>353</b>         | Tim and Franzi Trust   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                 | Zoning Map 54 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | I seek the following decision from the local authority:<br>To provide information detailing how the differing levels of flood hazard overlays were determined, have these reviewed and amended or removed where appropriate on our trust property at 65 Cob Cottage Road.  |       |          |                             |               |                 |
| <b>460</b>         | Timberlink New Zealand Limited   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                 | Zoning Map 13 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Change the proposed Urban Residential 3 Zone to a less sensitive zone such as Business or Industrial 1.  |       |          |                             |               |                 |
| <b>460</b>         | Timberlink New Zealand Limited   | 2     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                 | Zoning Map 14 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Change the proposed Urban Residential 3 Zone to a less sensitive zone such as Business or Industrial 1.<br><br>Change the proposed Floodway Zone on Map 14 applying to the property currently leased to Timberlink to Industrial 2, reflecting current and anticipated future use of the property.                                 |       |          |                             |               |                 |
| <b>460</b>         | Timberlink New Zealand Limited   | 3     | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.1.4.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove this requirement in the instance of a fence, wall or some other form of screening established along the boundary.   |       |          |                             |               |                 |
| <b>460</b>         | Timberlink New Zealand Limited   | 4     | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.1.7.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Modify this requirement to provide for large sites to be exempt from the requirement in recognition that the sites are large enough to accommodate their own treatment in a similar manner as rural properties and in recognition of the prohibitive cost of connections in the circumstances of some large industrial properties. |       |          |                             |               |                 |
| <b>460</b>         | Timberlink New Zealand Limited   | 5     | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.1.5.     | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Abandon the proposed Urban Residential Zone proposed to be placed on the adjoining land is an appropriate planning decisions in this circumstance. This would also result in no need for the restriction on fence height.   |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 460                | Timberlink New Zealand Limited  | 6     | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Modify the rules to remove the requirement for compliance within the site. If there is desire to address health and safety matters on sites, include reference to health and safety regulations as the basis for control.   |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 460                | Timberlink New Zealand Limited  | 7     | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.4. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Abandon the proposed application of the Urban Residential Zone to the land adjoining the sawmill. Alternatively identify this site as one that is exempt from this standard as has been done for other existing activities that present similar circumstances.</li> <li>2. Provide LAeq limits that result in the same or higher noise levels in view of the actual circumstances of this immediate locality and also provide for higher noise levels at times when the ambient noise levels are greater than these.</li> </ol>   |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 460                | Timberlink New Zealand Limited  | 8     | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.3.3. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove this rule in so far as it applies to light spill within the same zone and the same property or alter it to apply to non-industrial activities that may become subject to applications for resource consent for establishment in industrial zones to include protection from light spill.</p> <p><b><i>As noted in the submission for this point, the submission identifies Standard 12.2.3.3; however, this standard relates to light spill onto adjoining sites not zoned Industrial and have a light spill limit of 2.5 Lux. It is inferred that the submission is relevant to Standard 12.2.3.2 and not Standard 12.2.3.3.</i></b></p> |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 460                | Timberlink New Zealand Limited  | 9     | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.4.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove this standard or alter it to require screening on boundaries if storage is proposed to be located within 3m of the boundary. Remove the 6m set back. Abandon the proposed Urban Residential Zone proposal.   |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 460                | Timberlink New Zealand Limited  | 10    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.4.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove this standard or alter it to require screening on boundaries if storage is proposed to be located within 3m of the boundary. Abandon the proposed Urban Residential Zone proposal.   |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 460                | Timberlink New Zealand Limited  | 11    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.8.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the requirement to provide an alternative of compliance with the national air quality standards.  |       |          |                             |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>460</b>         | Timberlink New Zealand Limited  | 12    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.8.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the requirement to provide an alternative of compliance with the national air quality standards.  |       |          |                             |                |                 |
| <b>460</b>         | Timberlink New Zealand Limited  | 13    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.5.3.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove prohibitions on discharges relating to production activities such as that of the sawmill including those prescribed by Rule 12.5.3 and classify it as either a Discretionary Activity or provide a Non-Complying Activity category and include it in a Discretionary Category.<br><br>Also remove reference to age of plant.   |       |          |                             |                |                 |
| <b>460</b>         | Timberlink New Zealand Limited  | 14    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.5.7.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove prohibitions on discharges relating to production activities such as that of the sawmill including those prescribed by Rule 12.5.7 and classify it as either a Discretionary Activity or provide a Non-Complying Activity category and include it in a Discretionary Category.   |       |          |                             |                |                 |
| <b>460</b>         | Timberlink New Zealand Limited  | 15    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments       | Objective 12.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | An additional policy is required to address effects from decisions related to extending provision for sensitive activities to be encouraged or facilitated to locate near or nearer to activities that could significantly adversely affect the amenities of the sensitive activities is contrary to established planning principles in this regard.  |       |          |                             |                |                 |
| <b>460</b>         | Timberlink New Zealand Limited  | 16    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments       | Policy 12.5.6  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Policy 12.5.6 be changed to include an additional clause or other modification with the<br><br>effect of recognising existing areas zoned for heavier industrial activities located within or close to Blenheim as a result of historical consequence.<br><br>Add a policy concerned with recognising the effects of extending or providing for extension of sensitive activities or areas by way of subdivision, zoning, development or resource consents towards relatively 'high effects' activities or areas. That policy should prescribe that decisions to do this will not be made unless special circumstances prescribed a need for it and the effects on the occupiers of both zones could be avoided, remedied or mitigated or accommodation of it provided for in a manner that did not adversely affect the interests of the zone being extended towards.<br><br>Further policy should be added to the effect that the particular nature of industrial areas and particularly with regard to the effects they may have on more sensitive activities and areas will be provided for by protection of them from reverse sensitivity effects resulting from decisions to extend or permit extension or location of sensitive activities in close enough proximity to them to cause reverse sensitivity effects. |       |          |                             |                |                 |
| <b>475</b>         | Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family)  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                 | Zoning Map 60  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision            | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That Significant Wetland Areas W220 and W799 be re-defined to accurately record the true boundaries of the wetlands.  |       |          |  |                      |                 |
| <b>475</b>         | Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family)  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Flood Hazard Area 28 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the boundaries of the Level 2 Flood Hazard Area and the Level 3 Hood Hazard Area be re-assessed to more accurately depict Level 2 Flood Hazard and Level 3 Flood Hazard overlay areas in the vicinity.   |       |          |  |                      |                 |
| <b>475</b>         | Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family)  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.2.1.15.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Seek clarification as to whether the establishment of a vineyard with the associated improvements would be considered to fall within either of these standards and therefore would not be a permitted activity under the new plan.</p> <p>If so seek amendment of the standards to allow for the establishment of a vineyard in Level 2 and Level 3 Flood Hazard Areas in this region given that the overlay maps are so vast.</p>                   |       |          |  |                      |                 |
| <b>475</b>         | Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family)  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.14.7.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment (strike-through) to Standard 3.3.14.7:</p> <p><i>3.3.14.7 Excavation must not be within a Level <del>2</del> or 3 Flood Hazard Area, or in the Level 4 Flood Hazard Area in the vicinity of Condors Overflow.</i></p>   |       |          |  |                      |                 |
| <b>475</b>         | Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family)  | 5     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.30       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the various provisions in the Plan as referred to and relating to the WGPA be amended such that the following be provided for:</p> <p>(a) the existing bores and surface takes within the Wairau Valley Groundwater Protection Area are able to be altered or maintained and</p> <p>(b) the ability to undertake excavation in excess of 10m<sup>3</sup> and if underground water is struck compaction to be undertaken to reduce leaching.</p> |       |          |  |                      |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision                                 | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| <b>475</b>         | Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family)   | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.14.5.                                 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 3.3.14.5 be amended such that the ability to undertake excavation in excess of 10m <sup>3</sup> is provided for and if underground water is struck, compaction is to be undertaken to reduce leaching.             |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>475</b>         | Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family)   | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.17.1.                                 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 3.3.17.1 be amended such that existing bores and surface takes within the Wairau Valley Groundwater Protection Area are able to be altered or maintained.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>475</b>         | Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family)   | 8     | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone                      | 19.1.3.                                   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete freedom camping as a permitted activity under the Open Space 3 Zone rules:<br><del>19.1.3 Freedom camping except for in an area identified as a prohibited area for freedom camping in a bylaw made by the Council.</del> |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>475</b>         | Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family)   | 9     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1                                | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provisions as proposed.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>475</b>         | Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family)   | 10    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3                                | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provisions as proposed.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>761</b>         | Hilda Timoti   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                              | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |   |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>761</b>         | Hilda Timoti   | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>761</b>         | Hilda Timoti   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>761</b>         | Hilda Timoti   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>761</b>         | Hilda Timoti   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>761</b>         | Hilda Timoti   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>761</b>         | Hilda Timoti   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>761</b>         | Hilda Timoti   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>761</b>         | Hilda Timoti   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>761</b>         | Hilda Timoti  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                                     | Objective 6.1               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1196</b>        | Tiracaan Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.<br>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove High Natural Character designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1196</b>        | Tiracaan Limited  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.<br>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove High Natural Character designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1196</b>        | Tiracaan Limited  | 3     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.<br>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove High Natural Character designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. |       |          |   |                             |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| <b>1196</b>        | Tiracaan Limited   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.<br>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape designations over the Port Ligar area objected to.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1196</b>        | Tiracaan Limited   | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.<br>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape designations over the Port Ligar area objected to.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1196</b>        | Tiracaan Limited   | 6     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.<br>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape designations over the Port Ligar area objected to.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1162</b>        | Tom and Suzanne Jeffries and 11 Others   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.7.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.<br><br><i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i><br><br>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:<br><br><i><u>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</u></i> |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1162</b>        | Tom and Suzanne Jeffries and 11 Others   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.7.3 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy:<br><br><u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u> |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1162</b>        | Tom and Suzanne Jeffries and 11 Others  | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources                        | Objective 5.8               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies.<br><br><u>Policy 5.8.4 _____ Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available.</u>                            |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1197</b>        | Tory Channel Aquaculture Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8405; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1197</b>        | Tory Channel Aquaculture Limited  | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8405; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1197</b>        | Tory Channel Aquaculture Limited  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8405; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1197</b>        | Tory Channel Aquaculture Limited  | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8405; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                                  | 16.4.                       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new Controlled Activity Rule and associated Matters over which the Council has reserved control as follows -<br>Rule:<br><b>"A single mooring for each title in Maori Freehold title that relies on water access due to either there being no road access and where there is road access in the circumstances of this being impracticable for reasonable ease of access."</b><br>Matters over which the Council has reserved control:<br><b>"- Specific location;<br/>           - the type and specifications for the mooring;<br/>           - maintenance;<br/>           - consent period."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following new Permitted Activity rule -<br><b>" Customary fishing methods including but not restricted to spear, gathering, net, line or dredging."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited  | 3     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following new Permitted Activity rule -<br><b>" Casual anchoring for cultural and customary purposes."</b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                        |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| 233                | Totaranui Limited   | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.2.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the Permitted Activity standards is not clear in the Submission.</i>  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 233                | Totaranui Limited   | 5     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)  | 15.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the Permitted Activity standards is not clear in the Submission.</i>  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 233                | Totaranui Limited   | 6     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone                   | 7.2.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the Permitted Activity standards is not clear in the Submission.</i>  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 233                | Totaranui Limited   | 7     | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone                    | 17.2.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the Permitted Activity standards is not clear in the Submission.</i>  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 233                | Totaranui Limited   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Maintain and enhance the quality of natural resources <b>and physical resources so as to protect the continuing viability of production activities in the coastal marine area.</b>"</i>   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 233                | Totaranui Limited   | 9     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Policy under the Objective as follows -<br><br><b>"Occupancy charges will not be introduced until all marine farms and other activities that may be subject to them have been assessed and any such charges are implemented for all farms at the same time, subject to any variances that may result from consideration of specific circumstances. The will be consideration of variances that may exist on a farm by farm basis."</b><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| 233                | Totaranui Limited   | 10    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.10 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a Policy under the Objective as follows -<br><br><b><i>"Coastal occupancy charges will:</i></b><br><b><i>(a) be establish by.... (specific details not provided by Submitter);</i></b><br><b><i>(b) be for the following amounts.... (specific details not provided by Submitter);</i></b><br><b><i>(c) be set and charged using a public process, including consultation with all interested organisations prior to be established and implemented;</i></b><br><br><b><i>(d) be charged by.... (specific details not provided by Submitter)."</i></b><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited   | 11    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br><br><i>"Where there is an application by a resource consent holder to request a waiver (in whole or in part) of a coastal occupation charge, the following circumstances will be considered:</i><br><i>(a) the extent to which the occupation is non-exclusive;</i><br><i>(b) whether the opportunity to derive public benefit from the occupation is at least the same or greater than if the occupation did not exist;</i><br><i>(c) whether the occupation is temporary and of a non-recurring nature;</i><br><i>(d) whether the applicant is a charitable organisation, trust or community or residents association, and if so:</i><br><i>(i) the nature of the activities of that organisation; and</i><br><i>(ii) the responsibilities of that organisation;</i><br><br><b><i>(e) whether the applicant is a marine farm not achieving profitability."</i></b><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited   | 12    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>" The Marlborough District Council will waive the need for coastal occupancy charges for the following: (a) public wharves, jetties, boat ramps and facilities owned by the Marlborough District Council and the Department of Conservation;<br/> (b) monitoring equipment;<br/> (c) activities listed as permitted, except for moorings in a Mooring Management Area;<br/> (d) retaining walls; <del>and</del><br/> (e) port and marina activities where resource consents authorised under Section 384A of the Resource Management Act 1991 are in place until such time as those resource consents expire;</i><br><br><b><i>(f) marine farms</i></b><br><br><b><i>(g) marine farm and aquaculture activities will be either partially or wholly waived in respect of Maori interests pursuant to the distinction Maori interests and values and culture has and is recognised in the RMA as having from the wider community; and</i></b><br><br><b><i>(h) under circumstances of financial distress that may result in sites becoming abandoned or run-down due to reduced financial resources."</i></b><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited  | 13    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy under this Issue <i>(specific Objective of relevance not specified by Submitter)</i> as follows -<br><b><i>"Recognise and provide for environmental compensation or offsets including but not restricted to Biodiversity offsets for effects that may result in beneficial outcomes in regard to the resource being affected or utilised."</i></b>  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited  | 14    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy under this Issue <i>(specific Objective of relevance not specified by Submitter)</i> as follows -<br><b><i>"Recognise the importance of facilitating practicable access to Maori owned land that is freehold or otherwise or land leased in perpetuity to Maori or Maori organisations and that this often requires establishment of a mooring or other forms of access facility or structures which may include the need to located with within areas identified as being sensitive, requiring protection or being of natural, high or outstanding natural character. Any such policy should include means by which effects can be avoided, remedied or mitigated and require these to be included in any application for resource consent for such a facility. Such policy should also provide for anchoring for cultural customary and purposes. This is in line with a request in this submission for a rule providing for this."</i></b><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited  | 15    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br>"Where natural character is classified as high or very high, <b>significant</b> avoid, <b>remedy or mitigate</b> any reduction in the degree of natural character of the coastal environment or freshwater bodies."  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited   | 16    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Objective 6.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy under this Objective as follows -<br><br><b>"Recognise and provide for environmental compensation or biodiversity offsets for effects that may result in beneficial outcomes in regard to the resource being affected or utilised."</b><br><br>(Inferred)  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited   | 17    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Objective 6.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy under this Objective as follows -<br><br><b>"Recognise that there may be locations within areas identified as having natural character of either a high, very high or outstanding quality within any of the levels prescribed in Policy 6.1.3 that result in development being potentially appropriate within a specific defined location despite being within a wider area identified as having of high, very high or outstanding natural character."</b><br><br>(Inferred)   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited   | 18    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy under this Objective as follows -<br><br><b>"Coastal occupancy charges will not be utilised or charged as a source of revenue with or for a profit, and records of their expenditure and the reasons for their expenditure and the tangible environmental outcome will be reported on an annual basis and specific projects and the budgeted cost of these on which the charges are based will be provided, be publicly accessible and be reviewed on an annual basis through a public process involving consultation and allowing submissions."</b> |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited   | 19    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity        | Policy 8.3.3   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Specific decision requested on the Policy wording is not clear in the Submission.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited   | 20    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity        | Policy 8.3.2   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the Policy wording is not clear in the Submission.</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited   | 21    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity         | Policy 8.3.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the Policy wording is not clear in the Submission.</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited   | 22    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                       | Issue 7A       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy under this Issue (specific Objective of relevance not specified by Submitter) as follows - " <b>Provide recognition of and provision for environmental compensation or offsets including but not restricted to Biodiversity offsets for effects that may result in beneficial outcomes in regard to the resource being affected or utilised.</b> "<br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited   | 23    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the Policy wording is not clear in the Submission.</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited   | 24    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br><br>"Avoid, <b>remedy or mitigate</b> adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having:<br><i>(a) outstanding natural character;</i><br><i>(b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes;</i><br><i>(c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; or</i><br><i>(d) significant historic heritage value."</i> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited   | 25    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows (bold) -<br><br>"Areas of the coastal environment where the adverse effects from particular activities and/or forms of subdivision, use or development are to be avoided, <b>remedied or mitigated</b> are clearly identified."  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited   | 26    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br><br>"Areas identified in Policy 13.1.1 as having significant values will be mapped to provide certainty for resource users, Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, the wider community and decision makers. <b>Identification of areas must be in consultation with iwi.</b> "  |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited  | 27    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Policy 9.1.13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the Policy wording is not clear in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited  | 28    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone            | 16.1.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Permitted Activity rule as follows - " <b>Fishing by any method.</b> "   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited  | 29    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the Policy wording is not clear in the Submission. Inferred relates to Chapter 13.</i>   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited  | 30    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific wording of new Issue, Objectives and Policies is not provided in the Submission. Inferred relates to Chapter 13.</i>   |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited  | 31    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy ( <i>specific Issue or Objective of relevance not specified by Submitter</i> ) as follows -<br><b>"Consideration and decisions relating to use and development of the Coastal Environment will include ensuring access to and from Maori owned land that is freehold or otherwise or land leased in perpetuity to Maori or Maori organisations and recognised ancestral sites and localities is either protected, or enhanced or facilitated or provided as may be appropriate in each particular circumstance."</b><br><br>( <i>Inferred</i> ) |       |          |                                   |               |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited  | 32    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.           | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                            | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy ( <i>specific Issue or Objective of relevance not specified by Submitter</i> ) as follows -<br><br><b>"Recognise the importance of the coastal environment as a productive resource to the economy of the district and that decisions relating to formulation of policy and decisions on proposals for development and use of the resource, particularly for marine farming and aquaculture, should include consideration of this in view of its importance to the overall health, welfare and social and cultural and economic wellbeing of the community."</b><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i> |       |          |                                    |               |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited  | 33    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment  | Policy 13.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the Policy wording is not clear in the Submission.</i>   |       |          |                                    |               |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited  | 34    | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy ( <i>the Issue and Objective under which the policy should be placed have not been identified in the Submission</i> ) as follows -<br><br><b>"The objectives and policies of Chapter 3 apply to all other provisions in the Plan."</b><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                    |               |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited  | 35    | Volume 1 | All                                |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy ( <i>the Chapter, Issue and Objective under which the policy should be placed have not been identified in the Submission</i> ) as follows -<br><b>"Recognise that adverse effects are generally defined in the RMA environment as either less than minor, minor, more than minor or significant and that policy relating to adverse effects should be written in such a manner as recognizes and provides for this variation."</b><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                    |               |                 |
| <b>233</b>         | Totaranui Limited  | 36    | Volume 1 | All                                |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy ( <i>the Chapter, Issue and Objective under which the policy should be placed have not been identified in the Submission</i> ) as follows -<br><br><b>"Policies using the word 'Avoid' should also be in a manner that includes recognition that adverse effects may be not be avoided but that any such adverse effects might be remedied."</b><br><br><i>(Inferred)</i>   |       |          |                                    |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter            | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>319</b>         | Clive Tozer  | 1     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain designation boundary (B42) at our northern property boundary.   |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>319</b>         | Clive Tozer  | 2     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.2.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Submission states: <i>Refer below for more detail.</i> Inferred that this is referring to submission point 3 Volume 1 Chapter 11 Methods of Implementation 11.M.4, which states: <i>Amend the plan to make it clear that farming production support structures are excluded.</i>   |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>319</b>         | Clive Tozer  | 3     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | 11.M.4         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the plan to make it clear that farming production support structures are excluded.   |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>319</b>         | Clive Tozer  | 4     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps        | Zoning Map 149 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Environment Plan - Zoning Maps to remove the Floodway Zone from our property back to the land title boundary to match the designation boundary.  |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>319</b>         | Clive Tozer  | 5     | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone   | 21.3.16.1.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | In the event that the Floodway Zone for the area on our property is not removed, retain Permitted Activity 21.1.17 (Farming undertaken by any person) and delete Standard 21.3 .16.1 ie remove requirement for authorisation by Council formal agreement.<br><br>And any other amendments , including consequential amendments, to address the submission points raised. |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>319</b>         | Clive Tozer  | 6     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions     | 25.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add clear definitions of the terms "Floodway" and "Stopbank Defence System".   |       |          |                    |                |                 |
| <b>319</b>         | Clive Tozer  | 7     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps        | Zoning Map 149 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Environment Plan Zoning Maps to remove the Open Space 3 Zone in this location.   |       |          |                    |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 319                | Clive Tozer  | 8     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.3.6  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Council to ratify and act on Policy 9.3.6. We encourage Council take action to enhance public access and amenity within the considerable areas of potentially attractive open space of the Wairau River Floodway Zone. |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 319                | Clive Tozer  | 9     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | 9.AER.1       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the wording to focus on improving appropriateness and quality of public access and open space reserves/strips rather than focusing on numbers of reserves/strips.  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 319                | Clive Tozer  | 10    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy as worded.   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 319                | Clive Tozer  | 11    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.10 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Act on the Section 32 analysis that a tougher stance on requiring the provision of open space is not required.   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 319                | Clive Tozer  | 13    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards             | Policy 11.1.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.8 ( <i>inferred</i> ).  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 319                | Clive Tozer  | 14    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards             | Policy 11.1.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.9(a) ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 319                | Clive Tozer  | 15    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards             | Policy 11.1.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.9(b) ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |                                |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision            | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| <b>319</b>         | Clive Tozer   | 16    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards              | Policy 11.1.10       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.10(b) ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |       |          |                                 |                      |                 |
| <b>319</b>         | Clive Tozer   | 17    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                    | Flood Hazard Area 23 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Re-map Flood Hazard Area 23 for our property at an appropriate scale with consultation between Council and ourselves as landowner. Determine those areas of the property that could meet Level 1 criteria.  |       |          |                                 |                      |                 |
| <b>319</b>         | Clive Tozer   | 18    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards              | Policy 11.1.10       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.10 (a).  |       |          |                                 |                      |                 |
| <b>319</b>         | Clive Tozer   | 19    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.2.1.15.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | As part of the standard 3.2 .1.15 clarify 'structure' such that conventional farming production support structures including fences , posts, gates, rails, yards, stock water supply infrastructure, grape support posts are permitted in Level 2 Flood Hazard Areas. |       |          |                                 |                      |                 |
| <b>319</b>         | Clive Tozer   | 20    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.10       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.10 and include that Council improves its maintenance of the Cravens Creek outlet to minimize ponding and to meet agreed levels of service.   |       |          |                                 |                      |                 |
| <b>319</b>         | Clive Tozer   | 21    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards              | Policy 11.1.4        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Council gives urgent attention to lowering the Selmes to SH1 reach of the aggraded Wairau floodway to bring back to the agreed level of service in line with Policy 11.1.4.   |       |          |                                 |                      |                 |
| <b>319</b>         | Clive Tozer   | 22    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards              | Policy 11.1.6        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.6  |       |          |                                 |                      |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>319</b>         | Clive Tozer   | 23    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards       | Policy 11.1.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Council raises the level of maintenance with respect to the Cravens Creek outlet channel and outfall to river to ensure acceptable levels of service to our property and neighbouring upstream property.   |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>319</b>         | Clive Tozer   | 24    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps              | Zoning Map 149 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Incentivise landowners actively improving biodiversity of significant wetlands. For example, not requiring resource consent.  |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>319</b>         | Clive Tozer   | 25    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps              | Zoning Map 149 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the currently misaligned boundaries of the 2 wetlands.  |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>319</b>         | Clive Tozer   | 26    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.3.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Permit non- indigenous vegetation clearance when being done to maintain and enhance existing wetlands.<br>We request that the Council drainage team be able authorise and if necessary carry out this minor work (possibly on a fair cost recovery basis. |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>319</b>         | Clive Tozer   | 27    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.4.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.3.13.4 allowing cultivation up to fenced wetland boundary   |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>319</b>         | Clive Tozer   | 28    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.7.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 3.3.12. 7 to allow wheeled or tracked machinery within 8m of a significant wetland.  |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>1195</b>        | Transport Investments Limited   | 1     | All      | All                      |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |                          |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--|-----------------|
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Relationship of the MEP to other policy statements, standards and strategies | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the commentary in relation to national policy statements and national environmental standards in the 'Background' as follows:</p> <p><i>“Central government can also prepare national environmental standards: technical standards relating to the use, development and protection of natural and physical resources. Such national standards provide an opportunity to promote nationally the use of consistent standards, requirements or practices. National standards <u>prevails</u> <del>override</del> existing provisions in plans <del>that require a lesser standard</del>. A rule in a plan cannot duplicate or conflict with a provision in a <u>national standard</u>. National environmental standards for air quality, sources of human drinking water, telecommunications facilities, electricity transmission and managing contaminants in soil have effect.</i></p> <p><i><u>The National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission 2008 (NPSET) and the National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities 2009 (NESETA) only apply to the National Grid and do not apply to electricity distribution. The NPSET recognises the national significance of the National Grid in its entirety. The NPSET facilitates the operation, maintenance and upgrade of the existing transmission network and the establishment of new transmission assets. The NESETA sets out a national framework of permissions and consent requirements for activities on National Grid lines existing at 14 January 2010. Activities include the operation, maintenance and upgrading of existing lines but exclude the development of new lines (post 14 January 2010) and substations.</u>”</i></p> |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Issue 4B as follows:</p> <p><i>“The social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community are at risk if <del>community</del> infrastructure, <u>and particularly nationally and regionally significant infrastructure</u>, is not able to operate, <u>upgrade and develop</u> efficiently, effectively and safely”</i></p>   |       |          |   |  |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the first paragraph of the explanatory text to Issue 4B as follows:</p> <p><i>“We rely on a range of physical resources to allow our communities function on a day-by-day basis. These resources include the water, stormwater and waste disposal services provided to townships and small settlements; the transport links within Marlborough and connecting Marlborough to the remainder of the country; the provision of electricity and telecommunications; and, on the Lower Wairau Plain, the drainage of land. Collectively, this infrastructure is regionally significant due to the contribution it makes to our social and economic wellbeing, health and safety. <u>Central government has recognised the importance of electricity transmission through the National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission (NPSET), which came into effect in 2008. The NPSET establishes that the need to operate, maintain, develop and upgrade the National Grid is a matter of national significance. The Objective of the NPSET is to recognise the national significance of the National Grid by facilitating its operation, maintenance, upgrade and development while managing adverse effects of, and on, it. Other infrastructure in (e.g. RNZAF Base Woodbourne) or running through Marlborough (e.g. <del>the National Grid and</del> state highways) also has national importance. It is important that this strategic infrastructure is able to operate, <u>upgrade and develop</u> efficiently, effectively and safely on an ongoing basis for community wellbeing. The ability to maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure without significant constraint is important in this respect. <del>Occasionally, n</del>New infrastructure may be required to provide for growth within the district.”</u></i></p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Objective 4.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>“[RPS, C, R, D]</i><br/> <b>Objective 4.2 – Efficient, effective and safe operation, <u>upgrade and development of nationally and regionally significant infrastructure</u></b><br/> <i>The community relies on the considerable infrastructure that has been developed to protect and support the population. It is essential for the social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community that this critical infrastructure continues to operate <u>and develop</u> efficiently, effectively and safely on an ongoing basis. This includes the ability to maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure.”</i></p>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Policy 4.2.1 as follows:</p> <p><i>“[RPS, C, R, D]</i></p> <p><b>Policy 4.2.1 – Recognise and provide for the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant:</b></p> <p>...</p> <p><b>(e) National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower New Zealand Limited);</b></p> <p>...</p> <p><i>The policy identifies infrastructure considered regionally significant due to its contribution to the social and economic wellbeing or health and safety of a large proportion of Marlborough’s population, or because of its strategic importance nationally. These benefits will be taken into account when developing district and regional rules and when considering resource consent applications, notices of requirement and plan change requests. <del>This policy recognises the significance of the infrastructure existing or consented at the time that the MEP becomes operative.</del>”</i></p> |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 6     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Policy 4.2.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>“[RPS, C, R, D]</i></p> <p><b>Policy 4.2.2 – Protect regionally significant infrastructure from the adverse effects of other subdivision, use and development activities.</b></p> <p><i>The effective and efficient operation of regionally significant infrastructure can be protected by avoiding the establishment of incompatible activities in close proximity to the infrastructure in the first place. This policy recognises that there has already been significant investment in the infrastructure and that there are usually considerable difficulties relocating the infrastructure in the event of conflict with other land uses. In respect of the electricity transmission network, it is a requirement of the National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission (NPSET) for decision makers to manage activities to avoid reverse sensitivity effects on the network <u>to ensure that the National Grid is not compromised as much as possible.</u>”</i></p>   |       |          |   |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following Policy:</p> <p><i><u>"[RPS, C, R, D]</u></i></p> <p><b><i><u>Policy 4.2.x - Enable the operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of essential network utilities, including the National Grid, throughout Marlborough.</u></i></b></p> <p><i><u>A number of National Grid assets are located in and traverse Marlborough, including substations, transmission lines, submarine cables and telecommunications equipment. These assets are of critical importance to the health, safety, wellbeing and prosperity of people and communities across New Zealand. This policy recognises the need to operate, maintain, upgrade and develop the National Grid as a matter of national importance."</u></i></p>   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following Policy:</p> <p><i><u>"[C, R, D]</u></i></p> <p><b><i><u>Policy 4.2.x – When considering the environmental effects of National Grid activities, to have regard to:</u></i></b></p> <p><b><i><u>(a) the national, regional and local benefits of sustainable, secure and efficient electricity transmission;</u></i></b></p> <p><b><i><u>(b) the locational, technical and operational requirements that constrain measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects;</u></i></b></p> <p><b><i><u>(c) the extent to which any adverse effects have been avoided, remedied or mitigated by route, site and method selection;</u></i></b></p> <p><b><i><u>(d) the extent to which existing adverse effects have been reduced as part of any substantial upgrade;</u></i></b></p> <p><b><i><u>(e) the effects on urban amenity (including town centres) areas of high recreational or amenity value and existing sensitive activities.</u></i></b></p> <p><b><i><u>(f) adverse effects on outstanding natural landscapes, areas of high natural character, town centres, areas of high recreation value and existing sensitive activities, including the extent to which adverse effects can be avoided.</u></i></b></p> <p><b><i><u>When considering an application for resource consent(s) or notice of requirement for National Grid activities, the Council will have regard to the positive and adverse effects on the environment associated with the activity. This policy provides guidance on the matters that are relevant to this consideration."</u></i></b></p> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 9     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.6         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Method 4.M.6 as follows:</p> <p><b><i><u>"4.M.6 Identification</u></i></b></p> <p><i><u>The <del>National Grid electricity transmission network</del> will be identified on the planning maps. This will allow the other methods to be applied to manage the adverse effects of third parties on the <del>National Grid transmission network</del>."</u></i></p>   |       |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 10    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.8        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Method 4.M.8 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>“4.M.8 Designations</i></b><br/> <i>Encourage requiring authorities (as defined by Section 166 of the RMA) to utilise designations as an effective means of identifying, <u>developing</u> and protecting <u>nationally and regionally significant infrastructure</u>. Designations can then be explicitly included in the MEP.”</i></p>   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 11    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.9        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Method 4.M.9 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>“4.M.9 District and regional rules</i></b><br/> <i>Rules will be used to <u>provide for</u> <del>enable activities associated with the operation, maintenance, alteration, minor upgrading and replacement</del> <u>development of nationally and regionally significant infrastructure</u>. Standards will specify the extent of works involved with any of these activities.<br/> Rules will be used to control the proximity of <del>activities and uses</del> <u>activities</u> in river beds, and in the coastal marine area, that could have adverse effects on regionally significant infrastructure. This includes development in the vicinity of <del>within</del> the National Grid <del>corridor</del>.<br/> A buffer corridor for the National Grid transmission lines will be established through rules within which activities will be managed to reduce the risk of electrical hazard, <del>avoid the potential for reverse sensitivity effects and ensure that adverse effects on the structural integrity of the National Grid is not compromised</del>. The width of the corridor will vary depending on the activity, type of National Grid assets and the sensitivity of the network to the activity.<br/> This method gives effect to <u>Policy 10 and Policy 11</u> of the NPSET.<br/> <del>In addition to the rules in the MEP, the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009 contain separate rules for the operation, maintenance, upgrading, relocation or removal of</del> <u>establishes various classes of activity for certain activities relating to existing National Grid transmission lines. Except as provided for by Regulations, no rules in the MEP apply to such activities.</u>”</i></p> |       |          |   |              |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 12    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.10       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Method 4.M.10 to replace “Transpower NZ” with <i>“<u>Transpower New Zealand Limited</u>”</i>.</p>  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 13    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Policy 6.2.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Policy 6.2.1 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"Policy 6.2.1 – Avoid the adverse effects of <u>inappropriate</u> subdivision, use or development on areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character values and on lakes and rivers and their margins with high and very high natural character values.</i></b><br/> <i>Where the natural character of the coastal environment is outstanding, Section 6(a) of the RMA indicates that this level of preservation should be retained, particularly when coupled with the similar direction in Policy 13 of the NZCPS. This means that <u>the any</u> adverse effects of <u>inappropriate activities</u> on natural character values should be avoided. That is not to say that no subdivision, use or development can occur within the coastal environment - activities may not adversely affect the natural character of the surrounding environment, or may include features or benefits that maintain the existing levels of natural character. <u>In addition there are some activities, for instance National Grid assets, which have a technical, functional or operational need to locate in the coastal environment. This is recognised by Policy 6 of the NZCPS.</u></i><br/> <i>For freshwater bodies there is also a requirement in Section 6(a) to preserve the natural character of wetlands, lakes and rivers and their margins and to protect this natural character from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. Having regard to Policy 6.1.5, the Council has assessed the values of rivers and lakes and their level of significance in order to give effect to Section 6(a). In undertaking this assessment, the Council has determined that where the freshwater values are high or very high, then adverse effects on these values should be avoided, <u>except where the avoidance of adverse effects is not possible due to the technical, functional or operational requirements of nationally significant infrastructure.</u>"</i></p> |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 14    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Policy 6.2.2 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"Policy 6.2.2 – Avoid significant adverse effects of <u>inappropriate</u> subdivision, use or development on coastal natural character, having regard to the significance criteria in Appendix 4.</i></b><br/> <i>The degree of adverse effects on coastal natural character is an important consideration under Policy 13(1)(b) of the NZCPS. Where the extent of change in the coastal environment from subdivision, use or development causes significant adverse effects on natural character, the NZCPS states those effects should be avoided. There is therefore a threshold beyond which remediation and/or mitigation of those adverse effects is not an appropriate management option. It is acknowledged that there are some activities that have a technical, functional and operational need to be located in areas identified as having natural character, such as the linear infrastructure that is nationally significant. The adverse effects of such activities cannot be avoided in all cases. That threshold will be determined on a case-by-case basis through the resource consent or plan change process. The significance of the adverse effect will depend on the nature of the proposal, the natural character context within which the activity is proposed to occur and the degree of change to the attributes that contribute to natural character in that context.</i><br/> <i>..."</i></p>   |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 15    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.8 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Policy 6.2.8 as follows:</p> <p><b><u>"Policy 6.2.8 – Require land use activities to be set back from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area in order to preserve natural character, except where nationally significant infrastructure has a locational, technical or operational need to traverse rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area.</u></b></p> <p><i>The proximity of land use activity to rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area has a significant influence on the potential for adverse effects on natural character. The closer the activity, the greater the potential for modification to the elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities that contribute to natural character. For this reason, land use activities will be required to be set back from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area. The setback will be implemented through permitted activity standards and application can be made for resource consent to undertake an activity within the setback. The adverse effects of any such proposal will be assessed against the provisions of this chapter, <u>and Chapter 4 where the proposal is for regionally significant infrastructure.</u>"</i></p>   |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 16    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Policy 7.2.5 as follows:</p> <p><b><u>" Policy 7.2.5 – Avoid adverse effects of inappropriate subdivision, use and development on the values that contribute to outstanding natural features and landscapes in the first instance. Where adverse effects cannot be avoided and the activity is not proposed to take place in the coastal environment, ensure that the adverse effects are remedied or mitigated.</u></b></p> <p><i>Where resource consent is required to undertake a particular activity in an outstanding natural feature or landscape, this policy provides a clear preference for avoiding adverse effects on the biophysical, sensory or associative values within the landscape. This does not mean that there can be no new resource use within outstanding natural features or landscapes; rather, the use or development of natural and physical resources may be able to be undertaken in a way that the quality and significance of the values is not diminished. Alternatively, adverse effects may be able to be remedied through careful planning or remedial works. Policy 7.2.7 provides further guidance in this regard. <del>The option of remedying adverse effects on landscape values does not apply to activities occurring within the coastal environment, as Policy 15 of the NZCPS requires that such adverse effects are avoided. It is recognised that some activities, for instance National Grid assets, have a technical, functional or operational need to locate within an outstanding natural feature or landscape and that the adverse effects of such activities cannot be avoided in all cases. Further direction in this regard is provided by Policy 7.2.6.</del>"</i></p> |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 17    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.6 | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Policy 7.2.6 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"Policy 7.2.6 – Where the following activities are proposed to take place in an area with outstanding natural features and landscapes, then <u>any the adverse effects on the values of those areas can be mitigated, to the extent reasonably possible, having regard to provided the overall qualities and integrity of the wider outstanding natural feature and landscape are retained:</u></i></b></p> <p><b><i>(a) activities involving the <u>upgrade and development and operation of regionally significant infrastructure;</u></i></b></p> <p>....</p> <p><i>This policy relaxes the direction provided by Policy 7.2.5 for outstanding natural features and landscapes in limited circumstances. These circumstances are described in (a) to (c) and reflect the considerable benefits that the listed activities provide to the social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of our community.</i></p> <p><i>Regionally significant infrastructure is essential to allowing our communities to function on a day-by-day basis. This infrastructure may need to be <u>developed or expanded</u> in the future and that expansion may need to occur in areas of outstanding natural features and landscapes. In respect of (b), many outstanding natural features and landscapes can already be accessed for passive recreational purposes and the RMA seeks to maintain and enhance these amenity values. ...</i></p> <p><i>The policy does not allow the activities in (a) to (c) to occur without consideration of the impact they may have on outstanding natural features and landscapes. <del>Any</del> adverse effects on the biophysical, sensory or associative values within the landscape must still be <u>remedied or mitigated</u> as much as possible. As adverse effects can occur at various scales, there should also be consideration of the impacts of the proposed activity on the overall qualities and integrity of the wider outstanding natural feature or landscape. The policy requires that the overall quality and integrity of the landscape should be retained. <del>This policy does not apply to activities occurring in the coastal environment, as Policy 15 of the NZGPS requires that adverse effects of activities on outstanding natural feature or landscape be avoided.</del>"</i></p> |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 18    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Policy 7.2.7 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"Policy 7.2.7 – Protect the values of outstanding natural features and landscapes and the high amenity values of the Wairau Dry Hills and the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscapes by:</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(a) In respect of structures:</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(i) avoiding visual intrusion on skylines, particularly when viewed from public places;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(ii) avoiding new dwellings in close proximity to the foreshore;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(iii) using reflectivity levels and building materials that complement the colours in the surrounding landscape;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(iv) limiting the scale, height and placement of structures to minimise intrusion of built form into the landscape;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(v) recognising that existing structures may contribute to the landscape character of an area and additional structures may complement this contribution;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(vi) making use of existing vegetation as a background and utilising new vegetation as a screen to reduce the visual impact of built form on the surrounding landscape, providing that the vegetation used is also in keeping with the surrounding landscape character; and</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(vii) encouraging utilities to be co-located wherever possible and recognising the locational needs of utilities and regionally significant <u>infrastructure;</u></i></b></p> <p>...."</p>  |       |          |                           |              |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 19    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.1 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Policy 8.3.1</p> <p><b><i>"Policy 8.3.1 – Manage the effects of subdivision, use or development in the coastal environment by:</i></b><br/> <b><i>(a) avoiding adverse effects where the areas, habitats or ecosystems are those set out in Policy 11(a) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010;</i></b><br/> <b><i>(b) avoiding adverse effects where the areas, habitats or ecosystems are mapped as significant wetlands or ecologically significant marine sites in the Marlborough Environment Plan; or</i></b><br/> <b><i>(c) avoiding significant adverse effects and avoiding, remedying or mitigating other adverse effects where the areas, habitats or ecosystems are those set out in Policy 11(b) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 or are not identified as significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1 of the Marlborough Environment Plan; and</i></b><br/> <b><i>(x) recognising that there will be situations where the operation, maintenance, development and upgrade of the National Grid will result in unavoidable adverse effects.</i></b></p> <p><i>Policy 11 of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (NZCPS) defines a range of priorities so that indigenous biodiversity in the coastal environment is protected. Policy 8.3.1 of the MEP reflects the priority approach of the NZCPS to subdivision, use and development activities within the coastal environment. Policy 8.3.1 also recognises the national significance, and the on-going needs of the National Grid."</i></p> |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 20    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | Policy 8.3.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Policy 8.3.2 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"Policy 8.3.2 – Where subdivision, use or development requires resource consent, the adverse effects on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value shall be:</i></b><br/> <b><i>(a) avoided where it is a significant site in the context of Policy 8.1.1; and</i></b><br/> <b><i>(b) avoided, remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1;</i></b><br/> <b><i>(x) in the case of the National Grid, avoided, remedied or mitigated to the extent possible having regard to the National Grid's technical, locational and operational constraints.</i></b></p> <p><i>This policy sets up a hierarchy for decision makers to use when assessing the effects of subdivision, use or development activities on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value. For those sites identified as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1, it is important that adverse effects are avoided. This recognises that there are few significant sites remaining on private land, especially in southern Marlborough. Where sites have not been identified as significant through Policy 8.1.1, decision makers can also consider remediation or mitigation options to address adverse effects."</i></p>  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 21    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | Policy 8.3.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain</b> Policy 8.3.8, to the extent that the Policy does not compel biodiversity offsetting.</p>   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 22    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.13 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Policy 9.1.13 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"Policy 9.1.13 – When considering resource consent applications for activities, subdivision or structures in or adjacent to the coastal marine area, lakes or rivers, the impact on public access shall be assessed against the following:</i></b></p> <p><b><i>..."</i></b></p> <p><b><i><u>(x) whether there are restrictions on activities or access imposed by other legislation including the Submarine Cables and Pipelines Protection Act 1996.</u></i></b></p> <p><i>These criteria provide a framework to assist decision makers in assessing the effects on public access to and along the coast, rivers and lakes arising through resource consent applications for subdivision, activities or structures."</i></p> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 23    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.5  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Policy 10.1.5 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"Policy 10.1.5 – Avoid adverse effects on the historic heritage values of Category I heritage resources, while recognising that minimal effects, including those associated with maintenance and upgrading, may be acceptable where existing infrastructure is attached to a Category 1 heritage resource."</i></b></p>  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 24    | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.2.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Policy 10.2.3 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"Policy 10.2.3 – Consider approving any application to remove, trim or prune a notable tree or trees where:</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(a) the tree or trees are dying, diseased or have otherwise lost the essential qualities for which the tree was originally identified;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(b) the tree or trees have become, or are likely to become a danger to people or the National Grid; or</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(c) the tree or trees are significantly restricting a particular use of the site that offers greater positive effects in terms of historic heritage or amenity values.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>..."</i></b></p>  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 25    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards                      | Policy 11.1.21 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Policy 11.1.21 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"Policy 11.1.21 – Locate new structures and works (except regionally significant infrastructure, where its location is constrained by technical and operational requirements) to:</i></b><br/> <b><i>(a) avoid them being damaged from the adverse effects of land instability; and</i></b><br/> <b><i>(b) avoid any increase in the adverse effects of slope instability that the structure or work may cause.</i></b></p> <p><i>Marlborough is characterised by steep terrain and in some locations, unstable geology. Combined with the potential for intense rainfall events, these factors create the potential for slope instability. Examples historically include rock/debris slumps, debris slides or flows, coastal erosion and tunnel gully erosion in various parts of the District. Establishing residential, commercial or industrial development <del>or infrastructure supporting that development or linking our communities</del> in locations prone to land instability will lead to unsustainable outcomes. This policy requires new structures and works to be located in environments that avoid adverse effects caused by land instability. It also addresses the situation of a structure or work exacerbating those adverse effects. The policy will primarily be implemented through the zoning of land and the scale/intensity of activity that the zone rules enable. However, the policy can also be applied in a resource consent context when an assessment of environmental effects for the structure or work identifies a risk of land instability. This includes subdivision undertaken to enable more intensive use of the land. A safe and stable building platform will have to be established for the subdivision of land in certain environments."</i></p> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 26    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Policy 13.1.1 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>" Policy 13.1.1 – Avoid adverse effects from <u>inappropriate</u> subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having:</i></b><br/> <b><i>(a) outstanding natural character;</i></b><br/> <b><i>(b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes;</i></b><br/> <b><i>(c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; or</i></b><br/> <b><i>(d) significant historic heritage value.</i></b></p> <p><i>Policy 13.1.1 identifies four significant matters upon which the adverse effects of <u>inappropriate</u> activities are to be avoided. These matters are given particular direction through the principles of the RMA (Sections 6(a), (b), (c) and (f)) and through direction provided by Policies 11, 13, 15 and 17 of the NZCPS. However, it is important to acknowledge that implementing the policy does not mean that all activities are prohibited from occurring in the areas with the identified values; it simply makes clear that any adverse effects of <u>inappropriate</u> activities must be avoided in those areas, rather than being mitigated or remedied. <u>Some activities, for instance National Grid assets such as the Cook Strait Submarine Cables and connection to them, have a technical, functional or operational need to locate in the coastal environment. This is recognised by Policy 6 of the NZCPS."</u></i></p>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 27    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain</b> Policy 13.2.2, and particularly clauses (a) and (g) as notified.</p>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 28    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Policy 13.10.1 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"Policy 13.10.1 – Enable structures to be located within the coastal marine area where these are:</i></b><br/> <b><i>(a) necessary for the purposes of assisting with navigation of ships/vessels or are temporary in nature for scientific monitoring or research purposes; or</i></b><br/> <b><i>(b) National Grid submarine cables within the Cook Strait Cable Protection Zone.</i></b></p> <p><i>For safety reasons it is important that navigational aids can be strategically located in Marlborough's coastal marine area. Monitoring equipment for scientific purposes or research is often temporary in nature and does not usually involve significant alteration or occupation of the coastal marine area. An enabling approach to these types of structures is provided for through the rules, subject to standards. <u>Transpower's Cook Strait Cables, located and protected within the Cook Strait Cable Protection Zone, are nationally significant. It is appropriate to enable the cables given the benefits derived from a safe, reliable and effective and National Grid.</u>"</i></p>                                      |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 29    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.10 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Policy 13.10.10 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"Policy 13.10.10 – Coastal structures <del>shall</del> <u>may</u> be required to be removed from the coastal marine area in the following circumstances:</i></b><br/> <b><i>(a) where there is no longer a need for the structure;</i></b><br/> <b><i>(b) when a coastal permit for a structure expires and no new permit has been sought; or</i></b><br/> <b><i>(c) where consent to authorise an existing structure is refused.</i></b></p> <p><i>There may be circumstances where coastal structures are no longer required or are not granted new resource consents in terms of (b) or (c). Where this is the case it is appropriate for the structure to be removed from the coastal marine area. This will help to achieve Policy 6(2)(e) of the NZCPS by promoting the efficient use of the coastal marine area. This policy will be achieved through conditions imposed on resource consents granted. <u>There are circumstances where the removal of structures in the coastal marine area is not preferred because the removal may not be practicable or the potential adverse effect of the removal may be significant.</u>"</i></p> |       |          |                                   |                 |         |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 30    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain</b> Objective 13.13, and associated Policies, as notified.</p>  |       |          |                                   |                 |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 31    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.1.3  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Policy 14.1.3 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"Policy 14.1.3 – Activities and buildings in rural environments should be linked to land-based primary production of Marlborough’s rural resources and/or require a rural location.</i></b></p> <p><i>Policy 14.1.3 aims to ensure that the potential of rural environments for primary production options is not compromised by activities and/or buildings that do not need to be located within, traverse (such as linear infrastructure) or have an association with rural environments, or which do not rely on the use of rural resources. While a wide range of activities are provided for within rural environments, their establishment will not be allowed to occur in a manner that threatens the sustainable and economic use of rural environments. The safeguarding of rural environments for activities that genuinely require a rural location will ensure that opportunities remain available for accommodating these activities."</i></p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 32    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Policy 15.1.11(c) as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"Policy 15.1.11 – When considering any discharge permit application for the discharge of contaminants to water, regard will be had to:</i></b><br/> <b><i>(a) the potential adverse effects of the discharge on spiritual and cultural values of Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi;</i></b><br/> <b><i>(b) the extent to which contaminants present in the discharge have been removed or reduced through treatment; and</i></b><br/> <b><i>(c) whether the discharge is of a temporary or short term nature and/or whether the discharge is associated with necessary maintenance work for any regionally significant infrastructure."</i></b></p>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 33    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Policy 15.4.4 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"Policy 15.4.4 – In considering any land use consent application to undertake land disturbance, regard shall be had to:</i></b><br/> <b><i>...</i></b><br/> <b><i>(f) any potential adverse effects on community water supplies; and</i></b><br/> <b><i>(g) whether the land disturbance is necessary for the operation or maintenance of regionally significant infrastructure; and</i></b><br/> <b><i>(x) the proximity to, and potential impacts on, the National Grid.</i></b><br/> <b><i>..."</i></b></p>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 34    | Volume 1 | 18 Energy                              | Issue 18A      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain</b> the explanatory text in Issue 18A and make amendments to the PMEP address the issues set out in 18A.</p> <p><b>Amend</b> the first paragraph in the explanatory text as follows:</p> <p><i>" ... electricity demand is satisfied from the <del>N</del>ational <del>G</del>rid, which runs through Marlborough."</i></p> |       |          |                 |               |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 35    | Volume 1 | 18 Energy       | Policy 18.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Policy 18.1.1 as follows:</p> <p><i>" ... improving the security of supply and reducing stress on the National <del>Electricity</del> Grid."</i></p>   |       |          |                 |               |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 36    | Volume 2 | 1 Introduction  | 1.            | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the Rules throughout Volume 2 to include non-complying activities in a manner that gives effect to Policies 10 and 11 of the NPSET.</p>  |       |          |                 |               |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 37    | Volume 2 | 1 Introduction  | 1.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the direction given in the Introduction to Volume 2 to clarify the relationship between, and within the General Rules and the Zone-based Rules as they relate to utilities.</p>  |       |          |                 |               |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 38    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.12.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain</b> Rule 2.2.12 as notified.</p>  |       |          |                 |               |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 39    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.          | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following additional Rule in 2.2 Permitted Activities:</p> <p><u>“[R]<br/> <b>Take, use, damming and diversion of water for the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid.”</b></u></p> <p>As a consequence, <b>amend</b> 2.3 Standards that apply to specific permitted activities as follows:</p> <p><b><u>“Take, use, damming and diversion of water for the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid.<br/> 2.3.x.1. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemerally flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed 5% of river flow at any time.<br/> 2.3.x.2. The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.<br/> 2.3.x.3 The take or damming must not be otherwise provided for by a permitted activity or a resource consent.”</u></b></p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 40    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following additional Rule in 2.2 Permitted Activities:</p> <p><u>“[R]<br/> <b>Take, use, damming and diversion of water for the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid.”</b></u></p> <p>As a consequence, <b>amend</b> 2.3 Standards that apply to specific permitted activities as follows:</p> <p><b><u>“Take, use, damming and diversion of water for the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid.<br/> 2.3.x.1. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemerally flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed 5% of river flow at any time.<br/> 2.3.x.2. The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.<br/> 2.3.x.3 The take or damming must not be otherwise provided for by a permitted activity or a resource consent.”</u></b></p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 41    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.11.2. | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend</b> Standard 2.3.11.2 as follows:<br><br><i>"2.3.11.2. The take must relate to a temporary trench excavated for the purposes of the <del>installation or maintenance, upgrade or development of utilities or infrastructure.</del>"</i>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 42    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend</b> Rule 2.7.2 as follows:<br><br><i>"2.7.2 Protection works in, on or over the bed of a lake or river for existing <u>utilities or structures.</u>"</i>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 43    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.5.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend</b> Rule 2.7.5 as follows:<br><br><i>"2.7.5. Construction or placement of a new structure or utility in, on, under, or over the bed of an ephemeral river."</i>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 44    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.7.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain</b> Rule 2.7.7 as notified.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 45    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.8.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend</b> Rule 2.7.8 as follows:<br><br><i>"2.7.8. Operation, maintenance, replacement and <del>m</del>Minor upgrading in, on, or under the bed of a lake or river of the following utilities:<br/>(a) <u>National Grid transmission line and associated cable existing at 9 June 2016; ...</u>"</i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 46    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain</b> the Standards in 2.8 as notified.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 47    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.2.    | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend</b> the heading for Standard 2.9.2 as follows:<br><br><b><i>"2.9.2 Protection works in, on or over the bed of a lake or river for existing <u>utilities or structures.</u>"</i></b>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 48    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.5.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend</b> the heading for Standard 2.9.5 as follows:<br><br><b><i>"2.9.5. Construction or placement of a new structure <u>or utility</u> in, on, under, or over the bed of an ephemeral river."</i></b>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 49    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.7.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain</b> the Standards in 2.9.7 as notified.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 50    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.8.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend</b> the Standards in 2.9.8 as follows:<br><br><b><i>" 2.9.8. Operation, maintenance, replacement and <del>m</del>Minor upgrading in, on , or under the bed of a lake or river of the following utilities: (ea) National Grid transmission line and associated cable existing at 9 June 2016; ...</i></b><br><i>2.9.8.1. The utility must have been lawfully established.</i><br><i>2.9.8.2 The activity must not increase the plan or cross-sectional area of the utility by any more than 5% of the original utility, <u>except that this Standard does not apply to works that do not affect the hydraulic efficiency of the river, such as poles and lattice towers.</u></i><br><i>2.9.8.3 There must be no significant change to the external appearance of the utility. <u>Painting a structure is not a significant change for the purposes of this Standard.</u></i><br><i>2.9.8.4 No greater than 10% of the cross-sectional area of the bed of a lake or river must be disturbed."</i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 51    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.13.1.   | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 2.13.1 to include the following:</p> <p><i><u>"2.13.1.x Within the National Grid Yard:<br/>(a) the activity, and associated works must maintain compliance with the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001) at all times; and<br/>(b) vegetation planting shall be undertaken to ensure that plants are selected and managed to achieve compliance with the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</u></i></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules that apply to 'Drainage Channel Network Activity' to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i>" 2.x Non-Complying Activities<br/>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:<br/>[R, D]<br/>2.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 2.13.1.x."</i></b></p>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 52    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 2.13.1 to include the following:</p> <p><i><u>" 2.13.1.x Within the National Grid Yard:<br/>(a) the activity, and associated works must maintain compliance with the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001) at all times; and<br/>(b) vegetation planting shall be undertaken to ensure that plants are selected and managed to achieve compliance with the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</u></i></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules that apply to 'Drainage Channel Network Activity' to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i>" 2.x Non-Complying Activities<br/>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:<br/>[R, D]<br/>2.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 2.13.1.x."</i></b></p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 53    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.21.     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend</b> the introduction to 'Discharges to Air' as follows:<br><br><i>"These activities apply to <u>the National Grid</u>, roads and railway corridors identified on the zoning maps".</i>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 54    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.23.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend</b> the introduction to 'Discharges to Air' as follows:<br><br><i>"These activities apply to <u>the National Grid</u>, roads and railway corridors identified on the zoning maps".</i>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 55    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.28.3.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain</b> Rule 2.28.3 as notified.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 56    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.29.3.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain</b> the Standards in Rule 2.29.3 as notified.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 57    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.30.2.   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend</b> the Rules for Notable Trees by including the following new restricted discretionary activity:<br><br><b><i>" 2.x Restricted Discretionary Activities</i></b><br><i>Application must be made for a Restricted Discretionary Activity for the following:</i><br><i>[D]</i><br><b><i>2.x.1. Trimming, pruning or felling of a Notable Tree associated with the operation, maintenance, upgrade or development of the National Grid that is not provided for as a permitted activity.</i></b><br><i>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</i><br><i>2.x.1.1. Where a Notable Tree is trimmed or pruned, the impact on the health, integrity and values that make the tree significant.</i><br><i>2.x.1.2. Impact on landscape, ecological, cultural, heritage and amenity values.</i><br><i>2.x.1.3. Whether any replanting is proposed.</i><br><i>2.x.1.4 The benefit of the work to the safety and efficiency of the National Grid."</i> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 58    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.34.7.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Rule 2.34.7 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"2.34.7. Sign displayed on a <u>utility</u>, utility site, or public park or reserve."</i></b></p>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 59    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.35.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 2.35 to including the following additional standard:</p> <p><i>" 2.35.1.x. A sign (except for signs associated with the National Grid) exceeding 2.5m in height:<br/>(a) must not be located within the National Grid Yard; and<br/>(b) must not restrict or prevent access to the National Grid."</i></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules that apply to 'Signage' to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i>"2.x Non-Complying Activities</i></b><br/> <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i><br/> <i>[D]</i><br/> <b><i>2.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 2.35.1.x."</i></b></p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 60    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 2.35 to including the following additional standard:</p> <p><i>" 2.35.1.x. A sign (except for signs associated with the National Grid) exceeding 2.5m in height:<br/>(a) must not be located within the National Grid Yard; and<br/>(b) must not restrict or prevent access to the National Grid."</i></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules that apply to 'Signage' to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i>"2.x Non-Complying Activities</i></b><br/> <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i><br/> <i>[D]</i><br/> <b><i>2.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 2.35.1.x."</i></b></p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 61    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.36.6.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend</b> the heading of Standard 2.36.6 as follows:<br><br><b><i>"2.36.6. Sign displayed on a <u>utility</u>, utility site, or public park or reserve."</i></b>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 62    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend</b> the 'Network Utilities' provisions and other related provisions in a manner that addresses the matters raised in this submission.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 63    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend</b> the 'Network Utilities' provisions and other related provisions in a manner that addresses the matters raised in this submission.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 64    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.40.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend</b> the 'Network Utilities' provisions and other related provisions in a manner that addresses the matters raised in this submission.   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 65    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend</b> the introduction to the 'Network Utility' provisions to provide clear direction in relation to the way in which the PMEP provisions apply to network utility activities and particularly to structure the provisions to apply, insofar as is practical, as a standalone suite of provisions.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 66    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend</b> the introduction to the 'Network Utility' provisions to include the following:<br><br><b><i><u>"Notwithstanding any other rules in the Marlborough Environment Plan, the requirements of the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities "NESETA") Regulations 2009 apply to the operation, maintenance, upgrading, relocation or removal of National Grid transmission line(s) that were operating or able to be operated on, or prior to, 14 January 2010 and remain part of the National Grid. In the case of conflict with any other provision of the Marlborough Environment Plan, including any provision in this section, the NESETA Regulations shall prevail."</u></i></b> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 67    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.1.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Rule 2.38.1 to clearly distinguish regional plan and district plan provisions. Similarly <b>amend</b> Rule 2.38.1 to also provide for distinct regional coastal plan provisions.</p> <p>As a consequence, also <b>amend</b> other provisions in the PMEP (where they are relevant to network utilities) that take a similar approach to clearly unbundle to align with district and regional functions,</p> <p>and <b>amend</b> Rule 2.38.1 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"2.38.1 Network utility infrastructure listed as follows:<br/>(x) National Grid transmission lines, substations, telecommunications cables and associated access tracks.<br/>(a) an electricity distribution line or facility;<br/>(b) a telecommunication line or facility; ..."</i></b></p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 68    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Rule 2.38.2 as follows:</p> <p>"[R,-Ø]<br/><b><i>2.38.2. Telecommunications and National Grid lines or cables in, on, under or over the bed of a lake or river.</i></b>"</p>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 69    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.4.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Rule 2.38.4 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"2.38.4. Maintenance and replacement of the following network utilities existing at 9 June 2016:<br/>(x) National Grid transmission lines, substations, telecommunications cables and associated access tracks.<br/>(a) an electricity distribution line or facility;<br/>(b) a telecommunication line or facility; ..."</i></b></p>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 70    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.5.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Rule 2.38.5 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"2.38.5. Minor upgrading of the following network utilities existing at 9 June 2016:<br/>(x) National Grid transmission lines, substations, telecommunications cables and associated access tracks.<br/>(a) an electricity <u>distribution</u> line or facility:<br/>(b) a telecommunication line or facility; ..."</i></b></p>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 71    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.6.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Rule 2.38.6 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"2.38.6. Vegetation trimming or clearance associated with the maintenance, replacement and minor upgrading of a network utility including their associated access tracks existing at 9 June 2016. No other rules in the Marlborough Environment Plan apply."</i></b></p>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 72    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the Rules in 2.38 to include the following:</p> <p><b><i>"[D]<br/>2.38.x Network utilities within the National Grid Yard."</i></b></p> <p>As a consequence, <b>amend</b> the Standards in 2.39 to include the following:</p> <p><b><i>"2.39.x. Network utilities within the National Grid Yard<br/>2.39.x.1 The reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes shall not be located within the National Grid Yard.<br/>2.39.x.2 Utility buildings and structures shall comply with NZECP34:2001."</i></b></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules that apply to 'Network Utilities' to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i>" 2.x Non-Complying Activities<br/>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:<br/>[D]<br/>2.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 2.39.x.1 or 2.39.x.2."</i></b></p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|--------|
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 73    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the Rules in 2.38 to include the following:</p> <p><i>[D]</i><br/> <b><u>2.38.x Network utilities within the National Grid Yard.</u></b></p> <p>As a consequence, <b>amend</b> the Standards in 2.39 to include the following:</p> <p><b><u>2.39.x. Network utilities within the National Grid Yard</u></b><br/> <u>2.39.x.1 The reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes shall not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u><br/> <u>2.39.x.2 Utility buildings and structures shall comply with NZECP34:2001.</u></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules that apply to 'Network Utilities' to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><u>2.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b><br/> <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u><br/> <u>[D]</u><br/> <b><u>2.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 2.39.x.1 or 2.39.x.2.</u></b></p> |       |          |                 |           |        |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 74    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.        | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the Rules in 2.38 to include the following:</p> <p><i>[D]</i><br/> <b><u>2.38.x Network utilities within the National Grid Yard.</u></b></p> <p>As a consequence, <b>amend</b> the Standards in 2.39 to include the following:</p> <p><b><u>2.39.x. Network utilities within the National Grid Yard</u></b><br/> <u>2.39.x.1 The reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes shall not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u><br/> <u>2.39.x.2 Utility buildings and structures shall comply with NZECP34:2001."</u></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules that apply to 'Network Utilities' to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><u>2.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b><br/> <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u><br/> <i>[D]</i><br/> <b><u>2.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 2.39.x.1 or 2.39.x.2."</u></b></p> |       |          |                 |            |        |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 75    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.9.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Standard 2.39.1.9 as follows:</p> <p><i>"2.39.1.9 A new line (excluding a National Grid transmission line), including a cable television line, must be located underground within any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3, Business 1, Business 2, Industrial 1, Industrial 2, Open Space 1 or Open Space 2."</i></p>   |       |          |                 |            |        |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 76    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.14. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Delete</b> Standard 2.39.1.14 in its entirety.</p>   |       |          |                 |            |        |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 77    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.42.1.    | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend</b> the Standards in 2.42.1 to include the following:<br><br><i><u>"2.42.1.x Within the National Grid Yard:<br/>(a) buildings, temporary structures and activities must meet the safe electrical clearance distances set out in the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001); and<br/>(b) no explosives may be used."</u></i> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 78    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.17. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Delete</b> Standards 3.2.1.17.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 79    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.18. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Delete</b> Standard 3.2.1.8.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 80    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Insert</b> the following new Rule in 3.1:<br><br><i><b><u>"3.1.x Buildings, structures and activities within the National Grid Yard."</u></b></i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 81    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the new Standards in 3.3:</p> <p><b><u>“3.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></b></p> <p><u>3.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u></p> <p><u>3.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</u></p> <p><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height; or</u></p> <p><u>(b) an uninhabited farm or horticultural structure or building (except where they are commercial greenhouses, wintering barns, produce packing facilities, milking/dairy sheds, structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</u></p> <p><u>3.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are:</u></p> <p><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure; or</u></p> <p><u>(b) artificial crop protection structures or crop support structures located within 12 metres of a National Grid transmission line support structures that meet requirements of clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34:2001.</u></p> <p><u>3.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</u></p> <p><u><b>Advice Note:</b> Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.”</u></p> <p>As a consequence amend the rules in Chapter 3 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><u>“3.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b></p> <p><u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u></p> <p><u>[D]</u></p> <p><b><u>3.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 3.3.x and Standard 3.3.15.”</u></b></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 82    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the new Standards in 3.3:</p> <p><b><i><u>“3.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></i></b></p> <p><i><u>3.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>3.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height; or</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(b) an uninhabited farm or horticultural structure or building (except where they are commercial greenhouses, wintering barns, produce packing facilities, milking/dairy sheds, structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</u></i></p> <p><i><u>3.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure; or</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(b) artificial crop protection structures or crop support structures located within 12 metres of a National Grid transmission line support structures that meet requirements of clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34:2001.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>3.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</u></i></p> <p><b><i><u>Advice Note: Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.”</u></i></b></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules in Chapter 3 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i><u>“3.x Non-Complying Activities</u></i></b></p> <p><i><u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>[D]</u></i></p> <p><b><i><u>3.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 3.3.x and Standard 3.3.15.”</u></i></b></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 83    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.8.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Delete</b> Standard 3.2.1.8.</p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 84    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.5.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following new rule in 3.5 Restricted Discretionary Activities:</p> <p><i><u>“[D]</u></i><br/> <b><i>3.5.x Any building or sensitive activity within 90m of the designation boundary of the National Grid Blenheim substation.</i></b><br/> <i>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</i><br/> <i>3.5.x.1. The effects on the efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the substation.</i><br/> <i>3.5.x.2. The extent to which the proposed development design and layout enables appropriate separation distances between the development, or activities sensitive to National Grid lines and the substation.</i><br/> <i>3.5.x.3 The results of any detailed investigations to determine appropriate separation distances between activities sensitive to National Grid lines and the substation and any technical advice provided by Transpower New Zealand Limited.</i><br/> <i>3.5.x.4 The risk of electrical hazards affecting public or individual safety, and the risk of property damage.”</i></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 85    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.15.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Rule 3.1.15 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>“ 3.1.15 Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard.”</i></b></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 86    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Standard 3.3.8 to include the follows:</p> <p><b><i>“Advice Note: Planting in the vicinity of the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.”</i></b></p>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 87    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Standard 3.3.11.2 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>“ 3.3.11.2 The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 3.3.11.3 to 3.3.11.6 (inclusive): (x) indigenous vegetation clearance associated with the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid. ...”</i></b></p>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 88    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Standard 3.3.12.3 as follows:</p> <p><i>"3.3.12.3 Except when related to the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid, <del>Vegetation</del> clearance must not be in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification."</i></p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 89    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.15.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 3.3.15 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"3.3.15-Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</i></b><br/> <i>3.3.15.1 Excavation Earthworks within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from the remaining standards under this rule:</i><br/> <i>(a) Excavation that is earthworks undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track;</i><br/> <i>(b) earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</i><br/> <del><i>(b) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire;</i></del><br/> <del><i>(c) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticultural structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation.</i></del><br/> <i>3.3.15.2 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure <del>Transmission Tower Support Structure.</del></i><br/> <i>3.3.15.3 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure <del>Transpower Tower Support Structure.</del></i><br/> <i>3.3.15.4 The earthworks excavation must not compromise the stability of a National Grid transmission line Support Structure.</i><br/> <i>3.3.15.5 The earthworks filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i></p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 90    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the rules in Chapter 3 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i>"3.x Non-Complying Activities</i></b><br/> <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i><br/> <i>[D]</i><br/> <b><i>3.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 3.3.x and Standard 3.3.15."</i></b></p>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 91    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.15. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Delete</b> Standard 4.2.1.15.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 92    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.16. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Delete</b> Standard 4.2.1.16.  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 93    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Insert</b> the following new Rule in 4.1:  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
|                    | <b><u>"4.1.x Buildings, structures and activities within the National Grid Yard."</u></b> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 94    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.      | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the new Standards in 4.3:</p> <p><b><u>“4.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></b></p> <p><u>4.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u></p> <p><u>4.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</u></p> <p><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height; or</u></p> <p><u>(b) an uninhabited farm or horticultural structure or building (except where they are commercial greenhouses, wintering barns, produce packing facilities, milking/dairy sheds, structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</u></p> <p><u>4.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are:</u></p> <p><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure; or</u></p> <p><u>(b) artificial crop protection structures or crop support structures located within 12 metres of a National Grid transmission line support structures that meet requirements of clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34:2001.</u></p> <p><u>4.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</u></p> <p><b><u>Advice Note:</u></b> <u>Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.”</u></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules in Chapter 4 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><u>“ 4.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b></p> <p><u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u></p> <p><u>[D]</u></p> <p><b><u>4.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 4.3.x and Standard 4.3.14.”</u></b></p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 95    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the new Standards in 4.3:</p> <p><b><u>“4.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></b></p> <p><u>4.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u></p> <p><u>4.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</u></p> <p><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height; or</u></p> <p><u>(b) an uninhabited farm or horticultural structure or building (except where they are commercial greenhouses, wintering barns, produce packing facilities, milking/dairy sheds, structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</u></p> <p><u>4.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are:</u></p> <p><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure; or</u></p> <p><u>(b) artificial crop protection structures or crop support structures located within 12 metres of a National Grid transmission line support structures that meet requirements of clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34:2001.</u></p> <p><u>4.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</u></p> <p><b><u>Advice Note:</u></b> <u>Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.”</u></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules in Chapter 4 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><u>“4.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b></p> <p><u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u></p> <p><u>[D]</u></p> <p><b><u>4.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 4.3.x and Standard 4.3.14.”</u></b></p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 96    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.14.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Rule 4.1.14 as follows:</p> <p><b><u>“4.1.14 Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard.”</u></b></p>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 97    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Standard 4.3.7 to include the follows:</p> <p><b><u>“Advice Note:</u></b> <u>Planting in the vicinity of the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.”</u></p>  |       |          |                            |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 98    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Standard 4.3.10.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>"4.3.10.2 The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.10.3 to 4.3.10.6 (inclusive):<br/> (x) indigenous vegetation clearance associated with the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid. ..."</i></p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 99    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Standard 4.3.11.3 as follows:</p> <p><i>"4.3.11.3 <u>Except when related to the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid.</u> <del>V</del>vegetation clearance must not be in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland."</i></p>   |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 100   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.14.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 4.3.14 as follows:</p> <p><i><del>“4.3.14-Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</del></i></p> <p><i><del>4.3.14.1 Excavation Earthworks within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.14.2 to 4.3.14.5 (inclusive):</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(a) Excavation that is earthworks undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track:</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(b) earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</del></i></p> <p><i><del>–(b) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire;</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(c) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticultural structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation.</del></i></p> <p><i><del>4.3.14.2 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure Transmission Tower Support Structure.</del></i></p> <p><i><del>4.3.14.3 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure Transpower Tower Support Structure.</del></i></p> <p><i><del>4.3.14.4 The earthworks excavation must not compromise the stability of a National Grid transmission line Support Structure.</del></i></p> <p><i><del>4.3.14.5 The earthworks filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001).”</del></i></p> <p><b>Amend</b> the rules in Chapter 4 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i><u>“4.x Non-Complying Activities</u></i></b></p> <p><b><i><u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u></i></b></p> <p><b><i><u>[D]</u></i></b></p> <p><b><i><u>4.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 4.3.x and Standard 4.3.14.</u></i></b></p> |       |          |                            |           |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 101   | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 4.3.14 as follows:</p> <p><i><del>“4.3.14 Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</del></i></p> <p><i><del>4.3.14.1 Excavation Earthworks within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.14.2 to 4.3.14.5 (inclusive):</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(a) Excavation that is earthworks undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track:</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(b) earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(b) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire;</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(c) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticultural structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation.</del></i></p> <p><i><del>4.3.14.2 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure Transmission Tower Support Structure.</del></i></p> <p><i><del>4.3.14.3 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure Transpower Tower Support Structure.</del></i></p> <p><i><del>4.3.14.4 The earthworks excavation must not compromise the stability of a National Grid transmission line Support Structure.</del></i></p> <p><i><del>4.3.14.5 The earthworks filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001).”</del></i></p> <p><b>Amend</b> the rules in Chapter 4 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i>“4.x Non-Complying Activities</i></b></p> <p><i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i></p> <p><i>[D]</i></p> <p><b><i>4.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 4.3.x and Standard 4.3.14.</i></b></p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 102   | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.18. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Delete</b> Standard 5.2.1.18.</p>   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 103   | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following new restricted discretionary activity rule in Chapter 5:</p> <p><b><u>"5.x Restricted Discretionary Activities</u></b><br/> <u>Application must be made for a Restricted Discretionary Activity for the following:</u><br/> <u>[D]</u><br/> <b><u>5.x.x Any building, sensitive activity within 90m of the designation boundary of the National Grid Blenheim substation.</u></b><br/> <u>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</u><br/> <u>5.x.x.1. The effects on the efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the substation.</u><br/> <u>5.x.x.2. The extent to which the proposed development design and layout enables appropriate separation distances between the development, or activities sensitive to National Grid lines and the substation.</u><br/> <u>5.x.x.3 The results of any detailed investigations to determine appropriate separation distances between activities sensitive to National Grid lines and the substation and any technical advice provided by Transpower New Zealand Limited.</u><br/> <u>5.x.x.4 The risk of electrical hazards affecting public or individual safety, and the risk of property damage."</u></p> |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 104   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Delete</b> Standards 7.2.1.10.</p>  |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 105   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Delete</b> Standards 7.2.1.11.</p>  |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 106   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following new Rule in 7.1:</p> <p><b><u>"7.1.x Buildings, structures and activities within the National Grid Yard."</u></b></p>  |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 107   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the new Standards in 7.3:</p> <p><b><u>“7.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></b></p> <p><u>7.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u></p> <p><u>7.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</u></p> <p><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height; or</u></p> <p><u>(b) an uninhabited accessory building associated with an existing residential activity that is less than 10m<sup>2</sup> and under 2.5m in height.</u></p> <p><u>7.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</u></p> <p><u>7.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</u></p> <p><b><u>Advice Note:</u></b> <u>Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.”</u></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules in Chapter 7 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><u>“7.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b></p> <p><u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u></p> <p><u>[D]</u></p> <p><b><u>7.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 7.3.x and Standard 7.3.10.”</u></b></p> |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 108   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the new Standards in 7.3:</p> <p><b><i>“ 7.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</i></b><br/> <i>7.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</i><br/> <i>7.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</i><br/> <i>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height: or</i><br/> <i>(b) an uninhabited accessory building associated with an existing residential activity that is less than 10m<sup>2</sup> and under 2.5m in height.</i><br/> <i>7.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i><br/> <i>7.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</i><br/> <b><i>Advice Note:</i></b> <i>Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.”</i></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules in Chapter 7 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i>“7.x Non-Complying Activities</i></b><br/> <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i><br/> <i>[D]</i><br/> <b><i>7.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 7.3.x and Standard 7.3.10.”</i></b></p> |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 109   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.1.12.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Rule 7.1.12 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>“ 7.1.12-Excavation or filling Earthworks within-a the National Grid Yard.”</i></b></p>  |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 110   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.7.2.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Standard 7.3.7.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>“7.3.7.2 The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 7.3.7.3 to 7.3.7.6 (inclusive):</i><br/> <i>(x) indigenous vegetation clearance associated with the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid. ...”</i></p>   |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 111   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.8.3.  | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Standard 7.3.8.3 as follows:</p> <p><i>" 7.3.8.3 <u>Except when related to the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid, within, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland, Pest Plants identified in Appendix 25 and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse and old man's beard are the only vegetation that may be removed. Any vegetation removed under this standard must only be done by non-mechanical means."</u></i></p>  |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 112   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.10.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 7.3.10 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"7.3.10 <del>Excavation or filling</del> Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</i></b></p> <p><i>7.3.10.1 <del>Excavation</del> Earthworks within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from the remaining standards under this rule:</i></p> <p><i>(a) <del>Excavation that is earthworks</del> undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track:</i></p> <p><i>(b) earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures for reticulation and storage or water for irrigation purposes).</i></p> <p><i><del>(b) Excavation of a hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire;</del></i></p> <p><i>(c) <del>Excavation of a hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticultural structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation.</del></i></p> <p><i>7.3.10.2 The <del>earthworks</del> excavation must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a <u>foundation of a National Grid transmission line tower support structure.</u></i></p> <p><i>7.3.10.3 The <del>earthworks</del> excavation must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge <u>of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line tower support structure.</u></i></p> <p><i>7.3.10.4 The <del>earthworks</del> excavation must not compromise the stability of a National Grid <u>transmission line</u> support structure.</i></p> <p><i>7.3.10.5 The <del>earthworks</del> filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i></p> <p><b>Amend</b> the rules in Chapter 7 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i>"7.x Non-Complying Activities</i></b></p> <p><i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i></p> <p><i>[D]</i></p> <p><b><i>7.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standard in 7.3.x and Standard 7.3.10."</i></b></p> |       |          |                       |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 113   | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 7.3.10 as follows:</p> <p><b><u>"7.3.10 Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</u></b><br/> <b>7.3.10.1</b> <del>Excavation Earthworks</del> within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from the remaining standards under this rule:<br/> (a) <del>Excavation that is earthworks</del> undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track;<br/> (b) <del>earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures for reticulation and storage or water for irrigation purposes).</del><br/> <b><del>(b) Excavation of a hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire;</del></b><br/> (c) <del>Excavation of a hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticultural structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation.</del><br/> 7.3.10.2 The <del>earthworks excavation</del> must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a <u>foundation of a National Grid transmission line tower support structure.</u><br/> 7.3.10.3 The <del>earthworks excavation</del> must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge <u>of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line tower support structure.</u><br/> 7.3.10.4 The <del>earthworks excavation</del> must not compromise the stability of a National Grid <u>transmission line</u> support structure.<br/> 7.3.10.5 The <del>earthworks filling</del> must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</p> <p><b>Amend</b> the rules in Chapter 7 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><u>"7.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b><br/> <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u><br/> <u>[D]</u><br/> <b><u>7.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standard in 7.3.x and Standard 7.3.10."</u></b></p> |       |          |                             |            |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 114   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.1.9.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Delete</b> Standards 12.2.1.9.</p>   |       |          |                             |            |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 115   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.1.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Delete</b> Standard 2.2.1.10.</p>  |       |          |                             |            |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 116   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following new Rule in 12.1:</p> <p><b><u>"12.1.x Buildings, structures and activities within the National Grid Yard."</u></b></p>  |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 117   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the new Standards in 12.3:</p> <p><b><u>"12.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></b><br/> <u>12.3.x.1 Sensitive activities, buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height.</u><br/> <u>12.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</u><br/> <b><u>Advice Note:</u></b><br/> <u>(a) Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.</u><br/> <u>(b) The New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances (NZECP 34:2001) contains restrictions on the location of structures and activities in relation to the lines. Compliance with the NZECP34:2001 is mandatory under the Electricity Act 1992. Compliance with the permitted activity status in this Plan does not ensure compliance with the NZECP34:2001."</u></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules in Chapter 12 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><u>"12.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b><br/> <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u><br/> <u>[D]</u><br/> <b><u>12.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 12.3.x and Standard 12.3.19."</u></b></p> |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 118   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the new Standards in 12.3:</p> <p><b><i>"12.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</i></b><br/> <i>12.3.x.1 Sensitive activities, buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height.</i><br/> <i>12.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i></p> <p><b><i>Advice Note:</i></b><br/> <i>(a) Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.</i><br/> <i>(b) The New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances (NZECP 34:2001) contains restrictions on the location of structures and activities in relation to the lines. Compliance with the NZECP34:2001 is mandatory under the Electricity Act 1992. Compliance with the permitted activity status in this Plan does not ensure compliance with the NZECP34:2001."</i></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules in Chapter 12 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i>"12.x Non-Complying Activities</i></b><br/> <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i><br/> <i>[D]</i><br/> <b><i>12.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 12.3.x and Standard 12.3.19."</i></b></p> |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 119   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.30.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Rule 12.1.30 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"12.1.30 Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard."</i></b></p>   |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 120   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.19.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 12.3.19 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"12.3.19-Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</i></b><br/> <i>12.3.19.1 Excavation Earthworks within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 12.3.19.2 to 12.3.19.5 (inclusive):</i><br/> <i>(a) Excavation that is earthworks undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track:</i><br/> <i>(b) earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures for the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</i><br/> <i>(b) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire;</i><br/> <i>(c) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticultural structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation.</i><br/> <i>12.3.19.2 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid Transmission line Tower Support Structure.</i><br/> <i>12.3.19.3 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid Transmission line Tower Support Structure.</i><br/> <i>12.3.19.4 The earthworks excavation must not compromise the stability of a National Grid transmission line Support Structure.</i><br/> <i>12.3.19.5 The earthworks filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i></p> <p><b>Amend</b> the rules in Chapter 12 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i>"12.x Non-Complying Activities</i></b><br/> <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i><br/> <i>[D]</i><br/> <b><i>12.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 12.3.x and Standard 12.3.19."</i></b></p> |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 121   | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 12.3.19 as follows:</p> <p><b><u>"12.3.19 Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</u></b><br/> 12.3.19.1 <del>Excavation Earthworks</del> within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 12.3.19.2 to 12.3.19.5 (inclusive):<br/> (a) <del>Excavation that is earthworks</del> undertaken as part of agricultural, <u>horticultural</u> or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track:<br/> <b><u>(b) earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures for the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</u></b><br/> <b><u>(b) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire;</u></b><br/> <b><u>(c) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticultural structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation.</u></b><br/> 12.3.19.2 <del>The earthworks excavation</del> must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a <u>foundation of a National Grid Transmission line Tower Support Structure.</u><br/> 12.3.19.3 <del>The earthworks excavation</del> must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a <u>foundation of a National Grid Transmission line Tower Support Structure.</u><br/> 12.3.19.4 <del>The earthworks excavation</del> must not compromise the stability of a National Grid <u>transmission line Support Structure.</u><br/> 12.3.19.5 <del>The earthworks filling</del> must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</p> <p><b>Amend</b> the rules in Chapter 12 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><u>"12.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b><br/> <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u><br/> <u>[D]</u><br/> <b><u>12.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 12.3.x and Standard 12.3.19."</u></b></p> |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 122   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.9.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Rule 16.1.9 as follows:</p> <p><b><u>"16.1.9 Installation, operation, maintenance, repair and upgrade of National Grid Cook Strait submarine cables including the following:</u></b><br/> <b><u>(a) occupation of the coastal marine area;</u></b><br/> <b><u>(b) disturbance of the foreshore or seabed and associated discharges;</u></b><br/> <b><u>(c) the discharge of heat to coastal water; and</u></b><br/> <b><u>(d) associated lighting, navigational aids and signs.</u></b><del>Repair, maintenance or replacement of the existing subsurface Cook Strait cable."</del></p>  |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 123   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2.     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 16.2 to include the following:</p> <p><b><i>" 16.2.x Activities in the vicinity of the National Grid Cook Strait submarine cables</i></b><br/> <i>16.2.x.1 Except for works associated with the National Grid Cook Strait submarine cables, there shall be no disturbance, anchoring, mooring or occupation of the foreshore immediately adjacent to Transpower New Zealand Limited's Fighting Bay Terminal Station.</i><br/> <b><i>Advice Note:</i></b> <i>The Submarine Cables and Pipelines Protection Act 1996, the associated Submarine Cables and Pipeline Protection Order 1992, and Submarine Cables and Pipelines Protection Amendment Order 1999 contain further restrictions on fishing and anchoring within the Cook Strait Cable Protection Zone. "</i></p>   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 124   | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.7.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 16.3.7 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"16.3.7. Installation, operation, maintenance, repair and upgrade of National Grid Cook Strait submarine cables including the follows:</i></b><br/> <b><i>(a) occupation of the coastal marine area;</i></b><br/> <b><i>(b) disturbance of the foreshore or seabed and associated discharges;</i></b><br/> <b><i>(c) the discharge of heat to coastal water; and</i></b><br/> <b><i>(d) associated lighting, navigational aids and signs.</i></b><del><b><i>Repair, maintenance or replacement of the existing subsurface Cook Strait cable.</i></b></del><br/> <i>16.3.7.1. No more than 500m<sup>3</sup> of material must be disturbed in any one calendar year.</i><br/> <i>16.3.7.12: The repair, maintenance or replacement works must not adversely affect navigational safety.</i><br/> <i>16.3.7.32. There must be no contaminants released from equipment being used for the activity."</i></p> |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 125   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone   | 18.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 18:</p> <p><b><i>" [D]</i></b><br/> <b><i>18.1.x Buildings, structures and activities within the National Grid Yard."</i></b></p>   |       |          |                        |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 126   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone   | 18.       | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 18:</p> <p><u><i>"[D]</i></u><br/> <b><i>18.1.x Earthworks within the National Grid Yard."</i></b></p>   |       |          |                      |           |        |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 127   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 18:</p> <p><b><i>"18.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</i></b><br/> <i>18.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</i><br/> <i>18.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</i><br/> <i>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height; or</i><br/> <i>(b) an uninhabited accessory building that is less than 10m<sup>2</sup> and under 2.5m in height.</i><br/> <i>18.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i><br/> <i>18.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</i><br/> <b><i>Advice Note: Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</i></b></p> |       |          |                      |           |        |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 128   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.       | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 18:</p> <p><b><i>"18.3.x Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</i></b><br/> <i>18.3.x.1 Earthworks within the National Grid Yard undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track, or earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures the reticulation or storage of water for irrigation purposes) are exempt from the following standards:</i><br/> <i>18.3.x.2 The earthworks must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i><br/> <i>18.3.x.3 The earthworks must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i><br/> <i>18.3.x.4 The earthworks must not compromise the stability of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i><br/> <i>18.3.x.5 The earthworks must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i></p> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 129   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 18:</p> <p><b><i>"18.x Non-Complying Activities</i></b><br/> <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i><br/> <i>[D]</i><br/> <b><i>18.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 18.3.x and Standard 18.3.x."</i></b></p>  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 130   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.3.3.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Standard 18.3.3.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>" 18.3.3.2 The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 18.3.3.3 to 18.3.3.4: (x) indigenous vegetation clearance associated with the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid. ..."</i></p>  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 131   | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.3.4.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend</b> Standard 18.3.4.3 as follows:<br><br><i>"18.3.4.3 Except when related to the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid, within, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland, Pest Plants identified in Appendix 25 and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse and old man's beard are the only vegetation that may be removed. Any vegetation removed under this Standard must only be done by non-mechanical means."</i> |       |          |                      |           |        |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 132   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 19:<br><br><i>"[D]<br/><b>19.1.x Buildings, structures and activities within the National Grid Yard."</b></i>  |       |          |                      |           |        |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 133   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 19:<br><br><i>"[D]<br/><b>19.1.x Earthworks within the National Grid Yard."</b></i>  |       |          |                      |           |        |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 134   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.       | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 19:</p> <p><b><i><u>"19.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></i></b></p> <p><i><u>19.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>19.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height;</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(b) an uninhabited farm or horticultural structure or building (except where they are commercial greenhouses, wintering barns, produce packing facilities, milking/dairy sheds, structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes); or</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(c) an uninhabited accessory building associated that is less than 10m<sup>2</sup> and under 2.5m in height.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>19.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure; or</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(b) artificial crop protection structures or crop support structures located within 12 metres of a National Grid transmission line support structures that meet requirements of clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34:2001.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>19.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</u></i></p> <p><b><i><u>Advice Note: Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</u></i></b></p> |       |          |                      |           |        |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 135   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.       | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 19:</p> <p><b><i>"19.3.x Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</i></b><br/> <i>19.3.x.1 Earthworks within the National Grid Yard undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track, or earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures the reticulation or storage of water for irrigation purposes) are exempt from the following standards:</i><br/> <i>19.3.x.2 The earthworks must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i><br/> <i>19.3.x.3 The earthworks must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i><br/> <i>19.3.x.4 The earthworks must not compromise the stability of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i><br/> <i>19.3.x.5 The earthworks must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i></p> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 136   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 19:</p> <p><b><i>"19.x Non-Complying Activities</i></b><br/> <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i><br/> <i>[D]</i><br/> <b><i>19.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 19.3.x and Standard 19.3.x."</i></b></p>  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 137   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Standard 19.3.2 to include the follows:</p> <p><b><i>"Advice Note: Planting in the vicinity of the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</i></b></p>   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 138   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.3.2. | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Standard 19.3.3.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>"19.3.3.2 The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 19.3.3.3 to 19.3.3.5: <u>(x) indigenous vegetation clearance associated with the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid. ..."</u></i></p>  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 139   | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.4.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Standard 19.3.4.3 as follows:</p> <p><i>"19.3.4.3 <u>Except when related to the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid, within, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland, Pest Plants identified in Appendix 25 and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse and old man's beard are the only vegetation that may be removed. Any vegetation removed under this Standard must only be done by non-mechanical means.</u>"</i></p> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 140   | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 20:</p> <p><i><u>"[D] 20.1.x Buildings, structures and activities within the National Grid Yard."</u></i></p>   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 141   | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 20:</p> <p><i><u>"[D] 20.1.x Earthworks within the National Grid Yard."</u></i></p>   |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 142   | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.       | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 20:</p> <p><b><u>"20.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></b></p> <p><u>20.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u></p> <p><u>20.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</u></p> <p><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height;</u></p> <p><u>(b) an uninhabited accessory building associated that is less than 10m<sup>2</sup> and under 2.5m in height.</u></p> <p><u>20.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</u></p> <p><u>20.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</u></p> <p><b><u>Advice Note:</u></b> <u>Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</u></p> |       |          |                      |           |        |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 143   | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 20:</p> <p><b><u>"20.3.x Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</u></b></p> <p><u>20.3.x.1 Earthworks within the National Grid Yard undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track, or earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures for irrigation and dams), is exempt from the following standards:</u></p> <p><u>20.3.x.2 The earthworks must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</u></p> <p><u>20.3.x.3 The earthworks must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</u></p> <p><u>20.3.x.4 The earthworks must not compromise the stability of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</u></p> <p><u>20.3.x.5 The earthworks must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</u></p>  |       |          |                      |           |        |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 144   | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.       | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter              | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 20:</p> <p><b><u>"20.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b><br/> <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u><br/> <u>[D]</u><br/> <b><u>20.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 20.3.x and Standard 20.3.x."</u></b></p>  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 145   | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.3.5.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Standard 20.3.5.3 as follows:</p> <p><i>"20.3.5.3.3 Except when related to the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid, within, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland, Pest Plants identified in Appendix 25 and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse and old man's beard are the only vegetation that may be removed. Any vegetation removed under this Standard must only be done by non-mechanical means."</i></p>  |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 146   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone     | 21.2.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 21.2 to include the following:</p> <p><i>"21.2.x. Activities within the National Grid Yard:<br/> (a) the activity, and associated works must maintain compliance with the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001) at all times; and<br/> (b) vegetation planting shall be undertaken to ensure that plants are selected and managed to achieve compliance with the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</i></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the Rules in Chapter 21 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><u>"21.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b><br/> <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u><br/> <u>[R, D]</u><br/> <b><u>21.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 21.2.x."</u></b></p> |       |          |                      |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 147   | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone     | 21.       | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 21.2 to include the following:</p> <p><i>"21.2.x. Activities within the National Grid Yard:<br/> (a) the activity, and associated works must maintain compliance with the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001) at all times; and<br/> (b) vegetation planting shall be undertaken to ensure that plants are selected and managed to achieve compliance with the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</i></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the Rules in Chapter 21 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i>"21.x Non-Complying Activities<br/> Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:<br/> [R, D]<br/> 21.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 21.2.x."</i></b></p> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 148   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.2.1.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain</b> Rule 24.2.1 as notified.</p>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 149   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.4.3.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Rule 24.4.3 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"24.4.3 Except as provided for by Rule 24.2.1, Subdivision of land located within 90m of the National Grid Blenheim Substation on Sec 1 SO 4246, Lot 1 DP 8572 and Pt Sec 1 SO 6959 (or any successor)."</i></b></p>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 150   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.4.4.   | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Rule 24.4.4 as follows:</p> <p><b>"24.4.4. Except as provided for by Rule 24.2.1, Subdivision of land within the National Grid Corridor.</b><br/> Standards and terms<br/> 24.4.4.1 All allotments shall identify a building platform for the principal building and any dwelling/sensitive activity, to be located outside the National Grid Yard.<br/> 24.4.4.2 Access to National Grid assets shall be maintained.<br/> Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:<br/> 24.4.4.3 The matters set out in 24.3.7.1 to 24.3.7.17.<br/> 24.4.4.4 The extent to which the subdivision may adversely affect the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid.<br/> 24.4.4.5 Technical details of the characteristics and risks on and from the National Grid.<br/> 24.4.4.6 The location, design and use of the proposed building platform or structure as it relates to the National Grid transmission line.<br/> 24.4.4.7 The risk of electrical hazards affecting public or individual safety, and the risk of property damage, including compliance with New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001).<br/> 24.4.4.8 The nature and location of any vegetation to be planted in the vicinity of the National Grid transmission lines.</p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the Rules in Chapter 24 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b>"24.x Non-Complying Activities</b><br/> <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i><br/> [D]<br/> <b>24.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standards and Terms in 24.4.4."</b></p> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 151   | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Rule 24.4.4 as follows:</p> <p><b>"24.4.4. Except as provided for by Rule 24.2.1, Subdivision of land within the National Grid Corridor.</b><br/> Standards and terms<br/> 24.4.4.1 All allotments shall identify a building platform for the principal building and any dwelling/sensitive activity, to be located outside the National Grid Yard.<br/> 24.4.4.2 Access to National Grid assets shall be maintained.<br/> Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:<br/> 24.4.4.3 The matters set out in 24.3.7.1 to 24.3.7.17.<br/> 24.4.4.4 The extent to which the subdivision may adversely affect the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid.<br/> 24.4.4.5 Technical details of the characteristics and risks on and from the National Grid.<br/> 24.4.4.6 The location, design and use of the proposed building platform or structure as it relates to the National Grid transmission line.<br/> 24.4.4.7 The risk of electrical hazards affecting public or individual safety, and the risk of property damage, including compliance with New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001).<br/> 24.4.4.8 The nature and location of any vegetation to be planted in the vicinity of the National Grid transmission lines.</p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the Rules in Chapter 24 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b>"24.x Non-Complying Activities</b><br/> <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i><br/> [D]<br/> <b>24.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standards and Terms in 24.4.4."</b></p> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 152   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain</b> the definition of 'Abrasive blasting' as notified.</p>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 153   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following new definition of 'earthworks'</p> <p><b><i>"Earthworks (when within the National Grid Yard) means any filling, excavation, deposition of or other disturbance of earth, rock or soil on a site, including, raising of the ground level or changes to the profile of the landform; in relation to the installation of services or utilities; the construction of tracks, firebreaks and landings; or root raking and blading."</i></b></p>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 154   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain</b> the definition of 'Maintenance and replacement' as notified or consider combining with the definition of minor upgrading (including within the rule framework).   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 155   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the definition of 'Minor upgrading' as follows:</p> <p><i>"<b>Minor upgrading</b> means an increase in the carrying capacity, efficiency or security of electricity (for the purpose of utilities) lines, telecommunication lines and radio communication facilities, using the existing support structures or structures of a similar scale and character, and includes:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>(a) The addition of circuits and conductors;</i></li> <li><i>(b) The re-conductoring of the line with higher capacity conductors;</i></li> <li><i>(c) The re-sagging of conductors;</i></li> <li><i>(d) The addition of longer or more efficient insulators;</i></li> <li><i>(e) The addition of earthwires which may contain telecommunication lines, earthpeaks and lightning rods;</i></li> <li><i>(f) Foundation works associated with the minor upgrading.</i></li> </ul> <p><i><del>Minor upgrading does not include an increase in the voltage of the line unless the line was originally constructed to operate at the higher voltage but has been operating at a reduced voltage.</del></i>"</p> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 156   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following new definition of 'National Grid':</p> <p><i><u>"<b>National Grid</b> means the network that transmits high-voltage electricity in New Zealand and that is owned and operated by Transpower New Zealand Limited."</u></i></p>  |       |          |                |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 157   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following new definition of 'National Grid Corridor' as follows:</p> <p><i><b>"National Grid Corridor</b> means the area located either side of the centreline of any National Grid transmission line as follows :</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>16m for the 110kV lines on pi poles</i></li> <li>- <i>32m for the 110kV lines on towers</i></li> <li>- <i>37m for the 220kV transmission lines</i></li> <li>- <i>39m for the 350kV transmission line."</i></li> </ul>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 158   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the definition of 'National Grid Yard' as follows:</p> <p><i><b>"National Grid Yard</b> means:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>the area located 12m in any direction from the outer edge of a National Grid support structure; and</i></li> <li>- <i>the area located 10m either side of the centreline of an overhead 110kV National Grid line on single poles; or</i></li> <li>- <i>the area located 12m either side of the centreline of any overhead National Grid <u>transmission</u> line on pi poles or towers."</i></li> </ul> |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 159   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain</b> the definition of 'National Grid Blenheim Substation' as notified.</p>  |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 160   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the definition of 'National Grid Transmission Lines' as follows:</p> <p><i><b>"National Grid Transmission Lines</b> has the same meaning as 'transmission line' in the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations, 2009 as identified on the Zone Maps."</i></p>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 161   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Retain</b> the definition of 'NZECP34:2001'.</p>   |       |          |                |           |                 |
| 1198               | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 162   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                      | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Insert</b> the following new definition of 'Sensitive activities':</p> <p><i><b>" Sensitive activities means those activities that are particularly sensitive to National Grid transmission lines. Such activities are residential activities, retirement accommodation, visitor accommodation, worker accommodation, Marae activity, camping grounds, schools, childcare and preschool facilities, and health care activities."</b></i></p>                         |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 163   | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                               | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the definition of 'Subsurface Cook Strait Cable' as follows:</p> <p><i><b>"Subsurface National Grid Cook Strait Submarine Cables means the power and telecommunications cables owned and operated by Transpower New Zealand Limited and protected by the Submarine Cables and Pipelines Protection Act 1996 that are within the Cook Strait Cable Protection Zone established under the Submarine Cables and Pipelines Protection Order 2009."</b></i></p> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 164   | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land      |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> the Schedule of Designated Land included in Appendix 14 to refer to Transpower's requiring authority name as follows:</p> <p><i><b>" Transpower <u>New Zealand Limited</u>"</b></i></p>  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 165   | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><b>Amend</b> Appendix 22 'Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan' to include the following:</p> <p><i><b>"x. When harvesting in the vicinity of a National Grid transmission line, the methods to be used to ensure the operation of the National Grid is not compromised and compliance with NZECP 34:2001 is achieved at all times."</b></i></p>  |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited   | 166   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                                  |           | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend</b> the Zoning Maps to indicate the voltage of the National Grid transmission lines.                       |       |          |              |   |                 |
|                    | <b>Amend</b> the Legend to the Zoning Maps as follows:<br><i>"National <del>HVDC</del> Grid Transmission Lines"</i> |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 167   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps |   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <b>Amend</b> the Overlay Maps to also show the National Grid transmission lines.                                    |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>1198</b>        | Transpower New Zealand Limited  | 168   | All      | All          |   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <b>Retain</b> Volume 5, inclusive of the NPSET and NESETA.  |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>1199</b>        | Treble Tree Holdings Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4                              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plans to recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.  |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>1199</b>        | Treble Tree Holdings Limited  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plans to recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.  |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>1199</b>        | Treble Tree Holdings Limited  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1                              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Modify both plans to reflect the unique, innovation and research values identified for this zone.                   |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>1199</b>        | Treble Tree Holdings Limited  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Modify both plans to reflect the unique, innovation and research values identified for this zone.                   |       |          |              |   |                 |
| <b>745</b>         | Graeme Tregidga   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>745</b>         | Graeme Tregidga  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>745</b>         | Graeme Tregidga  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>745</b>         | Graeme Tregidga  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>745</b>         | Graeme Tregidga  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>745</b>         | Graeme Tregidga  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>745</b>         | Graeme Tregidga  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>745</b>         | Graeme Tregidga  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>745</b>         | Graeme Tregidga   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                    | Issue 6A      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>745</b>         | Graeme Tregidga   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                    | Objective 6.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>445</b>         | Trelawne Farm Limited   | 1     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike out and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Manage the use of agrichemicals to avoid spraydrift <b>as far as practicable</b>. The boundary of the property on which the application of agrichemical occurs is the point at which management applies, as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) any agrichemical should not move, either directly or indirectly, beyond the property boundary of the site(s) where it is or has been applied; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) agrichemical users will be required to utilise best practice and exercise reasonable care to achieve (a)."</i></p> |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>445</b>         | Trelawne Farm Limited   | 2     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.5.4 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy as notified.  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>445</b>         | Trelawne Farm Limited   | 3     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.22.1.1.     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard as notified.  |       |          |  |               |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>             | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>445</b>         | Trelawne Farm Limited        | 4            | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.22.1.2.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard as notified. |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>445</b>         | Trelawne Farm Limited        | 5            | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.22.1.3.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard as notified. |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>445</b>         | Trelawne Farm Limited        | 6            | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.22.1.4.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard as notified. |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>445</b>         | Trelawne Farm Limited        | 7            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.1.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard as notified. |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>445</b>         | Trelawne Farm Limited        | 8            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.2.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard as notified. |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>445</b>         | Trelawne Farm Limited        | 9            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.3.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard as notified. |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>445</b>         | Trelawne Farm Limited        | 10           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.4.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard as notified. |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>445</b>         | Trelawne Farm Limited        | 11           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.5.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard as notified. |              |               |                          |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| 445                | Trelawne Farm Limited   | 12    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                        | 3.1.48.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule.  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 445                | Trelawne Farm Limited   | 13    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                        | 3.3.45.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (Strike out and bold) - " <i>The <b>permitted activity zone for seasonal</b> worker accommodation <b>in remote locations</b> must not be located within a Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area as <b>is</b> identified in Appendix 24.</i> "<br><br>Note: Amend Appendix 24 map ( <i>see separate submission</i> ).  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 445                | Trelawne Farm Limited   | 14    | Volume 3 | Appendix 24 Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area |           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The following changes are sought -<br><br>(a) amend the map to identify the area where Seasonal Worker Accommodation is a Permitted Activity instead of the area where Seasonal Worker Accommodation is not a Permitted Activity;<br><br>(b) amend the heading for Appendix 24 as follows (strike out and bold) - " <b>Permitted Activity zone for remote on-site Seasonal Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area</b> ";<br><br>(c) consequential amendment to the legend to reflect (a) and (b).<br><br>( <i>Inferred</i> )   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 445                | Trelawne Farm Limited   | 15    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                        | 3.3.5.3.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour <b>for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over.</b></i> "   |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 445                | Trelawne Farm Limited   | 16    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                                  | 25.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of "Agricultural waste" as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> <b>producers</b> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <del>and</del> harvesting <b>and processing</b> of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <b>viticultural</b>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i> " |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 131                | Simon Tripe   | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                                 | 2.3.6.    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Request that the amount be assessed on a farm size basis with realistic volumes allowed and that it would not be subject to restrictions such as we have with irrigation water.                    |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>132</b>         | Simon Tripe  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules   | 2.11.5.                     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The Prohibited status be removed.  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>133</b>         | Simon Tripe  | 1     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                              | 4.4.2.                      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Change wording to Retail Sale  |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>207</b>         | Simon Tripe  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                                | 3.3.45.1.                   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete the rule.   |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1200</b>        | Triple LG Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8449 in Horahora Kakahu, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1200</b>        | Triple LG Limited  | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8449 in Horahora Kakahu, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1200</b>        | Triple LG Limited  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8449 in Horahora Kakahu, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |                 |
| <b>1200</b>        | Triple LG Limited  | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                            | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------------------|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8449 in Horahora Kakahu, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |                                    |              |        |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 1     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. The deletion of clause (e) from Policy 3.1.1.<br><i>"Management of natural and physical resources in Marlborough will be carried out in a manner that:</i><br>...<br><del>(e) recognises the right of each iwi to define their own preferences for the sustainable management of natural and physical resources, where this is not inconsistent with the Resource Management Act 1991."</del><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |                                    |              |        |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 2     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 3.1.2 as follows:<br><i>"An applicant will be <del>expected</del> encouraged to consult early in the development of a proposal (for resource consent or plan change) so that cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi can be taken into account."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |                                    |              |        |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 3     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 3.1.3 as follows:<br><i>"...decision makers shall ensure:</i><br>(a) <del>particular regard is had to the ability for tangata whenua to exercise kaitiakitanga is maintained;</del><br>(b) <del>mauri is maintained or improved where degraded</del> <i>adverse effects of activities on the integrity of mauri are avoided, remedied or mitigated, particularly in relation to fresh and coastal waters, land and air;</i><br>..."<br>2. Provide explanatory material in the PMP that provides greater direction as to the elements that contribute to determining whether the integrity of the mauri of fresh and coastal waters, land and air is being maintained.<br>3. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |                                    |              |        |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 4     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3.M.4        | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. The deletion of Method 3.M.4 in its entirety.<br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 5     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | 3.M.6         | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. The deletion of Method 3.M.6 in its entirety.<br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 6     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | Objective 3.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Objective 3.2 as notified in the PMEP.<br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 7     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | Objective 3.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Objective 3.3 as notified in the PMEP.<br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 8     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi      | Policy 3.1.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 3.1.5 as notified in the PMEP.<br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 9     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 4.1.1 as notified.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 10    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 4.1.3 as notified.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 11    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Objective 4.2 as notified.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 12    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 4.2.1 as notified.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 13    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 4.1.25 as follows:<br><i>"Enable sustainable use of natural <u>and physical</u> resources in the Marlborough environment."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 14    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 4.2.2 as follows:<br><i>"<del>Protect</del> Avoiding adverse effects where <u>practical on regionally significant infrastructure</u> <del>from the adverse effects of other activities</del>"</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 15    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Objective 5.1 as follows:<br><i>"Water allocation and water use management regimes reflect hydrological and environmental conditions within each <del>water resource</del> <u>freshwater management unit</u>."</i><br>2. Amend the explanation to Objective 5.1 as follows:<br><i>"If the management applied to the taking and use of water does not reflect the hydrological, <u>physical</u> and environmental conditions that exist in each water resource, one of two things may happen: ..."</i><br>3. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 16    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.1.1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.1.1 as notified.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 17    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.1.2   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.1.2 as notified.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 18    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.2? | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Objective 5.2 as follows:<br><i>"Safeguard the life-supporting capacity of freshwater resources by retaining sufficient flows and/or levels for the natural and human use values supported by <del>waterbodies</del> <u>freshwater management units</u>"</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 19    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.1   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 5.2.1 as follows:<br><i>"Maintain <del>or enhance</del> the natural and human use values supported by freshwater bodies"</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 20    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.2   | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. The deletion of Policy 5.2.2 in its entirety.  |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 21    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 5.2.3 as follows:<br><i>“Protect the significant values of specifically identified freshwater bodies by classifying the taking, damming or diversion of water in these waterbodies as a prohibited activity, <u>while recognising and providing for existing lawfully established activities and infrastructure.</u>”</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 22    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.2.5 as notified.   |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 23    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.2.6 as notified.   |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 24    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.2.7 as notified.   |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 25    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.2.8 as notified.   |       |          |                                  |              |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 26    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.9 | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.2.9 as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 27    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.2.10 as notified.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 28    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.2.11 as notified.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 29    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.2.12 as notified.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 30    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 5.2.4 to include the following additional matter:<br><i>"h) provide for the human use values identified in Appendix 5"</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 31    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.2.13 as notified.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 32    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.14 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.2.14 as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 33    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.15 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.2.15 as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 34    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.17 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.2.17 as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 35    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.16 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 5.2.16 as follows:<br><i>"For resource consents consented water takes from the Waihopai River, Awatere River and other rivers that are a consumptive use and which utilise an upstream flow monitoring site, allocations for the taking of water will be reduced proportionally as flows fall in order to avoid any breach of an environmental flow."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 36    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.18 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.2.18 as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 37    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.19 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.2.19 as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 38    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.21 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 5.2.21 as follows:<br><i>"Ensure any new proposal to <u>permanently</u> dam water within the bed of a river provides for:..."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 39    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.22 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 5.2.22 as follows:<br><i>"(a) <u>the purpose of the damming and any positive effects;</u></i><br><i>(a b) ..."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.                |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 40    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.23 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.2.23 as notified.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 41    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.24 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.2.24 as notified.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 42    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Objective 5.3 as notified.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 43    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.11 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.3.11 as notified.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 44    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.3.15 as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 45    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Objective 5.4 as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 46    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.4.3 as notified.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 47    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Objective 5.7 as notified.  |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 48    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 5.7.7 as notified.   |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 49    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. The deletion of Policy 5.3.1 in its entirety.<br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 50    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.3  | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. The deletion of Policy 5.3.3 in its entirety.<br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 51    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 5.3.6 as follows:<br><i>"Allocate water within any class on a first-in, first-served basis through the resource consent process until the allocation limit is reached <del>for the first time.</del>"</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 52    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 5.3.8 as follows:<br><i>"Approve water permit applications to continue <u>damming, diverting, taking and using surface water when: ...</u>"</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 53    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 5.3.14 as follows:<br><i>"The duration of water permits to <u>dam, divert, take and use</u> water will reflect the circumstances of the <del>take activity</del> and the actual and potential adverse effects, but should generally:<br/> (a) not be less than 30 years when the take is from a water resource:<br/> (i) that has a water allocation limit specified in Schedule 1 or Schedule 2 of Appendix 6; and<br/> (ii) that has a minimum flow or level specified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 6; and<br/> (iii) that is not over-allocated; or ..."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 54    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.1  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 5.4.1 as follows:<br><i>"The lapse period for water permits to take water shall be no more than two years, <u>unless a longer lapsing period is justified for significant infrastructure or due to the scale or complexity of the activity.</u>"</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 55    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 5.4.4 as follows:<br><i>"Enable access to water that has been allocated but is not currently being utilised by individual water permit holders through the <u>permanent or temporary</u> transfer of water permits."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 56    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 5.4.5 as follows:<br><i>"(a) the respective takes are from the same Freshwater Management Unit;<br/> (b) <u>it is not a transfer of a water permit from downstream of a hydro-electric power station to upstream of that station.</u><br/> (<del>b</del> <u>c</u>) the Freshwater Management Unit has a water allocation limit specified in Schedule 1 of Appendix 6; ..."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 57    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character              | Policy 6.1.5 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend Policy 6.1.5 as follows:<br/> <i>"Determine the degree of natural character in and adjacent to lakes and rivers by assessing the degree of human-induced modification to the following:</i><br/> <i>(a) channel shape and bed morphology;</i><br/> <i>(b) flow regime and water levels;</i><br/> <i>(c) water quality;</i><br/> <i>(d) presence of indigenous flora and fauna in the river channel;</i><br/> <i>(e) absence of exotic flora and fauna;</i><br/> <del><i>(f) absence of structures and other human modification in the river channel/lake;</i></del><br/> <i>(g) vegetation cover in the riparian margin;</i><br/> <del><i>(h) absence of structures and other human modification in the riparian margin; and</i></del><br/> <i>(i) the experience of the above elements, patterns and processes including unmodified, scenic and wilderness qualities;</i><br/> <i>as well as the presence or absence of structures and other human modification in the river channel/lake and riparian margin."</i> </li> <li>Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ol> |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 58    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.6  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend the natural character classifications for the Branch River to extend the area of "moderate" natural character value upstream to the confluence with the Leatham River.</li> <li>Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ol>  |       |          |                     |               |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 59    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend Objective 6.2 as follows:<br/> <i>"Preserve the characteristics and qualities that contribute to the natural character of the coastal environment, and lakes and rivers and their margins, and protect them from inappropriate subdivision, use and development."</i> </li> <li>Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ol>  |       |          |                     |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|---------|
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 60    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.1  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend Policy 6.2.1 as follows:<br/> <i>"Avoid the adverse effects of subdivision, use or development on areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character values <del>and on lakes and rivers and their margins with high and very high natural character values.</del>"</i></li> <li>Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ol>   |       |          |                     |               |         |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 61    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend Policy 6.2.3 as follows:<br/> <i>"Where natural character is classified as high or very high, <u>avoid, where practicable, adverse effects on the characteristics and qualities that contribute to the avoid any reduction in the degree of natural character of the coastal environment or freshwater bodies lakes and rivers and their margins are protected. Where adverse effects cannot be avoided, ensure that the adverse effects are remedied or mitigated.</u>"</i></li> <li>Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ol> |       |          |                     |               |         |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 62    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.8  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend Policy 6.2.8 as follows:<br/> <i>"to define inappropriate land use activities that should be set back from certain rivers, lakes or the coastal marine area <u>unless such a location is required for operational or technical reasons.</u>"</i></li> <li>Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ol>   |       |          |                     |               |         |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 63    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Retain Objective 6.1 as notified in the PMEP.</li> <li>Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ol>  |       |          |                     |               |         |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter             | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 64    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 6.1.1 as notified in the PMEP.<br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.   |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 65    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 6.2.5 as notified in the PMEP.<br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.   |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 66    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 7.1.1 as follows:<br><i>"When assessing the values of Marlborough's landscapes and features, the following criteria will be used:<br/> (a) biophysical values, including geological and ecological elements;<br/> (b) sensory values, including aesthetics, natural beauty and visual perception; and<br/> (c) associative values, including cultural and historic values and landscapes that are widely known and valued by the immediate and wider community for their contribution to a sense of place."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 67    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.1.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from Marlborough District Council:<br>1. The deletion of clause (c) from Policy 7.1.3.<br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |                     |              |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 68    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape         | Policy 7.1.5 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 7.1.5 as follows:<br><i>"Refine the boundaries of outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value via the plan change process in response to:<br/> (a) landscape change over time; or<br/> (b) more detailed assessment of landscape values."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 69    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 7.2.1 as follows:<br><i>"Control activities that have the potential to degrade those values contributing to outstanding natural features and landscapes by requiring activities and structures to be subject to an <del>comprehensive</del> assessment of effects on landscape values, <del>to the level of detail that corresponds with the scale and significance of those effects,</del> through the resource consent process."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 70    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.5 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 7.2.5 as follows:<br><i>"Avoid, where practicable, adverse effects on the values that contribute to outstanding natural features and landscapes. Where adverse effects cannot be avoided and the activity is not proposed to take place in the coastal environment, ensure that the adverse effects are remedied <u>or mitigated</u>."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |             |              |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 71    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 7.2.6 as follows:<br><i>"Where the following activities are proposed to take place in an area with outstanding natural features and landscapes, then any adverse effects on the values of those areas can be mitigated, provided the overall qualities and integrity of the wider outstanding natural feature and landscape are retained:<br/> (a) activities involving the development and operation of regionally significant infrastructure; <u>or</u><br/> (b) activities that enhance passive recreational opportunities for the public where these are of a smaller scale; <del>and or</del><br/> (c) activities involving the development and operation of renewable electricity generation schemes within Marlborough <del>where the method of generation is reversible</del>."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |             |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter     | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 72    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.10  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 7.2.10 as follows:<br><i>“Reduce the impact of wilding pines on the landscape <u>and other resource users</u> by:<br/> (a) supporting initiatives to control existing wilding pines and limit their further spread; and<br/> (b) controlling the planting of commercial wood species that are prone to wilding pine spread.”</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 73    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.1? | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Objective 7.1 as notified in the PMEP.  |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 74    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Objective 7.2 as notified in the PMEP.  |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 75    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.4   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 7.1.4 as notified in the PMEP.   |       |          |             |                |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 76    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 7.2.4 as notified in the PMEP.   |       |          |             |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 77    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Objective 8.1 as follows:<br><i>"Marlborough's remaining <u>significant</u> indigenous biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments is protected."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 78    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 8.2.1 as follows:<br><i>"A variety of means will be used to assist in the protection, <u>maintenance</u> and enhancement of areas and habitats with <u>significant</u> indigenous biodiversity value, including partnerships, support and liaison with landowners, regulation, pest management, legal protection, education and the provision of information and guidelines."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 79    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.4  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 8.2.4 as follows:<br><i>"Priority will be given to <u>encouraging</u> the re-establishment of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough's lowland environments, <u>and acknowledging that many of these areas occur on privately owned land.</u>"</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 80    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 8.2.9 as follows:<br><i>"<u>Encourage the maintenance or voluntary enhancement of</u> <del>Maintain, enhance or restore</del> ecosystems, habitats and areas of indigenous biodiversity even where these are not identified as significant in terms of the criteria in Policy 8.1.1, but are important for:<br/> ..."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 81    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 8.3.2 as follows:<br><i>"Where subdivision, use or development requires resource consent, the adverse effects on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value shall be:<br/>(a) avoided, and otherwise remedied or mitigated, where it is a significant site in the context of Policy 8.1.1; and<br/>(b) avoided, remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 82    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.6 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. The deletion of Policy 8.3.6 in its entirety.  |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 83    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.8 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Policy 8.3.8 Oppose. Policy 8.3.8 is opposed by Trustpower as it seeks to exclude the provision of offsetting in areas of significant indigenous biodiversity value, which would mean that adverse effects in these areas would always have to be avoided (in accordance with Policy 8.3.2). In addition, Trustpower consider that offsetting should only be utilized where there are significant residual adverse effects that cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated – rather than any residual effects. In this respect, the RMA is not a 'no effects' statute. Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 8.3.8 as follows:<br><del><i>"With the exception of areas with significant indigenous biodiversity value, w</i></del> <i>Where indigenous biodiversity values will be adversely affected through land use or other activities, a biodiversity offset can be considered to mitigate residual <u>significant</u> adverse effects. Where a biodiversity offset is proposed by a <u>resource consent applicant</u>, the following criteria will apply:<br/>(a) the offset will only compensate for <u>significant</u> residual adverse effects that cannot otherwise be avoided, remedied or mitigated;<br/>(b) the <u>significant</u> residual adverse effects on <u>indigenous</u> biodiversity are capable of being offset and will be fully compensated by the offset to ensure no net loss of biodiversity;<br/><del>(c) where the area to be offset is identified as a national priority for protection under Objective 8.1, the offset must deliver a net gain for biodiversity;</del><br/>(d) there is a strong likelihood that the offsets will be achieved in perpetuity;<br/><del>(e) where the offset involves the ongoing protection of a separate site, it will deliver no net loss and preferably a net gain for indigenous biodiversity protection; and</del><br/>(f) offsets should re-establish or protect the same type of <u>indigenous</u> ecosystem or habitat that is adversely affected, unless an alternative ecosystem or habitat will provide a net gain for indigenous biodiversity."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |                           |              |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 84    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 8.1.1 as notified in the PMEP.  |       |          |                                |              |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 85    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | Policy 8.1.2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 8.1.2 as notified in the PMEP.  |       |          |                                |              |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 86    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity      | Policy 8.1.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 8.1.3 as notified in the PMEP.  |       |          |                                |              |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 87    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 9.1.2 as follows:<br><i>"In addition to the specified areas in Policy 9.1.1, where the subdivision or development may affect public access, the need for public access to be enhanced to and along the coastal marine area, lakes and rivers will be considered at the time of subdivision or development, in accordance with the following criteria:</i><br><i>(a) the effect of the subdivision on public access;</i><br><i>(b) there is existing public recreational use of the area in question, or improving access would promote outdoor recreation;</i><br><i>(c) connections between existing public areas would be provided;</i><br><i>(d) physical access for people with disabilities would be desirable; and</i><br><i>(e) providing access to areas or sites of cultural or historic significance is important."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |                                |              |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 88    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend Policy 9.1.13 as follows:<br/> <i>"When considering resource consent applications for activities, subdivision or structures in or adjacent to the coastal marine area, lakes or rivers, the impact on public access shall be assessed against the following:</i><br/> <i>(a)-(i) ...</i><br/> <i>(j) the benefits of the activity/structure that is to be located in the coastal marine area or river bed."</i> </li> <li>Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ol>  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 89    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.2.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend Policy 9.2.1 as follows:<br/> <i>"Public access to and along the coastal marine area and the margins of lakes and rivers may be restricted to:</i><br/> <i>(a) ensure a level of security consistent with the purpose of a resource consent or designation;</i><br/> <i>(b) protect areas of significant indigenous vegetation and/or significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</i><br/> <i>(c) protect cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i><br/> <i>(d) allow for foot access only;</i><br/> <i>(e) protect public health and safety and animal welfare and to manage fire risk;</i><br/> <i>(f) protect significant infrastructure and network utilities;</i><br/> <i>(g) protect heritage, natural or cultural values; and</i><br/> <i>(h) in other exceptional circumstances sufficient to justify the restriction, notwithstanding the national importance of maintaining that access."</i> </li> <li>Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ol> |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 90    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.2.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The deletion of Policy 9.2.2 in its entirety.</li> <li>Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ol>   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 91    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Objective 9.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Retain Objective 9.1 as notified in the PMEP.</li> </ol>   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 92    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Objective 9.2 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Objective 9.2 as notified in the PMEP.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 93    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space  | Policy 9.1.4   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 9.1.4 as notified in the PMEP.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 94    | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space  | Policy 9.1.14  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 9.1.14 as notified in the PMEP.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 95    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards              | Policy 11.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain flood hazard overlays as notified in the PMEP.<br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 96    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Objective 14.3 as follows:<br><i>"Recognise and provide for activities that are not related to primary production, but which are appropriate to be located within rural environments."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 97    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.3.2  | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 14.3.2 as follows:<br><i>"Where an activity is not related to primary production and is not otherwise provided for as a permitted activity, a resource consent will be required and the following matters must be determined by decision makers in assessing the impacts on primary production before any assessment of other effects is undertaken:</i><br><del><i>(a) the extent to which the activity is related to primary production activities occurring at the site;</i></del><br><i>(a) the functional or technical need for the activity to be located within a rural zone and why it is not more appropriately located within another zone;</i><br><i>(b) whether the proposed activity will result in a loss of land with primary production potential and the extent of this loss when considered in combination with other non-rural based activities; and</i><br><i>(c) the extent to which the proposed activity supports primary production activities, including the processing of agricultural, viticultural or horticultural produce."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 98    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Objective 14.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Insert a new policy in section 14.3 as follows:<br><i>"Provide for the operation, maintenance and development of renewable energy and associated infrastructure developments that require a rural location, provided that adverse effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.   |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 99    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Objective 15.1a as notified.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 100   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1e | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Objective 15.1e as notified.  |       |          |  |                 |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 101   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 15.1.1 as notified.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 102   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 15.1.2 as notified.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 103   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 15.1.23 as notified.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 104   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.33 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 15.1.33 as notified.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 105   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.6  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend policy 15.1.6 as follows:<br><i>"Take action to enhance water quality in the following rivers <u>freshwater management units</u> to meet Objective 15.1e within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:..."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 106   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.16 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 15.1.16 as follows:<br><i>"With the exception of regionally significant infrastructure the duration of any new discharge permit will be either: ..."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.   |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 107   | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.17 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 15.1.17 as follows:<br><i>“Review, where appropriate, the conditions of existing discharge permits <u>which directly affect water quality</u>, to impose new conditions requiring the monitoring of the discharge effects to determine compliance with the water classification standards.”</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 108   | Volume 1 | 18 Energy                              | Objective 18.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Objective 18.1 as follows:<br><i>“Optimise the use of Marlborough’s energy resources <u>by recognising and providing for the development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of new and existing renewable electricity generation activities.</u>”</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 109   | Volume 1 | 18 Energy                              | Policy 18.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Policy 18.1.1 as follows:<br><i>“Provide for <del>Promote and encourage</del> the use and development of renewable energy resources.”</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 110   | Volume 1 | 18 Energy                              | Policy 18.1.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Policy 18.1.2 as notified in the PMEP.   |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 111   | Volume 1 | 18 Energy         | Policy 18.1.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend policy by adding clause (f) as follows:<br/> <i>"When considering the environmental effects of proposals to use and develop renewable energy resources, to have regard to:<br/> ...<br/> (f) the logistical or technical practicalities associated with locating renewable electricity generation infrastructure."</i></li> <li>Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ol>   |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 112   | Volume 1 | 18 Energy         | Objective 18.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Insert a new policy to manage reverse sensitivity effects on renewable electricity generation activities. Suggested wording is as follows:<br/> <i>"Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by not allowing subdivision, use and development to occur in a location or form that constrains the use, operation, maintenance and upgrading of consented and existing renewable electricity generation activities."</i></li> <li>Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ol>  |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 113   | Volume 1 | 18 Energy         | Objective 18.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Insert a new policy to enable the on-going operation of existing renewable energy infrastructure. Suggested wording is as follows:<br/> <i>"Provide for the on-going generation of electricity from existing renewable energy generation infrastructure by having particular regard to:<br/> 1. Maintaining the output from existing renewable electricity generation schemes; and<br/> 2. Enabling the maintenance and upgrading of existing renewable electricity generation schemes."</i></li> <li>Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ol> |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 114   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.4  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete Policy 19.1.4.</li> <li>Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ol>  |       |          |                   |                |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 115   | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.5  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Delete Policy 19.1.5.<br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 116   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.4.      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|--------|---------|-----------|------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:   |       |        |         |           |      |
|                    | <p>1. Insert new rule as follows:</p> <p><u>The lawfully established:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Damming and diversion of water; and</u></li> <li>• <u>Take and use of water; and</u></li> <li>• <u>Discharge of water to water; and</u></li> <li>• <u>Discharges of contaminants to water and to land; and</u></li> <li>• <u>Use of a structure in the bed of a lake, stream or river; and</u></li> <li>• <u>Excavation and disturbance of the beds of lakes and rivers, and associated clearance of vegetation in the bed of lakes and rivers</u></li> </ul> <p><u>Associated with a hydro-electric power scheme that existed on the date this plan becomes operative is a controlled activity where the following conditions are met:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) <u>The consent application(s) replace existing resource consents; and</u></li> <li>ii) <u>There is no increase in the existing volume or rate of take or diversion; and</u></li> <li>iii) <u>There is no increase in the existing volume of discharge or the nature of contaminants being discharged.</u></li> </ul> <p><u>Marlborough District Council reserves control over the following matters:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) <u>The volume and rate of water taken or diverted, and the timing of the take or diversion;</u></li> <li>b) <u>Intake velocities and measures to avoid or mitigate fish entrainment;</u></li> <li>c) <u>The range, or rate of change of levels or flows of water;</u></li> <li>d) <u>Water levels;</u></li> <li>e) <u>Compliance with environmental flow and allocation limits;</u></li> <li>f) <u>Measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate any adverse effects on the following:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) <u>cultural values;</u></li> <li>(ii) <u>lawfully established users of the lake, river or stream;</u></li> <li>(iii) <u>downstream sediment transport processes;</u></li> <li>(iv) <u>aquatic ecosystems, areas of significant indigenous vegetation, and significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</u></li> <li>(v) <u>outstanding natural features and landscapes, and natural character;</u></li> <li>(vi) <u>amenity values (including recreation), and existing public access to and along the margins of rivers and lakes;</u></li> </ul> </li> <li>g) <u>Fish passage;</u></li> <li>h) <u>Measures to manage land stability and erosion;</u></li> <li>i) <u>Measures to control flooding;</u></li> <li>j) <u>Measures to improve technical efficiency in water use;</u></li> <li>k) <u>Where contaminants are applied to water and/or land, contaminant concentrations and loading rates;</u></li> <li>l) <u>Measures required to comply with s107(1) RMA;</u></li> <li>m) <u>Maintenance and contingency requirements;</u></li> <li>n) <u>Monitoring and information requirements;</u></li> <li>o) <u>Duration of consent;</u></li> <li>p) <u>Review of consent conditions; and</u></li> <li>q) <u>Compliance monitoring.</u></li> </ul> <p><u>The re-consenting of hydro-electricity generation schemes will be processed under this rule notwithstanding any other rule in this plan.</u></p> |       |        |         |           |      |
|                    | <p>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</p>  |       |        |         |           |      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|---------|
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 117   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.4.    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend Rule 2.6.4 as follows:<br/> <i>"Take, use, damming or diversion of water from the following waterbodies, including their tributaries:</i><br/> ...<br/> <i>This rule does not apply to a take, use, damming or diversion of water lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016, <u>and those activities will be considered under Rules 2.4.2, 2.5.2, 2.5.3, 2.5.4, 2.5.5. including</u>†The take and use of water for an individual's reasonable domestic needs and the take and use of water for the reasonable drinking water needs of an individual's animals will be considered under Rules 2.2.1, 2.2.2, and 2.2.4."</i> </li> <li>Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ol> |       |          |                 |           |         |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 118   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.1.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Retain Rule 2.7.1 as notified in the PMEP.</li> </ol>  |       |          |                 |           |         |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 119   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.2.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Retain Rule 2.7.2 as notified in the PMEP.</li> </ol>  |       |          |                 |           |         |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 120   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.7.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Retain Rule 2.7.7 as notified in the PMEP.</li> </ol>  |       |          |                 |           |         |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 121   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.8.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Retain Rule 2.7.8 as notified in the PMEP.</li> </ol>  |       |          |                 |           |         |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 122   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.2.2.  | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Permitted Activity Standard 2.8.2.2 as follows:<br><i>"All cut or felled vegetation and associated debris must: that exceeds 100mm in diameter at any point must: be removed from the bed of the lake or river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing):<br/> (a) not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;<br/> (b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (excluding an ephemeral river), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;<br/> (c) be stored on stable ground;<br/> (d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or instability of the land."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 123   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.2.   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Rule 2.11.2 as follows:<br><i>"Construction or alteration of a bore, excluding bores constructed for the purposes of geotechnical investigation or installation of piezometers, within the bed of the following lakes and rivers, including tributaries: ..."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.<br>Alternatively, Trustpower seeks the deletion of (b) Branch River from Rule 2.11.2.  |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 124   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.16.2.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Rule 2.16.2 as notified in the PMEP.   |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 125   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.2.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Standard 2.17.2 as notified in the PMEP.   |       |          |                 |           |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 126   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.16.9.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Rule 2.16.9 as notified in the PMEP.   |       |          |                 |           |         |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 127   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.9.1. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete Standard 2.17.9.1.</li> <li>Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ol> <p>As an alternative, Trustpower seeks that the discharge of tracer dye to water is classified as a controlled activity with the matters of control limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>The duration of the consent;</u></li> <li><u>The nature of the tracer dye used (including type, colour, concentration); and</u></li> <li><u>The location, timing and duration of the discharge.</u></li> </ul> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 128   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Change the title and scope of chapter 2.38 to cover <u>Network Utilities and Renewable Electricity Generation Infrastructure.</u></li> <li>Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ol>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 129   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Insert new permitted activity Rule in Chapter 2.38 as follows:<br/><u>"Any work or activity associated with the on-going operation, maintenance, replacement or upgrading of any lawfully established renewable electricity generation activity."</u></li> <li>Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ol>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 130   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Insert new Standards in 2.39 as follows:<br><u>"Any work or activity associated with the on-going operation, maintenance, replacement or upgrading of any lawfully established renewable electricity generation activity.</u><br>i) <u>The maximum height of a building must not exceed 5m.</u><br>ii) <u>The maximum gross floor area of a building must not exceed 65m<sup>2</sup>.</u><br>iii) <u>A structure for a transmission line within the Rural Environment Zone must be set back a minimum distance of 15m from any road intersection and must be measured parallel from the centerline of the carriageways, at the point where the roads intersect.</u><br>iv) <u>A building larger than 15m<sup>2</sup> in ground floor area or over 2m in height must be set back from the road boundary by a distance of not less than half the height of the building.</u><br>v) <u>Excavation, filling, vegetation clearance (indigenous and non-indigenous), noise and discharge rules for the relevant zone in which the renewable electricity generation activity is located must be complied with.</u><br>vi) <u>A transmission line or telecommunication, radio communication or meteorological facility, or a building or depot must not be located:</u><br>a. <u>In, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland;</u><br>b. <u>Within 8m of a river or the Drainage Channel Network;</u><br>c. <u>On, or adjacent to, any land used for the purposes of a farm airstrip, or in such a manner as to adversely affect the safe operation of a farm airstrip existing at the time of the Plan becoming operative."</u><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 131   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.40.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Insert new discretionary activity rule to Chapter 2.40 as follows:<br><u>"Any work or activity associated with the on-going operation, maintenance, replacement or upgrading of any lawfully established renewable electricity generation activity that is not permitted by Rules in Chapter 2.38."</u><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 132   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief form the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Insert new permitted activity Rule in Chapter 2.38 as follows:<br><u>"Vegetation trimming or clearance associated with the maintenance, replacement and minor upgrading of any lawfully established renewable electricity generation activity."</u><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 133   | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Insert new Standards in Chapter 2.39 as follows:<br><u>"Vegetation trimming or clearance associated with the maintenance, replacement and minor upgrading of renewable electricity generation infrastructure existing at 9 June 2016.</u><br>i) <u>Vegetation (except noxious plants under the Noxious Plants Act) must not be removed by chemical, fire or mechanical means within 8m of a river (excluding an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing) or the coastal marine area.</u><br>ii) <u>Where clearance is by hand or mechanical means, blading or root-raking by a bulldozer must not be used on slopes greater than 20°.</u><br>iii) <u>All trees must be felled away from a river (excluding an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area.</u><br>iv) <u>No tree or log may be dragged through the bed of a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area.</u><br>v) <u>Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area.</u><br>vi) <u>All cut or felled vegetation and soil debris must:</u><br><u>(a) not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</u><br><u>(b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (excluding an ephemeral river), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</u><br><u>(c) be stored on stable ground;</u><br><u>(d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or instability of the land."</u><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 134   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.6.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Rule 3.1.6 as notified in the PMEP.<br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 135   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Insert new Standard 3.3.6.4 as follows:<br><u>"An indigenous vegetation buffer zone of at least 8m must be provided between forestry planting and rivers or lakes."</u><br>2. Insert a new clause to Standard 3.3.6.2 as follows:<br><u>"(k) 10m of the centerline of electricity transmission lines."</u><br>3. Retain the remainder of Standards 3.3.6 as notified in the PMEP.<br>4. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 136   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.7.    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Insert new rule as follows:<br><u>"Commercial forestry harvesting in the Branch catchment upstream of the Branch Weir and in the Waihopai catchment upstream of the Waihopai Dam is a controlled activity.</u><br><u>Marlborough District Council reserves control over the following matters:</u><br>a) <u>Set back distances from rivers and lakes;</u><br>b) <u>Set back distances from transmission lines and other network utility infrastructure;</u><br>c) <u>Approval of Commercial Forestry Harvest Plans produced in accordance with Appendix 22 of this Plan;</u><br>d) <u>Approval of Erosion and Sediment Control Plans;</u><br>e) <u>Method of harvesting;</u><br>f) <u>Extent of operations;</u><br>g) <u>Containment of slash;</u><br>h) <u>Measures to address effects of water quality and riparian vegetation;</u><br>i) <u>Measures to address soil erosion during and after harvesting; and</u><br>j) <u>Measures to address effects on downstream renewable electricity generation activities."</u><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 137   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.11.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Standard 3.3.11.2 as follows:<br><u>"The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 3.3.11.3 to 3.3.11.6 (inclusive):</u><br>...<br><u>(f) where the clearance is associated with the maintenance of electricity generation infrastructure or transmission lines."</u><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 138   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Standard 3.3.11.2 as follows:<br><u>"The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 3.3.11.3 to 3.3.11.6 (inclusive):</u><br>...<br><u>(f) where the clearance is associated with the maintenance of electricity generation infrastructure or transmission lines."</u><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 139   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Rule 3.1.22 as follows:<br><i>"Application of an agrichemical into or onto land, or application of an agrichemical by air onto land."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 140   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Insert new standard 3.3.22.6 as follows:<br><i>"The agrichemical must not pass beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the agrichemical is being applied."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 141   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.25.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Rule 3.1.25 as follows:<br><i>"Application of compost, other vegetative matter or solid agricultural waste into or onto land."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 142   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.25.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Standards 3.3.25 as follows:<br><i>"Application of compost, other vegetative matter or solid agricultural waste into or onto land."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 143   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Insert a new rule in Chapter 3.1 to allow for the following as a permitted activity:<br><i>"Discharge of contaminants to air from the combustion of diesel to provide back-up power generation when an electricity connection is disrupted or unavailable."</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 144   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.      | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Insert new standards in Chapter 3.3 as follows:<br><u>"Discharge of contaminants to air from the combustion of diesel to provide back-up power generation when an electricity connection is disrupted or unavailable.</u><br>i) <u>The maximum generating capacity of the combustion equipment is less than 1 MW; and</u><br>ii) <u>The discharge shall not cause noxious, dangerous, offensive or objectionable odour, particulate or smoke beyond the boundary of the property. "</u><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 145   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Insert a new Rule in Chapter 3.1 to allow for the following as a permitted activity:<br><u>"Discharge of contaminants to air from water blasting and from dry abrasive blasting."</u><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 146   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Insert new Standards in Chapter 3.3 as follows:<br><u>"Discharge of contaminants to air from water blasting and from dry abrasive blasting.</u><br>i. <u>There must be no discharge of water spray, dust or other contaminant beyond the boundary of the property.</u><br>ii. <u>Where the discharge occurs from public land there must be no discharge of water spray, dust or other contaminant beyond 50m from the discharge point or beyond the boundary of the public land, whichever is the lesser.</u><br>iii. <u>There must be no discharge of water spray, dust or other contaminant into the coastal marine area.</u><br>iv. <u>The surface to be blasted must not contain any hazardous substances including lead, zinc, arsenic, chromium, copper, mercury, asbestos, tributyl tin, thorium-based compounds, and other heavy metals including anti foul paint containing these substances.</u><br>v. <u>Where abrasive blasting is undertaken inside an enclosed booth, the discharge must be via a filtered extraction system that removes at least 95% of particulate matter from the discharge.</u><br>vi. <u>Dry abrasive blasting outside an enclosed booth shall only be undertaken when it is impractical to remove or dismantle or transport a fixed object or structure to be cleaned in a booth.</u><br>vii. <u>For dry abrasive blasting the free silica content of a representative sample of the blast material must be less than 5% by weight.</u><br>viii. <u>The discharge of particulate matter is contained within the immediate area of the abrasive blasting so that particulate matter does not escape into the environment."</u><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited  | 147   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.18.   | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Rule 3.1.18 as notified in the PMEP.     |       |          |  |           |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 148   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.36.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Rule 3.1.36 as notified in the PMEP.     |       |          |  |           |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 149   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.7.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Standards 3.3.7 as notified in the PMEP. |       |          |  |           |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 150   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.23.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Standard 3.3.23 as notified in the PMEP. |       |          |  |           |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 151   | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.36.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Standard 3.3.36 as notified in the PMEP. |       |          |  |           |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 152   | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan                                     |           | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain Appendix 22 as notified in the PMEP.     |       |          |  |           |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 153   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend as follows:<br><i>"Water Resource Unit values &amp; Water Quality Classification Standards Natural and Human Use Values of Fresh Water Management Units"</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 154   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend as follows:<br><i>"Schedule 1 – Water Resource Unit Values Natural and Human Use Values of Fresh Water Management Units"</i><br><i>"Fresh Water Management Resource Unit"</i><br><i>"Natural and Human Use Values"</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 155   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend the Table for each FMU to ensure the compulsory values in the NOF are included.   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 156   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend as follows:<br><i>Branch (including Lake Argyle)</i><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited   | 157   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |           | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend as follows:<br><u>Hydro Electric Generation.</u>  |       |          |  |            |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 158   | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards |            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend as follows:<br><u>Hydro Electric Generation.</u>  |       |          |  |            |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 159   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels  | Schedule 1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain as notified.   |       |          |  |            |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 160   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels  | Schedule 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend Schedule 1 footnotes as follows:<br><u>"The existing consented take and use of water for hydro-electric power generation within the Waihopai River is considered a non-consumptive take, and is therefore outside of this allocation framework."</u><br>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. |       |          |  |            |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 161   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels  | Schedule 2 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain as notified.   |       |          |  |            |                 |
| 1201               | Trustpower Limited   | 162   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels  | Schedule 3 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 163   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 164   | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 4     | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Amend the table as follows:<br><i>"0.700 m<sup>3</sup>/s at State Highway Road Bridge 1.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s at the Branch Weir."</i> |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 165   | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land   |                | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 166   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 175 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 167   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 172 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain as notified.  |       |          |   |                |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 168   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 190 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision            | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|----------------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain as notified.  |       |          |              |                      |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 169   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 191       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. Retain as notified.  |       |          |              |                      |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 170   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 61        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. The removal of the following Significant Wetlands from the zone map 61:<br>W52, W53.                       |       |          |              |                      |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 171   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 165       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. The removal of the following Significant Wetlands from the zone map 165:<br>W323.                          |       |          |              |                      |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 172   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 166       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. The removal of the following Significant Wetlands from the zone map 166:<br>W324.                          |       |          |              |                      |         |
| <b>1201</b>        | Trustpower Limited  | 173   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 176       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:<br>1. The removal of the following Significant Wetlands from the zone map 176:<br>W1382, W792, W319, W320, W321. |       |          |              |                      |         |
| <b>373</b>         | Park, Janet and Tschepp, Mark   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Flood Hazard Area 30 | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| Decision Requested | To rezone the accreted land as Rural Living. This will enable us to work with MDC Rivers Department to establish a stopbank to protect the established vineyard.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1202</b>        | Tu Jaes Trust  | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.4                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delay consideration and introduction of Coastal Occupancy Charges until after notification of the Marine Farming Provisions.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1202</b>        | Tu Jaes Trust  | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.8                             | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.8.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1202</b>        | Tu Jaes Trust  | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.5.1.                                    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That recognition is given (without constraint through a Restricted Discretionary process) to the existing use rights for residential activity of already consented sections and surveyed building platforms on land proposed to be classified as ONFL. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1202</b>        | Tu Jaes Trust  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5                              | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Landscapes Overlay Map 5  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1202</b>        | Tu Jaes Trust  | 5     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | Policy 7.2.7                              | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That, for consistency should Rule 4.5.1 above remain unchanged, areas classified as ONFL should NOT PERMIT replanting of exotic forestry once the existing forest on this land has been harvested.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>708</b>         | Filisita Tuese   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>708</b>         | Filisita Tuese   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>708</b>         | Filisita Tuese   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>708</b>         | Filisita Tuese   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>708</b>         | Filisita Tuese   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>708</b>         | Filisita Tuese   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>708</b>         | Filisita Tuese   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>708</b>         | Filisita Tuese   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>708</b>         | Filisita Tuese   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>708</b>         | Filisita Tuese   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision                   | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |                            |                             |         |
| <b>179</b>         | Tui Nature Reserve   | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.11.                     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provision (inferred).   |       |          |                            |                             |         |
| <b>179</b>         | Tui Nature Reserve   | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | We like to ask for a review of the Coastal Natural Character status on the Outer Pelorus map of several areas including Tui Nature Reserve. Based on the evidence provided by the EPA process and the Natural Character and Landscape Studies. |       |          |                            |                             |         |
| <b>179</b>         | Tui Nature Reserve   | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.                     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provision (inferred).   |       |          |                            |                             |         |
| <b>179</b>         | Tui Nature Reserve   | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.                     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provision (inferred).   |       |          |                            |                             |         |
| <b>179</b>         | Tui Nature Reserve   | 5     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.                      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provision (inferred).   |       |          |                            |                             |         |
| <b>179</b>         | Tui Nature Reserve   | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.                      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provision (inferred).   |       |          |                            |                             |         |
| <b>179</b>         | Tui Nature Reserve   | 7     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.                     | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provision (inferred).   |       |          |                            |                             |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| <b>179</b>         | Tui Nature Reserve  | 8     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.3.10.                                   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provision (inferred).  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1164</b>        | Tui Rosalie Elkington and Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 1                              | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan to recognise the presence of aquaculture is the area and that there is no impact of the farms on Landscape features.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1164</b>        | Tui Rosalie Elkington and Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 1               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan to recognise the presence of aquaculture is the area and that there is no impact of the farms on Natural Character.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1164</b>        | Tui Rosalie Elkington and Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan to recognise the presence of aquaculture is the area and that there is no impact of the farms on Dolphins.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1138</b>        | Shane Turnbull  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1138</b>        | Shane Turnbull  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1138</b>        | Shane Turnbull  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1138</b>        | Shane Turnbull   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1138</b>        | Shane Turnbull   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1138</b>        | Shane Turnbull   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1138</b>        | Shane Turnbull   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1138</b>        | Shane Turnbull   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1138</b>        | Shane Turnbull   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1138</b>        | Shane Turnbull   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| <b>23</b>          | James Turner  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                                  | 16.7.2.                     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone should remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.                                       |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>23</b>          | James Turner  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                                  | 16.7.3.                     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone should remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.                                       |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1081</b>        | Rosie Turner  | 1     | All      | All   |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1203</b>        | Turner Aquaculture New Zealand Limited  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8530 in Wet Inlet, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1203</b>        | Turner Aquaculture New Zealand Limited  | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8530 in Wet Inlet, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1203</b>        | Turner Aquaculture New Zealand Limited  | 3     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8530 in Wet Inlet, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1203</b>        | Turner Aquaculture New Zealand Limited  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8530 in Wet Inlet, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>182</b>         | Anna Jane Tyson   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Flood Hazard Area 24                      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Review the Flood Hazard Areas Overlay Map 24 as it relates to PN 140767 being Pt Sec 3 Wairau Dist.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>834</b>         | Jarod Udy   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.       |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>834</b>         | Jarod Udy   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>834</b>         | Jarod Udy   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>834</b>         | Jarod Udy   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>834</b>         | Jarod Udy   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>834</b>         | Jarod Udy   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>834</b>         | Jarod Udy  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>834</b>         | Jarod Udy  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>834</b>         | Jarod Udy  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>834</b>         | Jarod Udy  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>8</b>           | Ugbrooke Country Estate Limited  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.5.1.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Abolition of audible bird scaring devices but in the absence of that abolition modify that standards of operation as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lower the maximum decibel level to 55 decibels.</li> <li>Ensure that all devices are on natural ground level and not placed/elevated on hillsides or placed in natural amphitheatres such as small valleys, dams, etc. On level ground the gun should not be higher than 2.1 metres.</li> <li>Devices must be turned off over night.</li> <li>Hours of operation - no earlier than 7.30 am and not later than 7.00pm (including Daylight Saving)</li> </ul> |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>8</b>           | Ugbrooke Country Estate Limited  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.5.2       | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Abolition of audible bird scaring devices but in the absence of that abolition modify that standards of operation as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lower the maximum decibel level to 55 decibels.</li> <li>Ensure that all devices are on natural ground level and not placed/elevated on hillsides or placed in natural amphitheatres such as small valleys, dams, etc. On level ground the gun should not be higher than 2.1 metres.</li> <li>Devices must be turned off over night.</li> <li>Hours of operation - no earlier than 7.30 am and not later than 7.00pm (including Daylight Saving)</li> </ul> |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>1204</b>        | United Fisheries Holdings Limited  | 1     | All      | All          |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | As set out in the MFA submission.  |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>1204</b>        | United Fisheries Holdings Limited  | 2     | All      | All          |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | As set out in the MFIA submission.   |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>1204</b>        | United Fisheries Holdings Limited  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed in inner Admiralty Bay;<br>AND<br>Remove the northern extent of the natural character overlay from the ridgeline above Waiona Bay.  |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>1204</b>        | United Fisheries Holdings Limited  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed in Inner Admiralty Bay;<br>AND<br>Remove the northern extent of ONL overlay from the ridgeline above Waiona Bay.  |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>1204</b>        | United Fisheries Holdings Limited  | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Threatened Environments 1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed.  |       |          |              |                             |                 |
| <b>1204</b>        | United Fisheries Holdings Limited  | 6     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Steep Erosion Prone Land 1  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1204</b>        | United Fisheries Holdings Limited  | 7     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The MEP should be amended so that strict avoidance approach is not adopted in respect of the mapped area in Admiralty Bay, consistent with the proposed changes to the policies in Chapter 8, as set out in the MFA submission.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>170</b>         | Thomas van der Burgh   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>170</b>         | Thomas van der Burgh   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.3.                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>499</b>         | Jean-Charles Christian and Marthe Marie Van Hove   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.3                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The decision I seek from council is:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Modify Irricalc to calculate (as opposed to the actual blanket 2.2mm) the daily take of water that reflect soil and climate specificity.</li> <li>2) Modify Irricalc to accomodate the best use of water to produce specifically the style of Marlborough Sauvignon blanc (as opposed to grapes).</li> <li>3) Allow water use in early spring to bring soils to Field Capacity.</li> <li>4) Allow for increased surface watter take after an event when the river is saturated with sediment.</li> </ol> |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>611</b>         | Carla Velez  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>611</b>         | Carla Velez  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>611</b>         | Carla Velez  | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>611</b>         | Carla Velez  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>611</b>         | Carla Velez  | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>611</b>         | Carla Velez  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>611</b>         | Carla Velez  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>611</b>         | Carla Velez  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>611</b>         | Carla Velez  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>611</b>         | Carla Velez  | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| <b>1217</b>        | Venture 353 Limited  | 1     | All      | All                   |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| <b>441</b>         | Paul Selwyn and Barbara Ann Vercoe   | 1     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We do not have the experience to propose how the Plan should be amended to incorporate our objectives and consider it appropriate for the amendments be managed by the Council planners in consultation with us. However, a couple of suggestions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The protection could take the form of specifically referring to the Seaview Rest Home, or other similar facilities/activities of a certain size, as a "non-complying activity" and hence no significant changes can be made to the building or activities without prior consultation with owners of nearby residential properties. <u>This consultation must be before any significant planning or approval from Council is undertaken.</u></li> </ul> |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| <b>441</b>         | Paul Selwyn and Barbara Ann Vercoe   | 2     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.1.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We do not have the experience to propose how the Plan should be amended to incorporate our objectives and consider it appropriate for the amendments be managed by the Council planners in consultation with us. However, a couple of suggestions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The protection could take the form of specifically referring to the Seaview Rest Home, or other similar facilities/activities of a certain size, as a "non-complying activity" and hence no significant changes can be made to the building or activities without prior consultation with owners of nearby residential properties. <u>This consultation must be before any significant planning or approval from Council is undertaken.</u></li> </ul> |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| <b>441</b>         | Paul Selwyn and Barbara Ann Vercoe   | 3     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Objective 12.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We do not have the experience to propose how the Plan should be amended to incorporate our objectives and consider it appropriate for the amendments be managed by the Council planners in consultation with us. However, a couple of suggestions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The protection could take the form of specifically referring to the Seaview Rest Home, or other similar facilities/activities of a certain size, as a "non-complying activity" and hence no significant changes can be made to the building or activities without prior consultation with owners of nearby residential properties. <u>This consultation must be before any significant planning or approval from Council is undertaken.</u></li> </ul> |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| <b>441</b>         | Paul Selwyn and Barbara Ann Vercoe   | 4     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.3.2  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | We do not have the experience to propose how the Plan should be amended to incorporate our objectives and consider it appropriate for the amendments be managed by the Council planners in consultation with us. However, a couple of suggestions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The protection could take the form of specifically referring to the Seaview Rest Home, or other similar facilities/activities of a certain size, as a "non-complying activity" and hence no significant changes can be made to the building or activities without prior consultation with owners of nearby residential properties. <u>This consultation must be before any significant planning or approval from Council is undertaken.</u></li> </ul> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>441</b>         | Paul Selwyn and Barbara Ann Vercoe   | 5     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone          | 5.1.9.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Seaview Rest Home, and other similar rest homes, and possibly other similar facilities, located in Urban Residential 2 Zones could be included within Appendix 16. With appropriate notes to ensure that nearby residents are consulted prior to any significant additions or alterations - or changes to their activities.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>441</b>         | Paul Selwyn and Barbara Ann Vercoe   | 6     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments                     | Policy 12.3.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We do not have the experience to propose how the Plan should be amended to incorporate our objectives and consider it appropriate for the amendments be managed by the Council planners in consultation with us. However, a couple of suggestions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The protection could take the form of specifically referring to the Seaview Rest Home, or other similar facilities/activities of a certain size, as a "non-complying activity" and hence no significant changes can be made to the building or activities without prior consultation with owners of nearby residential properties. <u>This consultation must be before any significant planning or approval from Council is undertaken.</u></li> </ul> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>158</b>         | Gerard Verkaaik  | 1     | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                          | 21.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Cooperative approach with Council,RSA,and local residents to continue improving the environment around the Taylor River floodway; gradually extending the recreational areas that traverse the growing urban development along either side and around the Omaka Aerodrome.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>158</b>         | Gerard Verkaaik  | 2     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 19 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Cooperative approach with Council, RSA,and local residents to continue improving the environment around the Taylor River floodway; gradually extending the recreational areas that traverse the growing urban development along either side and around the Omaka Aerodrome.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>171</b>         | Christopher and Philippa Vickers   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | We oppose the changes as put forward by the council  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>213</b>         | Christopher Vickers  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Oppose          |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | I wish the proposed provision to be deleted.<br>This idea could usefully be discussed by all affected land owners. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.1.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.2.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.2.4.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.6  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.2.6.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 6     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Objective 5.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.3.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 7     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.5  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.5.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 8     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.6  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.6.   |       |          |   |               |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>      | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria           | 9            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.7.  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria           | 10           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.8.  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria           | 11           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.9     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.9.  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria           | 12           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.10. |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria           | 13           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.12. |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria           | 14           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.14. |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria           | 15           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.15. |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria           | 16           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.16. |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria           | 17           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.4. |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>      | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria           | 18           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.3.  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria           | 19           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.4.  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria           | 20           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.5.  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria           | 21           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.6.  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria           | 22           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.7. |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria           | 23           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.8. |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria           | 24           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1.  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria           | 25           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.2.  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria           | 26           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5I         | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Issue 5I.                                       |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 27    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.1   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.9.1.                                   |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 28    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.2   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.9.2.                                   |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 29    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.3   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.9.3.                                   |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 30    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.3          | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Method of Implementation 5.M.3 be deleted in entirety. |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 31    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.1.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.1.                                  |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 32    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.1.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.7.                                  |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 33    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.1.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.10.                                 |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 34    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Objective 14.2 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter              | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|------------------------|-------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.2. |       |          |                                 |               |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria            | 35    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.2.1.  |       |          |                                 |               |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria            | 36    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.2.2.  |       |          |                                 |               |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria            | 37    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.2.3.  |       |          |                                 |               |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria            | 38    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.1.  |       |          |                                 |               |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria            | 39    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                 | 2.1.1.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.1.1.     |       |          |                                 |               |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria            | 40    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                 | 2.2.5.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.5.     |       |          |                                 |               |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria            | 41    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                 | 2.3.5.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.3.5.     |       |          |                                 |               |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria            | 42    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                 | 2.4.1.        | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter           | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---------------------|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.4.1.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria         | 43    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.5.2.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.5.2.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria         | 44    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.1.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.1.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria         | 45    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.5.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.5.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria         | 46    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.7.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.7.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria         | 47    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.13.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.13. |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria         | 48    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.14.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.14. |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria         | 49    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.17.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.17. |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria         | 50    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22.   | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter           | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---------------------|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.22. |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria         | 51    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.23. |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria         | 52    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.25.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.25. |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria         | 53    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.26.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.26. |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria         | 54    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.33.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.33. |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria         | 55    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.34.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.34. |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria         | 56    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.39.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.39. |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria         | 57    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.4.1.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.4.1.  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria         | 58    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.4.2.    | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the rule be deleted, or alternately amend so that vineyards, wineries and associated retail are clearly excluded.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 59    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.6.2.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.6.2.   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 60    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.5.5 be amended as follows:<br><i>Policy 5.5.5 Resolve over-allocation of the Benmorven, Brancott and Omaka Aquifer Freshwater Management Units by reducing individual resource consent allocations on a proportional basis, based on the total allocation available relative to each individual's irrigated land area, or equivalent for non-irrigation water uses (excluding winery processing, domestic and stock water). The reductions will be achieved by reviewing the conditions of the relevant water permits to reallocate the available allocation fairly across all relevant users.</i> |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 61    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.<br><i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i>  |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
|                    | That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:<br><i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i>   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 62    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy:<br><br><i><u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u></i>   |       |          |                                  |              |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1218               | Villa Maria   | 63    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.8.3   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows:<br/> <i><u>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised <u>maximum</u> daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</u></i></p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following:<br/> <i><u>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</u></i></p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1218               | Villa Maria   | 64    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Objective 14.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Redraft the objective to read:<br/> <i><u>"Activities that are not related to primary production are <u>only located within rural environments if they are appropriate for that environment.</u>"</u></i></p>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1218               | Villa Maria   | 65    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Policy 14.3.2  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain, with an additional clause (e):<br/> <i><u>The extent to which the proposed activity is likely to have reverse sensitivity effects on primary production activities.</u></i></p>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1218               | Villa Maria   | 66    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                         | 25.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add definition for reverse sensitivity [<i>inferred</i>].</p>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1218               | Villa Maria   | 67    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Objective 14.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Redraft the objective to read:<br/> <i><u>Residential activity takes place <u>only within appropriate locations and limits within rural environments.</u></u></i></p>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| 1218               | Villa Maria   | 68    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows:<br><br><i>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i><br><i>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i><br><i>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i><br><i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</i><br><i>(ii) <u>the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</u></i><br><i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i><br><i>(iv) <u>in the case of water supply intakes and associated structures in a river bed, the practical viability of alternative methods of abstracting water;</u></i><br><i>(v) <u>the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</u></i><br><i>(vi) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria   | 69    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.18        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Method of Implementation 15.M.18 be amended to add the following bullet point<br><i><u>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines.</u></i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria   | 70    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.24        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Method of Implementation 15.M.24 be amended to add the following bullet point<br><i><u>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of activities in, on, under or over river beds: to assist in the preparation of site specific management plans and for the processing of resource consent applications.</u></i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria   | 71    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Redraft the objective to read:<br><i><u>Where necessary, reduce the potential for nuisance and health effects from the discharge of contaminants into air.</u></i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 72    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Redraft the policy to reflect the following amendment:<br/>"Manage the use of agrichemicals to avoid spray drift <u>as far as practicable.</u>"</i>   |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 73    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.5.3.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That standard 3.3.5.3 be amended to read:<br><i>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour <u>for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over.</u></i>  |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 74    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.13.1.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly.<br><i><u>3.3.13.1 On land which slopes away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 metres of the waterbody.</u></i>         |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 75    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.13.2.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.2 be amended to read:<br><i><u>3.3.13.2 On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u></i>          |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 76    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.13.3.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.3 be amended to read:<br><i><u>3.3.13.3 On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u></i> |       |          |  |               |                 |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 77    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.13.4.     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.4 be amended to read:<br><i>3.3.13.4 Cultivation must not be in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland, except where the wetland is fenced in accordance with the wetland boundaries mapped in the Plan, in which case cultivation may occur up to the fenced boundary or where the land slopes away from Significant Wetland in which case cultivation must not be within 1m of the Significant Wetland.</i>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 78    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                            | 25.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows:<br><i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <del>and</del> <u>harvesting and processing</u> of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticultural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 79    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 6 - Schedule 1.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 80    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 2    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 6 - Schedule 2.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 81    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 82    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Objective 5.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Villa submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies.<br><i><u>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available.</u></i>   |       |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision              | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 83    | All      | All                              |                        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Villa submit that the MEP should include as a method the ongoing commitment of Council toward the further refining of the Soils Sensitive Areas and boundaries.  |       |          |                                  |                        |                 |
| <b>1218</b>        | Villa Maria  | 84    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.9          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Objective 5.9.  |       |          |                                  |                        |                 |
| <b>899</b>         | Lin Vouch  | 1     | All      | All                              |                        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                                  |                        |                 |
| <b>900</b>         | Long Vu  | 1     | All      | All                              |                        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                                  |                        |                 |
| <b>184</b>         | Martin Benjamin Waddy  | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                      | Zoning Map 201         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Significant Wetland W49 (deleted) to be allowed to clean existing dams as a permitted activity. This would not be a permitted activity under the proposed change to the Plan.  |       |          |                                  |                        |                 |
| <b>201</b>         | Vallyn & Diana Wadsworth   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                     | Soil Sensitive Area 11 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Review and ground truth all mapped Loess soil areas, and/or;</li> <li>2. allow landowners to participate in the mapping process to accurately ascertain areas of at risk loess soils, and/or;</li> <li>3. remove all areas of our property from the loess soils mapping overlay, apart from an area of 1-2 ha which will be detailed on maps to be provided by us at the time of hearing.</li> </ol> |       |          |                                  |                        |                 |
| <b>201</b>         | Vallyn & Diana Wadsworth   | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                     | Landscapes 8           | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Better define the actual criteria for inclusion within the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape, eg visibility from public places etc, and amend the map overlay accordingly, and/or</li> <li>2. remove all areas west of the Taylor River from the WDHL, and/or;</li> <li>3. remove our property from the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape overlay, and/or;</li> <li>4. Change the provisions of the MEP to allow conservation and amenity planting within the WDHL (see separate submission)</li> </ol> |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>201</b>         | Vallyn & Diana Wadsworth  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.10.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove rule 3.3.10.4 in it's entirety.  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>201</b>         | Vallyn & Diana Wadsworth  | 4     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Remove the words "grazed on irrigated land" from the definition.</li> <li>2. Use a better method of defining, or assessing livestock situations to identify high risk factors, and achieve the desired outcomes. This may require the addition of new definitions, or provisions.</li> </ol>  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>1121</b>        | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth  | 1     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change it to a more whole-farm view.  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>1121</b>        | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth  | 2     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change it to a more whole-farm view.  |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>1121</b>        | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Standard 3.3.12.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.12.1 Where clearance is by mechanical means; <b>or blading,</b> <del>or root-raking by a bulldozer</del> must not be used on slopes greater than 20°.</i></p> <p>The submission also suggests that slope angle is increased but does not include a specific slope.</p>   |       |          |                          |           |        |
| <b>1121</b>        | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Non-indigenous weed control work within 8m of a river bed should not trigger resource consent. Rather there should be best practice suggestions as to how to control weeds without causing water quality issues. E.g. hand spraying best practice alongside waterways, using a root- raker rather than a digger to minimise disturbance but still pull weed trees out.  |       |          |                          |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>1121</b>        | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone  | 3.3.12.7.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.12.7 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><br><del>Standard 3.3.12.7 Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area.</del> |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>1121</b>        | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth  | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone  | 3.3.21.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Heading 3.3.21:<br><br><del>3.3.21 Live stock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river.</del>  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>1121</b>        | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth  | 7     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character       | Policy 6.2.8  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 6.2.8 is deleted.   |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>1121</b>        | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth  | 8     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape               | Policy 7.2.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape and Policy 7.2.2 are deleted, or<br><br>Farming and rural activities are recognised as positively contributing to the values and attributes of the Wairau Dry Hills in Appendix 1, and are provided for as permitted, and<br><br>Policy 7.2.2 is amended<br><br><u>Subject matter and provision in the Plan:</u>  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>1121</b>        | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth  | 9     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the objective be amended.  |       |          |                           |               |                 |
| <b>1121</b>        | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth  | 10    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.1.  |       |          |                           |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision    | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|---------|
| <b>1121</b>        | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth   | 11    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity                               | Policy 8.2.9 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 8.2.9 is deleted from the Plan.  |       |          |   |              |         |
| <b>402</b>         | Rose Waghorn   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels               | Schedule 3   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. ( <i>Inferred</i> )       |       |          |   |              |         |
| <b>490</b>         | Murray Lewis Waghorn   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  |              | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of the farms or bays listed above or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. Note that no list has been provided in the submission other than reference to Coastal Natural Character maps.                   |       |          |   |              |         |
| <b>490</b>         | Murray Lewis Waghorn   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |              | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character values ( <i>inferred</i> ) from the vicinity of the farms or bays listed above or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. Note that no list has been provided in the submission other than reference to Appendix 2. ?                  |       |          |   |              |         |
| <b>490</b>         | Murray Lewis Waghorn   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  |              | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of the farms or bays listed above; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. Note that no list has been provided in the submission other than reference to Landscape maps. ?                    |       |          |   |              |         |
| <b>490</b>         | Murray Lewis Waghorn   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |              | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural feature and landscape values ( <i>inferred</i> ) from the vicinity of the farms or bays listed above; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. Note that no list has been provided in the submission other than reference to Landscape maps. ? |       |          |   |              |         |
| <b>1231</b>        | Waihopai Valley Vineyards Limited  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels               | Schedule 1   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision as proposed.  |       |          |   |              |         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter  | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|------------|---------|
| <b>1231</b>        | Waihopai Valley Vineyards Limited   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels              | Schedule 3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision as proposed.   |       |          |  |            |         |
| <b>517</b>         | Waihopai/Avon Residents Association   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources |            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That this notable length of historic plantation, which has been part of the Valley for over 130 years is protected. Others of same age have been fallen at night on the old Delta property.   |       |          |  |            |         |
| <b>580</b>         | Waikawa Boating Club  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                                 | 16.7.2.    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i></p> <p>And, if marine pollution proves to be an issue encourage (over a period of time) the obligation to have holding tanks fitted on boats and pump of facilities provided at regular distances.</p>          |       |          |  |            |         |
| <b>580</b>         | Waikawa Boating Club  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                                 | 16.7.3.    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i></p> <p>And, if marine pollution proves to be an issue encourage (over a period of time) the obligation to have holding tanks fitted on boats and pump of facilities provided at regular distances.</p> |       |          |  |            |         |
| <b>786</b>         | Waikawa Boating Club  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                                 | 16.7.2.    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i></p>   |       |          |  |            |         |
| <b>786</b>         | Waikawa Boating Club  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                                 | 16.7.3.    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i></p>  |       |          |  |            |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1232               | Waikawa Boating Club   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.2.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <del>The</del> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i></p>  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1232               | Waikawa Boating Club   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.3.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <del>The</del> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i></p>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1233               | Waikawa Boating Club   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"The Marlborough District Council will waive the need for coastal occupancy charges for the following:</i></p> <p><i>(a) public wharves, jetties, boat ramps and facilities owned by the Marlborough District Council and the Department of Conservation;</i></p> <p><i>(b) monitoring equipment</i></p> <p><i>(c) activities listed as permitted, except for moorings in a Mooring Management Area;</i></p> <p><i>(d) retaining walls; <del>and</del></i></p> <p><i>(e) port and marina activities where resource consents authorised under Section 384A of the Resource Management Act 1991 are in place until such a time as those resource consent expire; <b>and</b></i></p> <p><i><b>(f) moorings provided by boating clubs.</b>"</i></p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| 1233               | Waikawa Boating Club   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold)   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
|                    | <i>"Any coastal occupancy charges collected will be used on the following to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area:</i>              |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
|                    | <i>(a) implementation of a Coastal Monitoring Strategy;</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
|                    | <i>(b) State of the Environment monitoring;</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
|                    | <i>(c) research in relation to the state and workings of the natural, physical and social aspects of the coastal marine area;</i>                            |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
|                    | <i>(d) education and awareness;</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
|                    | <i>(e) habitat and natural character restoration and enhancement;</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
|                    | <i>(f) managing marine biosecurity threats;</i>  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
|                    | <i>(g) maintaining and enhancing public access <b>such as Council contribution towards the maintenance of Club moorings;</b></i>                             |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
|                    | <i>and</i>   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
|                    | <i>(h) formal planning in the Resource Management Act 1991 planning context and strategic planning and overview in relation to the coastal environment."</i> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>1233</b>        | Waikawa Boating Club   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources  | Objective 5.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy under this Objective as follows -   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
|                    | <b><i>"Recognition that there are inherent rights of a coastal permit holder over the use of the coastal structure(s) that occupy coastal space."</i></b>    |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>1233</b>        | Waikawa Boating Club   | 4     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.6.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>1233</b>        | Waikawa Boating Club   | 5     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.7.1  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| <b>1233</b>        | Waikawa Boating Club   | 6     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"Recognise and provide for the following operational requirements of Marine Zones in Picton, Havelock and Waikawa:</i><br><i>(a) shipping activities</i><br><i>(b) loading and unloading of people and goods;</i><br><i>(c) transportation activities</i><br><i>(d) marine fuel facilities;</i><br><i>(e) commercial activities related to the operation of a marina;</i><br><i>(f) ship repair and maintenance;</i><br><i>(g) building and structures (including on jetties), jetties, reclamation, mooring structures (excluding swing moorings) and spillways;</i><br><i>(h) maintenance dredging of navigation channels, turning basins and berths for the purposes of safe berthage and maneuvering of commercial vessels;</i><br><i>(i) maintenance, repair and replacement of marine infrastructure;</i><br><i>(j) placement and maintenance of navigation aids;</i><br><i>(k) marine administration including security, servicing and maintenance activities; and</i><br><i>(l) signage; and</i><br><b><i>(m) boating clubhouses and associated facilities.</i></b> " |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1233</b>        | Waikawa Boating Club  | 7     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.18 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | In the event that this Policy is intended to deal with land-based discharges of sewage to coastal water, amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br><i>"Avoid the discharge of untreated human sewage <b>from land based sources</b> to waterbodies or coastal waters."</i><br>In the event that this Policy is intended to deal also with discharges of sewage from ships, amend the Policy as follows (bold) -<br><i>"Avoid the discharge of untreated human sewage to waterbodies or coastal waters <b>where it may render coastal water unsuitable for contact recreation, food gathering or aquaculture.</b>"</i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1233</b>        | Waikawa Boating Club  | 8     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.19 | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1233</b>        | Waikawa Boating Club  | 9     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.20 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1233</b>        | Waikawa Boating Club  | 10    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                 | 16.1.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>If the 1000m Rule is to be retained (<i>infer Rules 16.7.2 and 16.7.3</i>), include in the list of permitted activities the following or similar:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>"The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 1000m or greater distance from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</b></li> <li>• <b>"The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 1000m or greater distance from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</b></li> <li>• <b>"The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</b></li> <li>• <b>"The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharges is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm or from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</b></li> </ul> <p>If the status quo of the MarPol 500m distance is retained, included in the list of permitted activities the following or similar:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>"The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 500m or greater distance from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</b></li> <li>• <b>"The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 500m or greater distance from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</b></li> <li>• <b>"The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</b></li> <li>• <b>"The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharges is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm or from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</b></li> </ul> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1233</b>        | Waikawa Boating Club  | 11    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                 | 16.3.6.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"The erection or placement of a marine navigational aid (including lighting), <b>with the exception of temporary yacht racing buoys</b>, must be carried out by, or on behalf of, Maritime New Zealand."</i></p>  |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1233               | Waikawa Boating Club   | 12    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.3.6.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p><b><i>"With the exception of temporary yacht racing buoys, prior to installation, the GPS mapping co-ordinates and a description of the marine navigational aid must be provided to the Harbour Master."</i></b></p>  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 1233               | Waikawa Boating Club   | 13    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><del>"From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS."</del></p> <p><b><i>"The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</i></b></p>  |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 1233               | Waikawa Boating Club   | 14    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.3.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><del>"From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm."</del></p> <p><b><i>"The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less 500m from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</i></b></p> <p><b><i>"The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</i></b></p> <p><b><i>"The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm or MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</i></b></p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 1233               | Waikawa Boating Club   | 15    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.4.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (bold) -</p> <p><b><i>"Discharge of treated or untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area from land-based sources, except for the discharge of treated human sewage from regionally significant infrastructure."</i></b></p>   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| 446                | Waikawa Marae Incorporated   | 1     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.1.3.    | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete the following words (strikethrough) from Permitted Activity Rule 5.1.3:<br><i>Marae activity on:</i><br><i>(b) Lot 1 &amp; 2 DP 11713, <del>Waikawa West 6 &amp; 7 ML 6923 and Sec 47 Blk XII Linkwater SD.</del></i> |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1234</b>        | Waimana Marine Limited   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8321 Wilson Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1234</b>        | Waimana Marine Limited   | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8203 Camel Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1234</b>        | Waimana Marine Limited   | 3     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8321 Wilson Bay and 8203 Camel Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1234</b>        | Waimana Marine Limited   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8321 Wilson Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1234</b>        | Waimana Marine Limited   | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8203 Camel Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>1234</b>        | Waimana Marine Limited   | 6     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8321 Wilson Bay and 8203 Camel Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>926</b>         | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.            |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>926</b>         | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>926</b>         | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>926</b>         | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>926</b>         | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                        |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>926</b>         | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>926</b>         | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision          | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                    |        |
| <b>926</b>         | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                    |        |
| <b>926</b>         | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A           | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                    |        |
| <b>926</b>         | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |                    |        |
| <b>926</b>         | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 11    | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include economic development and associated relief.   |       |          |   |                    |        |
| <b>926</b>         | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 12    | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction                          | Guiding principles | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief.  |       |          |   |                    |        |
| <b>926</b>         | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 13    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.                 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Specifically recognise the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay and associated relief.  |       |          |   |                    |        |
| <b>926</b>         | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 14    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.4       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Sounds have been altered by social and cultural use and associated relief.   |       |          |   |                    |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------|--------|
| <b>926</b>         | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 15    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources and associated relief.   |       |          |   |           |        |
| <b>926</b>         | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 16    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | 6.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include appropriate definitions of natural character, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character and associated relief.   |       |          |   |           |        |
| <b>926</b>         | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 17    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | 7.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include appropriate definitions of outstanding features and landscape, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural landscape and features and associated relief.   |       |          |   |           |        |
| <b>926</b>         | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 18    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | 7.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL is not appropriate and should be revised.   |       |          |   |           |        |
| <b>926</b>         | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 19    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | 8.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief.  |       |          |   |           |        |
| <b>926</b>         | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 20    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | 6.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and<br>Associated relief. |       |          |   |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision                   | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 926                | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 21    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                            | 7.                          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and<br>Associated relief. |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| 926                | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 22    | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity              | 8.                          | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and<br>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and<br>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and<br>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and<br>Associated relief. |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| 926                | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 24    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.                         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal waters and particularly marine farms and associated relief.  |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| 926                | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 25    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.                         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.   |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| 926                | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 26    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.10.4               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy subject to the matters raised in the submission (inferred).  |       |          |  |                             |                 |
| 926                | Wainui Green 2015 Limited  | 27    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                           | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167 in Pig Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |  |                             |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| 926                | Wainui Green 2015 Limited   | 28    | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167 in Pig Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| 926                | Wainui Green 2015 Limited   | 29    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167 in Pig Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| 926                | Wainui Green 2015 Limited   | 30    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167 in Pig Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| 1235               | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps   | Zoning Map 60 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments are made to Map 60 (map attached to submission that highlights the delineation of the township, with boundaries as described below and clearly shown with a blue line):</p> <p><b>East:</b> The eastern extremities of those properties on the eastern side of Church Lane and directly fronting Church Lane but excluding the vineyard that goes down to the Wairau River with a frontage on the lower part of Church Lane.</p> <p><b>West:</b> The centre of Keith Coleman Lane.</p> <p><b>South:</b> The southernmost borders of the lifestyle properties that currently exist, as shown on the map.</p> <p><b>North:</b> The northern boundary would include properties on Morse Street and Fishtail Vue and properties along State Highway 63 as far as Church Lane and also take in those properties on the western side of Church Lane. All the surrounding vineyards are to be excluded from being part of the township.</p> |       |          |   |               |        |
| 1235               | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association   | 2     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps   | Zoning Map 61 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments are made to Map 61 (map attached to submission that highlights the delineation of the township, with boundaries as described below and clearly shown with a blue line):</p> <p><b>East:</b> The eastern extremities of those properties on the eastern side of Church Lane and directly fronting Church Lane but excluding the vineyard that goes down to the Wairau River with a frontage on the lower part of Church Lane.</p> <p><b>West:</b> The centre of Keith Coleman Lane.</p> <p><b>South:</b> The southernmost borders of the lifestyle properties that currently exist, as shown on the map.</p> <p><b>North:</b> The northern boundary would include properties on Morse Street and Fishtail Vue and properties along State Highway 63 as far as Church Lane and also take in those properties on the western side of Church Lane. All the surrounding vineyards are to be excluded from being part of the township.</p> |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1235</b>        | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association   | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.5.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the rules for the placement of frost fans and bird bangers are reviewed so that frost fans and bird bangers (<i><b>latter inferred</b></i>) are not placed within 500 metres of the boundary of the township.</p> <p>That a thorough and timely review of the rules for the use of bird scaring devices is undertaken.</p>  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1235</b>        | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association   | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.2? | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>We expect the Council to be actively monitoring the issue regarding a dangerous drop in the level of flow and not to rely on complaints or concerns before doing anything about it.</p>  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1235</b>        | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association   | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.8   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Policy 5.7.8 but note that the preferred method of frost fighting in the vineyards that are contiguous with the Wairau Valley Township be by water.</p>   |       |          |                                  |                |                 |
| <b>1235</b>        | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association   | 6     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments            | Objective 12.3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>To extend this objective to give a buffer zone around the township, at least where land has not already been developed for viticulture.</p> <p>The submission does not include specific details of what the buffer zone around the township would be.</p>  |       |          |                                  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| <b>1235</b>        | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association   | 7     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments                  | Policy 12.7.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | To consider the reverse sensitivity effects of the encroachment of viticulture.<br>Noise limits, especially regarding frost machines, <u>must</u> be monitored.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1235</b>        | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association   | 8     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | We believe consents are too easily granted. We would like tree clearance to be made a discretionary activity.<br>The submission does not include a zone or rule to which tree clearance should be a discretionary activity.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1235</b>        | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association   | 9     | Volume 1 | 16 Waste                               | Policy 16.2.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Research is needed urgently into a safe way to dispose of these posts. Even if wine growers gradually change to non-treated posts over time there is a "mountain" of these posts that will require safe disposal.<br>Clear guidelines must be available on safe methods of storing these posts until a suitable disposal method is developed. |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1235</b>        | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association   | 10    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Policy 17.3.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | We support a policy which includes ongoing review of the usage of roads and development and maintenance of efficient roads.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1235</b>        | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association   | 11    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Objective 17.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Bearing the above in mind and considering proposed zone changes for some properties fronting the state highway from Township Residential or Rural 4 to Urban Residential 2 we feel there is a case to be made for lowering the speed limit to 50 kms per hour, such as is the case in Boyce Street, Renwick, which is used by many trucks.    |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1235</b>        | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association   | 12    | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                      | Objective 17.6 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | To consider the lowering of the speed limit to 50kms per hour through Wairau Valley Township and along all its side roads.<br>We would support a programme of roadworks to raise the standard of the road surface and maintain it in a safe and tidy condition.  |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>162</b>         | Waitai Station   | 1     | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone       | 19.3.14.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | We would like the council to make the provisions we oppose (19.1.16; 19.3.14; 4.1.23; 4.3.23) Prohibited Activities on D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets.<br><br>This could be done by adding an additional sub-provision to each of the opposed provisions carving out D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets as an exception, thereby making the application of vertebrate toxic agents prohibited. |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>162</b>         | Waitai Station   | 2     | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone       | 19.1.16.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | We would like the council to make the provisions we oppose (19.1.16; 19.3.14; 4.1.23; 4.3.23) Prohibited Activities on D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets.<br><br>This could be done by adding an additional sub-provision to each of the opposed provisions carving out D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets as an exception, thereby making the application of vertebrate toxic agents prohibited. |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>162</b>         | Waitai Station   | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.23.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | We would like the council to make the provisions we oppose (19.1.16; 19.3.14; 4.1.23; 4.3.23) Prohibited Activities on D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets.<br><br>This could be done by adding an additional sub-provision to each of the opposed provisions carving out D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets as an exception, thereby making the application of vertebrate toxic agents prohibited. |       |          |                            |           |        |
| <b>162</b>         | Waitai Station   | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.23.   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | We would like the council to make the provisions we oppose (19.1.16; 19.3.14; 4.1.23; 4.3.23) Prohibited Activities on D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets.<br><br>This could be done by adding an additional sub-provision to each of the opposed provisions carving out D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets as an exception, thereby making the application of vertebrate toxic agents prohibited.                    |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>486</b>         | Waitui Holdings Limited   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission point relates to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Outer Sounds Landscape Area 1; and</li> <li>Cape Jackson, Cape Lambert and Alligator Head - Area 12</li> </ul> Change the classifications of both landscape (including seascape) and character away from outstanding values and away from high values to enable some limited aquaculture to occur on the western side of the inner part of the Bay.             |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>486</b>         | Waitui Holdings Limited   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission point relates to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Coastal Marine Area B: D'Urville Island - Northern Cook Strait</li> <li>Coastal Terrestrial Area 2: Cook Strait</li> </ul> Change the classifications of both landscape (including seascape) and character away from outstanding values and away from high values to enable some limited aquaculture to occur on the western side of the inner part of the Bay. |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>486</b>         | Waitui Holdings Limited   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change the classifications of both landscape (including seascape) and character away from outstanding values and away from high values to enable some limited aquaculture to occur on the western side of the inner part of the Bay.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>486</b>         | Waitui Holdings Limited   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 2                | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Change the classifications of both landscape (including seascape) and character away from outstanding values and away from high values to enable some limited aquaculture to occur on the western side of the inner part of the Bay.     |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>486</b>         | Waitui Holdings Limited  | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 5                              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Change the classifications of both landscape (including seascape) and character away from outstanding values and away from high values to enable some limited aquaculture to occur on the western side of the inner part of the Bay.     |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>1236</b>        | Wakatu Incorporation   | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | It is Wakatu's recommendation that the Proposed Plan is placed in abeyance pending the development of specific rules and policies dealing with marine farms which can be then be considered as part of a revised and comprehensive plan. |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>677</b>         | Daniel Walker  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>677</b>         | Daniel Walker  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>677</b>         | Daniel Walker  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>677</b>         | Daniel Walker  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>677</b>         | Daniel Walker  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>677</b>         | Daniel Walker  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>677</b>         | Daniel Walker  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>677</b>         | Daniel Walker  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>677</b>         | Daniel Walker  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>677</b>         | Daniel Walker  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>679</b>         | David Walker   | 1     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.1.6.        | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Prohibit replanting of pine plantations in the Sounds.<br><br>Keep Pine plantations in the wider catchment as discretionary using 200 metre buffer zones etc to minimise runoff into the river and lessen flood risk caused by bare hillsides. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>679</b>         | David Walker   | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.1.7.        | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--|--------|
| Decision Requested | Prohibit replanting of pine plantations in the Sounds.<br>Keep Pine plantations in the wider catchment as discretionary using 200 metre buffer zones etc to minimise runoff into the river and lessen flood risk caused by bare hillsides. |       |          |   |  |        |
| <b>679</b>         | David Walker   | 3     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Integrated management of the Marlborough environment | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Ensure the integrated management of Marlborough accounts for all the industries and that they abide by the policies set out [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |   |  |        |
| <b>679</b>         | David Walker   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove Port Underwood, Tory Channel and Queen Charlotte Sound from the plan. Robertson Point to Cape Koamaru is ok.  |       |          |   |  |        |
| <b>1247</b>        | Robert Walker  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues            | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |  |        |
| <b>1247</b>        | Robert Walker  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |  |        |
| <b>1247</b>        | Robert Walker  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |  |        |
| <b>1247</b>        | Robert Walker  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |  |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1247</b>        | Robert Walker  | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1247</b>        | Robert Walker  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1247</b>        | Robert Walker  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1247</b>        | Robert Walker  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1247</b>        | Robert Walker  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1247</b>        | Robert Walker  | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>763</b>         | Harman Moanoroa Wallace   | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>838</b>         | Jim Wallace   | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>951</b>         | Michael Wallace   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>951</b>         | Michael Wallace   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>951</b>         | Michael Wallace   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>951</b>         | Michael Wallace   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>951</b>         | Michael Wallace   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.             |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b>                          | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|---|-------------|
| <b>951</b>         | Michael Wallace  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |   | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>951</b>         | Michael Wallace  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>951</b>         | Michael Wallace  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>951</b>         | Michael Wallace  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>951</b>         | Michael Wallace  | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>988</b>         | Nathan Wallace   | 1            | Volume 1      | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |              |               |   |   |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>988</b>         | Nathan Wallace   | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>988</b>         | Nathan Wallace   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>988</b>         | Nathan Wallace   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>988</b>         | Nathan Wallace   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>988</b>         | Nathan Wallace   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>988</b>         | Nathan Wallace   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>988</b>         | Nathan Wallace   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>988</b>         | Nathan Wallace   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>988</b>         | Nathan Wallace  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                       | Objective 6.1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>407</b>         | Walnut Block Wines Limited  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>264</b>         | Walnut Creek Partnership  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Defer imposing any groundwater take restrictions for the Northern Springs area based on water levels in monitoring well P28w/3009 and surface water take restrictions from Spring Creek based on the water Level at the Spring Creek Motor Camp until there is absolute scientific clarity about the influences on those water levels.</li> <li>Provide clarity to current permit holders as to when the proposed restrictions have effect while being debated through the Plan Change process.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>865</b>         | Karen Walshe  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.5.       | Support |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>865</b>         | Karen Walshe  | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                | 4.3.6.1.      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>865</b>         | Karen Walshe  | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                | 4.6.3.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>865</b>         | Karen Walshe  | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone                | 4.6.4.        | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>865</b>         | Karen Walshe  | 5     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.21.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>865</b>         | Karen Walshe  | 6     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways.   |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>865</b>         | Karen Walshe  | 7     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>865</b>         | Karen Walshe  | 8     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>865</b>         | Karen Walshe  | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>865</b>         | Karen Walshe  | 10    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>865</b>         | Karen Walshe  | 11    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.                                 |       |          |                            |            |         |
| <b>865</b>         | Karen Walshe  | 12    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |  |            |                 |
| <b>865</b>         | Karen Walshe  | 13    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.27.9.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |  |            |                 |
| <b>865</b>         | Karen Walshe  | 14    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.27.10. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.   |       |          |  |            |                 |
| <b>865</b>         | Karen Walshe  | 15    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                            |            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.   |       |          |  |            |                 |
| <b>865</b>         | Karen Walshe  | 16    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.11.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |       |          |  |            |                 |
| <b>865</b>         | Karen Walshe  | 17    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.10.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. |       |          |  |            |                 |
| <b>865</b>         | Karen Walshe  | 18    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone               | 3.3.31.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.                                     |       |          |  |            |                 |
| <b>865</b>         | Karen Walshe  | 19    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone             | 4.3.30.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.                                     |       |          |  |            |                 |
| <b>558</b>         | Bruce John Walton   | 1     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Forestry in the Sounds area be phased out, with time frame no more planting after 2018.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>558</b>         | Bruce John Walton  | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.3.8.                                    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That when harvesting is undertaken, siltation stations are set up in streams to lessen siltation into rivers.<br>That a buffer zone of 500m is made around all streams wider than 1m for harvesting and clearing of all hills. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>558</b>         | Bruce John Walton  | 3     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone        | 5.3.19.1.                                 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 5.3.19.1 <b>(inferred)</b> .<br><del>Standard 5.3.19.1 The continued use of the specified appliance is only permitted until 9 June 2017.</del>               |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1213</b>        | Vaughan Paul Warburton   | 1     | All      | All                                     |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>902</b>         | Lewis Ward   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>902</b>         | Lewis Ward   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>902</b>         | Lewis Ward   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>902</b>         | Lewis Ward   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>902</b>         | Lewis Ward   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>902</b>         | Lewis Ward   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>902</b>         | Lewis Ward   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>902</b>         | Lewis Ward   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>902</b>         | Lewis Ward   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>902</b>         | Lewis Ward   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>282</b>         | Warren Forestry Ltd   | 1            | All           | All                      |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Follow NES-PF and make all rules positive to encourage best practice while still encouraging forestry as one of the best land uses in Marlborough. Do not discourage existing uses by requiring consents. If you establish good rules that are supported, then you only need to enforce them against the cowboys. The majority of users will be on your side. |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>282</b>         | Warren Forestry Ltd   | 2            | Volume 2      | 25 Definitions           | 25.              | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Include the transportation of logs within the definition of commercial forestry harvesting (inferred).  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>282</b>         | Warren Forestry Ltd   | 3            | Volume 2      | 25 Definitions           | 25.              | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Replanting should be removed from this definition.  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>282</b>         | Warren Forestry Ltd   | 4            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Delete 3.3.6.2(g) (inferred).   |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>282</b>         | Warren Forestry Ltd   | 5            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1.         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Retain standards for Scots Pine and Contorta (inferred). Use the methodology in the proposed NES-PF to manage wilding pine spread.  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>282</b>         | Warren Forestry Ltd   | 6            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.           | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Follow NES-PF and make all rules positive to encourage best practice while still encouraging forestry as one of the best land uses in Marlborough. Do not discourage existing uses by requiring consents. If you establish good rules that are supported, then you only need to enforce them against the cowboys. The majority of users will be on your side. |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>494</b>         | Evaon Watkins   | 1            | Volume 2      | 16 Coastal Marine Zone   | 16.7.2.          | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.  |              |               |                          |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>494</b>         | Evaon Watkins   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.3.                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>495</b>         | Bruce Watkins   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.2.                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>495</b>         | Bruce Watkins   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                  | 16.7.3.                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>989</b>         | Natasha Watts   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>989</b>         | Natasha Watts   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>989</b>         | Natasha Watts   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>989</b>         | Natasha Watts   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>989</b>         | Natasha Watts   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>989</b>         | Natasha Watts  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                       |               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>989</b>         | Natasha Watts  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Issue 4C      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>989</b>         | Natasha Watts  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Objective 4.3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>989</b>         | Natasha Watts  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                       | Issue 6A      | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>989</b>         | Natasha Watts  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                       | Objective 6.1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>746</b>         | Gregory Walter Webb  | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 6 Schedule 1 - Quantity Allocations for Water Takes.   |       |          |   |               |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| <b>746</b>         | Gregory Walter Webb   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 6 Schedule 3 - Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>746</b>         | Gregory Walter Webb   | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.21.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | I suggest alternatives be explored, i.e., nutrient traps and filters where streams exit properties.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>765</b>         | Heath Webb  | 1     | All      | All                                       |               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1260</b>        | Christopher Robert Webb   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.2.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | The status quo until further science has been done to support change.<br><br>I have no reservation with seeking to maintain clean water but believe we do not have the facilities to have pump stations in place and unless boaters install better fittings the potential for spills at pump stations is significant. I would support a change to a strip of deep water down the centre of the sounds with exclusions around marine farms as the area discharge could take place. |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1260</b>        | Christopher Robert Webb   | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.3.       | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | The status quo until further science has been done to support change.<br>I have no reservation with seeking to maintain clean water but believe we do not have the facilities to have pump stations in place and unless boaters install better fittings the potential for spills at pump stations is significant. I would support a change to a strip of deep water down the centre of the sounds with exclusions around marine farms as the area discharge could take place.     |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>10</b>          | Nicholas Webby  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                           | 2.7.3.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Keep in the plan.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>14</b>          | Nicholas Webby  | 1     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Objective 4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Keep 4.1 in the objectives.   |       |          |   |               |         |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>14</b>          | Nicholas Webby  | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Policy 4.1.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Leave Policy 4.1.2 as is.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>14</b>          | Nicholas Webby  | 3            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape                               | Policy 7.2.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Keep Policy 7.2.8   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>40</b>          | Nicholas Webby  | 1            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.31.1.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | To allow the burial of wire, netting, broken standards and staples from old fences.       |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>46</b>          | Nicholas Webby  | 1            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.23.2.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Make rule 3.3.23.2 state clearly that bunding is not required on all four sides.          |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>165</b>         | Nicholas Webby  | 1            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.3.15    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove policy 5.3.15  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>175</b>         | Welton Vineyards Ltd  | 1            | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove the limits for the Northern, Central and Urban Springs from Appendix 6 (inferred). |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>239</b>         | Tony Westend  | 1            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment           | Policy 14.4.12   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>239</b>         | Tony Westend  | 2            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment           | Policy 14.4.13   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>239</b>         | Tony Westend  | 3            | Volume 1      | 7 Landscape                               | Policy 7.2.2     | Support     |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full   |       |          |                       |              |                 |
| <b>239</b>         | Tony Westend   | 4     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape           | Policy 7.2.7 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full.  |       |          |                       |              |                 |
| <b>1291</b>        | Whatamonga Farms Limited   | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.5.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul> |       |          |                       |              |                 |
| <b>1289</b>        | Whatamonga Forests Limited   | 1     | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.5.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions. Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>            |       |          |                       |              |                 |
| <b>93</b>          | Spencer & Susan White  | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules       | 2.3.6.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | To change the allocation to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3 litres of water per litre of milk.</li> <li>• To have litres of water per hectare and not per farm.</li> </ul><br>If we are required to have a Resource Consent for cowshed water that it does not get the same cut off restrictions as the Irrigation Resource Consents.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>93</b>          | Spencer & Susan White  | 2     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.8.1.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | To have zero metres from the water provided a secondary containment such as a bund to prevent spillage into the water for containers over 2000 litres - which is the current rule.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>93</b>          | Spencer & Susan White  | 3     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.8.1.5.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | To either scrap the 50 metre rule of a nesting bird or: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To reduce it to 10 metre rule of nesting bird</li> <li>• If all areas of the riverbed was to be treated as a nesting bird area under the current 50 metre proposal we could lose over 25 ha of land which is most productive between the months of 1 September and 31 December. In European Union there is subsidies for the lose of land for environmental protection purposes.</li> </ul> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>93</b>          | Spencer & Susan White  | 4     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.9.9.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | To remove the word Prohibited.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>93</b>          | Spencer & Susan White  | 5     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.9.9.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | To have the same rules no matter how the animal is fed or farmed as long as the conditions of the water quality tests are met.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>93</b>          | Spencer & Susan White  | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.9.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | We would like to see fences excluded from this rule.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>93</b>          | Spencer & Susan White  | 7            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.5.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | To leave this policy as is.  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>93</b>          | Spencer & Susan White  | 8            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.1.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | To remove the Only Biodegradable Material clause until there are subsidised Farm Skips in rural areas where there are not rubbish truck collections. |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>93</b>          | Spencer & Susan White  | 9            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.32.1.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | To remove the Except Intensive Farming statement in this clause.   |              |               |                          |                  |             |
|                    | To add that wild animal carcasses caught on the property maybe disposed of into an offal pit.  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>93</b>          | Spencer & Susan White  | 10           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.7.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That excavation work be allowed on Flood Hazard 2 & 3 zones.   |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>93</b>          | Spencer & Susan White  | 11           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.15.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | To allow farming structures to be built including small pump sheds, fences, local and national infrastructure such as power poles.                   |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>93</b>          | Spencer & Susan White  | 12           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.16.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | To be able to keep farming those areas which are currently in pasture or crop.   |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>93</b>          | Spencer & Susan White  | 13           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.45.1.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | To be allowed to have employees live on the farm.  |              |               |                          |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>93</b>          | Spencer & Susan White   | 14           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules   | 2.9.9.2.                    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the water quality tests that are required by the Council are easy to undertake and understand.   |              |               |   |                             |                 |
| <b>93</b>          | Spencer & Susan White   | 15           | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps   | Zoning Map 28               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | To be allowed to continue our livestock operation on these areas which we have borrowed money on to purchase.   |              |               |   |                             |                 |
| <b>93</b>          | Spencer & Susan White   | 16           | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels               |                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the old Class B becomes the new Class A - as well as the unclassified consents that have the restrictions of the new Class A.                              |              |               |   |                             |                 |
| <b>952</b>         | Matthew White   | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |              |               |   |                             |                 |
| <b>952</b>         | Matthew White   | 2            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |              |               |   |                             |                 |
| <b>952</b>         | Matthew White   | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| <b>952</b>         | Matthew White   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                       |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1216</b>        | Victoria White  | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1216</b>        | Victoria White  | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1216</b>        | Victoria White  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                       |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1216</b>        | Victoria White  | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                       |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>953</b>         | Mark Whittall   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background  | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>953</b>         | Mark Whittall   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources                 | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>953</b>         | Mark Whittall  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>953</b>         | Mark Whittall  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>953</b>         | Mark Whittall  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>953</b>         | Mark Whittall  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>953</b>         | Mark Whittall  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>953</b>         | Mark Whittall  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>953</b>         | Mark Whittall  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>953</b>         | Mark Whittall  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                       | Objective 6.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>140</b>         | Marcus Wickham   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Abolish minimum level and rethink the proposed restrictions for the 3x springs areas.<br><br>Contact anyone who has previously provided feedback for your plan so we can be informed directly rather than having to dig through mountains of paperwork to find it buried in the appendix.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>144</b>         | Wickham Family Trust   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Abolish the proposed water restrictions for the Wairau aquifer "springs area" based on water levels in nearby wells while leaving the water users west of the "springs area" unrestricted.<br><br>Notify the proposed changes to people who provide feedback in the early stages, don't just expect them to dig through pages and pages to find what affects them. |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>740</b>         | Geoffrey St Clair Wiffen   | 1     | All      | All                                       |                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | To support the submission as proposed by the Flaxbourne Settlers Association.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1015</b>        | Wildacres Limited  | 1     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment           | Policy 14.4.12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Please ensure these important policies remain in the plan and are not removed or watered-down to suit the interests of large scale industrial or forestry enterprises who may have vested interests in eliminating protections.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.2   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows (bold) - " <i>To allocate <b>irrigation</b> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i> "  |       |          |   |                |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 359                | WilkesRM Limited  | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Objective 5.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a new policy be added under Objective 5.7 as follows - " <i>To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i> "  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 359                | WilkesRM Limited  | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.3  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Re-word the Policy as follows - " <i>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</i> "   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 359                | WilkesRM Limited  | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 359                | WilkesRM Limited  | 5     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Objective 5.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies – " <i>Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage at all times to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available. If aquifer water is abstracted to storage during the irrigation season the total abstraction for storage and direct irrigation must not exceed the reasonable use demand allocation.</i> " |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 359                | WilkesRM Limited  | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.28.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend all of the provisions in the MEP relating to discharges ( <i>to land - inferred</i> ), such as 3.1.28, to add a standard requiring an appropriate setback to the Mean High Water Springs. There is inconsistency throughout the Plan regarding setbacks.<br><br><i>(The submission related to all discharge rules of a similar nature but did not identify all the specific provisions of concern, the submission has been related to a specific relevant provision, provided as an example by the submitter, for the purposes of providing context.)</i>                               |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 359                | WilkesRM Limited  | 7     | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone          | 22.3.9.8.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 359                | WilkesRM Limited  | 8     | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone          | 22.3.7.8.     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 9     | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.3.6.6.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 10    | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                 | 21.3.9.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 11    | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                 | 21.3.7.6.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 12    | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone                 | 21.3.6.5.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 13    | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone             | 20.3.3.8.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 14    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone             | 19.3.6.15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 15    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone             | 19.3.5.15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                                  |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 16    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone             | 19.3.4.6.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 17    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone        | 8.3.8.11.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 18    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.3.8.11.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 19    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 20    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 21    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 22    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.6.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 23    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                            |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 24    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.11.  | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 25    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 26    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.11  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 27    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.12. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 28    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.6.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 29    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.11  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 30    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.9.11.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 31    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.17.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. |       |          |                          |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited  | 32    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.8.1.4.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited   | 33    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                           | 2.17.1.5.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited   | 34    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                           | 2.14.5.7.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited   | 35    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                           | 2.9.9.2.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited   | 36    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Awatere River Freshwater Management Unit Class C minimum flow and level be amended to reflect the increased Class C allocation as above being 302,400 m3/day or 3500 l/s.               |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited   | 37    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the minimum flows and levels for Freshwater Management Units dominated by rivers, save for the proposed Class C water allocation for the Awatere Freshwater Management Unit, as proposed. |       |          |   |            |                 |
| <b>359</b>         | WilkesRM Limited   | 38    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the allocation levels for Freshwater Management Units dominated by rivers as proposed except for the C Class allocation in the Awatere Freshwater Management Unit.                        |       |          |   |            |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|------------|-----------------|
| 359                | WilkesRM Limited  | 39    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the volume of Awatere Freshwater Management Unit Class C water available for allocation be increased from 224,640 m3/day to 302,400 m3/day.  |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 359                | WilkesRM Limited  | 40    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                           | 2.9.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new standards to the proposed new Permitted Activity rule ( <i>separate submission</i> ) for the installation and maintenance of hydrological and climatological monitoring equipment in, on, over or under the bed of a river, lake or wetland as follows - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Installation and maintenance of hydrological and climatological monitoring equipment in, on, over or under the bed of a river, lake or wetland.</li> <li>• That the installation or maintenance must be undertaken by Marlborough District Council officers or persons acting on their behalf.</li> </ul> |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 359                | WilkesRM Limited  | 41    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                           | 2.7.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Permitted Activity rule as follows - " <i>The installation and maintenance of hydrological and climatological monitoring equipment in, on, over or under the bed of a river, lake or wetland.</i> "   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 359                | WilkesRM Limited  | 42    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                            | 25.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of Farming as follows - " <i>means a land based activity, having as its primary purpose the commercial production and sale of any livestock or vegetative matter. Farming does not include intensive farming, forestry, and in the case of vegetative matter, does not include the processing of farm produce beyond cutting, cleaning, grading, chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of produce grown on the farming unit. <b>For clarity farming includes the slaughtering and processing of animals for personal consumption but not for sale purposes.</b></i> "                      |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 359                | WilkesRM Limited  | 43    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                            | 25.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of "Agricultural liquid waste" as follows (bold) - " <i>means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that farmers <b>producers</b> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <b>and</b> harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <b>viticultural</b>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i> "   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 359                | WilkesRM Limited  | 44    | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone                       | 8.2.1.8.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | No relief sought.   |       |          |   |            |                 |
| 780                | John A Wilkins  | 1     | All      | All                                       |            | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1219</b>        | William Albert Trevor and Kathleen Mary Rainbow   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8155 Anakoha Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1219</b>        | William Albert Trevor and Kathleen Mary Rainbow   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8155 Anakoha Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                         |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1219</b>        | William Albert Trevor and Kathleen Mary Rainbow   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8155 Anakoha Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1219</b>        | William Albert Trevor and Kathleen Mary Rainbow   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8155 Anakoha Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>30</b>          | Philip Pat Williams   | 1     | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity                               | 8.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recognise, research and develop, then apply control measures for gorse, Old Man's Beard and banana passionfruit on road reserve in the Marlborough Sounds.                  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1139</b>        | Sarah Williams  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background  | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1139</b>        | Sarah Williams   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1139</b>        | Sarah Williams   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1139</b>        | Sarah Williams   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1139</b>        | Sarah Williams   | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1139</b>        | Sarah Williams   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1139</b>        | Sarah Williams   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1139</b>        | Sarah Williams   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1139</b>        | Sarah Williams   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1139</b>        | Sarah Williams   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1304</b>        | Hannam, Kay Lucille Williams, Brian Lloyd and  | 1     | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone                      | 10.5.1.                                   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Place an exemption of 10.5.1 for the Wairau Valley Township.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>543</b>         | Alistair Willis  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.      |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>543</b>         | Alistair Willis  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>543</b>         | Alistair Willis  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>543</b>         | Alistair Willis  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>543</b>         | Alistair Willis  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>543</b>         | Alistair Willis  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>543</b>         | Alistair Willis  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>543</b>         | Alistair Willis  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>543</b>         | Alistair Willis  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>543</b>         | Alistair Willis  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| <b>342</b>         | Willow Flat Farm Limited   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions as proposed.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>342</b>         | Willow Flat Farm Limited   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions as proposed.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1237</b>        | Willowgrove Dairies Limited  | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.<br/> <i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:<br/> <i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i></p> |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1237</b>        | Willowgrove Dairies Limited  | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy:<br/> <i>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</i></p>   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1237</b>        | Willowgrove Dairies Limited  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.21.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.21 as proposed subject to amended definition of intensively farmed livestock [inferred].   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1237</b>        | Willowgrove Dairies Limited  | 4     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.3.21.1.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.3.21.1 as proposed subject to amended definition of intensively farmed livestock [ <i>inferred</i> ].  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1237</b>        | Willowgrove Dairies Limited  | 5     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                            | 25.          | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That the definition of intensively farmed livestock be amended as follows:<br><i>Intensively farmed livestock means</i><br><i>(a) cattle or deer grazed contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops;</i><br><i>(a) dairy cattle on properties with milking platforms;</i><br><i>(b) farmed pigs.</i><br><i>For clarity intensively farmed livestock does not cover:</i><br><i>1. the grazing of dairy cattle on properties without milking platforms except if (a) above applies or</i><br><i>2. livestock entering or passing across a river from an extensively grazed area to an intensive break-fed grazed area.</i> |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1237</b>        | Willowgrove Dairies Limited  | 6     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |               | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 6 [ <i>inferred</i> ].   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1237</b>        | Willowgrove Dairies Limited  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Objective 4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1237</b>        | Willowgrove Dairies Limited  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Policy 4.1.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.1.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1237</b>        | Willowgrove Dairies Limited  | 9     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.2.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.4.   |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1237</b>        | Willowgrove Dairies Limited  | 10    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Objective 5.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.8.  |       |          |   |               |         |
| <b>1237</b>        | Willowgrove Dairies Limited  | 11    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                           | 2.1.1.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.1.1.   |       |          |   |               |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| <b>345</b>         | Willowhaugh Enterprises Limited   | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.1.1.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from the Council is for the threshold for the Wairau River Class B Water Right to remain at the original 8m <sup>3</sup> /s.   |       |          |                                  |           |                 |
| <b>66</b>          | Karen and John Wills  | 1     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.18. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>1. The 90m restriction be removed.</p> <p>2. In the event of any decision to apply the restriction; this be done in a manner that accommodates residential activities, development and subdivision.</p> <p>3. Any restrictions and discretion applied residential activities, development and subdivision not be of a nature that has the practical effect of or specifically preventing or prohibiting residential activities, development or subdivision from being carried out. Use of Controlled Activity classification is requested in the event that any standards required to be applied to Permitted Activities may not be complied with and for the matters over which control will be exercised include consideration of all methods by which the protections and purposes of the restriction can be achieved in a manner that does not prevent or make it impracticable for residential use, development or subdivision of residential zoned land.</p> <p>4. Provision of a rule or rules that require the location of transmission lines and associated infrastructure to be located so they avoid preventing residential use, development or subdivision of residential zoned land and that include activity status, standards and objectives and policies that prescribe the matters required to be considered and that these include avoidance of adverse effects on residential use, development and subdivision of residential zoned land.</p> <p>5. Objectives and policies be included in the Plan that recognise effects these provisions may have on residential activities, development and subdivision and amenities and the location of any new or replacement lines and associated equipment, installations or facilities should be such that they do not present restrictions or effects on land used for and zoned or otherwise identified or provided for use, development and subdivision for residential purposes.</p> <p>6. Rules, objectives and policies be included in the Plan that prescribe that as a pre-requisite to implementation of the restriction of the 90m zone, that the operator of the substation or any line associated with it or any other line or facility presenting the requirement for this restriction, to provide means by which restrictions and costs caused by the restrictions are mitigated.</p> |       |          |                                  |           |                 |

7. Controls be placed on or volunteered by the operators of the lines and substation that will avoid, remedy or mitigate the need for the restriction.

8. The submitter is willing to discuss means by which the significant impact of this provision may be avoided, remedied or mitigated with both the Council and the line and substation operator and is open to discussion of the full range of options available pursuant to the RMA.

|           |                      |   |          |                |           |        |
|-----------|----------------------|---|----------|----------------|-----------|--------|
| <b>66</b> | Karen and John Wills | 2 | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.5. | Oppose |
|-----------|----------------------|---|----------|----------------|-----------|--------|

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| Decision Requested | <p>1. The 90m restriction be removed.</p> <p>2. In the event of any decision to apply the restriction; this be done in a manner that accommodates residential activities, development and subdivision.</p> <p>3. Any restrictions and discretion applied residential activities, development and subdivision not be of a nature that has the practical effect of or specifically preventing or prohibiting residential activities, development or subdivision from being carried out. Use of Controlled Activity classification is requested in the event that any standards required to be applied to Permitted Activities may not be complied with and for the matters over which control will be exercised include consideration of all methods by which the protections and purposes of the restriction can be achieved in a manner that does not prevent or make it impracticable for residential use, development or subdivision of residential zoned land.</p> <p>4. Provision of a rule or rules that require the location of transmission lines and associated infrastructure to be located so they avoid preventing residential use, development or subdivision of residential zoned land and that include activity status, standards and objectives and policies that prescribe the matters required to be considered and that these include avoidance of adverse effects on residential use, development and subdivision of residential zoned land.</p> <p>5. Objectives and policies be included in the Plan that recognise effects these provisions may have on residential activities, development and subdivision and amenities and the location of any new or replacement lines and associated equipment, installations or facilities should be such that they do not present restrictions or effects on land used for and zoned or otherwise identified or provided for use, development and subdivision for residential purposes.</p> |
|--------------------|--|

6. Rules, objectives and policies be included in the Plan that prescribe that as a pre-requisite to implementation of the restriction of the 90m zone, that the operator of the substation or any line associated with it or any other line or facility presenting the requirement for this restriction, to provide means by which restrictions and costs caused by the restrictions are mitigated.

7. Controls be placed on or volunteered by the operators of the lines and substation that will avoid, remedy or mitigate the need for the restriction.

8. The submitter is willing to discuss means by which the significant impact of this provision may be avoided, remedied or mitigated with both the Council and the line and substation operator and is open to discussion of the full range of options available pursuant to the RMA.

|                    |   |   |          |   |               |                 |
|--------------------|---|---|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>66</b>          | Karen and John Wills  | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Objectives and policies be included in the Plan that recognise the effect that Rules 5.2.1.18 and 24.3.1.5 may have on residential activities, development and subdivision and amenities and the location of any new or replacement lines and associated equipment, installations or facilities should be such that they do not present restrictions or effects on land used for and zoned or otherwise identified or provided for use, development and subdivision for residential purposes. |   |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>139</b>         | James Wilson  | 1 | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change                       |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Council<br>a. Accepts in full, the reality of the exponential changes being wrought by Global Warming and Climate Disruption.<br>b. Raises the profile of Global Warming and Climate Disruption within their Environmental Plan.   |   |          |   |               |                 |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson  | 1 | All      | All                                     |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | A change of emphasis in the MEP to enabling activities.   |   |          |   |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                        | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 210                | Kevin Wilson  | 2     | All      | All                            |               | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Either:</p> <p>a) have an over-arching statement at the start of the documents requiring the beneficial effects of any activity to be part of the consideration of every policy and rule or</p> <p>(b) include the requirement in every policy and rule concerned with avoiding, prohibiting, managing, activities and environmental impacts.</p> <p>With a preference for a) to be adopted.</p> |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 210                | Kevin Wilson  | 3     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.16 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add "cycleway" to the second bullet point of (a) so that it reads "used as walkway or cycleway..."  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 210                | Kevin Wilson  | 4     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                 |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for "passive and informal recreation" include cycling.  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 210                | Kevin Wilson  | 5     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | 9.            | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That cycling is included as a part of public access. That the two definitions for recreation include cycling.   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 210                | Kevin Wilson  | 6     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone       | 3.3.14.4.     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend wording of Rule 3.3.14.4 so that it reads: "Excavation within a soil sensitive area identified as loess soils is permitted on slopes up to 20 degrees provided the new track or trail is built to New Zealand recognised standards."  |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 210                | Kevin Wilson  | 7     | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation              | 17.M.10       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the method (inferred).   |       |          |                                |               |                 |
| 210                | Kevin Wilson  | 8     | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation              | Policy 17.5.3 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Provide clarity around the word "high" in the policy (inferred).  |       |          |                                |               |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                                  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson                                      | 9            | Volume 1      | 17 Transportation                 | Policy 17.4.8    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred).                     |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson                                      | 10           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.1.9    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | No specific relief is identified.                 |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson                                      | 11           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.1.8    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | No specific relief is identified.                 |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson                                      | 12           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment   | Policy 14.1.7    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | No specific relief is identified.                 |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson                                      | 13           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add condition (j) the benefits of the activities. |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson                                      | 14           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Policy 9.4.4     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add condition (j) the benefits of the activities. |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson                                      | 15           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Policy 9.3.7     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred).                     |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson                                      | 16           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Policy 9.3.6     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred).                     |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                 | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson   | 17           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | No specific relief is identified.  |              |               |                                |                  |             |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson   | 18           | Volume 1      | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.17    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred).  |              |               |                                |                  |             |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson   | 19           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone       | 3.3.23.4.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | The rules are revisited with farmer and horticultural input.   |              |               |                                |                  |             |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson   | 20           | Volume 2      | 19 Open Space 3 Zone           | 19.3.13.6.       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | A re-write of the listed rules with professional expertise in that field. The rule is restated to tabulate maximum discharge rates per unit area/seven day period for varying combinations of soil type and slope. |              |               |                                |                  |             |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson   | 21           | Volume 2      | 18 Open Space 2 Zone           | 18.3.10.6.       | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | A re-write of the listed rules with professional expertise in that field. The rule is restated to tabulate maximum discharge rates per unit area/seven day period for varying combinations of soil type and slope. |              |               |                                |                  |             |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson   | 22           | Volume 2      | 7 Coastal Living Zone          | 7.3.13.5.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | A re-write of the listed rules with professional expertise in that field. The rule is restated to tabulate maximum discharge rates per unit area/seven day period for varying combinations of soil type and slope. |              |               |                                |                  |             |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson   | 23           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone     | 4.3.29.5.        | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | A re-write of the listed rules with professional expertise in that field. The rule is restated to tabulate maximum discharge rates per unit area/seven day period for varying combinations of soil type and slope. |              |               |                                |                  |             |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision  | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|--------|
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson   | 24    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.30.4.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | A re-write of the listed rules with professional expertise in that field. The rule is restated to tabulate maximum discharge rates per unit area/seven day period for varying combinations of soil type and slope. |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson   | 25    | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone       | 20.3.3.9.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained."                              |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson   | 26    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone       | 19.3.5.14. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained."                              |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson   | 27    | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone       | 18.3.5.4   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained."                              |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson   | 28    | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone       | 17.3.4.4.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained."                              |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson   | 29    | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone      | 7.3.9.10.  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained."                              |       |          |                            |            |        |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson   | 30    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.9.  | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained." |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson  | 31    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone          | 3.3.14.11.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained." |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson  | 32    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone              | 19.3.22.      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The rule is re-written recognising the practicalities of life-stock farming.  |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson  | 33    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone        | 4.3.32.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The rule is re-written recognising the practicalities of life-stock farming.  |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson  | 34    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone          | 3.3.33.       | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The rule is re-written recognising the practicalities of life-stock farming.  |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson  | 35    | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone              | 19.3.17.4.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The rules are revisited with farmer and horticultural input.  |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson  | 36    | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone              | 18.3.9.5.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The rules are revisited with farmer and horticultural input.  |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson  | 37    | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone              | 17.3.8.5.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The rules are revisited with farmer and horticultural input.  |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>210</b>         | Kevin Wilson  | 38    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone        | 4.3.22.3.     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The rules are revisited with farmer and horticultural input.  |       |          |                                   |               |        |
| <b>231</b>         | Jono Wilson   | 1     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.2 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision       | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>a Private property owners may continue to have more than one mooring per Computer Register or Computer Unit Title Register should they have no objection from neighbours nor any conflict with the swing circle of their moorings.</p> <p>b Where the applicant does not own land in the vicinity of the proposed mooring the MDC will accept a proposal for such a mooring if the vessel has a holding tank and there are no objections from private land owners .</p> |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>231</b>         | Jono Wilson  | 2     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.15 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | e avoiding the use of boatlifts alongside jetties for <u>long term</u> boat storage  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>231</b>         | Jono Wilson  | 3     | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space    | Policy 9.1.3    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>a Those providers to tourists travelling thru the Marlborough Sounds and Marlborough area will provide information on there obligations during their visit. Highlighting their need to use only toilets provided for them at the camping sites.</p>   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>231</b>         | Jono Wilson  | 4     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.14 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Reword to "A jetty shall not be used for storing boating equipment, marine farming equipment or other gear."   |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>231</b>         | Jono Wilson  | 5     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone            | 16.7.2.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm</p> <p>All vessels used for overnight and longer cruises must be fitted with a holding tank by 2020. No vessel must overnight in QCS without a holding tank from 2017.</p>  |       |          |                                   |                 |                 |
| <b>290</b>         | David Wilson   | 1     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                   | 2.17.3.4.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Insert additional condition: Any stormwater treatment and discharge system is operated and maintained in accordance with the system design specification for operation and maintenance or, if there is no design specification, the requirements of Auckland Council Technical Publication 10.  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>290</b>         | David Wilson  | 2     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.3.1.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove this rule as it is redundant   |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>290</b>         | David Wilson  | 3     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.3.2.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove this rule as it is redundant   |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>290</b>         | David Wilson  | 4     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.3.3.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove this rule as it is redundant   |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>290</b>         | David Wilson  | 5     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.3.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Rule revision that these discharges are permitted until 9 June 2021 and re-written to cover stormwater discharges from local authority stormwater network   |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>290</b>         | David Wilson  | 6     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.18.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>This should be a restricted discretionary activity with the following requirements;</p> <p>(a)the resource consent application includes a stormwater management strategy.</p> <p>Matters for discretion</p> <p>1.The contents and implementation of the stormwater management strategy</p> <p>2.Development and implementation of methods, such as catchment specific stormwater management plan(s), in accordance with any relevant objectives identified in this plan, including any relevant whatua specific objectives</p> <p>3.Management of adverse effects, including cumulative effects, on aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai, contact recreation and Maori customary use</p> |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| <b>290</b>         | David Wilson  | 7     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.3.9.  | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove this rule   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>290</b>         | David Wilson   | 8     | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                 | 2.17.3.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert rule stating:<br><br>the discharge is not from contaminated land  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>290</b>         | David Wilson   | 9     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.5.         | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Adopt appropriate noise levels for nearest neighbours or ban use.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>290</b>         | David Wilson   | 10    | Volume 2 | All                             |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | there should be rules regarding this activity especially for urban areas where runoff can contain fecal coliforms  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>438</b>         | Richard Scott Wilson   | 1     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.2   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | I would encourage the Council to accept these policies as written for the MEP.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>438</b>         | Richard Scott Wilson   | 2     | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                     | Policy 7.2.7   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | I would encourage the Council to accept these policies as written for the MEP.   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>438</b>         | Richard Scott Wilson   | 3     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | I would submit that the Council include these policies in the MEP re: the Omaka Valley as written, with particular emphasis on traffic flows and limitation of sub-division. |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>438</b>         | Richard Scott Wilson   | 4     | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | I would submit that the Council include these policies in the MEP re: the Omaka Valley as written, with particular emphasis on traffic flows and limitation of sub-division.  |       |          |                        |                             |        |
| <b>695</b>         | Elias I Wilson  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2.                     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i>          |       |          |                        |                             |        |
| <b>695</b>         | Elias I Wilson  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3.                     | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i> |       |          |                        |                             |        |
| <b>839</b>         | John Wilson   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps           | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |                        |                             |        |
| <b>839</b>         | John Wilson   | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps           | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |                        |                             |        |
| <b>839</b>         | John Wilson   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps           | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |                        |                             |        |
| <b>839</b>         | John Wilson   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps           | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |                        |                             |        |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>839</b>         | John Wilson   | 5            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 5 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>839</b>         | John Wilson   | 6            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>839</b>         | John Wilson   | 7            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 1                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>839</b>         | John Wilson   | 8            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 2                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>839</b>         | John Wilson   | 9            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 3                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>839</b>         | John Wilson   | 10           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>839</b>         | John Wilson   | 11           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 5                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>839</b>         | John Wilson   | 12           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 6                | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>839</b>         | John Wilson   | 13    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 7  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>839</b>         | John Wilson   | 14    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 8  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>839</b>         | John Wilson   | 15    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 9  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>839</b>         | John Wilson   | 16    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 10 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>839</b>         | John Wilson   | 17    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 11 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>839</b>         | John Wilson   | 18    | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |       |          |   |               |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>903</b>         | Lewis Wilson  | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>903</b>         | Lewis Wilson  | 2            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>903</b>         | Lewis Wilson  | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>903</b>         | Lewis Wilson  | 4            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>903</b>         | Lewis Wilson  | 5            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 5 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>903</b>         | Lewis Wilson  | 6            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>903</b>         | Lewis Wilson  | 7            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>903</b>         | Lewis Wilson  | 8            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 2     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>903</b>         | Lewis Wilson  | 9            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 3     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>903</b>         | Lewis Wilson  | 10           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 4     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>903</b>         | Lewis Wilson  | 11           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 5     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>903</b>         | Lewis Wilson  | 12           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 6     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>903</b>         | Lewis Wilson  | 13           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 7     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |
| <b>903</b>         | Lewis Wilson  | 14           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Landscapes 8     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |                |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>  | <b>Provision</b>            | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>903</b>         | Lewis Wilson  | 15           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 9                | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>903</b>         | Lewis Wilson  | 16           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 10               | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>903</b>         | Lewis Wilson  | 17           | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 11               | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>903</b>         | Lewis Wilson  | 18           | Volume 3      | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values                 |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>954</b>         | Michael Wilson  | 1            | All           | All   |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1036</b>        | Philip Wilson   | 1            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8631 Catherine Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.      |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1036</b>        | Philip Wilson   | 2            | Volume 3      | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8631 Catherine Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.      |              |               |   |                             |             |
| <b>1036</b>        | Philip Wilson   | 3            | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 1                | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision    | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8631 Catherine Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1036</b>        | Philip Wilson   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8631 Catherine Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited  | 1     | Volume 1 | All                                     |              | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a clear decision requested.   |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited  | 2     | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation                       | Issue 17D    | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That transportation is removed from the commercial forest harvesting definition.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited  | 3     | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.7.17.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the current water quality assessment scales are until the MDC can consult widely with all land users on the appropriateness of the proposed Munsell scale.</p> <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to standard 3.3.7.17(a):</p> <p><i>3.3.7.17. Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.</i></p> |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 4A.  |       |          |   |              |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited  | 5     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |       |          |   |              |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 6            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5B         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the word "take" should be defined to not include water used by growing trees. |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 7            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5C         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5C ( <i>inferred</i> ).   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 8            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.3.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 9            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.1.   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 10           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.2     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.2.   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 11           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.3     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy 5.3.3.  |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 12           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.4     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.4.   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 13           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.5.   |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 14           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6     | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter                  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.6.       |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited | 15    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.7.       |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited | 16    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.8.       |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited | 17    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.9.       |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited | 18    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.10.      |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited | 19    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.11.      |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited | 20    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.12.      |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited | 21    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.13.      |       |          |                                  |               |         |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited | 22    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Support |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.14.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited  | 23    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 5.3.15:<br><i>Policy 5.3.15 Require land use consent for the planting of new commercial forestry in flow sensitive areas.</i>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited  | 24    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 5.3.16:<br><i>Policy 5.3.16 When considering any application for land use consent required as a result of Policy 5.3.15, have regard to the effect of the proposed forestry on river flow (including combined effects with other commercial forestry and carbon sequestration forestry (non permanent) established after 9 June 2016) and seek to avoid any cumulative reduction in the seven day mean annual low flow of more than 5%.</i> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited  | 25    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                  | 2.17.1.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Munsell scale is new to us and we want further explanation of the scale and the consequences for operations in the forest.  |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited  | 26    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.3.6.3.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| 1238               | Windermere Forests Limited  | 27    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.3.6.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to standard 3.3.6.2(g) <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p>Standard <i>3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><del><i>(g) an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site;</i></del></p> <p>The submission does not include a clear decision requested with regards to the statement "Amend - if not amended then Oppose. unless a coppicing tree verity is used."</p>  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 1238               | Windermere Forests Limited  | 28    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                          | 25.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to the definitions <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><i>Commercial forestry harvesting means the felling and removal from the land of trees, for the purposes of commercial forestry, and includes:</i></p> <p><del><i>(a) excavation or filling, or both, to prepare the land for harvesting (for example, skid, forestry road or forestry track construction or maintenance);</i></del></p> <p><del><i>(b) de-limbing, trimming, cutting to length, and sorting and grading of felled trees;</i></del></p> <p><del><i>(c) recovery of windfall and other fallen trees;</i></del></p> <p><del><i>but does not include the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land.</i></del></p> <p><i>Commercial forestry planting means indigenous or exotic tree species deliberately established for wood production. Includes the planting, <b>and</b> management <del>and replanting</del> of trees, and the preparation of land for planting.</i></p> |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 1238               | Windermere Forests Limited  | 29    | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Distinguish between inner (highly modified) and outer sound.  |       |          |   |           |                 |
| 1238               | Windermere Forests Limited  | 30    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                             | 7.        | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Remove the word avoid and change to minimise.   |       |          |   |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                         | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 31    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                 | 2.8.1.4.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to standard 2.8.1.4:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.8.1.4. Any discharge of sediment into water must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a change in colour of more than 5 Munsell units or a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.</i></p> <p>The submission also states "Amend substituting measure of Horizontal Clarity".</p> |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 32    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.1.6.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | If planted as a Permitted Activity or Consent, Council will not restrict any future ability to harvest with/or without supporting earthworks.  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 33    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone        | 3.3.7.2.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.2 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.7.2 Any material change to the Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan must be notified to Council at least 20 working days before the change is implemented.</i></p>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 34    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend by <i>ensuring this policy is reflected in the rules for forestry in the Sounds and reject the 200m setback.</i>   |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 35    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone      | 4.3.7.2.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.3.7.2 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.7.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(d) 200m of the coastal marine area;</i></p>  |       |          |                                 |                |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 36    | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone      | 4.3.8.1.       | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.3.8.1 ( <i>inferred</i> ):<br><i>Standard 4.3.8.1 Planting must not be in, or within:</i><br><i>(c) 200m of the coastal marine area;</i>   |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 37    | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards       | Objective 11.2 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete all reference to high amenity areas Policy is to address only land designated as ONFL. Change "avoid" to "minimise".  |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 39    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape              | Policy 7.2.9   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 7.2.9:<br><i>Policy 7.2.9 When considering resource consent applications for activities in close proximity to outstanding natural features and landscapes, regard may be had to the matters in Policy 7.2.7.</i>                         |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 40    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment is made to Standard 3.3.6.1(a):<br><i>Standard 3.3.6.1 The following species must not be planted:</i><br><i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i>  |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 41    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.2        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a clear decision requested.  |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 42    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.9.7.4.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 2.9.7.4:<br><i>Standard 2.9.7.4 The total length of the culvert must not exceed <del>8</del><b>15</b>m, except for a culvert passing beneath a State Highway where the total length of the culvert must not exceed 20m.</i> |       |          |                          |                |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 43    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.22.1.2.      | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                    | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.  |       |          |                            |                |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 44    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.22.3.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.  |       |          |                            |                |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 45    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.7.18.      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested.  |       |          |                            |                |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 46    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps               |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Replace with Forestry NES Erosion Susceptibility Classification Mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land.                                  |       |          |                            |                |                 |
| <b>1238</b>        | Windermere Forests Limited   | 47    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                | Zoning Map 149 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a review and clarification of Significant Wetlands with in our property as shown on page 149 of Volume Four as W820, W805 and W108 is undertaken. |       |          |                            |                |                 |
| <b>420</b>         | Windsong Orchard   | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone     | 16.7.5.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )   |       |          |                            |                |                 |
| <b>420</b>         | Windsong Orchard   | 2     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 4.3.6.1 (c) as follows (strike out and bold) - " <del>30</del> <b>100 metres of the coastal marine area</b> ".                          |       |          |                            |                |                 |
| <b>420</b>         | Windsong Orchard   | 3     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )   |       |          |                            |                |                 |
| <b>420</b>         | Windsong Orchard   | 4     | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )   |       |          |                            |                |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>             | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>420</b>         | Windsong Orchard                   | 5            | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.20.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>420</b>         | Windsong Orchard                   | 6            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.1.21.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>     |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>420</b>         | Windsong Orchard                   | 7            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.11.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>420</b>         | Windsong Orchard                   | 8            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>420</b>         | Windsong Orchard                   | 9            | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>420</b>         | Windsong Orchard                   | 10           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone   | 3.3.28.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>420</b>         | Windsong Orchard                   | 11           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10.       | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>420</b>         | Windsong Orchard                   | 12           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>420</b>         | Windsong Orchard                   | 13           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                            |                  |             |
| <b>420</b>         | Windsong Orchard                   | 14           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7.        | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                            |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                 | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>420</b>         | Windsong Orchard                 | 15           | Volume 1      | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity               | 8.M.4            | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>420</b>         | Windsong Orchard                 | 16           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.1.10.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>420</b>         | Windsong Orchard                 | 17           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.1.11.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>420</b>         | Windsong Orchard                 | 18           | Volume 2      | 4 Coastal Environment Zone              | 4.1.30.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>420</b>         | Windsong Orchard                 | 19           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.1.31.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 1            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1. (inferred) |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.1. (inferred)  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.2. (inferred)  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 4            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.4. (inferred)  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 5            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.6. (inferred)  |              |               |   |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                 | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 6            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.3. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 7            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.5. (inferred)  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 8            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.6. (inferred)  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 9            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.7. (inferred)  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 10           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.8. (inferred)  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 11           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.9     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.9. (inferred)  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 12           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.10. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 13           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.12. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 14           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.14. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                 | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 15           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.15. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 16           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.16. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 17           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.4. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 18           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.3. (inferred)  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 19           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.4. (inferred)  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 20           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.5. (inferred)  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 21           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.6. (inferred)  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 22           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.7. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                 | 23           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.2. (inferred)  |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                         | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                         | 24           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.3. (inferred)          |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                         | 25           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.8. (inferred)         |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                         | 26           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1. (inferred)          |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                         | 27           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.2. (inferred)          |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                         | 34           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.1.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.1. (inferred)         |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                         | 35           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.1.7    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.7. (inferred)         |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                         | 36           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.1.10   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.10. (inferred)        |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                         | 37           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Objective 14.2   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy Objective 14.2. (inferred) |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                         | 38           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.2.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.2.1. (inferred)         |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                  | 39           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.2    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.2.2. (inferred)  |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                  | 40           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.3    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.2.3. (inferred)  |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                  | 41           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.3   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.3. (inferred) |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                  | 42           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.3.2    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.3.2. (inferred)  |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                  | 43           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.1    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.1. (inferred)  |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                  | 44           | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.5   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.5. (inferred) |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                  | 48           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                 | 2.1.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.1.1. (inferred)     |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                  | 49           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                 | 2.2.5.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.5. (inferred)     |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough                  | 50           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                 | 2.3.5.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 2.3.5. (inferred) |              |               |                                 |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>               | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>           | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough               | 51           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.4.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.4.1. (inferred)  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough               | 52           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules          | 2.5.2.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.5.2. (inferred)  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough               | 53           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.1. (inferred)  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough               | 54           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.5.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 3.1.5. (inferred)  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough               | 55           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.7.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 3.1.7. (inferred)  |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough               | 56           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.13.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.13. (inferred) |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough               | 57           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.14.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.14. (inferred) |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough               | 58           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.17.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 3.1.17. (inferred) |              |               |                          |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough               | 59           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.22. (inferred) |              |               |                          |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough   | 60           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.23.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.23. (inferred)                               |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough   | 61           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.25.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.25. (inferred)                               |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough   | 62           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.26.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.26. (inferred)                               |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough   | 63           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.33.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.33. (inferred)                               |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough   | 64           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.34.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.34. (inferred)                               |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough   | 65           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.1.39.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.39. (inferred)                               |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough   | 66           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.4.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.4.1. (inferred)                                |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough   | 68           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                  | 3.6.2.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 3.6.2. (inferred)                              |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough   | 69           | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |                  | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels. (inferred) |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough   | 70           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.7.2     | Support     |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only:<br/>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate irrigation water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:<br/>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</p>   |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough  | 71    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3   | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy:<br/>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</p>  |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough  | 72    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3   | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows:</p> <p>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following:<br/>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</p> |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough  | 73    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Objective 14.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Redraft the objective to read:<br/>Activities that are not related to primary production are only located within rural environments if they are appropriate for that environment.”</p>   |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough  | 74    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment  | Policy 14.3.2  | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain, with an additional clause (e):<br>The extent to which the proposed activity is likely to have reverse sensitivity effects on primary production activities.   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough  | 75    | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment        | Objective 14.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Redraft the objective to read:<br>Residential activity takes place only within appropriate locations and limits within rural environments.  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough  | 76    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows:</p> <p>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</li> <li>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</li> <li>(ii) the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</li> <li>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</li> </ul> </li> <li>(iv) in the case of water supply intakes and associated structures in a river bed, the practical viability of alternative methods of abstracting water;</li> <li>(v) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</li> <li>(vi) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</li> </ul> <p>That the Method of Implementation 15.M.18 be amended to add the following bullet point<br/>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines.</p> <p>That the Method of Implementation 15.M.24 be amended to add the following bullet point<br/>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of activities in, on, under or over river beds; to assist in the preparation of site specific management plans and for the processing of resource consent applications.</p> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough  | 77    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Redraft the objective to read:</p> <p><i>Where necessary, reduce the potential for nuisance and health effects from the discharge of contaminants into air.</i></p>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough  | 78    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.4  | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Redraft the policy to reflect the following amendment:<br><i>Manage the use of agri-chemicals to avoid spray drift as far as practicable."</i>  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough  | 79    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5.3.  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That standard 3.3.5.3 be amended to read:<br><i>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over."</i>   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough  | 80    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.2. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly.<br>3.3.13.1 On land which slopes away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 metres of the water body.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough  | 81    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.2 be amended to read:<br>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough  | 82    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.3 be amended to read:<br>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough  | 83    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.4 be amended to read:<br>Cultivation must not be in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland, except where the wetland is fenced in accordance with the wetland boundaries mapped in the Plan, in which case cultivation may occur up to the fenced boundary or where the land slopes away from Significant Wetland in which case cultivation must not be within 1m of the Significant Wetland. |       |          |                          |           |                 |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 431                | Wine Marlborough  | 86    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                            | 25.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows (strike through and bold) -<br><br><i>"means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> <b>producers</b> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <del>and</del> harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <b>viticultural</b>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities."</i> |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 431                | Wine Marlborough  | 87    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels |               | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 431                | Wine Marlborough  | 88    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Objective 5.8 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Add a policy under Objective 5.8 as follows -<br><br><b><i>"Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available."</i></b>  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 431                | Wine Marlborough  | 89    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Issue 5I      | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Issue be deleted.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 431                | Wine Marlborough  | 90    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Objective 5.9 | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Objective be deleted.  |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 431                | Wine Marlborough  | 91    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.9.1  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy be deleted.   |       |          |   |               |                 |
| 431                | Wine Marlborough  | 92    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources          | Policy 5.9.2  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy be deleted.   |       |          |   |               |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b>                          | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|---|-------------|
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough  | 93           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.9.3                              | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy be deleted.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough  | 94           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | 5.M.3                                     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That the Method be deleted.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>431</b>         | Wine Marlborough  | 95           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone                | 3.4.2.                                    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule be deleted, or alternately amend so that vineyards, wineries and associated retail are clearly excluded.  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>901</b>         | Lo Wai Wing   | 1            | Volume 1      | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>901</b>         | Lo Wai Wing   | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>901</b>         | Lo Wai Wing   | 3            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>901</b>         | Lo Wai Wing   | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |              |               |   |   |             |
| <b>901</b>         | Lo Wai Wing   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |   | Oppose      |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>901</b>         | Lo Wai Wing  | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>901</b>         | Lo Wai Wing  | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>901</b>         | Lo Wai Wing  | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>901</b>         | Lo Wai Wing  | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>901</b>         | Lo Wai Wing  | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| 840                | John Winlove  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.2.                                   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <del>The</del> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 840                | John Winlove  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                    | 16.7.3.                                   | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <del>The</del> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 315                | Nicholas Winter   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3                                | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Decision Requested</p> <p>That all Wairau River surface water takes are considered equal(both below and above The Narrows) and therefore have the same minimum flow levelcut off of 8m3/s at Barnett's Bank. This is effectively the currentsituation, however it is noted that a portion of consents do have a conditionrelating to the Wash bridge flow which has never been implemented. With thecurrent management flow of 8m3/s for fullrestriction for all Wairau River users, it has shown that thereare no adverse effects on instream values. Whereas any changes will have asignificant negative economic and social impact on those consent holders currentlyabove The Narrows.</p> |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 955                | Moira Winter  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                              | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.</p>  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 955                | Moira Winter  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.</p>  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| 955                | Moira Winter  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose          |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>955</b>         | Moira Winter   | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>955</b>         | Moira Winter   | 5     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>955</b>         | Moira Winter   | 6     | Volume 2 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>955</b>         | Moira Winter   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>955</b>         | Moira Winter   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>955</b>         | Moira Winter   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>955</b>         | Moira Winter   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.  |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>337</b>         | CP and LE Womersley   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources                        | Policy 5.10.4               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The decision I seek from Council is that no further charges ( <i>Coastal Occupancy Charges</i> ) be added to our means of legal access to our property ( <i>Lot 1 DP 18488, Lot 1 DP 311518 and Lot 1 DP 18196</i> ).<br><br>( <i>It has been inferred that this submission relates to Policy 5.10.4.</i> ) |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>337</b>         | CP and LE Womersley   | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The decision I seek from Council is that the "High Natural Character Overlay" be reviewed as it relates to, and modified so it doesn't include Lot 1 DP 18488, Lot 1 DP 311518 or Lot 1 DP 18196.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>626</b>         | Christopher Peter Womersley   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8181; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>626</b>         | Christopher Peter Womersley   | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8179; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>626</b>         | Christopher Peter Womersley   | 3     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8181 and 8179; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |        |
| <b>626</b>         | Christopher Peter Womersley   | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Landscapes 4                | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8181; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                       |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>626</b>         | Christopher Peter Womersley   | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8179; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                       |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>626</b>         | Christopher Peter Womersley   | 6     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8181 and 8179; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.              |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1177</b>        | Thien Soong Wong  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1177</b>        | Thien Soong Wong  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1177</b>        | Thien Soong Wong  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1177</b>        | Thien Soong Wong  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.  |       |          |   |   |        |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                            | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1177</b>        | Thien Soong Wong   | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                       |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1177</b>        | Thien Soong Wong   | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                       |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1177</b>        | Thien Soong Wong   | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1177</b>        | Thien Soong Wong   | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources   | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1177</b>        | Thien Soong Wong   | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                       | Issue 6A         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1177</b>        | Thien Soong Wong   | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                       | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>3</b>           | Nicola Wood  | 1            | Volume 3      | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3       | Oppose      |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>The decision I seek from council is:</p> <p>Identify the A and B class of water consent in MEP Vol 3 Appendix 6 for Southern Springs recognising the obligations associated with those currently on A class water and remove the restrictions for A Class consent holders associated with the limits the MEP is introducing.</p> <p>Continue to manage the A class right as best practice for the vineyard volume of water, as was implemented with us recently when we renewed our consent, with the release of water historically unused. This water should not be reallocated but used to boost the water in the Southern Springs aquifer benefiting those on B class restrictions. Because this was historically the case, any reallocation of this water will result in a net increase of water use and subsequently negatively impact on the volume of water measured in Southern Springs.</p> <p>Cancel all consents transferring water out of the Southern Springs areas. Any ongoing MDC support of these activities, while limiting those within this area, is highly questionable.</p> <p>Remove the immediate implementation effect of restrictions for A Class consent holders as identified in Vol 2 Chap 2.</p> |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1224</b>        | P Wood  | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.</p>  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1224</b>        | P Wood  | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.</p>  |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1224</b>        | P Wood  | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.</p>   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1224</b>        | P Wood  | 4     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1                              | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.</p>   |       |          |   |   |        |
| <b>1224</b>        | P Wood  | 5     | Volume 2 | All                                     |   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision     | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1224</b>        | P Wood   | 6     | Volume 1 | All                                     |               | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1224</b>        | P Wood   | 7     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1224</b>        | P Wood   | 8     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1224</b>        | P Wood   | 9     | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Issue 6A      | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1224</b>        | P Wood   | 10    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |       |          |   |               |        |
| <b>1239</b>        | Woodburners Unite (concerned Residents Group)  | 1     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone        | 5.5.3.        | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Only include Braziers in the ban through the winter months of June, July and August, the months in which we are most likely to exceed the air standard.<br>That outdoor rubbish burn-offs within the Blenheim Air-shed are banned, unless with a permit. Permits could be issued based on weather conditions; this also gives the opportunity for questions about what will be burnt and how dry it is etc.   |       |          |  |           |        |
| <b>1239</b>        | Woodburners Unite (concerned Residents Group)   | 2     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone       | 5.5.4.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Rule 5.5.4 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><i>Rule 5.5.4. Discharge of contaminants to air within the Blenheim Airshed from an indoor open fire, unless the fire is used exclusively for the cooking, <del>or</del> smoking of food for wholesale or retail sale, <b>or is not the main source of heating.</b></i><br>That consideration is given for commercial dining premises that have fireplaces, for example, chimney filters could be required to reduce omissions.   |       |          |  |           |        |
| <b>1239</b>        | Woodburners Unite (concerned Residents Group)   | 3     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone       | 5.5.5.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 5.5.5 and any associated provisions are removed from the plan entirely. All wood burners should be permitted.   |       |          |  |           |        |
| <b>1239</b>        | Woodburners Unite (concerned Residents Group)   | 4     | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone       | 5.5.6.    | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 5.5.6 and any associated provisions are removed from the plan entirely. All wood burners should be permitted.   |       |          |  |           |        |
| <b>1239</b>        | Woodburners Unite (concerned Residents Group)   | 5     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.28   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (bold) are added to 15.M.28 <b>(inferred)</b> :<br><i>15.M.28 Incentives<br/>Consideration will be given to assisting landowners to replace open fires and older style enclosed burning appliances and to make energy efficient improvements. This may require approaches to central government and the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority for greater financial assistance with offering incentives. <b>Other incentives could include allowing costs to be applied to rates or providing subsidies and/or waiver of permits or free expert advise on best options to suit their dwelling.</b></i> |       |          |  |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|--------|
| 1239               | Woodburners Unite (concerned Residents Group)   | 6     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15D | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Council reviews it's policy on using PM10 concentrations as a measure for ambient air quality and consider using PM2.5 instead.  |       |          |  |           |        |
| 1239               | Woodburners Unite (concerned Residents Group)   | 7     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.30   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 15.M.30 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><i>15.M.30 Information</i></p> <p><b><i>Through community engagement and public education material, Ensure that the community is well informed about:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>alternative means of managing waste and the facilities that can be used/accessed to dispose of waste that can no longer be burned;</i></li> <li><i>the choices of heating and heat conservation methods;</i></li> <li><b><i>alternative and/or emerging technologies that reduce emissions, e.g., chimney top filters, smartburn and retrofitted catalyst converters;</i></b></li> <li><b><i>efficient use of fireplaces is essential for all owners of new and older style woodburners;</i></b></li> <li><i>the incentives available to the public to change to cleaner, more efficient methods of home heating and fuel use; and</i></li> <li><i>the need to use dry firewood to reduce PM10 emissions.</i></li> </ul> |       |          |  |           |        |
| 876                | Leonard Arthur Woodman  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                 | 16.7.2.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i></p>   |       |          |  |           |        |
| 876                | Leonard Arthur Woodman  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone                 | 16.7.3.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i></p>  |       |          |  |           |        |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                   | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|---------|
| <b>1083</b>        | Rowland and Malcolm Woods   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources                        | Policy 5.10.8               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | More detailed information is required to enable those affected to be able to ensure that they are not being asked to fund activities which are properly the responsibility of someone other than the MDC.   |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>1083</b>        | Rowland and Malcolm Woods   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources                        | Policy 5.10.5               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | If the MDC wishes to maintain its position it will need to quantify the public and private costs and there public and private benefits associated with each of the categories of structure on which it is contemplating imposing coastal occupancy charges.         |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>1083</b>        | Rowland and Malcolm Woods   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources                        | Policy 5.10.4               | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 5.10.4:<br><i>Policy 5.10.4 Coastal occupancy charges will be imposed on coastal permits where there is greater private than public benefit arising from occupation of the coastal marine area.</i> |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>196</b>         | Ian Woolley   | 1     | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels               |                             | Support |
| Decision Requested | I will attend the hearing when this comes up at the council   |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>1240</b>        | Worlds End Enterprise Limited   | 1     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps  | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8303; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |         |
| <b>1240</b>        | Worlds End Enterprise Limited   | 2     | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values |                             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8303; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.   |       |          |   |                             |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                               | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| <b>1240</b>        | Worlds End Enterprise Limited   | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4                            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8303; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>1240</b>        | Worlds End Enterprise Limited   | 4     | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values |   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8303; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.                   |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>482</b>         | Worlds End Enterprises Limited  | 1     | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision                          | 24.3.1.                                 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposal to make subdivision in these Coastal Environment Zone and the Coastal Living Zone a controlled activity.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>482</b>         | Worlds End Enterprises Limited  | 2     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Coastal Natural Character 3             | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the extent of the natural character overlay on the western side of Tennyson Inlet ( <i>specific amendment not provided in Submission</i> ).                                 |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>482</b>         | Worlds End Enterprises Limited  | 3     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4                            | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape (ONFL) overlay map in respect of the western side of Tennyson Inlet ( <i>specific amendment not provided in Submission</i> ). |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>482</b>         | Worlds End Enterprises Limited  | 4     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Landscapes 4                            | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed in respect of the Coastal Living Zone in Penzance Bay/Tuna Bay.  |       |          |   |   |         |
| <b>482</b>         | Worlds End Enterprises Limited  | 5     | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                            | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8 | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the map to, at a minimum, remove the main navigation channel in Tennyson Inlet from mapped Ecologically Significant Marine Site 3.9 ( <i>specific amendment not provided in Submission</i> ).  |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>482</b>         | Worlds End Enterprises Limited   | 6     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                       | Zoning Map 66  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the zoning as proposed.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>482</b>         | Worlds End Enterprises Limited   | 7     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                       | Zoning Map 112 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the zoning as proposed.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>675</b>         | Donald Wright  | 1     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone            | 16.7.2.        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."          |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>675</b>         | Donald Wright  | 2     | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone            | 16.7.3.        | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -<br>" <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm." |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>956</b>         | Michelle Xiucin Qiu  | 1     | All      | All                               |                | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only.<br>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>503</b>         | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated  | 1     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.6  | Oppose  |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment (strike-through) to Policy 13.9.6(a):</p> <p><i>Policy 13.9.6 – A mooring shall be required to be removed from the coastal marine area in the following circumstances:</i></p> <p><del>(a) where there is no longer a need for a mooring to moor a boat;</del></p> <p>(b) where the existence of a commercial activity has been the justification for approving a coastal permit for a mooring and that commercial activity no longer exists or operates;</p> <p>(c) where a collective mooring is no longer to be used as a collective mooring;</p> <p>(d) when a coastal permit for the mooring expires and no new coastal permit has been sought; or</p> <p>(e) where consent is refused for an existing mooring for which a new consent has been sought.</p>  |       |          |                                   |                |        |
| <b>503</b>         | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated   | 2     | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Policy 13.15.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.15.2 – Avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on water transportation by:</i></p> <p><del>(a) maintaining safe, clear navigation routes around headlands, unimpeded by structures;</del></p> <p>(a) avoiding activities and/or locating structures within <b>Recognised Navigational Routes (both commercial and recreational) and/or port or harbour approaches</b> <del>significant commercial shipping routes (including navigation around headlands, and shipping routes from the Port of Picton, Havelock Harbour and from Waikawa Marina);</del></p> <p><b>(b) avoiding activities and/or locating structures within Recognised Anchorages of Refuge and Mooring Management Areas;</b></p> <p>(c) avoiding emissions of light that could affect the safe navigation of ships;</p> <p>(d) ensuring the safety of navigation and use of or access to mooring sites, boat sheds and ramps, jetties, wharves, ports, marinas, <b>and</b> water ski access lanes <del>and areas that provide shelter from adverse weather</del> are not affected by activities or structures in the coastal marine area; and</p> <p>(e) requiring structures to be maintained or marked in a way that protects the safety of water transportation activities.</p> |       |          |                                   |                |        |
| <b>503</b>         | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated   | 3     | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions                    | 25.            | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision       | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add the following definitions:<br><br><i><b>Recognised Navigational Routes - is a safe sea passage and commonly used by ships navigating within that area. The recognised navigational route may be one used by commercial ships to and from ports, and may also include pleasure craft routes which are normally used to navigate between popular destinations.</b></i><br><br><i><b>Recognised Anchorages of Refuge - means an anchorage which is referred to in cruising guides, pilot books and similar publications as being suitable shelter for small/larger craft in adverse weather.</b></i> |       |          |  |                 |        |
| <b>503</b>         | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated   | 4     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new objective (bold) following Objective 15.1a to recognise the health and safety risks to vessels if required to discharge untreated sewage significant distances from Mean High Water Springs as follows:<br><br><i><b>Objective 15.X Protect the values of the CMA and activities that rely on high water quality, from the adverse effects from the discharge of sewage from ships, while providing for the health and safety of ships and their occupants.</b></i>   |       |          |  |                 |        |
| <b>503</b>         | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated   | 5     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.18  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendment (bold) to Policy 15.1.18:<br><i>Policy 15.1.18 – Avoid the discharge of untreated human sewage <b>from land</b> to waterbodies or coastal waters.</i>  |       |          |  |                 |        |
| <b>503</b>         | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated   | 6     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.19  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments (bold) to Policy 15.1.19:<br><br><i>Progressively work toward eliminating the discharge of human sewage <b>from land</b> to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure.</i>  |       |          |  |                 |        |
| <b>503</b>         | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated   | 7     | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.20  | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Policy 15.1.20:<br><br><i>Policy 15.1.20 <del>Except for Grade A or Grade B treated sewage, control the discharge of human sewage from ships in the Marlborough Sounds.</del> <b>Avoid the discharge of untreated sewage from ships within areas that have been identified as inappropriate due to the proximity to shore, marine farms, marine reserves, or shallow water depth while providing for the health and safety of vessels and their occupants.</b></i>   |       |          |  |                 |        |
| <b>503</b>         | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated   | 8     | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                           | 13.6.4.         | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 13.6.4 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 13.6.4.</p> <p><del>Rule 13.6.4 From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</del></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 13.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less</i></b> (inferred).</p>                                    |       |          |              |           |        |
| <b>503</b>         | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated   | 9     | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.6.5.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 13.6.5 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 13.6.5.</p> <p><del>Rule 13.6.5 From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</del></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 13.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of a marine farm, marine reserve or mataitai reserve.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less</i></b> (inferred).</p> |       |          |              |           |        |
| <b>503</b>         | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated   | 10    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.6.6.   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 13.6.6 (strike-through) and add two new Permitted Activity rules (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 13.6.6.</p> <p><del>Rule 13.6.6 Discharge of untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area.</del></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 13.1.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 100m (02.7 NM) of a marine farm.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 13.1.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.Y.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.Y.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less (inferred).</i></b></p> |       |          |                           |           |        |
| <b>503</b>         | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated   | 11    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.5.4.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 14.5.4 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 14.5.4.</p> <p><del>Rule 14.5.4 From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHIWS.</del></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 14.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>14.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>14.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>14.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less (inferred).</i></b></p>   |       |          |                           |           |        |
| <b>503</b>         | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated   | 12    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.5.5.   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                   | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 14.5.5 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 14.5.5.</p> <p><del>Rule 14.5.5 From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</del></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 14.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>14.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>14.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of a marine farm, marine reserve or mataitai reserve (inferred).</i></b></p> <p><b><i>14.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less (inferred).</i></b></p>  |       |          |                           |           |        |
| <b>503</b>         | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated   | 13    | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.5.6.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 14.5.6 (strike-through) and add two new Permitted Activity rules (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 14.5.6.</p> <p><del>Rule 14.5.6 . Discharge of untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area:</del></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 14.1.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>14.3.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>14.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 100m (0.27 NM) of a marine farm.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 14.1.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>14.3.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>14.3.Y.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>14.3.Y.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less (inferred).</i></b></p> |       |          |                           |           |        |
| <b>503</b>         | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated   | 14    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone            | 15.7.4.   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter        | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 15.7.4 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 15.7.4.</p> <p>Rule 15.7.4 From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 15.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>15.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>15.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>15.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less</i></b> (inferred).</p>                                    |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>503</b>         | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated  | 15    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.7.5.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 15.7.5 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 15.7.5.</p> <p>Rule 15.7.5 From 6 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 15.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>15.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>15.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of a marine farm, marine reserve or mataitai reserve.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>15.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less</i></b> (inferred).</p> |       |          |                |           |        |
| <b>503</b>         | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated  | 16    | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.7.6.   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                | Provision | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 15.7.6 (strike-through) and add two new Permitted Activity rules (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 15.7.6.</p> <p><del>Rule 15.7.6 Discharge of untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area.</del></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 15.1.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i></b><br/> <b><i>15.3.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>15.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 100m (0.27 NM) of a marine farm.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 15.1.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i></b><br/> <b><i>15.3.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>15.3.Y.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>15.3.Y.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less (inferred).</i></b></p> |       |          |                        |           |        |
| <b>503</b>         | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated   | 17    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2.   | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 16.7.2 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 16.7.2.</p> <p><del>Rule 16.7.2 From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</del></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 16.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b><br/> <b><i>16.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>16.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>16.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less (inferred).</i></b></p>  |       |          |                        |           |        |
| <b>503</b>         | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated   | 18    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3.   | Oppose |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 16.7.3 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 16.7.3.</p> <p><del>Rule 16.7.3 From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</del></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 16.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>16.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>16.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of a marine farm, marine reserve or mataitai reserve.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>16.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less</i></b> (inferred).</p>   |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>503</b>         | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated   | 19    | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone           | 16.7.4.       | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 16.7.4 (strike-through) and add two new Permitted Activity rules (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 16.7.4.</p> <p><del>Rule 16.7.4 . Discharge of untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area:</del></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 16.1.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>16.3.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>16.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 100m (0.27 NM) of a marine farm.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 16.1.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>16.3.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>16.3.Y.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>16.3.Y.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less</i></b> (inferred).</p> |       |          |                                  |               |                 |
| <b>258</b>         | Brent Yardley   | 1     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                 | Provision                                 | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Policy 5.10.4 is supported without amendment provided that the equitability and transparency issues of policies 5.10.5, 5.10.7 are addressed and policy 5.10.8 is clarified.                      |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>258</b>         | Brent Yardley   | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.5                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.10.5 (e) be removed or edited so that marinas are not waived from paying coastal occupation charges.  |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>258</b>         | Brent Yardley   | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.7                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.10.7 be amended to specify how the coastal occupation charges are to be calculated.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>258</b>         | Brent Yardley   | 4     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.10.8                             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.10.8 be amended to include stakeholder group representation in the formal management of funds raised by coastal occupation charges for promoting sustainable management of the CMA. |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>976</b>         | Norazizah Abu Yazid   | 1     | Volume 1 | 2 Background                            | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.             |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>976</b>         | Norazizah Abu Yazid   | 2     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B                                  | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.   |       |          |   |   |                 |
| <b>976</b>         | Norazizah Abu Yazid   | 3     | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2                             | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.  |       |          |   |   |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>   | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>976</b>         | Norazizah Abu Yazid  | 4            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1     | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>976</b>         | Norazizah Abu Yazid  | 5            | Volume 2      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.                  |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>976</b>         | Norazizah Abu Yazid  | 6            | Volume 1      | All                                     |                  | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.   |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>976</b>         | Norazizah Abu Yazid  | 7            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C         | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>976</b>         | Norazizah Abu Yazid  | 8            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>976</b>         | Norazizah Abu Yazid  | 9            | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>976</b>         | Norazizah Abu Yazid  | 10           | Volume 1      | 6 Natural Character                     | Objective 6.1    | Oppose      |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. |              |               |   |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>        | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                          | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 1            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.1     |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 2            | Volume 1      | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.2     |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 3            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.1.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.1.1     |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 4            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.4     |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 5            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.6     |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 6            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.11    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.11    |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 7            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.2.14    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.14    |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 8            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.5     |              |               |   |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 9            | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources        | Policy 5.3.6     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Policy 5.3.6            |              |               |   |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>        | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 10           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.7     |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 11           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.8     |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 12           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.10    |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 13           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.12    |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 14           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14    | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.14    |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 15           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.3     |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 16           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.4     |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 17           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.5     |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 18           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.2     |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>        | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 19           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1     |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 20           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2     | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.2     |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 21           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                  | 2.4.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.4.1       |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 22           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                  | 2.4.1.1          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.4.1.1     |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 23           | Volume 2      | 2 General Rules                  | 2.5.2.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.5.2       |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 24           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.1.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.1       |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 25           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.5.           | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.5       |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 26           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.13.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.13      |              |               |                                  |                  |             |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 27           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.14.          | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.14      |              |               |                                  |                  |             |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>        | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                   | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 28           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.17.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.17      |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 29           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.19.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.19      |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 30           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.22.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.22      |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 31           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.23.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.23      |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 32           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.25.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.25      |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 33           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.26.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.26      |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 34           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.33.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.33      |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 35           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.1.34.          | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.34      |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 36           | Volume 2      | 3 Rural Environment Zone         | 3.4.1.           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.4.1       |              |               |                                  |                  |                 |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited | 37           | Volume 1      | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined, deletions are crossed out):<br><br><i>Water permit applications to use water for irrigation will <del>not</del> <u>only</u> be approved when the rate <u>or timing</u> of use <del>exceeds</del> <u>is within</u> the reasonable use calculations, <del>except</del> <u>or</u> where the applicant can demonstrate that they require more water <u>or need to extend the irrigation period</u> based on property specific information.</i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited   | 38    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.7.8   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined, deletions are crossed out):<br><br><i>Approve applications to take and use water for frost fighting purposes, <u>where such frost fighting infrastructure is not already in place and been exercised under previous water permits and</u> <del>only</del> where there are no effective alternative methods for frost control on the property.</i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited   | 39    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.7.10  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined, deletions are crossed out):<br><br><i>Avoid taking water for frost fighting purposes between <del>during periods of peak irrigation demand ( 1 January to and 30 April</del> <u>March</u> in any calendar year <del>)-</del></i>   |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited   | 40    | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources       | Policy 5.8.3   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined):<br><br><i>Water may be stored at times other than those specified in Policy 5.8.2 to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised daily rate for irrigation purposes. <u>The exemptions to the limitation on the rate of take are:</u></i><br><br><i><u>(a) Due to the narrow window of opportunity afforded by the higher sediment loads that can be carried in the Awatere River, higher rates of take of Class B water may be considered from the Awatere River in April and May in Class C water is not available, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised monthly rate of take for irrigation purposes.</u></i><br><br><i><u>(b) Community Irrigation and water supply schemes.</u></i> |       |          |  |                |                 |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited   | 41    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include the following under Policy 15.1.32(b):<br><br><i><u>(v) in the case of infiltration trenches or other water intake structures in a river bed, the value to an existing consent holder of the investment that relies on the take of water through that intake;</u></i><br><br><i><u>(vi) in the case of infiltration trenches or other water intake structures in a river bed, the practical viability of alternative methods of abstracting water.</u></i>  |       |          |  |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                   | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited  | 42    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                           | 2.7.           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>(a) Include the following or similar in the list of permitted activities under Rule 2.7:</p> <p><i>New structures in, under, or over riverbeds</i></p> <p>(b) Include the following or similar in standards under 2.9:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>The riverbed must be less than 3 metres in width;</i></li> <li>- <i>There must be no increase in the velocity of flow through or downstream of the structure at the river's median flow;</i></li> <li>- <i>The structure must be designed and implemented to ensure there is no erosion or scour downstream of the structure.</i></li> </ul> |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1242</b>        | Yealands Estate Limited  | 43    | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1     | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete Class C allocation limits in Appendix 6, Schedule 1.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1182</b>        | Tim Young  | 1     | All      | All                                       |                | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited   | 1     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 9   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Business and Industrial zoning on Map 9 as notified.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited   | 2     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 159 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Business and Industrial zoning on Map 159 as notified.  |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited   | 3     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 34  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Business and Industrial zoning on Map 34 as notified.   |       |          |   |                |                 |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited   | 4     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                               | Zoning Map 138 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the Business and Industrial zoning on Map 138 as notified.   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited  | 5     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps       | Zoning Map 7  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Business and Industrial zoning on Map 7 as notified.   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited  | 6     | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps       | Zoning Map 21 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Business and Industrial zoning on Map 21 as notified.  |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited  | 7     | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.1.          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>9.1 Permitted Activities</p> <p>Insert a new permitted activity for additions and alterations, including retanking, to existing service stations in the Business 1 zone. This could be achieved as follows:</p> <p><b><u>9.1.## Additions and alterations to existing service stations, including retanking.</u></b></p>   |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited  | 8     | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.2.1.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>9.2 Standards that apply to all permitted activities</p> <p>Amend the permitted activity standards to recognise the functional and operational requirements of service stations and to ensure that additions and alterations, including retanking, to existing service stations in the Business 1 zone can be provided for as permitted activities. This can be achieved by making the following change:</p> <p>9.2.1 Construction and siting of a building or structure</p> <p>Amend 9.2.1.1 as follows:</p> <p>9.2.1.1. A building must be located on the front boundary of the site with no setback from the street edge except that a recess of up to 0.5m within the façade of the building <b><u>or a service station shop where the canopy edge</u></b> is within 2m of the street edge is permitted.</p> |       |          |                   |               |                 |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited  | 9     | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.2.1.2.      | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter            | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>9.2 Standards that apply to all permitted activities<br/>Amend the permitted activity standards to recognise the functional and operational requirements of service stations and to ensure that additions and alterations, including retanking, to existing service stations in the Business 1 zone can be provided for as permitted activities. This can be achieved by making the following change:</p> <p>9.2.1 Construction and siting of a building or structure</p> <p>Amend 9.2.1.2 as follows:<br/>9.2.1.2. The primary customer entrance must be located on, or adjoin, the front boundary of the site <b><u>except for service stations where the main entrance to the shop faces the street edge or where there is a clear pedestrian connection between the shop and the street edge.</u></b></p> |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited   | 10    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone  | 9.2.1.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>9.2 Standards that apply to all permitted activities<br/>Amend the permitted activity standards to recognise the functional and operational requirements of service stations and to ensure that additions and alterations, including retanking, to existing service stations in the Business 1 zone can be provided for as permitted activities. This can be achieved by making the following change:</p> <p>9.2.1 Construction and siting of a building or structure<br/>Amend 9.2.1.10 as follows:<br/>9.2.1.10. A veranda must:<br/>(a) not extend further than 2m from the front face of a building into the street;<br/>(b) not extend closer than 0.5m to the street kerb;<br/>(c) be self-supporting.<br/><b><u>Except that a service station need not provide a verandah.</u></b></p>                 |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited   | 11    | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.1.     | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>10.1 Permitted Activities<br/>Insert new permitted activity for additions and alterations, including retanking, to existing service stations in the Business 2 zone as follows:<br/><b><u>10.1.## Additions and alterations to existing service stations, including retanking.</u></b></p>  |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited   | 12    | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.1.     | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision     | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the permitted activity standards to recognise the functional and operational requirements of service stations and to ensure that additions and alterations, including retanking, to existing service stations in the Business 2 zone can be provided for as permitted activities. This can be achieved by making the following changes:</p> <p>10.2 Standards that apply to all permitted activities<br/>Amend 10.2.1.4 as follows:<br/>10.2.1.4. A building, in the Business 2 Zone in Blenheim, must have a veranda, and the veranda must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) be self-supporting;</li> <li>(b) not extend further than 2m from the front face of a building into the street;</li> <li>(c) not extend closer than 0.5m to the street kerb;</li> <li>(d) generally conform with adjoining verandas in regards to height, width, and depth of fascia.</li> </ul> <p><u>Except that a service station need not provide a verandah.</u></p>  |       |          |                             |               |                 |
| 1244               | Z Energy Limited   | 13    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.         | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Industrial 1 and 2 Zones<br/>Amend the permitted activity standards to ensure that additions and alterations, including retanking, to existing truck stops within the Industrial 1 zone can be provided for as permitted activities. This can be achieved by making the following changes:</p> <p>12.1 Permitted Activities<br/>Amend 12.1.5 as follows:<br/>12.1.5 Truck Stop <del>within industrial 2 zone.</del><br/>OR<br/>Retain 12.1.5 as notified<br/>12.1.5 Truck Stop within industrial 2 zone.<br/>AND<br/>Insert a new rule providing for truck stops associated with a service station development.<br/><b><u>12.1.## Truck Stop where it forms part of a service station development in the Industrial 1 zone.</u></b><br/>OR<br/>Insert a new rule providing for alterations and additions, including retanking, to existing truck stops as follows:<br/><b><u>12.1.## Additions and alterations to truck stops existing as of the date of notification of the Plan, including retanking.</u></b></p> |       |          |                             |               |                 |
| 1244               | Z Energy Limited   | 14    | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps                 | Zoning Map 37 | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundary<br>Ensure that noise and reverse sensitivity issues associated with the Port of Picton are appropriately managed through the use of the inner and outer noise control boundaries, the Port Noise rules and the noise sensitive activity provisions. This can be achieved by:<br>Planning Maps<br>Retain the Port Noise Inner and Outer Control Boundaries in the location depicted on the planning maps as notified. This location for the outer control boundary avoids the Z Service Station site at 101 High Street, Picton, being Lot 1 DP 10296. |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited   | 15    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                      | 13.2.3.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Port Zone rules in 13.2.3 as notified.  |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited   | 16    | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone                      | 13.2.4.        | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Noise Sensitive Activity provisions as notified.  |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited   | 17    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13K      | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 13K including the explanation in its entirety as notified.  |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited   | 18    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.3 including the explanation in its entirety as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited   | 19    | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character               | Policy 6.2.4   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.4 and its explanation in its entirety as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited   | 20    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                       | Policy 7.2.4   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.4 and its explanation in its entirety as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited   | 21    | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape                       | Policy 7.2.7   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.7 and its explanation in its entirety as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1244               | Z Energy Limited  | 22    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the section titled "Introduction" in its entirety as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 1244               | Z Energy Limited  | 23    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | tain the section titled "Subdivision, use and development activities in the coastal environment" in its entirety as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 1244               | Z Energy Limited  | 24    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13A      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the section titles 'Issue 13A - Trying to identify appropriate subdivision, use and development in Marlborough's coastal environment while protecting the values of the environment" including the explanation in its entirety as notified.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 1244               | Z Energy Limited  | 25    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.1 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.1 including the explanation in its entirety as notified.  |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 1244               | Z Energy Limited  | 26    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 13.1.1, including the explanation, relating to outstanding and significant areas by including reference to the values for which the areas are scheduled as follows:</p> <p>Policy 13.1.1 – Avoid adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on <b>the values of</b> areas identified as having:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) outstanding natural character</li> <li>(b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes;</li> <li>(c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; or</li> <li>(d) significant historic heritage value.</li> </ul> <p>Policy 13.1.1 identifies four significant matters upon which the adverse effects of activities are to be avoided. These matters are given particular direction through the principles of the RMA (Sections 6(a), (b), (c) and (f)) and through direction provided by Policies 11, 13, 15 and 17 of the NZCPS. However, it is important to acknowledge that implementing the policy does not mean that all activities are prohibited from occurring in the areas with the identified values; it simply makes clear that any adverse effects of activities on those values must be avoided in those areas, rather than being mitigated or remedied.</p> |       |          |                                   |                |                 |
| 1244               | Z Energy Limited  | 27    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.1.2 including the explanation in its entirety as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                           | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited  | 28    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.2 including the explanation as notified.  |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited  | 29    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.1 and the explanation in its entirety as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited  | 30    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.2 and the explanation in its entirety as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited  | 31    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.3  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.3 and the explanation in its entirety as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited  | 32    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.4  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.4 and the explanation in its entirety as notified.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited  | 33    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove reference to avoiding the establishment of activities resulting in high traffic generation from policy 13.2.5 as follows:</p> <p>Delete policy 13.2.5(j) as follows:</p> <p>Policy 13.2.5 – Amenity values of the coastal environment can be maintained and enhanced by:</p> <p>.....</p> <p><b>(j) — <del>avoiding the establishment of activities resulting in high traffic generation.</del></b></p> |       |          |                                   |                |         |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited  | 34    | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.6  | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Delete policy 13.2.6 and its explanation in its entirety.   |       |          |                                   |                |         |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                    | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited  | 35           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.1           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method of Implementation 13.M.1 in its entirety as notified. |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited  | 36           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.2           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method of Implementation 13.M.2 in its entirety as notified. |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited  | 37           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.3           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method of Implementation 13.M.3 in its entirety as notified. |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited  | 38           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.4           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method of Implementation 13.M.4 in its entirety as notified. |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited  | 39           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.5           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method of Implementation 13.M.5 in its entirety as notified. |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited  | 40           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.6           | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method of Implementation 13.M.6 in its entirety as notified. |              |               |                                   |                  |                 |
| <b>1244</b>        | Z Energy Limited  | 41           | Volume 1      | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.2         | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|--------|---------|-----------|------|
| Decision Requested | <p>In 13.AER.2 remove monitoring effectiveness clauses that do not provide an effective means of measuring appropriate development and outcomes in the coastal area as follows:</p> <p>Delete the first and fourth clauses under the column titled Monitoring Effectiveness as follows:</p> <p><del><b>No resource consents are granted for areas identified as inappropriate for development within the coastal environment.</b></del></p> <p>New building and development in the coastal environment is consistent with the character of the area, including retaining a lower density of development in the coastal environment.</p> <p>Consistent treatment of resource consent applications for activities in the coastal environment.</p> <p>No coastal permits are granted for activities without a functional or operational need for a coastal location.</p> <p>Monitoring of resource consent conditions imposed to address the effects of activities on a particular location.</p> <p>Reassess the zonings applied to land and water to ensure that appropriate areas are identified for use and development in the coastal environment.</p> |       |        |         |           |      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                            | Provision    | Type   |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------------------|--------------|--------|
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 1     | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 3.1.2 and its associated explanation to ensure that the policy does not mandate consultation, but that it encourages it where appropriate, and to recognise that consultation with iwi will provide specialist information with the Council remaining as the decision maker. This could be achieved by making the following changes to policy 3.1.2 and its associated explanation as follows:</p> <p><b><i>Policy 3.1.2 – An applicant will be expected <u>is encouraged</u> to consult early in the development of a proposal (for resource consent or plan change) that may affect iwi so that cultural values of Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi can be taken into account.</i></b></p> <p><del>Only Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi are able to provide advice on how a proposal may impact on cultural heritage, in particular can identify their relationship and that of their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga. This means that iwi are in the best position to determine whether a proposal will affect areas of significance for iwi.</del></p> <p><del>It is therefore important beneficial that for consultation with iwi to occurs early in the planning of a development (either by resource consent or plan change) to ensure enable potential impacts to be are appropriately identified and addressed.</del></p> <p>AND</p> <p>Include in the Plan, either as a new policy or a method, a process whereby it can be determined with certainty what is likely to be of significance to iwi, that identifies who should be consulted and which establishes some considerations of engagement expectations relating to such matters as contact and response times, information sharing protocols and cost recovery by Iwi.</p> |       |          |                                    |              |        |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 2     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources   | Policy 5.2.5 | Oppose |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                          | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.2.5 to provide an exemption for temporary and short term construction dewatering so that such activities are not prevented in over allocated areas as follows:<br><br><b><i>Policy 5.2.5 – With the exception of water taken for domestic needs, or animal drinking water, or temporary and short term construction dewatering, prevent the taking of water authorised by resource consent when flows and/or levels in a Freshwater Management Unit are at or below a management flow and/or level set as part of an environmental flow and/or level set in accordance with Policy 5.2.4.</i></b><br><br><i>Water users will not be able to continue taking water once in a Freshwater Management Unit flows and/or levels reach the management flows/levels established in the MEP. Any such abstraction would result in an adverse effect on the life-supporting capacity of the waterbody. The policy will be implemented by way of a condition(s) of resource consent.</i><br><br><i>Water taken for domestic needs or animal drinking water is exempt from the policy given the contribution they make to sustaining the community. <u>Water taken for temporary and short term construction dewatering purposes is exempt from the policy due to its shallow take, non-consumptive water use and almost immediate return to the catchment.</u></i> |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 3     | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.4   | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.7.4 to provide an exemption for temporary and short term construction dewatering by inserting an exclusion for construction dewatering as follows:<br><br><b><i>Water taken for temporary and short term construction dewatering purposes is exempt from the policy due to its shallow take, non-consumptive water use and almost immediate return to the catchment.</i></b>   |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 4     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards               | Policy 11.1.8  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.8 in its entirety as notified.   |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 5     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards               | Policy 11.1.9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.9 in its entirety as notified.   |       |          |                                  |                |         |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 6     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards               | Policy 11.1.10 | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter               | Provision      | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.10 in its entirety as notified.   |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 7     | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards    | Policy 11.1.11 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.11 in its entirety as notified.   |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 8     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.3.3  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.3.3, in its entirety as notified.   |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 9     | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.3.4  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.3.4 in its entirety as notified or, if amendments are made to it, ensure that it continues to not be relevant to the consideration of Service Stations. |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 10    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.3.5  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.3.5, in its entirety as notified.   |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 11    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions        | 25.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition for 'Heavy Industrial Activities' as notified.   |       |          |                       |                |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 12    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions        | 25.            | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition for 'Light Industrial Activities' as notified.   |       |          |                       |                |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision     | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|---------|
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 13    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments                  | Policy 12.5.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.5.3 as notified.   |       |          |  |               |         |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 14    | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments                  | Policy 12.6.1 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend policy 12.6.1 to recognise the functional design requirements of certain activities and to recognise that, for certain land uses including service stations and truck stops, it is inappropriate to seek to provide for such design requirements as continuous building frontages along the adjoining street, or for verandahs. This could be achieved by making amendments as follows:?</p> <p><i>Policy 12.6.1 – Require, <b>except where the functional design requirements of the activity mean that it is impracticable to do so</b>, development to maintain or enhance streetscape amenity in business zones by ensuring <b>to the extent</b>:</i></p> <p><i>(a) an attractive street interface is maintained through landscaping where buildings are not built to the street frontage;</i></p> <p><i>(b) service and outdoor storage areas are not visible from ground level of a public place;</i></p> <p><i>(c) architecturally-interesting façades are presented through variation in building design, scale and the use of glazing;</i></p> <p><i>(d) a continual frontage of buildings is provided along the street, <b>where practicable</b>, apart from pedestrian alleyways;</i></p> <p><i>(e) clear and direct visual connection is provided between the street and the building interior; (f) direct physical connection is provided to the building interior through clearly identified pedestrian entrances;</i></p> <p><i>(g) shelter is provided for pedestrians on footpaths in the form of a veranda, <b>where practicable</b>; and</i></p> <p><i>(h) buildings are designed to have commercial activities at the ground floor, with an adequate ground floor to ceiling height to accommodate these activities.</i></p> <p><u><i>Where functional design requirements mean that one or more of the above criteria are not met, require development to positively contribute to the streetscape.</i></u></p> |       |          |  |               |         |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 15    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A     | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Issue 15A Stormwater Reticulation and Disposal to recognise in this discussion that stormwater discharges into the reticulated network are not controlled by the regional rules in the MEP, and otherwise retain the Issue. This could be achieved by making the following changes:</p> <p><i>Stormwater reticulation and disposal</i><br/> <i>Most of Marlborough's towns are serviced by reticulated stormwater systems, <b>with the inputs into those systems not being controlled by regional rules.</b> Urban stormwater will pick up contaminants including sediment, solids, organic matter, nutrients, heavy metals and petroleum and product residues as it runs over impervious surfaces. Given the volume of water created by rainfall events, the stormwater receives little or no treatment prior to discharge into the receiving waters.</i></p> <p><i>Monitoring of fresh and coastal water quality has demonstrated that stormwater discharges do sometimes degrade the quality of receiving waters. Periods of contamination tend to be episodic and are associated with rainfall events. The exception is when contaminants are deliberately washed or poured into the road kerb or stormwater drains.</i></p> <p><i>Stormwater can also pick up sewage through cross-connections between sewerage and stormwater pipes. This has been a particular problem in Picton and has caused periodic contamination of coastal water during rainfall events.</i></p> |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 16    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.9  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.9 in its entirety as notified  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 17    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.10 in its entirety as notified.  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 18    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.11 in its entirety as notified.  |       |          |  |                |         |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 19    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.12 in its entirety as notified.  |       |          |  |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision      | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 20    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.15 in its entirety as notified.   |       |          |  |                |         |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 21    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.16 | Oppose  |
| Decision Requested | <p>Q. Amend policy 15.1.16 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>[R, C] Policy 15.1.16 – The duration of any new discharge permit will be either:</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(a) Up to 35 years where the quality and/or nature of the discharge is such that the risk associated with the discharge is low, or</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(ab) Up to a maximum of 15 years for discharges into waterbodies or coastal waters where the discharge will comply with water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters; or</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(bc) up to ten years for discharges into rivers identified in Policies 15.1.4, 15.1.5, 15.1.6 or 15.1.7 (where the water quality is to be enhanced) and the discharge will comply with water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters; or</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(ed) no more than five years where the existing discharge will not comply with water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters.</i></b></p> <p><i>With the exception of regionally significant infrastructure, no discharge permit will be granted subsequent to the one granted under (c), if the discharge still does not meet the water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters.</i></p> <p>To provide greater certainty to resource users, the policy identifies the appropriate duration for discharge permit applications if they are to be granted. The duration varies depending on a risk assessment determined by compliance water quality classification standards and the state of water quality in the waterbody or coastal waters <b><i>or by the standard of the discharge, which could be measured by, for example, industry codes of practice or Ministry for the environment Guidelines.</i></b> Longer durations are warranted where compliance with water quality classification standards will be achieved and there is currently no water quality issue, <b><i>or where the quality of and/or nature of the discharge presents an acceptable and low risk to water quality.</i></b> While short term consents will occur where water quality classification standards cannot be met. In the latter case, Policy 15.1.12 identifies that consent holders only have five years to achieve compliance with water quality classification standards, hence the requirement in (c) above.</p> <p><i>This policy gives effect to Policy A3 of the NPSFM.</i></p> |       |          |  |                |         |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 22    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.21 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.21 in its entirety as notified.   |       |          |  |                |         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                                | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 23    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.14   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain 15.M.14 in its entirety as notified.   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 24    | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.       | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new method is included in each of the management of resources sections within Section 15:</p> <p><b><u>Industry Initiatives</u></b><br/> <b><u>In developing plans and strategies for the management of resources, and when making decisions on resource consents, Marlborough District Council will recognise and promote any relevant industry guidelines and codes of practice that represent appropriate industry practice and management approaches.</u></b></p>   |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 25    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.2.12.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>2.2 Permitted Activities<br/> Permit dewatering (water take) activities associated with underground tank installation and replacement at service stations, and in respect of utilities as well as infrastructure. This could be achieved by amending Rule 2.2.12 as follows:<br/> 2.2.12. Take of water for dewatering <b>of a trench.</b><br/> OR<br/> 2.2.12. Take of water for dewatering of a trench <b><u>and/or tank pit associated with underground fuel tanks.</u></b><br/> OR<br/> By providing a definition for trench that specifically includes an excavation to enable the maintenance, replacement or installation of underground utilities, infrastructure or fuel storage tanks.</p> |       |          |  |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 26    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules                        | 2.3.11.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p><b>2.3 Standards applying to specific permitted activities</b></p> <p>Amend the standards that apply to specific permitted activities to ensure that dewatering activities associated with maintenance upgrading or installation of underground tanks at service stations, utilities and infrastructure is a permitted activity. This could be achieved by making the following changes:<br/>Amend the heading of Rule 2.3.11 in accordance with the relief sought to Rule 2.2.12 or the definition of trench (see sub-point 1004.25)</p> <p>AND</p> <p>C. Amend Rule 2.3.11.1. to exempt short term, shallow, non-consumptive takes relating to the maintenance, upgrading (including replacement) or installation of existing infrastructure within a Groundwater Protection Area. This could be achieved by making the following change:<br/><i>The take must not be within a Groundwater Protection Area <b>unless the take is being carried out for maintenance or upgrading or installation of existing utilities, infrastructure or fuel storage tanks, is non consumptive, is from an excavation not exceeding 5m in depth and will not exceed a total of 10 days.</b></i></p> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 27    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.11.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 2.3.11.2. to delete the reference to “trench excavated” and replace it with a reference to “excavations”, and to refer to upgrading, as well as installation and maintenance.<br/><i>The take must relate to a temporary <del>trench excavated</del> <b>excavation</b> for the purposes of the installation or maintenance <b>or upgrade</b> of infrastructure.</i></p>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 28    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rules 2.8.1.1 and 2.13.1.1 to control those activities within the vicinity of ‘surface’ water bodies, such as streams, lakes and rivers, and, specifically, not to control those activities in the vicinity of groundwater. This could be achieved as follows:</p> <p><i>2.8.1.1 No refuelling or fuel storage or the storage or placement of any hazardous substance, including but not limited to oil, hydraulic fluid or other fluid lubricants, must take place within 20m of <b>surface</b> water.</i></p>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 29    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.13.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rules 2.8.1.1 and 2.13.1.1 to control those activities within the vicinity of ‘surface’ water bodies, such as streams, lakes and rivers, and, specifically, not to control those activities in the vicinity of groundwater. This could be achieved as follows:</p> <p>2.13 Standards that apply to all permitted activities<br/><i>2.13.1.1. No refuelling or fuel storage or the storage or placement of any hazardous substance including but not limited to oil, hydraulic fluid or other fluid lubricants must take place within 20m of <b>surface</b> water.</i></p>  |       |          |                 |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 30    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.5.1.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 2.5.1 as notified.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 31    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.      | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Permitted Activity to include the discharge of contaminants including odour into air from the storage of petroleum products, including vapour ventilation and displacement. (Add to all zones)   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 32    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.16.3.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.16.3 as notified.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 33    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.17.3.4. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 2.17.3.4 as notified.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 34    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.17.3.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 2.17.3.9 to permit discharges to stormwater in accordance with the MfE Environmental Guidelines for Water Discharges from Petroleum Industry Sites and delete Rule 2.17.3.10 so that discharges into the reticulated network of stormwater from land zoned Business 1, Business 3, Industrial 1 or Industrial 2 (and meeting all other requirements) is permitted. This can be achieved by making changes along the following lines:</p> <p>2.17 Standards that apply to specific permitted activities</p> <p>2.17.3.9. The discharge must not contain stormwater from an area where a hazardous substance is stored unless:</p> <p>(a) the hazardous substance cannot enter the stormwater; or</p> <p>(b) there is an interceptor system in place to collect any hazardous contaminant or diverted contaminated stormwater to a trade waste system; or</p> <p>(c) the discharge is from a Petroleum Industry Site and meets the design requirements of the Ministry for the Environment Environmental Guidelines for <u>Water Discharges from Petroleum Industry Sites.</u></p> |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 35    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.17.   | Support         |



| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Support rule but suggest it should be provided for in Chapter 2 - General Rules. This applies to the equivalent rules and standards in each zone chapter. (inferred)  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 36    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.18.   | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support rule but suggest it should be provided for in Chapter 2 - General Rules. This applies to the equivalent rules and standards in each zone chapter. (inferred)  |       |          |                          |           |         |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 37    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules          | 2.16.     | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Adopt new rules that permit the discharge of dewatering water from dewatering activities associated with maintenance, upgrading or installation of underground tanks at service stations, utilities and infrastructure and permit investigations in relation to sites with possible historic contamination and that provide for passive discharges associated with this ensuring that the framework enables a better understanding of the nature and characteristics of the discharge over time, facilitates the appropriate management of contaminants in ground over time and permits active management to cease when that is appropriate. These outcomes could be achieved by inserting a new section providing for the use of land and discharge of contaminants onto or into land where it may enter water as follows:</p> <p><b><u>2.## The following activities shall be permitted without resource consent where they comply with the applicable standards in 2.##</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.## Permitted Activities</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.##.1 The discharge of contaminants from dewatering activities associated with maintenance, upgrading or installation of underground tanks at service stations, utilities and infrastructure into water or onto or into land where the discharge may enter water.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.##.2 The use of land to assess the concentration of hazardous substances that may be present in the soil/groundwater and any associated discharge to air.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.##.3 The discharge of contaminants from contaminated land into water, or onto or into land where the discharge may enter water.</u></b></p> <p>AND</p> <p><b><u>Discharge of Contaminants Provided for In Rules 2.##.1 and 2.##.3</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.## Standards that apply to specific permitted activities</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.##.1 Where the discharge may enter groundwater, the discharge is not located within 50m of a bore used for water abstraction for potable supply or stock water.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.##.2 If the discharge is from dewatering, the discharge is not from contaminated land, except where it is from land where the contaminant is petroleum hydrocarbons and the discharge contains no more than 15mg/l TPH.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.##.3 The discharge shall not cause any erosion of the channel or banks of the receiving waterbody or the coastal marine area.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.##.4 The discharge shall not give rise to the following effects after the zone of reasonable mixing:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>a) a change in the pH of ±0.5pH unit, or</u></b></p> <p><b><u>b) the production of conspicuous oil or grease films, scums or foams, or floatable or suspended materials, or</u></b></p> <p><b><u>c) any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity, or</u></b></p> |       |          |                          |           |         |

- d) any emission of objectionable odour, or**
- e) the fresh water is unsuitable for consumption by farm animals, or**
- f) any significant adverse effects on aquatic life.**

AND

Introduce a rule to permit investigations and assessments of potentially contaminated land as a permitted activity along the following lines:

**The use of land to assess the concentration of hazardous substances that may be present in the soil/groundwater and any associated discharge to air.**

**2.## Standards that apply to specific permitted activities:**

**2.##.1 The assessment is undertaken in accordance with Contaminated Land Management Guidelines No. 5: Site Investigation and Analysis of Soils (2011).**

**2.##.2 The assessment is reported in accordance with the Contaminated Land Management Guidelines No. 1: Reporting on Contaminated Land (2011).**

**2.##.3 A copy of the report is provided to the Marlborough District Council within two months of the completion of the assessment**

AND

Introduce a consenting framework for passive discharges from contaminated land that provides certainty through establishment of an appropriate permitted threshold and a consent requirement (preferably a controlled activity) if the permitted threshold is exceeded. This could be achieved as follows:

**The (passive) discharge of contaminants from contaminated land into water, or onto or into land where the discharge may enter water.**

**2.## Standards that apply to specific permitted activities**

**2.##.1 There has been a detailed site investigation report supplied to Marlborough District Council in accordance with Rule 2.##.3 that:**  
**(a) finds that the discharge of contaminants is highly unlikely to be a risk to human health or the environment at present or in the future;**  
**or**

**(b) determines that the concentration of contaminants in groundwater:**

**(i) either 50 metres from the source (i.e. the notional boundary) or at the property boundary (whichever is the lesser distance); and**

**(ii) anywhere a surface water or bore used for abstraction of water (excluding monitoring bores) intersects or lies within (b)(i)**

**Does not breach the following standard:**

**• Where the discharge is to groundwater identified on the planning maps as a freshwater management unit or groundwater protection area used for water supply purposes; either the Drinking Water Standards of New Zealand 2005 (Revised 2008) or, where ambient water quality is naturally less than this standard it is not being degraded; or**

**• Where the discharge is to a groundwater not identified on the planning maps as a freshwater management unit or groundwater protection area for water supply or as a sensitive aquifer: the Australia and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality (ANZECC) Guidelines (2000) at the level of protection for 80% of species, except for benzene where the level of protection is 90% of species (i.e. 1mg/l).**

**2.##.2 The passive discharge of contaminants onto or into land that has previously held resource consent for discharges and where the risk has been assessed and found to be within acceptable levels without control mechanisms and that all the consent conditions have been met to the satisfaction of Council.**

**2##. Controlled Activities**

**Application must be made for a Controlled Activity for the following:**

**2.##.1 The (passive) discharge of contaminants from contaminated land into water, or onto or into land where the discharge may enter water not meeting the permitted activity standards shall be a controlled activity.**

**Matters over which the Council has reserved control:**

**2.##.1.1 the adequacy of the detailed site investigation report including:**

**(i) site sampling;**

**(ii) laboratory analysis; and**

**(iii) risk assessment.**

**2.##.1.2 the need for and adequacy of a site management plan (contaminated land);**

**2.##.1.3 the need for and adequacy of a remedial action plan (contaminated land);**

**2.##.1.4 how the discharge is to be:**

**(a) managed;**

**(b) monitored, including frequency and location of monitoring; and**

**(c) reported on.**

**2.##.1.5 the physical constraints of the site and operational practicalities;**

**2.##.1.6 the transport, disposal and tracking of soil and other materials taken away in the course of the activity;**

**2.##.1.7 the effect on potable water supplies;**

**2.##.1.8 methods to identify contaminant risks prior to works commencing such as qualitative assessments of risk;**

**2.##.1.9 protocols around notifying the Council of contaminant risks;**

**2.##.1.10 how stormwater is to be managed;**

**2.##.1.11 soil management during work and at the completion of the works;**

**2.##.1.12 odour control;**

**2.##.1.13 vapour control;**

**2.##.1.14 groundwater management;**

**2.##.1.15 contingency plans;**

**2.##.1.16 remediation or ongoing management of the site, its timing and standard;**

**2.##.1.17 the nature and type of close out criteria if proposed;**

**2.##.1.18 the need for a financial bond;**

**2.##.1.19 the need for any review conditions in the event that standards to be achieved are not achieved;**

**2.##.1.20 the timing and nature of the review conditions;**

**2.##.1.21 the duration of resource consent.**

|                    |   |    |          |                 |       |                 |
|--------------------|---|----|----------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 38 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | A new permitted activity rule is required to facilitate discharge of Contaminants to Air from Internal Combustion Engine during disruption to the power network together with exclusions within the zone rules where necessary. |    |          |                 |       |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 39 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.19. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the default Rule 2.19 Discretionary Activities as notified.  |    |          |                 |       |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision  | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 40    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.32.4.23. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 2.32.4.23 as notified.  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 41    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.33.1.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.33.1 as notified.  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 42    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.33.2.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.33.2 as notified.  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 43    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new definition of Sign, which excludes warning signs, directional signs, instructional signs or signs required by other legislation. This could be achieved by adding a definition of sign along the following lines:</p> <p><b><u>Any display or device whether or not placed on land or affixed to a building, stationary vehicle or structure, intended to attract attention for the purposes of directing, identifying, informing, or advertising, and which is visible from a public place. The area of a sign shall be a measurement of that sign's face or total message visible from a public place and warning signs, directional signs, instructional signs or signs required by other legislation shall be excluded from the definition of sign.</u></b></p> |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 44    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.34.8.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 2.34.8 as notified.  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 45    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.35.1.7.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 2.35.1.7 as notified.  |       |          |                 |            |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 46    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.35.1.11. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter         | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.35.1.11 so that it relates to a second freestanding sign setback from the road boundary only. This could be achieved by making changes along the following lines:<br><br>2.35.1.11. <b>Where a second freestanding sign is to be erected within 5 metres of the road boundary, the minimum distance between from freestanding signs within 5m of the road boundary</b> on successive properties, as read from the one direction and measured parallel to the centre-line of the road, must be as shown in Table 2.12:  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 47    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.35.1.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.35.1.6 to delete the requirement for free standing signs in the front yard to comply with the height in relation to boundary control. This could be achieved by making a change as follows:<br><i>A sign must comply with the height <del>and, where applicable, recession plane</del> requirements for the zone in which it is located.</i>   |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 48    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.36.7.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.36.7. to specifically provide for service station signage as follows:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• One freestanding primary identification sign adjacent the road boundary with a maximum area of 14m<sup>2</sup>;</li> <li>• One promotional sign per street frontage with a maximum area of 2.5m<sup>2</sup>; and</li> <li>• Signage attached or mounted to buildings or structures, signage at the pump and signage indicating additional services available within the site to a cumulative total area of 10m<sup>2</sup>.</li> </ul> This could be achieved by including a new permitted activity standard into Rule 2.36.7 as follows:<br>2.36.7. Sign on any land zoned Business 1, Business 2, Industrial 1 Industrial 2, Port, Port Landing Area, Marina, Lake Grassmere Salt Works or Airport.<br><b>2.36.7.X. Notwithstanding Rules 2.36.7.1 and 2, the maximum area of signs at service station sites shall not exceed the following:</b><br><b>(a) One freestanding primary identification sign adjacent the road boundary with a maximum area of 14m<sup>2</sup>;</b><br><b>(b) One promotional sign per street frontage with a maximum area of 2.5m<sup>2</sup>; and</b><br><b>(c) Signage attached or mounted to buildings or structures, signage at the pump and signage indicating additional services available within the site to a cumulative total area of 10m<sup>2</sup>.</b> |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 49    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Bore as notified.  |       |          |                 |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 50    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions  | 25.       | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                  | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Dewatering as notified.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 51    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Excavation as notified.  |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 52    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Fill, filling and Fill Material as notified.                                     |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 53    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Service Station as notified.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 54    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Vehicle Oriented Activities as notified.   |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 55    | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions           | 25.       | Support         |
| Decision Requested | That a new definition for 'drive through facility' that excludes Service Stations is included in the MEP. |       |          |                          |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 56    | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|--------|---------|-----------|------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert a new rule providing for discharges to air associated with the storage and use of petroleum products, including vapour ventilation and displacement and emergency power generation as permitted activities with a default to discretionary activity status where the permitted activity standards are not met as follows:</p> <p><b><u>Discharge to Air All Zones</u></b></p> <p><b><u>These activities apply within all zones</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.## The following activities shall be permitted without resource consent where they comply with the applicable standards in 2.##</u></b></p> <p>AND</p> <p><b><u>2.## Permitted Activities</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.##.1 The discharge of contaminants including odour into air from the storage or transfer of petroleum products, including vapour ventilation and displacement.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.##.2 Discharge of contaminants to air from combustion within a stationary internal combustion engine to provide emergency power generation.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.## Standards that apply to specific permitted activities</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.##.1 Discharge of contaminants including odour into air from the storage or transfer of petroleum products, including vapour ventilation and displacement.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.##.1.1The discharge does not cause a noxious or dangerous effect beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.##.2 Discharge of contaminants to air from combustion within a stationary internal combustion engine to provide emergency power generation when:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>a) the electricity network is disrupted through weather, accidents, or any unforeseen circumstances, or</u></b></p> <p><b><u>b) the person operating the equipment is undertaking necessary maintenance or testing of the device, or</u></b></p> <p><b><u>c) the electricity connection is not available.</u></b></p> <p>AND</p> <p><b><u>2.## Discretionary Activities</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.##.1 Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.##.2. Any discharge to air not provided for as a Permitted Activity.</u></b></p> |       |        |         |           |      |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 57    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules             | 2.17.2.3. | Oppose          |
| Decision Requested | Delete 2.17.2.3 in its entirety.  |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 58    | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules             | 2.        | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert a new section providing for temporary structures or equipment for scientific monitoring purposes within Chapter 2, or in each zone as follows:</p> <p><b><u>Temporary Structure or Equipment</u></b><br/> <b><u>2.## The following activities shall be permitted without resource consent where they comply with the applicable standards in 2.##</u></b></p> <p>AND</p> <p><b><u>2.## Permitted Activities</u></b><br/> <b><u>2.##.1 Temporary structure or equipment for scientific monitoring purposes</u></b><br/> <b><u>2.##.1.1 The structure or equipment must not be located within any zone for longer than 31 days in any calendar year.</u></b><br/> <b><u>2.##.1.2 The structure or equipment must not exceed 2m in length, 2m in width and 1.5m in height.</u></b></p> <p>AND</p> <p><b><u>2.## Discretionary Activities</u></b><br/> <b><u>Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following:</u></b><br/> <b><u>2.##.1 Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards.</u></b></p> <p>OR</p> <p><b><u>Insert the above rules for temporary structures or equipment for scientific purposes into the zone rules for each zone throughout the District, other than the Port Zone.</u></b></p> |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 59    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.6.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain service stations as a permitted activity within the Industrial 1 and 2 zones. This could be achieved by retaining Rule 12.1.6 as notified.   |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 60    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.11.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 12.1.44 as notified.   |       |          |                             |           |                 |



| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>              | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 61           | Volume 2      | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.12.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 12.1.12 as notified  |              |               |                             |                  |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 62           | Volume 2      | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.29.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 12.1.29 as notified.   |              |               |                             |                  |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 63           | Volume 2      | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.31.         | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 12.1.31 as notified<br>OR<br>Include rule 12.1.31 as a permitted activity within the general rules to provide for investigative geotechnical bore drilling within all zones. |              |               |                             |                  |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 64           | Volume 2      | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.1.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 12.2.2.1 as notified.  |              |               |                             |                  |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 65           | Volume 2      | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.3.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 12.2.2.2 as notified.  |              |               |                             |                  |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 66           | Volume 2      | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.3.        | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 12.2.2.3 as notified.  |              |               |                             |                  |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 67           | Volume 2      | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.4.        | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the rules relating to night time noise in Industrial 1 and 2 zones so that the level at any time at the notional boundary of a dwelling in any other adjacent zone (except Industrial 1 or 2 zones) is 50dBA LAeq and 70dBA LAFmax, and to ensure compliance is measured against dwellings that are existing at the time of the establishment of an activity. This could be achieved by making a change as follows:</p> <p>12.2.2.4 An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within any adjacent land <b>that at the time of the establishment of an activity is either</b> zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3, or <b>is</b> within the notional boundary of a dwelling in any adjacent zone (except Industrial 1 or 2 Zones):</p> <p><b>At any time 7.00 am to 10.00 pm</b> 50 dBA LAeq<br/> <b>10.0 m to 7.00 am 40 dBA LAeq</b> 70dB LAFmax</p> |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 68    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.5.1. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 12.2.5.1 to ensure that where rules providing for odour associated with a specific activity have been included elsewhere in the plan these activities are excluded from the Industrial zones odour rule. This could be achieved by making a change as follows:</p> <p>12.2.5.1 The <b>o</b>Odour <b>that is not specifically provided for by any other rule</b>, must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.</p>   |       |          |                             |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 69    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.2.   | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter                     | Provision | Type    |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 12.3.2 to provide an exemption for generators used for civil defence purposes or during emergency situations to sit alongside the general rule (refer sub point 1004.56) as follows:</p> <p>12.3.2. Discharge of contaminants to air from combustion within a stationary internal combustion engine (i.e. internal combustion).</p> <p>12.3.2.1. The fuel used in the engine must be gas, LPG, petrol, diesel, vegetable oils or alcohol.</p> <p>12.3.2.2. Fuel containing sulphur at levels greater than 0.05% by weight must not be burned.</p> <p>12.3.2.3. The power output of the engine must not exceed 400kW, this limit applies to the total heat output from a site.</p> <p>12.3.2.4. If the power output of the engine is between 30kW and 400kW:<br/> (a) the engine must not be operated for a total of greater than 5 hours in any 24-hour period; and<br/> (b) if the engine is in a fixed location, the stack must comply with the requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 5.</p> <p>12.3.2.5. Where more than one fuel type is used on the property, the combined heat output must not exceed the lowest MW or kW threshold of any of the fuel types used.</p> <p><b><u>Exception: The above standards 12.3.2.2 – 12.3.2.5 do not apply to combustion to provide emergency power generation provided for within the general rules.</u></b></p> |       |          |                             |           |         |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 70    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.18.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 12.3.18 as notified.  |       |          |                             |           |         |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 71    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.20.  | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 12.3.20 as notified<br>OR<br>Include rule 12.3.20 within the general rules to provide a standard for investigative geotechnical bore drilling within all zones as a permitted activity, consistent with sub point 1004.63.  |       |          |                             |           |         |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 72    | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.4.     | Support |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter           | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain 12.4 Discretionary Activities as notified.   |       |          |                   |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 73    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.3.3.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain 9.3.3.1 as notified. (inferred)  |       |          |                   |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 74    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.2.2.1.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 9.2.2.1.  |       |          |                   |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 75    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.2.2.2.  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 9.2.2.2 to ensure that noise compliance is measured at the time of the establishment of an activity in the Business 1 zone, such that if a new dwelling is subsequently constructed within an adjoining zone or the zoning of the adjacent land changes, it does not risk making the established business activity non-compliant. This could be achieved by making the following changes:</p> <p>9.2.2.2. An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at the boundary of, or within, any land <b><u>that at the time of the establishment of an activity is</u></b> zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfield) or Open Space 1:</p> <p>7.00 am to 10.00 pm 50 dBA LAeq<br/> 10.00 pm to 7.00 am 40 dBA LAeq 70dB LAFmax</p> |       |          |                   |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 76    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.1.9.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 9.1.9.  |       |          |                   |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 77    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.3.4.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 9.3.4 as notified.  |       |          |                   |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 78    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.1.10.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 9.1.10 as notified.   |       |          |                   |           |                 |

| Sub No             | Submitter   | Point | Volume   | Chapter            | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 79    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone  | 9.3.5.    | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 9.3.5 as notified.  |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 80    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone  | 9.2.4.1.  | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 9.2.4.1 to ensure that where rules providing for odour associated with a specific activity have been included elsewhere in the plan these activities are excluded from the Industrial zones odour rule. This could be achieved by making a change as follows:<br><br>9.2.4.1. <b>The odour that is not specifically provided for by any other rule</b> , must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring. |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 81    | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone  | 9.4.      | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 9.4 as notified.  |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 82    | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.1.7.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 10.1.7 as notified.   |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 83    | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.1.8.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 10.1.8 as notified.   |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 84    | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.2.2.1. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 10.2.2.1 as notified.   |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 85    | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.2.2.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter            | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 10.2.2.2 to ensure that noise compliance is measured at the time of the establishment of an activity in the Business 2 zone, such that if a new dwelling is subsequently constructed within an adjoining zone or the zoning of the adjacent land changes, it does not risk making the established business activity non-compliant. This could be achieved by making the following changes:</p> <p>10.2.2.2. An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at the boundary of, or within, any land <b>that at the time of the establishment of an activity is either</b> zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3 or is within the notional boundary of a dwelling within any other zone:<br/> 7.00 am to 10.00 pm 50 dBA LAeq<br/> 10.00 pm to 7.00 am 40 dBA LAeq 70dB LAFmax</p> |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 86    | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.2.5.1. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 10.2.5.1 to ensure that where rules providing for odour associated with a specific activity have been included elsewhere in the plan these activities are excluded from the Industrial zones odour rule. This could be achieved by making a change as follows:</p> <p>10.2.5.1 The odour <b>that is not specifically provided for by any other rule</b>, must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.</p>   |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 87    | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.3.4.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 10.3.4 as notified.  |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 88    | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.3.5.   | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain 10.3.5 as notified.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Include rule 10.3.5 within the general rules to provide a standard for investigative geotechnical bore drilling within all zones as a permitted activity, consistent with relief sub-point 1004.83 above.</p>   |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 89    | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.4.     | Support         |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter            | Provision | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 10.4 as notified.  |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 90    | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.1.3.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 11.1.3 as notified.  |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 91    | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.3.3.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 11.3.3 as notified.  |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 92    | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.1.4.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain and 11.1.4 as notified<br>OR<br>Include Rule 11.1.4 as a permitted activity within the general rules to provide for investigative geotechnical bore drilling within all zones.  |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 93    | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.3.4.   | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain 11.3.4 as notified.<br>OR<br>Include rule 11.3.4 within the general rules to provide a standard for investigative geotechnical bore drilling within all zones as a permitted activity, consistent with relief in sub-point 1004.92 above. |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 94    | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.2.2.1. | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 11.2.2.1 as notified.  |       |          |                    |           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 95    | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.2.2.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter   | Provision                 | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 11.2.2.2 to ensure that noise compliance is measured at the time of the establishment of an activity in the Business 3 zone, such that if a new dwelling is subsequently constructed within a newly created adjoining zone or a Business 2 zone, it does not risk making the established business activity non-compliant. This could be achieved by making the following changes:<br><br>11.2.2.2. An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at the boundary of, or within, any land <b>that at the time of the establishment of an activity is either</b> zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3 or <b>is</b> within the notional boundary of a dwelling within any other zone <b>(except the Business 2 zone)</b> :<br>7.00 am to 10.00 pm 50 dBA LAeq<br>10.0 m to 7.00 am 40 dBA LAeq 70dB LAFmax |       |          |   |                           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 96    | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone                              | 11.2.5.1.                 | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 11.2.5.1 to ensure that where rules providing for odour associated with a specific activity have been included elsewhere in the plan these activities are excluded from the Industrial zones odour rule. This could be achieved by making a change as follows:<br><br>11.2.5.1 The odour <b>that is not specifically provided for by any other rule,</b> must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.  |       |          |   |                           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 97    | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone                              | 11.4.                     | Support         |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 11.4 as notified.  |       |          |   |                           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 98    | Volume 3 | Appendix 24 Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area |                           | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Appendix 24 so that the worker Accommodation Exclusion area does not apply to the Business and Industrial zones.   |       |          |   |                           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 99    | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                                    | Threatened Environments 3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Threatened Environment – Indigenous Vegetation Site overlay does not apply to any of the Business and Industrial zones.   |       |          |   |                           |                 |
| 1004               | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 100   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps                                    | Threatened Environments 4 | Support in Part |



| Sub No             | Submitter  | Point | Volume   | Chapter      | Provision                 | Type            |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Threatened Environment – Indigenous Vegetation Site overlay does not apply to any of the Business and Industrial zones. |       |          |              |                           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 101   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Threatened Environments 6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Threatened Environment – Indigenous Vegetation Site overlay does not apply to any of the Business and Industrial zones. |       |          |              |                           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 102   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Threatened Environments 7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Threatened Environment – Indigenous Vegetation Site overlay does not apply to any of the Business and Industrial zones. |       |          |              |                           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 103   | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Threatened Environments 8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Threatened Environment – Indigenous Vegetation Site overlay does not apply to any of the Business and Industrial zones. |       |          |              |                           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 104   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 34             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape does not apply to the Business zones of Picton.                                    |       |          |              |                           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 105   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 35             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape does not apply to the Business zones of Picton.                                    |       |          |              |                           |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited   | 106   | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps  | Zoning Map 36             | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape does not apply to the Business zones of Picton.                                    |       |          |              |                           |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>  | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b> | <b>Provision</b>       | <b>Type</b>     |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 107          | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps    | Zoning Map 38          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape does not apply to the Business zones of Picton.     |              |               |                |                        |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 108          | Volume 4      | Zoning Maps    | Zoning Map 57          | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape does not apply to the Business zones of Havelock.   |              |               |                |                        |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 109          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Soil Sensitive Area 1  | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Sensitive Soils – Impeded Soils overlay does not apply to the Business zones of Blenheim |              |               |                |                        |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 110          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Flood Hazard Area 3    | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Sensitive Soils – Impeded Soils overlay does not apply to the Business zones of Blenheim |              |               |                |                        |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 111          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Soil Sensitive Area 12 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Sensitive Soils – Impeded Soils overlay does not apply to the Business zones of Blenheim |              |               |                |                        |                 |
| <b>1004</b>        | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited  | 112          | Volume 4      | Overlay Maps   | Soil Sensitive Area 13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Sensitive Soils – Impeded Soils overlay does not apply to the Business zones of Blenheim |              |               |                |                        |                 |

| <b>Sub No</b>      | <b>Submitter</b>                 | <b>Point</b> | <b>Volume</b> | <b>Chapter</b>                  | <b>Provision</b> | <b>Type</b> |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>31</b>          | Sebastian Zuefle                 | 1            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                                 |                  |             |
| <b>31</b>          | Sebastian Zuefle                 | 2            | Volume 1      | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13   | Support     |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> |              |               |                                 |                  |             |

## Submitters in support of the Marine Farming Association

*(Please note these are not in alphabetical order)*

| Submitter                     | Address                                    |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Vincent Redwood               | 16 Edinburgh Crescent<br>Blenheim 7201     |
| Simon Pooley                  | PO Box 74<br>Rai Valley 7154               |
| Gabrielle Jane Pooley         | 29 Robertson Mill Place<br>Rai Valley 7194 |
| Luke Thompson                 | PO Box 69<br>Rai Valley 7154               |
| Allan John Climo              | 6806 State Highway 6<br>Rai Valley 7194    |
| Sam Thompson                  | 6963 State Highway 6<br>Rai Valley 7194    |
| REM Limited<br>(Sam Thompson) | 6963 State Highway 6<br>Rai Valley 7194    |
| Paul Cloridge                 | 52 Wiloman Road<br>Motueka 7120            |
| Christopher Donaldson         | 30 Lawrence Street<br>Havelock 7100        |
| Jim Wallace                   | PO Box 28<br>Rai Valley 7145               |
| Graeme Henry Clarke           | Private Bag 65030<br>Havelock 7150         |
| Martin Cunniffe               | 11B Rata Place<br>Blenheim 7201            |
| Jonathon Cameron              | 72 Stephenson Street<br>Blenheim 7201      |
| Dave Norton                   | 158 Battys Road<br>Blenheim 7201           |
| Mick Norton                   | 60 Maxwell Road<br>Blenheim                |
| Brad Joseph McNeill           | 1/126 Trafalgar Street<br>Nelson 7010      |
| Jason McKay                   | 6 Park Avenue<br>Takaka 7110               |
| Debbie Stone                  | 27 Rowan Place<br>Blenheim 7201            |
| Emma Hunter                   | 19 Adams Lane<br>Blenheim 7201             |
| Vaughan Hugh Ellis            | 9/19 Wellington Street<br>Picton 7220      |
| Tim Young                     | 17 Hampden Street<br>Picton 7220           |
| Dale Jaquery                  | 57 Abel Tasman Drive<br>Takaka 7110        |
| Michael Holland               | 3 Oak Tree Lane<br>Renwick 7204            |

| Submitter                | Address                                       |
|--------------------------|---|
| Brian Godsiff            | 20 Sunbelt Crescent<br>Takaka 7110            |
| Johnathan Dean Arbuckle  | 15A Mary Street<br>Blenheim 7201              |
| Paul Smythe              | 7 Nursery Lane<br>Seddon 7210                 |
| Belinda Allen            | 120 Lord Rutherford<br>South Brightwater 7022 |
| Daniel Boa               | 46 Salisbury Road<br>Richmond 7020            |
| John Bloomfield          | 151A Quan Street<br>Richmond 7020             |
| Vaughan Paul Warbuton    | 10 Ngati Kuia Drive<br>Havelock 7100          |
| Rebecca Floyd            | 15 Joyce Place<br>Richmond 7020               |
| Gillian Ann Powley       | 72 Salisbury Road<br>Richmond 7020            |
| Austin Carolino          | 31 Exeter Street<br>Stoke 7011                |
| Jason Khon Beo           | 16A George Street<br>Richmond 7020            |
| Audrey Craig             | 37 William Street<br>Richmond 7020            |
| Ngapeka P Rangitakatu    | 19 Stratford Street<br>Richmond 7020          |
| Nicholas James Hearn     | 11 Hammond Place<br>Blenheim 7201             |
| Joanne Rebecca Clarke    | Private Bag 65030<br>Havelock 7150            |
| Bruce Cardwell           | PO Box 213<br>Blenheim 7240                   |
| Port Aquaculture Limited | PO Box 577<br>Picton 7250                     |
| Aquaculture Direct       | PO Box 213<br>Blenheim 7240                   |
| Scott Archer             | PO Box 29<br>Rai Valley 7145                  |
| Johanna O'Connell        | 65 Kent Street<br>Picton 7220                 |
| Tiwini Hippolite         | 12 Tukuku Street<br>Nelson 7010               |
| Gordon Smith             | 151 Tipahi Street<br>Nelson 7010              |
| Vicky Clarke             | 14 Cedar Grove<br>Blenheim 7201               |

| Submitter                                  | Address   |
|--|---|
| Wirema Rowberry                            | 5 Percy Street<br>Blenheim 7201                       |
| Wayne Herd                                 | 24A Gilbert Street<br>Blenheim 7201                   |
| Lavinia Holland                            | 3 Oak Tree Lane<br>Renwick 7204                       |
| Rosie Turner                               | 33 Athol Street RD 1<br>Nelson 7071                   |
| Bevan Payton                               | 10A Seaton Street<br>Marybank<br>Nelson 7010          |
| Brendon Carl Pedersen                      | 46 Speargrass Grove<br>Timbelea<br>Upper Hutt 5018    |
| Vivienne Forrester                         | C/- The Hairy Mussel<br>PO Box 73<br>Havelock 7150    |
| Lynn Scaife                                | C/- The Hairy Mussel<br>PO Box 73802<br>Havelock 7151 |
| Janice Hahn                                | C/- The Hairy Mussel<br>PO Box 73<br>Havelock 7150    |
| Venture 353 Limited<br>(Frank Prendeville) | 12A Crofton Road<br>Harewood<br>Christchurch 8051     |
| Jacob Collins                              | 7 Admiralty Place<br>Waikawa<br>Picton 7220           |
| Andrew Robertson                           | 12 Humffrey Street<br>Grovetown<br>Blenheim 7202      |
| GAL Partnership<br>(L Bolton-Richie)       | 6 Snelling Place<br>Burwood<br>Christchurch 8083      |
| TAB Service Limited<br>(Kevin Primmer)     | 59 Neudorf Road<br>RD 2<br>Upper Moutere 7175         |
| Julie Solly                                | 101 Flaxmill Drive<br>RD 3<br>Blenheim 7273           |
| Liam Solly                                 | 101 Flaxmill Drive<br>RD 3<br>Blenheim 7273           |
| Sebastian Shand                            | 114 Milton Street<br>The Wood<br>Nelson 7010          |

| Submitter             | Address  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Dylan Goulding        | 30 St Vincent Street<br>Nelson 7010                            |
| Hayden Goulding       | 33 Athol Street<br>Glenduan<br>Nelson 7071                     |
| Mick Carter           | 3A Polstead Road<br>Stoke<br>Nelson 7011                       |
| Vanessa Hyslop        | 4 Lucienne Place<br>Hillsborough<br>Christchurch 8022          |
| Michael Wilson        | 14 Aldersley Street<br>Richmond<br>Christchurch 8013           |
| Steven Thomas         | 19 Balgay Street<br>Upper Riccarton<br>Christchurch 8041       |
| Steve O'Neill         | 36 Portman Street<br>Woolston<br>Christchurch 8062             |
| Madsen Marine Limited | 120 Lindens Road<br>RD 3<br>Blenheim 7273                      |
| Heath Webb            | 48 Nelson Street<br>Mayfield<br>Blenheim 7201                  |
| Jonathan Everett      | 131 Caseys Road RD 1<br>Blind River<br>Seddon 7285             |
| ENZAQ Aquaculture New | PO Box 696<br>Blenheim 7240                                    |
| Imelda McCarthy       | 2/56 Golf Road<br>Tahunanui<br>Nelson 7011                     |
| Jo Noonan             | 68 Leicester Street<br>Stoke<br>Nelson 7011                    |
| Long Vu               | C/- Cloudy Bay Seafood<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Shayne Kerr           | C/- Cloudy Bay Seafood<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Michelle Xiuan Qiu    | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020         |

| Submitter   | Address  |
|---|--|
| Taylor Partnership<br>(Greg Taylor)                         | 6A Huia Street<br>Waikawa<br>Picton 7220                 |
| Kris Solly  | 101 Flaxmill Drive<br>RD 3<br>Blenheim 7273              |
| Douglas Guy   | 2/49 St Vincent Street<br>Toi Toi<br>Nelson 7010         |
| Dean Higgins  | Level 4 Rangitane House<br>PO Box 1185<br>Bleneheim 7240 |
| Donaghys Limited (Paul                                      | PO Box 20449<br>Bishopdale<br>Christchurch 8543          |
| Shane Bennett   | 19 Cawthron Crescent<br>Annesbrook<br>Nelson 7011        |
| BlomVentures Limited<br>White Gold Enterprice<br>(Art Blom) | Nydia Bay<br>Private Bag 65010<br>Havelock 7150          |
| Harmon Moanaroa<br>Wallace                                  | 10 Orcades Street<br>Shirley<br>Christchurch 8013        |
| Dean Reynish  | 19 Weka Street<br>The Wood<br>Nelson 7010                |
| Sapphire Shand  | Waterfall Bay<br>Private Bag 65024<br>Havelock 7150      |
| Daryl Teale   | 79 Alabama Road<br>Redwoodtown<br>Blenheim 7201          |
| Phoebe Shand  | Waterfall Bay<br>Private Bag 65024<br>Havelock 7150      |
| Carl Anthony Schluter                                       | 56 Melcombe Street<br>Tinwald<br>Ashburton 7700          |
| Simon Barnett   | 124 Vickerman Street<br>Port Nelson<br>Nelson 7010       |
| John A Wilkins  | 4/95 Grove Street<br>The Wood<br>Nelson 7010             |
| Blair Taylor  | 62 Toi Toi Street<br>Toi Toi Nelson 7010                 |

| Submitter        | Address  |
|------------------|--|
| Ian Beer         | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 Mcpherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Juliet Barton    | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Lim Vouch        | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Chase Harrison   | 1/23 Douglas Road<br>Wakatu<br>Nelson 7011             |
| Alistair Simmons | 31 Exeter Street<br>Stoke<br>Nelson 7011               |
| Hamish Harvey    | 4/21 Otterson Street<br>Tahunanui<br>Nelson 7011       |
| Koherangi Pui    | 1/114 Parkers Road<br>Tahunanui<br>Nelson 7011         |
| Colleen Robbins  | 2972 State Highway 6<br>RD 1<br>Havelock 7178          |
| Jimmy Simpson    | 17 Kowhai Street<br>Tapawera<br>Wakefield 7096         |
| Benjamin Per     | 22 St Lawrence Street<br>Toi Toi<br>Nelson 7010        |
| John Paul Tejero | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Luom Thi Kim     | 1/142 St Vincent Street<br>Toi Toi<br>Nelson 7010      |
| Jerome Tejero    | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Hung Nguyen      | 195A Songer Street<br>Stoke<br>Nelson 7011             |
| Gena Cockerell   | 6 Lemari Avenue<br>Stoke<br>Nelson 7011                |
| Rebecca Spooner  | 10A Bledisloe Avenue<br>Stoke Nelson 7011              |

| Submitter                           | Address  |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Sam Clay                            | 3 Mahakipawa Road<br>Havelock 7100                           |
| Aroma New Zealand                   | PO Box 2683<br>Christchurch 8140                             |
| Campbell Bowis                      | 3/326 Armagh Street<br>Christchurch 8011                     |
| Paul Starkey                        | 18 Henderson Street<br>Blenheim 7201                         |
| Quality Equipment<br>(Jason Lovell) | PO Box 5097<br>Port Nelson<br>Nelson 7043                    |
| Phillip Blaylock                    | 104 Lord Rutherford Road<br>South Brightwater<br>Nelson 7022 |
| Jamie Hrstich                       | 2515 State Highway 6<br>RD 1<br>Havelock 7178                |
| Kyle Gribben                        | 1/30 Dodson Valley Road<br>Atawhai<br>Nelson 7010            |
| James Baker                         | 5654 Kenepuru Road<br>RD 2<br>Picton 7282                    |
| BDM Management                      | 2 The Wickets<br>Hillmorton<br>Christchurch 8024             |
| Roger Gledenning                    | 11 Mollymawk Place<br>Woolston<br>Christchurch 8023          |
| John Gallagher                      | 10A Chevron Place<br>Ilam<br>Christchurch 8041               |
| Lydia B Harvey                      | 43 Broadhaven Avenue<br>Parklands<br>Christchurch 8083       |
| Vicki Maree Evrard                  | 389 Marine Drive<br>Charters Bay<br>Governors Bay 8971       |
| Bevan Gordon Reid                   | 500 Mairehau Road<br>Parklands<br>Christchurch 8083          |
| Wayne Kelvin Benny                  | 1/37B Main Road<br>Redcliffs<br>Christchurch 8081            |
| Donald Bruce Simpson                | 49A Main Road<br>Redcliffs<br>Christchurch 8081              |

| Submitter              | Address  |
|------------------------|--|
| Trung Thanh Nguyen     | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Sokhom Pich            | 4/74 Muritai Street<br>Tahunanui<br>Nelson 7011        |
| Lisita Tangataevaha    | 78 Waimea Road<br>Nelson South<br>Nelson 7010          |
| Lovey Filimoeatu       | 42 Washington Road<br>Washington Valley<br>Nelson 7010 |
| Maria Hemara           | 2/55 Norwich Street<br>Stoke<br>Nelson 7011            |
| Alicia de Leen         | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Huu Van Tang           | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Trang Ngo              | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Harmony Haira          | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Tan Pham               | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Van Nguyen             | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Christine Margret      | 3 Ruataniwha Place<br>RD 3<br>French Pass 7193         |
| Trevor Brian Satherley | 3 Ruataniwha Place<br>RD 3<br>French Pass 7193         |
| Bruce Lock             | 161 Port Underwood<br>RD 1<br>Picton 7281              |
| Susan Foster           | 796 Wakamarina Road<br>RD 1<br>Havelock 7178           |

| Submitter                                  | Address   |
|--|---|
| Ku Ra                                      | 86 Carisbrooke Street<br>Aranui<br>Christchurch 8061    |
| Lily Tamaiparea                            | 2/37B Main Road<br>Redcliffs<br>Christchurch 8081       |
| Paul Dargan                                | 325 Wainoni Road<br>Avondale<br>Christchurch 8061       |
| Ross MacDonald                             | 70A Ryan Street<br>Phillipstown<br>Christchurch 8011    |
| Daniel Sandrey                             | 3 Greig Lane<br>Canvastown RD 1<br>Havelock 7178        |
| Colin Ronald Norton<br>Tom Ronald Norton   | 237 Westdale Road<br>RD 1<br>Richmond<br>Nelson 7081    |
| Jacobson Marine Farms<br>(Victor Jacobson) | Main Road<br>State Highway 6<br>RD 2<br>Rai Valley 7192 |
| Michael Bourke                             | 312 Mt Riley Road<br>Okaramio<br>RD 1<br>Havelock 7178  |

| Submitter                                    | Address  |
|--|--|
| Matthew Emms                                 | Level 4 Rangitane<br>PO Box 1185<br>Blenheim 7240      |
| Jonathan William Hodges                      | Level 4 Ranitane House<br>PO Box 1185<br>Blenheim 7240 |
| Robin Bruce Harris<br>Valerie Annette Harris | 260 Elaine Bay Road<br>RD 3<br>French Pass 7193        |
| Robbin Harris                                | 260 Elaine Bay Road<br>RD 3<br>French Pass 7193        |
| Valerie Annette Harris                       | 260 Elaine Bay Road<br>RD 3<br>French Pass 7193        |
| Gary Orchard<br>Ellen Orchard                | 6918 Kenepuru Road<br>RD 2<br>Picton 7282              |
| Joanne Evalyn Cook                           | 8 Rui Street<br>Tahunanui<br>Nelson 7011               |
| Transport Investments                        | 15 Artillery Place<br>Richmond<br>Nelson 7081          |
| Nolan Day                                    | Incomplete Address                                     |
| Alex Khadzhi                                 | Incomplete Address                                     |
| John Andrew McGregor                         | Incomplete Address                                     |



## Submitters in support of Aquaculture NZ

*(Please note these are not in alphabetical order)*

| Submitter                     | Address                                    |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Vincent Redwood               | 16 Edinburgh Crescent<br>Blenheim 7201     |
| Simon Pooley                  | PO Box 74<br>Rai Valley 7154               |
| Gabrielle Jane Pooley         | 29 Robertson Mill Place<br>Rai Valley 7194 |
| Luke Thompson                 | PO Box 69<br>Rai Valley 7154               |
| Allan John Climo              | 6806 State Highway 6<br>Rai Valley 7194    |
| Sam Thompson                  | 6963 State Highway 6<br>Rai Valley 7194    |
| REM Limited<br>(Sam Thompson) | 6963 State Highway 6<br>Rai Valley 7194    |
| Paul Cloridge                 | 52 Wiloman Road<br>Motueka 7120            |
| Christopher Donaldson         | 30 Lawrence Street<br>Havelock 7100        |
| Jim Wallace                   | PO Box 28<br>Rai Valley 7145               |
| Graeme Henry Clarke           | Private Bag 65030<br>Havelock 7150         |
| Martin Cunniffe               | 11B Rata Place<br>Blenheim 7201            |
| Jonathon Cameron              | 72 Stephenson Street<br>Blenheim 7201      |
| Dave Norton                   | 158 Battys Road<br>Blenheim 7201           |
| Mick Norton                   | 60 Maxwell Road<br>Blenheim                |
| Brad Joseph McNeill           | 1/126 Trafalgar Street<br>Nelson 7010      |
| Jason McKay                   | 6 Park Avenue<br>Takaka 7110               |
| Debbie Stone                  | 27 Rowan Place<br>Blenheim 7201            |
| Emma Hunter                   | 19 Adams Lane<br>Blenheim 7201             |
| Vaughan Hugh Ellis            | 9/19 Wellington Street<br>Picton 7220      |
| Tim Young                     | 17 Hampden Street<br>Picton 7220           |
| Dale Jaquery                  | 57 Abel Tasman Drive<br>Takaka 7110        |
| Michael Holland               | 3 Oak Tree Lane<br>Renwick 7204            |

| Submitter                | Address                                       |
|--------------------------|---|
| Brian Godsiff            | 20 Sunbelt Crescent<br>Takaka 7110            |
| Johnathan Dean Arbuckle  | 15A Mary Street<br>Blenheim 7201              |
| Paul Smythe              | 7 Nursery Lane<br>Seddon 7210                 |
| Belinda Allen            | 120 Lord Rutherford<br>South Brightwater 7022 |
| Daniel Boa               | 46 Salisbury Road<br>Richmond 7020            |
| John Bloomfield          | 151A Quan Street<br>Richmond 7020             |
| Vaughan Paul Warbuton    | 10 Ngati Kuia Drive<br>Havelock 7100          |
| Rebecca Floyd            | 15 Joyce Place<br>Richmond 7020               |
| Gillian Ann Powley       | 72 Salisbury Road<br>Richmond 7020            |
| Austin Carolino          | 31 Exeter Street<br>Stoke 7011                |
| Jason Khon Beo           | 16A George Street<br>Richmond 7020            |
| Audrey Craig             | 37 William Street<br>Richmond 7020            |
| Ngapeka P Rangitakatu    | 19 Stratford Street<br>Richmond 7020          |
| Nicholas James Hearn     | 11 Hammond Place<br>Blenheim 7201             |
| Joanne Rebecca Clarke    | Private Bag 65030<br>Havelock 7150            |
| Bruce Cardwell           | PO Box 213<br>Blenheim 7240                   |
| Port Aquaculture Limited | PO Box 577<br>Picton 7250                     |
| Aquaculture Direct       | PO Box 213<br>Blenheim 7240                   |
| Scott Archer             | PO Box 29<br>Rai Valley 7145                  |
| Johanna O'Connell        | 65 Kent Street<br>Picton 7220                 |
| Tiwini Hippolite         | 12 Tukuku Street<br>Nelson 7010               |
| Gordon Smith             | 151 Tipahi Street<br>Nelson 7010              |
| Vicky Clarke             | 14 Cedar Grove<br>Blenheim 7201               |

| Submitter                                  | Address   |
|--|---|
| Wirema Rowberry                            | 5 Percy Street<br>Blenheim 7201                       |
| Wayne Herd                                 | 24A Gilbert Street<br>Blenheim 7201                   |
| Lavinia Holland                            | 3 Oak Tree Lane<br>Renwick 7204                       |
| Rosie Turner                               | 33 Athol Street RD 1<br>Nelson 7071                   |
| Bevan Payton                               | 10A Seaton Street<br>Marybank<br>Nelson 7010          |
| Brendon Carl Pedersen                      | 46 Speargrass Grove<br>Timbelea<br>Upper Hutt 5018    |
| Vivienne Forrester                         | C/- The Hairy Mussel<br>PO Box 73<br>Havelock 7150    |
| Lynn Scaife                                | C/- The Hairy Mussel<br>PO Box 73802<br>Havelock 7151 |
| Janice Hahn                                | C/- The Hairy Mussel<br>PO Box 73<br>Havelock 7150    |
| Venture 353 Limited<br>(Frank Prendeville) | 12A Crofton Road<br>Harewood<br>Christchurch 8051     |
| Jacob Collins                              | 7 Admiralty Place<br>Waikawa<br>Picton 7220           |
| Andrew Robertson                           | 12 Humffrey Street<br>Grovetown<br>Blenheim 7202      |
| GAL Partnership<br>(L Bolton-Richie)       | 6 Snelling Place<br>Burwood<br>Christchurch 8083      |
| TAB Service Limited<br>(Kevin Primmer)     | 59 Neudorf Road<br>RD 2<br>Upper Moutere 7175         |
| Julie Solly                                | 101 Flaxmill Drive<br>RD 3<br>Blenheim 7273           |
| Liam Solly                                 | 101 Flaxmill Drive<br>RD 3<br>Blenheim 7273           |
| Sebastian Shand                            | 114 Milton Street<br>The Wood<br>Nelson 7010          |

| Submitter             | Address  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Dylan Goulding        | 30 St Vincent Street<br>Nelson 7010                            |
| Hayden Goulding       | 33 Athol Street<br>Glenduan<br>Nelson 7071                     |
| Mick Carter           | 3A Polstead Road<br>Stoke<br>Nelson 7011                       |
| Vanessa Hyslop        | 4 Lucienne Place<br>Hillsborough<br>Christchurch 8022          |
| Michael Wilson        | 14 Aldersley Street<br>Richmond<br>Christchurch 8013           |
| Steven Thomas         | 19 Balgay Street<br>Upper Riccarton<br>Christchurch 8041       |
| Steve O'Neill         | 36 Portman Street<br>Woolston<br>Christchurch 8062             |
| Madsen Marine Limited | 120 Lindens Road<br>RD 3<br>Blenheim 7273                      |
| Heath Webb            | 48 Nelson Street<br>Mayfield<br>Blenheim 7201                  |
| Jonathan Everett      | 131 Caseys Road RD 1<br>Blind River<br>Seddon 7285             |
| ENZAQ Aquaculture New | PO Box 696<br>Blenheim 7240                                    |
| Imelda McCarthy       | 2/56 Golf Road<br>Tahunanui<br>Nelson 7011                     |
| Jo Noonan             | 68 Leicester Street<br>Stoke<br>Nelson 7011                    |
| Long Vu               | C/- Cloudy Bay Seafood<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Shayne Kerr           | C/- Cloudy Bay Seafood<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Michelle Xiuan Qiu    | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020         |

| Submitter   | Address  |
|---|--|
| Taylor Partnership<br>(Greg Taylor)                         | 6A Huia Street<br>Waikawa<br>Picton 7220                 |
| Kris Solly  | 101 Flaxmill Drive<br>RD 3<br>Blenheim 7273              |
| Douglas Guy   | 2/49 St Vincent Street<br>Toi Toi<br>Nelson 7010         |
| Dean Higgins  | Level 4 Rangitane House<br>PO Box 1185<br>Bleneheim 7240 |
| Donaghys Limited (Paul                                      | PO Box 20449<br>Bishopdale<br>Christchurch 8543          |
| Shane Bennett   | 19 Cawthron Crescent<br>Annesbrook<br>Nelson 7011        |
| BlomVentures Limited<br>White Gold Enterprice<br>(Art Blom) | Nydia Bay<br>Private Bag 65010<br>Havelock 7150          |
| Harmon Moanaroa<br>Wallace                                  | 10 Orcades Street<br>Shirley<br>Christchurch 8013        |
| Dean Reynish  | 19 Weka Street<br>The Wood<br>Nelson 7010                |
| Sapphire Shand  | Waterfall Bay<br>Private Bag 65024<br>Havelock 7150      |
| Daryl Teale   | 79 Alabama Road<br>Redwoodtown<br>Blenheim 7201          |
| Phoebe Shand  | Waterfall Bay<br>Private Bag 65024<br>Havelock 7150      |
| Carl Anthony Schluter                                       | 56 Melcombe Street<br>Tinwald<br>Ashburton 7700          |
| Simon Barnett   | 124 Vickerman Street<br>Port Nelson<br>Nelson 7010       |
| John A Wilkins  | 4/95 Grove Street<br>The Wood<br>Nelson 7010             |
| Blair Taylor  | 62 Toi Toi Street<br>Toi Toi Nelson 7010                 |

| Submitter        | Address  |
|------------------|--|
| Ian Beer         | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 Mcpherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Juliet Barton    | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Lim Vouch        | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Chase Harrison   | 1/23 Douglas Road<br>Wakatu<br>Nelson 7011             |
| Alistair Simmons | 31 Exeter Street<br>Stoke<br>Nelson 7011               |
| Hamish Harvey    | 4/21 Otterson Street<br>Tahunanui<br>Nelson 7011       |
| Koherangi Pui    | 1/114 Parkers Road<br>Tahunanui<br>Nelson 7011         |
| Colleen Robbins  | 2972 State Highway 6<br>RD 1<br>Havelock 7178          |
| Jimmy Simpson    | 17 Kowhai Street<br>Tapawera<br>Wakefield 7096         |
| Benjamin Per     | 22 St Lawrence Street<br>Toi Toi<br>Nelson 7010        |
| John Paul Tejero | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Luom Thi Kim     | 1/142 St Vincent Street<br>Toi Toi<br>Nelson 7010      |
| Jerome Tejero    | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Hung Nguyen      | 195A Songer Street<br>Stoke<br>Nelson 7011             |
| Gena Cockerell   | 6 Lemari Avenue<br>Stoke<br>Nelson 7011                |
| Rebecca Spooner  | 10A Bledisloe Avenue<br>Stoke Nelson 7011              |

| Submitter                           | Address  |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Sam Clay                            | 3 Mahakipawa Road<br>Havelock 7100                           |
| Aroma New Zealand                   | PO Box 2683<br>Christchurch 8140                             |
| Campbell Bowis                      | 3/326 Armagh Street<br>Christchurch 8011                     |
| Paul Starkey                        | 18 Henderson Street<br>Blenheim 7201                         |
| Quality Equipment<br>(Jason Lovell) | PO Box 5097<br>Port Nelson<br>Nelson 7043                    |
| Phillip Blaylock                    | 104 Lord Rutherford Road<br>South Brightwater<br>Nelson 7022 |
| Jamie Hrstich                       | 2515 State Highway 6<br>RD 1<br>Havelock 7178                |
| Kyle Gribben                        | 1/30 Dodson Valley Road<br>Atawhai<br>Nelson 7010            |
| James Baker                         | 5654 Kenepuru Road<br>RD 2<br>Picton 7282                    |
| BDM Management                      | 2 The Wickets<br>Hillmorton<br>Christchurch 8024             |
| Roger Gledenning                    | 11 Mollymawk Place<br>Woolston<br>Christchurch 8023          |
| John Gallagher                      | 10A Chevron Place<br>Ilam<br>Christchurch 8041               |
| Lydia B Harvey                      | 43 Broadhaven Avenue<br>Parklands<br>Christchurch 8083       |
| Vicki Maree Evrard                  | 389 Marine Drive<br>Charters Bay<br>Governors Bay 8971       |
| Bevan Gordon Reid                   | 500 Mairehau Road<br>Parklands<br>Christchurch 8083          |
| Wayne Kelvin Benny                  | 1/37B Main Road<br>Redcliffs<br>Christchurch 8081            |
| Donald Bruce Simpson                | 49A Main Road<br>Redcliffs<br>Christchurch 8081              |

| Submitter              | Address  |
|------------------------|--|
| Trung Thanh Nguyen     | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Sokhom Pich            | 4/74 Muritai Street<br>Tahunanui<br>Nelson 7011        |
| Lisita Tangataevaha    | 78 Waimea Road<br>Nelson South<br>Nelson 7010          |
| Lovey Filimoeatu       | 42 Washington Road<br>Washington Valley<br>Nelson 7010 |
| Maria Hemara           | 2/55 Norwich Street<br>Stoke<br>Nelson 7011            |
| Alicia de Leen         | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Huu Van Tang           | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Trang Ngo              | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Harmony Haira          | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Tan Pham               | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Van Nguyen             | C/- Cloudy Bay<br>24 McPherson Street<br>Richmond 7020 |
| Christine Margret      | 3 Ruataniwha Place<br>RD 3<br>French Pass 7193         |
| Trevor Brian Satherley | 3 Ruataniwha Place<br>RD 3<br>French Pass 7193         |
| Bruce Lock             | 161 Port Underwood<br>RD 1<br>Picton 7281              |
| Susan Foster           | 796 Wakamarina Road<br>RD 1<br>Havelock 7178           |

| Submitter                                  | Address   |
|--|---|
| Ku Ra                                      | 86 Carisbrooke Street<br>Aranui<br>Christchurch 8061    |
| Lily Tamaiparea                            | 2/37B Main Road<br>Redcliffs<br>Christchurch 8081       |
| Paul Dargan                                | 325 Wainoni Road<br>Avondale<br>Christchurch 8061       |
| Ross MacDonald                             | 70A Ryan Street<br>Phillipstown<br>Christchurch 8011    |
| Daniel Sandrey                             | 3 Greig Lane<br>Canvastown RD 1<br>Havelock 7178        |
| Colin Ronald Norton<br>Tom Ronald Norton   | 237 Westdale Road<br>RD 1<br>Richmond<br>Nelson 7081    |
| Jacobson Marine Farms<br>(Victor Jacobson) | Main Road<br>State Highway 6<br>RD 2<br>Rai Valley 7192 |
| Michael Bourke                             | 312 Mt Riley Road<br>Okaramio<br>RD 1<br>Havelock 7178  |

| Submitter                                    | Address  |
|--|--|
| Matthew Emms                                 | Level 4 Rangitane<br>PO Box 1185<br>Blenheim 7240      |
| Jonathan William Hodges                      | Level 4 Ranitane House<br>PO Box 1185<br>Blenheim 7240 |
| Robin Bruce Harris<br>Valerie Annette Harris | 260 Elaine Bay Road<br>RD 3<br>French Pass 7193        |
| Robbin Harris                                | 260 Elaine Bay Road<br>RD 3<br>French Pass 7193        |
| Valerie Annette Harris                       | 260 Elaine Bay Road<br>RD 3<br>French Pass 7193        |
| Gary Orchard<br>Ellen Orchard                | 6918 Kenepuru Road<br>RD 2<br>Picton 7282              |
| Joanne Evalyn Cook                           | 8 Rui Street<br>Tahunanui<br>Nelson 7011               |
| Transport Investments                        | 15 Artillery Place<br>Richmond<br>Nelson 7081          |
| Nolan Day                                    | Incomplete Address                                     |
| Alex Khadzhi                                 | Incomplete Address                                     |
| John Andrew McGregor                         | Incomplete Address                                     |

## Submitters in support of other Submitters

*(Please note these are not in alphabetical order)*

| Submitter  | Sub No      | Submitter Supported   |
|--|-------------|---|
| Forest and Bird Te Hoiere Bat Recovery Project             | 496         | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest & Bird) |
| Yachting New Zealand Inc                                   | 506         | Waikawa Boating Club  |
| Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated                          | 1247        | Waikawa Boating Club  |
| Sharon Parkes  | 338         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand                            |
| Coatbridge Limited   | 355         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand                            |
| Dale Hulbert   | 312         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand                            |
| Raeburn Property Partnership                               | 1085        | Federated Farmers of New Zealand                            |
| Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth                       | 1122        | Federated Farmers of New Zealand                            |
| New Zealand Deer Farmers Association                       | 992         | Federated Farmers of New Zealand                            |
| Raeburn Property Partnership                               | 1085        | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated        |
| Beal Family Trust  | 619         | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated        |
| Lloyd Sampson David  | 891         | Apex Marine Farm Limited                                    |
| Apex Marine Farm Limited                                   | 549         | Lloyd Sampson David   |
| Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited | 611         | The Fishing Industry Submitters                             |
| PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated                | 1039        | The Fishing Industry Submitters                             |
| Legacy Fishing Limited                                     | 907         | The Fishing Industry Submitters                             |
| New Zealand Deer Farmers Association                       | 992         | Beef and Lamb New Zealand                                   |
| Dennis Burkhart  | 643         | Flaxbourne Settlers Association                             |
| The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated            | 1194        | Climate Karanga Marlborough                                 |
| Jo Kerry   | 812         | Soil and Health Association of New Zealand Incorporated     |
| Dianne Elizabeth & Kenneth George Gullery                  | 324         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated                     |
| Totaranui Limited  | 232         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated                     |
| P H Redwood and Company Limited                            | 1020 & 1167 | Marine Farming Association Incorporated                     |
| Sanford Limited  | 1141        | Marine Farming Association Incorporated                     |
| A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust            | 517         | Marine Farming Association Incorporated                     |
| Totaranui Limited  | 232         | Aquaculture New Zealand                                     |
| A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust            | 517         | Aquaculture New Zealand                                     |