

**In the Environment Court  
at Christchurch**

**ENV-2020-CHC-070**

**In the Matter**

of the Resource Management Act  
1991 (**Act**)

**And**

**In the Matter**

of an appeal under Clause 14(1),  
Schedule 1 of the Act

**Between**

**TE RUNANGA O NGATI KUIA  
TRUST**

Appellant

**And**

**MARLBOROUGH DISTRICT  
COUNCIL**

Respondent

**Notice of wish to be party to proceedings  
by Te Rūnanga o Kaikōura and  
Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu**

Dated: 8 June 2020

---

---

**Lane Neave**  
Level 1, 2 Memorial Street  
PO Box 701  
Queenstown 9348  
Solicitor Acting: Joshua Leckie  
Email: [joshua.leckie@laneneave.co.nz](mailto:joshua.leckie@laneneave.co.nz)  
Phone: 03 372 6307

**lane neave.**

**To:** The Registrar  
Environment Court  
Christchurch

1. Te Rūnanga o Kaikōura and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu (**Ngāi Tahu**) wish to be party to the following appeal against parts of the decisions of the Respondent (**Decisions**) on the Proposed Marlborough Environment Plan (**Proposed Plan**):

(a) *Te Runanga o Ngati Kuia Trust v Marlborough District Council* (ENV-2020-CHC-070) (**Appeal**).

2. Ngāi Tahu made a submission about the subject matter of the Appeal.

3. Ngāi Tahu is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991 (**Act**).

4. Ngāi Tahu is interested in the whole of the Appeal.

5. In particular, Ngāi Tahu is interested in the following particular issues:

(a) the identification and protection of cultural sites of significance;

(b) the definition of sites of significance; and

(c) coastal discharges.

6. Ngāi Tahu **supports** the relief sought:

(a) strengthening the protection of cultural sites of significance and the definition of sites of significance; and

(b) seeking consultation with Marlborough's Tangata Whenua on the overlay map 'Restricted Areas for Discharges from Ships'

because that relief is fundamental to recognising and providing for the ancestral relationship of Ngāi Tahu and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu, and other taonga, and kaitiakitanga, and for the reasons set out in the Ngāi Tahu Appeal.

7. Ngāi Tahu **opposes** the relief sought to the extent it has the effect of not placing necessary onus for protecting sites of significant with the provisions of the Proposed Plan. Ngāi Tahu considers that there is an

onus on the respondent to protect sites of significance through the Proposed Plan as outlined in the notice of appeal by Ngāi Tahu.

8. Ngāi Tahu agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the Appeal.

Dated this 8th day of June 2020



---

Joshua Leckie

Counsel for Te Rūnanga o Kaikōura and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu

**Address for Service of Te Rūnanga o Kaikōura and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu:**

Phone: 03 372 6307/03 372 6351

Email: [joshua.leckie@laneneave.co.nz](mailto:joshua.leckie@laneneave.co.nz)/[kelsey.barry@laneneave.co.nz](mailto:kelsey.barry@laneneave.co.nz)

Contact person: Joshua Leckie/Kelsey Barry