

## MARLBOROUGH DISTRICT COUNCIL

Healthy rivers and streams are

In order to asses the health of

to provide information which

natural resource.

sites.

economic wellbeing of the region.

Marlborough's waterways, council

assists in the management of that

The map in Figure 1 shows the water quality at 35 long-term

monitoring sites using a water

guality index described later in this

document. Also shown are sites

ensure better regional coverage

and representation. We do not yet

have sufficient data to determine

the water quality at these new

Every three years, full reports

health and examination of changes over time. The last such

provide in-depth analysis of river

report was published in 2023 and can

be found on the council website. In

that were added recently to

has a network of monitoring sites

integral to the social and

# **River Health - Update 2024**

## Key Points

- This Report Card is an update on the state of Marlborough's rivers. A more indepth analysis of river health can be found in 3-yearly reports available on the website.
- Water quality of streams and rivers in the Marlborough region is monitored monthly using a number of different measures.
- Three years of monitoring data is combined to calculate water quality indices.
- The majority of river monitoring sites have good or fair water quality.
- The water quality index allows prioritisation of improvement actions. There are several programmes with the aim to improve river health.
- Sites with several NPS-FM states in the C or D/E band also have low water quality indices.
- The Marlborough Environment Plan includes measures to assess its effectiveness.

### **River Health Monitoring**



Figure 1: River water quality at long-term monitoring sites (coloured) and new monitoring sites (white).

the years between full reports, report cards, such as this one provide updated information.

Monitoring sites are visited each month. During these visits field observations and samples are taken to collect measurements that allow river health to be assessed. Below are nine of the most important parameters measured:

# Water Temperature and Dissolved Oxygen

High Water Temperatures and low Dissolved Oxygen levels effect the survival of aquatic insects and fish.

• pH Dev

Deviations from natural pH values can impact the growth and reproduction of fish, and in extreme cases cause fish kills.

#### E. coli concentration

E. coli are an indicator for faecal contamination, which has negative affects on aquatic ecosystems and presents a

#### What We Measure and Why

health risk to recreational users.

#### Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen and Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus

These are the forms of Nitrogen and Phosphorus that are easily taken up by plants. High concentrations lead to excessive algae growth, which impacts aquatic habitat quality and oxygen levels.

- Nitrate Nitrogen and Ammonia Nitrogen High concentrations of these forms of Nitrogen are toxic to aquatic life.
- Turbidity

Turbidity is a measure for sediment in the water. Fine sediment affects the growth of aquatic insects and fish. When sediment settles on river beds, it smothers habitats and degrades food sources. Reduced water clarity also impacts on the recreational values of rivers.

#### The Water Quality Index

To report on the state of river water quality, data from three consecutive years is combined and used to calculate a water quality index for each site. The index is a number between 0 and 100, with higher values representing better water quality. The value of the water quality index is based on how often and by how much limits for the different parameters (measures) are exceeded. The limits used for the calculation of the index are based on those in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management and associated documents.

The figure below shows the water quality index for the monitoring sites as blue bars on the left side of the graph. The right side of the graph shows the parameters that exceed limits and therefore cause a reduction in the index.



Figure 2: Water Quality Indices for the period 2021-2023 and the parameters contributions to the reduction in the indices.

One advantage of the index is the ability to rank sites based on their water quality. In Figure 2 sites are ranked from the best on top to the worst at the bottom of the graph. This allows management to be targeted to the waterways with the most degraded water quality. To further direct improvement actions, sites are divided into five classes. The classes "excellent", "good" and "fair" represent acceptable water quality, while streams and rivers in the "marginal" and "poor" categories require improvements. Additionally, Figure 2 shows the parameters that need to be focused on. However, degraded sites usually show a wide range of parameters that exceed limits, demonstrating the interconnectedness of the different measures.

The majority of monitoring sites have water quality within the categories good or fair.

Sites and their associated catchments with low water quality indices (within or close to the marginal water quality category) are the centre of the Catchment Care programme and initiatives such as the Te Hoiere Project that aim to improve river health in collaboration with landowners.

#### The National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management (NPS-FM)

An important part of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management (NPS-FM) is the provision of limits for different parameters that are used to assess the health of rivers and lakes.

The NPS-FM limits define state bands, which range from A to D or E. States within the A band indicate low impacts on ecological health, while states within the D or E band are often considered "below the national bottom line", which means that improvements need to be made unless the causes are natural.

Figure 3 shows the river attributes states for monitoring sites in the Marlborough region. Poorer states attributable to natural causes are shown in a thinner font. An example are MCI and ASPM (Macroinvertebrate) states for spring fed streams, such as Spring Creek and Murphys Creek. States for Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen (DIN) are also shown in a lighter font because the NPS-FM currently does not include limits for this attribute. However, dissolved nitrogen is an important driver for algae growth and limits were developed.

In general, sites with several states in the C and D bands also have lower water quality indices, which demonstrates that both approaches of presenting river health provide equivalent results.

Periphyton			B				B				B							A					B									B	Α
MCI	В	В	C	В	В	C	С	C	В	C	C	В	В	В	С	С	С	C	C	D	C	D	С	D	D	D			В	В	C	D	С
ASPM	В	В	В	в	A	В	В	В	в	С	В	В	В	В	В	В	в	В	В	D	С	D	В	С	D	D			в	В	в	D	в
E. coli	D	С	D	A	A	В	D	D	E	В	D	D	A	A	D	A	В	В	D	A	В	A	A	D	E	В	D	A	A	В	В	D	A
Water Clarity	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	D	A	A	A	A	A	В	С	в	A	C	D	A	A	A	D	D	A	В	A	A	D	D	A	Α
Nitrate	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	В	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Ammonia	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
DIN	С	С	С	Α	А	В	С	В	С	Α	Α	Α	Α	А	D	А	А	Α	С	В	Α	Α	Α	В	С	D	С	С	А	Α	А	В	А
DRP	B	В	В	В	В	A	B	С	С	C	С	B	A	A	С	В	B	A	B	C	A	С	A	A	D	В	C	С	В	С	С	В	Α
	Ronga Rv	Opouri Rv	Rai Rv	Upper Pelorus	Wakamarina Rv	Lower Pelorus	Kaituna Rv	Cullen Ck	Linkwater Stm	Waitohi Rv	Graham Rv	Kenepuru Rv	Upper Wairau	Branch Rv	Mill Ck	Mid Waihopai	Lower Waihopai	Ohinemahuta Rv	Are Are Ck	Tuamarina Rv	Lower Wairau	Spring Ck	Omaka Rv	Mid Õpaoa	Doctors Ck	Murphys Ck	Taylor Rv	Lower Õpaoa	Black Birch Stm	Mid Awatere	Lower Awatere	Flaxbourne Rv	Waima Rv

Figure 3: NPS-FM states for river monitoring sites for the year ending December 2023.

#### **Plan Effectiveness**

The Marlborough Environment Plan (MEP) includes regulatory and non-regulatory tools for sustainable management of rivers. These include regional rules and the requirement to work with landowner to improve river health in degraded catchments. To measure the effectiveness of these tools, the MEP includes "Anticipated Environmental Results" (AERs) and associated effectiveness measures. The table below summarises the effectiveness measures that relate to river health (15.AER.1 in the MEP):

Monitoring effectiveness	Current progress							
The quality of water in all surface waterbodies routinely monitored is classified as fair, good, or excellent	68% of sites meet this target.							
Nitrate concentrations in each FMU* have an annual median of <1 mgN/L and an annual 95th percentile of <1.5 mg N/L.	This target is met at all representative FMU monitoring sites.							
Ammonia concentrations in each FMU* have an annual median of <0.03 mgN/L and an annual maximum of <0.05 mg N/L.	This target is met at all representative FMU monitoring sites.							
The annual median E. coli level in each FMU* is <260 per 100 ml.	This target is met at all representative FMU monitoring sites							
Water quality which was degraded is enhanced. Increase in the number of catchment enhancement plans developed and imple- mented for waterbodies deemed degraded.	There are a number of projects currently in progress. Preparation of catchment enhance- ment plans is planned for the coming years.							

\* FMU = Freshwater Management Unit

river-water-quality

For more information on surface water quality go to

www.marlborough.govt.nz/environment/rivers-and-wetlands/

Marlborough District Council Seymour Square, Blenheim, Ph: 03 520 7400