

Report

# Havelock Sewage Treatment Plant Consent Compliance Report (1 June 2017 - 31 May 2018)

**Prepared for Marlborough District Council (Client)**

**By CH2M Beca Limited**

17 September 2018



## Revision History

Revision N°	Prepared By	Description	Date
A	Julia van Eeden	Draft for client review	30/08/2018
B	Julia van Eeden	Final (updated with MDC comments)	17/09/2018

## Document Acceptance

Action	Name	Signed	Date
Prepared by	Julia van Eeden		17/09/2018
Reviewed by	Sarah Burgess		17/09/2018
Approved by	Graeme Jenner		17/09/2018
on behalf of	CH2M Beca Limited		

## Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Consent Conditions</b> .....	<b>2</b>
2.1	Consent Purpose .....	2
2.2	Condition 2 – Maximum Daily Discharge Rate .....	2
2.3	Condition 6 – Pond Effluent and Effluent Monitoring .....	2
2.4	Condition 7 – Annual Monitoring Report .....	12
2.5	Condition 8 – Recommendations .....	12
2.6	Condition 14 – Discharge to Air.....	15
<b>3</b>	<b>Conclusions</b> .....	<b>15</b>

## Appendices

**Appendix A - Consent U070013**

**Appendix B - Havelock Sewage Treatment Ponds and Sampling Locations**

**Appendix C - Monitoring Data**

**Appendix D – Cawthron Report**

## 1 Introduction

The purpose of this report is to summarise the performance of the Havelock Sewage Treatment Plant (STP), for the period 1 June 2017 to 31 May 2018, against the requirements of Consent U070013. This consent expired on 31 May 2018 and staff are working with the Council Regulatory Department to confirm conditions for a new consent. Until this is granted, the STP will continue to operate under the terms of the existing consent. A copy of Consent U070013 is included in **Appendix A**.

The Havelock STP is operated by Marlborough District Council (MDC) and is located on the true left bank of the Kaituna River, just upstream of the road bridge on Mahakipawa/Queen Charlotte Drive, Havelock. The STP was constructed in 1984 and consisted of a single pond (Pond 1) discharging to the Kaituna River. A second pond was constructed in 1999 to allow desludging of Pond 1 in the summer of 1999/2000.

The two ponds operate in series with continuous discharge of treated effluent to a tidal portion of the Kaituna River. An upgrade in 2008 consisted of adding a bund to Pond 2 to create two smaller ponds (Ponds 2 and 3). The objective of this work was to improve the disinfection of the wastewater, (i.e. increased removal of potential pathogens), as well as further reduce the concentrations of Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) and suspended solids in the effluent.

In June 2010, mechanical aeration was added to Pond 1 to increase the BOD loading capacity (arising from overloading from local industries). An in-bank rock diffuser was also constructed to allow more efficient disposal of treated effluent to the river. Mechanical aeration has been used regularly on Pond 1 over the past year.

While some improvements in on-site treatment were made by industry after the overloading incident, trade waste loads are still significant and industrial users have been encouraged to continue to implement practices to reduce high strength discharges to the sewer.

A map showing the layout and location of the ponds, as well as the treated effluent and river sampling locations, is included in **Appendix B**.

Only those consent conditions that have numerical or qualitative monitoring requirements are assessed. For clarity, consent conditions are quoted in *italics*.

## 2 Consent Conditions

### 2.1 Consent Purpose

Consent U070013 allows for the discharge of up to 2,400 cubic metres per day of treated effluent from the Havelock STP to the Kaituna River.

### 2.2 Condition 2 – Maximum Daily Discharge Rate

*The maximum daily discharge rate as measured by the inflow to the ponds shall be not more than 2400 cubic metres per day.*

A flow meter has recently been installed at the terminal pump station. A 90<sup>th</sup>ile value of 500 m<sup>3</sup>/d and a maximum flow value of 1,190 m<sup>3</sup>/d was recorded over the 2017 -2018 period. There is currently no flow meter on the ponds outlet.

The maximum daily discharge volume of 2,400m<sup>3</sup> was chosen during the consent application process, as this flow equates to the capacity of the two pumps at the terminal pump station and allows for a significant component of wet weather inflow and infiltration. As the maximum pond inflow recorded in the 2017/18 period was significantly below the maximum daily discharge consent limit, the Havelock STP discharge is considered compliant with Condition 2.

### 2.3 Condition 6 – Pond Effluent and Effluent Monitoring

*The consent holder shall carry out monitoring as follows and make available to the Manager, Regulatory Department, Marlborough District Council, the monitoring results as received.*

#### 2.3.1 Condition 6 i) – Effluent Monitoring

i) Effluent monitoring

a) *Grab samples of pond effluent shall be taken by the consent holder once yearly in January or February and analysed for the following:*

- a. *Chemical oxygen demand*
- b. *Dissolved reactive phosphorus*
- c. *Nitrate nitrogen*
- d. *Nitrite nitrogen*
- e. *Ammonia nitrogen*
- f. *Total nitrogen*
- g. *Total phosphorus*
- h. *Total kjeldahl nitrogen*

*Sampling is to be undertaken between two and four hours after high tide.*

A grab sample of pond effluent was taken on 18 January 2018. Analysis in compliance with this condition was carried out for all parameters except chemical oxygen demand. The results are set out in Table 2.1.

**Table 2.1 - Pond effluent quality (from the sample taken on 18 January 2018)**

Parameter	Value
Chemical oxygen demand (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	Not recorded
Dissolved reactive phosphorus (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	2.4
Nitrate nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.2
Nitrite nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.1
Ammonia nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	26
Total nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	46
Total phosphorus (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	6
Total kjeldahl nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	45

### 2.3.2 Condition 6 ii) a) – Pond Effluent Monitoring

ii) Pond Effluent monitoring

a) *Grab samples of pond effluent shall be taken by the consent holder in June or July and analysed for the following:*

- a. *Chemical oxygen demand*
- b. *Carbonaceous bio-chemical oxygen demand (5 day)*
- c. *Carbonaceous bio-chemical oxygen demand - soluble (5 day)*
- d. *Total suspended solids*
- e. *Conductivity*
- f. *Total nitrogen*
- g. *Nitrite nitrogen*
- h. *Nitrate nitrogen*
- i. *Total kjeldahl nitrogen*
- j. *Total phosphorus*
- k. *Enterococci*
- l. *Faecal coliforms*
- m. *pH*
- n. *Dissolved oxygen*
- o. *Ammonia nitrogen*
- p. *Dissolved reactive phosphorus*
- q. *Temperature*

*Sampling is to be undertaken between two and four hours after high tide.*

A grab sample of pond effluent was taken on 12 July 2017 and the results are set out in Table 2.2. All the analytes were measured in the sample except for the concentration of faecal coliforms. Faecal coliforms from an earlier sample taken on 22 June 2017 have been included in the table.

Effluent pH, dissolved oxygen and temperature are routinely measured by the plant operator, and the average of all June and July 2017 measurements for these parameters are shown in Table 2.3 for comparison.

**Table 2.2 - Pond effluent parameters (from the sample taken on 12 July 2017)**

Parameter	Value
Chemical oxygen demand (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	220
Carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand (cBOD <sub>5</sub> ) (5 day) (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	32
Carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand (cBOD <sub>5</sub> ) - soluble (5 day) (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	12
Total suspended solids (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	67
Conductivity (mS/m)	1,857
Total nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	45
Nitrite nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.004
Nitrate nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	<0.002
Total kjeldahl nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	45
Total phosphorus (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	5.4
Enterococci (number/100mL)	2,760
Faecal coliforms (cfu/100mL) <sup>1</sup>	35,000
pH (field)	9.1
Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	4.86
Ammonia nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	32
Dissolved reactive phosphorus (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	1.26
Water Temperature (field) (°C)	8.3

**Table 2.3 - Pond effluent parameters (averages from June/July 2017 monitoring)**

Parameter	Value
pH (field)	7.78
Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	3.06
Water Temperature (field) (°C)	9.84

### 2.3.3 Condition 6 ii) b) – Pond Effluent Monitoring

- b) *Grab samples of pond effluent shall be taken by the consent holder monthly from November to April inclusive and analysed for the following:*
- a. *Carbonaceous bio-chemical oxygen demand (5 day)*
  - b. *Carbonaceous bio-chemical oxygen demand - soluble (5 day)*
  - c. *Total suspended solids*
  - d. *Conductivity*
  - e. *Enterococci*
  - f. *Faecal coliforms*

<sup>1</sup> From sample collected on 22 June 2017

- g. pH
- h. Dissolved oxygen
- i. Temperature

Sampling is to be undertaken between two and four hours after high tide.

Grab samples of pond effluent were taken monthly from November 2017 to April 2018. The results for the individual grab samples collected are shown in Table 1 in **Appendix C**.

Table 2.4 shows the median concentrations of the six, monthly samples taken between November 2017 and April 2018 (i.e. summer period). To compare trends over time, the median concentrations of samples for the previous four monitoring periods are also included in Table 2.4.

**Table 2.4 - Pond effluent monthly monitoring data, median concentrations (November to April) for periods 2013/14 to 2017/18 inclusive**

Parameter	November 2013 to April 2014 (six samples)	November 2014 to April 2015 (six samples)	November 2015 to April 2016 (six samples)	November 2016 to April 2017 (six samples)	November 2017 to April 2018 (six samples)
Carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand (5 day) (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	52	46	57	50.5	45 <sup>2</sup>
Carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand - soluble (5 day) (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	6	11	17.5	21.5	7.0 <sup>3</sup>
Total suspended solids (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	116	67	108.5	101	70.5
Conductivity (mS/m)	213	271	258.5	190.7	180.9 <sup>4</sup>
Enterococci (cfu/100mL)	243	1,378	3,130	5,302	1,962
Faecal coliforms (cfu/100mL)	8,950	25,500	92,000	80,000	71,000
pH	8	7.9	8.2	7.8	7.6
Dissolved oxygen (ppm)	10 <sup>5</sup>	4.84	11.62	6.8	6.68
Temperature (°C)	21	18.1	19.4	20.3	18.05

<sup>2</sup> Only five samples in this average due to one measurement not being taken.

<sup>3</sup> Only four samples in this average due to two measurements not being taken.

<sup>4</sup> Value was initially recorded as 1,809 mS/m, however MDC have confirmed this was an error.

<sup>5</sup> The June 2013 to May 2014 report used only three samples in this average because the other four were considered to be above the maximum dissolved oxygen concentrations possible at the water sample temperature. Reference has since been found to super-saturated water conditions in oxidation ponds and in future results will be included from all samples.



### 2.3.4 Comments on Effluent Quality Monitoring Results

The consent does not include effluent quality limits. However, a comparison of summer effluent quality for the past four years shows that both BOD and TSS concentrations are consistent with that expected from STPs with a similar three pond layout. The median concentration of dissolved oxygen has been variable year-on-year and the pH has varied from 7.5 – 8.2, which is also consistent with expected pond performance.

In terms of microbiological quality, the median enterococci concentration has decreased from the previous year, while the median faecal coliform concentration is similar to the two preceding years.

### 2.3.5 Condition 6 iii) a) - Kaituna River Monitoring

*a) Grab samples of Kaituna River water 50 metres upstream and 50 metres downstream of the discharge shall be taken by the consent holder once yearly in January or February and analysed for the following:*

- a. Total nitrogen*
- b. Ammonia nitrogen*
- c. Nitrate nitrogen*
- d. Nitrite nitrogen*
- e. Total kjeldahl nitrogen*
- f. Total phosphorus*
- g. Dissolved reactive phosphorus*

*Sampling is to be undertaken between two and four hours after high tide.*

As grab samples of Kaituna River water, 50m upstream and 50m downstream of the discharge point, were taken on 18 January 2018, compliance with this condition was achieved. The results, which are set out in Table 2.5, show an approximate one log order increase in the ammonia nitrogen and dissolved reactive phosphorus concentrations between the upstream and downstream sites, which is similar to previous years. Measured concentrations of all other parameters were generally similar upstream and downstream of the outfall.

**Table 2.5 - Kaituna River water quality parameters for samples taken 50m upstream and downstream of the discharge point on 18 January 2018**

Parameter	Upstream Value	Downstream Value
Total nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.55	0.92
Ammonia nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	<0.010	0.195
Nitrate nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.182	0.186
Nitrite nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.002	0.004
Total kjeldahl nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.36	0.73
Total phosphorus (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.102	0.109
Dissolved reactive phosphorus (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.008	0.023

### 2.3.6 Condition 6 iii) b) - Kaituna River Monitoring

b) Grab samples of Kaituna River water 50 metres upstream and 50 metres downstream of the discharge shall be taken by the consent holder once yearly in June or July and analysed for the following:

- a. Conductivity
- b. Total nitrogen
- c. Nitrite nitrogen
- d. Nitrate nitrogen
- e. Total kjeldahl nitrogen
- f. Total phosphorus
- g. Enterococci
- h. Faecal coliforms
- i. pH
- j. Dissolved oxygen
- k. Ammonia nitrogen
- l. Dissolved reactive phosphorus
- m. Temperature

Sampling is to be undertaken between two and four hours after high tide.

Grab samples were taken from the Kaituna River on 11 July 2017 at locations 50m upstream and 50m downstream of the discharge point. All samples were analysed for the parameters required by the consent, and the results are shown in Table 2.6. It can be seen from this table that the concentrations of most parameters in the July sampling event were lower in the downstream sample, in contrast to the results from the January 2018 sampling. For further discussion on the potential reasons for this are included in Section 2.3.8.

**Table 2.6 - Kaituna River water quality parameters for grab samples taken 50m upstream and downstream of the outfall 11 July 2017**

Parameter	Upstream Value	Downstream Value
Conductivity (mS/m)	1181	172
Total nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	1.29	1.2
Nitrite nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	<0.002	<0.002
Nitrate nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	1.08	1.12
Total kjeldahl nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.21	<0.100
Total phosphorus (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.038	0.021
Enterococci (number/100mL)	<10	<10
Faecal coliforms (cfu/100mL)	370	10
pH	9.08	8.01
Dissolved oxygen (ppm)	12.47	13.05
Ammonia nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.156	<0.010
Dissolved reactive phosphorus (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.013	0.009
Temperature (°C)	10.1	10.3

### 2.3.7 Condition 6 iii) c) Kaituna River Monitoring

c) *Grab samples of Kaituna River water 50 metres upstream and 50 metres downstream of the discharge shall be taken by the consent holder weekly from November to April inclusive and analysed for the following:*

- a. *Conductivity*
- b. *Enterococci*
- c. *Faecal coliforms*
- d. *pH*
- e. *Dissolved oxygen*
- f. *Temperature*
- g. *E. coli*

*Sampling is to be undertaken between two and four hours after high tide.*

Grab samples from the Kaituna River, 50m upstream and 50m downstream of the discharge point, were taken with an average interval of 7 days between November 2017 and April 2018. The longest period of time between sampling was 8 days. The results for the grab samples collected are shown in Tables 2 and 3 in **Appendix C**.

Figure 2.1, Figure 2.2 and Figure 2.3 show a summary of the monitoring results. Median microbiological concentrations (shown in Figure 2.1) were higher in the upstream sample site compared to the downstream site for all species monitored. For further discussion on the potential reasons for this are included in Section 2.3.8.

Figure 2.2 shows that the values for dissolved oxygen, temperature and pH were similar at both the upstream and downstream locations, with the exception of the maximum downstream dissolved oxygen value and minimum upstream temperature value.

The conductivity measurements shown in Figure 2.3 are similar except for the median and 75<sup>th</sup> percentile values which are higher upstream compared to downstream.




Figure 2.1 - Microbiological concentrations measured in Kaituna River for period November 2017 to April 2018




Figure 2.2 - Dissolved oxygen, temperature and pH measured in Kaituna River for period November 2017 to April 2018




Figure 2.3 - Conductivity measurements in Kaituna River for period November 2017 to April 2018

### 2.3.8 Comments on Kaituna River Monitoring Results

The monitoring results for several parameters, including *E.Coli* and faecal coliforms, show higher concentrations in the upstream samples. After the submission of the 2016/17 annual report, which reported similar results, questions were raised by MDC's Regulatory Department regarding the suitability of the sampling point 50m upstream from the STP discharge - given the tidal nature of the Kaituna River at the point of discharge. To obtain a better understanding of this, and confirm that the river quality at the upstream sampling point is a suitable baseline, MDC commissioned Cawthron to undertake a dye study in March 2018. The scope of the study was to investigate the behaviour of the treated wastewater plume from the STP discharge under different tidal flow scenarios. Results from the study indicate that there is no consistent evidence that the STP is contributing to the higher concentrations upstream, and there are likely to be other factors at play. These include:

- The position of the sampling point is a shallow area close to the river bank and may be accumulating contaminants.
- Faecal contamination in particular may be coming from alternative sources such as a farm drain located 150m upstream, or wildfowl, such as paradise ducks, that frequent the area.

The full report (see Appendix D) recommends that future monitoring be undertaken as near as possible to low tide, to allow for maximum possible flushing from the upstream site, as well as avoiding sample collection too close to the river bank.

### 2.3.9 Condition 6 iv) Influent Sewage Monitoring

*The consent holder shall carry out monitoring as follows and make available to the Manager, Regulatory Department, Marlborough District Council, the monitoring results as received.*

- iv) Influent Sewage Monitoring

a) 24 hour composite samples of Havelock raw sewage influent shall be taken annually by the consent holder in January or February and analysed for the following:

- a. Chemical oxygen demand
- b. Biochemical oxygen demand
- c. Total suspended solids
- d. Conductivity
- e. Total nitrogen
- f. Ammonia nitrogen
- g. Nitrite nitrogen
- h. Nitrate nitrogen
- i. Total kjeldahl nitrogen
- j. Total phosphorus
- k. Dissolved reactive phosphorus
- l. Faecal coliforms
- m. Enterococci
- n. pH

A 24 hour composite sample of sewage influent was taken on 18 January 2018 (see Table 2.7). As noted earlier, contaminant loads to the STP increased prior to 2010 due to industrial expansion in the port area. Industry has been encouraged to implement practices to reduce discharges of high BOD, and in the sample taken the BOD concentration is within the range of typical domestic effluent. However, the COD:BOD ratio of the wastewater is approximately 3.6:1, which is higher than the typical ratio for domestic effluent of between 1.25-2.5:1 (Metcalf & Eddy, Inc., 1991), which may be a reflection of the industrial inputs. To date, the STP has been coping with the high influent loads as reflected in the effluent monitoring data.

**Table 2.7 – Raw sewage influent 24 hour composite sample 18 January 2018**

Parameter	Value
Chemical oxygen demand (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	490
Biochemical oxygen demand (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	136
Total suspended solids (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	210
Conductivity (mS/m)	51
Total nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	29
Ammonia nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	29
Nitrite nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	<0.02
Nitrate nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	<0.02
Total kjeldahl nitrogen (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	51
Total phosphorus (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	4.1
Dissolved reactive phosphorus (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	3.2
Faecal coliforms (cfu/100mL)	35,000,000
Enterococci (cfu/100mL)	>242,000
pH	6.7

## 2.4 Condition 7 – Annual Monitoring Report

*An annual monitoring report shall be prepared that summarises the performance of the sewage treatment system. Copies of all analyses and comments shall be made available to the Manager, Compliance, Marlborough District Council on request.*

This report has been written to fulfil current consent requirements.

## 2.5 Condition 8 – Recommendations

*The reports required by Condition 7, which are to include recommendations regarding the impact of the treated effluent on the receiving environment, shall be provided to Manager, Regulatory Department, Marlborough District Council, by May 31 each year for the term of the consent.*

### 2.5.1 Comments on Kaituna River Monitoring

The current consent does not include river water quality limits. However, the Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan (MSRMP) requires the Kaituna River to be managed for fishery purposes and lists eight water quality standards for the river. Some of these standards are reflected in Condition 4 of the consent (which provides for a 100m mixing zone - 50m upstream and downstream of the discharge point), as well as Condition 5 as follows:

#### ***i. No Conspicuous oil or grease films or foams or floatable or suspended material***

None of these effects have been observed in the river in the 2017/18 year.

#### ***ii. Any conspicuous change in colour or visual clarity***

Turbidity and black disk monitoring are not required. However, the discharge effluent TSS is unlikely to cause a conspicuous change in visual clarity after reasonable mixing in the often turbid, tidal waters.

### iii. Any significant effects on aquatic life

**Light penetration** – this is not considered relevant because of the tidal fluctuations in the river and its shallow nature at low tide.

**Ammonia Toxicity** – the ammonia-N concentrations measured at the downstream sampling site in July 2017 and January 2018 were <0.010 and 0.195 g/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively. These values are within the ANZECC (2000) marine waters guideline of 0.91g/m<sup>3</sup> for “slightly to moderately disturbed” ecosystems (95% level of protection for aquatic species at pH of 8). The values also meet the ANZECC 2000 trigger value of 0.90 g/m<sup>3</sup> for “slightly to moderately disturbed” freshwater at the same level of ecosystems protection. On the basis of these trigger values, ammonia within the STP discharge is unlikely to be having a significant toxic effect on aquatic life outside the 100m mixing zone.

**Particulate Organic Matter** – Cawthron (2006) noted some localised enrichment in sediments for 10m downstream of the discharge. The downstream water nutrient concentrations are relatively low and coupled with the relatively turbid tidally flushed environment, are unlikely to support significant enrichment of the waterbody.

**Aquatic Invertebrate Communities** – Cawthron (2006) concluded that the STP discharge does not appear to have a significant adverse effect on aquatic invertebrate communities in the river.

Other standards required by the MSRMP for fishery purposes are:

***The temperature shall not be changed by more than 3°C and shall not exceed 20°C.***

Over the monitoring period of November 2017 to April 2018, there was one instance where the temperature between the upstream and downstream sampling point differed by 6.8 °C on 8 March 2018. The median difference between the two sampling points was 0.3 °C for the period. On 26 January 2018, the measured upstream temperature of 20.2°C was 0.3 °C higher than the downstream temperature of 19.9°C. In addition, on four separate dates (23 November, 7 December, 20 December and 3 January), the temperature exceeded 20 °C but this was true in both the upstream and downstream sampling points. Therefore, the discharge is not likely to be having a significant effect on the temperature of Kaituna River.

***The dissolved oxygen shall exceed 80% of saturation***

Over the monitoring period of November 2017 to April 2018, 15 of 26 sampling days at the upstream sampling point had a DO percentage of saturation < 80% compared with 13 days at the downstream sampling point (see Table 2 and 3 in **Appendix C**). The frequency of DO readings below 80% has increased significantly from the previous year where only two days were recorded below this limit. DO readings measured below 80% in both upstream and downstream locations occurred on 11 of 26 sample days, therefore values are likely to be mainly affected by conditions within the estuarine environment.

The lower reaches of the river may be considered part of the coastal marine area which is required by the MSRMP to be managed for shellfish gathering (according to Appendix H of MSRMP). Along with the above conditions for temperature and dissolved oxygen, the MSRMP requires that water in such areas shall not be rendered unsuitable by the presence of contaminants as follows:

***Median faecal coliforms concentration of not less than five samples, taken within any consecutive 30 day period, shall not exceed a Most Probable Number (MPN) of 14 per 100ml (or Colony Forming Units per 100ml), and not more than ten percent of samples taken within any consecutive 30 day period shall exceed an MPN of 43 per 100ml (or 43 Colony Forming Units per 100ml) as a result of any discharge of a contaminant or water. Samples shall not be taken on the same or consecutive days.***



During the sampling period, July 2017 to April 2018, the lowest five day rolling median faecal coliforms concentration (taken within a consecutive 30 day period), measured 50m upstream and downstream of the discharge point was 230 cfu/100 mL and 68 cfu/100ml, respectively. This exceeded the median MSRMP limit for faecal coliforms. The median faecal coliform concentration of the samples taken over the entire monitoring period was 790 cfu/100mL and 330 cfu/100mL for the upstream and downstream points respectively,

A report by Cawthron (2007) on the effects of the discharge on shellfish gathering concluded that if a STP upgrading can reduce the median faecal coliforms concentration of the discharge to 10,000cfu/100mL or better, this is likely to bring the median faecal coliform concentration at the river mouth very close to the median faecal coliforms standard in the MSRMP for shellfish gathering. The median faecal coliforms concentration of the effluent as measured between November 2017 and April 2018 was 71,000 cfu/100mL (see Table 2.4). It should be noted that the lower estuary (ie below the bridge) receives other discharges including rural runoff and stormwater from Havelock Township. Regardless, shellfish gathering in such environments is not encouraged by the Nelson/Marlborough Public Health Unit. This point was made clear by Geoff Cameron, Senior Public Health Protection Officer during consultation for the consenting of the Blenheim STP discharge to the Wairau Estuary.

Although the MSRMP does not require the river to be managed for contact recreation purposes, people have been observed bathing at the Queen Charlotte Bridge about 300m downstream of the discharge. There are three standards in the plan for contact recreation:

**Visual Clarity** – see above discussion for fishery purposes

**Microbiological Contaminants** – The MSRMP states that:

***The median concentration of enterococci of at least 20 samples taken throughout the bathing season shall not exceed 33 per 100 mL, nor shall any sample exceed 107 enterococci per 100 mL. The bathing season is defined as the period of 1 November to 1 April inclusive.***

The upstream and downstream median enterococci concentration of samples taken between November 2017 and April 2018, (171 number/100mL and 126 number/100ml respectively), were both above the MSRMP median concentration of 33 number/100mL. The maximum MSRMP enterococci limit of 107/100mL was also exceeded in the river at both the upstream and downstream locations (see Figure 2.1). Enterococci concentrations were higher in the upstream sample but will decrease with dilution and some expected die-off between the downstream sampling point and the Mahakipawa Bridge, a further 250m downstream. The state of the tide will influence available dilution and time available for die-off of enteric bacteria.

MDC commissioned NIWA to carry out an investigation in 2015 into the health risk for swimmers in the river, at the Mahakipawa Bridge as it is occasionally used as a swimming spot. The NIWA report used the highly infectious Norovirus as a “model” pathogen to quantify the risk to swimmers at the bridge. It was concluded that health risks to swimmers can occasionally be high at the bridge due to the following factors:

- A high Norovirus concentration in the ponds influent
- Low rates of removal of Norovirus through the ponds
- Low dilutions of the wastewater plume at the bridge during the ebb tide

NIWA recommended improving the STP treatment system’s virus removal efficacy to consistently achieve two log orders of magnitude removal of Norovirus. Options for increasing virus reduction through the treatment plant have been investigated and planning is underway for a new treatment plant on a new site.

**Biological Growths** – biological growths can reduce the aesthetic quality of a river. Although the BOD concentration at the edge of the mixing zone may exceed the MSRMP limit of 2 g/m<sup>3</sup>, Cawthron (2006) notes that oxygen-depleting microorganisms will be flushed to the sea before there is any significant additional effect on the river. Plant nutrients such as nitrogen and phosphorus can cause excessive plant growths. However, Cawthron (2006) consider this is unlikely in the river as strong tidal flows will prevent biological growths forming.

### 2.5.2 Summary

From the above discussion, it can be concluded that the STP discharge generally meets the river standards with the exception of occasional exceedances from Standards 6 (temperature) and 7 (dissolved oxygen). The water quality within the lower reaches of the estuary exceeds the requirements for shellfish gathering due to multiple factors, including runoff from farmland and stormwater discharges from the township, as well as the STP discharge. Shellfish gathering is not encouraged by MDC, or public health authorities, in estuaries which flow through farmland and urban areas.

The river water quality does not currently meet bathing water requirements. NIWA has determined that there can be a higher risk to swimmers at the Mahakipawa Bridge under certain conditions. The key improvement would be to reduce the pathogen concentrations in the effluent. MDC is currently planning for a new WWTP on a new site.

## 2.6 Condition 14 – Discharge to Air

*There shall be no odour detectable beyond the boundary of the property on more than ten (10) days per calendar year commencing January 1 and concluding December 31, that in the opinion of a Council officer, responsible for air quality functions, is offensive and objectionable.*

As no complaints of odour were received by Council, during the period 1 June 2017 to 31 May 2018, it can be concluded that compliance with this condition was achieved.

## 3 Conclusions

The Havelock STP produces a reasonably good effluent quality with BOD and TSS concentrations within the range expected for the existing pond layout. The pond aerators were used regularly during the reporting period to maintain pond DO concentrations and no odour complaints were received. However, effluent faecal coliform concentrations can be high ranging from a median of 71,000 to 92,000 cfu/100mls in the November to April period, over the past 3 years.

Monitoring was carried out in the June 2017 to May 2018 period, generally as required by Consent U070013.

The current consent does not include river water quality limits. However, monitoring shows that the STP discharge generally meets the Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan (MSRMP) standards for the Kaituna River. The Plan requires that the river be managed for fishery purposes and lists eight water quality standards to be met. It is noted that while the concentration of microbial contaminants in the river, immediately downstream of the discharge, exceeds the MSRMP quality standards, these are exceeded upstream as well. The water quality within the lower reaches of the estuary is impacted by the presence of runoff from farmland, stormwater discharges from the township, as well as the STP discharge.

Investigations have shown that a higher risk to swimmers may be present at the bridge, 300m downstream of the STP, when high influent Norovirus concentrations, low treatment pond removal

rates and lower river dilutions occur (e.g. during the ebb tide). MDC is progressing plans for a new treatment plant at a new site which will address this issue.

After the 2016/2017 annual monitoring report was submitted, questions were raised by MDC's Regulatory Department regarding the suitability of the sampling point 50m upstream from the STP discharge. Cawthron undertook a dye investigation in March 2018 and concluded that there was only limited evidence that the STP was contributing to the high contaminant concentrations reported upstream. The report suggested that the higher results could be attributed to insufficient mixing at the sampling point or other sources of contamination (such as the nearby farmland drain or wildlife). Other sampling considerations were also recommended in the report. A new upstream sampling point is being investigated by MDC for the new discharge consent.

## References

ANZECC (2000). *Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Marine and Freshwater Quality Volume 2 – Aquatic Ecosystems*.

Cawthron (2006). *Resource Consent Application for Discharge from Havelock Oxidation Pond into the Kaituna River*, received by Marlborough District Council.

Cawthron (2007). *Resource Consent Application for Discharge from Havelock Oxidation Pond into the Kaituna River – Shellfish Monitoring Requirements*, received by Marlborough District Council.

Marlborough District Council (2003). *Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan*.

Metcalf & Eddy, Inc. (1991). *Wastewater Engineering* (Third ed.). McGraw-Hill.

NIWA (2015). *Kaituna River (Havelock) Swimmers' Health Risk Assessment*.

Appendix A

**Consent U070013**

## Schedule of Consent Conditions

### Discharge Permit (to Water)

#### Expiry Date

1. This consent shall expire May 31 2018.

#### Maximum Daily Discharge Rate

2. The maximum daily discharge rate as measured by the inflow to the ponds shall be not more than 2400 cubic metres per day.
3. The discharge to the Kaituna River shall be in general accordance with resource consent application U070013, received by the Marlborough District Council, January 9 2007, and the further information provided on September 26 2007.

#### Mixing Zone

4. The mixing zone in the Kaituna River shall be defined as 50 metres from the discharge point (both upstream and downstream).
5. The treated effluent from the Havelock Sewage Treatment Plant, after reasonable mixing (i.e. beyond the mixing zone), shall not give rise to all or any of the following effects in the receiving waters:
  - (i) The production of any conspicuous oil or grease films, scums or foams, or floatable or suspended materials;
  - (ii) Any conspicuous change in colour or visual clarity;
  - (iii) Any significant adverse effects on aquatic life.

#### Pond Effluent and Effluent Monitoring

6. The consent holder shall carry out monitoring as follows and make available to the Manager, Regulatory Department, Marlborough District Council, the monitoring results as received.

M

(i) Effluent Monitoring.

a) Grab samples of pond effluent shall be taken by the consent holder once yearly in January or February and analysed for the following:

- a. Chemical oxygen demand ✓
- b. Dissolved reactive phosphorous ✓
- c. Nitrate nitrogen ✓
- d. Nitrite nitrogen ✓
- e. Ammonia nitrogen ✓
- f. Total nitrogen ✓
- g. Total phosphorus ✓
- h. Total Kjeldahl nitrogen ✓

Sampling is to be undertaken between two and four hours after high tide.

(ii) Pond Effluent Monitoring.

a) Grab samples of pond effluent shall be taken by the consent holder in June or July and analysed for the following:

- a. Chemical oxygen demand ✓
- b. Carbonaceous bio-chemical oxygen demand (5 day) ✓
- c. Carbonaceous bio-chemical oxygen demand – soluble (5 day) ✓
- d. Total suspended solids ✓
- e. Conductivity ✓
- f. Total nitrogen ✓
- g. Nitrite nitrogen ✓
- h. Nitrate nitrogen ✓
- i. Total Kjeldahl nitrogen ✓
- j. Total phosphorus ✓
- k. Enterococci ✓
- l. Faecal coliforms ✓
- m. PH ✓
- n. Dissolved oxygen ✓
- o. Ammonia nitrogen ✓

M



- p. Dissolved reactive phosphorus ✓
- q. Temperature ✓

Sampling is to be undertaken between two and four hours after high tide.

- b) Grab samples of pond effluent shall be taken by the consent holder monthly from November to April inclusive and analysed for the following;

- a. Carbonaceous Bio-chemical oxygen demand (5 day) ✓
- b. Carbonaceous Bio-chemical oxygen demand – soluble (5 day) ✓
- c. Total suspended solids
- d. Conductivity ✓
- e. Enterococci ✓
- f. Faecal coliforms ✓
- g. PH ✓
- h. Dissolved oxygen ✓
- i. Temperature ✓

Sampling is to be undertaken between two and four hours after high tide.

(iii) Kaituna River Monitoring.

- a) Grab samples of Kaituna River water 50 metres upstream and 50 metres downstream of the discharge shall be taken by the consent holder once yearly in January or February and analysed for the following:

- a. Total nitrogen ✓
- b. Ammonia nitrogen ✓
- c. Nitrate nitrogen ✓
- d. Nitrite nitrogen ✓
- e. Total Kjeldahl nitrogen ✓
- f. Total phosphorus ✓
- g. Dissolved reactive phosphorus ✓

*h*



Sampling is to be undertaken between two and four hours after high tide.

- b) Grab samples of Kaituna River water 50 metres upstream and 50 metres downstream of the discharge shall be taken by the consent holder once yearly in June or July and analysed for the following:

- a. Conductivity ✓
- b. Total nitrogen ✓
- c. Nitrite nitrogen ✓
- d. Nitrate nitrogen ✓
- e. Total Kjeldahl nitrogen ✓
- f. Total phosphorus ✓
- g. Enterococci ✓
- h. Faecal coliforms ✓
- i. PH ✓
- j. Dissolved oxygen ✓
- k. Ammonia nitrogen ✓
- l. Dissolved reactive phosphorus ✓
- m. Temperature ✓

Sampling is to be undertaken between two and four hours after high tide.

- c) Grab samples of Kaituna River water 50 metres upstream and 50 metres downstream of the discharge shall be taken by the consent holder weekly from November to April inclusive and analysed for the following:

- a. Conductivity ✓
- b. Enterococci ✓
- c. Faecal coliforms ✓
- d. PH ✓
- e. Dissolved oxygen ✓
- f. Temperature ✓
- g. E.coli ✓ ← NEEDS TO BE ADDED

Sampling is to be undertaken between two and four hours after high tide.

my

(iv) Influent sewage monitoring.

a) 24 hour composite samples of Havelock raw sewage influent shall be taken annually by the consent holder in January or February and analysed for the following;

- a. Chemical oxygen demand ✓
- b. Biochemical oxygen demand ✓
- c. Total suspended solids ✓
- d. Conductivity ✓
- e. Total nitrogen ✓
- f. Ammonia nitrogen ✓
- g. Nitrite nitrogen ✓
- h. Nitrate nitrogen ✓
- i. Total Kjeldahl nitrogen ✓
- j. Total phosphorus ✓
- k. Dissolved reactive phosphorus ✓
- l. Faecal coliform ✓
- m. Enterococci ✓
- n. PH ✓

7. An annual monitoring report shall be prepared that summarises the performance of the sewage treatment system. Copies of all analyses and comments shall be made available to the Manager, Compliance, Marlborough District Council on request.

8. The reports required by Condition 7, which are to include recommendations regarding the impact of the treated effluent on the receiving environment, shall be provided to Manager, Regulatory Department, Marlborough District Council, by May 31 each year for the term of the consent.

9. In accordance with section 128 of the Resource Management Act 1991, the Marlborough District Council may review the conditions of this resource consent. This review may be conducted annually in either February or August for the duration of the consent, for the following purposes:

a) To review the effectiveness of the existing resource consent conditions in avoiding or mitigating any adverse effects on the environment from the

exercise of this resource consent and, if necessary, to avoid, remedy or mitigate such effects by way of further or amended conditions; or

- b) To review the adequacy of and necessity for monitoring the effect of the discharge on the environment; or
- c) To require the consent holder to adopt the best practicable option to remove or reduce adverse effects on the surrounding environment; or
- d) To enable Council's Regulatory Department to impose any further conditions or take any further action requiring the consent holder to adopt the best practicable option to remove or reduce any effect on the environment arising from the discharge of treated sewage effluent.

#### **Public Warning**

- 10. In all circumstances where the results of any analysis, required under this consent, exceeds any applicable public health standards or are such as to give rise to any risk to the public the applicant shall forthwith upon the receipt of the results notify on the Marlborough District Council website the circumstances of the high readings, the implications of the same in terms of risk to health and safety and the estimated duration of such risks. The form of the public notice shall be submitted to the Manager, Resource Consents, Marlborough District Council, and approved and repeated at each juncture that such a reading is received.
- 11. Appropriate signage to be approved by the Manager, Resource Consents, Marlborough District Council, shall be placed in plain public view identifying the location of the point of any discharge and the potential hazards of high readings with the mixing zone. The signage shall also include details of the Marlborough District Council website and advice to the effect that any readings of the kind referred to in condition 10 shall be notified on the Marlborough District Council website.

N

**Lapse Condition**

**Discharge Permit (to Air)**

**Expiry Date**

12. This consent shall expire May 31 2018.
13. The discharge to the Kaituna River shall be in general accordance with resource consent application U070013, received by the Marlborough District Council, January 9 2007, and the further information provided on September 26 2007.
14. There shall be no odour detectable beyond the boundary of the property on more than ten (10) days per calendar year commencing January 1 and concluding December 31, that in the opinion of a Council officer, responsible for air quality functions, is offensive and objectionable.
15. The applicant shall ensure that checks are made not less than once in each fourteen (14) day period, to determine if any offensive or objectionable discharge to air is occurring and shall maintain a record of each check and the results. The record shall be available for inspection at any time, by any authorised officer of the Marlborough District Council.

**Lapse Condition**

**Land Use (River Surface or Bed Activity)**

16. This consent shall expire May 31 2043.
17. This consent shall lapse November 30 2009, unless given effect to within that timeframe.
18. The discharge to the Kaituna River shall be in general accordance with resource consent application U070013, received by the Marlborough District Council, January 9 2007, and the further information provided on September 26 2007.

h



**IMPORTANT NOTE:**

1. Without predetermining the question of any application for extension of the lapse period in relation to Condition 17, it is recorded that the applicant would be expected to justify why the construction has not commenced in the periods provided.
2. The consent holder may be charged for actual and reasonable costs associated with any review and any monitoring required in accordance with this consent.



Signed M J Hunt  
Commissioner



Appendix B

# Havelock Sewage Treatment Ponds and Sampling Locations



Appendix C

## Monitoring Data



**Table 1 - Havelock STP Monthly Pond Effluent Data**

Parameter	2/11/2017	7/12/2017	14/12/2017	18/01/2018	28/02/2018	1/03/2018	27/04/2018
Carbonaceous BOD <sub>5</sub> (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	50	61	45	Not Done	-	26	21
Carbonaceous BOD <sub>5</sub> - soluble (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	<8	61	-	Not Done	-	2	6
Total suspended solids (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	57	193	189	-	82	59	40
Conductivity (mS/m)	1802	25.8	1832	2570	-	-	1809
Enterococci (cfu/100mL)	11200	134	3260	13000	-	119	663
Faecal coliforms (cfu/100mL)	110000	20000	82000	220000	-	60000	16000
pH	7.62	8.71	7.08	8.71	7.4	-	7.55
Dissolved oxygen (ppm)	10.91	10.69	1.1	15.24	1.12	-	2.67
Temperature (°C)	18	24.6	16.2	22.7	18.1	-	15.5

**Table 2 - Kaituna River Weekly Monitoring Data Upstream Results**

Upstream Sample Date	Conductivity (mS/m)	Enterococci (cfu/100mL)	Faecal coliforms (cfu/100mL)	pH	Dissolved oxygen (ppm)	Temperature (°C)	E.Coli (cfu/100mL)	DO Sat U/S	% DO Saturation
2-Nov-17	1408	<10	<18	7.48	10.64	16.3	<18	9.86	108%
9-Nov-17	601	20	230	7.60	12.91	16.2	230	9.86	131%
16-Nov-17	1328	<10	170	7.31	9.02	16.7	170	9.86	91%
23-Nov-17	7730	<10	310	7.24	8.15	20.7	130	9.65	84%
30-Nov-17	3800	20	490	7.10	6.13	17.4	490	9.65	64%
7-Dec-17	22900	216	2800	7.53	6.06	23.8	2800	9.65	63%
14-Dec-17	9630	10	790	7.03	8.58	18.5	790	9.65	89%
20-Dec-17	20600	185	1400	7.54	7.20	20.7	1400	9.65	75%
28-Dec-17	12	63	330	7.14	7.80	16.2	330	9.86	79%
3-Jan-18	20800	238	1100	7.24	8.99	23.1	1100	9.65	93%
11-Jan-18	1392	410	3500	6.81	8.44	16.9	3500	9.86	86%
18-Jan-18	9300	428	5200	7.04	9.40	18.3	4300	9.65	97%
26-Jan-18	163	146	230	7.10	6.45	20.2	230	9.65	67%
2-Feb-18	84	364	>1600	7.34	6.57	18.2	1600	9.65	68%
8-Feb-18	1588	195	790	7.10	5.73	15.6	790	10.07	57%
14-Feb-18	860	2800	2800	7.17	7.85	18.2	86	9.65	81%
22-Feb-18	70	7700	7900	7.40	6.96	14.1	4900	10.29	68%
1-Mar-18	Not Done	109	460	7.46	7.30	17.9	460	9.65	76%
8-Mar-18	171	187	2400	6.68	7.37	7.4	2400	12.13	61%
15-Mar-18	3280	86	1600	7.50	7.17	18.0	920	9.65	74%
22-Mar-18	191	6130	9200	7.74	8.32	15.4	5400	10.07	83%
29-Mar-18	170	96	1300	8.03	7.35	15.8	1300	10.07	73%
5-Apr-18	926	63	330	8.15	8.24	17.4	330	9.65	85%
12-Apr-18	2440	52	700	7.62	7.46	11.1	700	11.01	68%
19-Apr-18	115	171	540	7.56	6.60	13.4	350	10.53	63%
26-Apr-18	1181	31	170	7.54	5.92	12.6	170	10.76	55%

**Table 3 - Kaituna River Weekly Monitoring Data Downstream Results**

Downstream Sample Date	Conductivity (mS/m)	Enterococci (cfu/100mL)	Faecal coliforms (cfu/100mL)	pH	Dissolved oxygen (ppm)	Temperature (°C)	E.Coli (cfu/100mL)	DO Sat D/S	% DO Saturation
2-Nov-17	1671	10	<18	7.38	9.32	16.1	<18	9.86	95%
9-Nov-17	121	<10	68	7.29	10.95	15.8	68	10.07	109%
16-Nov-17	791	<10	45	6.88	8.58	16.2	45	9.86	87%
23-Nov-17	22500	31	130	7.77	7.21	21.3	130	9.65	75%
30-Nov-17	1967	31	330	6.97	6.18	17.0	330	9.65	64%
7-Dec-17	18220	132	790	7.39	6.44	23.5	790	9.65	67%
14-Dec-17	11010	41	230	7.83	7.93	18.6	230	9.65	82%
20-Dec-17	17440	119	1300	7.40	7.72	20.4	1300	9.65	80%
28-Dec-17	13	63	>1600	7.24	7.12	14.9	1600	10.29	69%
3-Jan-18	21800	288	2800	7.33	9.04	22.9	2800	9.65	94%
11-Jan-18	2370	908	9200	6.89	8.36	17.2	460	9.65	87%
18-Jan-18	9000	738	5400	7.12	10.55	18.1	5400	9.65	109%
26-Jan-18	91	187	680	7.19	6.74	19.9	680	9.65	70%
2-Feb-18	106	354	>1600	7.12	6.41	18.4	>1600	9.65	66%
8-Feb-18	522	74	78	7.06	6.05	15.9	78	10.07	60%
14-Feb-18	650	490	490	7.06	9.74	18.6	41	9.65	101%
22-Feb-18	48	5170	9200	7.09	18.98	14.1	2400	10.29	184%
1-Mar-18	Not Done	84	540	7.40	9.01	17.5	540	9.65	93%
8-Mar-18	148	199	230	6.89	18.21	14.2	230	10.29	177%
15-Mar-18	2470	146	33	7.66	7.67	18.0	33	9.65	79%
22-Mar-18	272	5480	>16000	7.35	7.32	15.1	>16000	10.07	73%
29-Mar-18	88	84	490	6.41	8.15	15.5	490	10.07	81%
5-Apr-18	573	10	45	7.68	8.54	17.2	45	9.65	88%
12-Apr-18	1247	74	330	7.59	7.82	10.8	78	11.27	69%
19-Apr-18	96	145	170	7.34	7.54	13.3	170	10.53	72%
26-Apr-18	389	63	240	7.42	5.58	12.3	240	10.76	52%

Appendix D

# Cawthron Report